

THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.
(ACT X OF 1882.)

THE
CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

(ACT X OF 1882)

OTHER LAWS AND RULES OF PRACTICE

RELATING TO

PROCEDURE IN THE CRIMINAL COURTS OF BRITISH INDIA.

WITH NOTES.

BY

H. T. PRINSEP,

BENGAL CIVIL SERVICE.

SEVENTH EDITION.

Calcutta :

BROWN & CO., LAW BOOKSELLERS AND PUBLISHERS.
12, GOVERNMENT PLACE.

1884.

CALCUTTA :

PRINTED BY J. W. THOMAS, BAPTIST MISSION PRESS.

PREFACE.

The present edition has been prepared after a re-examination of all the reported cases, and, although in a compressed form, contains a considerable quantity of additional matter. As in former editions, all references to the Code of Criminal Procedure in reported cases or orders have been changed to the corresponding Sections of the present Code; and an endeavour has been made to make the Law more readily intelligible by referring generally in the note to each Section to the parts of the Code or to other laws bearing on the same subject.

The corresponding Section of the Code of 1872 has been entered in a parenthesis at the commencement of each Section merely by a numeral figure, and the corresponding Section of the Presidency Magistrates' Act, 1877, or of the High Courts Procedure Act, 1875, has been added for general convenience. On the other hand, for purposes of cross-reference, there have been given the comparative statements published by the Legislative Department showing in what Sections of the Code of 1882 the several Sections of the Code of 1872, its amending Act of 1874, as well as of the Presidency Magistrates' Act, 1877, and the High Court Procedure Act, 1875, have been re-enacted.

The latest number of each series of Reports noted is entered below,* so that an opportunity may be given to add cases appearing in later Reports. Notes of many cases which have come out while this Edition has been passing through the Press, or which were overlooked during its preparation, have been entered in the ADDENDA.

Where judgments or orders quoted have been published, reference has been made to the Report or Government Gazette: but in many instances unpublished cases and orders have been entered in the notes. These have been obtained from official sources, and may be depended upon as strictly accurate.

• Indian Law Reports—

Calcutta Series,	Vol. 9	Part	X
Madras,	" 6	"	VII
Bombay,	" 7	"	VIII
Allahabad,	" 5	"	VIII

Calcutta Law Reports, Vol. XII, No. 134.

Punjab Record for October 1882.

The judgments of the CALCUTTA HIGH COURT have been taken from Sutherland's Weekly Reporter: the Bengal Law Reports: the Indian Law Reports and the Calcutta Law Reports published by Messrs. Brown and Co. A few cases have also been taken from the earlier reports by Mr. W. Marshall and from the Revenue, Civil and Criminal Reporter published by Messrs. Wyman and Co. The cases decided and orders passed by the MADRAS HIGH COURT have been obtained from the reports of Mr. Whitley Stokes, Mr. O'Sullivan, and Mr. J. M. C. Mills; also from the Madras Jurist, the Indian Law Reports and a publication by Mr. T. Weir, Registrar of that Court*; those by the BOMBAY HIGH COURT from reports by Mr. J. Dunbar, Mr. R. T. Reid, Mr. C. F. Farran and Mr. C. W. L. Jackson as well as from the Indian Law Reports; those of the late AGRA SUDDER COURT from reports published under authority of that Court (quoted as *Agra*), those of the AGRA HIGH COURT from the reports of Moonshee Hanooman Pershad and Lalla Lalita Pershad (quoted as *N. W. P.*), and after removal of that Court to ALLAHABAD from reports by Mr. Tarrant (quoted as *Allahabad*), the Indian Law Reports, and the Legal Remembrancer edited by Mr. C. P. Hill.

The cases decided by the Punjab Chief Court have been obtained from official reports designated the Punjab Record.

Lastly the orders passed by the several Courts have been quoted from the publications of Mr. C. A. Wilkins, Registrar, Calcutta High Court: Mr. T. Weir, Registrar, Madras High Court: a book of Circulars published under the authority of the Bombay High Court; and a similar publication by Mr. Smyth, of the Punjab Chief Court.

The Appendix contains nearly all the Acts of the Legislature which are intimately connected with the Code of Criminal Procedure, and these have been annotated with references to decided cases and orders likely to be useful in interpreting them.

Entirely new indices have also been prepared. It is necessary to explain that the Index of cases contains only those in which the name of the appellant or plaintiff is given in the report or authority consulted: consequently the Index, by itself represents less than one half the subject-matter of the notes.

H. T. P.

December, 1883.

* The references to Mr. Weir's publication are generally to the second and latest edition: where occasionally reference is made to the earlier edition, it is specially noted.

CONTENTS.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS	PAGE xi
INDEX OF CASES CITED	xxv
ADDENDA	xxxiii

THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

CONTENTS	1
PREAMBLE	13

PART I.

CHAPTER I.—Preliminary, Repeal, Local Extent, and Definitions	13
---	----

PART II.—CONSTITUTION AND POWERS OF CRIMINAL COURTS AND OFFICES.

CHAPTER II.—Of the Constitution of Criminal Courts and Offices	17
„ III.—Powers of Courts	25

PART III.—GENERAL PROVISIONS.

CHAPTER IV.—Of Aid and Information to the Magistrates, the Police and Persons making arrests	33
„ V.—Of Arrest, Escape and Retaking	36
„ VI.—Of Processes to compel Appearance	45
„ VII.—Of Processes to compel the Production of Documents and other Movable Property, and for the Discovery of Persons wrongfully confined	50

PART IV.—PREVENTION OF OFFENCES.

CHAPTER VIII.—Of Security for keeping the Peace and for Good Behaviour	60
„ IX.—Unlawful Assemblies	70
„ X.—Public Nuisances	72
„ XI.—Temporary Orders in Urgent Cases of Nuisance	79
„ XII.—Disputes as to Immoveable Property	82
„ XIII.—Preventive Action of the Police	88

PART V.—INFORMATION TO THE POLICE AND THEIR POWERS TO INVESTIGATE.

CHAPTER XIV.—	89
-------------------------	----

PART VI.—PROCEEDINGS IN PROSECUTIONS.

CHAPTER XV.—Of the Jurisdiction of the Criminal Courts in Inquiries and Trials	111
„ XVI.—Of Complaints to Magistrates	127
„ XVII.—Of the Commencement of Proceedings before Magistrates	130
„ XVIII.—Of Inquiry into Cases triable by the Court of Session or High Court	132
„ XIX.—Of the Charge	143
„ XX.—Of the Trial of Summons-cases by Magistrates	153
„ XXI.—Of the Trial of Warrant-cases by Magistrates	157
„ XXII.—Of Summary Trials	162
„ XXIII.—Of Trials before High Courts and Courts of Session	168
„ XXIV.—General Provisions as to Inquiries and Trials	196
„ XXV.—Of the Mode of taking and recording Evidence in Inquiries and Trials	209
„ XXVI.—Of the Judgment	217
„ XXVII.—Of the Submission of Sentences for Confirmation	221
„ XXVIII.—Of Execution	223
„ XXIX.—Of Suspensions, Remissions and Commutations of Sentences	237
„ XXX.—Of previous Acquittals or Convictions	238

	PAGE
PART VII.—OF APPEAL, REFERENCE AND REVISION.	
CHAPTER XXXI.—Of Appeals	240
" XXXII.—Of Reference and Revision	253
<hr/>	
PART VIII.—SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS.	
CHAPTER XXXIII.—Criminal Proceedings against Europeans and Americans	259
" XXXIV.—Lunatics	268
" XXXV.—Proceedings in case of certain Offences affecting the Administration of Justice	275
" XXXVI.—Of the Maintenance of Wives and Children	281
" XXXVII.—Directions of the Nature of a Habeas Corpus	285
<hr/>	
PART IX.—SUPPLEMENTARY PROVISIONS.	
CHAPTER XXXVIII.—Of the Public Prosecutor	285
" XXXIX.—Of Bail	288
" XL.—Of Commissions for the Examination of Witnesses	291
" XLI.—Special Rules of Evidence	293
" XLII.—Provision as to Bonds	296
" XLIII.—Of the Disposal of Property	298
" XLIV.—Of the Transfer of Criminal Cases	302
" XLV.—Of Irregular Proceedings	306
" XLVI.—Miscellaneous	311
SCHEDULE I.—Enactments repealed	326
" II.—Tabular Statement of Offences	328
" III.—Ordinary Powers of Provincial Magistrates	377
" IV.—Additional Powers with which Provincial Magistrates may be invested	378
" V.—Forms	379

APPENDIX.

Act I, 1868 (The General Clauses' Act)	396
OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS.	
Act X, 1873 (The Indian Oaths' Act)	398
EVIDENCE.	
Act I, 1872 (The Evidence Act)	402
" XVIII, 1872 (The Evidence Act Amendment Act)	455
" XV, 1869 (The Prisoners' Testimony Act)	456
MISCELLANEOUS.	
Act III, 1880 (The Cantonments' Act)	461
" V, 1861 (For the Regulation of Police)	468
" IX, 1874 (The European Vagrancy Act)	478
" XXI, 1879 (The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act)	487
" VI, 1864 (The Whipping Act)	492
" V, 1876 (The Reformatory Schools' Act)	496
" V, 1871 (The Prisoners' Act)	500
" III, 1879 (The Destruction of Records Act)	506
Rules for the Destruction of Records	508
INDEX	511

ERRATA.

Page

- 19, line 18 for Bomb. (Gas. p. 567) read Bomb. (Gas. 1873, p. 567.)
 30, line 20 for Punj. Rec. read Punj. Rec.
 31, line 3 from bottom for 6 W. R. 93 read 6 W. R. 39.
 36, line 16 for I. L. R. Mad. 266 read I. L. R. 1 Mad. 266.
 41, line 15 for 24 W. R. 54 read 24 W. R. 51.
 62, line 4 for Kshen read Kishore.
 62, line 21 for 141 read 148.
 63, line 18 from bottom, to I. L. R. 6 Cal. 14 add (S. C.) 6 Cal. L. R. 128.
 74, line 25 " " after 14 W. R. 177 read Civil Cases.
 74, line 6 " " for I. L. R. Bomb. read I. L. R. 6 Bomb.
 78, line 21 for Rooks read Rooke.
 84, line 10 for Goru read Gour.
 84, line 10 for 22 W. R. 8 read 22 W. R. 81.
 87, line 21 from bottom for 67 read 64.
 93, last line after 569 add (S. C.) I. L. R. 9 Cal. 455.
 94, line 28 add (S. C.) 10 Cal. L. R. 11.
 97, line 13 add I. L. R. 5 All. 253.
 120, line 19 from bottom for Act X, 1877 read Act X, 1875.
 122, line 14 " " for charge read change.
 122, line 5 " " for I. L. R. All. read I. L. R., 1 All.
 122, line 4 " " after 144 add (S. C.) I. L. R., 4 All. 66.
 123, line 17 add (S. C.) 10 Cal. L. R. 46.
 133, line 23 from bottom after remanded add to custody.
 136, line 20 " " after accused add be found.
 137, line 18 for Cir. 16 read Cir. 100.
 137, line 23 for 83 read 33.
 142, line 21 from bottom for 1862 read 1872.
 150, line 24 " " for 8 All. read 6 All.
 152, line 5 " " for 5 Mad. 90 read 5 Mad. 21.
 156, line 6 " " for 1 N. W. P. read 2 All.
 158, line 4 for 303 read 205.
 161, line 26 from bottom for 72 read 70.
 161, line 4 " " for 17 read 14.
 164, line 11 for Bugleh read Buzleh.
 164, line 17 from bottom for 117 read 17.
 169, line 7 " " for Act 14, 1879 read Oct. 14, 1879.
 172, line 1 for th read the.
 176, line 8 for Prosecutiom read Prosecution. • • •
 183, to Para. 2 add (S. C.) 19 W. R. 41.
 183, line 28 from bottom for 42 read 41.
 184, line 15 " " for B. L. R. read 13 B. L. R.
 197, line 33 " " for 20 W. R. read 21 W. R.
 197, line 19 " " add (S. C.) 2 B. L. R. 3 F. B.
 198, line 11 add (S. C.) 10 B. L. R. 455 Foot note.
 199, line 26 from bottom for May read August.
 199, line 19 " " for Cir. 5 read Cir. 4.
 203, line 31 for 4 B. L. R. App. read 4 B. L. R. 1 App.
 205, line 9 from bottom for 5 W. R. 5 read 5 W. R. 65.
 212, line 15 for Aug. 28 read Aug. 20.
 212, line 12 from bottom for Sept. 17 read Sept. 17, 1864.
 216, line 6 for 73 read 72.
 216, line 19 for 1 Cal. L. R. read 1 Cal. L. R. 1.
 219, line 15 from bottom Transpose (S. C.) Weir 387 to precede Purn Mal.
 221, line 13 " " for Cir read Cir. 1.

Page

- 233, line 14 *for 70 read 72.*
 233, line 19 *for 273, 274 read 413, 414.*
 237, line 6 *for H. Ct. read S. N. A.*
 237, line 7 *for 1864 read 1804.*
 239, line 28 *for I. L. R. 8 Mad. read I. L. R. 3 Mad.*
 246, line 14 *for Act IX, 1871 read Act XV, 1877.*
 246, line 17 *for Act IX, 1871 read Act XV, 1877.*
 246, line 20 *for July 2 1869 read June 15 1881.*
 246, line 20 *dele 3 B. L. R. Rules &c. 5.*
 249, line 26 *after 20 W. R. 13 add (S. C.) 11 B. L. R. 38.*
 249, line 17 *from bottom for Gui read Giri.*
 276, line 28 *from bottom add (S. C.) 10 Cal. L. R. 46.*
 277, line 9 " " *for W. R. 52 read 22 W. R. 52.*
 283, line 3 *for 18 W. R. read 17 W. R.*
 284, line 12 *for Kanoo read Kinoo.*
 287, line 15 *from bottom for 13 L. R. read 1 B. L. R.*
 294, line 11 *for 12 Cal. 234 read 8 Cal. 739.*
 297, line 27 *from bottom for Dess read Dasa.*
 303, line 15 " " *for Hosking read Hosting.*
 320, line 5 *from bottom for (IX, 1871) read (XV, 1877).*
 320, line 6 " " *for para. 2 S. 13 read para 3, S. 12.*
 323, line 13 " " *for Hem read Hurro.*
 323, line 13 " " *for 70 read 76.*
 324, line 19 " " *for five read four.*

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF THE TWO CODES.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
1, para. 1 ..	1, para. 1	10 ..	2, para. 2
2 ..	2	11 ..	5
3 ..	1	12 ..	7, para. 1, cl. 1
2, para. 1 ..	2, 1	13 ..	2
2 ..	1, 2	14 ..	3
3 ..	3, 1	15, para. 1 ..	9, para. 1
4 ..	2	2 ..	31, 2
15, cl. 1	4, para. 1, cl. (q)	16 ..	9, para. 1
2	204, 1	17 ..	9, para. 2
3	4, 1, cl. (r)		31, 2
4	28		193, 2
6		9, 2
7 ..	2, para. 2	18, para. 1 ..	31, 3
3 ..	558, 1		193, 3
4, para. 1 ..	4, 1	2 ³ ..	31, 3
cl. 1		380, 1
2	19 ..	6
3	4, para. 1, cl. (b)	20, para. 1 ..	32, para. 1
4	(c)	2 ..	32, para. 1
5	3 ..	32, para. 2
6	<i>Expl.</i> ..	33, para. 1, cl. 1, and para. 2
7	4, para. 1, cl. (d)	21 ..	36, and 37, para. 1
8	(e)	22 ..	36
9	23 ..	37, and 191, para. 2
10	4, para. 1, cl. (g)	24 ..	36
11	(h)	25 ..	37, and 191, paras. 2 and 3
12	(i)	26 ..	36
13	337, <i>Expln.</i>	27 ⁴ ..	37, and 191, paras. 2 and 3.
14	28 ..	36
15	4, para. 1, cl. (j)	29 ..	37
16	(k)	30 ..	36
17	(l)	31
18	(m)	32 ..	529
19	(n)	33 ..	532
20	(r)	34 ..	530
² 4, para. 2, cl. 1	4, para. 2, cl. 1	34, cl. (9) ..	529, cl. (h)
5 ..	6	35 ..	10
6 ..	5	36 ⁴ ..	39, 34, & 380, para. 1
7 ..	5	37, para. 1 ..	12, para. 1
8, para. 1 ..	5, and 29, cl. 1		
2 ..	29, cl. (b) and (c)		
9 ..	26		

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 1.

² See Act XI, 1874, s. 2.

³ See Act XI, 1874, s. 3.

⁴ See Act XI, 1874, s. 3.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
37, para. 2 ...	17, para. 1, cl. 1, and para. 3.	66, <i>Ill.</i> (b) ...	180 <i>Ill.</i> (b)
3	(c) ...	(c)
38 ...	7, para. 2	(d)
39 ¹ ...	7, para. 1, cl. 2, and paras. 3, 8.	67 ...	182
40, para. 1 ...	13, para. 1	67, <i>Ill.</i> (a) ...	183
2 ...	2	(b)
3 ...	3	(c) ...	181, para. 1
41 ...	17 1, cl. 2	(d)
42, para. 1 ...	14 1	(e) ...	181, para. 2
2 ...	2	(f) ...	3
3 ² ...	3	68 ...	181, para. 1
43 ...	39	69 ...	185
44, para. 1 ³ ...	192 1	70 ...	531
2 ...	200, <i>Provisos</i> (a) & (c)	71 ...	4, cl. (u)
3	72, para. 1 ...	443, 444
4 ...	528, para. 1	2 ...	443
45 1 ...	346 1	3
2 ...	2	73 ...	445
3	74, para. 1 ...	443
4	2 ...	446
46, para. 1 and 2 ...	349	75, para. 1 ...	447, para. 1
3 ...	347	2 ¹⁰ ...	2
<i>Ill.</i> ⁴	3 ¹¹ ...	448
47 1 ⁵ ...	528, para. 1	76, para. 1 ...	444, 449
2 ...	407 2	2 ...	449
48 ...	528 2	77 ...	450
49 ...	12 1	78, para. 1
49, <i>Proviso.</i>	2 ...	451
50 ...	15, para. 1	79 ...	408, <i>Proviso</i> (b)
51 ...	15, para. 2	80 ...	410
52 ...	16	81, para. 1, cl. 1... 2...	456
53 ...	16	2 ...	457
54 ...	41	81, para. 2 ...	458
55 ...	11	82 ...	{ 2, para. 1
56 ...	40	83 ...	{ 491
57 ...	{ 4 cl. (m)	84 ...	453, paras. 1 and 3
58 ...	{ 492, para. 1	85 ...	454
59 ⁶ ...	492, para. 1	86 ...	455, 534
60 ...	495	87 ...	2, para. 1
61 ...	498	88 ...	463
62 ...	494	89 ...	541
63, para. 1 ...	422, cl. 1.	90 ...	44
2 ...	177	91 ...	43
<i>Proviso</i> ⁷ ...	178, para. 1	92, cl. 1 ...	54, cl. 1
<i>Expt.</i> ...	<i>Proviso.</i>	cl. <i>firstly</i> ...	cl. <i>firstly</i>
64 ...	526, paras. 1 and 2	cl. <i>secondly</i> ...	cl. <i>firstly</i>
<i>Proviso</i> ⁸	cl. <i>thirdly</i>
64A ⁹ ...	527	cl. <i>fourthly</i> ...	54, cl. <i>thirdly</i>
65 ...	179	cl. <i>fifthly</i> ...	cl. <i>fourthly</i>
66 ...	180	cl. <i>sixthly</i> ...	cl. <i>fifthly</i>
66, <i>Ill.</i> (a) ...	180, <i>Ill.</i> (a)	cl. <i>seventhly</i> ...	cl. <i>sixthly</i>
		93 ...	57
		94, cls. 1 and 2 ...	55

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 4.² Ditto s. 5.³ Ditto s. 6.⁴ Ditto s. 7.⁵ See Act XI, 1874, s. 6.⁶ Ditto s. 8.⁷ Ditto s. 9.⁸ Repealed by Act XI, 1874, s. 10.⁹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 11.¹⁰ Ditto s. 12.¹¹ Ditto s. 12.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
94 cl. 3	133, para. 1 —	174, para. 1
95 ...	149	2 —	2
96 ...	150	3 —	3
97 ...	151	4 —	4
98, para. 1 ...	152	134 —	175
2	135 —	176, para. 1
99 ...	47	136 —	4, para. 1, cl. (o)
100 ...	48, para. 1, cls. 1 & 2	137 —	550
101 ...	60	138 —
102, para. 1 ...	56	139 —
2	140, cl. (a) —	191, para. 1, cl. (b)
103 ...	58	(b) —	ditto.
104 ...	351	(c) —	191, para. 1 cl. (a)
105 ...	59, para. 1, cl. 1.	(d) —	(c)
106	141, para. 1 —	191, para. 1 cls. (a) & (b)
107 ...	59, para. 1, cl. 2, and para. 2.	2 —	192
108 ...	64	3 —
109 ...	156, para. 1	142, para. 1 —	191, para. 1 cl. (c)
110 ...	155, paras. 2 and 3	2 —	198: see also sec. 195, <i>at seq.</i>
111 ...	1, para. 2	143 —	206, para. 1
112 ...	154	144, paras. 1 & 2 —	200, para. 1
113 ...	155, para. 1	para. 3 —	2
114, para. 1 ...	157, para. 1	145 —	201
2 ...	156, para. 1	146 —	202
3 ...	2	147, para. 1 —	203
115 ...	159	2 —	403, <i>Expln.</i>
116 ...	157, para. 1, <i>Proviso (a)</i>	3 —	204, para. 1
117, para. 1 ...	157, para. 1, <i>Proviso (a)</i>	148, para. 1 —	204
2 ...	158	2 —	90
118 ...	160, 161	149 —	204
119, paras. 1 and 2 ...	161	150 —	90, and 204, para. 2.
para. 3 ...	162	151 —	205
120 ...	163	152 —	68, para. 1
121 ...	161, 162	153 —	2
122 ...	164	154 —	69, 70
123, para. 1 ...	170, paras. 1 and 2	155 —	71
2 ...	168	156 —	90
124, para. 1 ...	61	157 —	186, para. 1
paras. 2, 3 & 4 ...	167, paras. 1, 4 and 5]	158 —	93
125 ...	169, and 173, para. 2	<i>Proviso</i> —	72
126 ...	172	159, para. 1 —	75, para. 1
127, para. 1 ...	{ 173, para. 1	2 —	2
2 ...	{ 170, para. 2	160, para. 1 —	76, paras. 1 & 2
3 ...	173, para. 1	2 —	para. 3
128, para. 1	161 —	77, para. 1
2 ...	497, para. 1	162 —	78
129 ...	496	163 —	43
130, para. 1 ...	498	164 —	77, para. 2
2 ...	170, para. 2	165 —	79
3 ...	3	166, para. 1 —
4 ...	4	166, para. 2 —	65
5 ...	5	167 —	82
131, para. 1 ...	172, cl. 1	168, para. 1 —	{ 83, para. 1
2 ...	2	2 —	84, para. 1
132, para. 1 ...	<i>Proviso.</i>	3 —	83, para. 1
2 ...	62	169 —	84, para. 1
	63		85

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
288	376	314, para. 1	35, para. 1
289, para. 1	375, para. 1, 428, p. 1	2	2
2	2, 428, p. 3	2, <i>Proviso</i> 1	2, <i>Proviso</i> 1
8	3, 428, p. 2	2, <i>Proviso</i> 2	2, <i>Proviso</i> 2
290	377	315	348
291	316	396
292	553	317	397
293	553	<i>Proviso</i>	398
294	435, para. 1	318	399
295, para. 1	1	319	368, para. 2
2	320
296, para. 1	438	321	368
2 ¹	436, <i>cl.</i> 1	322, para. 1 ⁸	401 para. 1
<i>Proviso</i> ²	436, <i>Proviso</i> (b)	2	3
297 ³	439	3	402
297, para. 8	426, para. 1	4 <i>cl.</i> 1 ⁹	401, para. 4.
297, para. 10	440	<i>cl.</i> 2 ¹⁰
298 ⁴	437	323	509
299, para. 1	425, para. 1	324	255, para. 2
2	442	325, para. 1	510
2	425, para. 2	2
299, para. 3	442	326	511
300	423, <i>cl.</i> (d)	327	512
301, para. 1	537	328	350, para. 1
2	379	329	350, para. 1
302, para. 1	381	330, para. 1	503, para. 1
2	373	2	503, paras. 1 and 3
3	3 ¹¹	504, para. 1
302A ⁵ , para. 1	4 ¹²	505
2	383	5	506
303	390	6 ¹³	507
304, para. 1	384	331
2	386	332	354
305	333	355
306	400	334, para. 1	356, para. 1
307, para. 1	382	paras. 2 & 3	2
2	386	para. 4	3
3	387	5	4
4	335	357, and 362, para. 1
308, paras. 1, 2 & 3	389	336	358
4	545	337	555
309, para. 1	546	338	359, and 362, para. 2
1 <i>Prov.</i>	339	360
2	33, para. 1, <i>Proviso</i> 2	340	361
310	33, para. 1, with <i>Prov.</i> 1	341	363
311, para. 1	391, para. 1	342	342, para. 1
2	393	343	2
3 ⁶	392, para. 2	344	343
312, paras. 1 & 2 ⁷	391, para. 2	345	342, para. 4
para. 3	394	346, para. 1	364, para. 1
313	393	2	2
	395	3	3

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 27.² Ditto s. 28.³ Ditto s. 29.⁴ Ditto s. 30.⁵ Ditto s. 21.⁶ See Act XI, 1874, s. 32.⁷ See Act XI, 1874, s. 33, para. 1.⁸ Ditto s. 33, para. 2.⁹ Ditto s. 34.¹⁰ Ditto s. 34.¹¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 34.¹² Ditto s. 35.¹³ Ditto s. 35.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF THE TWO CODES.

xvi

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
346 para. 4	364 para. 2	387, para. 1	51, para. 1
5	533	2	523, para. 1
347	337	398	496
348	338	389, para. 1	497, para. 1
349	339	2	2
350	390	498
351	540	391	499
352	90	392	501
353, para. 1	87, paras. 1, 2, cl. (b)	393	496
2	88, para. 1	394	500
3	2	395	502
354	89	396	514, paras. 1, 2 & 3
355	90	397, para. 1	514, para. 1
356	485	2	2 & 3
357, para. 1	208, para. 2	3	4
2	219	398, para. 1	514, paras. 1 to 4
358	216, para. 1	1, <i>Prov.</i> ²	para. 5
359	216, <i>Prov.</i> 2	2	515
360	217	3	516
361	244, paras. 2 and 3	399	513
362, para. 1	208, para. 2	400, para. 1	321, para. 1
2	25, para. 2	2	2
363	208, para. 2	401, para. 1	322
364	257, para. 1	2	323
365	291	402	324, paras. 1 to 4
366	485	403	325
367	94, para. 1	404	319
368, para. 1	96	405, cl. 1	278, 320
2	104	2	278, cl. (d)
369, cl. 1	96	3	(e)
2	97	4	(f)
370	96, para. 2	5	278, 320
371	95	6	278, cl. (e)
372	101	406, para. 1, cl. 1	320, cl. 1
373, para. 1	101	406, para. 1, cl. 2	(a)
2	101	3	(b)
3	99	4	(c)
374	5	(d)
375	99	6	(e)
376, para. 1	101	7	278, 320
2	101	8	320, cl. (g)
3	101	9	(h)
4	101	10	(i)
377	101	11	(j)
378, para. 1	98, except cls. (d) & (e)	12	(j)
2	406, para. 2	278, 320
379 ¹	105	3	278, 320
380	165	4	462, <i>Proviso.</i>
381	166	407	326
382	153	408, para. 1	462, para. 1
383	102, para. 1	2	2
384	2	3	3
385	2	4
386	103	409, para. 1	328
	52	2	Chapter VI,—A
		3	Ditto

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 36.

² See Act XI, 1874, s. 37.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF THE TWO CODES.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
410 ...	327	454, <i>Ill.</i> (a) ...	235, <i>Ill.</i> (a)
411 ...	329	(b) ...	(d)
412 ...	330	(c) ...	(e)
413 ...	331	(d) ...	(f)
414 ...	332	(e)
415, para. 1 ...	523, para. 1	(f) ...	235, <i>Ill.</i> (g)
2 ...	525	(g) ...	(h)
416 ...	523, para. 2	(h)
417, para. 1 ...	524, para. 1	(i)
2 ...	2	(j) ...	235, <i>Ill.</i> (i)
418 ¹ ...	517, para. 1	(k)
418, <i>Expln.</i> ² ...	517, <i>Expln.</i>	(l) ...	235, <i>Ill.</i> (j)
419 ...	520	(m) ...	(l)
420 ...	518	(n) ...	(b)
421 ...	544	(o) ...	(m)
422 ...	543	(p) ...	(c)
423 ...	464	455 ...	236
424, para. 1 ...	469	456 ...	237
2 ...	469	457 ...	238, para. 1
3 ...	464	457, <i>Ill.</i> (a) ...	238, <i>Ill.</i> (a)
425, para. 1 ...	465, para. 1	(b)
2 ³ ...	2	458 ...	239
426 ...	466	459 ...	240
427 ...	467	460, para. 1 ...	403, para. 1
428 ...	468	2 ...	2
429 ...	470	3 ...	3
430 ...	471	4 ...	4
431 ...	472	<i>Ill.</i> (a) ...	<i>Ill.</i> (a)
432 ...	473	(b) ...	(b)
433 ...	474	(c) ...	(c)
434 ...	475	(d)
435, para. 1 ...	480	(e) ...	403, <i>Ill.</i> (d)
paras. 2 & 3 ...	481	(f) ...	(e)
436, para. 1 ...	482, para. 1	(g) ...	(f)
2 ...	2	(h) ...	(g)
3 ...	347	461, cl. 1 ...	367, para. 2
4	2 ...	3
437 ...	484	462 ...	366
438, para. 1 ...	445	463 ...	367, para. 1
2 ...	447, para. 1	464, para. 1 ...	{ 367, paras. 1, 2 & 4
439 ...	221	2 ⁵ ...	369
440 ...	222	3 ...	371, para. 1
441 ...	223	4 ...	372
442 ...	554	5 ...	367, para. 5, <i>Prov.</i>
443 ...	225	6
444 ...	227	7 ⁶ ...	537
445 ...	227	465 ...	537
446 ...	226	466, paras. 1, 2 & 3 ...	196
447 ...	223	4 ...	197, para. 1
448 ...	229	5 ⁷ ...	2
449 ...	231	467 ...	4, last para.
450 ...	230	468 ...	195, para. 1, cl. (a)
451 ⁴ ...	232	469 ...	(b)
452 ...	233	470, para. 1 ...	(c)
453 ...	234	2 ...	195, para. 2
454, paras. 1 to 3 ...	235		3

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 38.² Ditto s. 38.³ See Act XI, 1874, s. 39.⁴ Ditto s. 40.⁵ See Act XI, 1874, s. 41.⁶ Ditto s. 41.⁷ Ditto s. 42.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
470, <i>Expln.</i> ...	195, para. 3	502 para. 3 ...	514 para. 3
471, paras. 1 & 2 ...	476, para. 1	4 ...	4
3 ...	2	5 ...	1
472, para. 1 ...	477, para. 1	6 ...	121
2	7 ...	107, 514
3 ...	477, para. 2	508, para. 1 ...	514, para. 1
473 ...	487, para. 1	2 ...	2 & 3
474, paras. 1 & 2 ...	478	3 ¹ ...	4
8	504, para. 1 ...	109
475 ...	479	2 ...	120, para. 1
476 ...	478, para. 2	3
477 ...	476	4 ...	109
478 ...	199	505 ...	110
479 ...	199	506 ...	110
480 ...	127	507, para. 1 ...	123, para. 2
481 ...	128	2 ...	3
482 ...	129	508 ...	123, para. 3
483 ...	132 cl. (a)	509, para. 1 ...	112
484 ...	130	2 ...	654
485 ...	132, cl. (c)	510, para. 1 ...	123, para. 1
486 ...	(d)	2 ...	5
487 ...	131, and 132, cl. (b)	511 ...	124, para. 1
488 ...	132, cl. 1	512 ...	2
490 to 488 (Chap. XXXVI), ¹ ...	127 to 132 (Ch. IX)	513 ...	126
489, para. 1 ...	{ 106, para. 1	514, para. 1 ...	514, para. 1
2 ...	{ 123, paras. 1 to 3	2 ...	paras. 2 & 3
3 ...	120, para. 1	3 ...	para. 4
4	515, para. 1 ...	112, 114
490 ...	{ 106, para. 1	2 ...	109
491 ...	{ 123, paras. 1 to 3	3 ...	117, para. 2
<i>Explns.</i> ...	107	4 ...	511
492 ...	107, 117	516 ...	122
492, <i>Expln.</i> ...	112	517 ...	111
493, para. 1, cl. 1 ...	113	518, with <i>Expln.</i> 1 ...	144, para. 1
2 ...	554	518, <i>Expln.</i> 2 ...	2
494 ...	106, para. 1, last cl., & 118, <i>Prov.</i> 2nd.	3 ...	3
2	4 ...	4
494 ...	90	519 ...	143
<i>Prov.</i> ...	{ 108, para. 1	520 ...	435, para. 3
495 ...	{ 114, <i>Proviso</i>	521 ...	133
496 ...	116	522 ...	134
497 ...	119	523, para. 1 ...	135
498, para. 1 ...	{ 118, para. 1	2 ...	138, cl. (a)
2 ...	{ 123, para. 1	3 ...	139
499, para. 1 ...	107, 123	4 ...	141
2 ...	123	5 ...	138, cl. (c) & 139
3	524, para. 1 ...	138, cl. (b)
<i>Expln.</i> ...	123, paras. 1 & 4	2
500 ...	124, para. 1, & 125	525, para. 1 ...	{ 136, & 137, para. 1
501 ...	126	525, para. 2 ...	{ 140, para. 2.
502, para. 1 ...	514, para. 1	526, para. 1 ...	141, para. 3
2 ...	2	2 ...	{ 139, para. 1
			{ 140, para. 1
			140, para. 2
		527 ² ...	137, para. 2
		528 ...	142
		529 ...	1, para. 2

¹ See Act XI, 1874, s. 43.² See Act XI, 1874, s. 44.³ See Act XI, 1874, s. 45.

Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Section of old Code.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
530	145	536	488
531	146	537	489
532	147	538	490
533	148, para. 1	539	558, para. 1
534	522	540	1, para. 2
535	1, para. 2	541	1, para. 2

Table shewing correspondence of the section-numbers of Act XI of 1874 separately with those of the present Code, Act X of 1882.

Act XI of 1874.	Corresponding Section of new Code.	Act XI of 1874.	Corresponding Section of new Code.
1	4, cls. (q) & (r) 28, 204, para. 1	23
2	4, para. 2, cl. 1	24 cl. 1
3	380, para. 1	2	415, <i>Expln.</i>
4	7, para. 1, cl. 2, para. 3	25	548
5	14, para. 3	26	Om., see secs. 421, 423
6	192, para. 1, 528, para. 1	27	422, cl. 2
7	28	423
8	495	29, cl. 1	436, cl. 1
9	178, <i>Proviso</i>	2	<i>Proviso (b)</i>
10	30	439
11	527,	31	437
12	447, para. 2, 448	32	383, 390
13	340	33	391, para. 2, 394
14	209	34, cl. 1	401, para. 1
15	218, cl. 1	2	4
16	254	3
17	260, cl. (i)	35	504, para. 1, 505, 507
18	193, para. 1	36	165, para. 4
19	286	37	514, para. 5
20	288	38	517, para. 1 & <i>Expln.</i>
21	306, para. 1, 307	39	465, para. 2
22 cl. 1	410	40	232, <i>Ill.</i>
2	418, 423, cl. (d).	41	371, para. 1, 548
3	371, para. 3	42	4, last para.
4	43	Chapter IX
5	378, 429	44	514, para. 4
		45	137, para. 2

Table shewing correspondence of the section-numbers of the High Courts Act (X of 1877) with those of the new Code (Act X of 1882).

Act X of 1875.	New Code (Act X of 1882).	Act X of 1875.	New Code (Act X of 1882).
1	...	55	279
2	2	56	279
3	4, 266	57	278
4	334	58	280
5	335	59	286
6	5	60	287
7	226	61	342
8	226	62	289, 290
9	227	63	292
10	227	64	293
11	228	65	296
12	229	66	344
13	210, 543	67	295
14	273, 403	68	365
15	231	69	294
16	230	70	543
17	233	71	509
18	234	72	510
19	235	73
20	236	74	512
21	237	75	288
22	238	76	503, 504, 505, 507
23	239	77	338
24	225	78	339
25	532	79
26	220	80	540
27	336	81	90
28	271	82	87
29	271	83	89
30	272	84	90
31	340	85	291
32	267	86	94
33	274, 276	87	96
34	272	88	104
35	451	89	485
36	452	90	297
37	452	91	298
38	276	92	300
39	311	93	299
40	312	94	301
41	311	95	303
42	313	96	302
43	313	97	305
44	314	98	305
45	315	99	283
46	318	100	308
47	277, 278	101	434
48	279	102	240
49	276	103	384
50	316	104	384, 385
51	317	105	386, 387
52	106	545, 546
53	277	107
54	278	108	392, 394, 395

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Act X of 1875.	New Code (Act X of 1882).	Act X of 1875.	New Code (Act X of 1882)
109 ..	35	132 ..	197
110 ..	396	133 ..	195
111 ..	397	134 ..	196
112 ..	399	135 ..	476
113 ..	368	136 ..	498
114 ..	382	137 ..	514
115 ..	517	138 ..	514, 516
116 ..	544	139 ..	518
117 ..	403	140 ..	106
118 ..	211	141 ..	106
119 ..	511	142 ..	522
120 ..	465	143 ..	1*
121 ..	466	144
122 ..	467	145 ..	194
123 ..	468	146 ..	333
124 ..	470	147 ..	526
125 ..	471	148 ..	491
126 ..	472	149 ..	539
127 ..	473	150 ..	352
128 ..	474	151 ..	345
129 ..	475	152 ..	25
130 ..	341	153 ..	558
131 ..	196		

Table shewing correspondence of the section-numbers of the Presidency Magistrates' Act (IV of 1877) with those of the new Code (Act X of 1882).

Act IV of 1877.	New Code (Act X of 1882).	Act IV of 1877.	New Code (Act X of 1882).
1	27 ..	204
2	28 ..	191
3 ..	1	29 ..	198
4	30 ..	200
5 ..	342, 558	31 ..	537
6 ..	4	32 ..	203
7	33 ..	204
8 ..	7, 18, 19, 20, 25,	34 ..	90, 204
9 ..	18, 20, 21. . .	35 ..	204
10 ..	3	36 ..	90
11 ..	32	37 ..	205
12 ..	33	38 ..	196
13 ..	35	39 ..	197
14 ..	5	40 ..	195
15 ..	64	41 ..	195
16 ..	164	42 ..	195
17 ..	551	43 ..	195
18 ..	177	44 ..	476
19 ..	179	45 ..	199
20 ..	180	46 ..	195, 196, 197
21 ..	182	47 ..	68
22 ..	181	48 ..	69
23 ..	185	49 ..	70
24 ..	531	50 ..	73
25 ..	191	51 ..	74
26	52 ..	93

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

xxiii

Act IV of 1877.	New Code (Act X of 1882).	Act IV of 1877.	New Code (Act X of 1882).
53	90	113	408
54	186	114	241, 370
55	186	115	362
56	75, 77	116	254
57	117	246, 537
58	76	118	247, 259
59	77	119	242
60	79	120	243, 255
61	121	244, 256
62	65	122	254, 255
63	82	123	364
64	83, 84	124	92, 344
65	85, 86	125	248
66	126	245, 258, 370
67	87	127	347
68	88	128	348
69	89	129	495
70	63, 496	130	340
71	497	131	341
72	499	132	352
73	500	133	259, 345
74	496	134	510
75	501	135	90
76	502	136	90
77	514	137	87, 88
78	514	138	89
79	514	139	542
80	513	140	91
81	207	141	485
82	208	142	244
83	208, 353	143	252, 257
84	364	144	94
85	540	145	96
86	344	146	95
87	209	147	104
88	210	148	342
89	210, 213, 220	149	343
90	210	150	337
91	211, 212, 213, 219, 291	151	339
92	216	152	509
93	217	153	510
94	221	154	511
95	222	155	512
96	223	156	350
97	554	157	503
98	225	158	503
99	227	159	96
100	227	160	98
101	228	161	101
102	229	162	102
103	231	163	102
104	230	164	102
105	233	165	103
106	234	166	52
107	235	167	411, 412
108	236	168	417, 427
109	237	169	419
110	238	170	548
111	239	171	420
112	240	172	421

Act IV of 1877.		New Code (Act X of 1882).	Act IV of 1877.		New Code (Act X of 1882).
173	..	422	211	..	109
174	..	423	212	..	109
175	..	426	213	..	110
176	..	428	214	..	107
177	..	537	215	..	112, 113
178	..	537	216	..	90, 114
179	..	423	217	..	116
180	..	404	218	..	119
181	..	526	219	..	118
182	..	441	220	..	123
183	..	383, 390	221	..	112
184	..	384	222	..	123
185	..	386, 387, 388, 389, 538	223	..	120
186	..	535	224	..	124
187	..	391	225	..	126
188	..	392	226	..	121
189	..	394	227	..	514
190	..	393	228	..	514
191	..	395	229	..	511
192	..	396	230	..	107, 109, 110
193	..	397	231	..	111
194	..	464	232	..	522
195	..	469	233	..	488
196	..	466	234	..	489
197	..	467	235	..	490
198	..	468	236	..	558
199	..	470	237	..	184
200	..	471	238	..	184
201	..	473	239	..	432
202	..	472	240	..	433
203	..	474	241	..	250, 563
204	..	475	242	..	517
205	..	480	243	..	517, 523, 524
206	..	246, 482	244	..	514
207	..	484	245	..	44
208	..	106	246	..	42
209	..	106	247	..	
210	..	120			

INDEX OF CASES CITED.

	PAGE		PAGE
ABASU BEGUM v. Umda Khanum	xxxiv 310	Baikantonath Banerjee	198, 447
Abdool	xxxv	Bai Ratan	97, 303
Abdool Azeez	... 32	Bakhowree Singh	... 54
Abdool Guffoor	... 135	Bakhtear Maifaraz	252, 276
Abdool Kadir	... 135	Bakku	... 167
Abdool Kadir	133, 137, 164, 304	Balaji Setaram	... 123
Abdul Ali Ismaelji	... 283	Bala Patel	... 417
Abdul Hasan	... 122	Balaram Chatrakal	... 75
Abdul Hossein	123, 276	Baldeo Sahai	xxxv, 160
Abdulla	... 207	Bali	... 283
Abdur Ruhman v. Sukhina	... 283	Bandeh Ali	... 249
Abheem Parirah	... 164	Banee Madhab Dass	... 163
Abhoo	... 319	Bapji valad Bapia	... 495
Acharjee Lall	... 153	Bapu Daldi	... 114
Adinaj Lall	... 36	Baroda Kant Roy	... 66
Adram	... 156	Baroda Prosad Moostafee v. Gorachand	74, 78
Affazooddeen	... 212	Moostafee	... 22
Ahmed Ali	... 36	Baroda Prosunno Chuckerbutty	... 473
Ali Meeah	xxxviii	Basooram Dass	... 61
Amanoola	... 178	Bebheki Pathak	... 74
Amanut Khan v. Khoda Buksh	... 156	Becharam Ghorooee	... 218
Amarut Sheikh	493, 495	Bedoo Noshyo	... 77
Ambigara Hulagu	... 418	Beepin Bohary Sen	... 207
Ameer Khan	xxxvii, 303	Begu	... 112
Ameer Mahomed	xxxvi	Begum alias Elahee Jan	197, 201
Amrita Govinda	244, 416, 455	Behary Lall Bose	41, 44, 98, 99, 312
Anand Sarup	... 33	Behary Singh	417, 418
Ananji Krishna	... 207	Belat Ali	130, 159, 306
Annapurna Bai	298, 299	Belilios, Mrs.	... 164
Anon	... 252	Beputoolla	... 80
Antonie José	... 246	Bhagut	xxxiii, 493
Anunt Kaiburto	... 308	Bhagvanta Ravji	xxxv
Anunt Bam	xxxvi, 311	Bhagwan Devraj	xxxv
Anunt Ram Singh	... 97	Bhakteram v. Heera Kolita	... 418
Anwar Khan valad Gulkhan	... 31	Bhawan	122, 276
Arjun Megra	... 178	Bhawane Pershad	... 216
Arunachella Reddi	... 303	Bhikaree	... 101
Asanoola	xxxvii, 180	Bhim Manjee	... 47
Asgur Ali	... 196	Bhobaneshwar Dutt	... 70
Asgur Hosein	... 422	Bhoirub Chunder Dutt	... 32
Ashootosh Chuckerbutty	... 418	Bhoirub Seal	... 85
Ashroff Ali	xxxv, 126, 276	Bholanath Ghose	28, 119, 254, 310, 324
Assan Shureef	... 32	Bholanath Sen	xxxviii
Attorney General N. S. Wales v. Bertram	254, 310	Bhooban Mohun Dey	169, 309
Aurokiam	... 257	Bhootnath Dey	... 187
Azeemoolla	... 278	Bhowani bin Panduji	... 810
Azim Moolla	... 86	Bhugwan	... 177
Azimun	... 284	Bhukan Rajwan	218
		Bhup Singh	80
		Bhyro Dyal Singh	32
		Bichuk Aheer	44
Baban Khan valad Mhaskoji	... 146	Biddumukee Debee	83
Baboo Futteh Bahadoor	xxxiv	Bijoy Nath Chatterjee	...
Baboo Lall v. Lt. Horford	... 42	Bindabun Shaha	...
Bag Singh	... 416	Biproo Dass	...
Bahadur Singh	... 283	Biresuree Pershad	... 159
Baijoo Chowdhry	... 417	Bisheswar Shaha	... 819
Baijoo Lall	122, 123, 275	Bishonath Mundul	xxxviii, 254
Baikant Kumar	... 88	Bishonath Pal	...

	PAGE		PAGE
Empress v. Denonath Roy	... 321	Gour Churn Dass	... 320
" Dosaji Gholam Hossin	... 422	Gour Mohun Majee	... 84
" Dhan Kishen Dass	... 321	Gour Mohun Singh	123, 276
" Gangadhar Bhunjo	... 325	Gour Surma Dass	... 195
" Gayadin	244, 248	Govind Bapli Baul	147, 417
" Isonaela	... 114	Gobind Chunder Ghose	... 123
" Juddonath Gangooly	... 244	Gobind Chunder Moto	... 85
" Kudder Khan	... 308	Govt. Bengal v. Gocool Chunder Chowdhry	xxxv, 249, 278
" Maguire	111, 321	Govt. Bengal v. Hera Lall Dass	... 324
" Mun. Corpn. Cal.	... 125	Govt. Bengal, in the matter of the Petition of	... 220
" Najam	... 178	Govt. Bombay v. Ramajirav Jivrajirav	... 151
" Nobogopal Bose	... 303	Govt. Bombay v. Shidapa	... 239
" Pancham	... 415	Gowdapa bin Venkugowda	155, 158
" Ramchunder Sideswar	... 155	Goyalao	... 311
" Tookaram Rauji	... 219	Groedharee Manjee	... 176
" Vyapodri Moodelliar	... 409	Greene v. DeLannay	... 256
" Yakub Khan	... 97	Grish Chunder Ghose	... 306
Eshan Chunder Dey	145, 207	Grish Chunder Talookdar	179, 312, 448, 454
Etwaree Dwaree	... 296	Guju Lall v. Futteh Lall	408, 425
		Gul Mahomed	... 123
F.		Gunesh Sircar	... 207
Fanchanada Tambiran	... 278	Gunowree Bhooya	... 32
Faridunnissa	... 292	Gunraj	... 417
Fata Adoji	... 419	Gurbad	... 215
Fateh	... 252	Gurdu	240, 310
Fenwick, H. B.	xxxv	Gur Dyal	xxxv
Forster v. Green	... 290	Gurningapa	... 156
Foy, in re	... 263	Gyan Chunder Roy	123, 130, 276
Futtuchand Vastichand	... 179		
G.		H.	
Gangadhar Sircar	... 286	Haibat	... 152
Ganjoo Singh	... 158	Haran Chunder Roy	xxxiv
Ganni	... 65	Hari Ghanu	... 187
Ganesh Sundari Debi	... 285	Hari Mohun Mala	... 80
Ganowree	... 218	Hariram Birbhan	... 297
Ganu bin Dharoji	... 447	Harmanta Madhaji Khadki	148, 149, 196, 423, 443
Geerjamonee	... 85	Harvey v. Brice	... 84
Gendoo Khan	... 60	Hastings	... 49
Gholam Durbesh	... 81	Heera Poonja	... 269
Gholam Ismail	... 264	Hem Chunder Pal	... 323
Gholam Mohaddeen	... 283	Het Lall Roy	... 325
Giridharee Mundul	123, 276	Hira Jiva	... 18
Godai Raout	... 220	Hiralall Dass	... 119
Gogon Chunder Bose	244, 253, 455	Hirapannoo Dassee	... 310
Gokul Bandari	... 138	Hiramun Ayah	114, 307
Gokul Kahar	... 188	Hiran Mya	... 413
Golab Roy	... 150	Hochan v. Elliot	... 75
Golap Dhanook	159, 171	Hood's case	... 49
Goluck Chunder	... 52	Hosein Buksh	131, 152, 200, 201
Gonda	... 232	Hosein Ali	... 32
Gonesh Koormee	... 216	Hurdewa	178, 199
Goodman v. Harvey	... 299	Hurdut Surma	... 102
Goomanee	... 249	Huronath Rai	... 137
Goolzar Khan	... 32	Huro Manjee	... 187
Gopal	... 157	Hurree Bandha Santra	... 298
Gopal Bhareewalla	... 245	Hurree Dutta	... 156
Gopal Dass	... 445	Hurree Narain Mookerjee	... 188
Gopal Hajjam	... 180	Hurreshur Majoomdar	... 430
Gopal Majoomdar	... 123	Hurribole Chunder Ghose	96, 344, 258, 413, 456
Gopennath Shaha	... 306	Hurri Perahad Gangooly	... 186
Gopi Mohun Moulick v. Taramoni Chowdhraim	... 80		
Gour Chunder Dey	... 219		

	PAGE		PAGE
Biahoo Barik	122, 276	Chunya bin Shevaya	... 118
Biahoo Manjee	95, 412, 414	Chutterdharee Singh	... 181
Bishto Chunder Chuckerbutty	74, 75	Chyton Bowra	... 31
Biahumbar Doss	... 295	Clerk v. Beane	... 283
Bisasonath Sircar	... 54	Codd v. Cabe	37, 50
Biahoranjun Mookerjee	... 419	Cole v. Manning	... 282
Biyogee Bhagut	128, 276	Collector of Salem	... 299
B. Krishna Rau	... 125	Corbyn	... 156
Bocha Chowkeedar	... 296	Corporation of Calcutta v. Beekun Ram	... 303
Boidonath Doss	... 164	Napit	...
Bolaki Lall	... 473		
Bonomalee Sahai	... 89		
Bodhoo Jolaha	xxxviii	D.	
Bowka Hari	... 200	Daji Narsu	... 418
Brajo Kanto Roy Chowdhry	xxxiv	Dalapati Rao	... 31
Brijendro Lall	... 79	Dallukram Haribhai	77, 78
Brindabun Chunder Dutt	... 77	Damoodur Biddiadhur Mahapatro	... 83
Brindabun Dutt	... 76	Danee	... 64
Bridhu Nanku	418, 441, 447	Darba	... 29
Budh Singh	... 160	Dasoo Manjee	... 101
Budrool Hoesin	... 41	Danlatia	... 30
Engleh Ali	... 104	David	... 256
Bullee Singh	xxxvi	Daya Anand	... 216
Bunwaree Misser	85, 86	Dedar Buksh	65, 67, 68
Burdwan, Maharajah of	... 87	Deela Mahtoon	... 161
Burkutoolla Khan	... 123	Deeki Nandan Lall	... 325
Bashmo Aurut	... 189	Deva Doyal	... 309
Byha wullud Soorjim	210, 211	Dewan Elahee Newaz Khan v. Suburun-	
Bykunto Nath Banerjee	197, 198, 447	nissa	88, 84
		Dham Mundul	... 177
C.		Dharma Dass Banerjee	218, 266, 257
Calder v. Halkett	263, 309	Dhonda Ramchandra	... 159
Chakradar Potti	123, 276	Dhunnoo Kazee	179, 186, 286
Chaku	... 284	Dhurmo Dass Ojha	95, 412, 414
Chamaro Malo	... 62	Diaz	... 201
Chamroo Roy	... 54	Din Mahomed	xxxviii
Chand Khan	xxxvi, 137, 152	Dino Bundhoo Roy	xxxiv
Chand Nur	... 152	Dino Nath Chuckerbutty	xxxiv
Chanda Chandulinee	441, 446	Dinonath Gangooly	... 473
Changra valad Sharma	... 493	Dobree Halwarce	... 200
Chapper Menon	... 278	Dodbasaya	... 150
Charoo Chundur Mullick	xxxiii	Domun Kahar	... 95
Chatterput Singh	... 85	Doorga Churn Shome	xxxviii
Cheddu	... 444	Doorga Dass Bhuttacharjee	... 192
Chedee Koonjra	xxxvi	Doorjodhun Shamunto	... 269
Cheynt Ram	171, 272	Dowlut Singh	160, 167
Cheynt Singh	... 67	D'Silva, Gasper	... 281
Chinna Vedagiri Chetti	276, 277	Dula Fakir	... 207
Chinnseebash Ghose	... 201	Dulalee Bewa	... 180
Chinnimarigada	... 207	Dunne	xxxiv
Chintamani Bapoohee v. Digambar Mitter	74	Durzoolla	32, 137, 152
Choda Atchama	... 415	Dwarka Manjee	... 250
Chokoo Khan	... 177	Dwarkanath Banerjee	... 304
Choolai Telee	128, 276	Dwarkanath Dutt	... 239
Chota Harree Paramanik Tantee	... 278	Dwarkanath Mojoomdar	... 164
Choto Shadoo Peada	... 276		
Chunder Bhon Singh	... 54	E.	
Chunder Nath Deb	... 250	Eadaca Viramia	... 123
Chunder Nath Sen	74, 76, 80, 87	Elahee Buksh	197, 446
Chunder Nath Sikdar	... 418	Elahee Buksh	247, 255, 258
Chunder Madhub Ghose v. Joggesh Chunder Sen	... 83	Empress v. Bal Gangadhar Tilak	... 292
Chunder Seekor Sookul	... 164	" Berrill	... 263
Chunder Seekor Thakoor	... 163	" Blechynden	... 418
		" Counsell	... 291
		" Debee Pershad	292, 418

INDEX OF CASES CITED.

xxvii

	PAGE		PAGE
Empress v. Denonath Roy ...	321	Gour Churn Dass ...	320
" Dosaji Gholam Hossin ...	422	Gour Mohun Majee ...	84
" Dhan Kishen Dass ...	291	Gour Mohun Singh ...	123, 276
" Gangadhar Bhunjo ...	325	Gour Surma Dass ...	195
" Gayadin 244, 248		Govind Bapli Raul ...	147, 417
" Isonaela ...	114	Gobind Chunder Ghose ...	123
" Juddonath Gangooly ...	244	Gobind Chunder Moto ...	85
" Kudder Khan ...	308	Govt. Bengal v. Gooool Chunder Chow-	
" Maguire 111, 321		dhry xxxv, 249, 278	
" Mun. Corpn. Cal. ...	125	Govt. Bengal v. Hera Lall Dass ...	324
" Najam ...	178	Govt. Bengal, in the matter of the Peti-	
" Nobogopal Bose ...	303	tion of ...	220
" Pancham ...	415	Govt. Bombay v. Ramajirav Jivrajirav ...	151
" Ramchunder Sideshwar ...	155	Govt. Bombay v. Shidapa ...	239
" Tookaram Rauji ...	219	Gowdapa bin Venkugowda ...	135, 158
" Vyapoóri Moodelliar ...	409	Goyalao ...	311
" Yakub Khan ...	97	Greedharee Manjee ...	176
Eshan Chunder Dey 145, 207		Greene v. DeLannay ...	256
Etwaree Dwaree ...	296	Grish Chunder Ghose ...	306
		Grish Chunder Talookdar 179, 312, 448, 454	
F.		Gujju Lall v. Futteh Lall ...	408, 425
Fanchanada Tambiran ...	278	Gul Mahomed ...	122
Faridunnissa ...	292	Gunnesh Sircar ...	207
Fata Adoji ...	419	Gunowree Bhoooya ...	32
Fateh ...	252	Gunraj ...	417
Fenwick, H. B. xxxv		Gurbad ...	215
Forster v. Green ...	299	Gurdu ...	240, 310
Foy, in re ...	263	Gur Dyal ...	xxxv
Futtuohand Vastichand ...	179	Gurningapa ...	156
		Gyan Chunder Roy ...	123, 130, 276
G.		H.	
Gangadhar Sircar ...	286	Haibat ...	152
Ganjoo Singh ...	153	Haran Chunder Roy ...	xxxiv
Ganni ...	65	Hari Ghanu ...	187
Ganesh Sundari Debi ...	285	Hari Mohun Mala ...	80
Ganowree ...	218	Hariram Birbhan ...	297
Ganu bin Dharoji ...	447	Harmanta Madhaji Khadki 148, 149, 196, 422, 443	
Geerjamonee ...	85	Harvey v. Brice ...	84
Gendoo Khan ...	60	Hastings ...	49
Gholam Durbesh ...	81	Heera Poonja ...	269
Gholam Ismail ...	264	Hem Chunder Pal ...	323
Gholam Mohaddeen ...	283	Het Lall Roy ...	325
Giridharee Mundul 123, 276		Hira Jiva ...	18
Godai Raout ...	220	Hiralall Dass ...	119
Gogon Chunder Bose 244, 253, 455		Hirapanne Dassee ...	310
Gokul Bandari ...	138	Hiramun Ayah ...	114, 307
Gokul Kahar ...	188	Hiran Mya ...	413
Golab Roy ...	159	Hochan v. Elliot ...	75
Golap Dhanook 159, 171		Hood's case ...	40
Goluck Chunder ...	52	Hosein Bukah ...	131, 152, 200, 201
Gonda ...	282	Hosein Ali ...	32
Gonesh Koormee ...	216	Hurdewa ...	178, 199
Goodman v. Harvey ...	299	Hurdut Surma ...	192
Goomanee ...	249	Huronath Rai ...	137
Goolsar Khan ...	32	Huro Manjee ...	137
Gopal ...	157	Hurree Bandha Santra ...	298
Gopal Bhareewalla ...	245	Hurree Dutta ...	156
Gopal Dass ...	445	Hurree Narain Mookerjee ...	133
Gopal Hajjam ...	190	Hurreshur Majoomdar ...	499
Gopal Majoomdar ...	123	Horribole Chunder Ghose 96, 244, 256, 413, 456	
Gopemath Shaha ...	206	Hurri Perahad Gangooly ...	166
Gopi Mohun Moulick v. Taramoni Chow-			
dhraïn ...	80		
Gour Chunder Day ...	219		

	PAGE		PAGE
Hurriah Pal	...	Kali Prosunno Bagchee	123, 275
Hurro Chunder Pal	92, 324, 443	Kali Sunkar Sandyal	...
Hurro Shahu	...	Kalla	...
Hurro Sundaree Chowdhra'n	...	Kalla	xxxvi
Hurruck Narain Singh v. Luchmi Bara Boy	84, 85	Kallun	...
Hursookh	...	Kala Patil	...
	I.	Kalubhai Meghathu	...
Imam valad Babun	...	Kalimdas Dass	...
Imandi Khan	xxxiv	Kalya bin Fakir	...
Indrobee Shaha	44, 99	Kamila gadu	...
Ishan Dutt	180, 181	Kanai Sheikh	...
Issur Chunder Jogee	...	Kanoo Soudagor	...
Issur Chunder Mundul	...	Kanta Prashad	...
Issur Chunder Nath	...	Karan Singh	...
Issur Raout	...	Karim Buksh	102, 443
	J.	Karimdad	123, 276
Jabdar Kazi	xxxiii, 150	Karim Khan	...
Jaddo Mussulmani	...	Karoo	197, 447
Jadubar Mookerjee	...	Karri Papayamma	...
Jadunath Hazra	...	Karsandal Danatram	...
Jaffir Ali, 19 W. R., 57 ... 198, 222, 416, 441,	447	Kasim Sheikh	...
Jaffir Ali, 20 W. R., 70	...	Kasheenath Banerjee v. Kangalee Molla	...
Jaffir Ali, Cal. H. Ct. Feb. 27, 1877	...	Kashi Chunder Dass	...
Jaffir M. Talab	...	Kashi Chunder Chuckerbutty	xxxiv
Jaganath	...	Kartie Kishore Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahoor	84
Jagubundhu Mytee	...	Kassam Pirbhai	...
Jai Kishen Gridhar	493, 495	Kasnee Roy	...
Jamal valad Nanabhai	...	Kassee Singh	...
Jamaheer Sirdar	...	Kesavaiya	...
Jankeenath Bhattacharjee	...	Keshub Lakshman	...
Jan Mahomed	...	Keshub Bhoonia	...
Janoki Nath Gupta	...	Khamir	...
Jetoo	...	Khan Mahomed	...
Jhapee	...	Khanderav Baijirav	...
Jhabboo Mahaton	294, 450, 451	Khatija Bibi	...
Jhuree	...	Khelat Chunder Ghose v. Tarachand	...
Joga Pershad	...	Koondoo	...
Jogun Polloi	...	Kheraj Mullah	167, 249
Joheri Singh	...	Khetter Mohun Chowrunghee	...
Jora Hasji	...	Khoodceram	...
Joy Gobind Roy	...	Khurruckdharee Singh	...
Joy Hurree Kor	...	Khyardee Mundul	...
Joy Kissen Mookerjee	...	Kinoo Soodagor	...
Joy Krishto Goosamee	...	Kishoree Mohun Roy	...
Joy Mohun Chunder	...	Kodai Kuhar	100, 136
Joyaddee Paramanik	178, 198, 199	Koikot Noshyo	63, 66
Juggun Lall	...	Kolashun Beebee	...
Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty	67, 257	Komoruddee Sikdar	120, 308
Juswunt Singh	...	Kookur Singh	67, 68
	K.	Koonj Behari Chowdhry	...
Kadar Buksh	...	Koorji Leith	xxxvii
Kadar Khan	xxxiii, xxxiv	Kopal Kotraiya	...
Kalachand, 7 W. R., 60	...	Korapullu	154, 155
Kalachand, 11 W. R., 21	...	Krishna Mohun Bysack	...
Kalachand Dass	63, 447	Krishno Monee	...
Kala Karsan	...	Krishtendro Roy	...
Kali Chunder Shaha	...	Krishto Chunder Ghose	...
Kali Churn Chumari	94, 450	Krishto Dhoba	134, 198, 201
Kali Churn Gangooly	...	Krishto Mundul	...
Kali Kant Roy Chowdhry	...	Krishtoram Mohara	...
Kali Kristo Thakoor	...	Krishto Soonder Deb	...
		Kulum Mundul	...
		Kunhussa	...
		Kusa Valad Lukshmon	...

	PAGE		PAGE
L.			
Lakhan Santal	... 422	Moresh Biswas	198, 418, 447
Lakhsman Sakharun	xxxv	Moresh Chandra Banerjee	203, 290, 804
Lakhya Govind	... 162	Mahesh Mistree	185, 188, 447
Laksmon Bala	... 418	Moresh Mundul	... 819
Lalji Ukheda v. Jowla Dowba	... 78	Moresh Roy	... 297
Lall Mahomed	... 160	Mohim Chunder Doss	... 442
Lalla Baneswer Sahai	... 156	Mohun Baufloor	xxxviii
Lalla Chowbay	... 212	Mohun Lall	... 413
Lalla Gopeenath v. Musst. Jestun Koer	... 282	Mohunt Dhunraj Giri Ghosami	... 85
Lallubhai Jussabhai	... 132	Mohur Mundir	... 76
Lalla Karwar	... 226	Mojan alias Nanee Khan	... 422
Leelanund Singh	... 86	Moni Mohun Dey	xxxv
Lopotu Domnee	... 283	Mookta Singh	181, 324, 443
Love	... 320	Mookun	xxxvii
Luchmee Prashad	... 447	Moorut Lall	... 319
Luchman Pet	xxxvi	Mootkee Kora	... 31
Luchman Singh	... 138	Motee Lall Ghose	... 292
Luddun Sahiba	... 282	Mothoor Chunder Dass	... 75
Lukhinarain Agoori	... 152	Moti Khowa	... 277
		Moti Lall Sahoo v. Moti Lall Roy	... 74
M.		Mowjan alias Nanee Khan	... 421
Madad Ali Khan	... 180	Muddoosoodun Chuckerbutty	... 86
Madho Rao Chinta Punt Golay	... 450	Muddoosoodun Ghose	... 156
Madhub Chunder Giri Mohunt	... 249	Muddoosoodun Shaha	... 84
Magan Lall	... 116	Mukhan Kumar	186, 188
Mahabeer Singh	... 211	Mulija Nana	243, 249
Maharaj Misser	xxxvi	Mulka	... 283
Mahomed Akil v. Asadunnissa Beebee	... 310	Mulu	xxxvii, 422
Mahomed Ali	... 495	Mungroo	... 150
Mahomed Alum	xxxvi	Mun. Comrs. Jub. Cal.	... 74
Mahomed Eshak	... 33	Muniyappa Maistri	... 255
Mahomed Homayoon Shah	184, 218	Murari	... 148
Mahomed Hosein	... 122	Muse Ali Adam	... 155
Mahomed Jafir	... 61	Mussahir Doood	... 81
Mahomed Saib	... 29	Musst. Anandee Koer v. Ranees Soonaet	84, 85
Mahomed Yashin	... 246	Koer	... 239
Mahtab Bibi	... 283	Musst. Itwarya	... 283
Mahuddi	152, 185	Musst. Jamottee	... 189
Makalingaiyan	... 125	Musst. Jema	... 283
Malan	... 116	Musst. Jesmut	... 112
Malapa bin Kapana	198, 447	Musst. Jowahir	... 283
Malcolm v. Gasper	... 303	Musst. Larlee	... 189
Malka	xxxv	Musst. Mina Naggerbhatin	... 283
Maltby	... 271	Musst. Munglo	... 216
Man	... 233	Musst. Nirani	... 283
Manik	... 152	Musst. Soomree	... 81
Manikram	... 133	Muthealu Chetty	... 156
Manikram Mudali	203, 289	Muthoor Ghose	... 239
Manta pampala Padigadu	... 294	Muthoor Prashad Panday	... 275
Manu Mya	... 148	Mutti Lall Ghose	210, 211
Mary Donelly	183, 158, 255, 443	Mutti Nushyo	... 78
Mathoor Ghose	... 156	Mutti Ram Sahoo v. Mohi Lall Roy	...
Mathoor Ramchunder	... 125	●	N.
Mathoora Nath Chuckerbutty	... 203	Naga	418, 441, 446
Meahjan Sheikh	... 185	Napa bin Basapa	... 62
Meelan Khalifa	... 32	Narayan Babaji	... 41
Meer Dhunnoo	... 88	Narayana	... 29
Mehtarji Gopalji	... 220	Narayana Krishna	... 39
Meiselback	... 283	Narayana Sami Ayyar	... 125
Michell, Capt.	... 299	Narayan Pendshe	180, 286
Misser Sheikh	... 176	Narayan Soobadhee	... 63
Moha Singh	... 186	Narayan Sooboodee	... 65
Mohan Lall	... 122	Narayan Sundar	312, 443
		Natha Mulu	... 29

	PAGE		PAGE
Mafhu Manickchand	... 84	Ponnu Sami Ohetty	xxxv, 208, 289
Mawab	... 63	Pooroo Chunder Pal	... 267
Mazam	... 442	Prithiram Chowdhry	... 85
Meenut Ali	... 425	Prosunno Coomar Moitro	... 188
Neel Kanto Pundit	... 431	Prosunno Chunder Ghosami	xxxiv
Nelli kal Edatthil Itti Pungy Achen	... 62	Prossanno Coomar Chatterjea	xxxv
Nepoor Aurut	... 283	Prosunno Dey	... 277
Niamutoolla	... 180	Protab Chunder Mookerjee	246, 249
Niaz Ali	... 311	Pryag Dutt	xxxviii
Nibarun Chunder Dass	... 255	Pryag Singh	xxxiv, 85
Nidheeram Bagdee	... 447	Pulisanki Reddi	... 152
Nilambar Baboo	256, 257, 298	Punjab Singh	... 166
Nilkantappa Malkapa	... 74	Purankusam Narasaya Pantulu	44, 99, 264
Nimchand Mookerjee	... 183	Puran Anando	xxxvi
Nobin Chunder Bannerjea	... 272	Puran Mal	... 219
Nobin Chunder Dutt	... 311	Pursooram Burrooah	... 33
Nobocoomar Banerjea	... 305	Pursooram	... 273
Nobodeep Chunder Ghosamee	95, 412	Pyria Lall	... 422
Nodar Chand Kamte	... 200		
Nomal	276, 277	Queen v. Dukaram	... 249
Noor Bux Kazi	312, 454	" Goojree Panday	... 253
Noshai Mistree	... 308	" Handsley	... 325
Noujan	... 150	" Hardewa	... 178
Nowab Jan	198, 447	" Heenan	... 261
Nozumuddy	... 76	" Hicks	... 413
Nuddi Chund Poddar	... 325	" Jackson	xxxv
Nujjam Ali	... 276	" Lee	... 325
Number v. Amba	... 157	" Macdonald	406, 413
Nur Mahomed	... 416	" Macgregor	... 261
Nussur-oddeen	... 177	" Mahomed Hosein	... 122
Nuzee Nushyo	... 493	" Manchester, Sheffield and Lin-	
Nuzeer Ali	... 32	colnshire Ry. Co.	... 386
		" Meyer	... 325
O.		" Navroji	... 455
Obhoya Chowdhry	... 62	" Rand	... 325
Okhoor Ghose	... 185	" Upendronath Dass	... 303
Okhoy Kumar	... 214	" Waringham	... 412
Omed Ali	... 439	" On the prosecution of F. D., Pal-	
Omesh Chunder Bose	... 53	mer v. The Justices of Gt.	
Ooday Chand Mookhopadhya	... 252	Yarmouth	... 325
Oudh Behari Narain Singh	... 181	Quiros	... 263
P.		R.	
Padmanabh Pai	xxxv	Radhanath Majoomdar	... 276
Pagaree Shaha	... 414	Radhoo Singh	... 473
Paishram Keshav	... 126	Ragha Naranji	... 207
Pai Does	... 74	Raghoji bin Kavoji	... 311
Palavassam	441, 442, 447	Raghu Patirah	... 270
Pandarinath	... 413	Raghubar	... 60
Pandu bin Vithoji	... 278	Raghuni Singh	93, 294
Parbhudas Ambaram	... 407	Rai Luchmeeput Singh	... 80
Parbuty Churn Bose	68, 297	Rai Mohun Rai v. Wise	... 85
Parasada Nynar	... 298	Rajcoomar Bose	... 183
Partab	xxxviii	Rajcoomar Singh, 1 Cal. L. R., 352..	256, 257
Parvati	... 179	Rajcoomar Singh, 2 Cal. L. R., 62	... 138
Pedda Siva Reddi	... 67	Rajkrishna Mitter	... 213
Phoolman Tewaree	... 29	Rajnarain Mytee	xxxvii
Pitambar Dhoobee	... 199	Raja Koomed Narain Bhoop v. Mohim	
Pitambar Jina	244, 253, 414	Chunder	... 84
Pitambar Jogee	74, 77	Raja Run Bahadoor Singh	... 61
Pitambar Singh	... 426	Raja Satyanund Ghosal	... 76
Pitti Singh	... 73	Raja of Venkataghiri	... 123
Pochu Chang	... 32	Rajoni Kant Bhoomich	xxxiv
Poholes Mullick	... 77	Ram Chand	... 418
Pollard	... 279	Ram Chunder Chatterjee	... 164

	PAGE		PAGE
Ram Chunder Eknath	... 81	Rooke v. Pearee Lall Coal Co.	74, 78
Ram Chunder Geer Ghosain	... 81	Roopa Goalla	... 171
Ram Chunder Laha	... 68	Roopchand Parooee	... 80
Ram Churn Chamar	... 135	Roopnarain Dutt	... 311
Ram Churn Ghose	... 187	Roshan Dosadh	... 427
Ram Churn Kairee	... 31	Roy Omesh Chunder Sen	74, 76, 87
Rameshur Rai	xxxiii	Rubbicollah	... 31
Ram Dutt Chowdhry	xxxvii, 420	Rughooa	... 270
Ram Golam Singh	xxxv	Ruknuddin	xxxvii
Ram Gopal Dhur	... 311	Rungatoonee	... 277
Ramjirav v. Jivrajirav	... 249	Runjeet Sonthal	... 177
Ram Kishen Acharjee Chowdhry	62, 65	Rupan Rai	xxxvi, 156
Ram Kishen Halwai	... 160	Russick Lall Mullick	122, 276
Ram Lall	xxxviii	Rutton Dass	... 179
Ram Manickhyo Chuckerbutty	... 149		
Ram Proshad Hajaree	... 123	S.	
Ram Ranjan Bhandaree	xxxv, 276	SABHA AITALA	... 240
Ram Ruttun Dass	... 269	Sadhu Mundul	184, 197, 418, 441, 446
Ram Soonder Debee	... 86	Safatocollah	... 275
Ram Sodoy Chuckerbutty	... 222	Sagumbur	296, 308
Ram Tahal Singh	205, 206	Sahae Rai	... 187
Rama Bhivgowda	... 30	Sahoo	... 264
Rama Birapa	... 415	Sahrae	... 32
Rama Sami Padayachi	197, 442, 447	Sakharam Makundji	... 454
Raminidhi Nayar	... 86	Salamut Ali	... 152
Rami Reddi	219, 239, 249, 422	Salik Roy	123, 276
Ranee Anundomoyee Dabee	... 84	Samirudden	204, 422
Raneegunj Coal Assn. Ltd. v. Heeralall Ghosamee	... 85	Sangapa bin Bashipa	... 398
Rasookocollah	xxxviii	Sangapa Sankrapa	... 155
Ravji Gangaram	... 236	Sangili Vira Pandia Chinniatambrar	... 123
Reardon, William John	... 311	Sardharee Lall	... 278
R. v. Adivigadu	... 112	Sarkya valad Dhaku	... 494
" Amritee Govinda	... 465	Sarya bin Krishna Mandallar	... 494
" Beriman	95, 412	Satku valad Kadir Sansaie v. Ibrahim	
" Chatur Purshotam	... 447	Aga Mirza Aga	... 74
" Cheverton	95, 412	Satnarain Singh	... 161
" Froot	... 125	Sattya Nanda Appa	... 172
" Hicks	... 413	Savanta	xxxv
" Kashinath Dinkar	... 286	Sawan	... 299
" Jackson	... 321	Seaman v. Netherclift	... 443
" Lakhya Govind	... 112	Seebpershad Chuckerbutty	xxxv
" Macdonald	... 414	Seeni Nyno Mohiddee	... 80
" Macnaughten	... 272	Seetanath Roy	... 84
" Malony	... 114	Sestaram Sahoo	... 86
" Mehta Singh	... 119	Sergeant v. Dale	... 323
" Mick	95, 412	Sewa Bhogta	... 400
" Mookhta Singh	... 324	Sewarut Tewari	... 85
" Nathalal Pitambar	303, 304, 312	Shadulla Howladar	xxxvii
" Navroji Dadabhai	95, 253	Shahabuddeen	... 80
" Pettitt	95, 412	Shakhawat Sheikh	... 185
" Pirthai	... 113	Sham Kishore Haldar	xxxvii, 180
" Rakhana	... 310	Shama Bagdee	... 187
" Stubbs	... 447	Shama Kant Bandopadhya	... 76
" Thursfield	... 286	Shama Sunkar Biswas	... 201
" Vithaladar Pranjivandar	... 173	Shama Sunkar Maya	... 83
" Warningham	... 95	Shankar Arajai Hoshing	... 303
Reza Hosein	... 216	Shanto Teorni	... 980
Rhidoy Nath Biswas	... 54	Sheeb Perahad Pandah	... 321
Riddell	... 254	Sheikh Boodhoo	... 176
Rochia Mabato	183, 422	Sheikh Dadabhai	... 245
Rogunath Dass v. Chuckerbutty Rao	... 311	Sheikh Erod Ali	... 180
Rohoman Sirkar	... 471	Sheikh Gholam Abid v. Tulsiram Bera	... 54
Roman Adikaree	... 270	Sheikh Himayat	... 64
		Sheikh Khyamat	... 312

S. 112. It has been held under the Code of 1872 that the omission to insert on the summons the amount of the recognizance or security required will not invalidate any subsequent proceedings, the terms of S. 492 of that Code in this respect being directory not imperative.—*Abasa Begum v. Umda Khanum* and another, I. L. R., 8 Cal. 724. S. 537 of the Code of 1882 provides for this by declaring that an error, omission or irregularity in the summons shall not vitiate an order in the matter unless it has occasioned a failure of justice.

S. 117. A report of a Police officer may be credible information upon which a Magistrate may take proceedings to bind over certain persons to keep the peace, but he must in the presence of those persons inquire into the truth of the information so derived, that is to say, he must adjudicate upon evidence regularly received and the burden of proof lies on the party at whose instance these proceedings were taken.—*Dunne*, 12 W. R., 60. FULL BENCH. Evidence taken in another case is not admissible under S. 33 of the Evidence Act. The witnesses must be examined in the particular case—*Prosunno Chunder Ghosami*, 22 W. R., 36; *Baboo Futteh Bahadoor*, 22 W. R., 74; especially if in that case the persons were acquitted because those witnesses failed to prove the commission of distinct criminal acts.—*Dino Bundhoo Roy*, 24 W. R., 4. Evidence given in a trial of Dacoity is not admissible in proceedings subsequently taken to obtain security for good behaviour from the person then under trial, but the admission of such evidence does not necessarily prejudice the prisoner. A witness who speaks of acts amounting to breaches of the law which he committed with the prisoner is not himself open to the same charge of being a person of bad livelihood as the prisoner. By deposing to these acts he does nothing to shift any blame from his own shoulders or to do anything tending to lead to an alleviation of any punishment to which he is liable. There is no rule of law to prevent this evidence being taken in this case and acted upon, even if there be no other independent testimony to corroborate it—*Rajoni Kant Bhoomich*, 13 W. R., 24.

S. 118. When upon an affidavit which is uncontradicted the High Court was satisfied that the recognizance and sureties required were beyond the means of the party bound over, the amount was reduced.—*Baboo Futteh Bahadoor*, 22 W. R., 74.

S. 121. The terms of a bond to keep the peace cannot be enforced on conviction of any offence but only on conviction of an offence connected with a breach of the peace. Thus a conviction of theft will not justify a forfeiture of such a bond—*Haran Chunder Roy*, 18 W. R., 63; nor a conviction of wrongful confinement and extortion—*Zearuddin Howladar*, 19 W. R., 48; but it is otherwise if the bond is to be of good behaviour.

S. 133. If the order under S. 133 does not specify some time and place for the person to whom it is directed to appear and move to have it set aside or modified, it is illegal.—*Brajo Kanto Roy Chowdhry*, I. L. R., 9 Cal. 637.

A Magistrate cannot proceed under S. 133 unless one of the special conditions set out in that section exist. He cannot act merely for the protection of property. Merely because a bund diminishes the supply of water to land lying at a low level is insufficient ground for such an order.—*Frayag Singh*, I. L. R., 9 Cal. 103.

The fact of a Magistrate taking action under S. 133 is *prima facie* sufficient to show that he considers that the place from which he orders an obstruction to be removed is a public thoroughfare or place. If no such objection is raised before him and the jury appointed proves that the order is reasonable and proper, the High Court will not interfere.—In the matter of *Imandi Khan*, 8 Cal. L. R., 399.

S. 138. When the Magistrate appointed only the Foreman of the Jury and allowed each of the contending parties to appoint two members, it was held that the Jury was not properly constituted.—*Dino Nath Chuckerbutty*, 16 W. R., 23.

S. 139. This section does not enable a Magistrate to pass any orders except those specified in S. 138. He cannot forbid any future obstruction to a thoroughfare.—*Kaashi Chunder Chuckerbutty*

S. 144. A Magistrate cannot by an order under S. 144 in effect exclude a person from the exercise of any of the rights which he claims under a purchase in an execution-sale in the Civil Court and without any inquiry as to what the nature of those rights is. He should rather take measures to prevent a breach of the peace and leave the parties to determine their respective rights in the Civil Court.—*Surja Kant Acharjea*, 17 W. R., 37. Nor can a Magistrate order that neither of the disputing parties shall collect rents from the ryots until such time as their respective rights shall have been determined in the Civil Court.—*Prosuno Coomar Chatterjea*, 8 Cal. L. R., 231.

The object of S. 44 is to enable a Magistrate, in a case of emergency to make an immediate order for the purpose of preventing an imminent breach of the peace &c., but it is not intended to relieve him of the duty of making a proper inquiry into the circumstances which make it likely that such breach of the peace &c. will occur. It is therefore incumbent on him to limit the operation of his order to such reasonable time as may be necessary to enable him to hold a full and sufficient inquiry, if necessary, to deal with the case under the other provisions of the Code which enable him to meet cases of probable breach of the peace &c. An order made under S. 144 is not bad, simply because it interferes with the legal rights of individuals; but when such interference is necessary, it is the duty of the Magistrate to limit it as much as possible; and for this purpose he should afterwards hold an inquiry into the circumstances, and determine whether as a matter of fact, the act prohibited or likely to lead to a breach of the peace &c. is within or in excess of the legal right of the persons forbidden to do it. If it is found that a man is doing that which he is legally entitled to do, and that his neighbour chooses to take offence thereat, and create a disturbance in consequence, it is clear that it is the duty of the Magistrate not to continue to deprive the first of the exercise of this legal right, but to restrain the second from illegally interfering with that exercise of legal rights.—In the matter of *Abdool*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal. 132.

See *Ponnusami v. The Queen*, 1. L. R., 6 Mad. 203 for an exposition of the law regarding the power of Magistrates to regulate religious processions.

S. 147. When land has been acquired by Government under the Land Acquisition Act for public purposes, the title of Government becomes absolute; the public have no right of way over it.—In the matter of *H. B. Fenwick*, 14 W. R., 72.

S. 164. This section enables a Magistrate to record the statement of a person as a witness as well as the confession of one accused of an offence.—*Malka*, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb. 643.

S. 177. To the note under S. 177, add *The Queen v. W. Jackson*, 13 B. L. R., 474.

S. 195. Where a person is charged under S. 211, Penal Code, in consequence of his having given false information or made a false charge to the Police, no sanction is necessary for his prosecution, no offence so committed by him having been committed in or in relation to any proceeding in any Court.—*Bhakteram v. Heera Kolita*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal. 184; *Government of Bengal v. Gokool Chunder Chowdhry*, 24 W. R., 41; *Ramrunjan Bhondari v. Madhub Ghose*, 25 W. R., 33; *Ashroff Ali*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal. 281; *Baldeo*, 1. L. R., 3 All. 322. But if the prosecution is under S. 182 Penal Code, the previous sanction or complaint of the public servant concerned or of some public servant to whom he is subordinate is necessary.

It is very undesirable that, except under very peculiar circumstances, the High Court should entertain an application for sanction to prosecute a witness for perjury; such application should in the first instance be made to the Court before which the particular evidence was given.—*Seebpershad Chuckerbutty* and others, 17 W. R., 46 (S. C.) 8 B. L. R., 62 App.

Even after that Court has refused sanction, the High Court will not accord it unless it is clearly shown that there are strong grounds for exercising their discretion.—*Moni Mohun Dey*, 22 W. R., 11.

A Mamlatdar's Court constituted by Bombay. Act III of 1876 is a Civil Court within the meaning of S. 195 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.—*In re Savanta*, 1. L. R., 5 Bomb., 137.

For the purposes of S. 195, a Head Constable of Police is subordinate to an Inspector.—*Ram Golam Singh*, 11 W. R., 22; so is a Magistrate of the first class to the District Magistrate.—In the matter of *Gur Dyal*, 1. L. R., 2 All. 205; *Padmanabh Pai*, 1. L. R., 2 Bom., 384; and a Subordinate Judge to the District Judge.—*Lakhsman Sakharun*, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb., 481. But an officer in charge of a Police station is not subordinate in this respect to a Talook Magistrate.—*Velayuddan Pillai* 1. L. R., 6 Mad. 146.

S. 197. A Patel is not a public servant within the terms of S. 197.—*Bhagwan Devraj*, 1. L. R., 4 Bomb., 857.

S. 197. On a trial for rape the prisoner cannot be convicted of adultery unless upon a complaint of that offence made as required by S. 197. The mere fact that the husband is a witness in the case is not sufficient. A conviction so obtained was accordingly set aside.—Kalla, 1. L. R., 5 All. 233.

S. 200. A Magistrate cannot refuse to receive a complaint because it is regarding an offence which ordinarily should be made to a Police officer. He is bound to examine the complainant and, unless he thinks a Police investigation necessary, to issue process for the attendance of the accused.—Ameer Mahomed, 14 W. R., 36.

S. 203. Before dismissing a complaint under S. 203 on receipt of the report of an investigation ordered under S. 202, a Magistrate should give the complainant an opportunity of showing cause against an order of dismissal on such a report.—Bullee Singh, 17 W. R., 2.

S. 209. The object of the law in providing that an inquiry shall be held by a Magistrate before the accused has to undergo a trial in the Court of Session is to prevent the commitment of cases in which there is no reasonable ground for conviction. This provision of the law is calculated, on the one hand, to save the subjects from the prolonged anxiety of undergoing trials for offences not brought home to them; and, on the other hand, to save the time of the Court of Session from being wasted over cases in which the charge is obviously not supported by such evidence as would justify a conviction. The power so given to Magistrates extends to the weighing of evidence and the expression "sufficient grounds" must be understood in a wide sense. This discretionary power should be exercised with due caution; there is nothing in the law which prohibits the discharge of the accused, even though the evidence against him consists of witnesses who state themselves to be eye-witnesses but whom the Magistrate entirely discredits.—Luchman Pet, 1. L. R., 5 All. 161. See also Puram Anundo and others, Sept. 4 1883, in which the Calcutta High Court held that a Magistrate, invested with special powers under Ss. 30, 34, might find that the facts did not amount to murder and then try the case himself for the lesser offence of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. The Magistrate did not act without jurisdiction or contrary to law merely because there was some evidence which if believed would substantiate the charge of murder, an offence beyond his jurisdiction. This course should however be very rarely, if ever, taken by any such officer, and in adopting it he incurs a very grave responsibility. Having regard to the evidence, the High Court would not set aside the conviction and sentence and direct that the case be committed as recommended by the Sessions Judge.

S. 210. Compare S. 347 which enables a Magistrate in any inquiry or trial before signing judgment to stop further proceedings, and, if so empowered, to commit the accused if he is of opinion that the case is one which ought to be tried by the Court of Session or High Court.

S. 233. After the case of Chand Khan, 2 Leg. Rom. 188, add Maharaj Misser and others, 16 W. R. 47 (S. C.) 7 B. L. R. 66 App.; Anant Ram, 1. L. R. 4 All. 293.

A prisoner cannot in the same trial be tried for dishonestly receiving or retaining stolen property &c. under S. 411, Penal Code, and for habitually receiving or dealing in stolen property under S. 413. The proper course is to try him first for the former offence and then to try him under S. 413 putting in evidence the former convictions under S. 411 and proving the finding of the rest of the stolen property in respect of which no separate charge under S. 411 could be made by reason of the provisions of S. 234.—Uttom Koondoo, Pet, 1. L. R. 8 Cal. 634 (S. C. 10 Cal. L. R. 466).

S. 244. In Summons-cases it is incumbent on the accused to produce their witnesses, if they have any, on the day of trial; if they require process for their attendance they should apply beforehand for a summons so that the witnesses may be present on that day. The law leaves it to the discretion of the Magistrate to issue a process or not.—Chedee Koonjra, 16 W. R. 76.

S. 247. Where an indefinite adjournment of the trial was made without notice of any particular day fixed for its continuance and in consequence of the absence of the complainant on the day on which it was resumed, the accused was acquitted under S. 247, the order was set aside as illegal.—Mahomed Alum, 15 W. R. 68.

S. 250. The fact that the Magistrate has thought proper to have the complainant prosecuted for having intentionally given false evidence, is no bar to his directing him to give compensation to the accused for having made a frivolous or vexatious complaint. Even if it be proved at the trial for perjury that the complaint was true, it does not follow that it might not still be frivolous or vexatious.—Roopun Rai, 15 W. R. 9.

S. 252. See note to S. 344 regarding valid grounds for postponing or adjourning a trial.

S. 257. When the Magistrate has issued summons for the attendance of a witness for the defence, and such witness after due service does not attend, he cannot, because there has been some delay in the service and in making the return, refuse an application for a fresh summons. S. 257 is inapplicable to such a case.—Ruknuddin I. L. R. 4 All. 53.

To the last note under S. 257, *add*, the accused is entitled to cite witnesses after fresh evidence has been taken for the prosecution, although when put on his defence, before that evidence was taken, he stated that he wished to examine no witness. *Ibid*.

S. 284. See Ram Dutt Chowdhry, 23 W. R., 35 (see p. 89) for certain directions regarding the selection of Assessors.

S. 288. S. 288 is intended to provide for the contingency, that may arise, when a witness, who is *produced* before the Court of Session holds back information and evidence, and tells a different story to that which he gave in the preliminary inquiry before the Magistrate.—Mulu, I. L. R., 2 All. 646.

S. 289. It is the duty of the Court of Session to ascertain who the witnesses are whom the prisoner wishes to examine. Where this was not done and after the prisoner had been convicted and sentenced by a Sessions Judge, sitting with Assessors, the prisoner represented that he had desired to call witnesses whom the Magistrate should have summoned but had omitted to summon, the conviction and sentence were set aside and the Sessions Judge was directed to give the prisoner an opportunity of calling these witnesses, who, if necessary, should be summoned. The High Court remarked that if the Judge had acted in accordance with law, the omission would have been discovered and the trial adjourned.—Mookun, 12 W. R., 22.

S. 290. If, after evidence for the defence has been recorded, the Sessions Judge finds it necessary to take evidence on any further point for the prosecution, he is bound to give the prisoner an opportunity of making a fresh defence, and of calling fresh evidence on the point to which the case for the prosecution has been re-opened.—Asanoollah, 13 W. R., 15; but, if the prisoner has had notice of the point on which this evidence is taken, any such irregularity, as an omission, is immaterial. It is, however, a grave irregularity to allow a witness to be examined on behalf of the prosecution after the prisoner has made his defence, such witness not being a witness to contradict a new case set up by the prisoner and, under ordinary circumstances, this would be sufficient ground for a new trial.—Sham Kishore Haidar, 13 W. R., 36.

S. 291. See Mookun, 12 W. R., 22 quoted in the foregoing note to S. 289. The prisoner is entitled to have examined witnesses named by him to the Magistrate. If after due service of process on them, they do not attend, the Sessions Judge is bound to adjourn the trial so as to obtain their evidence.—Rajnarain Mytee, 18 W. R., 20.

S. 303. As there are two grades of punishments for two kinds of culpable homicide not amounting to murder, the verdict of the jury, on convicting of that offence, should find which kind of culpable homicide has been committed—where this was not done, the High Court on appeal held that the verdict must be taken to find that the lightest form of that offence had been committed; the sentence was accordingly reduced.—Ameer Khan, 12 W. R., 35.

S. 307. The Judge may disagree with the verdict of the Jury, on the ground either that the Jury did not follow his direction on a point of law, or that the Jury found the facts against what appeared to the Judge to be the weight of evidence.—Koorji Leith and others, 20 W. R., 1.

S. 309. The object of summing up the evidence in a trial held with the assistance of Assessors is to enable the Judge in a long or intricate case to place the evidence before them in an intelligible form so as to assist them in arriving at a reasonable conclusion, not to give the Judge an opportunity of expressing his own opinion in emphatic terms on every single matter put in evidence. In the face of the very decided opinion expressed by the Judge, the Assessors cannot otherwise than be very much embarrassed in forming an independent opinion of their own.—Shadulla Howladar, 12 Cal. L. R., 506.

S. 339. The Sessions Judge held that the approver witness had not conformed to the conditions under which pardon was tendered to him, but that he had given an utterly incredible account of the circumstances and had given false evidence. This opinion was expressed before any evidence of the witness's veracity had been given. Proceedings were accordingly taken against him and he was convicted. On his appeal the High Court found that the whole of the evidence showed that the crimes were committed in all probability exactly as he said they were and there was absolutely no evidence that his part in the crime was greater or less than he had on so many occasions stated it to have been: nor were there any grounds for supposing that he had concealed the name of any one concerned in it.—The High Court consequently held that the appellant did conform with the conditions of his pardon and that he should not have been tried for the offence. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case the High Court could not disturb the conviction and sentence, but reported the case to the Local Government with a recommendation that the appellant should be pardoned.—Srinop 12 Cal. L. R., 226.

If it is found to be necessary to take proceedings against one who has not fulfilled the conditions, under which pardon was tendered to him, the Sessions Judge should not himself at once try that person but should direct the Magistrate to take proceedings for his regular commitment. The conviction and sentence obtained on such a trial were accordingly set aside and the Magistrate was directed to hold an inquiry.—Bipro Dass, 19 W. R., 43.

S. 344. Read S. 497 and S. 508 with S. 344.

S. 353. See Bishonath Pal, 12 W. R. 3; Mohun Baufoor, 22 W. R. 38; Ali Meeah, 25 W. R. 14, where a disregard of the principle laid down in S. 353 and the injustice consequent therefrom were commented on. The practice of admitting as evidence the examination-in-chief of witnesses recorded in the absence of the accused was condemned even where, the witnesses were produced for cross-examination.

S. 361. The mere fact that the evidence of the Court Surgeon was recorded in English and not interpreted to the accused does not necessarily invalidate a conviction especially when it appears from the very full cross-examination that that evidence was understood by the prisoner's Counsel and that all questions which were necessary were put to the Civil Surgeon.—Bhoobun Mohun Dey, 24 W. R. 50.

S. 363. Where the Magistrate recorded that the witness was unable fully to give his evidence owing to his weak state health, the Sessions Judge was competent to mention this fact to the jury as probably accounting for certain omissions in that evidence, but leaving it to the Jury to form their own opinion on the matter.—Itasookoolah and others, 12 W. R., 51 (Sc) 3 B. L. R., 151 App.

S. 367. Where after committing murder the prisoner attempted suicide by cutting his throat, and consequently, if sentence of death were carried into execution, decapitation would ensue, the Calcutta High Court, instead of confirming sentence of death, passed the lesser sentence of transportation for life.—Boodhoo Jolaha, 2 Cal. L. R., 215.

S. 418. In the case of Doorga Churn Shome, 24 W. R., 30 which was wrongly tried by Jury in the Sessions Court, the High Court heard the appeal on the facts and acquitted the appellant.

S. 438. A Magistrate cannot refer for consideration by the High Court, as a Court Revision, an order of an Appellate Court reducing the sentence passed, because he considers that the original sentence was a proper sentence.—In the matter of Ram Lall, I. L. R., 8 Cal., 875.

S. 488. In note p. 282, line 21 after 7 Bomb., 180; add in the matter of Din Mahomed, I. L. R., All., 226.

S. 522. To the first note to S. 522 add:—Schedule III also shows that such orders can be passed by any Magistrate.

S. 523. S. 523 does not apply to property which has been the subject of a criminal trial. That is a matter which should be dealt with under S. 517, Cal. H. Ct., Fryag vult. Pet. Sept. 7, 1883.

THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE,

BEING

Act No. X of 1882.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PREAMBLE.

PART I.

PRELIMINARY.

CHAPTER I.

SECTIONS.

1. Short title.
Commencement.
Local extent.
2. Repeal of enactments.
Notifications, &c., under repealed Acts.
3. Reference to Code of Criminal Procedure
and other repealed enactments.
Expressions in former Acts.
4. Interpretation-clause.
Words referring to Acts.
Words to have same meaning as in Penal
Code.
5. Trial of offences under Penal Code.
Trial of offences against other laws.

PART II.

CONSTITUTION AND POWERS OF CRIMINAL COURTS AND OFFICERS.

CHAPTER II.

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF CRIMINAL COURTS AND OFFICES.

A.—Classes of Criminal Courts.

6. Classes of Criminal Courts.

B.—Territorial Divisions.

SECTIONS.

7. Sessions Divisions.

- Districts.
Power to alter Divisions and Districts.
Existing Divisions and Districts main-
tained till altered.
Presidency-towns to be deemed Districts.
- 8. Power to divide Districts into Sub-
divisions.
Existing Sub-divisions maintained.

C.—Courts and Offices outside the Presidency-towns.

9. Court of Session.
10. District Magistrate.
11. Officers temporarily succeeding to vacan-
cies in office of District Magistrate.
12. Subordinate Magistrates
Local limits of their jurisdiction.
13. Power to put Magistrate in charge of
Sub-division.
Delegation of powers to District Magis-
trate.
14. Special Magistrates.
15. Benches of Magistrates.
Powers exercisable by Bench in absence
of special direction.
16. Power to frame rules for guidance of
Benches.
17. Subordination of Magistrates and Benches
to District Magistrate ;
to Sub-divisional Magistrate.
Subordination of Assistant Sessions
Judges to Sessions Judge.

D.—Courts of Presidency Magistrates.

18. Appointment of Presidency Magistrates.
19. Local limits of their jurisdiction.
20. Bombay Court of Petty Sessions.
21. Chief Magistrate.

E.—Justices of the Peace.

22. Justices of the Peace for the Mufassal,
23. Justices of the Peace for the Presidency-
towns.
24. Present Justices of the Peace.
25. *Ex-officio* Justices of the Peace.

*F.—Suspension and Removal.***SECTIONS.**

26. Suspension and removal of Judges and Magistrates.
 27. Suspension and removal of Justices of the Peace.

CHAPTER III.**POWERS OF COURTS.***A.—Description of Offences cognizable by each Court.*

28. Offences under Penal Code.
 29. Offences under other laws.
 30. Offences not punishable with death.

B.—Sentences which may be passed by Courts of various Classes.

31. Sentences which High Courts and Sessions Judges may pass.
 32. Sentences which Magistrates may pass.
 33. Power of Magistrates to sentence to imprisonment in default of fine.
 Proviso as to certain cases.
 34. Higher powers of certain District Magistrates.
 35. Sentence in cases of conviction of several offences at one trial.
 Maximum term of punishment.

C.—Ordinary and Additional Powers.

36. Ordinary powers of Magistrates.
 37. Additional powers conferrible on Magistrates.
 38. Control of District Magistrates' investing power.

D.—Conferral, Continuance and Cancellation of Powers.

39. Mode of conferring powers.
 40. Continuance of powers of officers transferred.
 41. Powers may be cancelled.

PART III.**GENERAL PROVISIONS.****CHAPTER IV.****OF AID AND INFORMATION TO THE MAGISTRATES, THE POLICE, AND PERSONS MAKING ARRESTS**

42. Public when to assist Magistrates and police.
 43. Aid to person other than Police-officer, executing warrant.
 44. Public to give information of certain offences.
 45. Village headmen, landholders and others bound to report certain matters.

CHAPTER V.**OF ARREST, ESCAPE AND RETAKING.***A.—Arrest generally.***SECTIONS.**

46. Arrest how made.
 Resisting endeavour to arrest.
 47. Search of place entered by person sought to be arrested.
 48. Procedure where ingress not obtainable.
 Breaking open zanaáná.
 49. Power to break open doors and windows for purposes of liberation.
 50. No unnecessary restraint.
 51. Search of arrested persons.
 52. Mode of searching women.
 53. Power to seize offensive weapons.

B.—Arrest without Warrant.

54. When police may arrest without warrant.
 55. Arrest of vagabonds, habitual robbers, &c.
 56. Procedure when Police-officer deposes subordinate to arrest without warrant.
 57. Refusal to give name and residence.
 58. Pursuit of offenders into other jurisdictions.
 59. Arrest by private persons.
 Procedure on such arrest.
 60. Persons arrested to be taken before Magistrate or officer in charge of Police-station.
 61. Person arrested not to be detained more than twenty-four hours.
 62. Police to report apprehensions.
 63. Discharge of person apprehended.
 64. Offence committed in Magistrate's presence.
 65. Arrest by or in presence of Magistrate.
 66. Power, on escape, to pursue and retake.
 67. Provisions of sections 47, 48 and 49 to apply to arrests under section 66.

CHAPTER VI.**OF PROCESSES TO COMPEL APPEARANCE.***A.—Summons.*

68. Form of summons.
 Summons by whom served.
 69. Summons how served.
 Signature of receipt for summons.
 70. Service when person summoned cannot be found.
 71. Procedure when receipt cannot be obtained.
 72. Service on servant of Government or of Railway Company.
 73. Service of summons outside local limits.
 74. Proof of service in such cases, and when serving officer not present.

*B.—Warrant of Arrest.***SECTIONS.**

75. Form of warrant of arrest.
Continuance of warrant of arrest.
76. Court may direct security to be taken.
Recognizance to be forwarded.
77. Warrants to whom directed.
Warrant to several persons.
78. Warrant may be directed to landholders, &c.
79. Warrant directed to Police-officer.
80. Notification of substance of warrant.
81. Person arrested to be brought before Court without delay.
82. Where warrant may be executed.
83. Warrant forwarded to Magistrate for execution outside jurisdiction.
84. Warrant directed to Police-officer for execution outside jurisdiction.
85. Procedure on arrest of person against whom warrant issued.
86. Procedure by Magistrate before whom person arrested is brought.

C.—Proclamation and Attachment.

87. Proclamation for person absconding.
88. Attachment of property of person absconding.
89. Restoration of attached property.

D.—Other rules regarding Processes.

90. Issue of warrant in lieu of, or in addition, to summons.
91. Power to take bond for appearance.
92. Arrest on breach of bond for appearance.
93. Provisions in this chapter generally applicable to summonses and warrants of arrest.

CHAPTER VII.

OF PROCESSES TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MOVEABLE PROPERTY, AND FOR THE DISCOVERY OF PERSONS WRONGFULLY CONFINED.

A.—Summons to produce.

94. Summons to produce documents or other things.
95. Procedure as to letters and telegrams.

B.—Search-warrants.

96. When search-warrant may be issued.
97. Power to restrict warrant
98. Search of house suspected to contain stolen property, forged documents, &c.
99. Disposal of things found in search beyond jurisdiction.

C.—Discovery of Persons wrongfully confined.

100. Search for persons wrongfully confined.

D.—General Provisions relating to searches.

101. Direction, &c., of search-warrants.
102. Persons in charge of closed place to allow search.

SECTIONS.

103. Search to be made in presence of witnesses. Occupant of place searched may attend.

E.—Miscellaneous.

104. Power to impound document, &c., produced.
105. Magistrate may direct search in his presence.

PART IV.**PREVENTION OF OFFENCES.****CHAPTER VIII.**

OF SECURITY FOR KEEPING THE PEACE AND FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR.

A.—Security for keeping the Peace on Conviction.

106. Security for keeping the peace on conviction.

B.—Security for keeping the Peace in other Cases and Security for Good Behaviour.

107. Security for keeping the peace in other cases.
108. Procedure of Magistrate, &c., not empowered to act under section 107.
109. Security for good behaviour from vagrants and suspected persons.
110. Security for good behaviour from habitual offenders.
111. Proviso as to European vagrants.
112. Order to be made.
113. Procedure in respect of person present in Court.
114. Summons or warrant in case of person not so present.
115. Copy of order section 112 to accompany summons or warrant.
116. Power to dispense with personal attendance.
117. Inquiry as to truth of information.
118. Order to give security.
119. Discharge of person informed against.

C.—Proceedings in all Cases subsequent to Order to furnish Security.

120. Commencement of period for which security is required.
121. Contents of bond.
122. Power to reject sureties.
123. Imprisonment in default of security. Proceedings when to be laid before High Court or Court of Session. Kind of imprisonment.
124. Power to release persons imprisoned for failing to give security.

SECTIONS.

125. Power of District Magistrate to cancel any bond for keeping the Peace.
 126. Discharge of sureties.

CHAPTER IX.

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLIES.

127. Assembly to disperse on command of Magistrate or Police-officer.
 128. Use of civil force to disperse.
 129. Use of military force.
 130. Duty of officer commanding troops required by Magistrate to disperse assembly.
 131. Power of Commissioned Military officers to disperse assembly.
 132. Protection against prosecution for acts done under this chapter.

CHAPTER X.

PUBLIC NUISANCES.

133. Conditional order for removal of nuisance.
 134. Service or notification of order.
 135. Person to whom order is addressed to obey or show cause or claim jury.
 136. Consequence of his failing to do so.
 137. Procedure where he appears to show cause.
 138. Procedure where he claims jury.
 139. Procedure where jury finds Magistrate's order to be reasonable.
 140. Procedure on order being made absolute. Consequences of disobedience to order.
 141. Procedure on failure to appoint jury or omission to return verdict.
 142. Injunction pending inquiry.
 143. Magistrate may prohibit repetition or continuance of public nuisances.

CHAPTER XI.

TEMPORARY ORDERS IN URGENT CASES.

144. Power to issue order absolute at once in urgent cases of nuisance.

CHAPTER XII.

DISPUTES AS TO IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY.

145. Procedure where dispute concerning land, &c., is likely to cause breach of peace.
 Inquiry as to possession.
 Party in possession to retain possession until legally evicted.
 146. Power to attach subject of dispute.
 147. Dispute concerning easements, &c.
 148. Local inquiry.
 Order as to costs.

CHAPTER XIII.

PREVENTIVE ACTION OF THE POLICE.

SECTIONS.

149. Police to prevent cognizable offences.
 150. Information of design to commit such offences.
 151. Arrest to prevent such offences.
 152. Prevention of injury to public property.
 153. Inspection of weights and measures.

PART V.

INFORMATION TO THE POLICE
AND THEIR POWERS TO
INVESTIGATE.

CHAPTER XIV.

154. Information in cognizable cases.
 155. Information in non-cognizable cases.
 Investigation into non-cognizable cases.
 156. Investigation into cognizable cases.
 157. Procedure where cognizable offence suspected.
 (a) Where local investigation dispensed with.
 (b) Where Police-officer in charge sees no sufficient ground for investigation.
 158. Reports under section 157 how submitted.
 159. Power to hold investigation or preliminary inquiry.
 160. Police officer's power to require attendance of witnesses.
 161. Examination of witnesses by police.
 162. Statements to police not to be signed or admitted in evidence.
 163. No inducement to be offered.
 164. Power to record statements and confessions.
 165. Search by Police-officer.
 166. When officer in charge of Police-station may require another to issue search-warrant.
 167. Procedure when investigation cannot be completed in twenty-four hours.
 168. Report of investigation by subordinate Police-officer.
 169. Release of accused when evidence deficient.
 170. Case to be sent to Magistrate when evidence is sufficient.
 171. Complainants and witnesses not to be required to accompany Police-officer.
 Complainants and witnesses not to be subject to restraint.
 Recusant complainant or witness may be forwarded in custody.

SECTIONS.

- 172. Diary of proceedings in investigation.
- 173. Report of Police-officer.
- 174. Police to inquire and report on suicide, &c.
- 175. Power to summon persons.
- 176. Inquiry by Magistrate into cause of death. Power to disinter corpse.

PART VI.

PROCEEDINGS IN PROSECUTIONS.

CHAPTER XV.

OF THE JURISDICTION OF THE CRIMINAL COURTS IN INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.

A.—Place of Inquiry or Trial.

- 177. Ordinary place of inquiry and trial.
- 178. Power to order cases to be tried in different Sessions divisions.
- 179. Accused triable in district where act is done, or where consequence ensues.
- 180. Place of trial where act is offence by reason of relation to other offence.
- 181. Being a thug or belonging to a gang of dacoits, escape from custody, &c. Criminal misappropriation and criminal breach of trust. Stealing.
- 182. Place of inquiry or trial where scene of offence is uncertain, or not in one district only, or where offence is continuing, or consists of several acts.
- 183. Offence committed on a journey.
- 184. Offences against Railway, Telegraph, Post-Office and Arms Acts.
- 185. High Court to decide, in case of doubt, district where inquiry or trial shall take place.
- 186. Power to issue summons or warrant for offence committed beyond local jurisdiction. Magistrate's procedure on arrest.
- 187. Procedure where warrant issued by Subordinate Magistrate.
- 188. Liability of British subjects for offences committed out of British India. Political Agent to certify fitness of inquiry into charge.
- 189. Power to direct copies of depositions and exhibits to be received in evidence.
- 190. "Political Agent" defined.

B.—Conditions requisite for Initiation of Proceedings.

- 191. Cognizance of offences by Magistrates.
- 192. Transfer of cases by Magistrates.

SECTIONS.

- 193. Cognizance of offences by Courts of Sessions. Cases to be tried by Additional and Joint Sessions Judges; by Assistant Sessions Judges.
- 194. Cognizance of offences by High Court.
- 195. Prosecution for contempts of lawful authority of public servants. Prosecution for certain offences against public justice. Prosecution for certain offences relating to documents given in evidence. Nature of sanction necessary.
- 196. Prosecution for offences against the State.
- 197. Prosecution of Judges and public servants. Power of Government as to prosecution.
- 198. Prosecution for breach of contract, defamation and offences against marriage.
- 199. Prosecution for adultery or enticing a married woman.

CHAPTER XVI.

OF COMPLAINTS TO MAGISTRATES.

- 200. Examination of complainant.
- 201. Procedure by Magistrate not competent to take cognizance of the case.
- 202. Postponement of issue of process.
- 203. Dismissal of complaint.

CHAPTER XVII.

OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE MAGISTRATES.

- 204. Issue of process.
- 205. Magistrate may dispense with personal attendance of accused.

CHAPTER XVIII.

OF INQUIRY INTO CASES TRIABLE BY THE COURT OF SESSION OR HIGH COURT.

- 206. Power to commit for trial.
- 207. Procedure in inquiries preparatory to commitment.
- 208. Taking of evidence produced. Process for production of further evidence.
- 209. When accused person to be discharged.
- 210. When charge is to be framed. Charge to be explained, and copy furnished, to accused.
- 211. List of witnesses for defence on trial. Further list.
- 212. Power of Magistrate to examine such witnesses.
- 213. Order of commitment.
- 214. Person charged outside Presidency-town jointly with European British subject.
- 215. Quashing commitments under section 213 or 214.

SECTIONS.

216. Summons to witnesses for defence when accused is committed.
Refusal to summon unnecessary witness unless deposit made.
217. Bond of complainants and witnesses.
Detention in custody in case of refusal to attend or to execute bond.
218. Commitment when to be notified.
Charge, &c., to be forwarded to High Court or Court of Session.
English translation to be forwarded to High Court.
219. Power to summon supplementary witnesses.
220. Custody of accused pending trial.

CHAPTER XIX.

OF THE CHARGE.

Form of Charges.

221. Charge to state offence.
Specific name of offence sufficient description.
How stated where offence has no specific name.
What implied in charge.
Language of charge.
Previous conviction when to be set out.
222. Particulars as to time, place and person.
223. When manner of committing offence must be stated.
224. Words in charge taken in sense of law under which offence is punishable.
225. Effect of errors.
226. Procedure on commitment without charge or with imperfect charge.
227. Court may alter charge.
228. When trial may proceed immediately after alteration.
229. When new trial may be directed, or trial suspended.
230. Stay of proceedings if prosecution of offence in altered charge require previous sanction.
231. Recall of witnesses when charge altered.
232. Effect of material error.

Joinder of Charges.

233. Separate charges for distinct offences.
234. Three offences of same kind within year may be charged together.
235. I. Trial for more than one offence.
II. Offence falling within two definitions.
III. Acts constituting one offence, but constituting when combined, a different offence.
236. Where it is doubtful what offence has been committed.
237. When a person is charged with one offence, he can be convicted of another.
238. When offence proved included in offence charged.

SECTIONS.

239. What persons may be charged jointly.
240. Withdrawal of remaining charges on conviction on one of several charges.

CHAPTER XX.

OF THE TRIAL OF SUMMONS-CASES BY MAGISTRATES.

241. Procedure in summons-cases.
242. Substance of accusation to be stated.
243. Conviction on admission of truth of accusation.
244. Procedure when no such admission is made.
245. Acquittal.
Sentence.
246. Finding not limited by complaint or summons.
247. Non-appearance of complainant.
248. Withdrawal of complaint.
249. Power to stop proceedings when no complainant.
250. Frivolous or vexatious complaints.
Recovery of compensation.

CHAPTER XXI.

OF THE TRIAL OF WARRANT-CASES BY MAGISTRATES.

251. Procedure in warrant-cases.
252. Evidence for prosecution.
253. Discharge of accused.
254. Charge to be framed when offence appears proved.
255. Plea.
256. Defence.
257. Process for compelling production of evidence at instance of accused.
258. Acquittal.
Conviction.
259. Absence of complainant.

CHAPTER XXII.

OF SUMMARY TRIALS.

260. Power to try summarily.
261. Power to invest Bench of Magistrates invested with less power.
262. Procedure for summons and warrant-cases applicable.
Limit of imprisonment.
263. Record in cases where there is no appeal.
264. Record in appealable cases.
265. Language of record and judgment.
Bench may be authorized to employ clerk.

CHAPTER XXIII.

OF TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURTS AND COURTS OF SESSIONS.

A.—Preliminary.

SECTIONS.

- 266. "High Court" defined.
- 267. Trials before High Courts to be by jury.
- 268. Trials before Court of Sessions to be by jury or with assessors.
- 269. Local Government may order trials before Court of Session to be by jury.
- 270. Trial before Court of Session to be conducted by Public Prosecutor.

B.—Commencement of Proceedings.

- 271. Commencement of trial.
- Plea of guilty.
- 272. Refusal to plead or claim to be tried.
- Trial by same jury or assessors of several offenders in succession.
- 273. Entry on unsustainable charge.
- Effect of entry.

C.—Choosing a Jury.

- 274. Number of jury.
- 275. Jury for trial of persons not Europeans or Americans before Court of Session.
- 276. Jurors to be chosen by lot.
- Proviso.
- Existing practice maintained.
- Persons not summoned when eligible.
- Trials before special jurors.
- 277. Names of jurors to be called.
- Objection to jurors.
- Objection without grounds stated.
- 278. Grounds of objection.
- 279. Decision of objection.
- Supply of place of juror against whom objection allowed.
- 280. Foreman of jury.
- 281. Swearing of jurors.
- 282. Procedure when juror ceases to attend &c.
- 283. Discharge of jury in case of sickness of prisoner.

D.—Choosing Assessors.

- 284. Assessors how chosen.
- 285. Procedure when assessor is unable to attend.

E.—Trial to Close of Cases for Prosecution and Defence.

- 286. Opening case for prosecution.
- Examination of witnesses.
- 287. Examination of accused before Magistrate to be evidence.
- 288. Evidence given at preliminary inquiry admissible.
- 289. Procedure after examination of witnesses for prosecution.
- 290. Defence.

SECTIONS.

- 291. Right of accused as to examination and summoning of witnesses.
- 292. Prosecutor's right of reply.
- 293. View by jury or assessors.
- 294. When juror or assessor may be examined.
- 295. Jury or assessors to attend at adjourned sitting.
- 296. Locking-up-jury.

F.—Conclusion of Trial in Cases tried by Jury.

- 297. Charge to jury.
- 298. Duty of Judge.
- 299. Duty of jury.
- 300. Retirement to consider.
- 301. Delivery of verdict.
- 302. Procedure where jury differ.
- 303. Verdict to be given on each charge.
- Judge may question jury.
- Questions and answers to be recorded.
- 304. Amending verdict.
- 305. Verdict in High Court when to prevail.
- Discharge of jury in other cases.
- 306. Verdict in Court of Session when to prevail.
- 307. Procedure where Sessions Judge disagrees with verdict.

G.—Re-trial of Accused after Discharge of Jury.

- 308. Re-trial of accused after discharge of jury.

H.—Conclusion of Trial in Cases tried with Assessors.

- 309. Delivery of opinions of assessors.
- Judgment.

I.—Procedure in Case of previous Conviction.

- 310. Procedure in case of previous conviction.

J.—List of Jurors for High Court, and summoning Jurors for that Court.

- 311. Jurors' book.
- Exemption of special jurors.
- 312. Number of special jurors.
- 313. Lists of common and special jurors.
- Discretion of officer preparing lists.
- 314. Publication of lists, preliminary and revised.
- 315. Number of jurors to be summoned in Presidency-town.
- Supplementary summons.
- 316. Summoning jurors outside the Presidency towns.
- 317. Military jurors.
- 318. Failure of jurors to attend.

K.—List of Jurors and Assessors for Court of Session, and summoning Jurors and Assessors for that Court.

- 319. Liability to serve as jurors or assessors.
- 320. Exemptions.
- 321. List of jurors and assessors.

SECTIONS.

- 322. Publication of list.
- 323. Objections to list.
- 324. Revision of list.
- 325. Annual revision of list.
- 326. District Magistrate to summon jurors and assessors.
- 327. Power to summon another set of jurors or assessors.
- 328. Form and service of summons.
- 329. When Government or railway servant may be excused.
- 330. Court may excuse attendance of juror or assessor.
- 331. List of jurors and assessors attending.
- 332. Penalty for non-attendance of juror or assessor.

I—Special Provisions for High Courts.

- 333. Power of Advocate General to stay prosecution.
- 334. Time of holding sittings.
- 335. Place of holding sittings.
Notice of sittings.
- 336. Place of trial of European British subjects.

CHAPTER XXIV.**GENERAL PROVISIONS AS TO INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.**

- 337. Tender of pardon to accomplice.
- 338. Power to direct tender of pardon.
- 339. Commitment of person to whom pardon has been tendered.
- 340. Right of accused to be defended.
- 341. Procedure where accused does not understand proceedings.
- 342. Power to examine the accused.
- 343. No influence to be used to induce disclosures.
- 344. Power to postpone or adjourn proceedings.
Remand.
Reasonable cause for remand.
- 345. Compounding offences.
- 346. Procedure of Provincial Magistrate in cases which he cannot dispose of.
- 347. Procedure when, after commencement of inquiry or trial, Magistrate finds case should be committed.
- 348. Trial of persons previously convicted of offences against coinage, stamp-law or property.
- 349. Procedure when Magistrate cannot pass sentence sufficiently severe.
- 350. Conviction or commitment on evidence partly recorded by one Magistrate and partly by another.
- 351. Detention of offenders attending Court.
- 352. Courts to be open.

CHAPTER XXV.**OF THE MODE OF TAKING AND RECORDING EVIDENCE IN INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.****SECTIONS.**

- 353. Evidence to be taken in presence of accused.
- 354. Manner of recording evidence outside Presidency-towns.
- 355. Record in summons-cases, and in trials of certain offences by first and second class Magistrates.
- 356. Record in other cases outside Presidency-towns.
Evidence given in English.
Memorandum when evidence not taken down by the Magistrate or Judge himself.
- 357. Language of record of evidence.
- 358. Option to Magistrate in cases under section 355.
- 359. Mode of recording evidence under section 356 or section 357.
- 360. Procedure in regard to such evidence when completed.
- 361. Interpretation of evidence to accused or his pleader.
- 362. Record of evidence in Presidency Magistrates' Courts.
- 363. Remarks respecting demeanour of witness.
- 364. Examination of accused how recorded.
- 365. Record of evidence in High Court.

CHAPTER XXVI.**OF THE JUDGMENT.**

- 366. Mode of delivering judgment.
- 367. Language of judgment.
Contents of judgment.
Judgment in alternative.
- 368. Sentence of death.
Sentence of transportation.
- 369. Court not to alter judgment.
- 370. Presidency Magistrate's judgment.
- 371. Judgment to be explained and copy given to accused.
Case of person sentenced to death.
- 372. Judgment when to be translated.
- 373. Court of Session to send copy of finding and sentence to District Magistrate.

CHAPTER XXVII.**OF THE SUBMISSION OF SENTENCES FOR CONFIRMATION.**

- 374. Sentence of death to be submitted by Court of Session.
- 375. Power to direct further enquiry to be made or additional evidence to be taken.

SECTIONS.

376. Power of High Court to confirm sentence or annual conviction.
 377. Confirmation or new sentence to be signed by two Judges.
 378. Procedure in case of difference of opinion.
 379. Procedure in cases submitted to High Court for confirmation.
 380. Confirmation of sentence of Assistant Sessions Judge or Magistrate acting under section 34.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

OF EXECUTION.

381. Execution of order passed under section 376.
 382. Postponement of capital sentence on pregnant woman.
 383. Execution of sentences of transportation or imprisonment in other cases.
 384. Direction of warrant for execution.
 385. Warrant with whom to be lodged.
 386. Warrant for levy of fine.
 387. Effect of such warrant.
 388. Suspension of execution of sentence of imprisonment.
 389. Who may issue warrant.
 390. Execution of sentence of whipping only.
 391. Execution of sentence of whipping, in addition to imprisonment.
 392. Mode of inflicting punishment.
 Limit of number of stripes
 393. Not to be executed by instalments.
 Exemptions.
 394. Whipping not to be inflicted if offender not in fit state of health.
 Stay of execution.
 395. Procedure if punishment cannot be inflicted under section 394.
 396. Execution of sentences on escaped convicts.
 397. Sentence on offender already sentenced for another offence.
 398. Saving as to sections 396 and 397.
 399. Confinement of youthful offenders in reformatories.
 400. Return of warrant on execution of sentence.

CHAPTER XXIX.

OF SUSPENSIONS, REMISSIONS AND COMMUTATIONS OF SENTENCES.

401. Power to suspend or remit sentences.
 402. Power to commute punishment.

CHAPTER XXX.

OF PREVIOUS ACQUITTALS OR CONVICTIONS.

403. Person once convicted or acquitted not to be tried for same offence.

PART VII.

OF APPEAL, REFERENCE AND REVISION.

CHAPTER XXXI.

OF APPEALS.

SECTIONS.

404. Unless otherwise provided, no appeal to lie.
 405. Appeal from order rejecting application for restoration of attached property.
 406. Appeal from order requiring security for good behaviour.
 407. Appeal from sentence of Magistrate of the second or first class.
 Transfer of appeals to first class Magistrate.
 408. Appeal from sentence of Assistant Sessions Judge or Magistrate of the first class.
 409. Appeals to Court of Session how heard.
 410. Appeal from sentence of Court of Sessions.
 411. Appeal from sentence of Presidency Magistrate.
 412. No appeal in certain cases when accused pleads guilty.
 413. No appeal in petty cases.
 414. No appeal from certain summary convictions.
 415. Proviso to sections 413 and 414.
 416. Saving of sentences on European British subjects.
 417. Appeal on behalf of Government in case of acquittal.
 418. Appeal on what matters admissible.
 419. Petition of appeal.
 420. Procedure when appellant in jail.
 421. Summary rejection of appeal.
 422. Notice of appeal.
 423. Powers of Appellate Court in disposing of appeal.
 424. Judgments of subordinate Appellate Courts.
 425. Order by High Court on appeal to be certified to lower Court.
 426. Suspension of sentence pending appeal.
 Release of appellant on bail.
 427. Arrest of accused in appeal from acquittal.
 428. Appellate Court may take further evidence or direct it to be taken.
 429. Procedure where Judges of Court of appeal are equally divided.
 430. Finality of orders on appeal.
 431. Abatement of appeals.

CHAPTER XXXII.

OF REFERENCE AND REVISION.

SECTIONS.

- 432. Reference by Presidency Magistrate to High Court.
- 433. Disposal of case according to decision of High Court.
Direction as to costs.
- 434. Power to reserve questions arising in original jurisdiction of High Court.
Procedure when question reserved.
- 435. Power to call for records of inferior Courts.
- 436. Power to order commitment.
- 437. Power to order inquiry.
- 438. Report to High Court.
- 439. High Court's powers of revision.
- 440. Optional with Court to hear parties.
- 441. Statement by Presidency Magistrate of grounds of his decision to be considered by High Court.
- 442. High Court's order to be certified to lower Court or Magistrate.

PART VIII.

SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AGAINST EUROPEANS AND AMERICANS.

- 443. Magistrates who may inquire into and try charges against European British subjects.
- 444. Sessions Judge to be an European British subject.
Assistant Sessions Judge to have held office for three years and to be specially empowered.
- 445. Cognizance of offence committed by European British subject.
- 446. Sentences which may be passed by Provincial Magistrates.
- 447. When commitment is to be to Court of Session and when to High Court.
- 448. Trial of offences of which one is, and the others are not, punishable with death or transportation for life.
- 449. Sentences which may be passed by Court of Session.
Procedure when Sessions Judge finds his powers inadequate.
- 450. Procedure when Sessions Judge is not an European British subject.
- 451. Mixed jury for trial of European British subjects.

SECTIONS.

- 452. Trial of European British subject and Native jointly accused.
When Native may claim separate trial.
- 453. Procedure on claim of person to be dealt with as European British subject.
- 454. Failure to plead status a waiver.
- 455. Trial under this chapter of person not an European British subject.
- 456. Right of European British subject unlawfully detained to apply for order to be brought before High Court.
- 457. Procedure on such application.
- 458. Territories throughout which High Court may issue such orders.
- 459. Application of Acts conferring jurisdiction on Magistrates or Courts of Session.
- 460. Jury for trial of Europeans or Americans.
- 461. Jury when European or American charged jointly with one of another race.
- 462. Summoning and empannelling jurors under section 451 or 460.
- 463. Conduct of criminal proceedings against European British subjects, &c.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

LUNATICS.

- 464. Procedure in case of accused being lunatic.
- 465. Procedure in case of person committed before Court of Session or High Court being lunatic.
- 466. Release of lunatic pending investigation or trial.
Custody of lunatic.
- 467. Resumption of inquiry or trial.
- 468. Procedure on accused appearing before Magistrate or Court.
- 469. When accused appears to have been insane.
- 470. Judgment of acquittal on ground of lunacy.
- 471. Person acquitted on such ground to be kept in safe custody.
- 472. Lunatic prisoners to be visited by Inspector-General.
- 473. Procedure where lunatic prisoner is reported capable of making his defence.
- 474. Procedure where lunatic confined under section 466 or 471 is declared fit to be discharged.
- 475. Delivery of lunatic to care of relative.

CHAPTER XXXV.

PROCEEDINGS IN CASE OF CERTAIN OFFENCES AFFECTING THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

- 476. Procedure in cases mentioned in section 195.
- 477. Power of Court of Session as to such offences committed before itself.

SECTIONS.

478. Power of Civil and Revenue Courts to complete investigation and commit to High Court or Court of Session.
479. Procedure of Civil Court in such cases.
480. Procedure in certain cases of contempt.
481. Record in such cases.
482. Procedure where Court considers that case should not be dealt with under section 480.
483. When Registrar or Sub-Registrar to be deemed a Civil Court within sections 480 and 482.
484. Discharge of offender on submission or apology.
485. Imprisonment or committal of person refusing to answer or produce document.
486. Appeals from convictions in contempt-cases.
487. Certain Judges and Magistrates not to try offences referred to in section 195 when committed before themselves.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

OF THE MAINTENANCE OF WIVES AND CHILDREN.

488. Order for maintenance of wives and children.
Enforcement of order.
Proviso.
489. Alteration in allowance.
490. Enforcement of order of maintenance.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

DIRECTIONS OF THE NATURE OF A HABEAS CORPUS.

491. Power to issue directions of the nature of a *habeas corpus*.



PART IX.

SUPPLEMENTARY PROVISIONS.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

492. Power to appoint Public Prosecutors.
493. Public Prosecutor may plead in all Courts in cases under his charge.
Pleadings, privately instructed, to be under his direction.
494. Effect of withdrawal from prosecution.
495. Permission to conduct prosecution.

CHAPTER XXXIX.

OF BAIL.

SECTIONS.

496. Bail to be taken in case of bailable offence.
497. When bail may be taken in case of non-bailable offence.
498. Power to direct admission to bail or reduction of bail.
499. Bond of accused and sureties.
500. Discharge from custody.
501. Power to order sufficient bail when that first taken is insufficient.
502. Discharge of sureties.

CHAPTER XL.

OF COMMISSIONS FOR THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

503. When attendance of witness may be dispensed with.
Issue of commission, and procedure thereunder.
504. Commission in case of witness being within Presidency-town.
505. Parties may examine witness.
506. Power of Provincial Subordinate Magistrate to apply for issue of commission.
507. Return of commission.
508. Adjournment of inquiry or trial.

CHAPTER XLI.

SPECIAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.

509. Deposition of medical witness.
Power to summon medical witness.
510. Report of Chemical Examiner.
511. Previous conviction or acquittal how proved.
512. Record of evidence in absence of accused.

CHAPTER XLII.

PROVISIONS AS TO BONDS.

513. Deposit instead of recognizance.
514. Procedure on forfeiture of bond.
515. Appeals from, and revision of, orders under section 514.
516. Power to direct levy of amount due on certain recognizances.

CHAPTER XLIII.

OF THE DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY.

SECTIONS.

517. Order for disposal of property regarding which offence committed.
 518. Order may take form of reference to District or Sub-Divisional Magistrate.
 519. Payment to innocent purchaser of money found on accused.
 520. Stay of order under sections 517, 518 or 519.
 521. Destruction of libellous and other matter.
 522. Power to restore possession of immovable property.
 523. Procedure by police upon seizure of property taken under section 51 or stolen. Procedure where owner of property seized unknown.
 524. Procedure where no claimant appears within six months.
 525. Power to sell perishable property.

CHAPTER XLIV.

OF THE TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASES.

526. High Court may transfer case, or itself try it.
 Notice to Public Prosecutor of application under this section.
 527. Power of Governor-General in Council to transfer criminal cases and appeals.
 528. District or Sub-Divisional Magistrate may withdraw or refer cases.
 Power to authorize District Magistrate to withdraw classes of cases.

CHAPTER XLV.

OF IRREGULAR PROCEEDINGS.

529. Irregularities which does not vitiate proceedings.
 530. Irregularities which vitiate proceedings.
 531. Proceedings in wrong place.
 532. When irregular commitments may be validated.
 533. Non-compliance with provisions of section 164 or 364.
 534. Omission to ask question prescribed by section 454, clause 2.
 535. Effect of omission to prepare charge.
 536. Trial by jury of offence triable with assessors.
 Trial with assessors of offence triable by jury.
 537. Finding or sentence when reversible by reason of error or omission in charge or other proceedings.

SECTIONS.

538. Distress not illegal nor distrainer a trespasser for defect or want of form in proceedings.

CHAPTER XLVI.

MISCELLANEOUS.

539. Courts and persons before whom affidavits may be sworn.
 540. Power to summon material witness, or examine person present.
 541. Power to appoint place of imprisonment.
 542. Power of Presidency Magistrate to order prisoner in jail to be brought up for examination.
 543. Interpreter to be bound to interpret truthfully.
 544. Expenses of complainants and witnesses.
 545. Power of Court to pay expenses or compensation out of fine.
 546. Payments to be taken into account in subsequent suit.
 547. Moneys ordered to be paid recoverable as fines.
 548. Copies of proceedings.
 549. Delivery to Military authorities of persons liable to be tried by Court-martial. Apprehension of such persons.
 550. Powers of superior officers of police.
 551. Power to compel restoration of abducted females.
 552. Compensation to person groundlessly given in charge in Presidency-town.
 553. Power of chartered High Courts to make rules for inspection of records of subordinate Courts.
 Power of other High Courts to make rules for other purposes.
 554. Forms.
 555. Case in which Judge or Magistrate is personally interested.
 556. Power to decide language of Courts.
 557. Powers of Governor-General in Council and Local Government exercisable from time to time.
 558. Pending cases.

SCHEDULE I.—Enactments repealed.

SCHEDULE II.—Tabular statement of offences.

SCHEDULE III.—Ordinary powers of Provincial Magistrates.

SCHEDULE IV.—Additional powers with which Provincial Magistrates may be invested.

SCHEDULE V.—Forms.

THE
CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE,
BEING
Act No. X of 1882.

An Act to consolidate and amend the law relating to Criminal Procedure.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the law relating
to Criminal Procedure; It is hereby enacted as
Preamble. follows:—

PART I.
PRELIMINARY.

CHAPTER I.

1 [Ss. 1, 2, 111, 529, 535, 540, 541.] This Act may be called “The Code

Short title.
Commencement.

of Criminal Procedure, 1882”: and shall come into
force on the first day of January, 1883;

It extends to the whole of British India; but, in the absence of any
specific provision to the contrary, nothing herein

Local extent.

contained shall affect any special or local law now
in force, or any special jurisdiction or power conferred, or any special form
of procedure prescribed, by any other law now in force, or shall apply to—

(a) the Commissioners of Police in the towns of Calcutta, Madras and
Bombay, or the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay;

See Ss. 54, 68, 84, 202 for the special provisions made by the Code herein referred to.

(b) any officer duly authorized to try petty offences in military bázars
at cantonments and stations occupied by the troops of the Presidencies of
Fort St. George and Bombay respectively;

(c) heads of villages in the Presidency of Fort Saint George; or

(d) village Police-officers in the Presidency of Bombay;

(e) and nothing in sections 174, 175, and 176 shall apply to the police
in the town of Madras.

Sections 174, 175, 176 relate to inquests which in the Town of Madras would be regulated by
by Act VIII (Mad.) of 1867.

2 [Ss. 2, 82, 86.] On and from the first day of January, 1883, the enactments mentioned in the first schedule shall be repealed to the extent specified in the third column thereof, but not so as to restore any jurisdiction or form of procedure not then existing or followed, or to render unlawful the continuance of any confinement which is then lawful.

Repeal of enactments. All notifications published, proclamations issued, powers conferred, forms prescribed, local limits defined, sentences passed, and orders, rules and appointments made, under any enactment hereby repealed, or under any enactment repealed by any such enactment, and which are in force immediately before the first day of January, 1883, shall be deemed to have been respectively published, issued, conferred, prescribed, defined, passed and made under the corresponding section of this Code.

3 [S. 2, paras. 3, 4.] In every enactment passed before this Code comes into force, in which reference is made to, or to any chapter or section of, the Code of Criminal Procedure, Act No. XXV of 1861, or Act No. X of 1872, or to any other enactment hereby repealed, such reference shall, so far as may be practicable, be taken to be made to this Code or to its corresponding chapter or section.

References to Code of Criminal Procedure and other repealed enactments. In every enactment passed before this Code comes into force the expressions "Officer exercising (or 'having') the powers (or 'the full powers') of a Magistrate", "Subordinate Magistrate, first class", and "Subordinate Magistrate, second class", shall respectively be deemed to mean "Magistrate of the first class", "Magistrate of the second class", and "Magistrate of the third class"; the expression "Magistrate of a division of a district" shall be deemed to mean "Sub-divisional Magistrate", the expression "Magistrate of the district" shall be deemed to mean "District Magistrate", and the expression "Magistrate of Police" shall be deemed to mean "Presidency Magistrate."

4 In this Code the following words and expressions have the following meanings, unless a different intention appears from the subject or context:—

Expressions in former Acts.
(a) "Complaint" means the allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate, with a view to his taking action under this Code, that some person, whether known or unknown, has committed an offence; but does not include the report of a Police-officer:

"Complaint": See section 196 which empowers certain Magistrate to take cognizance of offences on complaint or otherwise, and sections 200—204 for the procedure on receipt of a complaint.

(b) "Investigation" includes all the proceedings under this Code for the collection of evidence conducted by the police or by any person (other than a Magistrate or Police-officer) who is authorized by a Magistrate in this behalf:

"Investigation": Under this definition no investigation would be held by a Magistrate. Any action taken by a Magistrate would be an inquiry.

The concluding portion of this definition refers to a case, such as, when a Magistrate on receipt of a complaint sees reason to distrust its truth, and, under S. 202, directs a local investigation by such person as he thinks fit to appoint for the purpose of ascertaining the truth or falsehood of the complaint before he issues process for the attendance of the accused.

(c) "Inquiry" includes every inquiry conducted under this Code by a
 "Inquiry": Magistrate or Court:

(d) "Judicial proceeding" means any proceeding in the course of which
 "Judicial Proceeding": evidence is or may be legally taken:

(e) "Writing" and "written" include "printing", "lithography",
 "Writing and writ- "photography", "engraving," and every other mode
 ten": in which words or figures can be expressed on paper
 or on any substance:

(f) "Sub-division" means a sub-division made under this Code of a
 "Sub-division": District:

It also means all existing sub-divisions which are now usually put under the charge of a Magistrate. Section 8, *post*.

(g) "Province" means the territories for the time being under the
 "Province": administration of any Local Government:

The General Clauses' Act, I of 1868, section 2, cl. x declares that "Local Government" shall mean the person authorized by law to administer executive Government in the part of British India in which the Act containing such expression shall operate, and shall include a Chief Commissioner.

(h) "Presidency-town" means the local limits for the time being of the
 "Presidency-town": ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court
 of Judicature at Fort William, Madras or Bombay:

(i) "High Court" means, in reference to proceedings against European
 "High Court": British subjects or persons jointly charged with
 European British subjects the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras and Bombay, the High Court of Judicature for the North-Western Provinces, the Chief Court of the Panjab and the Recorder of Rangoon:

In other cases "High Court" means the highest Court of criminal appeal or revision for any local area;

or, where no such Court is established under any law for the time being in force, such officer as the Governor-General in Council may appoint in this behalf:

"Chief Justice": (j) Chief Justice" includes also the senior Judge
 of a Chief Court:

(k) "Advocate General" includes also a Government Advocate, or,
 "Advocate General": where there is no Advocate General or Government
 Advocate, such officer as the Local Government may,
 from time to time, appoint in this behalf:

(l) "Clerk of the Crown" includes any officer specially appointed by the
 "Clerk of the Crown": Chief Justice to discharge the functions given by
 this Code to the Clerk of the Crown:

(m) "Public Prosecutor" means any person appointed under section 492,
 "Public Prosecutor": and includes any person acting under the directions
 of a Public Prosecutor; and any person conducting a
 prosecution on behalf of Her Majesty in any High Court in the exercise of
 its original criminal jurisdiction:

(n) "Pleader" used with reference to any proceeding in any Court,
 "Pleader": means a pleader authorized under any law for the
 time being in force to practice in such Court, and
 includes (1) an advocate, a vakil and an attorney of a High Court so autho-

rized, and (2) any mukhtár or other person appointed with the permission of the Court to act in such proceeding :

The Legal Practitioners' Act, XVIII of 1879 is the law on this subject.

(o) "Police-station" means any post declared, generally or specially by the Local Government to be a Police-station for the purposes of this Code, and includes any local area specified by the Local Government in this behalf; and "Officer in charge of a Police-station" includes, when the officer in charge of the Police-station is absent therefrom or unable from illness to perform his duties, the Police-officer present at the Police-station who is next in rank to such officer and is above the rank of constable, or, when the Local Government so directs, any other Police-officer so present :

This definition embodies section 136 of the Code of 1872.

Section 550 provides for the exercise by Police-officers of superior rank of the power of an officer in charge of a Police-station.

(p) "Offence" means any act or omission made punishable by any law for the time being in force :

(q) "Cognizable offence" means an offence for, and "cognizable case"

"Cognizable offence" : means a case in, which a Police officer, within or

"Cognizable case" : without the Presidency-towns, may, in accordance with the second schedule, or under any law for the time being in force, arrest without warrant :

"Non-cognizable offence" means an offence for, and "non-cognizable

"Non-cognizable of- case" means a case in, which a Police-officer, within fence" : or without the Presidency-towns, may not arrest

"Non-cognizable case" : without warrant :

(r) "Bailable offence" means an offence shewn as bailable in the second

"Bailable offence" : schedule, or which is made bailable by any other

"Non-bailable offence" : law for the time being in force; and "non-bailable offence" means any other offence :

(s) "Warrant-case" means a case relating to an offence punishable

"Warrant-case" : with death, transportation or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months :

"Summons-case" : (t) "Summons-case" means a case relating to an offence not so punishable :

(u) [S. 71.] "European British subject" means—

"European British sub- (1) any subject of Her Majesty born, naturalized ject" : or domiciled in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, or in any of the European, American or Australian Colonies or Possessions of Her Majesty, or in the Colony of New Zealand, or in the Colony of the Cape of Good Hope or Natal ;

(2) any child or grand-child of any such person by legitimate descent :

Not only must legitimacy but nationality be proved to establish *status* under the second clause. The plea of being a British-born subject may be admitted by the High Court, if it be satisfied, from the appearance of the prisoner and the circumstances brought forward, that the plea is true, but, if the Court is not so satisfied, the plea, if persisted in, must be substantiated by sufficient evidence. —Thomas Nash Turnbull. 6 Mad. 7 (s. c.) Weir, 252.

Unless a Magistrate has reason to believe that a person brought before him is not an European British subject the Magistrate shall ask such person whether he is a subject or not. If he does not

claim to be dealt with as an European British subject, he shall be held to have relinquished his right. Any European subject who has been determined to be a vagrant under S. 5 of the European Vagrancy Act or who has been convicted under S. 22 or S. 23 shall, so long as he remains in India, lose his privileges as a European British subject, Act IX of 1874, S. 30.

"Chapter": (v) "Chapter" means a chapter of this Code; and
 "Schedule": "Schedule" means a schedule hereto annexed:
 "Place": (w) "Place" includes also a house, building, tent and vessel.

Words referring to acts. Words which refer to acts done extend also to illegal omissions; and

all words and expressions used herein and defined in the Indian Penal Code, and not hereinbefore defined, shall be deemed

Words to have same meaning as in Penal Code. to have the meanings respectively attributed to them by that Code.

5 [Ss. 6, 7, 8, 11, 63, para. 1, *Expl.*] All offences under the Indian

Trial of offences under Penal Code.

Trial of offences against other Laws.

Penal Code shall be inquired into and tried according to the provisions hereinafter contained; and all offences under any other law shall be inquired into and tried according to the same provisions, but subject to any enactment for the time being in force regulating the manner or place of inquiring into or trying such offences.

S. 549 enables the Governor-General in Council to make rules as to the cases in which persons subject to military law shall be tried by a Civil Court or by Court Martial, and for the course to be taken by a Magistrate in such cases.

PART II.

CONSTITUTION AND POWERS OF CRIMINAL COURTS AND OFFICES.

CHAPTER II.

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF CRIMINAL COURTS AND OFFICES.

A.—Classes of Criminal Courts.

6 [Ss. 5, 19.] Besides the High Courts and the Courts constituted under any law other than this Code for the time being in force, there shall be five classes of Criminal Courts in British India, namely:—

I.—Courts of Session:

II.—Courts of Presidency Magistrates:

III.—Courts of Magistrates of the first class:

IV.—Courts of Magistrates of the second class:

V.—Courts of Magistrates of the third class.

B.—Territorial Divisions.

7 [Ss. 12, 13, 14, 38.] Every Province (excluding the Presidency-towns) shall be a Sessions Division, or shall consist of Sessions Divisions ;

Sessions Divisions :

and every Sessions Division shall, for the purposes of this Code, be a District or consist of Districts.

Districts.

Power to alter Divisions and Districts.

Existing Divisions and Districts maintained till altered.

they are so altered.

Presidency-towns to be deemed Districts.

The Local Government may alter the limits, or with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the number of such Divisions and Districts.

The Sessions Divisions and Districts existing when this Code comes into force shall be Sessions Divisions and Districts respectively, unless and until

Every Presidency-town shall, for the purposes of this Code, be deemed to be a District.

8 [S. 39.] The Local Government may divide any District outside the Presidency-towns into Sub-divisions, or make any portion of any such District a Sub-division, and may alter the limits of any Sub-division.

Power to divide Districts into Sub-divisions.

Existing Sub-divisions maintained.

All existing Sub-divisions which are now usually put under the charge of a Magistrate shall be deemed to have been made under this Code.

A Cantonment Magistrate is under Act III of 1880, S. 3 to be deemed a Magistrate in charge of a division (now sub-division) of a District within the meaning and for the purposes of this Code.

C.—Courts and Offices outside the Presidency-towns.

9 [Ss. 15, 18.] The Local Government shall establish a Court of Session for every Sessions Division, and appoint a Judge of such Court.

Court of Session.

It may also appoint Additional Sessions Judges, Joint Sessions Judges, and Assistant Sessions Judges to exercise jurisdiction in one or more such Courts.

All Courts of Session existing when this Code comes into force, shall be deemed to have been established under this Act.

The officers mentioned in para 2 are consequently Courts of Session to the extent of their respective powers, and are included within that term when used in this Code.

10 [S. 35.] In every District outside the Presidency-towns, the Local Government shall appoint a Magistrate of the first class, who shall be called the District Magistrate.

District Magistrate.

Magistrate.

It has been held that the term 'Zilla Magistrate' used in the Bombay Regulations signifies only the Magistrate of the District.—Prabhakar N. Soman, 3 Bomb. 11. *Crown cases*: Hira Jiva, 7 Ibid, 59.

11 [S. 55.] Whenever, in consequence of the office of District Magistrate becoming vacant, any officer succeeds temporarily to the chief executive administration of the District, such officer shall, pending the orders of the Local Government, exercise all the powers and perform all the duties respectively conferred and imposed by this Code on the District Magistrate.

Officers temporarily succeeding to vacancies in office of District Magistrate.

12 [Ss. 73, 49.] The Local Government may appoint as many persons as it thinks fit, besides the District Magistrate, to be Magistrates of the first, second or third class in any District outside the Presidency-towns; and the Local Government, or the District Magistrate subject to the control of the Local Government, may from time to time, define local areas within which such persons may exercise all or any of the powers with which they may respectively be invested under this Code.

Except as otherwise provided by such definition, the jurisdiction and powers of such persons shall extend throughout such District.

This section which takes the place of S. 49 of the Code of 1872 extends the powers of a District Magistrate. Under S. 49 a District Magistrate could authorise any Magistrate subordinate to him to entertain complaints arising within certain local limits only "under general or special orders of the Local Government." A District Magistrate is now competent to exercise this power "subject to the control of the Local Government" and unless restricted by express orders would be able to act in this respect.

All Magistrates of Districts have been empowered to act under S. 12 in the following Provinces: *BENGAL* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 67): *MADRAS* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 717): *BOMBAY* (*Gaz.* p. 567): *NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 3): *OUDE* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 2): *PUNJAB* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 75): *BRITISH BURMAH* (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 5): *CENTRAL PROVINCES* (*Gaz.* 1873, Part A. p. 18).

The following Circular (No. 23, dated 23rd May 1871) issued by the Government of Bengal under S. 49 of the Code of 1872 is important in connection with S. 12 of this Code.

The Lieutenant-Governor, considering that it is desirable that the Magistrates of Districts should have the fullest possible authority and responsibility in regard to the administration of the whole of the districts, is of opinion that the authority of Government to invest particular officers with general powers should not ordinarily be exercised, and should be confined to special cases and special circumstances. Ordinarily he would leave the delegation to any Magistrates subordinate to the Magistrates of the District (whether they are in charge of Subdivisions or not) of the power to entertain cases to the Magistrate of the District himself, who will act under the authority conferred on him by S. 12 of the present Code.

It is to be understood that the former orders authorizing Magistrates of Districts to delegate the power of hearing complaints in certain circumstances are not by implication to limit that power to those circumstances; the fullest authority is now given to Magistrates of Districts to exercise their discretion in empowering any Magistrate or Subordinate Magistrate to hear all cases or any classes of cases or any particular case, according to his jurisdiction and fitness.

At the same time it must be particularly understood that these orders are not intended to encourage Magistrates of Districts to divest themselves of criminal functions; on the contrary, it is expected that they will exercise the utmost discretion in regard to the power entrusted to the Magistrates subordinate to them, whether at head-quarters or in Subdivisions; and since they have been so much relieved by the transfer of rent suits, the Lieutenant-Governor considers that they should themselves take a large share of the criminal business. With this latter view the Lieutenant-Governor is pleased to cancel the orders under which Joint Magistrates are usually placed in charge of a head-quarter's subdivision. He thinks that the Magistrate of the District should ordinarily himself undertake this charge when he is at or near head-quarters, and that it should only be delegated to a subordinate when he is absent in other parts of the district. Exceptions may only be made in the case of the 24-Pergunnahs and any other district in which the general duties of the Magistrate and Collector are of a very peculiar character.

The instructions contained in the last paragraph will, however, not prevent the Magistrate from empowering any of his subordinates in the head-quarter portion of the district to hear petitions in any cases, or classes of cases, or coming from any locality. This distribution of the work will require great judgment and discrimination. Things should be so arranged that neither on the one hand should the Magistrate lose sight of cases which he ought to see or regulate, nor on the other should parties be unnecessarily driven about from one Magistrate to another before being heard. The great thing is, that the people should not be harassed more than can in any way be avoided. The Lieutenant-Governor fears, from what he has heard, that at present they are at some places far too much handed about from one Court to another, and he trusts that this will not be the case in future. It must be remembered that only one officer at one place can have the power to make over a petition to any other Magistrate, viz., the Magistrate of the District at head-quarters, and the Subdivisional Magistrate in his Subdivision, so that it cannot legally be that the Magistrate should hand over to the Joint Magistrate and the Joint Magistrate to the Deputy. It will generally be better that Magistrates whom it is desired to employ in that capacity, and whose discretion can be trusted, should be empowered to hear certain classes of cases arising within certain local limits, the Magis-

trate of the District always keeping a watch over their proceedings besides retaining certain criminal works himself.

Ordinarily it will of course be desirable that Magistrates in charge of Subdivisions should have a general authority to hear complaints and receive cases in their Subdivision. The Magistrate of the District should exercise his own discretion in empowering any other Magistrate in a Subdivision to hear any cases or classes of cases, subject to the power of withdrawing any case which is possessed by the Magistrate of the Subdivision.

It should be understood, however, that even in the case of Subdivisional Magistrates, it is not to be taken as a matter of course that they are to be empowered to hear all cases without reserve. Much must depend on the character of the Magistrate and of the locality, and the Magistrate of the District should limit the power to hear any classes of cases which he does not think it desirable wholly to entrust to the Subdivisional Magistrate. He must always remember that his own responsibility is as complete in the Subdivisions as in any other portion of the district.

The Lieutenant-Governor specially desires Subdivisional Officers to keep the Magistrate of the District promptly informed of any emergent case which they may have taken up under S. 68 (S. 191 of the present Code) or of any peculiar difficult or important case which may come before them in the exercise of the powers entrusted to them, so that the Magistrate of the District may have an early opportunity of advising them and of withdrawing the case if necessary. They should keep him well informed of any action they may take regarding the removal of nuisances and such like matters.

It must be very fully understood that Subdivisional Magistrates are most entirely under the control of the Magistrate of the District, and no attempt to escape entire and honest subordination will be tolerated. The Lieutenant-Governor hopes that he will have no occasion to interfere for the thorough and complete maintenance of this principle.

Magistrates of District, should lose no time in supplying by their own order, under S. 66B (S. 12 of the present Code), the want of jurisdiction to hear cases which may be occasioned by the withdrawal of powers heretofore conferred by Government, and they are directed to submit to the Commissioners of Divisions a report showing what arrangements they have made for the distribution of the criminal work of the whole district. They are competent to alter this distribution from time to time, and to withdraw any of the powers which they have given to any Magistrate, but should keep the Commissioner generally informed of the nature of the arrangements which they make. And Commissioners will be so good as to submit to Government a report showing the arrangements made in the several districts of their Divisions. They should give Magistrates the benefit of their advice with reference both to their own experience and to what they may see of the working of different practices in the various districts, and they should inform Government of their opinion of the arrangements made, and bring to notice anything that seems to them faulty or objectionable, and anything that seems specially worthy of imitation in other districts.

13 [S. 40.] The Local Government may place any Magistrate of

Power to put Magistrate in charge of Subdivision.

the first or second class in charge of a Subdivision, and relieve him of the charge as occasion requires.

Such Magistrates shall be called Subdivisional Magistrates.

Delegation of powers to District Magistrate.

The Local Government may delegate its powers under this section to the District Magistrate.

The ordinary powers of a Subdivisional Magistrate are set forth in Sch. III, No. 4. It would seem that under the terms of section 12 the jurisdiction and powers of such officers, as Magistrates, would extend throughout the District, though in the exercise of any special powers as Subdivisional Magistrates they might be restricted to the limits of the particular Subdivision.

A Cantonment Magistrate is under Act III of 1880 section 3 to be deemed a Magistrate in charge of a Division (now a Subdivision) of a District within the meaning and for the purposes of this Code.

14 [S. 42.] The Local Government may confer upon any person all

Special Magistrates.

or any of the powers conferred or conferrible by or under this Code on a Magistrate of the first, second

or third class, in respect to particular cases or to a particular class or particular classes of cases, or in regard to cases generally, in any local area outside the Presidency-towns.

Such Magistrates shall be called Special Magistrates.

With the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Local Government may delegate, with such limitations as it thinks fit, to

any officer under its control the power conferred by the first paragraph of this section.

No powers shall be conferred under this section on any Police-officer below the grade of Assistant District Superintendent, and no powers shall be so conferred except so far as may be necessary for preserving the peace, preventing crime and detecting, apprehending and detaining offenders in order to their being brought before a Magistrate, and for the performance by the officer of any other duties imposed upon him by any law for the time being in force.

In the Presidency of Madras all subordinate Judges and District Moonsiffs have been invested with powers of a Magistrate of the first class, and all subordinate Judges also with power to hold summary trial, under S. 260. *Mad. Gaz.* 1877, p. 287.

15 [Ss. 50, 51, 224.] The Local Government may direct any two or more Magistrates in any place outside the Presidency-towns to sit together as a Bench, and may by

Benches of Magistrates. order invest such Bench with any of the powers conferred or conferrible by or under this Code on a Magistrate of the first, second or third class, and direct it to exercise such powers in such cases, or such classes of cases only, and within such local limits, as the Local Government thinks fit.

Except as otherwise provided by any order under this section, every Bench shall have the powers conferred by this Code on a Magistrate of the highest class to which any one of its members who is present taking part in the proceedings as a member of the Bench belongs, and as far as practicable shall, for the purposes of this Code, be deemed to be a Magistrate of such class.

Powers exercisable by Bench in absence of special direction.

Two or more Special Magistrates of the town of Benares may sit together as a Bench for the trial of cases within the municipal limits of that Town.—*N. W. P. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 1175.

The following orders have been issued by the Government of Bengal with regard to Benches of Magistrates in the following Districts,—Dinagapore, Malda, Rungpore, Chittagong, Tipperah, Dacca, Backergunj, Mymensingh, Shahabad, Sarun, Tirhoot, Kamroop:

1. Under the direction of the Magistrate of the District, any two or more of the Honorary Magistrates in any District may, in that District, sit as a Bench in company with the Magistrate of the District, or the Subdivisional Magistrate, or any salaried Magistrate subordinate to the Magistrate of the District exercising not less than second class powers, whom the Magistrate of the District may depute for that purpose; and any Bench so constituted is vested with first class powers in respect of offences cognizable by Magistrates of the first class, and with powers of summary trial under S. 260 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

2. Under the special order of the Magistrate of the District, any two Magistrates, honorary or salaried, of whom one is vested with not less than second class powers, may form a Bench with first class powers, for the trial of any particular case or class of cases, specially referred to them by the Magistrate of the District. Such Bench may also exercise summary powers under S. 260 unless the order of reference is for trial in regular form.

3. Under the direction of the Magistrate of the District, any one of the Honorary Magistrates of a District may sit with any salaried Subordinate Magistrate to form a Bench, and the Bench shall, when so constituted, exercise second class powers in respect of offences cognizable by Magistrates of that class and powers of summary trial under S. 261 of the Criminal Procedure Code, unless any Member of the Bench have first class powers, in which case the Bench may also exercise those powers. If the Magistrate of the first class has summary powers under S. 260, the Bench may exercise those powers.

4. Subject to the general orders of the Magistrate of the District, any two or more Honorary Magistrates may, in their respective towns or municipalities, sit together as a Bench for the disposal of offences under Municipal or Towns Acts, and the conservancy clauses of any Police Act, without the assistance of any salaried Magistrate: and such Bench shall exercise third class powers, and powers of summary trial under S. 261 in respect of all such cases.—*Cal. Gaz.* 1873, pp. 17, 662.

The terms of section 15 enable the Local Government to empower Benches of Magistrates to deal with Miscellaneous cases not being trials which they could not do under section 50 of the Code

of 1872. See In the matter of Suffuroodden, 2 Cal. L. R., 268; (S. C.) I. L. R. 3 Cal., 754. It is important that the constitution of the Court should not be changed in the course of a trial. Thus when a Bench of Magistrates invested with 1st class powers commenced the trial of an offence cognisable only by a Magistrate of the 1st class, and at the adjourned trial only one of the Magistrates was present and he personally was invested only with inferior powers, it was held that he could not proceed with the trial.—Baroda Prosunno Chuckerbutty, 2 Cal. L. R., 348.

16 [Ss. 52, 53.] The Local Government may, or, subject to the control of the Local Government, the District Magistrate may, from time to time, make rules consistent with this Code for the guidance of Magistrates' Benches in any District respecting the following subjects:—

- (a) the classes of cases to be tried;
- (b) the times and places of sitting;
- (c) the constitution of the Bench for conducting trials;
- (d) the mode of settling differences of opinion which may arise between the Magistrates in session.

The following draft rules and instructions for the guidance of Benches of Magistrates, to be established after issue of the Circular, have been issued by the Government of Bengal, Cir. 16 March 25, 1880, power being given to the District Magistrate to make additional rules if approved by the Commissioner.

1. The Bench shall try such cases as its powers enable it to try, and it is authorized to try, arising within the local limits following, or such particular cases as are made over to it by the Magistrate of the district or any Magistrate empowered to make over cases. It can only entertain of its own motion such complaints as the Magistrate of the district may authorize it to entertain both in respect of local limit and classes of cases.

[Here enter Local Limits.]

The Magistrate of the district may nominate any single member or members of a Bench, or any salaried Magistrate, to receive complaints of cases triable by the Bench, and which the Magistrates nominated are themselves empowered to try or commit for trial. In the event of the complaint not being received by the Bench itself, the District or Subdivisional Magistrate may transfer the case to the Bench, and issue the necessary processes for bringing the accused and the witnesses before the Bench at its regular sittings.

2. The Bench shall sit at the place and on the dates mentioned below. The Honorary Magistrates will sit in the rotation arranged by the Magistrate of the district or division, but any Magistrate not named may sit, provided he is not personally interested in the case before the Bench.

[Here enter place and ordinary dates of sitting.]

3. The Bench may hold one or more adjourned sittings if this be found necessary for the disposal of business or of part-heard cases; but it shall be open to the Bench at the close of its regular sitting either to apply to the District or Sub-divisional Magistrate to transfer from their file any unheard cases, or to postpone them to next Bench day, as may seem most convenient.

4. The Chairman of the Bench for the time being shall be the Magistrate of highest powers present at a sitting. Where two or more are of equal powers, the Bench may elect its own Chairman, provided always that it shall be in the discretion of the Magistrate of the District to appoint the Chairman for each time of sitting, or generally.

5. The Chairman shall maintain order, conduct the proceedings of the Court, and exercise all the functions in that behalf usually exercised by a Magistrate when sitting alone. It shall be open to any member of the Bench to put any question to the witnesses, either direct or through the Chairman as the latter may deem advisable, and to suggest any matter for the Chairman's consideration.

6. Each member of the Bench shall have a voice in deciding as to the admissibility of evidence and in the finding and sentence. In a Bench of three or other uneven number of members the opinion of the majority shall prevail. When the numbers are even, the Chairman shall have a casting vote.

7. In the trial of ordinary cases the Chairman shall generally record the evidence and judgment, but such duty may, with his consent, be performed by any one of his colleagues.

In the trial of summary cases, where the Bench has been invested with summary powers, the necessary record shall be prepared by the Chairman or one of his colleagues, or by means of the Clerk of the Court, but in every case the record must be signed by each member of the Bench who is present.

8. Any part-heard case postponed to a further sitting of the Bench may be proceeded with if any member of the Bench has been present at the previous hearing in the case, but subject to the provisions of section 350 of the Code.

9. The Bench may refer any point of law for the opinion of the Magistrate of the district or division, or of any first-class Magistrate appointed by the Magistrate of the district for that purpose, and the Magistrate may certify his opinion thereon.

10. When any person is convicted in an appealable case by the casting vote of the Chairman, the Chairman shall then and there ask him if he wishes to appeal, and if he desires to do so, shall forthwith record his appeal in brief, and forward the case to the Magistrate, intimating to the person convicted that he may file any grounds of appeal he pleases.

A complicated and somewhat difficult case should not be referred by the District Magistrate to a Bench. *Bholanath Sen, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 23 ; (S. C.) 25 W. R. 57.*

17 [Ss. 37, 41.] All Magistrates appointed under sections 12, 13 and 14, and all Benches constituted under section 15, shall be subordinate to the District Magistrate, and he may, from time to time, make rules consistent with this Code as to the distribution of business among such Magistrates and Benches ; and

every Magistrate (other than a Sub-divisional Magistrate) and every Bench exercising powers in a Subdivision shall be subordinate to the Subdivisional Magistrate, subject, however, to the general control of the District Magistrate.

All Assistant Sessions Judges shall be subordinate to the Sessions Judge in whose Court they exercise jurisdiction, and he may, from time to time, make rules consistent with this Code as to the distribution of business among such Assistant Sessions Judges.

Neither the District Magistrate nor the Magistrates or Benches appointed or constituted under sections 12, 13, 14 and 15 shall be subordinate to the Sessions Judge, except to the extent and in the manner hereinafter expressly provided.

The subordination of Magistrates to the Sessions Judge would thus be restricted to cases regularly coming before him on appeal (section 408) or committed for trial in his Court (section 193) ; to matters taken up by him under section 435 in order to satisfy himself as to the correctness, legality or propriety of any finding, sentence or order, or the regularity of any proceedings ; and to cases in which a person ordered by a Magistrate to give security for more than one year does not give it. (section 123.)

District Magistrates should comply with all requisitions for records, returns and information made by Sessions Judges with regard to any case appealable to them or referable by them to the High Court whether decided by the District Magistrate or by other Magisterial officers of the District or made by Sessions Judges under orders of the High Court in the exercise of their duty and superintendence over the Subordinate Courts. They should also render any explanation which Sessions Judges may require from them and obtain and submit any explanation which Sessions Judges may require from Subordinate Magistrates in order to assist the appellate Courts in respect of these classes of cases above referred to. *Government of India, No. 1753. Nov. 3rd, 1876, Circulated by Calcutta High Court, No. 4. Dec. 16th, 1876.*

D.—Courts of Presidency Magistrates.

18 [Act IV, 1877, Ss. 8, 9.] The Local Government shall, from time to time, appoint a sufficient number of persons (hereinafter called Presidency Magistrates) to be Magistrates for each of the Presidency-towns, and shall appoint one of such persons to be Chief Magistrate for each such town.

Any two or more of such persons may (subject to the rules made by the Chief Magistrate under the power hereinafter conferred) sit together as a Bench.

19 [Act IV, 1877, S. 8, para. 5.] Every Presidency Magistrate shall exercise jurisdiction in all places within the Presidency-town for which he is appointed and within the limits of the port of such town and of any navigable river or channel leading thereto, as such limits are defined under the law for the time being in force for the regulation of ports and portdues.

20 [Act IV, 1877, S. 8, last para. S. 9, last para.] Every Presidency Magistrate in the town of Bombay shall exercise all jurisdiction which, under any law in force immediately before the first day of April 1877, was exercised in that town by the Court of Petty Sessions:

Provided that appeals under the law for the time being regulating the municipality of Bombay shall lie to the Chief Magistrate only.

21 [Act IV, 1877, S. 9.] Every Chief Magistrate shall exercise within the local limits of his jurisdiction all the powers conferred on him by this Code or which by any law or rule in force immediately before this Code comes into force are required to be exercised by any Senior or Chief Magistrate, and may, from time to time, with the previous sanction of the Local Government, make rules consistent with this Code to regulate—

- (a) the conduct and distribution of business and the practice in the Courts of the Magistrates of the town;
- (b) the times and places at which Benches of Magistrates shall sit;
- (c) the constitution of such Benches; and
- (d) the mode of settling differences of opinion which may arise between Magistrates in session.

E.—Justices of the Peace.

22 [Act II, 1869, S. 3.] The Governor-General in Council, so far as regards the whole or any part of British India outside the Presidency-towns,

and every Local Government, so far as regards the territories subject to its administration (other than the towns aforesaid),

may, by notification in the official Gazette, appoint such European British subjects as he or it thinks fit to be Justices of the Peace within and for the territories mentioned in such notification.

The jurisdiction of such Justices of the Peace would be confined to cases against European British subjects, but it should be observed, first, that only European British subjects can be so appointed Justices of the Peace, and, next, that unless the officer so appointed is also a Magistrate of the first class, a Sessions Judge, or an Assistant Sessions Judge specially empowered by the Local Government and of at least three years' service in that office, he is not competent to inquire into or try any charge against such person. (Sections 443, 444.) Any Magistrate can, however, take cognizance of an offence committed by an European British subject to the same extent as if it had been committed by another person and issue process to compel his appearance before a duly qualified Magistrate (S. 445).

23 [Act II 1869, S. 4.] The Governor General in Council or the Local Government, so far as regards the town of Calcutta,

and the Local Government, so far as regards the towns of Madras and Bombay,

may, by notification in the official Gazette, appoint to be Justices of the Peace within the limits of the town mentioned in such notification any persons resident within British India and not being the subjects of any foreign State whom such Governor General in Council or Local Government (as the case may be) thinks fit.

The jurisdiction of a Justice of the Peace so appointed would be of a different nature from that of one appointed under section 22. His jurisdiction would be limited to a Presidency Town; his duties and powers are prescribed by various local Acts.

24 [Act II 1869, S. 10.] Every person now acting as a Justice of the Peace within and for any part of British India other than the said towns, under any commission issued by a High Court, shall be deemed to have been appointed under section 22 by the Governor General in Council to act as a Justice of the Peace for the whole of British India other than the said towns.

Every person now acting as a Justice of the Peace within the limits of any of the said towns under any such commission shall be deemed to have been appointed under section 23 by the Local Government.

25 [13 Geo. III, C. 63, S. 38; Act X, 1875, S. 152; Act IV, 1877, S. 8.] In virtue of their respective offices, the Governor General, the Ordinary Members of the Council of the Governor General, the Judges of the High Courts and the Recorder of Rangoon are Justices of the Peace within and for the whole of British India, and the Presidency Magistrates are Justices of the Peace within and for the towns of which they are respectively Magistrates.

F.—Suspension and Removal.

26 [S. 9.] All Judges of Criminal Courts other than the High Courts established by Royal Charter, and all Magistrates, may be suspended or removed from office by the Local Government:

Provided that such Judges and Magistrates as now are liable to be suspended or removed from office by the Governor General in Council only, shall not be suspended or removed from office by any other authority.

27 [Act II, 1869, S. 9.] The Governor General in Council may suspend or remove from office any Justice of the Peace appointed by him, and the Local Government may suspend or remove from office any Justice of the Peace appointed by it.

CHAPTER III.

POWERS OF COURTS.

A.—Description of offences cognizable by each Court.

28 [S. 8; Act IV, 1877, S. 4.] Subject to the other provisions of this Code, any offence under the Indian Penal Code may be tried by the High Court or Court of Session

or by any other Court by which such offence is shown in the eighth column of the second schedule to be triable.

"Subject to the other provisions of the Code."—Thus, for instance, except in certain cases of contempt of Court committed in its view or presence (section 480) or of certain offences such as perjury, forgery or offences against public justice of various kinds committed in or in relation to any proceeding in such Court (section 477), no Court of Session can take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original jurisdiction unless upon commitment by a competent Court or Magistrate (section 194), or on a reference made by a District Magistrate in exercise of special powers under sections 30, 34, or by an Assistant Sessions Judge. (Section 380.)

So also no Court can take cognizance of certain offences committed in contempt of the authority of a public servant or committed in or in relation to any proceeding in any Court or committed by a party to a proceeding in any Court with respect to a document given in evidence therein without special sanction or complaint of that or a superior Court (section 195); or of any offence against the State without the authority or on complaint of Government (section 196); or of an offence committed by a Judge or public servant not removable from office without sanction of Government unless special sanction had been previously accorded (section 197); or of an offence under Chapter XIX (Breach of Contract) or Chapter XXI (Defamation) or sections 493—496 (relating to marriage) of the Penal Code except on complaint of an aggrieved person (section 198); or of an offence under section 497 (adultery) or section 498 (enticing away of a married woman) of the Penal Code without complaint of the husband of the woman or her temporary guardian. The jurisdiction of a Magistrate would further depend upon the due observance of the conditions requisite for initiation of the proceedings (sections 191, 192).

A High Court may take cognizance of any offence upon a commitment made to it. Such commitment in a Presidency Town may be made by any Presidency Magistrate, in which case the accused may be a European British subject, a native of British India or of any other country, and the offence may be one triable exclusively by a High Court, or one which in the opinion of the Magistrate ought to be tried by such Court. But as regard cases arising in British India outside a Presidency town the committing Magistrate must himself be a European British subject, a Justice of the Peace and a Magistrate of the first class. (section 443), the offence charged must be one punishable with death or with transportation for life (section 467), and the accused must be either a European British subject or one charged jointly with a European British subject who has been so committed for trial. (Section 452.) Similar cases but for less heinous offences should be committed by such Magistrates to a Sessions Judge who must also be an European British subject (section 444). If the Sessions Judge considers that the maximum sentence which he can pass, *viz.*, imprisonment for one year or fine or both, is not adequate for the offence committed he is required to record his opinion to that effect, stay the trial, and transfer the case to the High Court. (Section 449.)

29 [S. 8, para. 1.] Any offence under any other law shall, when any offences under other Court is mentioned in this behalf in such law, be laws. tried by such Court.

When no Court is so mentioned, it may be tried by the High Court or by any Court constituted under this Code: Provided that—

(a) no Magistrate of the first class shall try any such offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may exceed seven years;

(b) no Magistrate of the second class shall try any such offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years; and

(c) no Magistrate of the third class shall try any such offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year.

An offence under a local or special law punishable with fine only would be triable by the High Court or any Court constituted under this Code.

An offence under the Indian Railway Act (IV of 1879) or the Indian Registration Act (III of 1877) can be tried only by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate whose powers are not less than those of the second class.—Act IV of 1879, S. 50; Act III of 1877, S. 83.

30 [S. 36.] In the territories respectively administered by the Lieutenant-Governor of the Panjab and the Chief Commissioners of Oudh, the Central Provinces, British Burma, Coorg, and Assam, and in those parts of the other Provinces in which there are Deputy Commissioners or Assistant Commissioners, the

Local Government may, notwithstanding anything contained in section 29 invest the District Magistrate with power to try as a Magistrate all offences not punishable with death.

Any District Magistrate so empowered can pass sentence of imprisonment not exceeding seven years including such solitary confinement as is authorized by law, or of fine, or of whipping, or of any combination of these punishments authorized by law, provided that every sentence of imprisonment exceeding three years shall be subject to the confirmation of the Sessions Court. Section 34. Section 380 provides for the confirmation of such orders, and section 408 for appeals.

In Bengal, Deputy Commissioners of all Districts in the Divisions of Chota Nagpore, and Assam, as well as of Julpigoree, the Sonthal Pergunahs and Cachar have been invested with powers under S. 30.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 67 : In the N. W. PROVINCES, all Deputy Commissioners in the Jhansi Division—*N. W. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 3 ; and in the Province of Oude, all Deputy Commissioners have received these powers.—*Oude Gaz.*, 1873, Part I, p. 1.

B.—Sentences which may be passed by Courts of various Classes.

Sentences which High
Courts and Sessions
Judges may pass.

31 [Ss. 15, 17, 18.] A High Court may pass any sentence authorized by law.

A Sessions Judge, Additional Sessions Judge or Joint Sessions Judge may pass any sentence authorized by law ; but any sentence of death passed by any such Judge shall be subject to confirmation by the High Court.

An Assistant Sessions Judge may pass any sentence authorized by law, except a sentence of death or of transportation for a term exceeding seven years or of imprisonment for a term exceeding seven years ; but any sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three years passed by an Assistant Sessions Judge shall be subject to confirmation by the Sessions Judge.

The powers exercised by an Assistant Sessions Judge are, generally, the same as those which may be conferred under section 30 on District Magistrates in certain specified parts of British India, except in the trial of European British subjects which a specially empowered District Magistrate can hold only as a Magistrate and Justice of the Peace if he is himself a European British subject : the sentence of imprisonment which the latter officer can pass in such a case cannot exceed three months or fine of one thousand Rupees or both (section 446) ; on the other hand, if the Assistant Sessions Judge is qualified by three years' service in that office and by being himself a European British subject and is further specially empowered by Government, (section 444), he can exercise the full powers of a Court of Session and pass sentence of imprisonment not exceeding one year or fine (without limit) or both (section 449). There are the same rules for confirmation of sentence (section 380), and for appeals (section 408). The form of trial would be different. Cases tried by a specially empowered District Magistrate would be tried under Chapter XXI as trials by Magistrates in warrant cases, whereas, trials held by a Assistant Sessions Judge who is a Court of Session, would be either by Jury or with the assistance of Assessors according to the practice in force.

Sentences which Magis-
trates may pass.

32 [S. 20 ; Act IV, 1877, S. 11.] The Courts of Magistrates may pass the following sentences, namely :—

(a) Courts of Presidency Magistrates and of Magistrates of the first class.

Imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, including such solitary confinement as is authorized by law ;

Fine not exceeding one thousand rupees ;

Whipping.

(b) Courts of Magistrates of the second class :

Imprisonment for a term not exceeding six months, including such solitary confinement as is authorized by law ;

Fine not exceeding two hundred rupees ;

Whipping.

(c) Courts of Magistrates of the third class.

Imprisonment for a term not exceeding one month ;

Fine not exceeding fifty rupees.

The Court of any Magistrate may pass any lawful sentence, combining any of the sentences which it is authorized by law to pass.

No Court of any Magistrate of the second class shall pass a sentence of whipping unless he is specially empowered in this behalf by the Local Government.

The last para. of this section is important as it contains an amendment of S. 20, the corresponding section, of the Code of 1872.

"Imprisonment" means imprisonment of either description (*i. e.*, rigorous or simple) as defined in the Indian Penal Code (S. 53.)—Act I, 1868 S. 2, cl. xviii.

The nature and limit of sentence is generally prescribed by the law defining the offence, and it is within such limits that the powers of individual Magistrates should be exercised subject to jurisdiction to try the particular offence which is set forth in Sch. II, col. 8 of this Code, and to special local jurisdiction (see Chapter XV). But a special law (Act VI, 1864) declares what offences are alone punishable with whipping as the sole or additional punishment.

Ss. 73, 74, Penal Code, thus provide for sentences of solitary confinement :—

Whenever any person is convicted of an offence for which, under this Code, the Court has power to sentence him to rigorous imprisonment, the Court may, by its sentence, order that the offender shall be kept in solitary confinement for any portion or portions of the imprisonment to which he is sentenced, not exceeding three months in the whole, according to the following scale, that is to say—

A time not exceeding one month if the term of imprisonment shall not exceed six months.

A time not exceeding two months if the term of imprisonment shall exceed six months and be less than a year.

A time not exceeding three months if the term of imprisonment shall exceed one year.—S. 73.

In executing a sentence of solitary confinement, such confinement shall in no case exceed fourteen days at a time, with intervals between the periods of solitary confinement of not less duration than such periods; and when the imprisonment awarded shall exceed three months, the solitary confinement shall not exceed seven days in any one month of the whole imprisonment awarded, with intervals between the periods of solitary confinement of not less duration than such periods.—S. 74.

S. 33 *post*, provides for the award of imprisonment in default of payment of fine.

Under certain circumstances the powers of Magistrates in passing sentence are enhanced. See S. 35, *post*.

33 [S. 20 Expl., S. 309; Act IV, 1877, S. 12.] The Court of any

Power of Magistrates to sentence to imprisonment in default of fine.

Magistrate may award such term of imprisonment in default of payment of fine as is authorized by law in case of such default: Provided that the term is not in excess of the Magistrate's powers under this Code:

Provided also that in no case decided by a Magistrate where imprison-

Proviso as to certain cases.

ment has been awarded as part of the substantive sentence shall the period of imprisonment awarded in default of payment of the fine exceed one-fourth of the period of imprisonment which such Magistrate is competent to inflict as punishment for the offence otherwise than as imprisonment in default of payment of the fine.

The imprisonment awarded under this section may be in addition to a substantive sentence of imprisonment for the maximum term awardable by the Magistrate under section 32.

S. 64, Indian Penal Code, declares that, in default of payment of fine, it shall be competent to the Court to direct that an offender shall suffer imprisonment for a term, which shall be in excess of any other imprisonment to which he may have been sentenced, or to which he may be liable under a commutation of a sentence; and S. 65 declares that *if the offence be punishable with imprisonment as well as fine*, such imprisonment, "in default of payment of fine, shall not exceed one-fourth of the term of imprisonment which is the maximum fixed for the offence."

Thus in a case of theft (S. 379, Penal Code), the powers of a Magistrate of the first class would be imprisonment for two years and fine of 1,000 rupees, or, in default of payment, imprisonment for six months, i. e., one-fourth of two years, the maximum punishment that he could inflict. In cases regarding offences punishable with imprisonment as well as fine, a Magistrate of the second class cannot, in default of payment of fine, pass a greater sentence of imprisonment than six weeks, i. e., one-fourth of six months—Phoolman Tewaree, 6. W. R., 51. Similarly, one week (i. e., one-fourth of one month) would be the maximum sentence in such cases for a Magistrate of the third class.

But if the accused person be a European British subject, a Magistrate who is of the first class, a Justice of the Peace and himself a European British subject, can pass a sentence not exceeding three months' imprisonment, or fine up to 1,000 rupees, or both. The imprisonment awarded on default of payment of fine cannot exceed one-fourth of three months.

Sections 64—67 of the Indian Penal Code as originally enacted applied only to sentences passed for offences committed under the Indian Penal Code, but it has further been enacted by Act I, 1868 (General Clauses Act) section 5, passed on 3rd June 1868, that these sections "shall apply to all fines imposed under the authority of any Act hereafter passed, unless such Act shall contain an express provision to the contrary," and this has been reproduced in Act VIII, 1882, S. 1. An earlier Act (V. of 1867) of the Bengal Council contains a similar provision for all Acts of that Council.

It should be noted that the last para. of section 309 of the Code of 1872 has not been reproduced. That para. declared that, "Where a person is sentenced to fine only, the Magistrate may award 'such term of imprisonment in default of payment of fine as is allowed by law, provided the amount 'does not exceed the Magistrate's powers under this Act.'" The present Code by omitting this seems practically to have made no alteration in the law. The powers of Criminal Courts would in the first instance be regulated by sections 64, 65 of the Penal Code or any special law on the subject, but those of Magistrates would next be restricted by the powers of each Magistrate under section 32 of this Code, that is to say, supposing that under the law first quoted a sentence of imprisonment for a certain term could be passed in default of payment of fine, a Magistrate's sentence would be limited by the term of imprisonment which as a substantive sentence he could pass under section 32. If, however, the sentence of fine is a sentence in addition to imprisonment, then the Magistrate's powers of awarding imprisonment in default of payment of fine are limited to one-fourth of his ordinary powers. There is no such restriction imposed where the sentence is one of fine only, and therefore it would seem that the period of imprisonment in such a case depends upon the terms of sections 64, 65, of the Indian Penal Code, and the Magistrate's general powers under section 32 of this Code. See Darba and others. I. L. R., 1 All. 461: Mad. H. Ct. Pro. May 18, 1881, Weir 335, overruling Mahomed Saib, I. L. R., 1 Mad., 277.

A sentence of fine cannot fix a term within which the fine shall be paid, such being contrary to S. 68, and the subsequent sections of the Penal Code.—Cal. H. Ct., 59 and 326, 1862. The imprisonment in default of payment of fine must follow the substantive sentence of imprisonment and cannot be postponed until the expiry of the sentence of imprisonment in another case—Narayana. Weir, 340.

The Government of Bengal (Circular 1060T, 1864) has enjoined especial caution on all Magistrates and Sub-Divisional Officers, that, in all cases in which a person is sentenced to imprisonment in default of payment of fine, if the fine be paid, immediate information thereof be given to the Officer in charge of the Jail, so that the prisoner be not kept in illegal confinement.

In Madras, the High Court holds the Chief Ministerial Officer of a Court personally responsible for giving immediate intimation to the Jail of the payment of a fine imposed on a convict under sentence of imprisonment in default of payment.—Pro. March 12, 1867. Weir app. xxii. (Ed. 1.)

In a case in which the convict had undergone a sentence of imprisonment on default of payment of fine, notwithstanding that the fine had been paid, the Bombay High Court held that it had no power to order the fine to be refunded, but directed application to be made to Government.—Natha Mula. 4 Bomb., 37, *Crown Cases*.

If the offence be punishable with fine only, the term of imprisonment in default of payment of the fine cannot exceed two months when the fine does not exceed fifty rupees; four months, when the fine does not exceed one hundred rupees; six months in any other case—S. 67, Penal Code; and such imprisonment can only be simple. Act VIII, 1882, S. 3.

The imprisonment in default of payment of a fine may be of any description to which the

offender might have been sentenced for the offence—S. 66—but for offences punishable with fine only, it shall be only simple. S. 67 as modified by Act VIII, 1882, S. 3. It cannot be in transportation, —Kunhussa. I. L. R., 5, Mad. 28. It shall terminate either on payment or levy by process of law. S. 68. Or, if only a portion be paid or realised, the imprisonment shall be reduced proportionately, and shall terminate accordingly.—S. 69.

34 [S. 36.] The Court of a District Magistrate specially empowered under section 30 may pass any sentence of imprisonment for a term not exceeding seven years, including such solitary confinement as is authorized by law, or of fine, or of whipping, or of any combination of these punishments authorized by law.

But any sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three years passed by any such Court shall be subject to the confirmation of the Sessions Judge.

See notes to sections 30, 31.

A District Magistrate cannot exercise these extensive powers in the case of an European British subject. (Section 446.)

If the accused person is convicted at one trial of more than one distinct offence and is sentenced to more than one sentence, the aggregate sentence of imprisonment will determine whether it is subject to confirmation. See S. 35, last para. But a sentence of imprisonment in default of payment of fine should not be taken into any such calculation.—Bhagat and another. Punj. Rec., 1882, p. 22. Shumshere Khan, I. L. R., 6 Cal. 624.

35 [S. 314; Act IV, 1879, S. 109.] When a person is convicted, at one trial, of two or more distinct offences, the Court may sentence him, for such offences, to the several punishments prescribed therefor which such Court is competent to inflict: such punishments, when consisting of imprisonment or transportation, to commence the one after the expiration of the other in such order as the Court may direct.

It shall not be necessary for the Court, by reason only of the aggregate punishment for the several offences being in excess of the punishment which it is competent to inflict on conviction of a single offence, to send the offender for trial before a higher Court:

Maximum term of punishment. Provided as follows:—

(a) in no case shall such person be sentenced to imprisonment for a longer period than fourteen years:

(b) if the case is tried by a Magistrate (other than a Magistrate acting under section 34), the aggregate punishment shall not exceed twice the amount of punishment which he is, in the exercise of his ordinary jurisdiction, competent to inflict.

For the purpose of confirmation or appeal, aggregate sentences passed under this section in case of convictions for several offences at one trial shall be deemed to be a single sentence.

The last para. is new, being in accordance with the judgment in the case of Rama Bhivgowda. I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 228.

Except as provided by sections 234, 235, 236, 239 every distinct offence should form the subject of a separate charge and be tried separately (section 233). Many difficulties which have arisen under section 314 of the Code of 1872 now re-enacted in section 35, can be traced solely to a non-observance of this rule. If separate trials are held the sentence that a Magistrate can pass in each case is limited only by his general powers.—In the matter of Daulatia and another. I. L. R., 3 All., 305. FULL BENCH. But where a Magistrate sentenced the accused in each of six successive trials to the maximum term of imprisonment he could award, the Madras High Court set the sentence aside and directed the accused to be committed for trial by the Sessions Court. (The facts of these cases are not given in the report, nor is it stated whether one trial should have been held.)—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Aug. 31st, 1874. Weir 347.

In passing sentence under section 35, the terms of section 71, Penal Code, as amended by Act VIII of 1882, S. 4, should be borne in mind:—"When anything which is an offence is made up of parts, any of which parts is itself an offence, the offender shall not be punished with the punishment of more than one of such his offences, unless it be so expressly provided. Where anything is an offence falling within two or more separate definitions of any law in force for the time being by which offences are defined or punished, or, where several acts, of which one or more than one would by itself or themselves constitute an offence, constitute, when combined, a different offence, the offender shall not be punished with a more severe punishment than the Court which tries him could award for any one of such offences."

If the Court passing sentence under section 35 desires to convert a sentence or sentences of imprisonment into transportation (section 59, Penal Code), it should note that no sentence of transportation can be for less than seven years, and that to render the conversion legal, the punishment awarded for *each* offence must not be less than seven years' imprisonment.

A general sentence of transportation for two or more offences, where only one (or more) of the punishments awarded is seven years' imprisonment is illegal.—1 W. R., 1 C. L.; Mootkee Kora, 2 W. R., 1; Krishna Soonder Deb, *Ibid* 5; Toonooram Malee, 3 W. R., 44; Shonaollah and another, 5 W. R., 44, &c., &c.

The Calcutta High Court has held (736, 1864), that when there are in an indictment two separate offences, supported by distinct and separate evidence, a separate sentence should be passed for each offence; the punishment under the second sentence to take effect on the expiry of the first. If, however, there are two or more offences supported by the same evidence, or very nearly so, a verdict of guilty should be entered on the offence covered by the greater portion of the evidence as the gravest in the eye of the law, and a verdict of not guilty on the other charges. The High Court can, on appeal, if it thinks fit, find the prisoner guilty of any offence, of which he may have been charged and so acquitted, and reduce the punishment proportionately. And again (892, 1864) it has been held that if, after convicting a prisoner of several offences, a Sessions Judge thinks that a sentence of transportation for life should be passed as an aggregate punishment, but that, though a *legal* sentence for any one offence, it is too *severe* for any *single* offence committed by the prisoner, he may nevertheless pass such sentence for such offence, recording in the other cases that no sentence has been passed in consequence of the previous sentence.

The same rule was laid down in the case of Dalapati Rao (1 Mad., 83; (S. C.) Weir, 385), in which the Madras High Court observed that, although the prisoner might have been properly charged in the first instance with both the criminal acts of fraudulently secreting and making away with a letter, and though either act is punishable, under Act XVII of 1854, S. 50, as an offence, without evidence of the other, still, as it appeared that both acts were connected and formed substantially a part of one and the same criminal transaction, and the evidence with reference to such acts was as necessary and material on the first charge as it was on the second, the prisoner must be considered to have been tried and in peril with respect of the whole transaction as one offence on the first charge. The evidence of the making away with the letter was properly a part of the case in support of the first charge and the strongest proof of it. There was, in fact, no part of the evidence on which the second conviction took place which was not properly evidence on the first charge.

The most common instance in which the question arises whether separate sentences should be passed is where a man has been convicted of housebreaking by night with intent to commit theft (section 457 Penal Code) and also with theft in the house (section 380). The High Courts have not been concurrent in their opinions in this respect. The BOMBAY HIGH COURT (Westropp, C. J., Gibbs, Lloyd and Kembell, JJ.) has held that a separate sentence on each of such charges might be passed, provided that the aggregate amount of punishment awarded did not exceed that which the case warrants for the greater of the two offences of which the accused persons have been convicted, and provided also that such aggregate punishment did not exceed the jurisdiction of the Court which has convicted the accused.—Anwar Khan valad Gulkhan and another, 9 Bomb., 172. This case will of course overrule the authority of all previous cases in that Court. It is in accordance with S. 71, Penal Code as now amended by Act VIII, 1882, S. 4.

In MADRAS it has been held that separate sentences may be passed for house-trespass (section 448) and criminal force (section 352).—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. April 10, 1872; 7 Mad. Jur., 299; and for dishonestly breaking into a house (section 461) and theft in the house (section 380). *Id.* Pro. May 8, 1872; 7 Mad. Jur., 300; but see *contra*, Pro. March 30, 1863, Weir, 379, in which it was held that the housebreaking being the graver and principal offence, the prisoner should be convicted and sentenced for it, but not separately for the theft also, though in awarding the exact amount of punishment the Court might reasonably take into consideration, the actual theft in connection with other circumstances of the case.

In the CALCUTTA HIGH COURT the current of decisions has been against the passing of separate sentences.—Tonao Koch, 2 W. R., 63; Chyton Bowra, 5 W. R., 49; Jogun Polloi, 6 W. R., 49; Mussahur Daood, *Id.* 92. Also Jam Churn Kairee, 6 W. R., 93; *per* Peacock, C. J., Kemp, Norman, Seton-Karr, Campbell, JJ.

Similarly it has been held that a convict cannot at the same time receive two sentences for

rioting (S. 147), and being a member of an unlawful assembly (S. 142), since he could not be guilty of rioting without being a member of an unlawful assembly—Meelan Khalifa, 1 W. R., 7; nor for culpable homicide (S. 304), and being a member of an unlawful assembly, the homicide having been committed by one of the members—Rubbeecollah, 7 W. R., 13; nor for rioting armed with a deadly weapon (S. 148), and causing hurt in that rioting—Durzoolla, 9 W. R., 33; nor for theft (S. 379) and mischief (S. 429)—Gunowree Bhooya, 6 W. R., 70; Bichuk Abeer, *Ibid.*, 5; Sahrae and others, 8 W. R., 31; (but see *contra* Narayan Krishna, 2 Bom., 416, in which the Bombay High Court held that, as the prisoner had cut down a tree, and then stole it, and that thus the mischief preceded the theft, a double sentence was not illegal); nor for kidnapping (S. 363), kidnapping to compel marriage (S. 366), and keeping in confinement a kidnapped person (S. 368)—6 W. R., 2, C. L.; nor for enticing away a married woman (S. 498), and adultery (S. 497)—5 Mad., xvi. *App.*; Pochun Chung, 2 W. R., 35; nor for abetment of the abduction of a woman (sections 109, 498), and wrongful confinement (S. 343)—Issur Chunder Jogee, *Suth. Rep.*, 1864, p. 21; nor for dacoity (S. 395), or robbery (S. 392), and receiving stolen property (S. 412)—Bhoirub Seal, *Suth. Rep.*, 1864, p. 27; Sheikh Muddon Ali, 1 W. R., 27. So where a person was convicted of having committed theft of the property of two persons, the acts being simultaneous, a double sentence was held to be illegal.—Sheikh Mooneah, 11 W. R., 38.

A conviction and separate sentences for using criminal force and escaping from lawful custody were also held to be illegal; also of using criminal force and rescuing from lawful custody.—Kali Sankar Sandyal and others,—3 B. L. R., 14 Cr. app.

A man can, however, be convicted of giving false evidence (S. 193), and of making a false charge, &c. (S. 211), and be sentenced separately for each offence, since it has been held that they are not cognate offences, nor are they parts of one and the same offence.—Abdool Azeez, 7 W. R., 59. Nor are separate sentences illegal for rioting armed with deadly weapons (sections 148, 149), and stabbing the man on whose premises the riot took place (S. 324), since they are distinct offences.—Kalachand and others, 7 W. R., 60. Both these rulings seem to be opposed to the principles on which the cases above cited have been decided.

When a man at one time criminally intimidates more than one person, and each person brings a separate criminal charge against him, he may, on conviction, be sentenced separately for each offence.—Goolzar Khan, 9 W. R., 30.

Similarly, when a person was convicted of having forcibly rescued a prisoner from the lawful custody of one Police officer (S. 225), and of having used criminal force to deter another officer from the discharge of his duty (S. 353), a double sentence was approved.—Assan Shurreef, 13 W. R., 75. When several seals of different persons were found in the possession of the prisoner with the intent to commit forgery, it was held that under S. 473, there was a distinct and separate offence committed with respect to each seal, and that a separate sentence might be passed in each instance unless it appeared that the several seals were in the prisoner's possession for the purpose of committing one forgery.—Goluck Chunder, 13 W. R., 16.

Where a person refused to accompany a Police officer who had been directed to produce him, and also resisted his carrying away a pony which he was charged with having misappropriated, it was held that he could be sentenced separately under S. 183, and S. 353, Penal Code—Joy Mohun Chunder, 14 W. R., 19.

A separate sentence cannot be passed for an offence punishable under the Penal Code and also under a special law (The Cattle Trespass Act) for an act punishable under either,—Hossein Ali, 5 All., 50; nor for having possession of forged documents (section 474), and also for using them (section 471).—Nusur Ali, 6 All., 39.

C.—Ordinary and Additional Powers.

36 [Ss. 22, 24, 26, 28, 30.] All District Magistrates, Sub-divisional Magistrates and Magistrates of the first, second and third classes have the powers hereinafter respectively conferred upon them and specified in the third schedule. Such powers are called their "ordinary powers."

37 [Ss. 23, 25, 27, 29.] In addition to his ordinary powers, any Sub-divisional Magistrate or any Magistrate of the first, second or third class may be invested by the Local Government or the District Magistrate, as the case may be, with any powers specified in the fourth schedule as powers with which he may be invested by the Local Government or the District Magistrate.

Control of District Magistrates' investing power.

38 The power conferred on the District Magistrate by section 37 shall be exercised subject to the control of the Local Government.

D.—Conferment, Continuance and Cancellation of Powers.

39 [S. 43.] In conferring powers under this Code, the Local Government may by order empower persons specially by name or in virtue of their office, or classes of officials generally by their official titles.

Every such order shall take effect from the date on which it is communicated to the person so empowered.

With reference to the last para., see *In the matter of the petition of Mahomed Eshak, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 476.*

40 [S. 56.] Whenever any person holding an office in the service of Government who has been invested with any powers under this Code throughout any local area is transferred to an equal or higher office of the same nature within a like local area under the same Local Government, he shall, unless the Local Government otherwise directs, or has otherwise directed, continue to exercise the same powers in the the local area to which he is so transferred.

See *In the matter of Pursooram Burrooh, I. L. R., 2 Cal. 117 (S. C.) 25 W. R., 52.*

The Joint Magistrate of a District was appointed Magistrate of that District, and while holding that office was appointed Magistrate of another District. On being relieved of his office as District Magistrate he tried a part-heard case. Held, that by his subsequent appointment to another District he had, when relieved of the office as District Magistrate, ceased to exercise all powers in that District and could not exercise his former powers as Joint Magistrate.—*Anand Sarup and others, I. L. R., 3 All., 563. FULL BENCH.*

41 [S. 54.] The Local Government may withdraw any powers conferred under this Code on any person by it or by any officer subordinate to it.

Powers may be cancelled.

PART III. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

CHAPTER IV.

OF AID AND INFORMATION TO THE MAGISTRATES, THE POLICE AND PERSONS MAKING ARRESTS.

42 [S. 91; Act IV, 1877, S. 247.] Every person is bound to assist a Magistrate or Police-officer reasonably demanding his aid, whether within or without the Presidency-towns,

(a) in the taking of any other person whom such Magistrate or Police-officer is authorized to arrest;

(b) in the prevention of a breach of the peace, or of any injury attempted to be committed to any railway, canal, telegraph or public property; or

(c) in the suppression of a riot or an affray.

Chapter IX, Sections 127—133, relates to the dispersion of unlawful assemblies. Under section 91 of the Code of 1872 every person was bound to assist a Magistrate or Police-officer demanding his aid in certain specified contingencies; section 42 of this Code has required such demand to be a "reasonable" demand. The refusal of a person to comply with such a demand on the ground that it was unreasonable, might render him subject to prosecution under section 187, Penal Code, for intentional omission to give such assistance, when it would be determined whether the demand was reasonable or otherwise.

Intentional omission to give such assistance is punishable under S. 187, Penal Code, with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to five hundred rupees, or with both.

Persons acting on such demand made by a Magistrate or Police officer should be careful to protect themselves by stating the authority under which they act, or if they have authority in writing, by producing such authority if demanded (S. 99, Penal Code, Explanation 2), as otherwise the persons against whom they may act might offer resistance under the plea of the exercise of the right of private defence.

S. 151, Penal Code, declares that "whoever knowingly joins or continues in any assembly of five or more persons, likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace, after such assembly has been lawfully commanded to disperse, shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine, or with both."

Explanation.—If the assembly is an unlawful assembly within the meaning of S. 141, the offender will be punished under S. 145,—that is, with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years, or with fine, or with both.

Ss. 154, 155, 156, Penal Code impose certain obligations on owners or occupiers of land on which unlawful assemblies are held, or riots committed, as well on their agents or managers.

43 [S. 163.] When a warrant is directed to a person other than a

**Aid to person other than
Police-officer, executing
warrant.**

Police-officer, any other person may aid in the execution of such warrant, if the person to whom the warrant is directed be near at hand and acting in

the execution of the warrant.

The assistance to be thus given to a private person is not as in the case of a Magistrate or Police-officer obligatory, but optional.

Sections 77, 78 provide for the issue of warrants of arrest directed to persons not Police-officers.

44 [S. 89.] Every person, whether within or without the Presi-

**Public to give inform-
ation of certain offences.**

dency-towns, aware of the commission of, or of the intention of any other person to commit, any offence punishable under the following sections of the Indian Penal Code (namely) 121, 121A, 122, 123, 124, 124A, 125, 126, 130, 302, 303, 304, 382, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 402, 435, 436, 449, 450, 456, 457, 458, 459, and 460, shall, in the absence of reasonable excuse, the burden of proving which shall lie upon the person so aware, forthwith give information to the nearest Magistrate or Police-officer of such commission or intention.

The offences specified in this section are the following:—

121. Waging or attempting to wage war against the Queen, or abetment of the same.
- 221a. Conspiring to commit certain offences against the State. (Act XXVII, 1870.)
122. Collecting men, arms, &c., with the intention of waging war against the Queen.
123. Concealment of the existence of a design to wage war against the Queen with intent, &c., to facilitate the same.
124. Assaulting, &c., the Governor-General or certain specified high functionaries of State with intent to compel or restrain the exercise of lawful power.
- 124a. Exciting or attempting to excite disaffection. (Act XXVII, 1870.)
125. Waging or attempting to wage war against any Asiatic power in alliance or at peace with the Queen, or abetment of the same.
126. Committing or making preparation to commit depredations on any Asiatic power as above specified.
130. Aiding escape of State prisoner or prisoner of war, or rescuing, harbouring, &c., such prisoner.

- 302. Murder.
- 303. Murder by a life convict.
- 304. Culpable homicide not amounting to murder.
- 382. Theft after preparation for causing death, or hurt, &c.
- 392. Robbery.
- 393. Attempt to commit robbery.
- 394. Robbery accompanied with hurt.
- 395. Dacoity.
- 396. Dacoity with murder.
- 397. Robbery or dacoity, with attempt to cause death, or grievous hurt, &c.
- 398. Attempt to commit robbery or dacoity, being armed with any deadly weapon.
- 399. Making preparation to commit dacoity.
- 402. Assembling for the commission of dacoity.
- 435. Mischief by fire, with intent to damage property to the amount of 100 rupees or upwards.
- 436. Mischief by fire to a house, &c.
- 449. House-trespass in order to the commission of an offence punishable with death.
- 450. House-trespass in order to the commission of an offence punishable with transportation for life.
- 456. Lurking house-trespass by night, or house-breaking by night.
- 457. Lurking house-trespass by night, or house-breaking by night, in order to the commission of an offence punishable with imprisonment.
- 458. Lurking-house trespass by night, or house-breaking by night after preparation made for causing hurt, &c.
- 459. Grievous hurt whilst committing lurking house-trespass by night, or house-breaking by night.
- 460. Being jointly concerned in the commission of lurking house-trespass by night, or house-breaking by night, death or grievous hurt being caused or attempted.

S. 154, Penal Code, requires the owner or occupier of land upon which an unlawful assembly (S. 141) is held, or a riot (S. 146) is committed, and also any person having or claiming an interest in such land, to give the earliest notice thereof to the principal officer at the nearest Police station, as well as to prevent it, or to disperse or suppress the riot or unlawful assembly under a penalty of fine of 1,000 rupees.

The intentional omission to give information on any subject to any public servant on the part of any person, legally bound so to do, is made punishable by S. 176, Penal Code; and if such information respects the commission of an offence, or is required for the purpose of preventing the commission of an offence, or in order to the apprehension of an offender, the punishment is enhanced. Under S. 202, if any such person knows, or has reason to believe, that the offence has been committed, he is also made punishable for the omission to give information.

The meaning of the term "offence" in these sections was restricted by S. 40 to "a thing made punishable by the Indian Penal Code;" but Act XXVII of 1870, S. 2, has declared that it shall be construed as including anything made punishable also by any special or local law, when that thing is punishable with imprisonment for a term of six months or upwards, whether with or without fine.

45 [S. 90.] Every village-headman, village-watchman, village-police-

Village-headman. land- officer, owner or occupier of land, and the agent of holders and others bound any such owner or occupier, and every officer employed in the collection of revenue or rent of land to report certain matters. on the part of Government or the Court of Wards, shall forthwith communicate to the nearest Magistrate, or to the officer in charge of the nearest Police-station, whichever is the nearer, any information which he may obtain respecting—

(a) the permanent or temporary residence of any notorious receiver or vendor of stolen property in any village of which he is headman, watchman, or Police-officer, or in which he owns or occupies land, or is agent, or collects revenue or rent;

(b) the resort to any place within, or the passage through, such village, of any person whom he knows, or reasonably suspects, to be a thug, robber, escaped convict or proclaimed offender;

(c) the commission of, or intention to commit, any non-bailable offence in or near such village;

(d) the occurrence therein of any sudden or unnatural death or of any death under suspicious circumstances.

EXPLANATION.—In this section “village” includes village-lands.

Certain portions of this section which professes to reproduce section 90 of the Code of 1872 are new. (1) The Report should be made to the Magistrate or Police-station *whichever* is the nearer. (2) In the concluding portion of (a) the words “or his agent” have been added to obviate the difficulty pointed out in Achiraj Lall and another I. L. R., 4 Cal., 603, (3) To (b) the words escaped “convict or proclaimed” offender have been added.

It is not intended that a person, by the mere circumstance of his being the owner or occupier of land anywhere or the agent of such owner or occupier would be bound to give information of any sudden or unnatural death occurring in a remote part of the country from where the land was owned and held. The mere occupation of the house in which such death took place as a residence is not what was contemplated as imposing an obligation to report.—In the matter of Maddoosoodun Chuckerbutty, 23 W. R., 60.

A village accountant does not come within the terms of section 45 so as to make him bound to give the information specified therein.—In the matter of Raminibi Nayar, I. L. R., Mad., 266; nor does a Khazanchi.—Achiraj Lall and another, I. L. R., 4 Cal., 603 (sc.) 3 Cal., L. R., 87. See also in the matter of Maddoosoodun Chuckerbutty. 23 W. R., 60.

The penalty for neglect to report under S. 45 should not be enforced against one who has omitted to give information to the Police of an offence of which the Police has already obtained information from other sources. Provided that one of several parties bound to give the information does give it, it is not reasonable that any one who may possibly also be bound to give that information should be prosecuted for not having done so.—In re Shushi Bhushan Chuckerbutty, I. L. R., 4 Cal., 623.

In order to convict any person for non-performance of the obligation imposed by S. 45, it should appear what the offence is as to commission of which he wilfully omitted to give information; that the specified offence was committed by some one; and that he knew of its having been committed.—Ahmed Ali, 22 W. R., 42.

Further obligations are imposed on village headmen, village watchmen, and owners or occupiers of land by S. 21, Act XXVII of 1871 (The Criminal Tribes Act), and a failure to fulfil these obligations is by S. 22 made punishable under the first part of S. 176 of the Penal Code. S. 176 of the Penal Code declares that whoever being legally bound to give any notice or to furnish any information on any subject to any public servant, as such, intentionally omits to give such notice or to furnish such information in the manner or at the time required by law shall be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month, or with fine which may extend to five hundred Rupees, or with both; or, if the notice or information required to be given respects the commission of an offence, or is required for the purpose of preventing the commission of an offence, or in order to the apprehension of an offender, with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one thousand Rupees, or with both.

The intentional furnishing of false information by any person bound to give information of this description to a public servant as such is punishable under S. 177.

CHAPTER V.

OF ARREST, ESCAPE AND RETAKING.

A.—Arrest generally.

46 [Ss. 177, 178.] In making an arrest, the Public-officer or other

Arrest how made. person making the same shall actually touch or confine the body of the person to be arrested, unless there be a submission to the custody by word or action.

The substance of a warrant should be notified to the person to be arrested, and, if so required the warrant should be shown, section 80.

If such person forcibly resists the endeavour to arrest him, or attempts to evade the arrest, such Police-officer or other person may use all means necessary to effect the arrest.

Resisting endeavour to arrest. Nothing in this section gives a right to cause the death of a person who is not accused of an offence punishable with death, or with transportation for life.

The last para. is new.

Under S. 99, Penal Code, there is no right of private defence against an act which does not cause apprehension of death or grievous hurt, if done by a public servant acting in good faith under colour of his office; provided that a person is not deprived of the right unless he knew or had reason to believe that the person so acting is a public servant; or if acting under the direction of a public servant that unless he has reason to believe that he is acting under such direction or the person states such authority, or if he has authority in writing unless he produces it if demanded.

Resistance or obstruction by a person, if it be to his own apprehension is punishable under section 224, Penal Code; or, if it be to the lawful apprehension of another person, under section 225. Rescue from lawful custody is punishable under section 225.

It will be observed that the law (section 80) requires that, if so required, the person making the arrest shall show the warrant. It has been held in England that if he has not got the warrant and the person offers resistance, the latter cannot be convicted of resisting an officer in execution of his duty.—*Codd v. Cabe*, 1 Ex. D., 352.

47 [Ss. 99, 179.] If any person acting under a warrant of arrest, or any Police-officer having authority to arrest, has Search of place entered by person sought to be arrested. reason to believe that the person to be arrested has entered into, or is within, any place, the person residing in or being in charge of, such place shall, on demand of such person acting as aforesaid or such Police-officer, allow him free ingress thereto, and afford all reasonable facilities for a search therein.

Warrants of arrest may, under sections 77, 78, be directed to persons not Police-officers.

Sections 54, 58 provide for arrest by a Police-officer without warrant.

Voluntarily obstructing a Police-officer in the discharge of his public functions is an offence punishable under S. 156, Penal Code; the intentional omission to render assistance, under S. 187; the harbouring of an offender, under Ss. 202—206; and the intentional resistance or illegal obstruction to the lawful apprehension of any other person for an offence, under S. 225.

Persons not authorized to arrest without warrant are nevertheless specially empowered to act under section 47 for the purpose of arresting in any place in British India a person escaping or rescued from lawful custody. See sections 66, 67.

48 [Ss. 100, 180, 181.] If ingress to such place cannot be obtained Procedure were ingress not obtainable. under section 47, it shall be lawful in any case for a person acting under a warrant, and in any case in which a warrant may issue but cannot be obtained without affording the person to be arrested an opportunity of escape, for a Police-officer to enter such place and search therein, and

in order to effect an entrance into such place, to break open any outer or inner door or window of any house or place, whether that of the person to be arrested or of any other person, if, after notification of his authority and purpose and demand of admittance duly made, he cannot otherwise obtain admittance:

Provided that, if any such place is an apartment in the actual occupancy of a woman (not being the person to be arrested) Breaking open zanana. who, according to custom, does not appear in public, such person or Police-officer shall, before entering such apartment, give notice to such woman that she is at liberty to withdraw, and shall afford her every reasonable facility for withdrawing, and may then break open the apartment and enter it.

49 Any Police-officer or other person authorized to make an arrest Power to break open doors and windows for purposes of liberation. may break open any outer or inner door or window of any house or place in order to liberate himself or any other person who, having lawfully entered for the purpose of making an arrest, is detained therein.

50 [S. 182.] The person arrested shall not be subjected to more restraint than is necessary to prevent his escape.

No unnecessary restraint. Every Police-officer who shall offer any unwarrantable personal violence to any person in his custody shall be liable, on conviction before a Magistrate, to a penalty not exceeding three months' pay or to imprisonment with or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding three months or to both.—Act V, 1861, S. 29.

51 [S. 387.] Whenever a person is arrested by a Police-officer under a warrant which does not provide for the taking of bail, or under a warrant which provides for the taking of bail, but the person arrested cannot furnish bail, and

whenever a person is arrested without warrant, or by a private person under a warrant, and cannot legally be admitted to bail, or is unable to furnish bail,

the officer making the arrest or, when the arrest is made by a private person, the Police-officer to whom he makes over the person arrested, may search such person, and place in safe custody all articles, other than necessary wearing apparel, found upon him.

Any seizure of property so made shall be reported forthwith to a Magistrate who is empowered to pass orders regarding it. Section 523.

52 [S. 386; Act IV, 1877, S. 166.] Whenever it is necessary to cause a woman to be searched, the search shall be made by another woman, with strict regard to decency.

53 The officer or other person making any arrest under this Code may take from the person arrested any offensive weapons which he has about his person, and shall deliver all weapons so taken to the Court or officer before which or whom the officer or person making the arrest is required by this Code to produce the person arrested.

This section is new. It seems to be covered by section 51.

B.—Arrest without Warrant.

54 [S. 92.] Any Police-officer may, without an order from a Magistrate and without a warrant, arrest—

first—any person who has been concerned in any cognizable offence or against whom a reasonable complaint has been made, or credible information has been received, or a reasonable suspicion exists, of his having been so concerned;

secondly—any person having in his possession without lawful excuse, the burden of proving which excuse shall lie on such person, any implement of housebreaking;

thirdly—any person who has been proclaimed as an offender either under this Code or by order of the Local Government;

fourthly—any person in whose possession anything is found which may reasonably be suspected to be stolen property and who may reasonably be suspected of having committed an offence with reference to such thing;

fifthly—any person who obstructs a Police-officer while in the execution of his duty, or who has escaped, or attempts to escape, from lawful custody; and

sixthly—any person reasonably suspected of being a deserter from Her Majesty's Army or Navy.

This section applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

The second clause is new, being a re-enactment of a power given under the Regulations in force before the Code of 1861.

Every person is bound to assist a Police-officer, demanding his aid, in making an arrest in any of the contingencies above specified. Section 42 (a).

Cl. 3. The proclamation under the Code here referred to would have been made under section 87.

Cl. 4. Section 523 requires a Police-officer forthwith to report the finding of such property to a Magistrate who is empowered to pass the proper order regarding its disposal. The definition of stolen property contained in section 410, Penal Code, as modified by Act VIII of 1882, S. 9, should be applied to this clause.

Cl. 6. Section 549 enables the Governor General in Council to make rules for the cases in which persons subject to military law shall be tried by a Civil Court Martial and for the course to be taken by Magistrates in such cases.

Statute 42 and 43 Vic. c. 33 (the Army Discipline and Regulation Act 1879) S. 147 declares that "With respect to deserters, the following provisions shall have effect:—

"(1.) Upon reasonable suspicion that a person is a deserter, it shall be lawful for any constable or if no constable can be immediately met with, then for any officer or soldier, or other person, to apprehend such suspected person, and forthwith to bring him before a Court of summary jurisdiction.

(2.) Where a person is brought before a Court of summary jurisdiction charged with being a deserter under this Act, such Court may deal with the case in like manner as if such person were brought before the Court charged with an indictable offence, or in Scotland, 'an offence.'

(3.) The Court if satisfied either by evidence on oath or by the confession of such person that he is a deserter, shall forthwith, as it may seem to the Court most expedient, with regard to his safe custody, cause him either to be delivered into military custody in such manner as the Court may deem most expedient, or, until he can be so delivered, to be committed to some prison, police-station or other place, legally provided for the confinement of persons in custody, for such reasonable time as appears to the Court reasonably necessary for the purpose of delivering him into military custody.

(4.) Where the person confesses himself to be a deserter, and evidence of the truth or falsehood of such confession is not then forthcoming, the Court shall remand such person for the purpose of obtaining information as to the truth or falsehood of the said confession, and for that purpose the Court shall transmit, if sitting in the United Kingdom, to a Secretary of State, and if in India, to the General or other officer commanding the forces in the military district or station where the Court sits, and if in a colony, to the General or other officer commanding the forces in that colony, a return in this Act referred to as a descriptive return, containing such particulars and being in such form as is specified in the Fifth Schedule to this Act, or as may be from time to time directed by a Secretary of State."

In addition to the cases provided for by S. 54 of the Code any Police-officer or village watchman may arrest without a warrant and take before a Magistrate any person registered under Act XXVII of 1871 (The Criminal Tribes Act) who is found in any part of British India beyond the limits prescribed for his residence without such pass as is required, or in a place or at a time not permitted by his pass, or who escapes from a reformatory settlement.

Whenever any person apparently a European vagrant refuses or fails to comply with any requisition made by a Police-officer under S. 4 of the European Vagrancy Act; whenever any person of European extraction commits an offence under S. 23 in view of a Police-officer; and whenever any Police-officer has reason to believe that such offence has been or is being committed, the person so refusing or failing or offending may be forthwith arrested without warrant by the Police-officer for the purpose of being produced in the usual manner before the officer empowered to deal with the case. (Rules prepared by the Governor General in Council under the European Vagrancy Act. Rule No. III.)

Any Police-officer may also arrest any person committing an offence under the Indian Railways Act (IV of 1879) when there is reason to believe that he will abscond or whose name and address are unknown, concealed, or falsely given; but he should be released if he gives sufficient security to appear before a Magistrate, (Act IV of 1879, S. 48): also any person committing certain specified offences under the Indian Railways Act (IV of 1879), S. 49; also any person going armed and without a license in contravention of the Arms Act. (Act XI of 1878, S. 13).

Act V of 1861, section 34, gives Police-officers power to arrest in the following cases:—

"Any persons who, on any road or in any street or thoroughfare within the limits of any town to which this section shall be specially extended by the Local Government, commit any of the following offences, to the obstruction, inconvenience, annoyance, risk, danger, or damage of the residents and passengers, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be liable to fine not exceeding fifty rupees, or to imprisonment not exceeding eight days; and it shall be lawful for any Police-officer to take into

custody, without a warrant, any person who, within his view, commits any of such offences namely :—

"*First*.—Any person who slaughters any cattle, or cleans any carcass ; any person who rides or drives any cattle recklessly or furiously ; or trains or breaks any horse or other cattle.

"*Second*.—Any person who wantonly or cruelly beats, abuses, or tortures any animal.

"*Third*.—Any person who keeps any cattle or conveyance of any kind standing longer than is required for loading or unloading, or for taking up or setting down passengers, or who leaves any conveyance in such manner as to cause inconvenience or danger to the public.

"*Fourth*.—Any person who exposes any goods for sale.

"*Fifth*.—Any person who throws or lays down any dirt, filth, rubbish, or any stones, or building materials ; or who constructs any cowshed, stable, or the like ; or who causes any offensive matter to run from any house, factory, dung-heap, or the like.

"*Sixth*.—Any person who is found drunk or riotous, or who is incapable of taking care of himself.

"*Seventh*.—Any person who wilfully and indecently exposes his person, or any offensive deformity or disease, or commits nuisance by casing himself, or by bathing or washing in any tank or reservoir not being a place set apart for that purpose.

"*Eighth*.—Any person who neglects to fence in, or duly to protect any well, tank, or other dangerous place or structure."

The powers of a Local Government under this law have been conferred by the Governor-General in Council on the Chief Commissioners of Oude, the Central Provinces, and British Burmah.—*Gazette of India*, 1867, p. 1409.

Act XV, 1873, section 35, empowers Police-officers in any Municipality in the N. W. PROVINCES and in Oude, to which that Act has been extended, to exercise the powers given by Act V, 1861, section 34.

Police officers in BENGAL may also arrest in Calcutta or its suburbs or in any place to which Act I (Bengal Council) of 1869 (an Act for the prevention of cruelty to animals) has been extended, any person committing in their view any offence under that Act—Act III of 1869 (Bengal Council).

In BENGAL any Police-officer may arrest

(1) any person carrying or in possession of contraband salt. Act VII (Bengal Council) of 1864, S. 24 ;

(2) any native officer or Sepoy, except Subadars, Jamadars and Serangs, wearing their uniform coats when not employed on the public service. Reg. (Bengal) XX of 1817, S. 30 ;

and, if so empowered by the Local Government,

any person in possession of an unlicensed still or any excisable article liable to confiscation or engaged in the unlawful manufacture or sale of such excisable articles. Act VII (Bengal Council) 1878, Ss. 41, 39 ; also,

the occupier of the house, boat or place, and all other persons concerned in the manufacture of such excisable articles or in the keeping or concealing of the same provided that an officer not below the grade of head constable of Police be present. Act VII (Bengal Council) 1878, Ss. 41, 40.

In CALCUTTA the Deputy Commissioner of Police is empowered to select Police-officers to exercise these powers under Act VII, 1878 (S. 42,) and in the town and suburbs of Calcutta or in Howrah any Police-officer above the rank of constable may arrest the owner or occupier of the premises of a chemist, druggist, apothecary, or keeper of a Dispensary who allows any spirituous or medicated liquor, not *bond fide* medicated, to be drunk on his business premises between sunset and sunrise by any person not employed in that business. S. 43.

In MADRAS, any Police-officer may take any person in possession of or carrying in any public street, thoroughfare, or place, or in any open shop any liquor requiring a pass and for which no valid pass is produced.—Act III (Madras Council) 1864, S. 26. See also—Act V (Madras Council) 1879, S. 4, cl. viii, ix.

An officer in charge of a Police-station in the presence of another Police-officer may between sunrise and sunset break into any house, boat or place believed to be used for the manufacture or concealment of contraband salt, if the delay in obtaining a warrant from a Magistrate will prevent such discovery and arrest all persons concerned in the manufacture, keeping or concealing of the salt.—Act V, (Madras Council) 1871, S. 17.

In BENGAL, the NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, OUDH and ASSAM, in which Act I of 1882 (the Inland Emigration Act) is in force, the Police are required to take charge of a labourer who has deserted from his employer's service and been arrested by such employer, and to take him to the nearest Magistrate. S. 172.

In a case in which it was held that the Police had abused their powers of arrest, the Calcutta High Court made the following remarks :—

"What is a reasonable complaint or suspicion must depend on the circumstances of each particular case, but it must be at least founded on some definite fact tending to throw suspicion on the person arrested, and not on mere vague surmise or information. Still less have the Police power

to arrest persons, as they appear sometimes to do, merely on the chance of something being proved hereafter against them. Any wilful excess by a Police-officer of his legal powers of arrest is, by S. 220 of the Penal Code, an offence punishable by imprisonment for seven years."—Behari Singh and others. 7 W. R., 3.

But proof of an unlawful commitment to confinement will not of itself warrant the legal inference of malice. Knowledge that such commitment is contrary to law is a question of fact and not of law, and must be proved in order to support a conviction of a Police officer under S. 220 of the Indian Penal Code.—Narayan Babaji. 9 Bomb., 346.

A Police officer detained a person while he consulted his superior officer whether he should take a recognizance. On being prosecuted by the person so detained, it was held that the confinement was without any justifiable ground, but, inasmuch as there was proof that the Police officer acted *bona fide*, though he might have exceeded the limits of his authority, the High Court found that the facts did not amount to the criminal offence of wrongful restraint, for there was no malice, no intention of doing any act of the nature spoken of in S. 339 or S. 340, and no voluntary obstruction or restraint which would render the Police officer liable to penal consequences.—Budrool Hosein. 24 W. R., 54.

The following remarks of the Calcutta High Court, in the case of Behari Singh (7 W. R., 3) already cited, are deserving of attention in this place:—

"If, as is frequently the case, a Police officer, without arresting a person himself, directs some of the neighbours to take charge of him, the Police officer is responsible in the same way as if he had himself made the arrest, the person arrested by his order being in law in his custody.

"Even if a person be rightly arrested it does not rest with the discretion of the Police officer to keep the prisoner in custody where, and as long as, he pleases. Under no circumstances can he be detained without the special order of a Magistrate more than twenty-hours; at the expiration of twenty-four hours, unless the special order has been obtained, the prisoner *must* either be discharged or sent in to the Magistrate, and any longer detention is absolutely unlawful; and though the Code is not so express upon the place, as the time of confinement, still we think it is perfectly clear that it was intended that where a Police officer has arrested any person, the prisoner should not be kept in confinement in any place which the subordinate officer might select, but that he should, if possible, be sent immediately to the Police station, and there kept in the custody of the officer in charge of the station, who is the person entrusted by the Act with the conduct of the inquiry."

A village chowkeedar is not a Police officer within the terms of S. 54 of this Code. He is therefore not liable to punishment under S. 271, Penal Code, on refusal to arrest out of his jurisdiction a person charged with murder.—Kallu and others. 1 L. R., 3 All., 60.

Early intimation of the arrest by the Police of any soldier, European or Native, should be given by the District Magistrate to the Officer commanding the regiment to which the man arrested may belong so as to enable any necessary measures to be taken for the defence.—Govt. of Bengal Cir. 88 Aug. 10, 1874. A similar order is, it is believed, in force throughout British India.

A deserter from Her Majesty's army when arrested by a Police officer should be brought without delay before the nearest Magistrate, or the nearest Military Commander, when no Magistrate is readily accessible.—Act V of 1869, Part III (d).

Magistrates in the capacity of Justices of the Peace are required by S. 34 of the Mutiny Act to transmit to the nearest General or other Officer commanding a Descriptive Roll in a prescribed form to the end that such person may be removed by order of such officer and proceeded against according to law. The deserter should be forthwith conveyed to some public prison if the regiment or corps to which he is suspected to belong is not in India but if the regiment or corps be in India, the Magistrate may deliver him into custody of the nearest military post, if within reasonable distance, although the regiment to which such person is suspected to belong may not be stationed at such military post.—See Govt. Bengal Cir. 111, dated Sept. 12, 1873; see also Smyth, p. 145.

Arrest of vagabonds,
habitual robbers, &c.

55 [S. 94.] Any officer in charge of a Police-station may, in like manner, arrest or cause to be arrested—

(a) any person found taking precautions to conceal his presence within the limits of such station, under circumstances which afford reason to believe that he is taking such precautions with a view to committing a cognizable offence; or

(b) any person within the limits of such station who has no ostensible means of subsistence, or who cannot give a satisfactory account of himself; or

(c) any person who is by repute an habitual robber, house-breaker or thief, or an habitual receiver of stolen property knowing it to be stolen, or

who by repute habitually commits extortion or in order to the committing of extortion habitually puts or attempts to put persons in fear of injury.

The above powers of arrest can without a warrant be exercised only by an officer in charge of a Police-station, but such officer can under S. 56 authorize any subordinate officer to make any particular arrest by an order in writing.

An arrest should be at once reported, and the necessary evidence in proof of the circumstances under which it was made, should be sent in to a Magistrate whose proceedings will be regulated by Ss. 109, 110.

Every Daroga or District Police officer is required to apprehend and send to the Magistrate persons found wandering at large within the district who are deemed to be lunatics and all persons believed to be dangerous by reason of lunacy.—Act XXXVI, 1858. S. 4.

The proper use of a Budmashi Register was discussed by the Allahabad High Court in the case of Baboo Lall and Duttee Lall v. Lieutenant N. M. T. Horsford, District Superintendent of Police, and Narain Singh Katwal of Allahabad:—

“We think it proper to state in this place the opinion which is entertained by us, and we believe we may say also by the other learned Judges of the Court, with respect to the legitimate use which may be made by the Police of Register No. 10, which is more generally known as the Budmash List. For the protection of the public, it has been found necessary in every civilized State to constitute certain persons, officers for the prevention and detection of crime, and to render them efficient, it has also been found necessary to confer on them powers of interfering with the liberties of their fellow-citizens, which, if exercised by private persons, would render them liable to civil proceedings. It is necessary for the efficiency of the Police that they should possess information of the names of persons who are likely to commit offences, and inasmuch as changes must of necessity constantly take place in the members who constitute the Police Force, it is also necessary that the information above mentioned should be preserved. To effect this, registers are ordinarily kept, showing the names of persons who have committed, or who, on strong grounds, are suspected of the commission of, offences. Such a register accurately compiled and strictly reserved for the purpose for which it is designed, the private use of the Police, may be of great advantage to the public in enabling the Police to perform their duties effectively. But if this register be a public register, or if the persons having the custody of it allow its contents to be matter of public conversation, we can imagine no greater engine of injustice and oppression.

“The matter recorded is not confined to facts which have been ascertained by fair and open investigation in Courts of Justice. It does, and necessarily must in a great measure, consist of the results of *ex parte* investigations made in private by the Police. It is, and necessarily must be, in great part, the fruit of rumour and suspicion, and sometimes it may be of malice. Were it permitted that such a register should be kept as a register to which the public might have access, or of which the entries were bruited about, the character of honest men might be blasted, without redress, through the instrumentality of any enemy who could gain the ear or excite the suspicions of the Police. Very shortly after the establishment of this Court, the abuse of this register was on more than one occasion prominently brought to its notice. It had at that time (and we fear the evil has not even yet been cured) come to be regarded as a public register, and Magistrates not unfrequently passed orders, directing the entry of a person's name as a kind of punishment. The Court, on the 9th August 1866, addressed the Government of these Provinces on the subject, and pointed out the probabilities and magnitude of the evils of which we have above made mention, and the Government promptly passed an order that entries should only be made by the District Superintendent or the Magistrate in his capacity of Superintendent of Police, and directed that the register should be considered a private register, and should only be open to inspection by officers of Police. In the present case, had the orders of Government been obeyed in spirit as well as in letter, although the plaintiffs might have been unjustly recorded, they would not have been greatly damaged; but no sooner was the entry made than it became known that it had been made.”

56 [S. 102, para. 1.] When any officer in charge of a Police-station

requires any officer subordinate to him to arrest without a warrant (otherwise than in his presence) any person who may lawfully be arrested without a warrant, he shall deliver to the officer required to make the arrest an order in writing, specifying the person to be arrested and the offence for which the arrest is to be made.

If the offence is a cognizable offence an arrest can be made by any Police-officer on his own responsibility, and without any warrant or order in writing S. 54, cl. (v): a warrant of arrest may be endorsed by one Police-officer for service by another (S. 80); but an order in writing under S. 56 is apparently personal and restricted to the officer to whom it is addressed.

57 [S. 93.] When any person in the presence of a Police-officer commits or is accused of committing a non-cognizable offence, and refuses on demand of a Police-officer to give his name and residence, or gives a name or residence which such officer has reason to believe to be false, he may be arrested by such officer in order that his name or residence may be ascertained; and he shall, within twenty-four hours from the arrest, be forwarded to the nearest Magistrate, unless, before the expiration of that time, his true name and residence are ascertained, in which case he shall be released on his executing a bond for his appearance before a Magistrate if so required.

No Police officer can without special order from a Magistrate of the 1st or 2nd class investigate a non-cognizable offence, but, on complaint made of such an offence to an officer in charge of a Police-station, an entry of its substance should be made in the complaint book, and the complainant referred to the Magistrate. S. 155.

58 [S. 103.] A Police-officer may, for the purpose of arresting without warrant any person whom he is authorized to arrest under this chapter, pursue such person into any place in British India.

This section goes beyond the corresponding section (103) of the repealed Code of 1872 which gave this power to pursue and arrest any person accused of a cognizable offence. This power can now be exercised in any case in which the power to arrest without a warrant is conferred on a Police officer. See Ss. 54, 55.

S. 58 is however limited to any place in British India but under S. 4 of the "Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act" XXI of 1879, the Governor General in Council may delegate his powers to any servant of the British Indian Government in respect to the arrest of all native Indian subjects of Her Majesty beyond the limits of British India and all European British subjects within the dominions of Princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty.

59 [Ss. 105, 107.] Any private person may arrest any person who, in his view, commits a non-bailable and cognizable offence, or who has been proclaimed as an offender; and shall, without unnecessary delay, make over any person so arrested to a Police-officer; or, in the absence of a Police-officer, take such person to the nearest Police-station.

If there is reason to believe that such person comes under the provisions of section 54, a Police-officer shall re-arrest him.

If there is reason to believe that he has committed a non-cognizable offence, and he refuses on the demand of a Police-officer to give his name and residence or gives a name or residence which such officer has reason to believe to be false, he shall be dealt with under the provisions of section 57. If there is no reason to believe that he has committed any offence, he shall be at once discharged.

No person who has been arrested by a Police-officer shall be discharged except on his own bond or on bail, or under the special order of a Magistrate. S. 63.

60 [S. 101.] A Police-officer making an arrest without warrant shall, without unnecessary delay and subject to the provisions herein contained as to bail, take or send the person arrested before a Magistrate having jurisdiction in the case, or before the officer in charge of a Police-station.

See note to S. 80 *post* for the orders of the Government of India regarding the arrest of Railway servants.

61 [S. 124, para. 1.] No Police-officer shall detain in custody a

Person arrested not to be detained more than 24 hours. person arrested without warrant for a longer period than under all the circumstances of the case is reasonable, and such period shall not, in the absence of a special order of a Magistrate under section 167, exceed twenty-four hours exclusive of the time necessary for the journey from the place of arrest to the Magistrate's Court.

The course to be taken by Police officer is thus prescribed by S.*167 :—

Whenever it appears that any investigation under this chapter cannot be completed within the period of twenty-four hours fixed by section 61, and there are grounds for believing that the accusation is well-founded, the officer in charge of the Police-station shall forthwith transmit to the nearest Magistrate a copy of the entries in the diary hereinafter prescribed relating to the case, and shall at the same time forward the accused to such Magistrate.

Before a Magistrate can grant a remand under section 167, the accused must have been brought before him—*Shera*. 2 Panj. Rec., 72. To remand is to recommit to custody—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. June 10 1867. Weir 277.* A remand to Police custody ought only to be granted in cases of real necessity, and when there is good reason to believe that the accused can point out property or do something that will assist in elucidating the case.—*Panj. C. Ct. Cir. ix, March 15, 1868.* The twenty-four hours during which the Village Police may detain an accused person under Act (Bombay) VIII, 1867, are not to be included in the time allowed to the District Police-officers by this Code.—*Bom. H. Ct. Cir., 1260, 1869; Resn. in Chambers.*

As to what constitutes a detention by the Police, the Calcutta High Court, in the case of *Behari Singh and others* (7 W. R., 3), held that, if, as is frequently the case, a Police-officer, without arresting a person himself, directs some of the neighbours to take charge of him, he is responsible in the same way as if he had himself made the arrest, the person arrested being in law in his custody. In the case of *Puran Kusan Narasaya Pantulu* (2 Madras, 396), the Madras High Court held that the requiring of the attendance of a certain person by letter, and the deputing of two constables to accompany him, under the allegation that their duties were to prevent him from speaking to any one, amounts to an arrest and confinement.

In the appeal of a Police-officer convicted of wrongful confinement (S. 340, Penal Code), the Calcutta High Court held that a Police-officer is not empowered to detain without question an accused person for a period not exceeding twenty-four hours, but rather he is in no case justified in detaining a person for one single hour, except on some reasonable grounds warranted by the circumstances of the case. The time during which a person is wrongfully confined by a Police officer is material only in fixing the punishment for the offence.—*Sheoprosunno Ghosal*. 6 W. R., 88. In another case, in which a Police officer had been punished under S. 29, Act V of 1861, for having detained an accused person more than twenty-four hours, the Calcutta High Court held that, as the detention was not continuous, the Police-officer had committed no offence.—*Indrobee Shaha*. 1 W. R., 5.

Under no circumstances can an accused person be detained for more than twenty-four hours without the special order of a Magistrate; and, unless that special order be obtained, he *must*, at the expiration of that period, be either sent in to the Magistrate, or be discharged, any further detention being unlawful. As to the place of confinement, the Court remarked that, though the Code was not so express on this point as on the duration of the confinement, it was perfectly clear that it was intended that, when a Police officer arrested any person, the prisoner should not be kept in confinement in any place which the subordinate officer might select, but he should be sent immediately to the Police station, and there kept in the custody of the officer in charge of the station, who is the person entrusted by the law with the conduct of the inquiry.—*Behari Singh and others*. 7 W. R., 3.

Every prisoner must be forwarded from a Police station direct to the nearest Magistrate having jurisdiction, and must not be sent to the next superior officer of Police.—*Bengal Govt. Resolution, dated 22nd Sept. 1862, para. 12.*

In all heinous cases, when a single prisoner is sent in, he should be handcuffed; when two or more prisoners are sent, they should be handcuffed to each other, two and two. In cases not of a heinous nature, prisoners should not be handcuffed, unless violent, and then only by order of the officer in charge of the station not below the rank of Sub-Inspector.—*Beng. Pol. Cir., 27, 1863.*

A Magistrate is prohibited by S. 344 from remanding an accused person during the course of an inquiry or trial of warrant case (Chapters XV and XVII) for a period exceeding fifteen days, and this has been applied by the Bombay High Court as the limit of a Magistrate's power to authorize detention by the Police.—*Surkya Valad Dhaku*. 5 Bom., 31, *Crown Cases*.

62 [S. 132, para. 1.] Officers in charge of Police-stations shall re-

Police to report apprehensions. port to the District Magistrate, or, if he so directs, to the Sub-divisional Magistrate, the cases of all

64 [S. 108; Act IV, 1877, S. 15.] When any offence is committed in the presence of a Magistrate within the local limits of his jurisdiction, he may himself arrest or order any person to arrest the offender, and may thereupon, subject to the provisions herein contained as to bail, commit the offender to custody.

"Within the local limits of his jurisdiction." Under S. 12, unless the jurisdiction of a Magistrate has been specially restricted by the Local Government, or, subject to its control, by the District Magistrate, the jurisdiction and powers of every Magistrate extend throughout the District.

65 [S. 166, para. 2; Act IV, 1877 S. 62.] Any Magistrate may at any time arrest or direct the arrest, in his presence, within the local limits of his jurisdiction, of any person for whose arrest he is competent at the time and in the circumstances to issue a warrant.

66 If a person in lawful custody escapes or is rescued, the person from whose custody he escaped or was rescued may immediately pursue and arrest him in any place in British India.

Power, on escape, to pursue and retake.

This is a re-enactment of a portion of S. 112 of the repealed Code (Act XXV) of 1861.
A general power of arrest is conferred by S. 51 (cl. v).

67 The provisions of sections 47, 48 and 49 shall apply to arrests under section 66, although the person making any such arrest is not acting under a warrant and is not a Police-officer having authority to arrest.

Provisions of sections 47, 48 and 49 to apply to arrest under section 66.

This is also a re-enactment of a portion of S. 112 of the repealed Code (Act XXV) of 1861.

CHAPTER VI.

OF PROCESSES TO COMPEL APPEARANCE.

A.—*Summons.*

68 [Ss. 152, 153; Act IV, 1877, S. 47.] Every summons issued by a Court under this Code shall be in writing in duplicate signed and sealed by the presiding officer of such Court, or by such other officer as the High Court may, from time to time, by rule, direct.

Form of summons.

Such summons shall be served by a Police-officer; or, subject to such rules consistent with this Code as the Local Government may prescribe in this behalf, by an officer of the Court issuing it.

Summons by whom served.

This section applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

For the Form of summons see Sch. V, No. 1.

Writing includes "printing," "lithography," "photography," "engraving," and every other mode in which words or figures can be expressed on paper or any substance. S. 46.

Every summons should be signed in full by the Magistrate by whom it is issued, with the name of his office or the capacity in which he acts. The practice of signing initials only, or of using a stamp, is objectionable, and must cease.—Smyth, p. 90. A summons should contain the name of the father of the person summoned, the caste or tribe to which he belongs, and his residence, so as to place his identity beyond doubt.—*Ibid*, p. 92.

A summons should state the place at which the person should attend.—Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Nov. 30, 1874. Weir, 270, (s. c.) 7 Mad. XVIII, App.

One copy of the summons will ordinarily be left with the accused person, the other will probably be filed with the record, together with the return of the serving officer.

The Commanding officer of a Cantonment may send any process requiring service or execution by any means not immediately at his disposal to the Chief Police-officer in the Cantonment for service or execution through the Cantonment Police, and the said Police-officer shall serve or execute such process in the same manner as if it had been executed by the Cantonment Magistrate, and subject to the same rules. Act III, 1880, S. 11.

In BENGAL and ASSAM, under the Court Fees Act, in non-cognizable cases, *eight annas* has been fixed as the fee for a summons in respect of one person, or of the first two persons residing in the same place, and *four annas* in respect of every additional person named therein. (*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 305; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, p. 81.) The process is one whether one or more persons be named therein, and whether such persons reside in one place or not, but an additional fee of four annas is chargeable in respect of every additional person not being the second person of more than two residing in the same village named in the summons: thus, if the summons include one person residing in village A, and a second person residing in village B, the additional fee is chargeable in respect of such second person.—*Ibid*. In MADRAS, the same rates are charged for a summons as in BENGAL and ASSAM except that, for a summons on every additional defendant or witness if applied for at the same time and if resident in the neighbourhood, an additional fee of *four annas* is charged; only half these rates are chargeable if the process is to be served within a radius of six miles from the Court-house, the villages within such radius to be determined by the Judge of each Court, and to be notified in a conspicuous place in the Court-house.—*Mad. Gaz.*, Aug. 1873, p. 1255. Power is given to a Magistrate to excuse indigent persons who may be unable to pay the prescribed fees.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.* Sept. 10, 1873. 9 *Mad. Jur.*, 30.

BENGAL.	
Bajshahye.	Beerthoom.
Bogra.	Chittagong.
Dinagapore.	Noakhally.
Malda.	Singbhoom.
Rumppore.	Lohardugga.
Banooora.	Maunbhoom.
Hazareebagh.	
ASSAM.	
Sylh.	Cachar.
	Nowgong.

In certain districts in Bengal and Assam, in every case in which a process has to be executed at a distance of more than 25 miles from the Court from which it is issued, an additional fee of one-fourth is chargeable; and if more than 50 miles, the fee is increased by one-half.

Further, in certain Districts in Bengal and Assam where during a portion of the year travelling except by boat is impracticable, boat-hire may be charged in addition to other fees. The rate of such boat-hire shall be fixed from time to time by the District Magistrate, (subject to approval by the Sessions Judge in the Districts of Assam) and shall be sufficient only to cover on the whole the actual cost of such boat establishment as it may be necessary to maintain for the purpose of serving processes in cases not cognizable by the Police. <i>Cal. Gaz.</i> , 1879, p. 305; <i>Assam Gaz.</i> , 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 84.	
BENGAL.	
Jessore.	Backergunj.
Pubna.	Mymensingh.
Dacca.	Tipperrah.
Furreeppore.	Noacolly.
ASSAM.	
Sylhet.	Nowgong.
Kamroom.	Lukhipore.

In BOMBAY, the fee chargeable on a summons in a case under Chapters XIX, XX, XXI of the Penal Code is *four annas* and *one anna* in every other non-cognizable case, and it is only in this latter case that the Magistrate may remit the fee, on being satisfied that the complainant has not the means of paying it.—*Gaz.*, 1874, p. 580.

In BRITISH BURMAH, in non-cognizable cases, a fee of *eight annas* is chargeable on a summons on a witness, and *one rupee* on a summons on an accused person; no further charge is to be made for boat-hire; a Magistrate who has power to entertain cases on complaint preferred directly to himself, can, on special grounds, to be recorded, remit the fee on any process issuing from his Court. No fee is chargeable on any process issued by a Criminal Court of its own motion.—*Gaz.*, 1873, Part II, p. 183.

69 [S. 154; Act IV, 1877, S. 48.] The summons shall if practicable be served personally on the person summoned by delivering or tendering to him one of the duplicates of the summons.

Summons how served.

Every person on whom a summons is so served shall, if so required by the serving officer, sign a receipt therefor on the back of the other duplicate.

Signature of receipt for summons.

The refusal to give a receipt for a summons is not an offence under S. 173, Penal Code—In the matter of Bhubaneshwar Dutt. 2 Cal. L. R., 80; Kalya bin Fakir. 5 Bomb., 84 *Cr. Ca.*

70 [S. 154.] Where the person summoned cannot by the exercise of due diligence be found, the summons may be served by leaving one of the duplicates for him with some adult male member of his family, or, in a Presidency-town, with his servant residing with him; and the person with whom the summons is so left shall, if so required by the serving officer, sign a receipt therefor on the back of the other duplicate.

S. 72 provides for the service of summons on a person in the service of the Government, or a Railway Company.

The mere showing of a summons is not sufficient service. Either the original should be left or exhibited, or a copy delivered or tendered—Karsandal Danatram. 5 Bomb., 20 *Cr. Ca.*

71 [S. 155; Act IV, 1877, S. 49.] If the signature mentioned in sections 69 and 70 cannot by the exercise of due diligence be obtained, the serving officer shall affix one of the duplicates of the summons to some conspicuous part of the house or homestead in which the person summoned ordinarily resides; and thereupon the summons shall be deemed to have been duly served.

72 [S. 158 Proviso.] Where the person summoned is in the active service of the Government or of a Railway Company, the Court issuing the summons shall ordinarily send it in duplicate to the head of the office in which such person is employed; and such head shall thereupon cause the summons to be served in the manner provided by section 69, and shall return it to the Court with the endorsement required by that section.

The corresponding section of the repealed Code of 1872 made it optional with the Magistrate to make such special service. The present law declares that it shall be the ordinary mode of service in such cases.

Service should be made through the local head of the office; thus, in the case of a Police-officer, summons should be served through the District Superintendent, or the Assistant District Superintendent in charge of the Subdivision to which the officer may belong.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 14, Dec. 6, 1866. Wilkins, 98. And in the case of a medical subordinate at a Subdivision, through the Magistrate or other executive head of the District, in order to enable him, in communication with the Civil Surgeon, to make arrangements for the conduct of the medical duties.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 1, Jan. 1, 1868. Wilkins, 98. Whenever it may be necessary to summon an Officer or Soldier in Military employ, summons should be sent under cover to the officer in command of the Regiment or detachment with an application for his assistance to serve it.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 24, June 24, 1878. Wilkins, 98.

See note to S. 80 for the orders of Government of India, regarding the arrest of Railway servants.

73 [Act XXIII, 1840, S. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 50.] When a Court desires that a summons issued by it shall be served at any place outside the local limits of its jurisdiction, it shall ordinarily send such summons in duplicate to a Magistrate

Service of summons outside local limits.

within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the person summoned resides or is, to be there served.

74 [Act IV, 1877, S. 51.] When a summons issued by a Court is

Proof of service in such cases, and when serving officer not present.

served outside the local limits of its jurisdiction, and in any case where the officer who has served a summons is not present at the hearing of the case, an affidavit, purporting to be made before a Magistrate, that such summons has been served, and a duplicate of the summons purporting to be endorsed (in the manner provided by section 69 or section 70) by the person to whom it was delivered or tendered or with whom it was left, shall be admissible in evidence, and the statements made therein shall be deemed to be correct unless and until the contrary is proved.

The affidavit mentioned in this section may be attached to the duplicate of the summons and returned to the Court.

B.—Warrant of Arrest.

75 [S. 159 ; Act IV, 1877, S. 56.] Every warrant of arrest issued by

Form of warrant of arrest.

a Court under this Code shall be in writing, signed by the presiding officer, or, in the case of a Bench of Magistrates, by any member of such Bench ; and shall bear the seal of the Court.

Continuance of warrant of arrest.

Every such warrant shall remain in force until it is cancelled by the Court which issued it, or until it is executed.

See Sch. V, No. 2 for the form of a warrant of arrest.

Writing includes "printing," "lithography," "photography," "engraving," and every other mode in which words or figures can be expressed on paper or on any other substance. s. 4, (e.)

Under the Court Fees Act, in non-cognizable cases, the following fees are chargeable on warrants:—in BENGAL and ASSAM, *one rupee* in respect of the arrest of one person (*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 305; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 81.); and an additional fee of *four annas* is chargeable in respect of any person exceeding one named in a warrant *Ibid.* in MADRAS, *twelve annas* (*Gaz.*, August 5, 1873, p. 1255); but only half rates are chargeable in Madras if the process is to be executed within a radius of six miles from the Court-house; and it has further been ordered that, if the warrant remains unexecuted for fifteen days after its delivery to the officer entrusted with its execution an additional fee of the same rate shall be levied for every fifteen days or portion of fifteen days until return is made, provided that such delay is not attributable to the officer of the Court. Magistrates may forego the collection of fees for the service of processes in non-cognizable cases, where the parties are unable to pay them.—*Mad. Govt. Pro.*, Sept. 10, 1873. 9 *Mad. Jur.*, 30. See note to S. 68, p. 46 *ante*, for the additional rates chargeable in certain districts and under certain circumstances in Bengal.

In BARRISH BURMAH, the fee chargeable on a warrant of arrest in a non-cognizable case is *two Rupees*, the Magistrate having the power to remit the fee in any case for special reasons to be accorded.—*Gaz.*, Sept. 27, 1873, p. 183.

In BOMBAY, the fee chargeable on a warrant of arrest in a case under Chapters XIX, XX or XXI, Penal Code, is *one rupee* and in every other non-cognizable case *four annas*; in the last mentioned cases only, the Magistrate may remit the fee if he is satisfied that the complainant has not the means of paying it.—*Gaz.*, 1874, p. 580.

Warrants issuing out of a Magistrate's Court should be written "in the language in ordinary use in the District in which it is held," that is to say (with certain exceptions) in the language in which the proceedings of the several Courts are conducted. But where a warrant is sent for execution to the Magistrate of a District in which a different language is in ordinary use, the warrant should be accompanied by a translation, certified by the transmitting officer to be correct, into such other language or into English. In such cases it would also be proper that the warrant should always be accompanied by a letter in English requesting its execution.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 3, July 25, 1872. Wilkins, 99.

Warrants should be signed with the full name and office of the Magistrate. Initials are no proper signature. Signature by stamp is prohibited.—Panj. C. Ct. Cir. 20, 1869. Smyth 93.

The following observations were made by Sargent, J. (*In re James Hastings*, 9 Bom., 154), as to the necessity for sealing a warrant to ensure its validity, and certain particulars which should be specified therein:—

"Having regard to the opinion that has been generally entertained by the Judges in England that a seal was necessary at common law to the validity of a warrant, and that it is expressly provided by the Code of Criminal Procedure that a warrant shall be sealed, I should hesitate much before coming to the conclusion that a seal is not essential to the validity of a warrant issued under the Code. The reason for requiring a seal seems to be that the attaching of a seal shows that the instrument to which it is attached has not been issued without the deliberation, as well of course as to prove the authority of the instrument."

"I think I am bound to follow the principle involved in the ruling of the Court of England in *Hood's case* (1 Mood, Cr. Cas. 281), which is, that a warrant shall contain a distinct and unequivocal intimation to the person that he is the individual in Court to be apprehended, and must surrender to the officers, and this too the more especially as the form of warrant provided in the Code requires that his residence should be inserted. The issuing of general warrants is, it is well known, illegal, and this though not, properly speaking, a general warrant which means a warrant to apprehend all persons committing a particular offence or class of offences, is however of such a general nature as to justify the Police in arresting any person of the name of James Hastings whoever he may be, or wherever he may be found, the number of persons to be arrested under it being limited only by the limit to the number of persons bearing that name. The warrant in this case is, in my opinion, far more general than was the warrant in *Hood's case*, and I am therefore of opinion that it is bad."

The place where the Magistrate signs the warrant should appear on the face of it.—*Id.*, p. 160.

So in the Punjab it has been ordered that in all warrants and processes of every description, the father's name, the caste or tribe and the residence of the person to be arrested or summoned should be entered so as to place his identity beyond doubt. The warrant should also set forth the Court from which it issues and the name of the District.—Smyth, p. 92.

Where it appeared that there was no sufficient evidence of the commission of an offence, since the criminal acts charged did not amount to an offence without a certain specified intention and that intention was not entered in the warrant, it was held that the warrant was bad and should be set aside.—*Biddumukkee Debee*. 6 B. L. R., 129, *App.*

If the warrant be issued for the arrest of a European British subject by a Magistrate who is not competent to inquire into or try the case, it should be made returnable before a Magistrate who is competent to do so. See S. 445.

76 [S. 160; Act IV, 1877, S. 58.] Any Court issuing a warrant for Court may direct security to be taken. the arrest of any person may in its discretion direct by endorsement on the warrant that, if such person execute a bond with sufficient sureties for his attendance before the Court at a specified time and thereafter until otherwise directed by the Court, the officer to whom the warrant is directed shall take such security and shall release such person from custody.

The endorsement shall state (a) the number of sureties, (b) the amount in which they and the person for whose arrest the warrant is issued are to be respectively bound, and (c) the time at which he is to attend before the Court.

Whenever security is taken under this section, the officer to whom Recognizance to be forwarded. the warrant is directed shall forward the bond to the Court.

Security may be provided by depositing a sum of money or Government Promissory Notes to the amount specified in the warrant. S. 513.

S. 170 provides for the taking of security by a Police-officer from an accused person for his appearance before a Magistrate whenever on investigation there appears to be reasonable ground of suspicion or sufficient evidence that he has committed a cognizable and bailable offence. S. 92 provides for the issue of a warrant of arrest if the person bound over to attend does not appear. Proceedings may also be taken to forfeit the bond. S. 514.

77 [Ss. 161, 164: Act IV, 1877, Ss. 56, 59.] A warrant of arrest Warrants to whom directed. shall ordinarily be directed to one or more Police-officers, and, when issued by a Presidency Magistrate,

shall always be so directed; but any other Court issuing such a warrant may, if its immediate execution is necessary and no Police-officer is immediately available, direct it to any other person or persons; and such person or persons shall execute the same.

When a warrant is directed to more officers or persons than one,

Warrant to several persons. it may be executed by all, or by any one or more of them.

S. 79 enables a Police-officer to whom a warrant is directed or endorsed to endorse it for execution by another Police-officer.

Warrants should be directed to the senior officer of Police in attendance at a Court, by whom they should be registered in a book kept for that purpose. He should then endorse on such warrant the name of the officer who is charged with its execution (generally the officer in charge of the Police Station), and should despatch it without delay. The officer receiving the warrant may again transfer it for execution to another Police-officer, but in every instance a regular endorsement must take place, so that the name of the officer executing the process may be apparent on the order.—Beng. Pol. Cir. 20 1862; 12, 1864.

The Commanding officer of any Cantonment can send any process requiring service or execution by means not immediately within his power to the chief Police officer who is directed to act as if it had issued from the Cantonment Magistrate. Act III, 1880, S. 11.

78 [S. 162.] A District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate may

Warrant may be directed to landholders, &c. direct a warrant to any landholder, farmer or manager of land within his district or sub-division for the arrest of any escaped convict, proclaimed offender or person who has been accused of a non-bailable offence, and who has eluded pursuit.

Such landholder, farmer or manager shall acknowledge in writing the receipt of the warrant, and shall execute it if the person for whose arrest it was issued is in, or enters on, his land or farm, or the land under his charge.

When the person against whom such warrant is issued is arrested, he shall be made over with the warrant to the nearest Police-officer, who shall cause him to be taken before a Magistrate having jurisdiction in the case, unless security is taken under section 76.

"Proclaimed offender." S. 87 provides for the issue and publication of a proclamation for the appearance of any person against whom warrant of arrest has been executed but cannot be executed. S. 64, Cl. iii, contemplates also a similar proclamation by order of the Local Government.

The wilful neglect by a landholder or other person mentioned in S. 78 to execute a warrant directed to him, would be punishable under the latter part of S. 187, Penal Code, with simple imprisonment for six months, or fine of five hundred Rupees, or both.

79 [S. 165; Act IV, 1877, S. 60.] A warrant directed to any Police-

Warrant directed to Police-officer. officer may also be executed by any other Police-officer whose name is endorsed upon the warrant by the officer to whom it is directed or endorsed.

80 [S. 176.] The Police-officer or other person executing a warrant

Notification of substance of warrant. of arrest shall notify the substance thereof to the person to be arrested, and, if so required, shall show him the warrant.

Arrest is made by actually touching or confining the body of the person to be arrested, unless there be submission to custody by word or action. S. 46. Unless he has authority to arrest without a warrant, the person making any arrest should have the warrant in his possession at the time of arrest, for if he has not got it, and the person to be arrested offers resistance, he cannot be convicted of resisting an officer in the execution of his duty.—Codd v. Cape. 1 Ex. D., 352.

The Government of India Resolution 206—3, June 20, 1877 has applied the terms of S. 72 *ante* to the execution of warrants for the arrest of a Railway servant. Such warrants should be directed to a Police-officer of a superior grade, who shall, if he finds on proceeding to execute it that the immediate arrest of the Railway servant would occasion risk and inconvenience to the public, make arrange-

ments to prevent escape and apply to the proper quarter to have the accused relieved, deferring arrest until he is relieved. The Government orders were circulated by Bengal Pol. Cir. July 27, 1877.

[S. 183.] The Police-officer or other person executing a warrant of arrest shall (subject to the provisions of section 76 as to security) without unnecessary delay bring the person arrested before the Court before which he is required by law to produce such person.

Where warrant may be executed. **82 [S. 167; Act IV, 1877, S. 63.]** A warrant of arrest may be executed at any place in British India.

83 [Ss. 168, 170; Act IV, 1877, S. 61.] When a warrant is to be executed outside the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court issuing the same, such Court may, instead of directing such warrant to a Police-officer, forward the same by post or otherwise to any Magistrate or Commissioner of Police within the local limits of whose jurisdiction it is to be executed.

The Magistrate or Commissioner to whom such warrant is so forwarded shall endorse his name thereon, and, if practicable, cause it to be executed within the local limits of his jurisdiction.

In BOMBAY, District Superintendents and Assistant District Superintendents of Police have been empowered to act under this section.—*Gaz.* 1873, p. 439.

84 [Ss. 168, 170; Act IV, 1877, S. 64.] When a warrant directed to a Police-officer is to be executed beyond the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court issuing the same, he shall ordinarily take it for endorsement either to a Magistrate or to a Police-officer not below the rank of an officer in charge of a station, within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the warrant is to be executed.

Such Magistrate or Police-officer shall endorse his name thereon, and such endorsement shall be sufficient authority to the Police-officer to whom the warrant is directed to execute the same within such limits, and the local police shall, if so required, assist such officer in executing such warrant.

Whenever there is reason to believe that the delay occasioned by obtaining the endorsement of the Magistrate or Police-officer within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the warrant is to be executed will prevent such execution, the Police-officer to whom it is directed may execute the same without such endorsement in any place beyond the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court which issued it.

This section applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

In BOMBAY, District Superintendents and Assistant District Superintendents of Police have been empowered to act under this section.—*Gaz.* 1873, p. 439.

85 [S. 169; Act IV, 1877, S. 65.] When a warrant of arrest is executed outside the district in which it was issued, the person arrested shall, unless the Court which issued the warrant is within twenty miles of the place of arrest, or is nearer than the Magistrate or Commissioner of Police within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the arrest was made, or unless security is taken under section 76, be taken before such Magistrate or Commissioner.

86 [S. 170: Act IV, 1877, S. 65.] Such Magistrate or Commissioner

Procedure by Magistrate before whom person arrested is brought.

shall, if the person arrested appears to be the person intended by the Court which issued the warrant, direct his removal in custody to such Court: Provided that if the offence is bailable, and such person is ready and willing to give bail to the satisfaction of such Magistrate or Commissioner, or a direction has been endorsed under section 76 on the warrant and such person is ready and willing to give the security required by such direction, the Magistrate or Commissioner shall take such bail or security, as the case may be, and forward the bond to the Court which issued the warrant.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prevent a Police-officer from taking security under section 76.

In BOMBAY, District Superintendents and Assistant District Superintendents of Police have been empowered to act under this section—*Gaz.* 1875, p. 439.

*C.—Proclamation and Attachment.***87 [Ss. 171, 353: Act X, 1875, S. 82: Act IV, 1877, Ss. 67, 137.]**

Proclamation for person absconding.

If any Court has reason to believe (whether after taking evidence or not) that any person against whom a warrant has been issued by it has absconded or is concealing himself so that such warrant cannot be executed, such Court may publish a written proclamation requiring him to appear at a specified place and at a specified time not less than thirty days from the date of publishing such proclamation.

The proclamation shall be published as follows:—

(a) it shall be publicly read in some conspicuous place of the town or village in which such person ordinarily resides;

(b) it shall be affixed to some conspicuous part of the house or homestead in which such person ordinarily resides, or to some conspicuous place of such town or village; and

(c) a copy thereof shall be affixed to some conspicuous part of the Court-house.

A statement by the Court issuing the proclamation to the effect that the proclamation was duly published on a specified day shall be conclusive evidence that the requirements of this section have been complied with, and that the proclamation was published on such day.

See Sch. V, for the form of proclamation, No. 4, for the appearance of a person accused, and No. 5, for the attendance of a witness.

In BOMBAY, the fee for a proclamation is *one Rupee*, in cases falling under Chapter XIX, XX, XXI of the Penal Code, and in all other non-cognizable cases *four annas*. In the last-mentioned cases only the Magistrate may remit the fee if he is satisfied that the complainant has not the means of paying it.—*Gaz.*, 1874, p. 580.

In BENGAL and ASSAM, the fee for proclamation for an absconding party has been fixed at two Rupees: and for a witness at eight annas—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304: *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 81. If after proclamation an absent witness shall appear, and the Court shall be of opinion that such witness absconded or concealed himself for the purpose of avoiding the service of the warrant on him, such Court may order the witness to pay the costs of the proclamation.—*Ibid.*

In BRITISH BURMAH, *one Rupee* has been fixed as the fee chargeable on a proclamation issued in a non-cognizable case, the Magistrate having the power to remit the fee for special reasons to be recorded.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 197.

In BENGAL and ASSAM, a fee of *one Rupee* is chargeable on a warrant of attachment, when it is necessary to place officers in charge of property *four annas* per diem is chargeable for each officer so employed.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304: *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 81.

If an accused person, against whom a warrant and proclamation have been issued, appears within the term specified in the proclamation, he is not liable to punishment under S. 172, Penal Code: but if he neglects to attend on the proclamation, he is liable to punishment under S. 174.—*Omesah Chunder Bose, 5 W. R., 71.*

The Magistrate of the District can under S. 78, direct a warrant or warrants to landholders, &c. for the arrest of any proclaimed offender, or person who has been accused of a non-bailable offence and who has eluded pursuit.

In BENGAL, rewards for the apprehension of offenders may be offered at the following rates—by a District Superintendent of Police, up to Rs. 50: by the Inspector-General of Police, up to Rs. 500: by the District Magistrate, up to Rs. 200; by Commissioners, up to Rs. 500—See Bengal Pol. Cir., Jan. 8, 1864: Cir. June 3, 1878.

88 [Ss. 172, 353; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 68, 137.] The Court may, after issuing a proclamation under section 87, order the attachment of any property, moveable or immovable, or both, belonging to the proclaimed person.

Such order shall authorize the attachment of any property belonging to such person within the district in which it is made; and it shall authorize the attachment of any property belonging to such person without such district, when endorsed by the District Magistrate within whose district such property is situate.

If the property ordered to be attached be debts or other moveable property, the attachment under this section shall be made—

- (a) by seizure, or
- (b) by the appointment of a receiver; or
- (c) by an order in writing prohibiting the delivery of such property to the proclaimed person or to any one on his behalf; or
- (d) by all or any two of such methods, as the Court thinks fit.

If the property ordered to be attached be immovable, the attachment under this section shall, in the case of land paying revenue to Government, be made through the Collector of the District in which the land is situate, and in all other cases—

- (e) by taking possession; or
- (f) by the appointment of a receiver; or
- (g) by an order in writing prohibiting the payment of rent or delivery of property to the proclaimed person or to any one on his behalf; or
- (h) by all or any two of such methods, as the Court thinks fit.

The powers, duties and liabilities of a receiver appointed under this section shall be the same as those of a receiver appointed under Chapter XXXVI of the Code of Civil Procedure.

If the proclaimed person does not appear within the time specified in the proclamation, the property under attachment shall be at the disposal of Government; but it shall not be sold until the expiration of six months from the date of the attachment, unless it is subject to speedy and natural decay, or the Court considers that the sale would be for the benefit of the owner, in either of which cases the Court may cause it to be sold whenever it thinks fit.

See Sch. V, Form 6 for the form of an order of attachment.

The same fee is chargeable in BOMBAY on an attachment as on a proclamation.

In BENGAL and ASSAM, one Rupee is charged for a warrant of attachment, and where it is necessary to place officers in charge of property attached, a daily fee of four annas is charged for each officer so employed—*Cal. Gas., 1879, p. 304: Assam Gas., 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 81.*

If the property under attachment be a revenue-paying estate the sale will be held by the Collector—Bengal Rev. Bd. Cir. No. 9, July 1878: Cal. H. Ct. Cir. No. 7, Aug. 17, 1878: Wilkins, 99.

89 [Ss. 173, 354: Act X, 1875, S. 83: Act IV, 1877, Ss. 69, 138.]

Restoration of attached property. If, within two years from the date of the attachment,

any person whose property is or has been at the disposal of Government under the last paragraph of section 88 appears voluntarily, or is apprehended and brought before the Court by whose order the property was attached, and proves to the satisfaction of such Court that he did not abscond or conceal himself for the purpose of avoiding execution of the warrant, and that he had not such notice of the proclamation as to enable him to attend within the time specified therein, such property, or, if the same has been sold, the nett proceeds of the sale, or if part only thereof has been sold, the nett proceeds of the sale and the residue of the property, shall, after satisfying thereout all costs incurred in consequence of the attachment, be delivered to him.

A person whose application under S. 89 for the delivery of property or the proceeds of the sale thereof has been rejected has the right of appeal to the Court to which an appeal ordinarily lies. S. 405.

The maximum fine which may be imposed on an absconding witness is 1,000 rupees (S. 172, Penal Code), but regard must be had to the powers of the Magistrate passing the order.

A Magistrate fined a witness who failed to appear after proclamation and attachment of his property. The Sessions Judge, on appeal, reversed the order, on the ground that the appellant's answer to the charge should have been recorded, and that, not being so recorded, the fine was illegal. The Calcutta High Court declared that the Judge had taken a mistaken view of the law, for taking a witness's answer supposes that the man has made his appearance, whereas, as in the present case, if he did not come in, and his defence could not be recorded, he would escape punishment—*Rhedoy Nath Biswas*, 2 W. R., 45. The terms of the law (S. 88), it will be observed, are, "if the proclaimed person does not appear within the time specified in the proclamation, the property under attachment shall be at the disposal of Government," so that his mere absence could place property in the hands of Government. An opportunity is however given by S. 89, for him to recover it on proving "to the satisfaction of the Court that he did not abscond or conceal himself for the purpose of avoiding execution of the warrant, and that he had not such notice of the proclamation as to enable him to attend within the time specified therein."

On his surrender he must be asked whether he absconded or concealed himself. Where the Magistrate failed to do this, the proceedings and sale were quashed as irregular.—*Sheodyal Singh*, 6 W. R., 79. It is for the accused person to show that he has not been evading justice. As he did not attempt to do so, the Magistrate was competent to declare his property to be at the disposal of Government, notwithstanding that through mistake or inadvertence such an order had not been passed, before his appearance within the six months specified in S. 88.—*Bissonath Sircar*, 3 W. R., 63.

The Magistrate should be most careful not to interfere with or disturb the possession of third persons; he has no authority to order the attachment of any property unless it belongs to the party absconding. The claimants are not barred by the sale, and may bring a suit in the Civil Court against the purchasers to establish their rights. When claimants have held back for six months, a Magistrate possibly may be justified in presuming that the property was not theirs, leaving them to vindicate any right that they may have in the Civil Court. He may fairly say that he is not bound to try a question which is more properly one for the Civil Court.—*Chamroo Roy*, 7 W. R., 35; *Chunder Bhon Singh*, 17 W. R., 10.

If the proceedings have been regularly conducted, a suit on the part of the absconding party will not lie.—*Bakhowree Singh*, 8 W. R., 207, *Civil cases*.

While certain property was under attachment by order of a Magistrate under S. 88, it was attached and sold by a Civil Court in execution of a decree against the absconding person. On expiry of the term fixed in the proclamation in consequence of the non-appearance of the absconding proprietor the property became "at the disposal of Government" and was eventually sold by the Magistrate. A dispute consequently arose between the purchaser in the Civil Court and the purchaser at the sale held by the Magistrate which was finally determined by the High Court in favour of the latter. It was held that the right of the Government was under the terms of the law—"shall be at the disposal of Government"—absolute and that the nature of the title obtained from Government was further evidenced by the fact that even if the proprietor appeared and satisfied the Magistrate that his absence was due to no fault of his own, he could not under S. 89, recover his own property

but only the nett proceeds of the sale—Sheikh Gholam Abid v. Tulsiram Bera, Cal. H. Ct., March 5, 1883.

D.—Other rules regarding processes.

90 [S. 148, para. 2 : Ss. 150, 156, 352, 355, 494, para. 1 : Act X, 1875, Ss. 81, 84 : Act IV, 1877, Ss. 34, 36, 53, 135, 136 217.]
Issue of warrant in lieu of or in addition to summons. A Court may, in any case in which it is empowered by this Code to issue a summons for the appearance of any person other than a juror or assessor, issue, after recording its reasons in writing, a warrant for his arrest—

(a) if, either before the issue of such summons, or after the issue of the same but before the time fixed for his appearance, the Court sees reason to believe that he has absconded or will not obey the summons; or

(b) if at such time he fails to appear and the summons is proved to have been duly served in time to admit of his appearing in accordance therewith and no reasonable excuse is offered for such failure.

Sch. V, No. 7, contains a form of warrant to be used under S. 90.

No fees are chargeable when the process is issued by a Court of its own motion solely for the purpose of taking cognizance of and punishing any act done, or words spoken, in contempt of its own authority—Wilkins, 87.

Great care should be taken that a warrant, which always implies personal arrest and restraint, never goes forth when a summons would be sufficient for the ends of justice—Smyth, p. 92. Witnesses arrested under a warrant and brought before a Magistrate should not be treated as criminals, and put into irons; they should be simply treated as persons arrested on civil process—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 21, Nov. 22, 1864: Agra Sud. Ct. Cir. 3, 1865: Jud. Com. Panjab Cir. 2, 1865.

Reading S. 90, with Ss. 93, and 76, a Magistrate is competent to admit to bail recalcitrant witnesses who may have been arrested under S. 90—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. May 11, 1881, Weir, 360.

When the serving officer is present at the day of trial, his statement will be duly recorded regarding service of summons, but if he is not present, or if the summons has been served outside the local limits of the Court's jurisdiction, an affidavit purporting to be made before a Magistrate that such summons has been duly served, and a duplicate of the summons purporting to be endorsed by the person to whom it is delivered or tendered shall be admissible in evidence. S. 74.

91 [Act IV, 1877, S. 140.] When any person for whose appearance or arrest the officer presiding in any Court is empowered to issue a summons or warrant is present in such Court, such officer may require such person to execute a bond with or without sureties for his appearance in such Court.

92 [S. 208, para. 2 : Act IV, 1877, S. 124.] When any person who is bound by any bond taken under this Code to appear before a Court does not so appear, the officer presiding in such Court may issue a warrant directing that such person be arrested and produced before him.

Proceedings can also be taken under S. 514, for enforcing the penalty of the bond.

93 [S. 158, para. 1 ; S. 185, Act IV, 1877, S. 52.] The provisions contained in this chapter relating to a summons and warrant and their issue, service and execution shall, so far as may be, apply to every summons and every warrant of arrest issued under this Code.

No fee shall be levied for any summons to attend as a juror or assessor in a Court of Session. Cal. Gaz., 1879, p. 304 : Assam Gaz., 1879, p. 506. Wilkins, 61.

CHAPTER VII.

OF PROCESSES TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MOVEABLE PROPERTY AND FOR THE DISCOVERY OF PERSONS WRONGFULLY CONFINED.

A.—Summons to produce.

94 [Ss. 164, 365 : Act X, 1875, S. 86 : Act IV, 1877, S. 144.] When-
 Summons to produce ever any Court, (or in any place beyond the limits of
 document or other thing. the towns of Calcutta and Bombay,) any officer in
 charge of a Police-station, considers that the production of any document
 or other thing is necessary or desirable for the purposes of any investiga-
 tion, inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code by or before such
 Court or officer, such Court may issue a summons, or such officer a written
 order, to the person in whose possession or power such document or thing is
 believed to be, requiring him to attend and produce it, or to produce it, at
 the time and place stated in the summons or order.

Any person required under this section merely to produce a document
 or other thing shall be deemed to have complied with the requisition if he
 cause such document or thing to be produced instead of attending personally
 to produce the same.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect the Indian Evidence
 Act, 1872, sections 123 and 124, or to apply to a letter, post-card, telegram
 or other document in the custody of the Postal or Telegraph authorities.

A person summoned to produce a document does not become a witness by the mere fact that he
 produces it, and cannot be cross-examined unless or until he is called as a witness. Evidence Act
 (I, 1872), S. 139.

Ss. 123, 124, of the Indian Evidence Act 1872, relate to evidence derived from unpublished
 official records regarding affairs of State, and communications made to a public officer in official
 confidence.

95 [S. 369 : Act IV, 1877, S. 146.] If any document in such custody
 Procedure as to letters is, in the opinion of any District Magistrate, Chief
 and telegrams. Presidency Magistrate, High Court or Court of Ses-
 sion, wanted for the purpose of any investigation, inquiry, trial or other
 proceeding under this Code, such Magistrate or Court may require the
 Postal or Telegraph authorities, as the case may be, to deliver such docu-
 ment to such person as such Magistrate or Court directs.

If any such document is, in the opinion of any other Magistrate, or of
 any Commissioner of Police or District Superintendent of Police, wanted
 for any such purpose, he may require the Postal or Telegraph Department,
 as the case may be, to cause search to be made for and to detain such docu-
 ment, pending the orders of any such District Magistrate, Chief Presidency
 Magistrate or Court.

B.—Search-warrants.

96 [Ss. 366, 368, 369 : Act X, 1875, S. 87 : Act IV, 1877, Ss. 145, 159.]
 When search-warrant Where any Court has reason to believe that a person
 may be issued. to whom a summons or order under section 94 or a
 requisition under section 95, paragraph one, has been or might be addressed

will not or would not produce the document or other thing as required by such summons or requisition,

or where such document or other thing is not known to the Court to be in the possession of any person,

or where the Court considers that the purposes of any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code will be served by a general search or inspection,

it may issue a search-warrant; and the person to whom such warrant is directed may search or inspect in accordance therewith and the provisions hereinafter contained.

Nothing herein contained shall authorize any Magistrate, other than a District Magistrate or Chief Presidency Magistrate, to grant a warrant to search for a document in the custody of the Postal or Telegraph authorities.

If any person not being duly empowered on that behalf issues a search-warrant for a letter in the Post Office or a telegram in the Telegraph Department his proceedings are void (S. 530), that is to say, his orders will receive no attention.

The provisions of Ss. 43, 75, 77, 79, 82, 83, 84 shall, so far as may be, apply to all search-warrants issued under this section. S. 101.

97 [S. 368, para. 2.] The Court may, if it thinks fit, specify in the warrant the particular place or part thereof to which only the search or inspection shall extend; and the person charged with the execution of such warrant shall then search or inspect only the place or part so specified.

Sch. V, No. 8 contains a form of warrant to search after information of a particular offence.

98 [S. 377; Act IV, 1877, S. 160.] If a District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, upon information and after such inquiry as he thinks necessary, has reason to believe that any place is used for the deposit or sale of stolen property,

or for the deposit or sale or manufacture of forged documents, false seals or counterfeit stamps, or coin, or instruments or materials for counterfeiting coin or stamps or for forging,

or that any forged documents, false seals or counterfeit stamps or coin, or instruments or materials used for counterfeiting coin or stamps or for forging, are kept or deposited in any place,

he may by his warrant authorize any Police-officer above the rank of a constable—

(a) to enter, with such assistance as may be required, such place, and

(b) to search the same in manner specified in the warrant, and

(c) to take possession of any property, documents, seals, stamps or coins herein found which he reasonably suspects to be stolen, unlawfully obtained, forged, false or counterfeit, and also of any such instruments and materials as aforesaid, and

(d) to convey such property, documents, seals, stamps, coins, instruments or materials before a Magistrate, or to guard the same on the spot until the offender is taken before a Magistrate, or otherwise to dispose hereof in some place of safety, and

(e) to take into custody and carry before a Magistrate every person found in such place who appears to have been privy to the deposit, sale, or manufacture or keeping of any such property, documents, seals, stamps, coins, instruments or materials, knowing or having reasonable cause to suspect the said property to have been stolen or otherwise unlawfully obtained, or the said documents, seals, stamps, coins, instruments or materials to have been forged, falsified or counterfeited, or the said instruments or materials to have been or to be intended to be used for counterfeiting coin or stamps or for forging.

Sch. V, No. 9 contains a form of warrant under S. 98.

The provisions of Ss. 43, 75, 77, 79, 82, 83 and 84 shall, so far as may be, apply to all search-warrants issued under this section—S. 101.

Many of the expressions in S. 98 have special definitions in the Penal Code which apply equally to this Code:—

STOLEN PROPERTY is thus defined in S. 410, Penal Code. Property, the possession whereof has been transferred by theft, or by extortion or by robbery, and property which has been criminally misappropriated, or in respect of which criminal breach of trust has been committed is designated "Stolen property." But if such property subsequently comes into the possession of a person legally entitled to possession thereof, it ceases to be stolen property. See Act VIII, of 1882.

"Theft," "extortion," "robbery," "criminal misappropriation," "criminal breach of trust" are also defined respectively in Ss. 378, 383, 390, 403, 405 of the Penal Code.

A **FORGED DOCUMENT** is a document made wholly or in part by forgery—S. 470, Penal Code; and S. 463 declares that whoever makes any false document or part of a document with intent to cause damage or injury to the public or any person, or to support any claim or title, or to cause any person to part with property or to enter into any express or implied contract, or with intent to commit fraud or that fraud may be committed, commits forgery. "Making a false document" is defined by S. 464: "document" by S. 29: "public" by S. 12: "person" by S. 11: "injury" by S. 44, Penal Code.

COUNTERFEIT. A person is said to "counterfeit" who causes one thing to resemble another thing, intending by means of that resemblance to practice deception or knowing it to be likely that deception will thereby be practised. *Explanation.* It is not essential to counterfeiting that the deception should be exact. S. 28, Penal Code.

COIN is metal used for the time being as money and stamped and issued by the authority of some State or Sovereign Power in order to be so used. Act XIX, 1872, S. 1: S. 280, Penal Code.

99 [S. 373, para. 2; S. 374.] When, in the execution of a search-warrant at any place beyond the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court which issued the same, any of the things for which search is made are found, such things, together with the list of the same prepared under the provisions hereinafter contained, shall be immediately taken before the Court issuing the warrant, unless such place is nearer to the Magistrate having jurisdiction therein than to such Court, in which case the list and things shall be immediately taken before such Magistrate; and, unless there be good cause to the contrary, such Magistrate shall make an order authorizing them to be taken to such Court.

C.—Discovery of persons wrongfully confined.

100 If any Presidency Magistrate, Magistrate of the first class or Sub-divisional Magistrate has reason to believe that any person is confined under such circumstances that the confinement amounts to an offence, he may issue a search-warrant, and the person to whom such warrant is directed may search for the person so confined; and such search shall be made in accordance therewith, and the person if found shall be immediately taken before a Magistrate, who shall make such order as in the circumstances of the case seems proper.

"Reason to believe." A person is said to have reason to believe a thing if he has sufficient cause to believe that thing, but not otherwise—S. 26, Penal Code.

The definition of "wrongful confinement" is thus given in the Penal Code—Whoever voluntarily obstructs any person so as to prevent that person from proceeding in any direction in which that person has a right to proceed is said wrongfully to restrain that person (S. 339), and whoever wrongfully restrains any person in such a manner as to prevent that person from proceeding beyond certain circumscribing limits, is said wrongfully to confine that person. (S. 340.)

D.—General Provisions relating to searches.

101 [Ss. 370—373, para. 1; Ss. 375, 376; Act IV, 1877, S. 161.] The provisions of sections 43, 75, 77, 79, 82, 83 and 84 shall, so far as may be, apply to all search-warrants issued under section 96, section 98, or section 100.

102 [Ss. 382, 383, 384; Act IV, 1877, S. 162.] Whenever any place liable to search or inspection under this chapter is closed, any person residing in, or being in charge of, such place shall, on demand of the officer or other person executing the warrant, and on production of the warrant, allow him free ingress thereto, and afford all reasonable facilities for a search therein.

If ingress into such place cannot be so obtained, the officer or other person executing the warrant may proceed in manner provided by section 48.

103 [S. 385; Act IV, 1877, S. 65.] Before making a search under this chapter, the officer or other person about to make it shall call upon two or more respectable inhabitants of the locality in which the place to be searched is situate to attend and witness the search.

The search shall be made in their presence, and a list of all things seized in the course of such search and of the places in which they are respectively found shall be prepared by such officer or other person and signed by such witnesses, but no person witnessing a search under this section shall be required to attend the Court as a witness of the search unless specially summoned by it.

The occupant of the place searched, or some person in his behalf, shall, in every instance, be permitted to attend during the search, and a copy of the list prepared under this section, signed by the said witnesses, shall be delivered to such occupant or person at his request.

All search-warrants must be executed in daylight, i. e., between sunrise and sunset, unless there be special reasons demanding immediate search; but in such a case the reasons must be specially reported to the District Superintendent for the information of the Magistrate having jurisdiction.—Mag. Pol. Cir. 21, 1862.

The sending for shopkeepers selected arbitrarily by the Police and making them witnesses to a search of the houses of accused persons is a fruitful source of oppression and extortion. It is difficult to prescribe rules for the selection of witnesses to the search of houses for stolen property, as District Superintendents can easily ascertain by questioning the witnesses sent whether they have been unfairly selected; the respectable householder should not be summoned a second time until his neighbours have had their turn, unless good reason be given for their exemption. Suspect-shopkeepers are just as liable to be summoned as other and respectable inhabitants of a place.—Mag. Pol. Cir. 4, 1868.

E.—Miscellaneous.

104 [S. 367; Act X, 1875, S. 88.] Any Court may, if it thinks fit, impound any document or other thing produced before it under this Code.

105 [S. 378, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 147.] Any Magistrate may direct a search to be made in his presence of any place for the search of which he is competent to issue a search-warrant.

PART IV.

PREVENTION OF OFFENCES.

CHAPTER VIII.

OF SECURITY FOR KEEPING THE PEACE AND FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR.

A.—Security for keeping the Peace on Conviction.

106 [S. 489, para. 1; Ss. 490, 493, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 140; Act IV, 1877, S. 208.] Whenever any person accused of rioting, assault or other breach of peace, or of abetting the same, or of assembling armed men or taking other unlawful measures with the evident intention of committing the same, or any person accused of committing criminal intimidation by threatening injury to person or property, is convicted of such offence before a High Court, a Court of Session or the Court of a Presidency Magistrate, a District Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate or a Magistrate of the first class,

and such Court is of opinion that it is necessary to require such person to execute a bond for keeping the peace,

such Court may, at the time of passing sentence on such person, order him to execute a bond for a sum proportionate to his means, with or without sureties, for keeping the peace during such period, not exceeding three years, as it thinks fit to fix.

If the conviction is set aside on appeal or otherwise, the bond so executed shall become void.

Sch. V. No. 10, contains a form of bond to keep the peace.

Bonds in criminal cases are exempt from Stamp duty—Court Fees Act (VII, 1870) S. 19, Cl. xv. Sch. II, Art. 6, further declares that bail bonds and other instruments of obligation, not otherwise provided for by that Act, when given by direction of any Court shall bear a stamp fee of eight annas.

The words "or any person accused of committing criminal intimidation by threatening injury to person or property" are new and have been introduced in consequence of the judgment of *Straight, J.* in the case of *Raghubar*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 351. It should be noted that these words do not express all the acts that amount to the offence of criminal intimidation as defined in S. 503, Penal Code, as "injury to reputation" is not specified in section 106.

The Calcutta High Court has refused to set aside an order requiring security to keep the peace on conviction of criminal trespass (not one of the offences specified in S. 106) on the ground that the conduct of the party bound over, as shown in the evidence on the trial, indicated an intention to commit a breach of the peace—*Gendoo Khan*, 7 W. R., 14; *Jhapoo* and others, 20 W. R., 37.

If a Magistrate of the second or third class requires a bond to be executed under S. 106 his proceedings are void (S. 530) but if, at the conclusion of the trial, he should be of opinion that the convict should also execute a bond to keep the peace as provided by S. 106 he should record his opinion to that effect and forward the accused to the District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate to whom he is subordinate who can pass such order as he may think fit and as is according to law.

If the person required to give security under S. 106 is under sentence of imprisonment, the period for which security is required will commence on expiration of that sentence (S. 120), and if the

conviction and sentence are set aside on appeal "the bond so executed shall become void." S. 106. (The order would become incapable of being enforced by requiring a bond to be executed.) S. 123 provides for the course to be taken if security is not given "on or before the date from which the period for which such security is to be given, commences."

Under S. 106 which does not reproduce the last para. of S. 489 of the Code of 1872, it would seem that security to keep the peace in consequence of proceedings on a criminal trial can be taken only by a Court before which the case may come judicially, either as Court of first instance or of appeal, or in the case of a Magistrate on a reference by a subordinate Magistrate under S. 349 as already explained.—See Bobheki Pathak, 21 W. R., 12.

The difference between the procedure necessary in order to require security under S. 106 and under Ss. 107, 108 should be noted. In the former case, the order can be passed on conviction of any of certain specified offences; in the latter, formal proceedings must be taken, summons in the first instance being issued and evidence taken as in trials in summary cases, (S. 117), to prove that it is necessary for keeping the peace that the person summoned should execute a bond with or without sureties. The reason for the difference is obvious. In the one case the Magistrate has adjudicated on the evidence on the trial in the presence of the person to be bound over, that facts are established requiring security because he has convicted the accused of a breach of the peace or an intention to commit a breach of the peace, whereas in the other case the Magistrate proceeds on information, the value of which must be tested in the presence of the person concerned who should also have an opportunity of showing that it is not reliable.—In the matter of Umda Khanum, 3 Cal. L. R., 72: Raja Run Bahadoor Singh, 22 W. R., 79: Mad. H. Ct., Pro., May 19, 1874. Weir, 407.

A Court before which a case in which sentence has been passed for one of the offences specified in S. 106 comes in appeal is competent in dismissing that appeal to add to that order, an order requiring the appellant to execute a bond to keep the peace—Kanta Proshad. I. L. R., 4 All., 2. FULL BENCH. A similar order can be passed by the High Court as a Court of Revision—Mahomed Jafir and others. I. L. R. 3, All., 545. FULL BENCH.

Although an order requiring security to keep the peace is not appealable, the District Magistrate may, at any time, for sufficient reasons to be recorded in writing, cancel any bond executed by the order of any Court not superior to his Court—S. 125. And a similar provision is made (S. 124) for the release of any person imprisoned on failure to give security; report to be made if such order was passed by a Court of Session or High Court, for the order of such Court.

The period for which security is required will, if the person, in respect of whom the order is made, is under sentence of imprisonment, commence on expiry of such sentence. S. 120, *post*.

B.—Security for keeping the Peace in other Cases and Security for Good Behaviour.

107 [Ss. 491, 502, last para.; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 25, 281.] Whenever Security for keeping the peace in other cases. a Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate, or Magistrate of the first class receives information that any person is likely to commit a breach of the peace, or to do any wrongful act that may probably occasion a breach of the peace, within the local limits of such Magistrate's jurisdiction, or that there is within such limits a person who is likely to commit a breach of the peace or do any wrongful act as aforesaid in any place beyond such limits, the Magistrate may, in manner hereinafter provided, require such person to show cause why he should not be ordered to execute a bond, with or without sureties, for keeping the peace for such period not exceeding one year as the Magistrate thinks fit to fix.

The jurisdiction of the Magistrate should be noted. He may take proceedings to require security from a person likely to break the peace within his local jurisdiction, or from a person who is within his jurisdiction and is likely to commit a breach of the peace beyond it. The terms of the bond would be general so that it could be enforced on breach of its conditions in any part of British India. See S. 121 *post*.

The summons to show cause would be in the form prescribed by Schedule V, No. 12.

If a Magistrate not duly empowered in that behalf demands security to keep the peace, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (j)

Inasmuch as the order to be made under S. 112, copy of which is to be delivered to the person summoned to show cause, should set forth the substance of the information received on which proceedings have been taken, the Magistrate should terminate the proceeding by refusing to pass any further order if he finds that that information is unfounded. The party summoned should not be

called upon to furnish security on a perfectly distinct ground from that on which the proceedings were started without being afforded anew a full and complete opportunity of meeting and answering any further ground which in any sufficient way may have arisen for still suspecting that he was likely to commit a breach of the peace.—*Ram Kishan Acharjee Chowdhry*. 21 W. R., 6.

It must appear, on the face of the Magistrate's order, that he has received credible information that the persons ordered to enter into their recognizances were likely to commit a breach of the peace, or to do an act that might probably occasion a breach of the peace.—*Bireschur Prasad*. 6 W. R., 98.

When a person appears before a Magistrate and swears that he is in fear of his life on account of the conduct of a person named, the Magistrate is competent to consider such to be credible information within the terms of S. 107, and may act thereupon.—*Tarinee Kant Lahory*, 8 W. R., 79; *Krishtendro Roy*, 7 W. R., 30; but a mere petition unsupported by any definite complaint or deposition on solemn affirmation, and which did not state that there was any probability of a breach of the peace, was held not to amount to credible information.—*Chamaro Malo*, 8 W. R., 85.

The report of a Subordinate Magistrate is credible information, upon which the Magistrate of the District is competent to act.—*Nellikel Edathil Itti Pungy Achen*, 2 Mad., 249; *Jivanji Singh*, 6 Bomb., Cr. Ca.; also the report of a Police officer.—*Bindabun Shaha*, 10 W. R., 41.

The report of a Subordinate Magistrate, though it is credible information on which a Magistrate can issue a summons under S. 107, is not evidence on which he can arrive at a conclusion that the parties are likely to commit a breach of the peace.—*Napa bin Basapi*, Bom. H. C., November 23, 1871; nor is a Police report legal evidence in such a matter.—*Obhaya Chowdry*, 6 B. L. R., 141, *App.*

The act of which information is given and in respect of which security is required must be an act shown to have been in contemplation at the time the information was given, and not merely one a repetition of which may be apprehended from past misconduct of the kind without anything further. Thus the fact that certain persons were constantly creating disturbances in certain bazars is insufficient ground.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Aug. 29, 1876. *Weir*, 407.

The law now expressly declares that the act which may probably occasion a breach of the peace must be a wrongful act. This is in accordance with the opinion expressed in *Kashi Chunder Dass*, 19 W. R., 47, where security was taken from a man who was building a wall on his own land merely because his neighbour objected to it on the ground that the droppings from that wall would probably fall on the thatch of his house and injure it, and a breach of the peace was consequently anticipated. The High Court held that it was never intended that a person should be prevented by a Magistrate from exercising his rights of property because another person is likely to commit a breach of the peace if he did so.

Similarly where a Ticcadar applied to the Police for protection while attaching the crops of ryots for arrears of rent, it was held that because a breach of the peace was likely to ensue was insufficient ground for requiring him to give security.—*In re Sheo Churn Lall*, 3 Cal. L. R., 280.

108 [S. 494 Proviso.] When any Magistrate not empowered to

Procedure of Magistrate, &c., not empowered to act under section 107.

proceed under section 107, or a Court of Session or High Court, has reason to believe that any person is likely to commit a breach of the peace or to do any wrongful act that may probably occasion a breach of the peace, and that such breach of the peace cannot be prevented otherwise than by detaining such person in custody, such Magistrate or Court may issue a warrant for his arrest (if he is not already in custody or before the Court), and may send him before a Magistrate empowered to deal with the case under section 107.

A Magistrate before whom a person is sent under this section may in his discretion detain such person in custody until the completion of the inquiry hereinafter prescribed.

109 [S. 504, paras. 1 and 4; S. 515, para. 2; Act IV 1877, Ss. 212,

Security for good behaviour from vagrants and suspected persons.

213.] Whenever a Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class receives information—

(a) that any person is taking precautions to conceal his presence within the local limits of such Magistrate's jurisdiction, and that there is reason to believe that such person is taking such precautions with a view to committing an offence, or

(b) that there is within such limits a person who has no ostensible means of subsistence, or who cannot give a satisfactory account of himself, such Magistrate may, in manner hereinafter provided, require such person to show cause why he should not be ordered to execute a bond, with sureties, for his good behaviour for such period not exceeding six months as the Magistrate thinks fit to fix.

Schedule V, No. 11 contains the form of bond for good behaviour.

If a Magistrate not duly empowered in that behalf demands security for good behaviour, his proceedings are void—S. 530 (d).

An officer in charge of a Police-station can without warrant arrest any person conducting himself in the manner described by S. 109 (S. 55) and he can also by an order in writing direct any Police-officer to arrest such a person. (S. 56.)

Proceedings taken under S. 109 should be quite irrespective of any proceedings on account of any offence committed.—1 W. R., 14, C. L. : Shunder Bhim. Bomb. H. Ct. Sept. 17, 1869.

Proceedings should not be taken against more than one person in each case. The character of each person should form the subject of a separate inquiry. The evidence against one should not be mixed up with evidence against another. By such a course of proceeding there must be considerable danger of a man being prejudiced. *In re Koikot Noshyo* and another, Cal. H. Ct. June 14, 1877. See also *Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, March 17, 1863. *Weir*, 411.

110 [Ss. 505, 506 ; Act IV. 1877, Ss. 213, 214, 231.] Whenever a

Security for good behaviour from habitual offenders.

Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class specially empowered in this behalf by the Local Government receives information that any person within the local limits of his jurisdiction is an habitual robber, house-breaker or thief, or an habitual receiver of stolen property knowing the same to have been stolen, or that he habitually commits extortion, or in order to the committing of extortion habitually puts or attempts to put persons in fear of injury,

such Magistrate may, in manner hereinafter provided, require such person to show cause why he should not be ordered to execute a bond, with sureties, for his good behaviour for such period not exceeding three years as the Magistrate thinks fit to fix.

If a Magistrate not duly empowered in that behalf demands security for good behaviour, his proceedings are void. S. 530, (d).

Sch. V, No. 11 gives a form of bond for good behaviour.

The object of this provision of the law is the prevention not the punishment of crime, and with that object it authorizes a Magistrate to require from particular persons good and sufficient security for their good behaviour. But it is only for the purpose of securing future good behaviour that this power should be used. Any attempt to use it for the purpose of punishing for past offences is wrong and not sanctioned by law.—*Umbica Prashad*, 1 Cal. L. R., 268.

Because a person is "by no means a reputable character" is no sufficient ground for requiring him to furnish security for good behaviour.—*Kalachand Dass*, 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 14.

Where the charge upon which a person is tried is one of injury to the person, a Magistrate cannot require security for good behaviour on the ground that the accused is a person of violent or dangerous character. S. 110 solely relates to the calling upon persons of habitually dishonest lives, and in that sense "desperate or dangerous," to find security for good behaviour, as a protection to the public against repetition of crimes by them in which the safety of property is menaced and not the security of the person alone is jeopardised. The mere fact of a previous conviction or previous convictions of offences involving dishonesty is not sufficient to justify the putting in force the powers of S. 110 unless there is some additional evidence to show that the person complained against has done some act or resumed avocations that indicate on his part an intention to return to his former course of life and to pursue a career of preying upon the community. The greatest thief is entitled to a *locus penitentiae*, when he has served out his punishment ; it is only when he outrages that grace which is extended to him, and thereby shows that he is unreformed, that the machinery of the Code could be brought into operation, in order to obtain a substantial guarantee for society that he will not commit further depredations upon it.—*Nawab*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 835 ; see also *Narain Soobadhee*, W. R., 6.

Proceedings under S. 110 should not be taken while the person against whom they are directed is under trial for an offence committed by him as such a course is likely to prejudice him very seri-

on his trial. The Calcutta High Court in the case of Umbica Prashad and another, 1 Cal. L. R., 268 strongly condemned such proceedings. In that case Umbica Prashad was committed on a charge of "receiving" (S. 411. Penal Code) and bail was refused by the Magistrate. On a motion made to it, the High Court ordered him to be admitted to bail. Before Umbica Prashad could reach his house, proceedings under S. 110 were instituted although at the same time his trial for a criminal offence was pending. He was required to give security for good behaviour or on default to suffer rigorous imprisonment, and on being committed for trial by the Court of Session was acquitted of the charge of dishonestly receiving stolen property. The order requiring security for good behaviour was set aside, the High Court observing that when, in the case of a man who has never been convicted of any offence, the Magistrate orders that security shall be given of a description which it is necessarily difficult to find and directs that in default of giving the security, the imprisonment shall be rigorous, the Magistrate has exercised his direction in a manner wholly unreasonable and bad, and more especially when he indicates no reason why with a view to the prisoner's future good behaviour it is desirable that the imprisonment shall be of the more severe kind. The proceedings either were illegal and wrongly instituted for the sole purpose of securing at all hazards the punishment of the petitioner, or there was an utter and fatal want of discretion in their institution and in the order made.

After the expiration of the term of security, a second security cannot be demanded except on some new proof of bad livelihood, or that the person is not capable of following an honest calling. If, on being set at liberty, he should return to his former course of life, and it appears that he continues to be a person of such a character whom it is dangerous to the community to have at large, he may be brought before a Magistrate, and after evidence of his proceedings has been laid before such officer, a further order may be passed requiring him to give first security.—Sheikh Himayat, Cal. H. Ct., July 29, 1862; Juswunt Singh, 6 W. R., 18; Daneo, 4 Panj. Rec., 72.

111 [S. 517.] The provisions of sections 109 and 110 do not apply to European British subjects in cases where they may be dealt with under the European Vagrancy Act, 1874

Any Police-officer may, within the limits of the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, require any person who is apparently a vagrant to accompany him or any other Police-officer to, and to appear before, the nearest Magistrate of Police, and may, without those limits, require any such person to accompany him or any other Police-officer to, and to appear before, the nearest Justice of the Peace exercising the powers of a Magistrate of the first class under the Code of Criminal Procedure. The European Vagrancy Act, (IX of 1874) S. 4. A vagrant is defined to be a person of European extraction found asking for alms, or wandering about without any employment or visible means of subsistence.

Any European British subject, who upon the summary inquiry mentioned in section 5 (of the European Vagrancy Act 1874), has been determined to be a vagrant, or who has been convicted under section twenty-two or section twenty-three, shall, so long as he remains in India, be subject beyond the limits of the said towns to the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure (other than those contained in Chapter XXXVIII, now Chapter VIII of the same Code) applicable to an European not being a British subject.—S. 30, Act IX of 1874. S. 22 refers to the conviction of a person who having entered into an agreement that he will not return to India for five years breaks that agreement and S. 23 to persons of European extraction asking for alms.

112 [Ss. 492, 509, para. 1; S. 515, para. 1; Act IV of 1877, Ss. 216, 222.] When a Magistrate acting under section 107, section 109 or section 110 deems it necessary to require any person to show cause under such section, he shall make an order in writing, setting forth the substance of the information received, the amount of the bond to be executed, the term for which it is to be in force, and the number, character and class of sureties (if any) required.

S. 107 refers to security to keep the peace; Ss. 109, 110 to security for good behaviour.

The Code of 1872, now repealed, required that these matters should be set forth in the summons. S. 112 of this Code directs that they shall be set forth in an order in writing made by the Magistrate. S. 115 requires that every summons or warrant issued in proceedings under this chapter shall be accompanied by a copy of this order which shall be delivered at the time of service of the summons or execution of the warrant of arrest.

The directions of the law in this respect should be carefully compiled with, but an omission, unless it has prejudiced the party summoned, would not vitiate an order requiring security. Koonj Behari Chowdhry, 15 W. R., 43. But in the case of Abdoor Bari, 25 W. R., 50, it was held that unless this and other necessary particulars were stated in the summons, so as to give the party an

opportunity to show cause, no order for security can be passed. (If any such irregularity has been committed it can easily be cured by proceeding under S. 113 and by giving the person concerned time to defend himself.)

For the expression "description of sureties" in the corresponding section (509) of the Code of 1872, S. 112 of this Code has substituted "character and class of sureties."

The sureties required need not necessarily be residents of the District. The Magistrate is not competent to reject as an unfit person a surety offered merely because he resides in another District, and more especially when his order does not place any limit with regard to the description of the sureties required; undue and unnecessary difficulties cannot be legally thrown in the way of persons attempting to furnish the required sureties.—*In re Sunt Belas Singh*, Cal. H. Ct., March 29, 1879.

A Magistrate required the sureties to be persons of "respectability and substance not related to him and residing within one mile of his house." It was found on inquiry that no person of respectability lived within that area. The High Court held that security should be demanded, but expunged the condition, remarking that the law does not enable a Magistrate to impose arbitrary conditions not essential to the object in view, *viz.*, to restrain a party from infringement of the law, still less impossible conditions. To make such an order was equivalent to saying that the prisoner shall not furnish any security at all, but must go to jail.—*Narain Soobhoojee*, 22 W. R., 37. Followed in *Tara Singh*. Punj Rec. 1880, p. 91.

An order directing a person as security to "pledge all his proprietary rights in land worth Rs. 200" was held to be an improper order. As long as the security is good and sufficient, and the sureties are of a satisfactory character and class, they cannot be rejected.—*In re Ganni*, All. H. Ct., 1875, p. 249.

The following rules have been passed in British Burmah on this subject:—

The Courts will reject as sureties all officials of the Courts and any relations of such officials, except in a case where they may be nearly related to or near neighbours of the persons called upon to give security.

The Courts will also reject as sureties all persons who the Court knows, or has reason to believe, are making a profession of becoming sureties for others in consideration of payment.

The officials of all Courts are prohibited, under pain of dismissal from receiving any commission on the amount of the surety bond in cases when they are admitted as sureties.—*British Burmah Gaz.*, 1873, Part III, p. 3.

In fixing the amount of security, a Magistrate should consider the station of life of the person concerned, and should not go beyond a sum for which there is a fair probability of his being able to find security. The imprisonment is provided as a protection to society against the perpetration of crime by the individual and not as a punishment for a crime committed, and being made conditional on default of finding security, it is only just and reasonable that the individual should be afforded a fair chance at least of complying with the required condition of security.—4 Mad. xlv., *App. Pro.*, April 26, 1869. Weir, 412. This has been quoted with approval by the Calcutta High Court in the case of *In re Dedar Bukhsh* and others, 1. Cal. L. R., 95; (S. C.) 1. L. R., 1 Cal., 384, in which also it was held that where *prima facie* the amount of security required seems excessive and unreasonable the High Court is competent to call upon the Magistrate to state the grounds on which he fixed that amount.

113 [S. 492, Expl.: Act IV of 1877, S. 216, para. 2.] If the person

Procedure in respect of in respect of whom such order is made is present in person present in Court. Court, it shall be read over to him, or, if he so desires, the substance thereof shall be explained to him.

This course should be taken whenever, as in the case of *Ram Kishen Acharjee Chowdhry*, 21 W. R., 6 (See note to S. 107 *ante*), the information on which proceedings were originally taken is found to be unreliable or groundless, and facts subsequently transpire on which the Magistrate considers he should proceed.

114 [Ss. 494, 515, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 217, Proviso.] If such

Summons or warrant person is not present in Court, the Magistrate shall in case of person not so issue a summons requiring him to appear, or, when present. such person is in custody, a warrant directing the officer in whose custody he is to bring him, before the Court:

Provided that, whenever it appears to such Magistrate, upon the report of a Police-officer or upon other information (the substance of which report or information shall be recorded by the Magistrate), that there is reason to fear the commission of a breach of the peace, and that such breach of the

peace cannot be prevented otherwise than by the immediate arrest of such person, the Magistrate may at any time issue a warrant for his arrest.

For the form of summons see Sch. V. No. 12.

115 Every summons or warrant issued under section 114 shall be accompanied by a copy of the order made under section 112, and such copy shall be delivered by the officer serving or executing such summons or warrant to the person served with, or arrested under, the same.

The serving officer in certifying service should also certify delivery of the copy of the order. Ss. 70, 71 provide for the service of summons.

116 [S. 495; Act IV, 1877, S. 218.] The Magistrate may, if he sees sufficient cause, dispense with the personal attendance of any person called upon to show cause why he should not be ordered to execute a bond for keeping the peace, and may permit him to appear by a pleader.

Pleader in any proceeding under this Code before any Criminal Court means a pleader authorized under any law for the time being in force to practise in such Court and includes (1) an advocate, a vakil and an attorney of the High Court so authorized and (2) any mukhtar or other person appointed with the permission of the Court to act in such proceeding. S. 4 (n).

117 [S. 491, Expl.; S. 515, para. 3.] When an order under section 112 has been read or explained under section 113 to a person present in Court, or when any person appears or is brought before a Magistrate in compliance with, or in execution of, a summons or warrant issued under section 114, the Magistrate shall proceed to inquire into the truth of the information upon which he has acted, and to take such further evidence as may appear necessary.

Such inquiry shall be made, as nearly as may be practicable, where the order requires security for keeping the peace, in the manner hereinafter prescribed for conducting trials in summons-cases; and where the order requires security for good behaviour, in the manner hereinafter prescribed for conducting trials in warrant-cases, except that no charge need be framed.

For the purposes of this section the fact that a person is an habitual offender may be proved by evidence of general repute or otherwise.

This section practically reproduces S. 491, Expl. 1 of the Code of 1872 which declared that a Magistrate cannot bind over a person until he has adjudicated on evidence before him. S. 117 of this Code requires the Magistrate to "proceed to inquire into the truth of the information upon which he has acted" that is, has passed the order under S. 112 and issued process for the attendance of the particular person. An opportunity should obviously be afforded to that person of disproving the truth of the information by cross-examination of the witnesses or by offering evidence on his own part.

Proceedings should not be taken against more than one person in each case. The character of each person should form the subject of a separate inquiry. The evidence against one should not be mixed up with evidence against another. By such a course of proceeding there must be considerable danger of a man being prejudiced—*In re Koikot Noshyo* and another. Cal. H. Ct., June 14, 1877. See also *Mad. H. Ct. Pro. R.*, March 17, 1863; *Weir*, 411.

When the Magistrate who has commenced proceedings under this chapter has vacated office, his successor may continue them, but the party summoned or arrested may insist on having all the witnesses examined *de novo*—*Baroda Kant Roy*, 4 Cal. L. R., 452. See S. 350 *post*, and note thereto.

If witnesses are cited for the defence, the law, (S. 244) in summons cases, leaves it to the discretion of the Magistrate, on application made, to issue process to compel the attendance of any witness, but he may before summoning any witness on such application require that his reasonable expenses incurred in attending for the purposes of the trial be deposited in Court.

A similar provision is made for the deposit of the expenses of witnesses in warrant cases (S. 257) but the Magistrate is not bound to issue such process if he considers that such application should be refused on the ground that it is made for the purpose of vexation or delay, or for defeating the ends of justice, such ground being recorded in writing.

In summons cases it has been held that "under ordinary circumstances a Magistrate is bound to assist both parties in bringing in their witnesses by issuing summons to attend."—Cheyt Singh. 22 W. R., 70.

An inquiry should be conducted with due attention to due ordinary forms of justice. The party defendant should have every opportunity of cross-examining the witnesses produced against him, of making his own statements, and of calling witnesses on his own behalf. But evidence of character, good or bad, should be general not particular, it being of course open to the other side to cross-examine as to particulars as to the party may seem necessary or prudent. It is for the presiding Magistrate in his discretion to put such questions to the witnesses on either side as he considers needed to inform his own conscience—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Nov. 3 1868. Weir, 412.

It is not sufficient for the Magistrate to say that there is suspicion. He should distinctly explain to the defendant what is proved against him. He should not put the defendant into *haji* or close custody pending the final hearing. The fact that an officer in charge of the Police-station can arrest without a warrant, does not justify such an order, for a Magistrate is not competent to refuse bail unless the law specially sanctions such refusal, and when the Magistrate, by his final order has no power to commit the person to jail except on his failure to give recognizances or security he must do so in the like manner pending inquiry—Kookur Singh. 1 Cal. L. R., 130.

With reference to the last para. of S. 117 the terms of S. 54 of the Evidence Act should be borne in mind:—In criminal proceedings the fact that the accused person has been previously convicted of a criminal offence is relevant; but the fact that he has a bad character is irrelevant, unless evidence has been given that he has a good character, in which case it becomes relevant. *Explanation.* This section does not apply to cases in which the bad character of any person is itself the fact in issue. Act 1, 1872, Evidence Act, S. 54.

Although where witnesses are examined as to general character, their testimony is not of much value as to the habits of a suspected person, unless they can in support of their opinion adduce instances of the misconduct imputed, when the question is only as to his *repute* the evidence of witnesses, if reliable, is not without value, though they may not be able to connect the accused person with the actual commission of crime—*In re* Pedda Siva Reddi and another. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 238.

118 [S. 497; Act IV, 1877, S. 220.] If upon such inquiry, it is

Order to give security. proved that it is necessary for keeping the peace or maintaining good behaviour, as the case may be, that the person in respect of whom the inquiry is made should execute a bond, with or without sureties, the Magistrate shall make an order accordingly:

Provided—

first—that no person shall be ordered to give security of a nature different from, or of an amount larger than, or for a period longer than, that specified in the order made under section 112:

secondly—that the amount of every bond shall be fixed with due regard to the circumstances of the case and shall not be excessive:

thirdly—that when the person in respect of whom the inquiry is made is a minor, the bond shall be executed only by his sureties.

An order so passed by any Magistrate other than a District Magistrate or Presidency Magistrate is appealable. S. 406.

In fixing the amount of security, a Magistrate should consider the station of life of the person concerned, and should not go beyond a sum for which there is a fair probability of his being able to give security. The imprisonment is provided as a protection to society against the perpetration of crime by the individual and not as a punishment for a crime committed, and being made conditional on default of finding security, it is only just and reasonable that the individual should be afforded a fair chance at least of complying with the required condition of security.—4 Mad. xlvii., *App. Pro.*, April 26, 1869; (S. C.) Weir, 412. Approved and followed *In re* Dedar Buksh, 1 Cal. L. R., 95. O.) I. L. R., 2 Cal., 384.

If in fixing the amount of the sureties, the Magistrate appears to have exercised no discretion at all, or has exercised his discretion in a manner wholly unreasonable, the High Court, as a Court of appeal, will interfere—Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty, 1 Cal. L. R., 48. (S. C.) I. L. R., 2

125 [S. 500.] The District Magistrate may at any time, for sufficient reasons to be recorded in writing, cancel any bond for keeping the peace executed under this chapter by order of any Court in his District not superior to his Court.

If any Magistrate not being empowered by law in that behalf cancels a bond to keep the peace, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (f).

126 [Ss. 501, 513; Act IV, 1877, S. 226.] Any surety for the peaceable conduct or good behaviour of another person may at any time apply to a Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class to cancel any bond executed under this chapter within the local limits of his jurisdiction.

On such application being made, the Magistrate shall issue his summons or warrant, as he thinks fit, requiring the person for whom such surety is bound to appear or to be brought before him.

When such person appears or is brought before the Magistrate, such Magistrate shall cancel the bond, and shall order such person to give, for the unexpired portion of the term of such bond, fresh security of the same description as the original security. Every such order shall, for the purposes of sections 121, 122, 123 and 124, be deemed to be an order made under section 106 or section 118, as the case may be.

CHAPTER IX.

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLIES.

127 [S. 480.] Any Magistrate or officer in charge of a Police-station may command any unlawful assembly, or any assembly of five or more persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace, to disperse; and it shall thereupon be the duty of the members of such assembly to disperse accordingly.

This section applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

An assembly of five or more persons is designated an "unlawful assembly" if the common object of the persons composing that assembly is—

First.—To overawe by criminal force, or show of criminal force, the Legislature or Executive Government of India, or the Government of any Presidency, or any Lieutenant-Governor, or any public servant, in the exercise of the lawful power of such public servant; or

Second.—To resist the execution of any law or of any legal process; or

Third.—To commit any mischief or criminal trespass, or other offence; or

Fourth.—By means of criminal force or show of criminal force, to any person, to take or obtain possession of any property, or to deprive any person of the enjoyment of a right of way, or of the use of water or other incorporeal right of which he is in possession or enjoyment, or to enforce any right or supposed right;—

Fifth.—By means of criminal force, or show of criminal force, to compel any person to do what he is not legally bound to do, or to omit to do what he is legally bound to do.

Explanation.—An assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled, may subsequently become an unlawful assembly. S. 141, Penal Code. Any person who being aware of facts which render any assembly an unlawful assembly, intentionally joins that assembly, or continues in it, is said to be a member of an unlawful assembly. S. 142.

The offence of being a member of an unlawful assembly is a cognizable offence and therefore under S. 54 of this Code any Police officer, may, without orders from a Magistrate, and without

a warrant, arrest any person who is concerned in such offence, or against whom a complaint has been made or credible information has been received, or a reasonable suspicion exists of his having been a member of such assembly.

Being a member of an unlawful assembly is punishable with imprisonment, rigorous or simple, for a term not exceeding six months, or with fine or both—S. 143; and joining or continuing in an unlawful assembly, knowing that it has been commanded under S. 127 of this Code to disperse, is punishable with imprisonment, rigorous or simple, for a term not exceeding two years, or with fine or both—S. 144, Penal Code.

If any part of the country be in a disturbed or dangerous state, it is lawful for the Inspector-General of Police, with the sanction of the Local Government to be notified by proclamation in the Government Gazette, and in such other manner as the Local Government shall direct, to employ any Police Force in excess of the ordinary fixed complement to be quartered therein. The inhabitants of that part of the country will be charged with the cost of such additional Police Force, and the Magistrate of the District is to assess the proportion to be paid by them.—Act V, 1861, S. 15.

S. 17 of the same Act enacts that when it shall appear that any unlawful assembly or riot or disturbance of the peace has taken place, or may be reasonably apprehended, and that the Police Force ordinarily employed for preserving the peace is not sufficient for its preservation and for the protection of the inhabitants and the security of the property in the place where such unlawful assembly, or riot, or disturbance of the peace has occurred, or is apprehended, it shall be lawful for any Police officer not below the rank of Inspector, to apply to the nearest Magistrate to appoint so many of the residents of the neighbourhood as such Police officer may require to act as special Police officers for such time and within such limits as he shall deem necessary; and the Magistrate to whom such application is made shall, unless he see cause to the contrary, comply with the application.

128 [S. 481.] If, upon being so commanded, any such assembly does not disperse, or if, without being so commanded, it conducts itself in such a manner as show a determination not to disperse, any Magistrate or officer in charge of a Police-station, whether within or without the Presidency-towns, may proceed to disperse such assembly by force, and may require the assistance of any male person, not being an officer or soldier in Her Majesty's Army or a volunteer enrolled under the Indian Volunteers Act, 1869, and acting as such, for the purpose of dispersing such assembly, and, if necessary, arresting and confining the persons who form part of it, in order to disperse such assembly or that they may be punished according to law.

Every person is bound to assist a Magistrate or Police officer personally demanding his aid in the prevention of a breach of the peace or in the suppression of a riot or affray. S. 42.

129 [S. 482.] If any such assembly cannot be otherwise dispersed, and if it is necessary for the public security that it should be dispersed, the Magistrate of the highest rank who is present may cause it to be dispersed by military force.

130 [S. 484.] When a Magistrate determines to disperse any such assembly by military force, he may require any Commissioned or Non-commissioned officer in command of any soldiers in Her Majesty's Army or of any volunteers enrolled under the Indian Volunteers Act, 1869, to disperse such assembly by military force, and to arrest and confine such persons forming part of it as the Magistrate may direct, or as it may be necessary to arrest and confine in order to disperse the assembly or to have them punished according to law.

Every such officer shall obey such requisition in such manner as he thinks fit; but in so doing he shall use as little force, and do as little injury to person and property, as may be consistent with dispersing the assembly and arresting and detaining such persons.

131 [S. 487.] When the public security is manifestly endangered by any such assembly, and when no Magistrate can be communicated with, any Commissioned officer of Her Majesty's Army may disperse such assembly by military force, and may arrest and confine any persons forming part of it, in order to disperse such assembly or that they may be punished according to law; but, if while he is acting under this section, it becomes practicable for him to communicate with a Magistrate, he shall do so, and shall thenceforward obey the instructions of the Magistrate as to whether he shall or shall not continue such action.

132 [Ss. 483, 485, 486, 488.] No prosecution against any Magistrate, Military officer, Police-officer, soldier or volunteer for acts done under this chapter, except with the sanction of the Governor-General in Council; and

- (a) no Magistrate or Police-officer acting under this chapter in good faith,
- (b) no officer acting under section 131 in good faith,
- (c) no person doing any act in good faith in compliance with a requisition under section 128 or section 130, and
- (d) no inferior officer, or soldier, or volunteer, doing any act in obedience to any order which under military law he was bound to obey, shall be deemed to have thereby committed an offence.

Nothing is said to be done or believed in good faith, which is done or believed without due care and attention. S. 52, Penal Code.

CHAPTER X.

PUBLIC NUISANCES.

133 [S. 521.] Whenever a District Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate or, when empowered by the Local Government in this behalf, a Magistrate of the first class, considers, on receiving a report or other information and on taking such evidence (if any) as he thinks fit,

that any unlawful obstruction or nuisance should be removed from any way, river or channel which is or may be lawfully used by the public, or from any public place, or

that any trade or occupation, or the keeping of any goods or merchandise, by reason of its being injurious to the health or physical comfort of the community, should be suppressed or removed or prohibited, or

that the construction of any building, or the disposal of any substance as likely to occasion conflagration or explosion, should be prevented or stopped, or

that any building is in such a condition that it is likely to fall and thereby cause injury to persons living or carrying on business in the neighbourhood or passing by, and that in consequence its removal, repair or support is necessary, or

that any tank, well or excavation adjacent to any such way or public place should be fenced in such a manner as to prevent danger arising to the public,—

such Magistrate may make a conditional order requiring the person causing such obstruction or nuisance, or carrying on such trade or occupation, or keeping any such goods or merchandise, or owning, possessing or controlling such building, substance, tank, well or excavation, within a time to be fixed in the order,

to remove such obstruction or nuisance ; or
to suppress or remove such trade or occupation ; or
to remove such goods or merchandise ; or
to prevent or stop the construction of such building ; or
to remove, repair or support it ; or
to alter the disposal of such substance ; or

to fence such tank, well or excavation, as the case may be ; or
to appear before himself or some other Magistrate of the first or second class, at a time and place to be fixed by the order, and move to have the order set aside or modified in manner hereinafter provided.

No order duly made by a Magistrate under this section shall be called in question in any Civil Court.

EXPLANATION.—A “public place” includes also property belonging to the State, camping grounds, and grounds left unoccupied for sanitary and recreative purposes.

Section V, No. 16 contains various forms of orders under this section.

IN MADRAS, all Magistrates of the first class have been empowered to act under S. 133 (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 717) ; a similar order has been issued in BOMBAY, provided that the Magistrate is not an Honorary Magistrate, when a special order in each case is necessary (*Gaz.* 1872, p. 1325 ; *Ibid.* 1873, p. 16) ; In the PUNJAB, all senior officers at head-quarters, under the Magistrate of the District, being Magistrates of the first class, have been vested with powers under S. 133, to be exercised only when the Magistrate of the District is absent from head-quarters. For such purposes the senior Assistant Commissioner being a Magistrate of the first class shall be deemed to be the senior officer under the Magistrate, and if there be no such officer, the senior Extra Assistant Commissioner being a Magistrate of the first class, shall be so deemed.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 75.

If any Magistrate not being duly empowered by law in that behalf makes an order under S. 133, his proceedings shall be void. S. 530 (g).

But though proceedings can be taken under S. 133 only by a District Magistrate, a Subdivisional Magistrate or a specially empowered Magistrate of the first class, any such officer can order the person against whom the conditional order is made to appear before a Magistrate of the first and second class who will have the conduct of all subsequent proceedings.

An important extension of this section has been made by the last clause of S. 4 under which all words and expressions used in this Code and defined in the Indian Penal Code and not specially defined in S. 4 of this Code shall be deemed to have the meanings attached to them respectively by the Penal Code. S. 11 of the Penal Code declares that the word “person” includes any Company or Association or body of persons whether incorporated or not.

From the terms of the second clause the nuisance would probably be held to be a public nuisance from the nature of the place in which it is committed. S. 268, Penal Code, declares that a “person” is guilty of a public nuisance who does any act, or is guilty of an illegal omission, which causes any common injury, danger, or annoyance to the public or to the people in general who dwell or occupy property in the vicinity, or which must necessarily cause injury, obstruction, danger, or annoyance to persons who may have occasion to use any public right. A common nuisance is not excused on the ground that it causes some convenience or advantage.”

A Magistrate having once commenced proceedings under S. 133 cannot take up the matter summarily under S. 145. He is bound to proceed in the manner laid down in S. 133, and the following sections in Chapter X of the Code—Pitts Singh, 8 W. R., 37. If he thinks that immediate measures should be taken to prevent imminent danger or injury of a serious kind to the public, he may, whether a jury is to be, or has been, appointed or not, issue an injunction, and on failure to comply with that injunction he may himself take measures to obviate such danger or prevent such injury. S. 142.

An order under S. 133 regarding a nuisance should be confined to a direction to remove it. In the case of a tank the Magistrate cannot order the proprietor to excavate it, for the proprietor should have the discretion allowed him as to the manner in which he will remove the nuisance caused by the tank. If the Magistrate is compelled to direct the excavation of the tank, the actual cost of excavation can alone be charged against the proprietor, at whose disposal the soil taken out in the course of excavation must be placed.—Pal. Doss, 10 W. R., 51.

Where a tank is merely a reservoir of water, the Magistrate's powers are limited to an order to have it fenced in so as to prevent accidents, but where it is only a half dry excavation into which people are in the habit of throwing rubbish, and from this cause it has become a public nuisance, the Magistrate would be justified in ordering it to be filled up if that were the only way of suppressing the nuisance.—Bisto Chunder Chuckerbutty, 10 W. R., 27.

It is only where there is no doubt that the place on which the unlawful obstruction or nuisance has been committed is a "way, river or channel which is or may be lawfully used by the public" or "a public place" that a Magistrate can take proceedings under S. 133.—Pitamber Jogi, 25 W. R., 4. It has been held by the Calcutta High Court under the Code of 1872 that when this is disputed by the person against whom the conditional order under S. 521 of the Code of 1872 (now reproduced in S. 133) has been passed, the Magistrate should abstain from giving effect to his order until that has been decided by a Civil Court or by a Magistrate under S. 532 of the Code of 1872. If under a mistaken view of the law and in spite of the objection raising the question of the right of way the Magistrate should appoint a jury, then his order could not be found to be reasonable and proper, because at the outset of their inquiry the jury would be met by the *bond fide* objection that the road was private and not public property.—In the matter of Chunder Nath Sen, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 875; (S. C.) 6 Cal. L. R., 379; Roy Omesh Chunder Sen, 21 W. R., 67. In re-enacting S. 532 of the Code of 1872 in S. 147 of this Code, the Legislature has limited the action of the Magistrate to those cases only in which there is a dispute likely to cause a breach of the peace. This means therefore of determining such an objection would rarely be available. How far a Civil suit will lie, will appear on reference to *Rooke v. The Pearce Lall Coal Co.*, 11 W. R., 434; (S. C.) 3 B. L. R., 3 *app.*; *Baroda Pershad Moostafec v. Goorachand Moostafec*, 12 W. R., 160; *Moti Lall Sahoo v. Mohi Lall Roy*, 7 Cal. L. R., 433. (S. C.) I. L. R., 6 Cal. 291. See also *Satku Valad Kadir Sansare v. Ibrahim Aga Valad Mirza Aga*, I. L. R., 2 Bom., 457, where all the cases on the subject are collected and considered.

A Magistrate cannot interfere to re-open a private path leading from the house of a person to a public thoroughfare, as such path is not a thoroughfare or public place. The person affected was referred to the Civil Court.—*Jankee Nath Bhattacharjee*, 2 W. R., 36.

Nor can a Magistrate order the removal of an obstruction of a drain into which the sewage of certain premises fell.—*In re Troylokhonath Bose*, 5 W. R., 58.

Where the public have an admitted right to use a certain place as a burning ghaut, a Magistrate is not competent, on the complaint of a private individual, to prohibit it as a nuisance.—*Becharam Ghorooce*, 14 W. R., 177. But see *contra* *Mun. Comrs. Sub. Cal.*, 7 B. L. R., 499, (S. C.) 16 W. R., 6, in which the condition and conduct of an old established slaughter-house was proved to be an offensive nuisance and dangerous to the health of the neighbours, but the evidence did not show that it was in a worse condition than at any time since its establishment. The High Court held that no length of enjoyment can legalize a public nuisance, and that the Magistrate was justified in regarding it as a nuisance under S. 133.

A prosecution under S. 268, Penal Code, for a public nuisance, is not illegal on the ground that proceedings have not been previously taken under Chapter X of the Code of Criminal Procedure.—*Sukh Lall* and others, *Bomb. H. Ct.*, Sep. 17, 1869.

A party feeling aggrieved by an order passed by a Magistrate under S. 133 cannot file a suit for damages against the persons who instituted proceedings before the Magistrate, unless he can show that in moving the Magistrate they were actuated by malicious motives against him, or intended wrongfully to injure him.—*Chintamani Bapoohee v. Degumber Mitter*, 2 B. L. R., 15, *Short Notes*.

Where under S. 521 of the Code of 1872 re-enacted in S. 133 a Magistrate made an order declaring certain land to be public thoroughfare and directed the removal of an obstruction on it, and the person affected by that order sued the Magistrate to establish his right to the land, as his private property, it was held that though the suit could not be brought against the Magistrate, leave might be given to amend the plaint so as to substitute the Secretary of State for India as defendant in the place of the Magistrate, and the High Court directed the trial of the suit on the merits. *Nilkanthappa Malkapa appellant*—I. L. R., *Bomb.* 670 followed by *Balaram Chatrakalal*. *Ibid.*, 672.

134 [S. 522.] The order shall, if practicable, be served on the per-

son against whom it is made in manner herein provided for service of a summons.

If such order cannot be so served, it shall be notified by proclamation, published in such manner as the Local Government may by rule direct, and

a copy thereof shall be stuck up at such place or places as may be fittest for conveying the information to such person.

Ss. 69 *et seq.* relate to the service of summons.

The mere absence of service of notice to remove a nuisance is not sufficient ground for interference with the order of a Magistrate when it appears that the parties did not take that objection before that Officer, but they rather admitted knowledge of the existence of the notice, and sought to excuse their failure to obey it.—*Hochan v. Elliot*, 5 W. R., 4.

The Government of Bengal has directed that proclamation under S. 134 shall be notified by beat of drum at the place where the nuisance to be removed or abated is situated—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1883, Part I, p. 245.

135 [S. 532, para. 1.] The person against whom such order is made shall—

Person to whom order is addressed to obey? (a) perform, within the time specified in the order, the act directed thereby; or

(b) appear in accordance with such order, and either show cause against Or show cause or claim the same, or apply to the Magistrate by whom it was made to appoint a jury to try whether the same is reasonable and proper.

The application for an order for the appointment of a jury should be written on a stamp paper of eight annas. Court Fees Act (VII, 1870) Sch. II, Art. 1 (b).

After such an application has been made the Magistrate is bound to appoint a jury. He cannot dispose of the matter by a local inquiry—*In re Mothoor Chunder Dass*, 2 Cal. W. R., 509.

If the person against whom the order is made appears and shows cause, the Magistrate should proceed to take evidence as directed by S. 137.

136 [S. 525.] If such person does not perform such act or appear and show cause or apply for the appointment of a jury as required by section 135, he shall be liable to the penalty prescribed in that behalf in section 188 of the Indian Penal Code; and the order shall be made absolute.

When the party against whom the order was passed did not appear within the time specified, but appeared before the hearing of the case, it was held that the Magistrate was bound to hear him—*In re Bisto Chunder Chuckerbutty*, 10 W. R., 27.

S. 188 of the Indian Penal Code declares that "whoever, knowing that, by an order promulgated by a public servant lawfully empowered to promulgate such order, he is directed to abstain from a certain act, or to take certain order with certain property in his possession or under his management, disobeys such direction, shall, if such disobedience causes or tends to cause obstruction, annoyance, or injury, or risk of obstruction, annoyance, or injury, to any person lawfully employed, be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month, or with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees, or both; and if such disobedience causes or tends to cause danger to human life, health, or safety, or causes or tends to cause a riot or affray, shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to one thousand rupees, or both. *Explanation.*—It is not necessary that the offender should intend to produce harm, or contemplate his disobedience as likely to produce harm. It is sufficient that he knows of the order which he disobeys, and that his disobedience produces, or is likely to produce, harm."

Before proceedings under S. 188, Penal Code, can be taken, the sanction or complaint of the Magistrate who issued the particular order or of some officer to whom he is subordinate, that is, to whom appeals against his orders lie, must have been obtained; such sanction should specify the occasion on which the offence was committed. S. 195. The Court in contempt of whose authority or to whose notice the offence was brought in the course of a judicial proceeding is debarred from trying the case. S. 487.

137 [Ss. 525, 527.] If he appears and shows cause against the order, the Magistrase shall take evidence in the matter.

If the Magistrate is satisfied that the order is not reasonable and proper, no further proceedings shall be taken in the case.

If the Magistrate is not so satisfied, the order shall be made absolute.

Evidence will probably be taken as in summons cases. Ss. 355 *et seq.*

If the order be for the removal of an unlawful obstruction or nuisance from a way or channel which is or may be lawfully used by the public or from any public place, and objection is taken that the place said to be obstructed or on which the nuisance is said to exist is not one of that description, the Magistrate will have to try that matter first before taking evidence whether his order is reasonable or proper—*In re Chundernath Sen*, 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 1875; (S. C.) 6 Cal. L. R., 379: *Roy Omesh Chunder Sen*, 21 W. R., 67. When the Magistrate took no evidence, notwithstanding the party appeared and showed cause, the order was set aside—*In re Mohur Mundir*, 8 Cal. L. R., 431, followed in the case of *Issur Chunder Nath*, 1 L. R., 8 Cal. 883.

The second clause of S. 137 is in accordance with *In re Shonai Paramanik*, 1 Cal. L. R., 486.

138 [S. 523, paras. 2, 5; S. 524.] On receiving an application Procedure where he under section 135 to appoint a jury, the Magistrate claims jury. shall—

(a) forthwith appoint a jury consisting of an uneven number of persons not less than five, of whom the foreman and one half of the remaining members shall be nominated by such Magistrate, and the other members by the applicant;

(b) summon such foreman and members to attend at such place and time as the Magistrate thinks fit; and

(c) fix a time within which they are to return their verdict.

Sch. V, No. 17 contains the form of a Magistrate's order constituting a jury.

The Jurors must be regularly appointed; so, when a juror fell sick and his place was filled by a person selected by the foreman, the report on which the Magistrate proceeded was held to be no valid finding and an order convicting for disobedience of it, was set aside—*Bhairob Chunder Dutt*, 10 Cal. L. R., 191.

A Magistrate acting under S. 138 should exercise his own independent discretion in selecting the members of the jury which the law requires him to appoint, and the persons selected should not be the nominees of the party interested in upholding the Magistrate's order. In a case in which the Magistrate appointed nominees, the proceedings were quashed.—*Raja Satyanund Ghosal*, 21 W. R., 43.

The parties concerned should not be appointed members of the jury. It is not competent to any one to exercise the authority of a Court of Justice as judge in his own cause, and it is plainly against the most elementary principles of right and equity that an applicant for justice to a Criminal Court, or indeed to any Court, should be compelled to submit his case to the arbitration of his adversary. On these grounds the order of a Magistrate was set aside, which was based on the report of a jury consisting of the petitioner in the case and two of his witnesses, notwithstanding the protest of the opposite party.—*Brindabun Dutt*, 22 W. R., 47.

A Magistrate should not behind the back of one of the parties concerned in the matter cancel the appointment of one of the jurors even though he be one of the Magistrates own nominees, on the objection made by another of the parties that that juror had already decided the matter on another occasion against that party.—*Chunder Nath Sen*, 6 Cal. L. R., 379.

Where one of the jurors was unavoidably absent and unable to investigate the matter, and the Magistrate appoints a fresh juror, he is bound to fix a fresh term for the submission of the finding of the jurors, since the fixing of time is a condition precedent to the exercise by the Magistrate of the power to decide the case himself if no report be sent in.—*Shama Kant Bandopadhyaya*, 14 W. R., 69.

Merely because a jury has failed to send in its report, a Magistrate is not competent to appoint a second jury, and to commit the matter afresh to that jury. In the event of a jury duly appointed under S. 138 being unable for some good cause to entertain and determine the matter submitted to it, a Magistrate can appoint a fresh jury. Suppose for instance, that before the jury had discharged its functions one of its members died, or, suppose the jury became perverse and refused to entertain the matter for which it was appointed, in such cases it may be well that the first order of appointment ought to be considered as having fallen through and become useless, and the Magistrate would then have power under S. 138, to appoint a fresh jury. But here it appeared that the first jury had considered the matter submitted to it and the individual members had given in their opinions to the Foreman to report to the Magistrate even before the second jury was constituted. The failure was that the Foreman omitted for a time to make the formal report to the Magistrate. But that report did eventually reach the Magistrate through the Foreman of the second jury before he made the order complained of. Under the circumstances, that was the report by which he was bound to guide himself, and the report of the second jury was, so far as regards the objects of S. 138, in itself a nullity.—*In re Nozumuddy*, 21 W. R., 54.

The jury having failed to report within the prescribed time, but having reported subsequently, it was held by the Bombay High Court that the Magistrate was bound to give due weight thereto, and should not have proceeded to enforce his own order, at the same time refusing to permit the party concerned to show cause against it under S. 137. The Court remarked that the law evidently contemplates that considerations of justice and equity should form the rule of the Magistrate's conduct in such matters. The exercise of such summary powers requires both experience and discretion in a Magistrate, and a careful consideration of the rights of property.—*Dalsukram Haribhai*, 2 Bomb., 410.

139 [S. 523, para. 3; S. 526, para. 1.] If the jury or a majority of the jurors find that the order of the Magistrate is reasonable and proper as originally made, or subject to a modification which the Magistrate accepts, the Magistrate shall make the order absolute, subject to such modification (if any).

In other cases, no further proceedings shall be taken.

If grounds of objection to the verdict of a jury are brought to the notice of the Magistrate, such as to justify the conclusion that it was not a proper verdict, then he ought to inquire into the validity of those grounds. The objection must be made as specifically as possible, and the objector must pledge himself to establish definitely such facts as would, when proved, suffice to render the verdict invalid and improper.—*Brindabun Chunder Dutt*, 23 W. R., 15.

The law requires every jurymen to exercise his own understanding on the case submitted to him and to decide on evidence. Where a jurymen who made the majority followed blindly the opinion of two of his fellows without exercising any discretion of his own and merely on verbal report made by them, the report was declared to be void—*Petambar Jugi*, 25 W. R., 4.

The jurors should meet and consider the matter referred to them in consultation. Where the jurors visited the particular place, to which the order of the Magistrate was directed, separately and submitted separate reports on which the Magistrate acted, it was held that the proceedings were bad.—*Beepin Behari Sen.* Cal. H. Ct., Jan. 25, 1883. The Court quoted and applied the following extract from a judgment delivered by Peacock, C. J., in the case of *Kholut Chunder Ghose v. Tarnchand Koondoo*, 6 W. R., 269 *Civil Cases* :—

"All acts of a judicial nature to be performed by several persons ought to be performed when they are all present together, and a final decision ought not to be pronounced in a case in which they differ until by conference and discussion of the points in difference they have endeavoured to arrive at an unanimous judgment. Such a rule is clearly laid down in regard to arbitrators and it is equally, if not more specially, necessary to be acted upon by a Court consisting of two or more judges, with power to decide on matter of the greatest importance. With regard to arbitrators, it is laid down in *Russel on Arbitration* p. 209 :—'As they must all act, so they must all act together. They must each be present at every meeting: and the witnesses and parties must be examined in presence of them all: for the parties are entitled to have recourse to the arguments, experience and judgment of each of the arbitrators at every stage of the proceedings brought to bear on the minds of his fellow judges, so that by conference they shall mutually assist each other in arriving at a just decision.'"

Unless the report be some modification of his order, and it be otherwise open to no objection, the Magistrate is bound to accept the report of the jury; but if it be not clearly expressed, he may call upon the jury definitively to state whether his order was or was not a reasonable and proper order—*Poholee Mullick*, 12 W. R., 28.

140 [Ss. 525, 526.] When an order has been made absolute under section 136, section 137 or section 139, the Magistrate shall give notice of the same to the person against whom the order was made, and shall further require him to perform the act directed by the order within a time to be fixed in the notice, and inform him that, in case of disobedience, he will be liable to the penalty provided by section 188 of the Indian Penal Code.

If such act is not performed within the time fixed, the Magistrate may cause it to be performed, and may recover the costs of performing it, either by the sale of any building, goods or other property removed by his order, or by the distress and sale of any other moveable property of such person within or without the local

limits of such Magistrate's jurisdiction. If such other property is without such limits, the order shall authorize its attachment and sale when endorsed by the Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the property to be attached is found.

No suit shall lie in respect of anything done in good faith under this section.

See note to S. 136.

Sch. V, No. 18 contains a form of the Magistrate's notice and peremptory order after a finding by a jury.

S. 538 declares that no distress made under this Code shall be deemed unlawful, nor shall any person making the same be deemed a trespasser on account of any defect or want of form in the summons, writ of distress or other proceedings relating thereto.

Nothing is said to be done in "good faith" which is done without due care and attention. S. 52, Penal Code.

The consequences of an interference by a Civil Court with an order passed by a Magistrate under this chapter are commented on in the case of *Ujalmuni Dassee v. Chunder Koomar Neogi*, 4 B. L. R., 24 *Full Bench* : (S. C.) 12 W. R., 18 (F. B.) :—"If when a Magistrate having entered into the question has determined that a nuisance does exist, he is to be restrained by a Court of Civil Judicature from carrying this order into execution, it might be two or three years before the nuisance could be removed, by which time all the injury may have been sustained. While the suit is going on, persons may be poisoned by the malaria arising from the nuisance, or the conflagration may take place, or lives may be lost by the falling of a ruinous wall on passengers, or their cattle may be drowned in a tank or well which has not been properly fenced to prevent danger.

"The object of the Act is to enable the Magistrate to make an order speedily, and speedily to carry that order into execution. It would be mere trifling with the Act if, when it says that no action shall be entertained by any Court in respect of anything necessarily or reasonably done to give effect to an order of this nature, we should hold that the Civil Court could interfere to restrain the Magistrate from giving effect to his order at all : for that is what is really sought to be done by such a suit. If the Magistrate had carried it into effect, no suit could have been brought against him or against any one acting under his order, and yet it is contended that suit will lie to prevent him from carrying his order into effect."

But though a suit will not lie to prevent any Magistrate from carrying out an order made under S. 133, or for damages on account of anything done by the Magistrate or any other person in carrying out such an order in the manner provided by law, the law does not prevent a person, against whom such an order has been carried into effect, from instituting a suit to prove that land declared by the Magistrate to be a public thoroughfare is his private property.—*Lalji Ukheda and others v. Jowba Dowda* and another, 8 Bomb., 94 *App. Civil Jur.* : *Mutty Ram Sahoo v. Mohi Lal Roy*, 7 Cal. L. R., 443, (S. C.) 1 L. R., 6 Cal. 291 : *Rooks v. Pearilall*, 4 W. R., 434 (S. C.) 3 B. L. R., 3 *app.* ; *Baroda Pershad Moostafec v. Gorachand Moostafec*, 12 W. R., 160.

141 [S. 523, para. 4.] If the applicant by neglect or otherwise pre-

Procedure on failure to appoint jury or omission to return verdict. vents the appointment of the jury, or if from any cause the jury appointed do not return their verdict within the time fixed or within such further time as the Magistrate may in his discretion allow, the Magistrate may pass such order as he thinks fit, and such order shall be executed in the manner provided by section 140.

The jury having failed to report within the prescribed time, but having reported subsequently, it was held by the Bombay High Court that the Magistrate was bound to give due weight thereto, and should not have proceeded to enforce his order, at the same time refusing to permit the party concerned to show cause against it under S. 135. The Court remarked that the law evidently contemplates that considerations of justice and equity should form the rule of the Magistrate's conduct in such matters. The exercise of such summary powers requires both experience and discretion in a Magistrate, and a careful consideration of the rights of property.—*Dalsukram Haribhai*, 2 Bomb., 410.

142 [S. 528.] If a Magistrate making an order under section 133

Injunction pending inquiry. considers that immediate measures should be taken to prevent imminent danger or injury of a serious kind to the public, he may, whether a jury is to be, or has been, appointed

or not, issue such an injunction to the person against whom the order was made as is required to obviate or prevent such danger or injury.

In default of such person forthwith obeying such injunction, the Magistrate may himself use, or cause to be used, such means as he thinks fit to obviate such danger or to prevent such injury.

No suit shall lie in respect of anything done in good faith by a Magistrate under this section.

Sch. V, No. 19 contains the form of an injunction under S. 142.

In *BENGAL* and in *ASSAM*, the fee of one Rupee has been fixed for an injunction.—*Cal. Gaz.* 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.* 1879, p. 596, Wilkins, 82.

When a Magistrate after passing an order under S. 142 ordered a further inquiry to be made by the Police, it was held that he had abandoned that order, and should have proceeded under S. 133 to call upon the party affected to show cause why the order should not be carried into effect—*Brijendro Lall*, 21 W. R., 86.

143 [S. 519.] A District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate, or any other Magistrate empowered by the Local Government or the District Magistrate in this behalf, may order any person not to repeat or continue a public nuisance, as defined in the Indian Penal Code or any special or local law.

Sch. V, No. 20 contains a form of the Magistrate's order prohibiting the repetition or continuance of a nuisance.

If any Magistrate, not being empowered by law in that behalf, prohibits the repetition or continuance of a public nuisance, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (4).

The repetition or continuance of a public nuisance, as defined in S. 268, Penal Code, after an injunction by a Magistrate under S. 143 of this Code not to repeat or continue it, is punishable with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine, or with both. S. 291, Penal Code.

An order under S. 143 is not open to appeal or revision. S. 435.

In *BOMBAY*, District Superintendents and Assistant District Superintendents of Police have been empowered to act under S. 143. *Gaz.* 1873, p. 439.

CHAPTER XI.

TEMPORARY ORDERS IN URGENT CASES OF NUISANCE.

144 [S. 518.] In cases where, in the opinion of a District Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate or any other Magistrate specially empowered by the Local Government or the District Magistrate to act under this section, immediate prevention or speedy remedy is desirable,

such Magistrate may, by a written order stating the material facts of the case and served in manner provided by section 134, direct any person to abstain from a certain act or to take certain order with certain property in his possession, or under his management, if such Magistrate considers that such direction is likely to prevent, or tends to prevent, obstruction, annoyance or injury, or risk of obstruction, annoyance or injury, to any persons lawfully employed, or danger to human life, health or safety, or a riot or an affray.

An order under this section may, in cases of emergency or in cases

An order under this section may be directed to a particular individual, or to the public generally when frequenting or visiting a particular place.

Any Magistrate may rescind or alter any order made under this section by himself or any Magistrate subordinate to him or by his predecessor in office.

No order under this section shall remain in force for more than two months from the making thereof; unless, in cases of danger to human life, health or safety, or a likelihood of a riot or an affray, the Local Government, by notification in the official Gazette, otherwise directs.

Sch. V, No. 21 contains forms of Magistrate's orders under S. 144. The law requires that the written order shall state the material facts of the case, but none of these forms states that in the opinion of the Magistrate immediate prevention for a speedy remedy is desirable, or the grounds for such opinion.

If a Magistrate, not being empowered by law in that behalf, makes an order under S. 144, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (i.)

In BENGAL AND ASSAM a fee of one Rupee is chargeable for this written order.—*Cal. Gaz.* 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.* 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 82. S. 435, last para., declares that orders under S. 144 are not proceedings within the meaning of that section, that is to say, they are not proceedings, the record of which a superior Court may call for and examine for the purpose of satisfying itself as to the correctness, legality or propriety of the order recorded or passed, and as to the regularity of the proceedings taken. The object is evidently to prevent the exercise of powers of revision by a superior Court. Where an order has been regularly passed under S. 144, the High Court under S. 15 of the Charter Act cannot interfere with it.—*In re Chundernath Sen*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 293; but if the order cannot properly be passed under S. 144 it does not fall within that exception, and can be reconsidered.—*In re Krishna Mohun Bysack*, 1 Cal. L. R., 58; *In re Ropchand Parooee*, Cal. H. Ct., July 25, 1877.

The High Court can, however, interfere if the order is beyond the Magistrate's jurisdiction, as, for instance, when the order forbids the holding of a certain "hât," and directs its removal to a specified distance from another "hât," for a Magistrate cannot say that a man shall not do what he has clearly a right to do, and pass an order forbidding this for an indefinite period.—*Shurat Chunder Banerjee v. Bama Churn Mookerjee*, 4 Cal. L. R., 410.

But though it may not be open to a superior Court on the original proceedings to question the propriety of the order passed, still if a punishment is inflicted for disobedience of that order, the legality of the order may properly be questioned on the trial, and be determined by the Courts before whom the case may come.—*Surjee Narain Dass*, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 88.

The party aggrieved should either petition the District Magistrate to recall the order, or if that fails, he should petition the Government.—*Seenai Nyna Mohidee*, per Turner, C. J., Weir 430.

A private individual is not deprived of the redress which the law affords him by means of a Civil suit.—*Raj Kumar Singh v. Sahibzada Roy*, I. L. R., 3 Cal., 20, Per Garth, C. J., Jackson, Macpherson, Markby and Ainslie, J.J.; *Gopi Mohun Moulick v. Taramoni Chowdhraim*, 4 Cr. L. R., 309, Per Garth, C. J. and eleven Judges.

The terms of para. 3 would seem to show that the order cannot ordinarily be made absolute until notice has been given to the person concerned. The object of this is apparently to enable that person to make such representation that he may think fit to induce the Magistrate to recall it and not to enforce it. In case of emergency or where circumstances do not admit of proper service of the order, peremptory obedience to the order may be enforced.—*See Hari Mohun Mala*, 1 B. L. R., 20; *Bhyro Dyal Singh*, 11 W. R., 46; *Rai Luchmepoot Singh*, 14 W. R., 17.

A Magistrate cannot order rival sects of Mohomedans worshipping at the same mosque to say their prayer at certain specified times.—*Shahabudden and others*. Punj. Rec 1876, p. 16.

All that the High Court can do is to see that the Magistrate had jurisdiction to pass the order under S. 144. If he had, there is no power of interference. It was contended that there was no such emergency as to call for an order under S. 144 and that the order was bad, as not being confined to a prohibition of one act but extended to several acts. We think we must take the results of the order itself as sufficient to show that the Magistrate *bona fide* believed, from information before him, that the danger of disturbance through the action of the Petitioner was convenient. It is not necessary that the information on which he acted should be on the record. The circumstances on which a Magistrate is required to act under this section are frequent and such that action must be taken immediately upon oral information and the circumstances which are on record would satisfy us, that if this were necessary when the Magistrate passed his order (more than one month later) there may still have been danger of such a breach of the peace as the Magistrate says he was informed by the Police and Magisterial authorities was to be apprehended immediately.—*E. V. Ramanuja Jiyar Swami*, I. L. R., 3 Mad. 354: (S. C.) Weir, 426.

The following observations were made by the Mad. H. Ct.—Muthialu Chetty and others I. L. R., 2 Mad. 140 (S. C.) Weir 420—regarding the right to hold religious processions which were likely to cause a breach of the peace:—

"It is on the one hand a right recognized by law that an assembly lawfully engaged in the performance of religious worship or religious ceremonies shall not be disturbed. It is on the other hand a right recognized by law that persons may for a lawful purpose, whether civil or religious, use a common highway by parading it attended by music so that they do not obstruct the use of it by other persons. If persons passing in procession attended by music pass a place in which others are assembled and engaged in their worship which the music would tend to disturb, it is the duty of the persons composing the procession to refrain from such disturbance: but assemblies for purposes of worship are held scarcely in any place at all hours, and generally at appointed hours, and therefore it is unnecessary that there should be a rule that persons should not at any time pass along a high road in the neighbourhood of a recognized place of worship if attended by music. If indeed the procession be of a religious character, the prohibition of it may be so real an interference with the free exercise of religion as in allowing it to proceed past an assembly engaged in worship attended with such circumstances as to disturb that worship, and if no religious procession is to be allowed to pass a recognized place of worship whether persons are or are not at the time there assembled and engaged in religious worship, the members of a numerous sect might close any highway to the processions of a sect to which they are opposed, by erecting in the neighbourhood of each highway a place of worship. The law in the restriction it imposes on processions of whatever character does not go beyond the necessity.

"For the preservation of the public place a Magistrate has a special authority, an authority limited to certain occasions. His first duty is to secure to every person the enjoyment of his rights under the law, and, by measures of precaution, to deter those who seek to invade the rights of others; but if he apprehends that the lawful exercise of a right may lead to civil tumult, and he doubts whether he has available a sufficient force to repress such tumult, or to render it innocuous, regard for the public welfare is allowed to override temporarily the private right, and the Magistrate is authorised to interdict its exercise. The direction of this authority on the Magistrate is co-extensive with the emergency that justified the exercise of the authority."

The order must have reference to a particular occasion and not for a continuance. Thus an order not to drive cattle between certain hours along certain roads was held to be an illegal order; Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Aug. 17, 1875, Weir, 291. (See Ed. 1, p. 308). Nor can an order relate to a course of conduct or an occupation involving a series of acts done at certain intervals and spread over an interval of time—for instance, an order prohibiting the practice of inoculation was pronounced to be illegal, and a conviction under S. 188, Penal Code, for disobeying it was set aside.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Feb. 4, 1879, Weir, 419.

It is competent to a Magistrate to issue an order under this section to certain persons in possession and management of a Hindoo temple to widen the door, in order to give the necessary ventilation, and to afford proper means of ingress and egress to the pilgrims. Even if the temple were private property, the order could be passed, as the building was open to the Hindu public.—Ramchunder Eknath, 6 Bomb., 36, *Crown cases*.

A Ghosain went forth to pay a visit accompanied by a body of retainers carrying horns which were blown for his glorification, and to the annoyance of another person with whom he was not on good terms. The Magistrate, under S. 144 ordered that neither party should use any musical instruments in the neighbourhood of the house of the other. This order was pronounced by the Calcutta High Court to be open to objection, and was modified, the parties being forbidden to use horns or other musical instruments for the purpose of mutual offence in the neighbourhood of each other's house.—Ram Chunder Geer Ghosain, 6 W. R., 40.

S. 144 does not authorise a Magistrate to direct the removal of an embankment in consequence of which adjacent lands are in danger of being flooded.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Feb. 22, 1870; 6 Mad. xix app. (S. C.) Weir, 417.

A Magistrate can only order a person to take certain order with certain property in his possession; he is not empowered to pass an order which is irrevocable, such as the cutting down of trees.—*In re Uttam Chunder Chatterjee*, 13 W. R., 72; (S. C.) 5 B. L. R., 131; nor is a Magistrate competent to order the owner of a tank in the dry bed of a river to destroy its banks, because they are an obstruction to the public in their lawful enjoyment of the river in the rainy season, and because, by stopping the water, the banks interfere with the drainage of the country, and affect the health of the villagers in the surrounding places, and tend to injure the crops and lessen the value of the land, since the owner of the tank had enjoyed it for six years.—Gholam Durbesh, 10 W. R., 36.

CHAPTER XII.

DISPUTES AS TO IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY.

No instrument chargeable with duty shall be admitted as evidence for any purpose by any person having by law authority to receive evidence or shall be acted upon unless such instrument is duly stamped. The Indian Stamp Act (I of 1879) S. 34. See also Proviso 2 which specially excepts proceedings under this Chapter of the Code from the general exception in favour of proceedings in Criminal Courts.

145 [S. 530.]

Procedure where dispute concerning land, &c., is likely to cause breach of peace.

Whenever a District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class is satisfied from a police report or other information that a dispute likely to cause a breach of the peace exists concerning any tangible immoveable property, or the boundaries thereof, within the local limits of his jurisdiction, he shall make an order in writing, stating the grounds of his being so satisfied, and requiring the parties concerned in such dispute to attend his Court, in person or by pleader, within a time to be fixed by such Magistrate, and to put in written statements of their respective claims as respects the fact of actual possession of the subject of dispute.

The Magistrate shall then, without reference to the merits of the claims of any such parties to a right to possess the subject of dispute, peruse the statements so put in, hear the parties, receive the evidence produced by them respectively, consider the effect of such evidence, take such further evidence (if any) as he thinks necessary, and, if possible, decide whether any and which of the parties is then in such possession of the said subject.

If the Magistrate decides that one of the parties is then in such possession of the said subject, he shall issue an order declaring such party to be entitled to retain possession thereof until evicted therefrom in due course of law, and forbidding all disturbance of such possession until such eviction.

Nothing in this section shall preclude any party so required to attend from showing that no such dispute as aforesaid exists or has existed; and in such case the Magistrate shall cancel his said order, and all further proceedings thereon shall be stayed.

Sch. V, No. 22 contains a form of order passed by a Magistrate declaring a party entitled to retain possession of land &c., in dispute.

If any Magistrate not empowered by law in that behalf passes an order under S. 145, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (j).

The reported cases show that there is a tendency on the part of Magistrates too readily and often unnecessarily to institute proceedings under this section without properly considering whether there is valid cause for their interference otherwise than to bind over the contending parties to keep the peace and without fully realising the effect of an adverse order passed by them on rights of property to be thereafter determined in the Civil Courts. It too often happens that a person really out of possession with some long dormant possible title which he has bought as a speculation and feels the difficulty of fairly proving in a suit brought by him, endeavours to improve his position by originating proceedings under S. 145. His first object is to obtain a favourable report from the Police of the impending breach of the peace and that he is not the aggressor. It then not unfrequently happens that almost simultaneously he complains of the conduct of his adversary and asks the Magistrate to determine the matter in dispute under S. 145. There is rarely any difficulty in obtaining some evidence of possession with which evidence of title is generally confused and then, with the favourable impression

created by a partial Police report and by his having at once appealed to the Magistrate for protection, the Magistrate's order is in his favour. This is precisely the result for which he has manoeuvred and he has now the satisfaction of knowing that in proceedings which must necessarily take place in the Civil Court his adversary will be the plaintiff and as such be bound to prove his own title before the effect of the Magistrate's order can be questioned. Thus the result of proceedings inconsiderately taken by a Magistrate is sometimes to disturb a long and uninterrupted possession and be the means of at least seriously imperilling titles to valuable properties. It will be observed that in reported cases the High Court probably for these reasons, has shown a great inclination to restrain the action of Magistrates and to set aside their orders on grounds which are sometimes very technical.

At the same time, however, if proceedings are necessary under S. 145, they should, from the nature of the necessity which has originated them, be brought to an early termination. The terms of the commencement of para 2 of the section "the Magistrate shall *then* proceed" seem to indicate this. A proper interval should be allowed before the matter comes under trial, but it should under ordinary circumstances be then determined, the parties being prepared with their evidence of actual possession, and if the assistance of any process be required application should have been made in sufficient time to admit of due service before the date fixed for trial. See *Shama Sunker Maya*, 9 B. L. R., 45 *app.*

The Magistrate must be satisfied that "a dispute likely to cause a breach of the peace exists concerning any *tangible immoveable property*" This expression is substituted for "any land or any houses, water, fisheries, crops or other produce of land" in S. 530 of the Code of 1872. These last words appeared in S. 318 of the Code of 1861 which again repeated S. 4, Act IV of 1840. Whether this alteration will make any difference in the practice of the Courts will probably form the subject of judicial decision. The cases of *Dewan Elahoe Newaz Khan v. Suburunnissa*, 5 W. R., 14; and *Sutherland v. Crowdy*, 18 W. R., 11., (S. C.) 9 B. L. R., 229 may be consulted as expressing opposite views.

In the case of *Bijoy Nath Chatterjea* Pet. 23 W. R., 45, the dispute was regarding the right to dig for coal on some land comprised within the limits of a village which belonged to the proprietors under whom both the contending parties claimed. It was there laid down that the possession in regard to which the Magistrate's jurisdiction should be exercised must be of a real and tangible character. When a party claims under a document the right of doing certain things over a large extent of territory, the performance of acts under such alleged right in one portion of ground over which the right extends, although it may be good and sufficient for the purpose of keeping alive that right so as to be an answer to the plea of limitation raised in a Civil Suit, is not in itself a sufficient possession on which a Magistrate's order under S. 145 may be passed for the purpose of forbidding in a distant locality acts not necessarily in conflict with such possession though at variance with the right.

In order that the grounds of the Magistrate being satisfied that a dispute likely to induce a breach of the peace exists should be plainly apparent in the proceedings, information must be referred to and facts stated by the Magistrate as facts believed by him to exist, and these should be such as to afford on the face of the proceeding rational grounds for the belief that a dispute likely to induce a breach of the peace exists with regard to certain specified property. In arriving at an opinion, with regard to the facts which the Magistrate in his proceeding states as the ground of his belief, he must form his judgment by the exercise of a judicial discretion upon some sort of materials. The Code does not limit those materials to evidence given on oath; (and as now enacted in S. 145 allows a Magistrate to act on "a Police report or other information") but those materials must only be such as would in their nature justify a judicial officer in relying upon them; unless a Magistrate is in a position to present clear and rational grounds capable of being estimated according to their merits on the mere statement of them, he has no legal foundation on which to base his investigation *inter partes*, relative to possession.—*Kishoroe Mohun Roy*, 19 W. R., 10.

There must be a reasonable apprehension that a disturbance of the peace is likely to occur rendering it necessary for the Magistrate to take immediate action. The High Court considered that the finding in the Magistrate's judgment, that it was probable that a breach of the peace would occur if proceedings were not taken, was not sufficient to give him jurisdiction and accordingly set aside the order passed.—*Damoodar Biddiadhur Mahapatro*, 1. L. R., 7 Cal., 385, (S. C.) 8 Cal. L. R., 514. See also *Chunder Madhub Ghose v. Juggesh Chunder Sen*, 4 Cal. L. R., 483. There must be a present danger of a breach of the peace, not that it will probably be broken at some further time.—*Uma Churn Shantha*, 7 Cal. L. R., 352. Thus, the High Court have in many cases made this the ground for setting aside the orders of Magistrates. It will be seen that the last para of S. 145 which is new, contemplates such an objection being raised in the course of the proceedings before the Magistrate and forming one of the points for his decision.

The order in writing would probably be served as a summons under Ss. 68 *et seq.*

"Requiring the parties concerned in such dispute to attend his Court." This is a notice of a specific character to the parties concerned in the dispute, in consequence of which the proceedings have been instituted, and is not a general citation to the public. A third party cannot come in and claim to be made a party. But if the Magistrate is satisfied of the existence of a dispute between him and some of the parties likely to cause a breach of the peace, he can record a preliminary pro-

ceeding to that effect and so include him—*Raja Koomed Narain Bhoop v. Mohim Chunder*, 3 Cal. L. R., 551; (S. C.) I. L. R., 4 Cal., 650. But if one of the parties dies, the Magistrate should postpone the case and make his representative a party. Where notwithstanding the death of one of the original parties, the Magistrates continued the proceedings, it was held that they were not necessarily bad, as the death had prejudiced no one.—*Ranee Anandamoyee Dabee*, 2 Cal. L. R., 264.

The omission to record a preliminary proceeding to the effect that a dispute likely to induce a breach of the peace exists will not invalidate an order passed, unless it can be shown that the party was prejudiced by the omission—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Aug. 9, 1870. *Weir*, 436. See also *Nathu Manickchand*, *Bom. H. Ct.*, June 15, 1871. The practice of the Calcutta High Court has varied in this respect. In the case of *Goru Mohun Majee*, 22 W. R., 8, it was held, per *Couch*, *C. J.* and *Ainalie, J.* that the omission to record a proceeding is only an irregularity and it is for the Court to determine whether it has caused substantial injury so as to necessitate the setting aside of the order passed under S. 145. But when the question of possession has been fairly and properly determined and the parties have had an opportunity of contesting it before the Magistrate, the High Court will not interfere. The High Court made a distinction between that case and the cases of *Seetanath Roy*, 3 W. R., 9; and *Harvey v. Brice* 4 W. R., 28, by observing that in neither of those cases did it appear that the parties had put in written statements as if a proceeding had been duly recorded by the Magistrate and that if they had done so as in the case under consideration, they could not reasonably complain that the Magistrate had not recorded a proceeding.

In the case of *Umrithnath Jha*, 6 W. R., 61, *Peacock, C. J.* held that the Magistrate erred in law in not stating in his proceeding any sufficient ground for his being satisfied that a dispute concerning land existed which was likely to produce a breach of the peace, but the learned Chief Justice seems to have thought that the Magistrate might have proceeded if the parties had consented to waive that objection by consenting to go into the whole question of actual possession. *Jackson J.* on the other hand regarded the omission as indicating a want of jurisdiction in the commencement of the proceedings.

In *Harvey v. Brice*, 4 W. R., 26 it was held that the omission to record a proceeding under S. 530 of the Code of 1872, that is, an order in writing under S. 145 of the present Code, is not a mere informality in procedure, which if no substantial injury were done, might be overlooked. The parties may have been, indeed one of them said that he had been, precluded from adducing all his evidence by his very omission.

A Magistrate has no jurisdiction to inquire into the matter of possession unless he is first satisfied that a breach of the peace is actually likely to occur in reference thereto. He must also record his reasons for being so satisfied. Perhaps it might be immaterial to the validity of the proceeding whether he finally recorded his reasons as required by the Code, but as the inconvenience of a breach of the peace constitutes the substantial ground for his interference being involved at all, it ought to be distinctly adjudicated on by him. Per *Phear, J.* *Jackson, J.* concurred that the proceedings were defective as the Magistrate had not recorded that he was satisfied of the evidence of a dispute likely to occasion a breach of the peace. The proceedings taken were accordingly quashed. *Glover J. diss.* *Dewan Elahce Newaz Khan*, 5 W. R., 14. So also in the case of *Musst. Anundee Koer v. Ranee Soonat Koer*, 9 W. R., 64, the omission to record a proceeding was held to necessitate the quashing of the award made by the Magistrate. In *Kashi Kishore Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahory*, 3 B. L. R., 76, such an omission was regarded as showing that the Magistrate proceeded without jurisdiction.

The lands which are the subject of the dispute should be sufficiently identified in the order of writing recorded by the Magistrate, for otherwise it will be impossible for the contending parties to know how far they claim the whole or any portion of them so as to be in a position to adduce evidence of their actual possession.

The right to collect rents constitutes possession of land under S. 145 which does not necessarily refer to direct possession by actual cultivation. Both the actual cultivator and the person under whom he holds are considered to be in possession of land, and therefore S. 145 applies to a dispute between semindars whose lands are let out to ryots which dispute relates to possession by exercising the right to collect rents.—*In re Joy Govind Roy*, Cal. H. Ct. July 26, 1877 following *Sonai Sirdar*, 25 W. R., 45: See also *Hurruck Narain Singh v. Luchmi Bara Roy*, 5 Cal. L. R., 287; *Sutherland v. Crowdy*, 18 W. R., 11; *Muddoosedun Shaha*, 21 W. R., 55; *Umachurn Santra*, 7 Cal. L. R., 352.

In *Madras*, however, the contrary practice apparently exists, for it has been held that constructive possession through tenants is not such a possession as is contemplated by S. 145—4 *Mad. xii App. Pro.* May 15, 1869 (S. C.) *Weir* 435—also *Pro.* July 13, 1868. *Weir* 433.

A dispute between a semindar and his lessee regarding the right to collect rent is not within S. 145.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Feb. 11, 1873. *Weir*, 436. Nor a dispute regarding shares in joint undivided property—*Cal. H. Ct.*, 420, 1863. Nor can a dispute regarding the amount of the share of the crop payable by the ryot as rent be dealt with under S. 145—4 *Mad. xii App. Pro.* July 13, 1868, (S. C.) *Weir*, 433.

Where a semindar has let his lands or a portion of these in farm, he, his farmer, and the occupying ryots are all in their degree concerned in any dispute as to possession which may arise, and they may and ought to be respectively maintained in possession of the interests which they severally enjoy. If this were not so the following results might occur:—the owner of an estate A might have

a dispute with the owner of another estate B relating to land bordering on both, A however, would claim possession though a farmer and B direct possession. B if found in possession might obtain an order from a Magistrate: A could not. It may be said that A's farmer might be made a party to the proceedings and might be maintained in possession, and therefore, A could not be prejudiced. But suppose that the farmer colluded with B, he might fail to prosecute his claims and B would succeed.—*Harak Narain Singh*, 5 Cal. L. R., 287, per L. S. Jackson, J.

The fact that a certain party has obtained a certificate under Act XXVII of 1860 to collect debts due to a deceased person is not in itself conclusive proof of possession—*Geerjamonee*, *Suth. Rep.*, 1864, p. 2. Nor does it entitle the holder to be put into possession of any property of the deceased person.—*Seetaram Sahoo*, 18 W. R., 35. *Sreeput Giri Gossain*, 11 W. R., 23. Nor is a Magistrate justified by reason of the passing of such an order to interfere with the previous possession of another. The Civil Court should rather execute its own order.—*Mohunt Dhanraj Giri Ghosami*, 2 B. L. R., 27.

A Magistrate is bound to maintain possession given by a Civil Court, and not to make it necessary that a successful party should again have recourse to the same Court to recover what has already been given to him.—*Bholanath Ghose*, 7 Cal. L. R., 516; *Rai Mohun, Rai v. J. P. Wise*, 16 W. R., 24; *Raneegunge Coal Association (Limited) v. Hera Lall Ghosamee*, 24 W. R., 7; *Sonal Sirdar*, 25 W. R., 46.

Similarly where the Code of Civil Procedure provides a remedy for persons objecting to orders passed by a Civil Court, the Magistrate should not interfere but should maintain the orders of that Court, referring any parties who may object to them to that Court for their remedy. Thus, where possession of certain property was given by the Nazir of a Civil Court in execution of a decree, the objecting party should be referred to that Court and the Magistrate should decline to take cognizance of the dispute—*In re Chatterput Singh*, 5 Cal. L. R., 200: so also when a purchaser under a decree is resisted in getting actual possession of the property bought, the Magistrate should not interfere but should refer the purchaser to the Court.—*Pryag Singh*, 6 Cal. L. R., 206.

The fact that proceedings under the Bengal Land Registration Act are pending should make a Magistrate extremely careful not to make any order under S. 145, unless he is quite satisfied of the existence of a *bond fide* dispute, and that a breach of the peace is imminent. As the Magistrate knew that those proceedings only awaited formal completion, he should not have proceeded under S. 145. If he thought that a breach of the peace was imminent, he should have bound down the principal parties to keep the peace.—*Govind Chunder Moitro*, I. L. R., 6 Cal. 835: (S. C.) 8 Cal. L. R., 217.

Evidence in cases under S. 145 should be recorded as in warrant cases in the manner prescribed by S. 356.

A Magistrate is bound to examine any witnesses who are tendered by the parties in support of their respective claims to be in actual possession of the land in dispute.—6 *Mad.*, iv, *App.*, *Pro.*, Nov. 28, 1870.

S. 350 enables a Magistrate to act on evidence recorded by his predecessor in office as proceedings under S. 145 are an inquiry as defined by S. 4 (c).

When a person has been forcibly dispossessed of immoveable property, he can either bring a charge of a criminal offence and on conviction of his adversary he can obtain an order from the Court to be restored to the possession of that property (S. 522); or if he has been dispossessed without his consent and without due course of law he can institute a summary suit in a Civil Court within six months of the dispossession. *Specific Relief Act*, I of 1877, S. 9.

There must be some evidence from which the Magistrate may reasonably and fairly draw the conclusion of fact necessary in the case.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, May 15, 1869. *Weir*, 313, (Ed. 1.) He cannot proceed merely on the result of his own personal inspection of the land in dispute.—*Musst. Anundee Koer*, 9 W. R., 64; *Kali Chunder Shaha* and another. 7 B. L. R., 322.

The lands should be sufficiently identified in the order for possession, otherwise the order will be inoperative and only lead to injurious consequences.—*In re Sewart Tewary*, *Cal. H. Ct.*, April 5, 1879.

The possession which a Magistrate is to find and support is possession at the time of the institution of the proceedings and not possession at the time of his passing final orders in the matter.—*Prithiram Chowdhry Rai Bahadoor*, 20 W. R., 51. If it should appear that any person has been turned out of possession and has submitted to the ouster and the other party whether rightly or wrongly is in peaceable possession he should be retained in possession. But the mere fact of seizure and occupation of land while complaints are being made to the Police and proceedings are being held by the Criminal Courts cannot be said to be such peaceful possession as the Magistrate is bound to look to, and maintain. A man cannot give himself a title to the aid of the Magistrate by his own wrong doing, except so far as it can be said to have been acquiesced in and to have gained for him the position of a peaceful occupant.—*In re Bunwaree Misser*, 1 Cal. L. R., 136. A party who has been forcibly dispossessed has, as has already been pointed out, his remedy in the Criminal Courts on conviction of the person who has dispossessed him (S. 522) and in the Civil Courts under S. 9 *Specific Relief Act*, I of 1877, S. 72, but it is doubtful whether the Magistrate under S. 145 can look to the manner in which possession existing at the time of those proceedings, was acquired. The law as expressed in S. 145 is slightly modified in this respect. Para. 2 declares that the Magistrate shall

"if possible decide whether any and which of the parties is *then* in possession of the said subject." This seems to indicate clearly the possession which the Magistrate is to maintain without reference to its nature or origin.

So when it was found that a certain party had obtained possession of a temple in dispute by a trick, and by shutting the doors had kept his opponents out, it was held that in proceedings under S. 145 the Magistrate had rightly kept that party in possession as he has no power to restore the party who had been dispossessed except as provided for by S. 522, that is, when there has been a conviction of an offence accompanied by criminal force and dispossession has been effected by means of that criminal force.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Nov. 1880. Weir, 438. But see contra Pro. Jan. 2, 1871. 6 Mad. xiii App.* in which it was held that the possession intended by the Code does not include the occupancy of a mere trespasser. See however S. 145, para. 2.

Although a Magistrate cannot decide a matter under S. 145 on evidence of title, he may use such evidence merely to guide and assist his mind in coming to a decision on the question of possession. Evidence of title may supplement direct evidence of possession, but it cannot, standing alone, be proof of possession. If there is substantial evidence of possession or a conflict of evidence on that point, a Magistrate is justified at looking at the evidence of title in combination with evidence of possession.—*In re Kali Krišto Thakur, 8 Cal. L. R. 245, (S. C.) I L. R., 7 Cal., 46.*

In deciding a dispute between the zemindars and the ryots of two villages comprising 109 plots of land which formed the subject of one and the same proceeding the Magistrate in his judgment dealt with only 12 plots. As the possession of the entire area depended on the same state of circumstances the High Court refused to interfere with the order regarding the entire area.—*Azim Mollah 10 Cal. L. R., 523.*

The order passed should be that a certain party is entitled to retain possession until evicted in due course of law. Consequently a Magistrate cannot direct certain ryots to retain possession only until their crops have been reaped, for by such an order he would himself terminate a possession which he is bound to maintain until eviction is the result of other proceedings before duly constituted tribunals.—*Banwari Lal Misser, 1 Cal. L. R., 136.*

It will be observed that S. 145 enables certain Magistrates to interfere when they are "satisfied that a dispute likely to induce a breach of the peace exists concerning any tangible immovable property or the boundaries thereof." There are various Revenue laws in force which give Revenue officers similar powers and also empower them to settle such disputes by arbitration. These may be usefully consulted. See Act V (Bengal) of 1875: Reg. XII (Madras) of 1806: Act XXVIII (Madras) of 1860, Ss. 10-25: Act V (Bombay) of 1879: Also for the North-West Provinces, Act XIX of 1873, Ss. 140, 144; for Oude, Act XVII of 1876, Ss. 102-106: for British Burmah, Act V of 1880, S. 28.

It should be noted that S. 535 of the Code of 1872 declared that no order under S. 530 of that Code (now re-enacted in S. 145) shall affect the power of a Collector or a person exercising the power of a Collector or of a Revenue Court. S. 535 has not expressly been re-enacted but S. 1, para. 2 of this Code declares that in the absence of any specific provisions to the contrary nothing contained in this Code shall affect any special or local law or any special jurisdiction or power conferred by any law now in force, so that the powers of a Collector are saved and cannot be interfered with.

An order under S. 145 declares that a certain person shall be entitled to retain possession of the particular immovable property until evicted in due course by law. A suit to set it aside brought by a person bound by an order under S. 145 must be brought within three years from the date of the passing of the final order in the case. Limitation Act (XV of 1877) Sch. II, Art. 47.

146 [S. 531.] If the Magistrate decides that none of the parties is

Power to attach subject of dispute.

then in such possession, or is unable to satisfy himself as to which of them is then in such possession, of the subject of dispute, he may attach it until a competent Civil Court has determined the rights of the parties thereto, or the person entitled to possession thereof.

Sch. V, No. 23 gives the form of a warrant of attachment under this section.

If a Magistrate, not being empowered by law in that behalf, passes any order under this chapter, his proceedings are void. S. 530 (j).

It is only when after instituting a proceeding under S. 145, and taking evidence a Magistrate finds that neither party is in possession or that he is unable to satisfy himself as to which of them is in possession of the subject of dispute, that he can attach the immovable property.—*Ram Soonder Debee, 1 Cal. L. R., 86; In the matter of Leelanund Singh, 1 Cal. L. R., 273; Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Nov. 23, 1870. Weir, 388 (Ed. 1).*

A Magistrate, after notices issued under S. 145 to two parties, finding himself unable to determine who was in possession, attached the property in dispute under S. 146. On this, a third party represented that he, as landlord, had taken possession on the death of the person to whom it had

been leased. The Magistrate observed that the death of a holder of a tenure which was not transferable does not necessarily imply assumption of possession by the landlord, and he apparently inferred that the landlord's possession was without colour of law, for he held that the attachment under S. 146 signified that the Government had stepped into the position of the late owner, as trustee, and was bound to pay rent for the tenure. The High Court held that it was the duty of the Magistrate to have withdrawn his order under S. 146, if he found that the landlord was actually in possession of the land, and that order was set aside—*Joy Kissen Mookerjee*, 24 W. R., 40.

147. [S. 532.] Whenever any such Magistrate is satisfied as aforesaid that a dispute likely to cause a breach of the peace exists concerning the right to do or prevent the doing of anything in or upon any tangible immoveable property situate within the local limits of his jurisdiction, he may inquire into the matter; and may, if it appears to him that such right exists, make an order permitting such thing to be done, or directing that such thing shall not be done, as the case may be, until the person objecting to such thing being done or claiming that such thing may be done obtains the decision of a competent Civil Court adjudging him to be entitled to prevent the doing of, or to do, such thing, as the case may be:

Provided that no order shall be passed under this section permitting the doing of anything where the right to do such thing is exercisable at a times of the year, unless such right has been exercised within three months next before the institution of the inquiry; or, where the right is exercisable only at particular seasons, unless the right has been exercised during the season next before such institution.

Sch. V, No. 24 contains a form of order under S. 147, prohibiting the doing of anything on land or water.

If any Magistrate not being duly empowered by law on that behalf passes an order under S. 147 his proceedings are void. S. 530 (/.)

S. 147 professes generally to re-enact S. 532 of the Code of 1872 the terms of which are in more familiar language and describe the dispute to be "concerning the right of use of any land or water or any right of way." These terms have been used by the Legislature since Act IV of 1840 but are now replaced by language which may not generally be intelligible. The law, however, has been altered, in that proceedings under S. 147 cannot be taken unless the Magistrate is satisfied that a dispute likely to cause a breach of the peace exists, whereas the existence of a dispute was sufficient under the now repealed law which reproduced the law since 1840. The alteration will prevent Magistrates trying whether a right of way made the subject of an order under S. 133 is public or private on objection raised that it is private.—See in the matter of Chondronath Sen, 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 875, (S. C.) 5 Cal. L. R., 379: *Roy Omesh Chunder Sen*, 21 W. R., 67.

The alteration of the language at the end of this section is also important. S. 532 concluded thus—"unless such right has been exercised during the last of such seasons before the complaint" whereas S. 147 provides, "unless the right has been so exercised during the season next before such institution." It not unfrequently happens that a right is not exercised annually but during exceptional seasons; such cases would no longer be cognizable under S. 147.

A dispute regarding the obstruction of a drain into which the sewage of certain premises fall is not a matter to be adjudicated under S. 147.—*Troylokhyonath Bose*, 5 W. R., 58.

Because a person has another means of ingress and egress, a Magistrate should not order that he should not make use of one passing through another person's land. A Magistrate is bound to inquire into and decide when the latter road was open to use, in the manner specified in S. 147.—*Troylokhyanath Sircar*, 2 W. R., 64.

A right of way, or a right to the flow of water across the land of another, is a right of use of land within the meaning of S. 147.—*Mad H. Ct.*, Feb 18: 21, 1867. *Weir*, 415, 416; June 1, 1868, *Weir*, 318 (Ed. I.) Because some of the parties set up the right to use at all times would not preclude a Magistrate from finding that they had the lesser right to use in the way at particular seasons. The jurisdiction given by S. 147 to decide for a time the right to enjoyment of property should not be exercised except on clear and satisfactory proof. Where the only evidence is user, it should be such as to show satisfactorily acts of enjoyment exercised as a matter of right and permitted uninterruptedly for some considerable length of time.—4 *Mad.*, xxiv, *App.*, *Pro.*, Jan. 4, 1869. (S. C.), *Weir*, 439.

S. 147 does not enable a Magistrate to pass a purely declaratory order. It enables him to prevent arbitrary interruptions by any person of rights actually enjoyed, which have been exercised by the public or a person or class of persons.—In the matter of the Maharaja of Burdwan, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 194: (S. C.) 4 Cal., L. R., 324

148 [S. 533.] Whenever a local inquiry is necessary for the purposes of this chapter, any District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate may depute any Magistrate subordinate to him to make the inquiry, and may furnish him with such written instructions consistent with the law for the time being in force as may seem necessary for his guidance, and may declare by whom the whole or any part of the necessary expenses of the inquiry shall be paid.

Local inquiry.

The report of the persons so deputed may be read as evidence in the case.

When any costs have been incurred by any party to a proceeding under this chapter for witnesses' or pleaders' fees, or both, the Magistrate passing a decision under section 145, section 146 or section 147 may direct by whom such costs shall be paid, whether by such party or by any other party to the proceeding, and whether in whole or in part or proportion. All costs so directed to be paid may be recovered as if they were fines.

Order as to costs.

A local inquiry under this chapter can be held only by a Magistrate and his report under S. 148 is evidence in the case.

The Magistrate's instructions regarding a local inquiry to be held by a subordinate Magistrate should not relate to any question of possession which should be decided only on evidence taken by himself. The inquiry should rather be directed to some matter which cannot be proved by oral evidence.—*In re Baikant Kumar and others*. 3 Cal L. R., 134.

When an inquiry under S. 148 is instituted, it becomes part of the proceedings in the case, and the party affected by it is entitled to be acquainted with the results of it and to have an opportunity of rebutting the deputed Magistrate's report, if he thinks necessary to do so.—*Meer Dhunoo*, 21 W. R., 25.

The last para. regarding the payment of costs is new. Ss. 386, 387 provide for the realisation of fines.

CHAPTER XIII.

PREVENTIVE ACTION OF THE POLICE.

149 [S. 95.] Every Police-officer may interpose for the purpose of preventing, and shall to the best of his ability prevent, the commission of any cognizable offence.

Police to prevent cognisable offences.

This duty may be performed without reference to local jurisdiction, and if otherwise unable to prevent the offence, the Police officer may arrest the offender (S. 151). His further action should be regulated by S. 60. An officer in charge of a Police station can proceed to investigate into such offences only when they have been committed within the limits of such station (except under any of the special circumstances stated in Ss. 179—188, but no proceeding of a Police-officer investigating a cognizable case shall be called into question on the ground that he was not empowered to investigate. S. 156). He should, however, when a complaint is made to him, reduce such complaint to writing and enter the substance thereof in the diary whether the occurrence which is the subject of the complaint or information took place within his jurisdiction or not.—*Bengal Police Circular*.

150 [S. 96.] Every Police-officer receiving information of a design

Information of design to commit such offences.

to commit any cognizable offence shall communicate such information to the Police-officer to whom he is subordinate, and to any other officer whose duty it is to prevent or take cognizance of the commission of any such offence.

151 [S. 97.] A Police-officer knowing of a design to commit any cognizable offence may arrest, without orders from a Magistrate and without a warrant, the person so designing, if it appears to such officer that the commission of the offence cannot be otherwise prevented.

152 [S. 98, para. 1.] A Police-officer may of his own authority interpose to prevent any injury attempted to be committed in his view to any public property, moveable or immoveable, or the removal or injury of any public land-mark, or buoy or other mark used for navigation.

These acts are punishable under Ss. 430—434, Penal Code. If such injury or removal be done in opposition to the Police officer, he can under S. 54, cl. v, arrest the offender, but for all the offences mentioned except for that punishable under S. 434, Penal Code, the offender can be arrested without a warrant, so that if any of these acts be committed in the sight of the Police officer, he can immediately arrest the perpetrator, otherwise he should proceed under S. 24, Act V of 1861.

153 [S. 381.] Any officer in charge of a Police-station may, without a warrant, enter any place within the limits of such station for the purpose of inspecting or searching for any weights or measures, or instruments for weighing, used or kept therein, whenever he has reason to believe that there are in such place any weights, measures or instruments for weighing which are false.

If he finds in such place any weights, measures or instruments for weighing which are false, he may seize the same, and shall forthwith give information of such seizure to a Magistrate having jurisdiction.

PART V. INFORMATION TO THE POLICE AND THEIR POWERS TO INVESTIGATE.

CHAPTER XIV.

Police officers superior in rank to officers in charge of a Police station may exercise the same powers, throughout the local area to which they are appointed, as may be exercised by officers in charge of Police stations within the limits of their respective stations. S. 550.

154 [S. 112.] Every information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence, if given orally to an officer in charge of a Police-station, shall be reduced to writing by him or under his direction, and be read over to the informant; and every such information, whether given in writing or reduced to writing as aforesaid, shall be signed by the person giving it, and the substance thereof shall be entered in a book to be kept by such officer in such form as the Local Government may prescribe in this behalf.

An information to a Police officer should not be made on oath. If it is false, it cannot, therefore, be made the subject of a charge under S. 193, Penal Code, but it might come under S. 182 or 211—Calcutta Sudder Court, 324, 1862. See also Subbana Gaundan, 1 Mad., 80; Bonomalee Sahai, 5 W. R., 32. But if the person giving the information is examined under S. 161 of this Code he will in consequence of the alteration in the law be liable to punishment under S. 193, Penal Code, if he has intentionally made a false statement.

The complaint or information reduced to writing forms part of the first information report. The diary in which the substance of the complaint is to be entered is the station diary kept under S. 44, Act V, 1861.

Any Criminal Court may send for Police diaries of a case under inquiry or trial in such Court, and may use such diaries to aid it on such inquiry or trial, but neither the accused nor his agents shall be entitled to call for them nor shall they be entitled to see them merely because they are referred to by the Court. If, however, they are used by the Police officer to refresh his memory, or if the Court uses them for the purpose of contradicting such Police officer, the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, Sections 145, 161, shall apply. S. 172.

The wilful giving false information with intent to cause a public servant to use his lawful power to the injury of another person is an offence punishable under S. 182, Penal Code.

If the person giving the information shall refuse to sign the statement made by him, when required to sign that statement by the Police officer, he shall be punished with simple imprisonment which may extend to three months, or with fine which may extend to five hundred Rupees or with both. S. 180, Penal Code.

The following form of a first-information report has been prescribed for the Bengal Police:—

The first information of a cognizable crime reported at Police Station *Sub-district*
under Section 154 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Date and hour of receipt at Police station of first information.	Name of informant and parties concerned.	Crime reported, and value and details of property stolen.	Date of occurrence of the crime.	Place of occurrence, direction and distance from Police station.	Steps taken by officer in charge of station.

155 [Ss. 110, 113.] When information is given to an officer in charge of a Police-station of the commission within the limits of such station of a non-cognizable offence, he shall enter in a book to be kept as aforesaid, the substance of such information and refer the informant to the Magistrate.

No Police-officer shall investigate a non-cognizable case without the order of a Magistrate of the first or second class having power to try such case or commit the same for trial, or of a Presidency Magistrate.

Any Police-officer receiving such order may exercise the same powers in respect of the investigation (except the power to arrest without warrant) as an officer in charge of a Police-station may exercise in a cognizable case.

If a Magistrate not empowered by law erroneously in good faith orders a Police officer under S. 155 to investigate a non-cognizable case the proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered. S. 529 (b).

A complaint to the Police, or to heads of villages or the village Police, in Madras or Bombay, if presented by written petition, may be on plain paper.—Act VII, 1870. S. 19, Cl. xvi. When petty complaints are made to a Police station, the usual first-information-report should be submitted with a remark to the effect that no inquiry has been made. The number of these cases will be noted in the column of remarks attached to the district Monthly Return of Crime. In these cases no final report need be sent. They should be entered on its monthly return as true cases in which no person is charged and no arrest is made.—Ben. Pol. Cir., 38, 1867 : 4, 1868.

Investigation includes all proceedings under this Code for the collection of evidence conducted by the Police or by any other person other than a Magistrate or a Police officer who is authorized by the Magistrate in that behalf. S. 4 (b).

A Police officer can arrest a person for a non-cognizable offence only when it is committed in his presence by a person who refuses to give his name and residence, or gives a name and residence which the Police officer has reason to believe is false. S. 57.

156 [Ss. 109, 114.] Any officer in charge of a Police-station may, without the order of a Magistrate, investigate any cognizable case which a Court having jurisdiction over the local area within the limits of such station would have power to inquire into or try under the provisions of Chapter XV relating to the place of inquiry or trial.

No proceeding of a Police-officer in any such case shall at any stage be called in question on the ground that the case was one which such officer was not empowered under this section to investigate.

Thus, an officer in charge of a Police station within whose local jurisdiction a person is who has been charged with being a thief, or with being a thug and committing murder, or with dacoity, or with dacoity with murder, or with having belonged to a gang of dacoits, or with having escaped from custody, may investigate the offence although it may have been committed beyond his local jurisdiction. S. 181. So also with regard to a theft, if any of the property stolen was possessed by the thief, or by any person who received or retained it knowing, or having reason to believe it to be stolen, within his local jurisdiction, (S. 181), or when the place of the commission of the cognizable offence is doubtful or it has been committed partly in his and partly in another local jurisdiction (S. 182): or the cognizable offence has been committed in the course of performing a journey or voyage, and the offender or the person against whom, or the thing in respect of which, that offence was committed passed through or into his local jurisdiction in the course of that journey or voyage. S. 183. But except in cases of receiving stolen property it would seem that the original offence must have been committed in British India. See note to Ss. 180, 183, *post*.

157 [Ss. 114, 116, 117.] If, from information received or otherwise, an officer in charge of a Police-station has reason to suspect the commission of an offence which he is empowered under section 156 to investigate, he shall forthwith send a report of the same to a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of such offence upon a police report, and shall proceed in person, or shall depute one of his subordinate officers to proceed, to the spot to investigate the facts and circumstances of the case and to take such measures as may be necessary for the discovery and arrest of the offender:

Provided as follows:—

(a) when any information as to the commission of any such offence is given against any person by name, and the case is not of a serious nature, the officer in charge of a Police-station need not proceed in person, or depute a subordinate officer to make an investigation on the spot:

Where local investigation dispensed with. (b) if it appear to the officer in charge of a Police-station that there is no sufficient ground for entering on an investigation, he shall not investigate the case.

In each of the cases mentioned in clauses (a) and (b), the officer in charge of the Police-station shall state in his said report his reasons for not fully complying with the requirements of the first paragraph of this section.

“Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of such offence upon a Police report.” This would be any Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate and any other Magistrate specially empowered in that behalf by the local Government or by the District Magistrate. S. 191.

S. 157 of the Code of Criminal Procedure requires that immediate intimation of every complaint or information preferred to an officer in charge of a Police-station of the commission of a cognizable offence shall be sent to the Magistrate having jurisdiction. The object of this provision is obvious, and it involves more than a mere technical compliance with the law. The Magistrate is primarily

responsible for the condition of the District as regards repressible crime, and he is not at liberty to divest himself of that responsibility or to relax that supervision over crime which the law intends that he should exercise. It is his duty to know and consider each cognizable case as soon after its occurrence as possible. He should not rest content with reading the *challan* when the case comes up for trial, but he should watch the various steps taken by the Police and advise them in all cases whenever it may be necessary.

Moreover, it is for the Magistrate, by the continuous study of diaries, to acquaint himself with what is going on of the salient and special kind referred to in the Code as matters for his attention and possible interference. It is for the Police to keep the Magistrate constantly informed of them.—*Panji. Ct. Cir.*, x. 171, 26th May, 1869. *Smyth*, p. 83.

S. 159 declares how a Magistrate shall proceed on receipt of such a report.

158 [S. 117.] Every report sent to a Magistrate under section 157 shall, if the Local Government so directs, be submitted through such superior officer of police as the Local Government, by general or special order, appoints in that behalf.

Such superior officer may give such instructions to the officer in charge of the Police-station as he thinks fit, and shall, after recording such instructions on such report, transmit the same without delay to the Magistrate.

159 [S. 115.] Such Magistrate, on receiving such report, may, if he thinks fit, at once proceed, or depute any Magistrate subordinate to him to proceed, to hold an investigation or preliminary inquiry into, or otherwise to dispose of, the case in manner provided in this Code.

If a Magistrate acting under S. 159 proceeds to hold the inquiry regarding the commission of an offence, and subsequently tries the case, it is most important that he should bear in mind the following observations of the Calcutta High Court (*per* Phear, J.) in the case of *Hurro Chunder Pal and others* (20 W. R., 76):—

"The Deputy Magistrate states: 'In this, as in that case, I was the chief actor and investigator. I have in this, as in that, to separate, and so far as in me lies to banish from the record, and if it were possible, from my own recollection facts which I have seen and known, and confine myself strictly to the evidence on the record. In fact, I have to do that most difficult of all things—to, as it were, change my identity, and speak, write, and think, not in the first, but third person.'

"What was the particular obligation under which the Deputy Magistrate supposed himself to have laboured, and which constrained him to 'change,' as he says, 'his identity,' it is perhaps difficult to understand. It has been held by this Court, and is accordant with the general principles which govern the conduct of an English Court of Criminal Justice, that while a person is not necessarily disqualified from presiding as a Judge or acting as a jurymen upon a inquiry into or investigation of facts, because he may have been himself a witness of some of the facts which are the subject of the inquiry or investigation, if he does do so, he, so far from being under any such obligation as that which the Deputy Magistrate seems to have referred to, is bound to state to the prisoner or other person concerned, or to make known to him, so far as he can, what are the facts which he himself observed, to which he himself can bear testimony. And, moreover, the prisoner, who is being tried by a Judge in this situation, has a right, if he thinks it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, who, under these circumstances, and to this extent, must be viewed as a witness, and his evidence should be recorded. It is quite erroneous, in our opinion, to suppose, on the contrary, as the Deputy Magistrate appears to have supposed, that he was bound to keep out of sight altogether the part which he had played in the matter and to pretend (we cannot use any other word than that) that he knew nothing about the facts excepting so much as the witnesses told him in Court. It is always dangerous for any man in whose right conduct others are concerned to set up and endeavour to carry out a fiction such as this. It is most specially dangerous for a Judge, who is under the grave responsibility which attaches to the office of a criminal Judge, to attempt anything of the kind. The Deputy Magistrate, if he thought it right, as he did, to take upon himself the duty of trying the prisoners in this case, ought to have made no pretence whatever of any sort; he ought to have frankly avowed and openly stated in this Court all the part which he had taken, and facts which he had observed, and made his own evidence part of the record in the case. The awkwardness of a criminal Judge being the principal witness in the case which he has to try, is no doubt most apparent; this, however, is a reason for his declining to try the case, not for his endeavouring to assume an unreal character."

The proceedings, if held by a Magistrate, would not be an investigation within the terms of the definition given in S. 4 (b) but would be an inquiry (c) or a trial. The nature of the proceedings

held by a subordinate Magistrate would apparently depend on the terms of the order passed by the Magistrate who received the Police report.

160 [S. 118.] Any Police-officer making an investigation under this chapter may, by order in writing, require the attendance before himself of any person being within the limits of his own or any adjoining station who, from the information given or otherwise, appears to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case; and such person shall attend as so required.

Police-officer's power to require attendance of witnesses.

The persons so ordered to attend are bound to attend to the order; but in no case, can a Police-officer compel a witness by force to attend before him.—Behari Singh, 7 W. R., 3.

Disobedience should be reported to the Magistrate, by whom it is punishable under S. 174, Penal Code.—Ben. Pol. Cir., 19, 1867.

Police officers, when causing the attendance of Railway employees, must send immediate information to the Head of the Department under whom such persons are serving.—Ben. Govt., 6366, November 13, 1865. Probably in such cases the rule laid down in the proviso to S. 72 would be followed.

161 [Ss. 118, 119, 121.] Any Police-officer making an investigation under this chapter may examine orally any person supposed to be acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case, and may reduce into writing any statement made by the person so examined.

Examination of witnesses by police.

Such person shall be bound to answer truly all questions relating to such case put to him by such officer, other than questions the answers to which would have a tendency to expose him to a criminal charge or to a penalty or forfeiture.

The person who gives information of a cognizable offence at the Police-station is bound to sign his statement when reduced to writing (S. 154), but no other statement if reduced to writing shall be signed by the person making it (S. 162). An examination by a Police officer would not be on oath or affirmation.

S. 161 declares that any person examined by a Police-officer making an investigation shall be bound to answer truly all questions relating to the case put to him other than questions likely to criminate himself. If therefore he answers falsely he commits the offence of intentionally giving false evidence as defined in S. 191, Penal Code. This is an amendment of the previously existing law under which it was held that it was not obligatory on a person under examination by a Police-officer to tell the truth. See *In re Karim Khan*, 8 Cal. L. R., 300.

162 [S. 119, para. 3; S. 121.] No statement, other than a dying declaration, made by any person to a Police-officer in the course of an investigation under this chapter shall, if reduced to writing, be signed by the person making it, or be used as evidence against the accused.

Statements to police not to be signed or admitted in evidence.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect the provisions of section 27 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.

The information on which the investigation proceeded must be reduced to writing and signed by the person giving it (S. 154), and a dying declaration made to a Police-officer in the course of an investigation if reduced to writing should also be signed by the declarant whenever possible (S. 162), but no other statement made to a Police officer, if reduced to writing, shall be signed by the person making it. If any such statements are reduced to writing they are regarded as private memoranda to aid the Police in the course of the investigation, but though such a statement may not be used against the accused in that matter, it may be used against him should he be charged with having intentionally given false evidence. (See note to S. 161.) The investigating Police-officer when under examination as a witness may moreover refer to any such statement for the purpose of refreshing his memory, (S. 159 Evidence Act), in which case the statement must be produced and shown to the adverse party if he requires it, and may be made the subject of cross-examination (S. 161), and even then the contents of the statement would not be evidence but the deposition of the Police-officer relating what the witness said to him after refreshing his memory from such statement.—Raghuni Singh, 11 Cal. L. R., 569. But such statement in writing could not be used to contradict any witness

who made it to a Police officer (S. 157) because that would be using it as evidence against the accused.

Where a witness before a Court contradicts his statements previously made to the Police, the accused is entitled to cross-examine him with respect to his former statements: if he denies it, he may be contradicted: and one of the ways in which he may be contradicted is by calling the Police officer before whom he made that statement who may refresh his memory from his diary (or any statement of the witness reduced by the Police officer to writing). But the prisoner has no right to insist that the diary (or such statement) not in Court shall be sent for, or, if it be in Court, that it shall be referred to for purpose of refreshing the memory of the Police officer. A witness cannot be compelled to refresh his memory from any document, unless it is in the possession of the party who desires to put it to the witness, or is, at least, such as he can insist on having produced.—*In re Kali Churn Chumari*, 10 Cal. L. R., 51; (S. C.) I. L. R., 8 Cal., 156.

But when a statement is not reduced to writing, it is not inadmissible as evidence since the law does not profess to provide for such a case. The Police officer may therefore be questioned as to such statement by the pleader for the defence, as also any other person who may have heard it made. And even when it is reduced to writing the law does not say that the Police officer, or such other person shall not be liable to be questioned regarding it, or bound to state the truth when so questioned, but that the statement so reduced to writing shall not be used as evidence against the accused. Consequently the Police officer and such other person, if any, continue as liable to be questioned with regard to such statement as they were before the enactment of the prohibition, and may make use of such writing to refresh their memories. This is not inconsistent with S. 91 of the Evidence Act as the statement made to the Police officer is not a matter required by law to be "reduced to the form of a document," so as, under that section to exclude evidence thereof from the mouth of the Police officer, or such other person.—*Uttamchand Kapurchand*, 11 Bomb., 120.

A dying declaration must be taken in the presence of the accused person to be admissible as evidence: if not so taken it must be proved in the ordinary way by some one who heard it made, and the writing may be used for the purpose of refreshing the witness's memory.—*Samiruddin*, I. L. R., 8 Cal., 211.

S. 27 of the Evidence Act declares that when any fact is deposed to as discovered in consequence of information received from a person accused of any offence in the custody of a Police officer so much of such information, whether it amounts to confession or not, as relates distinctly to the fact hereby discovered, may be proved.

163 [Ss. 120, 184.] No Police-officer or person in authority shall

No inducement to be offered or make, or cause to be offered or made, any offered. such inducement, threat or promise as is mentioned in the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, section 24.

But no Police-officer or other person shall prevent, by any caution or otherwise, any person from making in the course of any investigation under this chapter any statement which he may be disposed to make of his own free will.

The following sections of the Evidence Act (I, 1872), are of importance in connection with this section:—

24. A confession made by an accused person is irrelevant in a criminal proceeding, if the making of the confession appears to the Court to have been caused by any inducement, threat or promise having reference to the charge against the accused person, proceeding from a person in authority and sufficient, in the opinion of the Court, to give the accused person grounds, which would appear to him reasonable, for supposing that by making it he would gain any advantage or avoid any evil of a temporal nature in reference to the proceedings against him.

25. No confession made to a Police officer shall be proved as against a person accused of any offence.

26. No confession made by any person whilst he is in the custody of a Police officer, unless it be made in the immediate presence of a Magistrate shall be proved as against such person.

27. Provided that, when any fact is deposed to as discovered in consequence of information received from a person accused of any offence, in the custody of a Police officer, so much of such information whether it amounts to a confession or not, as relates distinctly to the fact thereby discovered, may be proved.

28. If such a confession as is referred to in section twenty-four is made after the impression caused by any such inducement, threat or promise has, in the opinion of the Court, been fully removed, it is relevant.

29. If such a confession is otherwise relevant, it does not become irrelevant merely because it was made under a promise of secrecy, or in consequence of deception practised on the accused person for the purpose of obtaining it, or when he was drunk, or because it was made in answer to questions

which he need not have answered, whatever may have been the form of those questions, or because he was not warned that he was not bound to make such confession, and that evidence of it might be given against him.

The High Court has held that the mere standing by of a Magistrate when confessions are being made to and recorded by the Police for their own use, will not make those confessions evidence, for S. 26 refers to cases where the Magistrate is himself conducting the investigation, and then, although the prisoner may be in the custody of the Police at the time, such prisoner, making a confession, is liable to have that confession used against him.—*Domun Kahar*, 12 W. R., 82.

In all cases where the Police are accused of extorting confessions or maltreating persons arrested, the District Superintendent will immediately proceed to the spot and thoroughly investigate the matter, reporting the circumstances fully, not only to the District Magistrate, but to the Inspector-General of Police and the Deputy Inspector-General of the Circle. Should it appear that there is any foundation for the charge, the Deputy Inspector-General will lose no time in proceeding to the District in which the occurrence has taken place, and reporting the action of the superior officers of Police in the matter.—*Ben. Pol. Cir.*, 60, 1865.

Obtaining a disclosure or confession, by hurt, grievous hurt or criminal intimidation is punishable under Ss. 330, 331, 506, Penal Code.

In the case of *Dhurm Dutt Ojha* (8 W. R., 13,) it appeared that the prisoners confessed on being told by the Police officer that he would get them released if they told the truth. The Calcutta High Court severely condemned such conduct as highly improper and illegal, holding that no part of the Police officer's evidence as to the discovery of facts in consequence of the confessions was legally admissible. See also *Bishoo Manjoo*, 9 W. R., 16.

The following judgment of the Calcutta High Court states the law regarding the admissibility of statements made to the Police—(*Nobodeep Chunder Ghosamee* and another, 1 B. L. R., 16, *Original Side, Criminal.*)

“PEACOCK, C. J.—Upon the questions argued before us I entertain no doubt.

“The first relates to the answer given to the Police constable when he arrested the prisoner. The answer did not amount to a confession of guilt, but was a statement of facts, which, if true, showed that the prisoner was innocent. It is not a confession obtained under an inducement of hope or fear. The only objection to the statement being admissible in evidence is, that it was made in answer to a question put by the Police officer.

“The cases upon this subject in England are conflicting, but the later cases seem to show that statements made by a prisoner in answer to a question put by a Police officer are admissible in evidence. In the case of *R. v. Beriman*, 6 Cox, C. C., 388, *Erle, C. J.*, refused to admit as evidence an answer given by a prisoner to a question put to him by a Magistrate; and a similar ruling by *Wilde, C. J.*, is to be found in the case of *R. v. Pettitt*, 4 Cox. C. C., 164. But in a later case, the *Queen v. Cheverton*, 2 F. & F., 833, *Erle, C. J.*, admitted as evidence against the prisoner a statement which she had made in answer to questions put to her by a Police officer. In that case it appeared that *Baxter*, the Police officer, had said to the prisoner, ‘you had better tell all about it, it will save trouble;’ and then put certain questions to the prisoner, which she answered. It was held that the answers given to *Baxter* were inadmissible, because they had been made under the influence of something in the nature of a threat or inducement. Afterwards, another Policeman put questions to the prisoner, which she answered, and it was objected that those answers were inadmissible, as they had been made under the inducement held out by the former Police officer. *Erle, C. J.*, after consulting *Wightman, J.*, admitted the statements made to the second Police officer, holding, as I suppose, that the answers were not given in consequence of the inducement held out by the first officer. That is a distinct authority that statements made by a prisoner in answer to questions put by a Police officer are admissible; and it may be remarked that in that case the answers were held to be admissible, though the prisoner had not been cautioned.

“In the case of *R. v. Mick*, 3 F. F., 342, it was held by *Miller, J.*, that the confession made by a prisoner in answer to a question put to him by a Police officer was admissible. A similar decision will be found in 1 *Moody, C. C.*, 27, in which it was held that a confession obtained without threat or promise from a boy, fourteen years old, by questions put to him by a Police officer, in whose custody the boy was on a charge of felony, and when the boy had had no food for nearly a whole day, was properly received as evidence against him. That was held by six Judges to three upon a point reserved. The majority held that the confession was rightly received, as no threat or promise had been made.

“*Miller, J.*, in the case of *R. v. Mick*, to which I have referred, remarked that many Judges would not receive the evidence, and that he highly disapproved of the course the Police officer had taken in asking questions.

“Having these conflicting decisions before us, I should be disposed to act upon the decisions given in the case reserved, even if it were not borne out by every principle of common sense. If an inducement is held out to a prisoner to make a confession, by telling him he will be better off if he makes a confession he may be induced, if he knows that circumstances are strong to lead to a presumption of guilt, to make a confession, though he is innocent.

"There may be reasonable ground against the admission of such a confession, though perhaps it would be better to admit it, and to leave those who have to determine as to the guilt or innocence of the prisoner, to judge of the weight which ought to be attached to it.

"The object of the Criminal Law is to punish the guilty, for the purpose of deterring them and others from committing offences. The object of the Law of Procedure, including the Law of Evidence, is, or ought to be, that the innocent shall be protected, and the guilty punished. I cannot, therefore, at all agree with the remarks of Miller, J., and in the expression of his disapproval of the conduct of the Police officer in asking questions, provided he does not hold out hope or fear as an inducement to confess.

"Some cases have gone to the extent of saying that a statement is not admissible if it is obtained by telling the prisoner he had better tell the truth. For my own part, I cannot see any objection to telling every man that he had better tell the truth; but that is very different from telling a man that he had better confess, when you do not know whether he is innocent or guilty.

"Though it has been held in some cases that confessions obtained by asking questions are not admissible, and although law is said to be the perfection of reason, it has been distinctly ruled in England—and I believe without a dissentient voice—that confessions obtained by artifice or deception are admissible. Therefore, where a confession was obtained by a person who took an oath that he would never mention what the prisoner told him, the statement made when disclosed was held to be admissible; so where it appeared that one of the prisoners had made a statement to a constable whilst he was drunk, and it was imputed to the constable that had given him liquor to cause him to do so, the statement was held to be admissible evidence against the statement not having been made under the inducement of hope or fear. See the cases collected in Roscoe on Evidence in Criminal Cases, 47. It is high time, I think, that we should decide according to principles which are founded in reason and good sense. I therefore hold that what the prisoner said in answer to questions put to him by the Police officer was admissible, no threat or deception having been used or any false hope held out."

The law in this respect was also discussed by the Bombay High Court in the case of *Reg. v. Navroji Dadabhai*, 9, Bomb. 368 where the question of how far a person was "in authority" over the confessing person came also under consideration. Sargent, C. J. said: The test would seem to be, had the person authority to interfere in the matter; and any concern or interest in it would appear to be sufficient to give him that authority as in the *Queen v. Warningham* (2 Den. C. C. 447 n) where Baron Parke held that the wife of one of the prosecutors and concerned in the management of their business was a person in authority, and the rule is so laid down in Archbold's Criminal Practice.

164 [S. 122; Act IV, 1877, S. 16.] Any Magistrate not being a

Power to record statements and confessions. Police-officer may record any statement or confession made to him in the course of an investigation under this chapter, or at any time afterwards before the commencement of the inquiry or trial.

Such statements shall be recorded in such of the manners hereinafter prescribed for recording evidence as is, in his opinion, best fitted for the circumstances of the case. Such confessions shall be recorded and signed in the manner provided in section 364, and shall then be forwarded to the Magistrate by whom the case is to be inquired into or tried.

No Magistrate shall record any such confession unless, upon questioning the person making it, he has reason to believe that it was made voluntarily; and when he records any confession he shall make a memorandum at the foot of such record to the following effect:—

"I believe that this confession was voluntarily made. It was taken in my presence and hearing, and was read over to the person making it and admitted by him to be correct, and it contains a full and true account of the statement made by him.

"(Signed) A. B.,

"Magistrate."

The terms 'any Magistrate,' must be restricted to any person exercising any of the powers of a Magistrate within the particular place where the statement or confession is recorded. Thus a Magistrate having jurisdiction in the Suburbs of Calcutta was held to have no jurisdiction to act under S. 164 in Calcutta.—*Hurribole Chunder Ghose*, I. L. R., 1 Cal., 207.

S. 164 applies to a case in which some Magistrate takes a confession and forwards it to the Magistrate by whom the inquiry or trial is held, and not to a confession taken by the Magistrate who is conducting the inquiry and examining the witnesses preparatory to commitment—*Jetoo and others*, 23 W. R., 16. The fact that it may afterwards be the duty of a Magistrate to hold an inquiry under chapter XVIII. does not prevent him from recording the statement of an accused person under S. 164.—*Bomb. H. Ct. Resn. in Chambers*, March 31, 1877. It is not because a case is still under Police investigation, and the final report has not been sent in that a confession recorded by a Magistrate must be recorded under S. 164 and not under S. 364. If the Magistrate who records such a confession has authority to hold the inquiry or trial without any order from a superior Magistrate (S. 192) the confession would be the commencement of the inquiry or trial and would therefore be recorded under S. 364 rather than under S. 164.—*Krishno Monoo*, 6 Cal. L. R., 286: *Anunt Ram Singh and others* I. L. R. 5 Cal., 954 (*Full Bench*), followed by the *Allahabad High Court*. Jan. 1883. *Empress v. Yakub Khan*.

The practice of taking prisoners before Magistrates not having jurisdiction in the case for the purpose of getting a confession recorded is not generally desirable, but such a confession is legally admissible.—*Vahala Jetha*, 7 Bom. 56, *Crown Cases*.

It is not necessary to caution an accused person before recording his statement.—5 Mad. xi, *App.*, Pro. Dec. 9, 1869.

The Police officer who brought the prisoner should not be present while a confession is taken, nor should he be allowed to suggest questions to be put. Though a confession so taken would be admissible as evidence, a Court would not attach much weight to it, as such a course suggests that the Magistrate was not conducting the inquiry himself.—*Cal. H. Ct., Cir.* 7, July 30, 1873. *Wilkins*, 65.

A Magistrate should especially record the circumstances under which a confession taken under S. 164 was made, and under whose custody the person was at the time.—*Bom. H. Ct., Circular*, 257.

S. 164 declares that a confession recorded by a Magistrate under its provisions "shall be recorded and signed in the manner provided by S. 364." S. 364 requires that the whole of the examination including every question put and every answer given shall be recorded in full, in the language in which the accused is examined, or, if that is not practicable, in the language of the Court or English, and that such record shall be shown or read to the accused, or if he does not understand the language in which it is written, that it shall be interpreted to him in a language which he understands, the accused being at liberty to explain or add to his answers—that, when the whole is made conformable to what the accused declares to be the truth, the record shall be signed by the accused and by the Magistrate or Judge who shall certify under his own hand that the examination was taken in his presence and hearing, and that the record contains a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Any omission on the part of a Magistrate to comply fully with the provisions of section 164 or section 364 may be remedied by taking evidence that such person duly made the statement recorded; and notwithstanding anything contained in the Indian Evidence Act S. 91, such statement shall be admitted if the error has not injured the accused as to his defence on the merits. S. 533. This section supersedes the effect of many judgments of the several High Courts which have distinguished between confessions recorded under the sections of the Code of 1872 corresponding to S. 164 and under S. 364, and have laid down that an omission to comply with a confession of the former nature cannot be remedied by evidence subsequently taken.

The reason for requiring the signature of an accused person to the record of his confession is probably to furnish a new and strong test whether the confession was voluntary and free from controlling influences, and to afford him a *locus penitentis*—an ultimate opportunity before the final completion of the record, of indicating that the confession was not voluntary, or was made under improper influence, (if such were the case), and also an additional opportunity of denying the accuracy of the record of that confession. The error of the Magistrate in omitting to ask her to sign was, having regard to the probable intention of the Legislature in requiring the signature of the accused, of such a nature as may have seriously prejudiced her, and, therefore, this imperfect record of the confession is inadmissible in evidence against her.—*Bai Itatan*, 10 *Bomb.*, 166. (S. 533 of this Code would now probably provide a remedy for such an omission.)

The Magistrate or Court before which such statement or confession shall come shall presume that the document is genuine; that any statements as to the circumstances under which it was taken, purporting to be made by the person signing it, are true; and that such evidence, statement or confession was duly taken.—S. 80, Act I, 1872.

As the village headman in *MADRAS* is a village servant employed on Police duties (Act IV, (*Madras*) 1864, S. 7) he would no longer be competent to record a confession under S. 164 which requires the officer recording a statement or confession to be a Magistrate not being a Police officer. The alteration in the law has therefore superseded the orders contained in 4 Mad. ii *App.* Pro. Feb. 14, 1863; (S. C.) *Weir* 257. Pro. July 7, 1869, 8 Mad. Jur., 149, (S. C.) *Weir* 258.

165 [S. 379.] Whenever an officer in charge of a Police-station, or a Police-officer making an investigation, considers

Search by Police-officer.

that the production of any document or other thing is necessary to the conduct of an investigation into any offence which he is authorized to investigate, and there is reason to believe that a person to whom a summons or order under section 94 has been or might be issued will not or would not produce such document or other thing as directed in the summons or order, or when such document or other thing is not known to be in the possession of any person, such officer may search, or cause search to be made, for the same, in any place within the limits of the station of which he is in charge, or to which he is attached.

Such officer shall, if practicable, conduct the search in person.

If he is unable to conduct the search in person, and there is no other person competent to make the search present at the time, he may require any officer subordinate to him to make the search, and he shall deliver to such subordinate officer an order in writing, specifying the document or other thing for which search is to be made, and the place to be searched; and such subordinate officer may thereupon search for such thing in such place.

The provisions of this Code as to search-warrants shall, so far as may be, apply to a search made under this section.

Except under special circumstances the search must be made between sunrise and sunset. If for any reason this rule be not observed, the fact must be reported to the District Superintendent for the information of the Magistrate having jurisdiction. If a search is required in any place within the limits of another station, it can be made only through the officer in charge of the latter, on the requisition, oral or written, of the former—Bengal Police Circular.

Bengal Police officers in charge of Police stations in Bengal may also institute searches for contraband salt, &c.—Act VII (B. C.), 1864.

Any Police officer, above the rank of a head constable, may institute a search for excisable articles liable to confiscation.—Act VII (Bengal Council), 1878. S. 40.

Police officers of all grades may, without a warrant, enter and inspect (1) any drinking shop, gaming-house, or other places of loose and disorderly characters (Act V, 1861, S. 23); (2) any salt work or any warehouse or any premises in which salt is stored (Act VII, (B. C.), 1864. S. 23); any shop or premises of licensed manufactures and retail vendors of excisable articles—Section 37.—Special provision is also made by S. 153 of this Code for the inspection of weights and measures.

The provisions of the Code relating to search-warrants are contained in Ss. 96 *et seq.*

166 [S. 380.] An officer in charge of a Police-station may require

When officer in charge of Police station may require another to issue search-warrant.

an officer in charge of another Police-station, whether in the same or a different District, to cause a search to be made in any place, in any case in which the former officer might cause such search to be made

within the limits of his own station.

Such officer, on being so required, shall proceed according to the provisions of section 165, and shall forward the thing found, if any, to the officer at whose request the search was made.

167 [S. 124, paras. 2, 3, 4.] Whenever it appears that any investi-

Procedure when investigation cannot be completed in twenty-four hours.

gation under this chapter cannot be completed within the period of twenty-four hours fixed by section 61, and there are grounds for believing that the accusation is well-founded, the officer in charge of the Police-station shall forthwith transmit to the nearest Magistrate a copy of the entries in the diary herein-after prescribed relating to the case, and shall at the same time forward the accused to such Magistrate.

The Magistrate to whom an accused person is forwarded under this section may, whether he has or has not jurisdiction to try the case, from time to time authorize the detention of the accused in such custody as such Magistrate thinks fit, for a term not exceeding fifteen days. If he has not jurisdiction to try the case or commit it for trial, and considers further detention unnecessary, he may order the accused to be forwarded to a Magistrate having such jurisdiction.

A Magistrate authorizing under this section detention in the custody of the police shall record his reasons for so doing.

If such order be given by a Magistrate other than the District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate, he shall forward a copy of his order, with his reasons for making it, to the Magistrate to whom he is immediately subordinate.

Before a Magistrate can grant a remand under this section, the accused must have been brought before him—*Shera*, 2 Panj. Rec., 72. A remand to Police custody ought only to be granted in cases of real necessity, and when there is good reason to believe that the accused can point out property or do something that will assist in elucidating the case—Panj. C. Ct. Cir. ix., March 15, 1868. The twenty-four hours during which the village Police may detain an accused person under Act (Bombay) VIII, 1867, are not to be included in the time allowed to the District Police officers by this Code.—*Bom. H. Ct. Cir.* 1260., 1869; *Resn. in Chambers*.

As to what constitutes a detention by the Police, the Calcutta High Court, in the case of *Behari Singh* and others (7 W. R., 3), held that, if, as is frequently the case, a Police officer, without arresting a person himself, directs some of the neighbours to take charge of him, he is responsible in the same way as if he himself made the arrest, the person arrested being in law in his custody. In the case of *Puran Kusam Narasaya Pantulu* (2 Madras, 396), the Madras High Court held that the requiring of the attendance of a certain person by letter, and the deputing of two constables to accompany him, under the allegation that their duties were to prevent him from speaking to any one, amounts to an arrest and confinement.

In the appeal of a Police officer convicted of wrongful confinement (S. 340, Penal Code), the Calcutta High Court has held that a Police officer is not empowered, by S. 167 of this Code, to detain without question an accused person for a period not exceeding twenty-four hours, but rather he is in no case justified in detaining a person for one single hour, except on some reasonable grounds warranted by the circumstances of the case. The time during which a person is wrongfully confined by a Police officer is material only in fixing the punishment for the offence.—*Shooprosunno Ghosal*, 6 W. R., 88. In another case, in which the Police officer had been punished under S. 29, Act V of 1861, for having detained an accused person more than twenty-four hours, the Calcutta High Court held that, as the detention was not continuous, the Police officer had committed no offence.—*Indrobee Shaha*, 1 W. R., 5.

Under no circumstances can an accused person be detained for more than twenty-four hours without the special order of a Magistrate; and, unless that special order be obtained, he must, at the expiration of that period, be either sent in to the Magistrate, or be discharged, any further detention being unlawful. As to the place of confinement, the Court remarked that, though the Code was not so express on this point as on the duration of the confinement, it was intended that, when a Police officer arrested any person, the prisoner should not be kept in confinement in any place which the subordinate officer might select, but he should be sent immediately to the Police station, and there kept in the custody of the officer in charge of the station, who is the person entrusted by the law with the conduct of the inquiry.—*Behari Singh* and others. 7 W. R., 3.

Every prisoner must be forwarded from a Police station direct to the nearest Magistrate having jurisdiction, and must not be sent to the next superior officer of Police.—*Bengal Govt. Resolution*, dated 22nd Sept., 1862 para. 12.

In all heinous cases, when a single prisoner is sent in, he should be handcuffed; when two or more prisoners are sent, they should be handcuffed to each other, two and two. In cases not of a heinous nature prisoners should not be handcuffed, unless violent, and then only by order of the officer in charge of the station not below the rank of Sub-Inspector.—*Bengal Pol. Cir.* 27, 1863.

Para. 2 of this section now provides a limit for the term of a remand order making it correspond with that fixed by S. 344 for trials in accordance with the rule laid down in the case of *Burkya Valad Dhaku*, 5 Bomb., 31. *Crown Cases*.

Copies of all orders of remand with reasons for the same shall be transmitted by subordinate Magistrates to Sub-Divisional or District Magistrates within twenty-four hours from their date—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, May 6, 1878. *Weir*, App. xvii.

168 [S. 123.] When any subordinate Police-officer has made any investigation under this chapter, he shall report the result of such investigation to the officer in charge of the Police-station.

Report of investigation by subordinate Police-officer.

169 [S. 125.] If, upon an investigation under this chapter, it appears to the officer in charge of the Police-station that there is not sufficient evidence or reasonable ground of suspicion to justify the forwarding of the accused to a Magistrate, such officer shall, if such person is in custody, release him on his executing a bond, with or without sureties, as such officer may direct, to appear, if and when so required, before a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of the offence on a police report and to try the accused or commit him for trial.

Release of accused when evidence deficient.

Sch. V, No. 25 contains a form of a bond with sureties under this section.

170 [S. 123, para. 1; S. 127, para. 1; S. 130.] If, upon an investigation under this chapter, it appears to the officer in charge of the Police-station that there is sufficient evidence or reasonable ground as aforesaid, such officer shall forward the accused under custody to a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of the offence upon a police report and to try the accused or commit him for trial; or, if the offence is bailable and the accused is able to give security, shall take security from him for his appearance before such Magistrate on a day fixed and for his attendance from day to day before such Magistrate until otherwise directed.

Case to be sent to Magistrate when evidence is sufficient.

When the officer in charge of a Police-station forwards an accused person to a Magistrate or takes security for his appearance before such Magistrate under this section, he shall send to such Magistrate any weapon or other article which it may be necessary to produce before him, and shall require the complainant, if any, and so many of the persons who appear to such officer to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case as he may think necessary, to execute a bond to appear before the Magistrate and prosecute or give evidence (as the case may be) in the matter of the charge against the accused.

If the Court of the District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate be mentioned in the bond, such Court shall be held to include any Court to which such Magistrate may refer the case for inquiry or trial, provided reasonable notice of such reference be given to such complainant or persons.

The day fixed under this section shall be the day whereon the accused person is to appear, if security for his appearance has been taken, or the day on which he may be expected to arrive at the Court of the Magistrate, if he is to be forwarded in custody.

The officer in whose presence the bond is executed shall deliver a copy thereof to one of the persons who executed it, and shall then send to the Magistrate the original with his report.

Sch. V, No. 26 contains a form of bond to prosecute or give evidence.

"To a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of the offence upon a Police report." This would be to a Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate and any other Magistrate so empowered by the Local Government or District Magistrate (S. 191) provided that he has power to inquire into or try the particular offence. The evidence obtained by the Police should be sent up as found and not kept until it is all complete—Kodai Kahar, 5 W. R., 6. The practice of

sending up accused persons by instalments in order first to find out whether conviction holds with the Court of Session is strictly forbidden. The Police should rather exert themselves to arrest at once all persons who are accused and against whom the case is ascertained or proved.—Ben. Pol. Cir. 64, 1866.

The complainant and witnesses should be required to attend on an early date. An interval of one month was seriously censured.—Bhim Manjee, 6 W. R., 52.

In the Panjab the Police are required to provide for the diet of witnesses up to and inclusive of the day on which the charge sheet is handed over to the Judicial Court, and also the diet of the prisoner up to and inclusive of the day on which he is made over to the Judicial lock-up. For this purpose District Superintendents of Police receive a permanent advance from the Treasury. On presenting the charge sheet, the Police officer should move the judicial officer to pass the sums disbursed in the particular case. The Police will, however, have nothing to do with the diet of witnesses or of accused persons in cases which are instituted in the Judicial Court on the petition of parties or on the motion of the Court—Smyth, p. 122.

When a District Superintendent of Police, on looking into a case, finds that any witnesses have been unnecessarily sent in, he should at once report the circumstances to the Magistrate in order that they may be discharged before the trial, should the Magistrate think proper. When such witnesses are dismissed, the District Superintendent should inform the Police officer who sent up the case, and point out the reasons of their not being required, thereby instructing him in his duty.—Bengal Police Crime Manual, Chapter II, para. 26. The escheat of recognizances in a proceeding resorted to when persons who have undertaken to give evidence in a criminal case have failed without just excuse to attend any Court and have thus caused an obstruction to public justice, and in so dealing with a complainant and his witnesses, a Magistrate should give them an opportunity of justifying their default.—Dassoo Manjee, 11 W. R., 39.

Complainants and witnesses not to be required to accompany Police officer.

Complainant and witnesses not to be subjected to restraint.

Provided that, if

Recusant complainant or witness may be forwarded in custody.

him in custody until he executes such bond, or until the hearing of the case is completed.

See note to S. 170.

172 [S. 126.]

Diary of proceedings in investigation.

Every Police-officer making an investigation under this chapter shall day by day enter his proceedings in the investigation in a diary, setting forth the time at which the information reached him, the time at which he began and closed his investigation, the place or places visited by him, and a statement of the circumstances ascertained through his investigation.

Any Criminal Court may send for the police diaries of a case under inquiry or trial in such Court, and may use such diaries, not as evidence in the case but to aid it in such inquiry or trial. Neither the accused nor his agents shall be entitled to call for such diaries, nor shall he or they be entitled to see them merely because they are referred to by the Court; but if they are used by the Police-officer who made them to refresh his memory, or if the Court uses them for the purpose of contradicting such Police-officer, the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, section 161 or section 145, as the case may be, shall apply.

A list of articles found on the person of the accused on his arrest should be forwarded to the Magistrate with the daily diary or final report of the case.—See Ss. 51, 523.

The last para. of S. 172 is an important provision.

Criminal Courts may use Police diaries to aid them in an inquiry or trial, but Police diaries are

Form on back of the letter to Civil Surgeon.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Nature of injury, i. e., whether a cut, a bruise, or a burn, &c., &c.	Size of each injury in inches, i. e., length, breadth, and depth.	On what part of the body inflicted.	Slight, severe, or dangerous.	By what kind of weapon inflicted.	Remarks.

Civil Surgeon.

The HOSPITAL, }
[Cal. Sud. Ct. Cir. 90, April 30, 1857, Wilkins, 25.]

The following rules and orders under this section are in force in Lower Bengal.

At every Division of a District where there is a Sub-Assistant Surgeon or European Medical officer, the *post mortem* in all cases of violent or suspicious deaths occurring in that Division should be held by such officer.

Where there is only a Native Doctor, whenever the state of the dead body, the distance, the weather, or the condition of the roads renders it improbable that the body will reach the Sudder Station in such condition as to enable the Civil Surgeon satisfactorily to hold a *post mortem* examination on it, the body must be sent to the Subdivisional Medical officer. A copy of the report of such officer will, however, be submitted to the Civil Surgeon, who will make any remarks he thinks proper on the margin of the report, for the information of the Subdivisional officer. When the body has external marks of violence, as in the case of hanging, fractures or severe cuts, the Subdivisional Magistrate should himself, if possible, view the body in the presence of the Native Doctor.

When, on the other hand, circumstances permit, the body should be sent to the Sudder Station for examination by the Civil Surgeon, and at such examination the Sub-Assistant Surgeon or the Native Doctor of the Sudder Station should be present, so that he may be able to attend, if required, at the Subdivisional Court, and give evidence as to the causes of death in cases in which the absence of the Civil Surgeon on his duty would be attended with serious inconvenience to those under his medical charge. Resolution, Bengal Government, October 10. 1863.

The following Circulars have been issued, for the guidance of the Bengal Police, by the Inspector General:—

The Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, having brought to notice that, owing to the very meagre information collected, and the imperfect manner in which, in cases of poisoning or death, the first investigations are frequently conducted, much important medicolegal evidence is often lost,—the following hints, drawn up by the Civil Surgeon of Patna, are circulated for general information:—

I.—In cases of suspected poisoning,—

1. Bring away under seal any food (especially *âtâ* or sweetmeats), drink, tobacco, or drugs, which may be in the house or near the body.
 2. If vomiting has occurred, swab up with a clean rag any vomited matter which may be on the person or bed, and seal up the rag in a packet.
 3. Bring away, under seal, any clothing, matting, wood, or mud flooring into which any vomited matter has soaked.
 4. As carefully bottle and seal the contents of any vessel containing vomited matter.
- Ascertain the exact time between the receipt of food, drink, or medicine, the appearance of symptoms, and occurrence of death.

II.—*In cases of hanging or strangulation,—*

1. Note, if possible, before cutting down the body, or removing the strangulating medium, any lividity of face, especially of lips and eyelids, any projection of the eyes, the state of the tongue, whether enlarged and protruded, or compressed between the lips, the escape of any fluid from mouth and nostrils, and direction of its flow.
2. On cutting down the body, or removing the strangulating medium, note particularly the state of the neck, whether bruised along line of strangulation.
3. Note the direction of the mark, whether circular or oblique.
4. Note the state of the thumbs, whether crossed over the palm.
5. If possible, bring away the materials by which hanging or strangulation have been effected.

III.—*On finding a body in a tank or well,—*

1. Note any marks of blood around the mouth, or on the sides of the well or tank.
2. On removing the body, carefully examine for, and note any external marks of injury, especially about head and neck.
3. Note state of skin, whether smooth or rough.
4. Examine the hands, and carefully remove any thing they may hold.

IV.—*In the case of a body found murdered in an open field,—*

1. Note the number, character, and appearance of any injuries.
2. Should a weapon be found, cover with paper and seal any marks of blood, and especially note and preserve any adherent hairs.
3. In the case of an exposed infant, note the state of the chord, especially if tied, and any marks of violence.

V.—*In a case of presumed murder and burial of the remains,—*

1. Examine for, and note any marks of violence about the skull especially.
2. Note carefully any indications of sex; especially bring away a jaw and the bones of the pelvis.
3. If any suspicions of poisoning, bring away (sealed) the earth from where the stomach would have been.
4. If a body, presumed to have been murdered, has been burned, collect and bring in any fragments of bones which may be found among the ashes.

VI.—*In rape or unnatural offence,—*

Send in the lower garments worn by the person when assaulted—*Ben. Pol. Cir.*, No. 29, 1865.

In all cases of sending in a corpse for *post mortem*, it should be accompanied by a *challan* containing an accurate description of the corpse, a statement of the apparent cause of death, and the circumstances, if any, which give rise to a suspicion of foul play. It should be filed by the Medical officer who examines the body. A copy should be sent direct to the District Superintendent by the quickest possible means. On receipt of this the District Superintendent should write a letter to the Medical officer in the prescribed form requesting him to examine the body and submit his report, which, when received, should be forwarded in original to the Magistrate having jurisdiction, a copy being kept by the District Superintendent.

The corpse should be sent in the charge of a trustworthy constable, whose name, together with those of the bearers or others accompanying it, should be recorded in the report of the inquest held under S 174, such precautions being necessary to prevent the corpse being changed in transit, or in case of such change being alleged to have taken place of fresh proof of the identity of the corpse.—*Ben. Pol. Cir.*, 33, 1867.

In BOMBAY, the following rules have been issued for the guidance of Medical officers in conducting *post mortem* examinations and examining wounded persons:

1. The Medical officer shall, immediately on receiving from any person for examination a corpse or any other substance, inquire and note down the name and residence of such person, and if he be a District Police officer, his number and rank, and shall, without delay, grant to such person a receipt for

Inquests in Bombay. The receipt so granted shall contain a list of the corpse or other substance delivered by him. The receipt so granted shall contain a list of the articles or substances received by the Medical officer and the name of the person from whom they were received, and to whom the receipt is given. It shall be the duty of the Medical officer to examine all bodies sent to him as soon as practical be after arrival.

2. In cases where the body is sent to him, the Medical officer should note the time of its arrival, the date and hour of the *post mortem* examination, the sex and height and apparent age of the deceased, the state of the body, whether well nourished or otherwise, the existence or absence of any caste or other marks not of recent origin, such as cicatrices, deformities, and the like, and whether the marks upon it correspond with those mentioned in the Police report.

3. In cases where he has been taken to the place where the body lies, besides the above, he should note the place and nature of the soil (if out in the open country), where he found the body, also its position and the state of the clothes, if any. He should also note in cases of death from violence, the position of the body in reference to surrounding objects, such as sharp stones and the like, contact with which, it may be alleged, has produced the injury, also whether any blood-stains are visible on such objects or anywhere near the corpse, and whether any weapons are lying near it. In cases of suspected death from poisoning, he should note whether any appearance as if of vomited matters, &c., is present in the neighbourhood of the body.

4. In every case he should describe the condition in which he found the body, noting the degree of coldness, warmth, rigidity and putrefaction, and the amount and nature of the clothing or covering on it.

5. Commencing at the skull and terminating at the feet, he should examine the bones to determine whether any of them are fractured or dislocated, and inspect the vertebral column throughout, also the teeth, hair, orifices, of the body, and general surface, and also note the state of the pupils, whether contracted or otherwise, and whether any substances are grasped in the hands.

6. If there be any wound or contusion on the body, he should describe its position, length and breadth. He should note the depth and direction of all wounds, whether there are any cuts on the clothes corresponding to them, and examine the wounds carefully for the presence of foreign bodies, preserving such as are found. He should also state whether in his opinion the wound was mortal, giving his reasons for such opinion, and he should be especially careful to examine the neck for marks of compression.

7. He should state his opinion as to the whether the wounds, if any, could have been self-inflicted, or whether they might have been the result of accident, giving reasons for his opinion.

8. He should carefully examine any gun, sword, blood-stained instrument, stick or stone, by which the wounds may have been inflicted, and mark such instrument so as to be able to recognize it, if asked to do so. He should also compare the weapon with the wound alleged to have been caused by it, and state whether in his opinion it was possible for the wound to have been produced by it.

9. He should commence his dissection of the body by removing the top of the skull in the usual way with a saw, and note anything that appear unusual.

10. He should then make an incision from the chin down to the pubes, so as to be able to examine the wind-pipe, heart, lungs, liver, stomach, spleen, kidneys and intestines, also the urinary bladder, and note whether any of these organs appear diseased, and whether any wound on the outside of the body communicates with the contents of the chest or abdomen.

11. In making his examination, he should disturb as little as possible any organ which may communicate with an external wound, if he has reason to think that the body may be re-examined by another medical man.

12. In the case of females he should examine the ovaries and uterus, bearing in mind that abortion is sometimes caused by the introduction into the uterus of pointed instruments which may cause death. He should note the presence or absence of pregnancy, the probable period to which pregnancy had advanced, and examine the external generative organs for marks of violence.

13. In the case of infants, he should note the condition of the umbilicus and cord, if any of the latter remain. He should also remove the lungs, and try whether they sink or nearly sink in or float in water.

14. In cases of suspected poisoning, he should not neglect to examine every organ of the body, and should pay special attention to the rules laid down in Circular No. 1353, dated the 23rd April 1868, issued by the Inspector-General, Indian Medical Department.

15. He should bear in mind that death may possibly have been the result of starvation, exposure to cold or heat, smothering, drowning, lightning, strangulation, poisoning or disease, and state whether death was due to any of those causes, giving his reasons. He should also bear in mind the instructions already published for the guidance of Police officers in cases of death from drowning, hanging and the like.

16. He should keep all his original notes, even though he may make a fair copy of them afterwards, and should not lend them to any one to read.

17. In all cases the examination of the body should be thorough, and the notes of the appearances discovered should be as minute as possible.

18. Full notes should also be made in cases of wounded persons.

19. When summoned to give evidence in any case in which he has made a *post mortem* examination, or examined a wounded person, the Medical officer should bring with him to Court the original notes made by him at the time of conducting such examination.

20. The notes of the examination in all cases, or a fair copy of them in the handwriting of Medical officers, should be at once made in a book kept at the Hospital or Dispensary for the purpose and should be signed by him—*Bom. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 947. The Hospital Assistants in charge of the Dispensaries at Supa, Halial, Yelapur, Mundagod, and Hanore, have been appointed Medical officers under section 174 to conduct *post mortem* examinations—*Bom. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 338.

In the NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, the following orders are in force :

Magistrates should be careful that, in every case of suspected death, the body is taken direct to the Civil Surgeon by the Police, who should lose no time in despatch-

Inquests in the N. W. Provinces. ing it, with such information as to the history of the case, symptoms, &c., as can be procured without the occurrence of delay. After the Civil Surgeon, on completion of the *post mortem* examination, and preparation of the subjects for despatch to the Chemical Examiner, has communicated the necessity for such despatch to the Magistrate, the latter will prepare the report of the circumstances attending the death as they can be ascertained. The Magistrate should instruct the Police that, after the despatch of the body with the requisite information to the Civil Surgeon, they are to abstain from further communication with that officer, except through the Magistrate—Agra Sudder Court Cir. 11, 1866.

In cases of suspected poisoning, the following points should be inquired into, and their answers embodied in the reference to the Chemical Examiner :—

1. What interval was there between the last eating or drinking, and the first appearance of the symptoms of poisoning ?
2. What interval was there between the last eating and drinking, and death (if this occurred) ?
3. Did the person move, and if so, how far from the place when the first symptoms were noticed ?
4. What were the first symptoms ?
5. Did vomiting or purging occur ?
6. Did the person become drowsy, or fall asleep ?
7. Were cramps or twitching of the limbs observed ; or was tingling in the skin or throat complained of ?
8. Any other symptom noticed should be mentioned—Agra Sudder Court Cir., 11, 1866.

The following Rules have been prescribed by the Governor-General in Council (*India Gaz.*, May 10, 1879, *Sup.* p. 454) for inquiring into, and reporting on, serious accidents on State Railways :

Serious accidents are accidents attended with loss of life or limb or other serious injury or

Inquests in accidents on State-Railways, India. danger of such loss or injury to persons travelling, or being upon the Railway ; or accidents that have, or might have, caused large loss of public or private property.

2. In the case of any State Railway passing through Native States, the Government of India will, from time to time, direct what official shall, for the purposes of these Rules, be regarded as the Magistrate of the District in respect of the portions of the Railway situate in each such State.

3. Throughout these Rules, the words, "nearest District Superintendent of Police" shall be substituted for the words "Railway Police Superintendent" in respect of State Railways, whereon a Railway, Police Superintendentship has not been established.

SECTION I.

Duties of Managers and Railway Officers.

4. On the occurrence of any serious Railway accident, it shall be the duty of the nearest Station Master to give immediate notice thereof in writing, or by telegraph, when possible—

- (a) to the nearest Magistrate ;
- (b) to the Railway Police Superintendent ;
- (c) to the officer in charge of the Police station in the jurisdiction of which the accident occurred.

5. It shall be the duty of the Manager to give notice of the accident—

- (a) in the case of accidents on Railways open for public traffic only, to the Consulting Engineer to Government for Guaranteed Railways, who has been authorized by Government to investigate and report on such accidents, and who, for the purposes of these Rules, is hereinafter called the Government Inspector ;
- (b) to the Director of the Railway System, in as full detail as practicable ;
- (c) to the Secretary to the Local Government in the Judicial Department within 12 hours after the occurrence, in order that the Local Government may, if necessary, watch over the investigation.

6. The Manager shall, in cases of serious personal injury, afford medical aid to the sufferers, and pay all their expenses while in hospital.

7. The Manager shall cause departmental inquiry to be held promptly for the thorough investigation of the causes of every serious accident, and shall require the Superintendent of Railway Police to be present at the inquiry if possible ; in his unavoidable absence, an officer of Police should be present ; also he shall communicate the result of the inquiries to the Magistrate mentioned in

4, and if an open line, to the Government Inspector, with a statement of the persons, if any, whom the Railway authorities intend to prosecute, unless the matter should form the subject of a inquiry under Rule 8.

for judicial investigation to any other Magistrate having jurisdiction on the line. Such other Magistrate shall thereupon proceed to inquire into the case in the same manner as if it had happened in his own District.

The Magistrate will, whenever he wishes for the assistance of the Consulting Engineer, either in aiding the Police inquiry, or in prosecuting the case before him, or in giving evidence on matters relating to Railway administration, issue a requisition to that officer to attend. He will report the result of his inquiries into all serious accidents to the Commissioner for the information of Government.

He will insist upon the attendance of all officers of the Railway Company, of whatever rank, if he considers such attendance necessary.

He will enforce the provisions of the Railway Act against the high officers of the Railway Company, in the event of their omitting to prosecute their subordinates for breaches of railway law—Bengal Government Order, dated 8th Sept., 1865.

175 [S. 134.] An officer in charge of a Police-station may, by order

Power to summon persons. in writing summon two or more persons as aforesaid for the purpose of the said investigation, and any

other person who appears to be acquainted with the facts of the case. Every person so summoned shall be bound to attend and to answer truly all questions other than questions the answers to which would have a tendency to expose him to a criminal charge, or to a penalty or forfeiture.

If the facts do not disclose a cognizable offence to which section 170 applies, such persons shall not be required by the Police-officer to attend a Magistrate's Court.

Non-attendance in obedience to such an order by a Police officer is punishable under S. 174, Penal Code.

Refusal to answer questions other than those of a criminating tendency is punishable under S. 179, Penal Code.

If the answers given are false, the person making them would be liable to punishment for intentionally giving false evidence as defined in S. 191, and punishable under S. 193, Penal Code.

176 [S. 135.] When any person dies while in the custody of the

Inquiry by Magistrate into cause of death. police, the nearest Magistrate empowered to hold inquests shall, and, in any other case mentioned in

section 174, clauses (a), (b) and (c), any Magistrate so empowered may, hold an inquiry into the cause of death, either instead of, or in addition to, the investigation held by the Police-officer; and, if he does so, he shall have all the powers in conducting it which he would have in holding an inquiry into an offence. The Magistrate holding such an inquiry shall record the evidence taken by him in connection therewith in any of the manners hereinafter prescribed, according to the circumstances of the case.

Whenever such Magistrate considers it expedient to make an examin-

Power to disinter corpse. ation of the dead body of any person who has been already interred, in order to discover the cause of his death, the Magistrate may cause the body to be disinterred and examined.

This section, it should be noted, declares that when a person dies while in the custody of the Police, the nearest Magistrate empowered to hold inquests, *shall* hold an inquiry into the cause of death.

Proceedings under S. 176 are not open to revision, as they are specially excepted from the operation of S. 435.

In BOMBAY, all Magistrates have been authorized to hold inquests under S. 176 (*Gaz.*, 1872, p. 1326); provided that they are not Honorary Magistrates, in which case a special order for each Magistrate is necessary (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 16). District Superintendents and Assistant District Superintendents have also been empowered to hold inquests, (*Gaz.*, 1872, p. 4339).

PART VI. PROCEEDINGS IN PROSECUTIONS.

CHAPTER XV.

OF THE JURISDICTION OF THE CRIMINAL COURTS IN INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.

A.—Place of Inquiry or Trial.

177 [S. 63, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 18, para. 1.] Every offence shall ordinarily be inquired into and tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction it was committed.

The Code does not affect any special jurisdiction or power conferred by any other law now in force (S. 1) and therefore S. 177 would not affect the jurisdiction of a Court under the Mutiny Act over British soldiers committing offences. That jurisdiction is, however, only permissive, and therefore when the Civil authorities have got possession of the investigation of the offence, and the Military authorities have not availed themselves of the alternative procedure of trying the offenders by a General Court-Martial, the Magistrate is competent to proceed in the manner directed by the Code unless the Governor General in Council has under S. 549 issued rules to the contrary—*Empress v. Maguire*, 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 124; (S. C.) 4 Cal. L. R., 432.

178 [S. 63, para. 2; Act XI, 1874, S. 9.] Notwithstanding anything contained in section 177, the Local Government may direct that any cases or class of cases committed for trial in any district may be tried in any Sessions Division:

Power to order cases to be tried in different Sessions Divisions.

Provided that such direction be not repugnant to any direction previously issued under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104, section 15, or under this Code, section 526.

Under 24 and 25 Vic. c. 104 s. 15 a High Court has the superintendence over all Courts subject to its Appellate jurisdiction, and has power to direct the transfer of any suit or appeal from such Court to any other Court of equal or superior jurisdiction. S. 526 empowers a High Court under certain circumstances to transfer a case or try it itself. In all cases transferred by the High Court to itself for trial, the trial may, if the High Court so direct, be by Jury. S. 267.

179 [S. 65; Act IV, 1877, S. 19.] When a person is accused of the commission of any offence by reason of anything which has been done, and of any consequence which has ensued, such offence may be inquired into or tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction any such thing has been done, or any such consequence has ensued.

Accused triable in district where act is done, or where consequence ensues.

Illustrations.

(a) A is wounded within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court X and dies within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court Z. The offence of the culpable homicide of A may be inquired into or tried either by X or Z.

(b) A is wounded within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court X, and is, during ten days within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court Y, and during ten days more within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court Z, unable in the local limits of the jurisdiction of either Court Y or Court Z to follow his ordinary pursuits. The offence of causing grievous hurt to A may be inquired into or tried by X, Y or Z.

(c) A is put in fear of injury within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court X, and is thereby induced within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Court Y, to deliver property to the person who put him in fear. The offence of extortion committed on A may be inquired into or tried either by X or Y.

When jurisdiction over an offence is given by Ss. 179 *et seq.* to the Magistrates of more than one District, it is often doubtful where the inquiry or trial should be held. The following rules have been issued for guidance of Magistrates in the N. W. Provinces and the Punjab on this point :

A Magistrate should act under S. 179 and the following sections solely with reference to the convenience of the public : ordinarily the proper district for the inquiry and trial of offences falling under those sections would be that where the witnesses could, with the least inconvenience, attend. In such cases, therefore, the Magistrate to whom the complainant or prosecutor may apply, should commence the investigation, and proceed with the inquiry and trial, unless he should find, in the course of inquiry, that the case can be more conveniently tried in another district. In the event of the latter contingency, he should suspend further proceedings, and place himself in communication with the Magistrate of such other district, recommending that the case be removed to that district. If the Magistrate of this district should concur, but not otherwise, the proceedings and the accused should be forwarded to such other Magistrate, and the prosecutor directed to attend and prosecute his complaint. If the other Magistrate differ from him in his opinion as to the district in which the investigation and trial can be most conveniently held, the first Magistrate should either proceed with the inquiry and trial, or refer the question of *venue* for the determination of the Sudder Court—Agra Sudder Court Circular 21, 1864; Also Judicial Commissioner, Punjab, Circular 24, December 24, 1864; Chief Court, Punjab, Cir., Oct. 25, 1873; Smyth, Chap. II, S. 31. If the transfer of a case to another district is proposed by a Subordinate Magistrate, the application should be submitted through the Magistrate of the District, who will, if he considers the transfer desirable, forward it with his own recommendation to the Magistrate of the District in which he thinks the case should be tried—Smyth, *Ibid.*

A girl was sold at Mirzapore by her mother to a prostitute, who took her to Benares. The Sessions Judge of Benares tried and sentenced the latter woman, under S. 373, Penal Code for "buying a minor with intent that she shall be employed for purposes of prostitution," overruling the plea of want of jurisdiction, on the ground that possession of the girl was a consequence by reason of which she was accused of an offence. On appeal, the Agra Sudder Court allowed the plea holding that the purchase with intent, &c., which took place at Mirzapore was the offence, and that no "consequence," such as is contemplated by S. 179, had ensued. The Court further held that the terms "anything which has been done" mean some act constituting the offence, or part of it; and the terms "any consequence which has ensued" mean some consequence modifying or completing that act.—Mussamut Jowahir, 6 Agra 46; Begum *alias* Elahue Jan, *Ibid.* 136.

Under S. 156 any officer in charge of a Police station, without the orders of a Magistrate, is competent to investigate any cognizable case which the Magistrate to whom he is subordinate can inquire into or try under section 179.

180 [S. 66.] When an act is an offence by reason of its relation to any other act which is also an offence, or which

Place of trial where act is offence by reason of relation to other offence. would be an offence if the doer were capable of committing an offence a charge of the first-mentioned offence may be inquired into or tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction either act was done.

Illustrations.

(a) A charge of abetment may be inquired into or tried either by the Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the abetment was committed, or by the Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the offence abetted was committed.

(b) A charge of receiving or retaining stolen goods may be inquired into or tried either by the Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the goods were stolen, or by any Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction any of them were at any time dishonestly received or retained.

(c) A charge of wrongfully concealing a person known to have been kidnapped may be inquired into or tried by the Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the wrongful concealing, or by the Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the kidnapping took place.

The term "act" includes also an illegal omission.—S. 4, last cl.

With reference to *Ill. (b)* see Reg. v. Lakhya Govind and another, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 50 in which it was held that the theft having been committed in foreign and not in British territory the conviction for that offence could not be sustained under S. 180; but the prisoners could be properly convicted of retaining stolen property in British territory. This was followed in Sunker Gope, I. L. R., 6 Cal. 307. See also Reg. v. Adivigadu, I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171. But it has been held by the Madras H. Ct. that when the act by which the accused has become possessed of

the property has been committed out of British India, no Court of British India has jurisdiction in respect of subsequent acts in remaining in possession of the property because the question whether such subsequent acts amount to an offence under the Penal Code requires proof of the commission of the substantive offence in the doing of the act by which the accused, when out of British India, became possessed of the property. This has, however, been remedied by Act VIII of 1882, S. 9, which has amended the definition of stolen property in S. 410, Penal Code, by making it immaterial whether the transfer has been made within or without British India. See *Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Oct., 2, 1877 Weir, 251.

Where a foreign subject, in foreign territory, abetted the commission of murder in British India, it was held that she could not be convicted by a Court of British India. The Code of Criminal Procedure extends only to British India, and S. 180 assumes that the offence has been committed within that territory. The act in this case would not be an offence *i. e.*, a thing made punishable by the Penal Code (See S. 40) which also extended only to British India. See *Reg. v. Pirtal*, 10 Bomb. 356.

181 [S. 67, Illus. (c) (a).; S. 68.] The offence of being a thug, of

Being a thug or belonging to a gang of dacoits, escape from custody, &c. being a thug and committing murder, of dacoity, of dacoity with murder, of having belonged to a gang of dacoits, or of having escaped from custody, may be inquired into or tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the person charged is.

The offence of criminal misappropriation or of criminal breach of trust may be inquired into or tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction any part of the property which is the subject of the offence was received by the accused person, or the offence was committed.

The offence of stealing anything may be inquired into or tried by a Court within the local limits of whose jurisdiction such thing was stolen, or was possessed by the thief or by any person who receives or retains the same knowing or having reason to believe it to be stolen.

Any officer in charge of a Police station may, without an order of a Magistrate, investigate such an offence, if it be a cognizable offence, provided that he be subordinate to the Magistrate who has jurisdiction to inquire into or try it. S. 156.

See note to S. 180 with special reference to the last clause of S. 181.

Place of inquiry or trial where scene of offence is uncertain, or not in one district only:

or where offence is continuing.

where it consists of several acts done in different local areas,

or consists of several acts.

182 [S. 67.] When it is uncertain in which of several local areas an offence was committed, or where an offence is committed partly in one local area and partly in another, or

where an offence is a continuing one, and continues to be committed in more local areas than one, or

It may be inquired into or tried by a Court having jurisdiction over any of such local areas.

When jurisdiction over an offence is given to the Magistrates of more than one District, it is often doubtful where the inquiry or trial should be held. The following rules have been issued for the guidance of Magistrates in the N. W. Provinces and the Panjab on this point:

A Magistrate should act solely with reference to the convenience of the public: ordinarily the proper district for the inquiry and trial of offences falling under those sections would be that where the witnesses could, with the least inconvenience, attend. In such cases, therefore, the Magistrate to whom the complainant or prosecutor may apply should commence the investigation, and proceed with the inquiry and trial, unless he should find, in the course of inquiry, that the case can be more conveniently tried in another district. In the event of the latter contingency, he should suspend further proceedings, and place himself in communication with the Magistrate of such other district, recommending

that the case be removed to that district. If the Magistrate of this district should concur, but not otherwise, the proceedings and the accused should be forwarded to such other Magistrate, and the prosecutor directed to attend and prosecute his complaint. If the other Magistrate should differ from him in his opinion as to the district in which the investigation and trial can be most conveniently held, the first Magistrate should either proceed with the inquiry and trial, or refer the question of *venue* for the determination of the Sudder Court.—Agra Sudder Court Circular 21, 1864; Also Judicial Commissioner, Panjab Circular 24, December 24, 1864; Chief Court, Panjab, Cir., Oct. 23, 1873; Smyth, Chap. II, §. 31. If the transfer of a case to another district is proposed by a Subordinate Magistrate, the application should be submitted through the Magistrate of the District, who will, if he considers the transfer desirable, forward it with his own recommendation to the Magistrate of the District in which he thinks the case should be tried.—Smyth, *Ibid*.

183 [S. 67 Illus. (a).] An offence committed whilst the offender is

Offence committed on a journey. in the course of performing a journey or voyage may be inquired into or tried by a Court through or into the local limits of whose jurisdiction the offender, or the person against whom, or the thing in respect of which, the offence was committed, passed in the course of that journey or voyage.

An officer in charge of a Police station may, without an order of a Magistrate, investigate such an offence, if it be a cognizable offence, provided that he is subordinate to the Magistrate who has jurisdiction to inquire into or try it. S. 156.

The journey spoken of in S. 183 must be a continuous journey from one terminus to another in all its conditions. It appeared distinctly from the evidence that the journey was interrupted at Allahabad both on the part of the complainant and the accused, and therefore it was held that the Magistrate of Howrah had no jurisdiction to entertain the charge of an offence which was committed near Allahabad, on a journey which was broken by both parties at that place. The Court remarked that the illustration "affords relief by giving jurisdiction to the local tribunal at the place where the offender either stops, or is made to stop, or at the place where the complainant stops," and that "this means where either of them first stops or breaks his journey or voyage." The stoppage was also one not due to the nature of the journey itself.—*In re Hiramun Ayah*, 21 W. R., 64; (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 4, *App*.

The case of *Reg. v. Malony*, (1 Madras, 193 (S. C.) Weir 21,) is also important on the matter of jurisdiction. In that case a Railway Guard was charged under S. 27 of the Railway Act with drunkenness whilst in charge of a train, &c. He was removed from that train and detained at a place beyond the local jurisdiction of the High Court, but he broke away and continued his journey to Madras. The High Court held that it had no jurisdiction to try the offence, since the proper effect and construction of S. 35, Act XVIII of 1862, is that, if a person be accused of an offence committed whilst a journey or voyage is *going on*, he may be tried if any part of that journey or voyage during which the offence is alleged to have been committed lies within the local limits of the Court's jurisdiction. Here the journey on which the offence was alleged to have been committed ended, so far as regarded the person accused, and the offence, at a place beyond those limits.

S. 183 has relation only to the trial of an offence committed in British India in which the only defect is that some other Court in British India other than the Court which actually tried the charge had local jurisdiction over the offence. The voyage or journey spoken of do not include one on the high seas or in foreign territory, but are confined in their meanings to a voyage or journey within the territories of British India.—Bapu. Daldi, Weir 2.

The complainant and the accused sailed from Bombay to Honawar in a boat. The latter threw over board a box belonging to the former within nine miles of the Jangira State. On arrival at Honawar the complainant charged the accused with having committed mischief. Held, that the Magistrate at Honawar had jurisdiction to try the offence as the accused had passed through his jurisdiction during the voyage—*Empress v. Isonacla*, Bomb. H. Ct., March 16, 1882.

184 [Act IV, 1877, Ss. 238, 239.] All offences against the provisions

Offences against Rail- of any law for the time being in force relating to way, Telegraph, Post office Railways, Telegraphs, the Post-office or Arms and and Arms Acts. Ammunition may be inquired into or tried in a

Presidency-town, whether the offence is stated to have been committed within such town or not: Provided that the offender and all the witnesses necessary for his prosecution are to be found within such town.

The law relating to Railways in India is contained in Act IV of 1879; to Telegraphs in Act I of 1876; to the Post office in Act XIV of 1866; and to Arms and Ammunition in Act XI of 1878.

185 [S. 69; Act IV, 1877, S. 23.]

High Court to decide, in case of doubt, district where inquiry or trial shall take place.

the Court by which any offence should under the preceding provisions of this chapter be inquired into or tried, the High Court within the local limits of whose appellate criminal jurisdiction the offender actually is, may decide by which Court the offence shall be inquired into or tried.

In British Burmah, when the offender is an European British subject, the Recorder of Rangoon, and in all other cases the Judicial Commissioner, shall for the purposes of this section be deemed to be the High Court.

See note to S. 182.

186 [Ss. 157, 174; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 54, 55.]

Power to issue summons or warrant for offence committed beyond local jurisdiction.

Magistrate, or District Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate or, if he is specially empowered in this behalf by the Local Government, a Magistrate of the first class, sees reason to believe that any person within the local limits of his jurisdiction has committed without such limits (whether within or without British India) an offence which cannot, under the provisions of sections 177 to 184 (both inclusive), or any other law for the time being in force, be inquired into or tried within such local limits, but is under some law for the time being in force triable in British India, such Magistrate may inquire into the offence as if it had been committed within such local limits, and compel such person in manner hereinbefore provided to appear before him, and send such person to the Magistrate having jurisdiction to inquire into or try such offence, or, if such offence is bailable, take a bond with or without sureties for his appearance before such Magistrate.

When there are more Magistrates than one having such jurisdiction and the Magistrate acting under this section cannot satisfy himself as to the Magistrate to or before whom such person should be sent, or bound to appear, the case shall be reported for the orders of the High Court.

In MADRAS, all Magistrates of the first class have been authorized to act under S. 186, (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 717; also in Oude (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 3): also in BOMBAY (*Gaz.*, 1872, p. 1325); provided that, in Bombay, such Magistrates are not Honorary Magistrates, when a special order is necessary in each case (*id.*, 1873, p. 16).

In the PANJAB, all senior officers at head-quarter stations under the Magistrate of the District, who are Magistrates of the first class, have been empowered to act under this section, but only in the absence of the Magistrate of the District from the station. For this purpose it has been declared that the senior Assistant Commissioner, who is a Magistrate of the first class, shall be deemed the senior officer under the Magistrate and if there be no such officer, the senior Extra Assistant Commissioner, being a Magistrate of the first class, shall be so deemed.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 75.

Such powers can be conferred by the Local Government on a Magistrate of the first class under Sch. IV (6) *post*, but if a Magistrate not so empowered issues a process under S. 186, erroneously in good faith, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered—S. 529.

The inquiry held by the Magistrate who takes cognizance of the offence is evidently not an inquiry under Chapter XVIII of this Code, but one to satisfy himself that there are *prima facie* good grounds for sending the person believed to have committed the offence to a Magistrate having jurisdiction to inquire into (Chapter XVIII) or to try such offence.

The Extradition Act (XXI of 1879, Ss. 11—17) provides for the trial of offences committed out of British India. S. 15 of that Act confers on a Magistrate in British India, the power to arrest a person charged with the commission of any certain specified offence beyond British India and in a foreign State in alliance with Her Majesty, and S. 16 prescribes the course to be followed after such arrest.

A Political agent, who is also a Magistrate in an adjoining District, is competent to issue a warrant for the arrest of a person in that District for an offence committed in the State of which he is the Political Agent. The fact that at the time of issuing that warrant he was not in that District but in foreign territory does not affect the legality of the warrant. *Locha Kalu*, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 340.

187 [S. 175.] If the person has been arrested under a warrant issued under section 186 by a Magistrate other than a Presidency Magistrate or District Magistrate, such Magistrate shall send the person arrested to the District Magistrate to whom he is subordinate, unless the Magistrate having jurisdiction to inquire into or try such offence issues his warrant for the arrest of such person, in which case the person arrested shall be delivered to the Police-officer executing such warrant, or shall be sent to the Magistrate by whom such warrant was issued.

If the offence which the person arrested is alleged or suspected to have committed is one which may be inquired into or tried by any Criminal Court in the same district other than that of the Magistrate acting under section 186, such Magistrate shall send such person to such Court.

188 [Act XXI, 1879, S. 9.] When an European British subject commits an offence in the dominions of a Prince or State in India in alliance with Her Majesty, or

when a Native Indian subject of Her Majesty commits an offence at any place beyond the limits of British India,

he may be dealt with in respect of such offence as if it had been committed at any place within British India at which he may be found :

Provided that no charge as to any such offence shall be inquired into in British India unless the Political Agent, if there be one, for the territory in which the offence is alleged to have been committed, certifies that, in his opinion, the charge ought to be inquired into in British India :

Provided also that any proceedings taken against any person under this section which would be a bar to subsequent proceedings against such person for the same offence if such offence had been committed in British India shall be a bar to further proceedings against him under the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1879, in respect of the same offence in any territory beyond the limits of British India.

Political Agent is defined in S. 190 *post*.

The expression "*at which he may be found*" just before the Proviso means, not where a person is discovered but where he is actually present, even when brought into British territory by the Police—*Magan Lal*, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622.

189 [Act XXI, 1879, S. 10.] Whenever any such offence as is referred to in section 188 is being inquired into or tried, the Local Government may, if it thinks fit, direct that copies of depositions made or exhibits produced before the Political Agent or a judicial officer in or for the territory in which such offence is alleged to have been committed shall be received as evidence by the Court holding such inquiry or trial in any case in which such Court might issue a commission for taking evidence as to the matters to which such depositions or exhibits relate.

Power to direct copies of depositions and exhibits to be received in evidence.

Ss. 508 *et seq.* provide for the issue of a Commission to take evidence of witnesses in an inquiry or trial. A commission can be issued only by a Presidency Magistrate, a District Magistrate, a Court of Session or the High Court, when it appears that the examination of a witness is necessary for the ends of justice and that the attendance of such witness cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would be unreasonable. S. 503. Under such circumstances copies of the depositions made or exhibits produced before the Political Agent or judicial officer in a foreign State would, under the orders of Government, be receivable as evidence.

“Political Agent” defined. **190** [Act XXI, 1879, S. 3.] In sections 188 and 189 the expression “Political Agent” means and includes—

(a) the principal officer representing the British Indian Government in any territory beyond the limits of British India;

(b) any officer in British India appointed by the Governor-General in Council, or the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Fort St. George or Bombay, to exercise all or any of the powers of a Political Agent under the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1879, for any territory not forming part of British India.

B.—Conditions requisite for Initiation of Proceedings.

191 [Ss. 23, 25, 27, 140, 141, 142; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 25, 28, 46.] **Cognizance of offences by Magistrates.** Except as hereinafter provided, any Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate, and any other Magistrate specially empowered in this behalf, may take cognizance of any offence—

- (a) upon receiving a complaint of facts which constitute such offence;
- (b) upon a police report of such facts;
- (c) upon information received from any person other than a Police-officer, or upon his own knowledge or suspicion, that such offence has been committed.

The Local Government, or the District Magistrate subject to the general or special orders of the Local Government, may empower any Magistrate to take cognizance under clause (a) or clause (b) of offences for which he may try or commit for trial.

The Local Government may empower any Magistrate of the first or second class to take cognizance under clause (c) of offences for which he may try or commit for trial.

Complaint means an allegation made to a Magistrate with a view to institute proceedings under this Code that some person whether known or unknown has committed an offence: but does not include the report of a Police officer—S. 4 (a).

A complaint may be made by the person against whom the offence has been committed; or by the public servant or his superior in a case of contempt of his lawful authority S. 195 (a); or by a Court in which or in relation to the proceedings of which, any of certain offences against public justice or relating to documents has been committed, or of some Court to which such Court is subordinate, S. 195 (b), (c); or, in cases of offences against the State, by order of, or under authority from the Governor-General in Council, the Local Government or some officer empowered by the Governor-General in Council in that behalf—(S. 196); or by a Civil Court under certain special circumstances in addition to those provided for by S. 195 of this Code—S. 643, Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882. See note 3 to S. 195 *post*).

S. 140 (c) of the Code of 1872 expressly provided that “any person acquainted with the facts of a case may make a complaint.” This has not been re-enacted, but will probably be accepted where the absence of the person aggrieved is accounted for or the offence is of a serious nature, as it is only for any offence under Chapter XIX or XXI of the Penal Code or under Ss. 493—498 that a complaint of the person aggrieved by the offence is specially required—Ss. 198, 199.

A Police report of the facts on which a Magistrate specially empowered to act may take cognis-

ance of any offences would be when after investigation a Police officer forwards an accused person for inquiry or trial on sufficient evidence or reasonable ground for suspicion that he has committed a cognizable offence (S. 170); or when the Police officer has reported that in his opinion no sufficient evidence or reasonable ground for suspicion exists, and the accused has been released on bail (S. 169): or when for reasons reported, a Police officer has abstained from investigating into a cognizable case when complaint has been made to the officer in charge of a Police station and has been merely entered in his diary (S. 155); or after investigation into a non-cognizable case specially ordered by a Magistrate of the first or second class (S. 156).

The Government of Bengal, Cir. 16, March 25, 1880, has authorized the District Magistrate to nominate any single member or members of a Bench or any salaried Magistrate to receive complaints of cases triable by the Bench and which the Magistrates nominated are themselves empowered to try or commit for trial.

In Madras, all Magistrates of the first class have been invested with powers under S. 191 (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 717); but in Bengal the Lieutenant-Governor has stated that he will empower Magistrates to act under this section only on special applications and on special reason shown. The system introduced is for the Magistrate of the District to empower his subordinate Magistrates to receive complaints either generally or for only certain specified offences within certain local limits and to try these cases themselves throughout, without any transfer, except under any special order of the Magistrate of the District, though it is open to any Magistrate of a District to reserve to himself any classes of complaints and receive and distribute them, there being some classes of cases, such as defamation, which, in the opinion of the Lieutenant-Governor, most Magistrates should not be allowed to entertain. At large stations one Court should sit regularly as the Police Court, and take up ordinary Police cases as they are sent in by the Police.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 63.

In the N. W. Provinces, all Joint or Assistant Magistrates or Assistant Commissioners holding their Courts at the head-quarters of a District, being Magistrates of the first class and next in seniority to the Magistrate of the District, have been vested with powers under S. 191 to be exercised only when the Magistrate of the District is absent from head-quarters—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 903.

If any Magistrate, not especially empowered by law so to act, takes cognizance of an offence under S. 191 (a) or (b) erroneously in good faith, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered—S. 529 (e); but if he acts under S. 191 (c) his proceedings shall be void—S. 530 (k).

Act V, 1861, S. 24, declares that it shall be lawful for any Police officer to lay any information before a Magistrate and to apply for a summons, warrant, search-warrant or such other legal process as may by law issue against any person committing an offence.

A petition containing a complaint or charge of any offence other than an offence for which Police officers may under this Code arrest without warrant, or of wrongful restraint or wrongful confinement and presented to any Criminal Court, must bear a stamp of eight annas—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees' Act), Sec. II, Art. 1, (b). If complaint of such offence is not made by written petition, the complainant shall pay a fee of eight annas, unless the Court thinks fit to remit such payment, when his examination is reduced to writing.—S. 18. If the person accused is convicted, the Criminal Court, in addition to the penalty imposed upon him, is bound to order him to repay to the complainant the fee paid on such application or petition or at the time of the complainant's examination, and also any fees for serving processes that may have been paid, and such sums are recoverable as if they were fines imposed by the Court.—S. 31. When a complaint of a non-cognizable offence resulted in a conviction of a cognizable offence, it was held that the complainant was entitled to be recouped by the accused to the amount of the stamp fee paid upon his complaint, the test by which to determine whether the recoupment should be made being the nature of the complaint, not of the conviction.—Bomb. H. Ct., January 15, 1875. Bom. H. Ct., *In re Chunya bin Shevaya*, Nov. 27. Complaints of a public servant (as defined in the Penal Code), a municipal officer, or an officer or servant of a Railway Company, are exempt from stamp duty.—S. 19, cl. xviii.

In BOMBAY, all Magistrates of the first class were at one time empowered to act under this section, (*Gaz.*, 1872, p. 1325); but this order was cancelled and it was declared that such powers would be conferred only on individual Magistrates specially recommended, provided that they were not Honorary Magistrates.—*Id.*, 1873, p. 16.

In the PANJAB, all Senior Officers at head-quarter stations under the Magistrate of the District, who are Magistrates of the first class, have been empowered to act under this section, but only in the absence of the Magistrate of the District from that station. For this purpose it has been declared that the Senior Assistant Commissioner, who is a Magistrate of the first class, shall be deemed the Senior Officer under the Magistrate, and if there be no such Officer, the Senior Extra Assistant Commissioner, being a Magistrate of the first class, shall be so deemed.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 75.

192 [Ss. 44, 141, paras. 2 and 3.] Any District Magistrate or Sub-

Transfer of cases by divisional Magistrate may transfer any case of which
Magistrates. he has taken cognizance, for inquiry or trial to any
Magistrate subordinate to him.

Any District Magistrate may empower any Magistrate of the first class who has taken cognizance of any case, to transfer it for inquiry or trial to any other specified Magistrate in his District who is competent under this Code to try the accused or commit him for trial; and such Magistrate may dispose of the case accordingly.

All Magistrates in a District or in a Sub-division are respectively subordinate to the District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate.—S. 17.

The power to transfer a case of which a District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate has taken cognizance (S. 191) for inquiry or trial to any Magistrate subordinate to him must not be confounded with the power to withdraw or recall a case so made over and to deal with it himself or refer it for inquiry or trial to another Subordinate Magistrate. S. 528 provides for the latter. See note thereunder.

Every Magistrate and Bench in a District is subordinate to the District Magistrate and every Magistrate and Bench exercising powers in a Sub-division is subordinate to the Sub-divisional Magistrate subject to the general control of the District Magistrate. S. 17, *ante*.

In the PUNJAB, all Magistrates of the first class have been invested with power to transfer cases instituted on complaint to Subordinate Magistrates.—*Panj. Gaz.*, 1879, Part I, p. 680. The mode of transferring such cases has been prescribed by the Chief Court—*Panj. Gaz.*, 1879, Part III, p. 527.

In MADRAS, all Magistrates of the first class have received the same power—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 717.

A Talook Magistrate, in Madras, not being a Sub-divisional Magistrate has no power to transfer a case to the Serishtadar for trial—*Mad. H. Ct Pro.* Oct. 4, 1811, *Weir*, 235: *Pro.* Feb. 14, 1882, *Weir*, 236.

If any Magistrate not empowered by law in that behalf erroneously in good faith transfers a case under S. 192, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (*f*).

There are certain cases which a Magistrate must transfer to another Magistrate as he is himself not competent to try them. (See S. 487.) There are other cases which it is exceedingly undesirable that he should try either because he has himself initiated the prosecution, or is, from his personal knowledge of the facts, one of the witnesses, or has some strong interest in the result. S. 555 declares that no Magistrate shall, except with the permission of the Court to which an appeal lies, try or commit for trial any case to or in which he is a party, or personally interested. *Explanation.* A Magistrate shall not be deemed to be a party to, or personally interested in, any case merely because he is a Municipal Commissioner.

A Magistrate is not incapacitated from dealing with a case judicially, merely because in the character of Magistrate, it may have been his duty to initiate the proceedings, but it is wrong that the District Magistrate should deal with such a case judicially when there was no necessity for his doing so, when he had himself discovered alleged fraud and initiated the prosecution, and when he was one of the principal witnesses against the prisoner. The mere fact that the Magistrate was one of the witnesses for the prosecution was a most cogent reason why he should not have been a member of the Bench by which the trial was to be held—*Bholanath Sen*, 1 L. R., 2, Cal., 23, (S. C.) 25 W. R., 57.

COTCH, C. J. in delivering the judgment of the Full Bench in *Hiralal Dass*, 8 B. L. R., 422, F. B. said:—"The interest which disqualifies a Judge is not merely a pecuniary interest; that would be too limited a way of describing such an interest; but in describing it we ought rather to use the language of Norman, J. in the case of *the Queen v. Metha Singh*, 4 B. L. R., 15, that is to say, 'a personal or a pecuniary interest.' A Magistrate could not try a person for an assault on himself; and without defining precisely what amounts to personal interest, it appears to me that there must be either a personal or pecuniary interest in order to disqualify a Judge or Magistrate from exercising the general jurisdiction which the law confers upon him." In this case the proceedings were instituted by the Sub-Registrar who was also the Magistrate who held the trial. On this point the Chief Justice further remarked:—"I cannot suppose that because an officer in this position sanctions the institution, his mind is made up as to the guilt of the party, and that he is not willing to consider the evidence that may be produced before him, when he comes to try the case. In this case there appears to be no such interest as would prevent the case from going before the Magistrate as the trying authority; but as I have already said, it would be better, where it can be avoided, that this should not be done, and it may very well be that the Court, in its discretion, would in similar cases, direct the transfer of the case, in order that it should be tried by some other officer."

An objection to jurisdiction should be taken either at the trial or appeal. It cannot be taken for the first time before the High Court as a Court of Revision. Thus, an objection so made to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate because the case had not been properly instituted on complaint was not allowed because it had not been taken on appeal to the Court of Session—*Vishvanath Daulatray*, 4 Bomb., 33. *Cr. Ca.* Compare S. 532 *post*.

193 [Ss. 17, 18, 231.] Except as otherwise expressly provided by this Code or by any other law for the time being in force, no Court of Session shall take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original jurisdiction, unless the accused has been committed to it by a Magistrate duly empowered in that behalf.

Cognizance of offences by Courts of Sessions. Additional Sessions Judges and Joint Sessions Judges shall try such cases only as the Local Government by general or special order directs them to try, or as the Sessions Judge of the Division makes over to them for trial.

Cases to be tried by Additional and Joint Sessions Judges; Assistant Sessions Judges shall try such cases only as the Sessions Judge of the Division by general or special order makes over to them for trial.

The following Magistrates are empowered to make commitments to a Court of Session—any Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate, Magistrate of the first class or any Magistrate specially empowered in that behalf by the Local Government. S. 206. See note thereto.

If, however, a commitment is made by any Magistrate or other authority purporting to exercise such powers duly conferred, but not being duly conferred, the Court to which the commitment is made may, after perusal of the proceedings, accept the commitment if it considers that the accused has not been prejudiced, unless objection was made on behalf of either the accused or of the prosecution to the jurisdiction of such Magistrate or other authority during the inquiry and before the order of commitment. The Court is competent to quash the commitment and direct a fresh inquiry by a competent Magistrate, if it considers that the accused has been prejudiced or an objection was so made.—S. 532. It lies on the party impugning the correctness of the proceedings to show that the Magistrate had no jurisdiction.—*Komurooddee Sikdar*, 13 W. R., 17. See Evidence Act, S. 114, *III. (e.)*

A Court of Session as a Court of original jurisdiction can take cognizance of certain "contempts" committed in its view or presence and pass sentence.—S. 480.

A commitment by the Court of Session can also be made by that Court itself for one of the offences specified in S. 195, if that offence be committed before it or be brought under its notice in the course of a judicial proceeding.—S. 477. A Civil or Revenue Court is also competent to commit for the same offences under the same circumstances.—S. 478.

In the case, however, in which an European British subject is one of the accused, the proceedings can be taken only by a Magistrate of the first class who is also a Justice of the Peace and an European British subject, (S. 443), and in such a case also the powers conferred by S. 193 on a Court of Session can be exercised only if the Sessions Judge be himself an European British subject, or if the presiding officer be an Assistant Sessions Judge, is an European British subject, has also held that office for at least three years and has been specially empowered by the Local Government in that behalf.—S. 444. If the Sessions Judge is not an European British subject, it is the duty of the country Magistrate to report the matter for the order of the highest Court of Criminal appeal in the Province.—S. 450.

194 [Act X, 1877, S. 145.] The High Court may take cognizance of any offence upon a commitment made to it in the manner hereinafter provided.

Cognizance of offences by High Court. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to affect the provisions of any letters patent granted under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104.

A Presidency Magistrate is competent to commit direct to the High Court (S. 206), but in cases inquired into by a Magistrate outside the limits of a Presidency town, such commitment can be made only where the accused or one of the accused is an European British subject, and the offence which appears to have been committed is punishable with death or transportation for life (S. 447), the Magistrate, however, in such a case must also be a Justice of the Peace and an European British subject.—S. 443.

S. 23 of the Letters Patent granted under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104 gives the High Court of Calcutta extraordinary original jurisdiction over all persons residing in Bengal and Assam and authority to try at its discretion any such persons brought before it on charges preferred by the Advocate General, or by any Magistrate or other officer specially empowered by the Government on that behalf and S. 23 empowers it to direct the transfer of any criminal case or appeal to any Court of equal or superior jurisdiction, or also to direct the preliminary inquiry or trial of any criminal case by any officer or Court otherwise competent to investigate or try it.

Act X of 1875, S. 144, provides that "the Advocate-General may, with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, or of the Local Government, exhibit to the local High Court, against persons subject to the jurisdiction of the said Court, informations for all purposes for which Her Majesty's Attorney-General may exhibit informations on behalf of the Crown in the Court of Queen's Bench or Exchequer.

"Such proceedings may be taken upon every such information as may lawfully be taken in case of similar information filed by Her Majesty's Attorney-General in England, so far as the circumstances of the case and the course and practice of proceeding in the said High Courts respectively will admit.

"All fines, penalties, forfeitures, debts and sums of money recovered or levied under or by virtue of any such information shall belong to the Government of India."

S. 146 so far as it is not repealed by Sch. I (b) of this Code of Criminal Procedure further enacts that "at any stage of the proceedings under this Act, before the return of the verdict, the Advocate-General may, if he think fit, inform the Court on behalf of Her Majesty that he will not further prosecute the defendant upon the information; and thereupon all proceedings upon such information against the defendant shall be stayed, and he shall be discharged of and from the same. But such discharge shall not amount to an acquittal."

195 [Ss 465, 467—470; Act X, 1875, Ss. 133, 134; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 40—43, 46.] No Court shall take cognizance—

(a) of any offence punishable under sections 172 to 188 (both inclusive) of the Indian Penal Code, except with the previous sanction, or on the complaint, of the public servant concerned, or of some public servant to whom he is subordinate;

(b) of any offence punishable under sections 193, 194, 195, 196, 199, 200, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, or 228 of the same Code, when such offence is committed in, or in relation to, any proceeding in any Court, except with the previous sanction, or on the complaint, of such Court, or of some other Court to which such Court is subordinate;

(c) of any offence described in section 463, or punishable under sections 471, 475 or 476 of the same Code, when such offence has been committed by a party to any proceedings in any Court in respect of a document given in evidence in such proceeding, except with the previous sanction, or on the complaint, of such Court, or of some other Court to which such Court is subordinate.

The sanction referred to in this section may be expressed in general terms, and need not name the accused person; but it shall, so far as practicable, specify the Court or other place in which, and the occasion on which, the offence was committed.

When sanction is given in respect of any offence referred to in this section, the Court taking cognizance of the case may frame a charge of any other offence so referred to which is disclosed by the facts.

Any sanction given or refused under this section may be revoked or granted by any authority to which the authority giving or refusing it is subordinate; and no such sanction shall remain in force for more than six months from the date on which it was given.

For the purposes of this section, every Court, other than a Court of Small Causes, shall be deemed to be subordinate only to the Court to which appeals from the former Court ordinarily lie.

The Courts of Small Causes in the Presidency-towns shall be deemed to be subordinate to the High Court, and every other Court of Small Causes shall be deemed to be subordinate to the Court of Session for the Sessions Division within which such Court is situate.

S. 230 declares that where a fresh charge has been made or a charge has been altered so as to include an offence for the prosecution of which previous sanction is necessary, the case shall not be proceeded with until such sanction has been obtained, unless the case is covered by S. 195, cl. 5, but S. 537 provides that except as before provided in this Code, no finding, sentence or order passed by a Court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or altered under Chapter XXVII (i. e., in a case submitted to a superior Court for confirmation of a sentence passed), or on appeal or revision on account of the want of any sanction required by S. 195 unless such omission has occasioned a failure of justice.

When any Civil, Criminal or Revenue Court is of opinion that there is ground for inquiring into any offence referred to in section 195, and committed before it or brought under its notice in the course of a judicial proceeding, such Court, after making any preliminary inquiry that may be necessary, may send the case for inquiry or trial to the nearest Magistrate of the first class, and may send the accused in custody, or take sufficient security for his appearance, before such Magistrate; and may bind over any person to appear and give evidence on such inquiry or trial.—S. 476.

S. 643 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882) further enacts that "when in a case pending before any Court, there appears to the Court sufficient ground for sending for investigation to the Magistrate a charge of any such offence as is described in S. 193, S. 194, S. 199, S. 200, Ss. 205-210, S. 463, S. 471, Ss. 474-477, of the Indian Penal Code which may be made in the course of any other suit or proceeding, or with respect to any document offered in evidence in the case, the Court may cause the person accused to be detained until the rising of the Court, and may then send him in custody to the Magistrate, or take sufficient bail for his appearance before the Magistrate.

"The Court shall send to the Magistrate the evidence, and documents relevant to the charge, and may bind over any person to appear and give evidence before such Magistrate.

"The Magistrate shall receive such charge and proceed with it according to law."

The distinction between a complaint and sanction to prosecute should be noted.

A complaint, which means "an allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate, with the view to his taking action under this Code, that some one whether known or unknown, has committed an offence"—S. 4 (a), when made by a public servant or a Court, implies a public prosecution, whereas sanction is given to a private person who may desire himself to complain as aggrieved by the particular act which constitutes the offence, and this would make the prosecution of a private character. This distinction and the consequences of action so taken have been pointed out in the case of *Baijoo Lall, I. L. R., 1 Cal., 450*. It is no means in any case in which a party fails to prove his case, that the Judge, who has decided against such party, is justified in exercising the power given to him by this section. So long as it is a case as to which there is any possible doubt, or in which it is not perfectly certain that the Judge's decision must be upheld in the event of there being an appeal in the Civil suit, the Judge acts indiscreetly and wrongly, if, the moment he has given judgment in the Civil suit, he exercises the power given to him by this section. At the same time, if, in the course of the Civil trial, the Judge has before him clear and unmistakable proof of a criminal offence, and if, after the trial is over, he, on consideration, thinks it necessary to proceed at once, of course it may be right to do so. Judges should, however, bear in mind that criminal prosecutions are frequently suggested by successful litigants merely to prevent an appeal in the Civil suit, and they should be careful not to lend themselves to such too readily. They should also recollect that when they proceed to make a complaint, the responsibility rests on the Judge entirely, such a prosecution being a very different thing from a prosecution instituted on the complaint of a private party and wrongly sanctioned by the Court.

As a general rule, an application for sanction should be first made to the particular officer or Court before or in relation to which the offence has been committed, and, unless there are exceptional circumstances, the superior Court will not interfere—*Raja of Venkatagiri, 6 Mad. 92, (S. C.) Weir, 392*.

The successor in office of a Judge or Magistrate before whom any of the offences specified in S. 195 is alleged to have been committed, is competent to give the requisite sanction. The sanction of the Court, not of a particular officer is necessary. The charge of incumbent leaves the Court the same.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Nov. 12, 1872; 7 Mad. xii. App.; (S. C.) Weir, 393.—Karim Buksh and others Punj. Rec. 1879, p. 81.*

The materiality of the particular statement alleged to be false is not the only or the chief point to be regarded in exercising the discretion to give or withhold sanction—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Sept. 10, 1881, Weir, 244.*

Before sanction to prosecute can be properly given, it is necessary that the proceedings on the original complaint should have terminated in a regular manner—*Bishoo Barik, 16 W. R., 77; Surbhanna Gaundan and others, 1 Mad. 30 (S. C.) Weir, 83. See also Gul Mahomed, Punj. Rec. 1882, p. 50, per Rattigan, J.; Bhawani Prashad, I. L. R., 4 All. 182; Abdul Hasan, I. L. R., All., 497; but see contra Mohan Lall, 1 Leg. Rem., 144. The Court should then consider as has been pointed out in the cases of the Queen v. Mahomed Hosein (16 W. R., 37) and Kasheemath Banerjee v. Kangalee Molla (Marshall, 407) whether there are good grounds for the application made to it, or whether it has been made solely for the purpose of oppressing and harassing an adversary and pre-*

venting him from taking any further legal steps to which he may be entitled—In the matter of Gyan Chunder Roy, 1. L. R., 7 Cal., 208; (S. C.) 8 Cal. L. R., 267.

The Calcutta High Court has in several cases condemned the practice of ordering the criminal prosecution of a complainant whose case has been dismissed under S. 203 on the report of the Police and without giving him an opportunity of establishing his complaint before the Magistrate. In nearly all these cases the complainant had challenged the correctness or impartiality of the Police investigation, and asked to have his witnesses summoned and examined by the Magistrate, but had been refused. The High Court has pointed out the unfairness of such a course to the complainant, and the injurious effect of placing such power in the hands of the Police. At the same time the High Court has held that if the complainant does not, after sufficient interval of time, appear and dispute the Police report, or ask to have his witnesses examined, a prosecution may be ordered or sanction to a private prosecution may be given—Gour Mohun Singh, 16 W. R., 44; Ashroff Ali, 1. L. R., 5 Cal., 281; *In re* Russick Lall Mullick, 7 Cal. L. R., 382; Choolhai Telce, 2 Cal. L. R., 315; Biyogi Bhagut, 4 Cal. L. R., 134; Gyan Chunder Roy, 8 Cal. L. R., 267 (S. C.) 1. L. R., 7 Cal. 208; Karimdad, 7 Cal. L. R., 467 (S. C.) 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 496; Salik Roy, 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 582; Abdul Hossein, 1. L. R., 1 All., 497; Chakradar Potti, 8 Cal. L. R., 282; Giridhari Mundul, 1. L. R., 8 Cal. 435.

If it is intended to charge a person with false evidence on two contradictory statements, the sanction of the Court before which each of those statements was made, should be obtained—Balaji Setaram, 11 Bomb., 34.

It is not intended that the sanction should be expressed with so little definitiveness as equally to provide for the prosecution of any person for any offence in any Court whatever. It must refer to the Court in which the false statement was made, and to the occasion on which it was given, in order that the trying Court may inform itself as to the investigation or trial on which it is really authorized to enter—*Ibid.* See also Baijoo Lall, 1. L. R. 1 Cal., 450; Kali Prosunno Bagechee, 22 W. R., 39.

The sanction must be given in express terms. It is not sufficient that the Magistrate who entertains the complaint is a superior Court and therefore competent to give sanction, and that by holding the inquiry or trial he has presumed given such sanction—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., March 3, 1881, Weir, 402. See also Gobind Chunder Ghose 10 W. R., 41.

On an application for sanction, the Magistrate recorded: "If the Petitioner thinks that there is sufficient evidence against A, I have no objection to give such sanction." This was held to be no sufficient sanction—Jadunath Hazra, 11 Cal. L. R., 53.

No appeal lies from an order according sanction, under S. 195, to prosecute for any of the offences specified, nor can such order be disturbed by a superior Court—Burkutoollah Khan, 1. L. R., 1 All., 17, Full Bench; Gopal Mojoomdar, 16 W. R., 69; nor can execution of such order be stayed—Ram Prosad Hajaree, 5 W. R., 24 *Mis. Cases*; even though the High Court would not have given the sanction itself—Miyagi Ahmed, 1. L. R., 3 Bomb., 150; but where the sanction to prosecute for intentionally giving false evidence by making two contradictory statements omitted to state the Courts before which, or the occasion on which those statements were made, the sanction was annulled as being no proper sanction—Balaji Sitaram, 11 Bomb., 34.

When the complaint alleged to be false was made only to the Police, and no further proceedings have been taken, no sanction is necessary—*Todur Mall*, Punj. Rec. 1882, p. 17. See also Giridhor Mundul, 1. L. R., 8 Cal. 435.

A witness is not a party to the proceedings within the terms of S. 195 (c) and therefore no sanction is necessary for prosecuting him for one of the offences specified therein—Eadara Viramia and others, 1. L. R., 3 Mad. 400, (S. C.) Weir, 399.

The plaintiff in a Civil suit filed copies of certain papers in the Collectorate, and on summons obtained the attendance of an officer with the original documents, but they were not produced or made evidence. The suit was dismissed. Application for sanction to prosecute the plaintiff for "using a false document" and for fabricating false evidence was refused. It was held that the law contemplated there being sufficient material before the particular Judge in the suit or criminal trial on which to found the charge, and that as the suit had been disposed of without any of the evidence on the record, giving rise *per se* to the slightest suspicion of an offence having been committed before the Court, it was not competent for the Judge, on an application for sanction, to go beyond the record so as to determine on an inquiry held, whether sanction ought to be given, when the record itself disclosed no foundation for the charge. The Court had no right to assume either that the documents, copies of which were filed, were forged or that the plaintiff knew that they were so: and without some evidence upon these points before the Court in the suit sanction to prosecute could not properly be granted.—Sangili Vira Pandia Chiniatambrar, 1. L. R., 6 Mad. 29. (The point seems never to have been taken but it appears doubtful on the report of this case whether sanction was necessary to this prosecution since the documents said to be forged and fabricated had never been given in evidence in the suit.)

Prosecution for offences against the State. Court shall take cognizance of any offence punishable under Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code, except section 127, or punishable under section 294A of the same Code, unless upon complaint made by order of, or under authority from, the Governor General in Council, the Local Government, or some officer empowered by the Governor-General in Council in this behalf.

Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code relates to offences against the State.

S. 127, Penal Code, relates to the receipt of property, knowing the same to have been taken in war against an Asiatic power in alliance or at peace with the Queen, or by depredation on the territories of such power.

S. 294A of the Indian Penal Code enacted by Act XXVII of 1870, relates to the keeping of a Lottery Office, or publishing proposals regarding Lotteries.

197 [S. 466; Act X, 1875, S. 132; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 39, 46.] When

Prosecution of Judges and public servants. any Judge, or any public servant not removable from his office without the sanction of the Government of India or the Local Government, is accused as such Judge or public servant of any offence, no Court shall take cognizance of such offence, except with the previous sanction of the Government having power to order his removal, or of some officer empowered in this behalf by such Government, or of some Court or other authority to which such Judge or public servant is subordinate, and whose power to give such sanction has not been limited by such Government.

Such Government may determine the person by whom, and the manner in which, the prosecution of such Judge or public servant is to be conducted, and may specify the Court before which the trial is to be held.

The power of the Government to specify the Court before which the Judge or public servant shall be tried is not subject to the exercise of any general power of the High Court to transfer a criminal case under S. 526 which expressly declares that nothing in that section shall be deemed to affect any order made under S. 197.

The terms "Judge" and "public servant" are thus defined in sections 19 and 21 of the Penal Code:—

19. The word "Judge" denotes not only every person who is officially designated as a Judge, but also every person who is empowered by law to give, in any legal proceeding civil or criminal, a definitive judgment, or a judgment which, if not appealed against, would be definitive, or a judgment which, if confirmed by some other authority, would be definitive, or who is one of a body of persons, which body of persons is empowered by law to give such a judgment.

Illustrations.

- (a.) A Collector exercising jurisdiction in a suit under Act X of 1859, is a Judge.
- (b.) A Magistrate exercising jurisdiction in respect of a charge on which he has power to sentence to fine or imprisonment, with or without appeal, is a Judge.
- (c.) A Member of a Panchayet which has power, under Regulation VII, 1816 of the Madras Code, to try and determine suits, is a Judge.
- (d.) A Magistrate exercising jurisdiction in respect of a charge on which he has power only to commit for trial to another Court, is not a Judge.

"Public servant"

21. The words "public servant" denote a person falling under any of the descriptions hereafter following (namely):—

- First.*—Every covenanted servant of the Queen;
- Second.*—Every commissioned officer in the Military or Naval Forces of the Queen while serving under the Government of India or any Government;
- Third.*—Every Judge;
- Fourth.*—Every officer of a Court of Justice whose duty it is, as such officer, to investigate or report on any matter of law or fact, or to make, authenticate, or keep any document, or to take charge or dispose of any property, or to execute any judicial process, or to administer any oath or to preserve order in the Court; and every person specially authorized by a Court of Justice to perform any of such duties;

Fifth.—Every Juryman Assessor, or Member of a Panchayat assisting a Court of Justice or public servant.

Sixth.—Every arbitrator or other person to whom any cause or matter has been referred for decision or report by any Court of Justice, or by any other competent public authority ;

Seventh.—Every person who holds any office by virtue of which he is empowered to place or keep any person in confinement ;

Eighth.—Every officer of Government whose duty it is, as such officer, to prevent offences, to give information of offence, to bring offenders to justice, or to protect the public health, safety, or convenience ;

Ninth.—Every officer whose duty it is, as such officer, to take, receive, keep, or expend any property on behalf of Government, or to make any survey, assessment, or contract on behalf of Government, or to execute any revenue process, or to investigate or to report on any matter affecting the pecuniary interests of Government, or to make, authenticate, or keep any document relating to the pecuniary interests of Government, or to prevent the infraction of any law for the protection of the pecuniary interests of Government ; and every officer in the service or pay of Government or remunerated by fees or commission for the performance of any public duty ;

Tenth.—Every officer whose duty it is, as such officer, to take, receive, keep, or expend any property, to make any survey or assessment or to levy any rate or tax for any secular common purpose of any village, town or district, or to make, authenticate, or keep any document for the ascertaining of the rights of any village, town or district.

Illustration.

A Municipal Commissioner is a public servant.

EXPLANATION 1.—Persons falling under any of the above descriptions are public servants, whether appointed by the Government or not.

EXPLANATION 2.—Wherever the words "public servant" occur, they shall be understood of every person who is in actual possession of the situation of a public servant, whatever legal defect there may be in his right to hold that situation.

S. 132 declares that no prosecution against any Magistrate, Military officer, Police officer, soldier or volunteer for any act purporting to be done under chapter IX, (Dispersion of unlawful assemblies) shall be instituted in any Criminal Court except with the sanction of the Governor General in Council.

Section 197 by implication vests in the Court or authority to whom the Judge or public servant not removable, &c., is subordinate, the power of sanctioning or directing such prosecution. It does not say that the Government must give the power, but that it shall exist unless limited or reserved. Every Court or authority therefore has it, unless there is a limitation. If there has not been sanction, it does not follow that the objection could have availed the prisoner after trial and decision. The objection is not one going to the root of the Court's jurisdiction, but something (like notice of action in certain civil cases) needed to justify a Court in going on, and preventing it from going on, if the objection is taken. There are objections which prevail *ipso jure*, others *ope exceptionis*. Moreover, there are many objections which can be of avail only if taken in due time. In the celebrated case, *Reg. v. Frost* (9 C. & P., 129—187), the distinction came out very prominently.—*B. Kristna Rao*, 7 Mad., 58. (S. C.) Weir, 389.

The Madras Government has restricted to the Board of Revenue the power to direct or sanction the entertainment of complaints of offences committed in their public capacity by subordinate Magistrates, Tehsildars, Deputy Tehsildars, and Talook Tehsildars (*Gaz.*, 1873, pp. 1437, 1544 ; 9 Mad. Jur., 31), but in regard to all other officers this power has been reserved to Government.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 1137 ; 9 Mad. Jur., 13.

A principal Sudder Ameen, exercising the powers of a Judge of a Small Cause Court, is not a subordinate of the District Judge as regards proceedings in the Small Cause Court, because it is necessary that he should be vested specially by Government with those powers ; but a Moonisiff, by virtue of his very appointment, is vested with such jurisdiction, and is ordinarily a subordinate of the District Judge, therefore he must, for the purposes of S. 197 be considered to be a subordinate of the District Judge.—*Narayanamsami Ayyar*, 7 Mad., 183 ; (S. C.) 8 Mad. Jur., 296. See also *ex parte Makalingaiyan*, 6 Mad., 191. Having regard to the terms of the Code of Civil Procedure of 1877, since passed, under which Judges of Small Cause Courts are subordinate to District Judges (See S. 2, "District," "District" Court) probably this ruling would be superseded (see also S. 195 last para. *ante*.) A Municipal Corporation is not a public servant within the meaning of S. 21, Penal Code, and therefore it may be prosecuted without the sanction of Government.—*Empress v. Municipal Corporation of Calcutta*, 1. L. R., 3 Cal., 758.

The sanction for the prosecution of a Kulkarni for making a false report as a public servant required by S. 197 may be given by the Mamlutdar or by the Patel to whom such Kulkarni is subordinate. The sanction of the Collector is not necessary for that purpose, though it may not be desirable that the prosecution of such hereditary officers as Kulkarni should be sanctioned by officers who themselves have such subordinate positions as those of Mamlutdar or Patel.—*Mathoor Ramchundur*, 7 Bomb., 64, *Crown Cases*.

The sanction of Government is necessary for the prosecution of any Judge, if complaint is made against him as Judge. The terms "not removable from his office without the sanction of Government" refer only to public servants.—6 Mad., xxii. App., Pro., March 29, 1870.

When the Government directed that a certain public servant should be prosecuted before the Magistrate of the District upon such charges as Mr. C. might be prepared to prefer, and the prosecution not conducted by Mr. C., nor did Mr. C. prefer any charge, the conviction was quashed as without jurisdiction.—Venayak Divakar, 5 Bomb., 32, *Crown Cases*.

The Calcutta High Court has held (Cir. 20, Oct. 4, 1864; Wilkins, 114,) that this section relates to the offences specified in Chapter IX of the Indian Penal Code, and to no other. Offences committed against the person or property of individuals by one who happens to be a public servant, are not necessarily committed by him as such public servant in the sense in which these words are used in the Penal Code; and unless committed in that character, must be regarded as the acts of individuals in their private capacity; charges, therefore, founded on such acts, do not need the sanction of Government, or other competent authority, before they can be entertained by a Criminal Court, but should be dealt with in the same way as charges against individuals ordinarily are.

The Bombay High Court, however, refused to follow this rule. The Court observed:—"It seems to us impossible to hold that S. 197 does not relate to offences such as those specified in Ss. 217—223 of the Indian Penal Code, which are not contained in Chapter IX of that Code. But we agree with the view, which was no doubt intended to be expressed in that Circular, viz., that S. 197 relates only to those acts and omissions which are declared in the Penal Code to be offences when they are committed by a public servant."—Paishram Keshav, 7 Bomb., 61, *Crown Cases*.

A Medical officer appointed and removable only by the Local Government who gives false evidence in a matter connected with his professional duties is not protected by section 197 and can be convicted by a Criminal Court without special sanction to the prosecution.—Tabu Singh, Punj. Rec. 1879, p. 16.

198 [S. 142, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 29.] No Court shall take

Prosecution for breach of contract, defamation and offences against marriage.

cognizance of an offence falling under Chapter XIX or Chapter XXI of the Indian Penal Code or under sections 493 to 496 (both inclusive) of the same Code, except upon a complaint made by some person

aggrieved by such offence.

Chapter XIX relates to criminal breaches of contract: Chapter XXI to defamation; S. 493 to deceitfully causing a woman to cohabit with a man in the belief that she is lawfully married to him; S. 494 to bigamy; S. 495 to bigamy with concealment of the former marriage; S. 496 to fraudulently going through a mock marriage.

Offences under Chapters XIX, XXI, Penal Code, may be compounded. See S. 345, *post*.

199 [Ss. 478, 479; Act IV, 1877, S. 45.] No Court shall take cognizance of an offence under section 497 or section 498

Prosecution for adultery or enticing a married woman.

of the Indian Penal Code, except upon a complaint made by the husband of the woman, or, in his absence, by some person who had care of such woman on his behalf at the time when such offence was committed.

S. 497 relates to adultery and S. 498 to enticing away a married woman. These offences may be compounded by the person entitled to complain.—S. 345.

Where, after the commitment of the woman on a charge of adultery, the husband died, the Madras High Court remarked that though it is no doubt desirable that on the death of the husband, the aggrieved party, the charge of adultery should be withdrawn, it cannot be said that the death necessarily puts an end to the prosecution.—4 Mad., lv, App., Pro. July 13, 1864, (S. C.) Weir, 407. As S. 345 of this Code expressly allows a charge of adultery to be compounded by the person entitled to complain, this opinion may be modified.

It is immaterial whether the woman is an adult or a minor.—Jud. Commr., Panjab, 3637, August 6, 1862. But a minor husband cannot be represented by another in a prosecution for adultery.—Mad. H. Ct., Feb. 7, 1871. Weir, 407. A charge of house trespass with intent to commit adultery may be inquired into without the husband's sanction.—Mad. H. Ct., June 1, 1868; Nov. 15, 1869. Weir, 207; but see *contra* Subz Ali, Punj. Rec. 1877, p. 3. Per Fitzpatrick and Lindsay, JJ., Plowden, J. *dis*.

The object of the law is to prevent Magistrates inquiring of their own motion into cases connected with marriage unless the husband or other person authorised moves them to do so; but apparently when the case is once properly before the Magistrate he may proceed against any one implicated.—Ujala Bewa, 1 Cal., L. R. 523.

CHAPTER XVI.

OF COMPLAINTS TO MAGISTRATES.

200 [Ss. 44, 144; Act IV, 1877, S. 30.] A Magistrate taking Examination of com- cognizance of an offence on complaint shall at once plainant. examine the complainant upon oath, and the substance of the examination shall be reduced to writing and shall be signed by the complainant, and also by the Magistrate :

Provided as follows—

(a) when the complaint is made in writing, nothing herein contained shall be deemed to require a Magistrate to examine the complainant before transferring the case under section 192 :

(b) where the Magistrate is a Presidency Magistrate, such examination may be on oath or not as the Magistrate in each case thinks fit, and need not be reduced to writing ; but the Magistrate may, if he thinks fit, before the matter of the complaint is brought before him, require it to be reduced to writing :

(c) when the case has been transferred under section 192 and the Magistrate so transferring it has already examined the complainant, the Magistrate to whom it is so transferred shall not be bound to re-examine the complainant.

Any Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate or any other Magistrate specially empowered by the Local Government or District Magistrate may take cognizance of any offence upon receiving a complaint of facts which constitute such offence.—S. 191.

In BOMBAY, all Magistrates of the first class have been empowered to act under S. 191, but if they are Honorary Magistrates, a special order in the case of each Magistrate is necessary.—*Gaz.*, 1872, p. 1325 ; *id.*, 1873, p. 16.

A complaint means the allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate with a view to his taking action under the Code, that some person, whether known or unknown, has committed an offence, but it does not include a report of a Police officer.—S. 4 (a). A complaint in writing regarding a cognizable offence made to a Magistrate need bear no stamp—Bomb. H. Ct., *Resn. in Chambers*, April 4, 1873.

A Magistrate to whom a complaint has been made is not competent to return it to the complainant with instructions to present it to the village-Magistrate having jurisdiction. He is bound himself to receive the complaint and dispose of it according to law.—7 Mad. xxxi App. (S. O.) Weir, 267.

A petition containing a complaint or charge of any non-cognizable offence presented to any Criminal Court must bear a stamp of eight annas—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees' Act) Sch. II, Art. 1, (b). (Complaints of a public servant (as defined in the Penal Code), a municipal officer, or an officer or servant of a Railway company are exempted from stamp duty—S. 19, Cl. xviii.) If a complaint of a non-cognizable offence or of wrongful confinement, or of wrongful restraint is not made by written petition, the complainant shall pay a fee of eight annas, when his examination is reduced to writing unless the Court thinks fit to remit such payment.—S. 18. If the person accused is convicted, the Criminal Court, in addition to the penalty imposed upon him, is bound to order him to repay to the complainant the fee paid on such application or petition or at the time of the complainant's examination, and also any fees for serving processes that may have been paid, and such sums are recoverable as if they were fines imposed by the Court—S. 31.

Complaints should be received at a fixed hour each day, and should be immediately numbered in the order of their receipt. They should then be entered in a book to be kept under the special control of the Magistrate himself.

Register of Criminal Complaints.

Serial No. for month.	Date.	Name of Complainant.	Thannah.	Offence charged, and under what section of what law.	Orders passed.	REMARKS.

[Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 5A, Sept. 7, 1868; Wilkins, 1.]

If any Magistrate not empowered on that behalf erroneously in good faith (that is, acting with due care and attention) takes cognizance of an offence on complaint, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529, (c).

Any District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate, or any Magistrate of the first class specially empowered by the District Magistrate who has taken cognizance of an offence may transfer it for inquiry or trial to any specified Magistrate in the District, (S. 192), and if any Magistrate, not being so empowered by law, erroneously in good faith transfers a case, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (f). A case may be transferred at any stage of the proceedings, but not without good and sufficient reason if evidence has been taken in it, and after its transfer to another Magistrate the parties may require the evidence to be taken *de novo*.

The examination of the complainant is not to be a mere form, but an intelligent inquiry into the subject matter of the complaint, carried far enough to enable the Magistrate to exercise his judgment, or to determine whether there is, or is not sufficient ground for proceeding—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, Feb. 25, 1873, Wilkins, 66: 7 Mad. xxxi App (S. C.) Weir, 6, 7.

The examination of the complainant is no mere formality. It is the result of the examination which ought to lead the Magistrate to determine whether he will put the machinery of the Criminal Courts in motion by the issue of a process to cause the accused person to appear before him. The preliminary examination of a complainant, if properly made, will frequently result in the summary dismissal of a complaint, and save an innocent person from the trouble and annoyance of appearing at the bar of a Criminal Court. In the interests of the public as well as with a view to the rapid despatch of work, the careful observance of the law in this particular is incumbent on Magistrates.—Smyth, p. 89.

The examination of a complainant should not be confined to generally asking him if the circumstances set forth in the complaint are true, and what evidence he has to prove it. *An intelligent inquiry into the subject-matter* of the complaint should be held; further proceedings would thus frequently become unnecessary, and there would be a consequent diminution of inquiries which end in discharge—Bom. H. Ct. Cir., p. 43.

S. 200 (c) enables a Magistrate to whom a case has been transferred after examination of the complainant to issue process on such examination, as he is not bound to re-examine the complainant.

201 [S. 145.]

Procedure by Magistrate not competent to take cognizance of the case.

to that effect.

If the complaint has been made in writing and the Magistrate is not competent to take cognizance of the case, he shall return the complaint for presentation to the proper tribunal with an endorsement

A Magistrate may not be competent to take cognizance of a case on complaint (a) because he may not be empowered to receive a complaint (S. 191); or (b) the case may be regarding an offence committed beyond his local jurisdiction; or (c) it may be regarding an offence which he is not competent to try under Sch. II, Col. 8. (d), or to inquire into from his not being empowered to commit. The fact that the accused is an European British subject would not debar a Magistrate from taking cognizance of an offence although he might not be competent (S. 443) to try or inquire into the case, provided that he could take cognizance of a like offence if committed by another

person. In such a case he is competent to issue process to compel the attendance of the European British subject before a Magistrate having jurisdiction to inquire into or try the case.—S. 445.

202 [S. 146.] If the Chief Presidency Magistrate, or any other Presidency Magistrate whom the Local Government may from time to time authorize in this behalf, or any Magistrate of the first or second class, sees reason to distrust the truth of a complaint of an offence of which he is authorized to take cognizance, he may, when the complainant has been examined, record his reasons for distrusting the truth of the complaint, and may then postpone the issue of process for compelling the attendance of the person complained against, and either inquire into the case himself or direct a previous local investigation to be made by any officer subordinate to such Magistrate, or by a Police-officer, or by such other person, not being a Magistrate or Police-officer, as he thinks fit, for the purpose of ascertaining the truth or falsehood of the complaint.

If such investigation is made by some person not being a Magistrate or a Police-officer, he shall exercise all the powers conferred by this Code on an officer in charge of a Police-station, except that he shall not have power to arrest without warrant.

This section applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

It will be seen that no Magistrate below the second class can order a local investigation under this chapter, the reason probably being that only non-cognizable cases or petty cases would be transferred for trial to a Magistrate of the third class which from their nature would not require investigation. It may, however, occasionally be necessary to order an investigation into a non-cognizable offence. S. 155 declares that no Police officer shall investigate such a case without the order of a Magistrate of the first or second class having power to try or commit such case for trial, or of a Presidency Magistrate. A Police officer not in charge of a Police station under S. 155 would have no power to arrest without a warrant, but if ordered by a Magistrate under S. 202, (that is, when the Magistrate has reason to distrust the truth of the complaint) he would apparently be competent to arrest without warrant. If a Magistrate not being empowered by law on that behalf erroneously in good faith under S. 155, orders the Police to investigate a non-cognizable offence his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (b).

The Calcutta High Court has cautioned Magistrates against the indiscriminate use of Police agency for the purpose of ascertaining matters regarding which a Magistrate is bound to form his own opinion upon evidence given in his presence. This caution is especially useful in respect of summons cases and non-cognizable cases.—Cir. No. 7, July 20, 1871. Wilkins, 97.

203 [S. 147, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 32.] The Magistrate before whom a complaint is made or to whom it has been transferred may dismiss the complaint if, after examining the complainant and considering the result of the investigation (if any) made under section 202, there is in his judgment no sufficient ground for proceeding.

In dismissing complaints under this section of the Code, Magistrates will generally act in accordance with the terms of S. 95 of the Penal Code which declares that—

“Nothing is an offence by reason that it causes, or that it is intended to cause, or that it is known to be likely to cause, any harm, if that harm is so slight that no person of ordinary sense and temper would complain of such harm.”

There is no appeal against an order dismissing a complaint under S. 203. The dismissal of a complaint under S. 203 is not an acquittal (S. 403, Explan.) but a complaint so dismissed cannot be reheard except on an order made under S. 437 which provides that the High Court or Court of Session may direct the District Magistrate by himself or by any of the Magistrates subordinate to him to make, and the District Magistrate may himself make, or direct any subordinate Magistrate to make further inquiry into any complaint which has been dismissed under section 203, or into the case of any accused person who has been discharged.

After complaint made and issue of warrants, the Magistrate of the District is not competent to withdraw the case to his own file, suspend the warrants and dismiss the complaint on the ground that, in his executive capacity, he had previously made some inquiry into the matter out of which the complaint arose, and that, on information so gained, he was of opinion that the complaint ought to be rejected. After issue of warrants, the case ought to go on in due course according to the procedure prescribed by the Code, unless something arises to show that the Magistrate who had issued the warrants had, from some cause or other, made a wrong exercise of his discretion. The Magistrate of the District ought to have proceeded with the case from the stage at which he found it, and by not doing so he was held to have committed error. His order was accordingly set aside.—*Rughoo Parirah*, 19 W. R., 28; (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 26 *App.*

A complaint cannot be dismissed, because it was distrusted, without the preliminary examination of the complainant—not even on the report of the Police to whom it may have been referred.—*Dullalee Bewa*, 3 B. L. R., 53. The correctness of this opinion seems doubtful under the present Code.

A Magistrate is competent to dismiss a complaint on perusal of the record and without taking further evidence, if he has first regularly withdrawn it from a Magistrate subordinate to him.—*Niamutoolla*, 14 W. R., 63. But he cannot, without dismissing the case regularly before him, commence a prosecution for false complaint after the Police have reported the case to be false. The original case must first be finally dismissed.—*Belilias*, 12 W. R., 53. *In re Gyan Chunder Roy*, 8 Cal. L. R., 267; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 7 Cal., 208.

A Magistrate is bound to examine, and reduce to writing the examination of the complainant before dismissing a complaint. He should not return the complaint which forms part of the records of his office except under S. 201.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Pro. June 10, 1869. *Weir*, 266. But if the complaint made is, on the face of it, of no offence, the Magistrate may refuse to entertain it—*Id.*, Pro. July 24, 1865, *Weir*, 268. See also *Sheikh Erad Ali*, 4 Cal. L. R., 534 where it was held that a complaint had been improperly dismissed on a Police report, the Magistrate having refused to examine the complainant who appeared before him and applied to have the witnesses examined to establish his complaint. A complaint should not be dismissed merely because it has been made to a Magistrate having jurisdiction rather than to the head of a village—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Pro. Dec 18, 1878. *Weir*, 267. After a complaint has once been dismissed, no other Magistrate can entertain it without an order made under S. 437—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Pro. March 28, 1878. *Weir*, 269.

How far after a case has been dismissed on a Police report under S. 203 a Magistrate can order the prosecution of the complainant on a charge under S. 211, Penal Code, has formed the subject of many judgments of the High Courts. See note to S. 195.

CHAPTER XVII.

OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE MAGISTRATES.

204 [Ss. 147, 148, 149; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 27, 33—35.] If in the

opinion of a Magistrate taking cognizance of an offence there is sufficient ground for proceeding and the case appears to be one in which according to the fourth column of the second schedule a summons should issue in the first instance, he shall issue his summons for the attendance of the accused. If the case appears to be one in which according to that column a warrant should issue in the first instance, he may issue a warrant, or, if he thinks fit, a summons, for causing the accused to be brought or to appear at a certain time before such Magistrate or some other Magistrate having jurisdiction.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect the provisions of section 90.

In *BENGAL* and in *ASSAM*, a fee of eight annas is charged for a summons in respect of one person, or of the first two persons residing in the same place, and four annas for every additional person named therein—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. *Wilkins*, 81. In *MADRAS*, in non-cognizable cases, the same fee has been fixed for a summons as in *Bengal*—*Mad. Gaz.*, Aug. 5, 1873.

The process is one whether one or more person be named therein, and whether such persons reside in one place or not, but an additional fee of four annas is chargeable in respect of every addi-

tional person not being the second person of more than two residing in the same village named in the summons; thus, if the summons include one person residing in village A, and a second person residing in village B, the additional fee is chargeable in respect of such second person.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 81. But, in MADRAS, only half these rates are chargeable if the process is to be served within a radius of six miles from the Court-house, the villages within such radius to be determined by the Judge of each Court, and to be notified in a conspicuous place in the Court-house. Power is given to a Magistrate to excuse indigent persons who may be unable to pay the prescribed fees—*Mad. Gaz.*, Sept. 10, 1873.

In certain districts in BENGAL and ASSAM, in every case in which a process has to be executed at a distance of more than 25 miles from the Court from which it is issued, an additional fee of one-fourth is chargeable; and if more than 50 miles, the fee is increased by one-half—*Bengal Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 83.

BENGAL.	
Rajshahye.	Beerbhoom.
Bogra.	Chittagong.
Dinapore.	Noakhally.
Malda.	Singbhoom.
Rungpore.	Lohardugga.
Bancoora.	Maunbhoom.
Hazareebagh.	
ASSAM.	
Nowgong.	Cachar.
Sylhet.	

In BOMBAY, the fee chargeable on a summons in a case under Chapters XIX, XX, XXI of the Penal Code is *four annas*, and *one anna* in every other non-cognizable case, and it is only in this latter case that the Magistrate may remit the fee on being satisfied that the complainant has not the means of paying it—*Gaz.*, 1874, p. 580.

In BRITISH BURMAH, in non-cognizable cases, a fee of *eight annas* is chargeable on a summons on a witness, and *one rupee* on a summons on an accused person; no further charge is to be made for boat-hire; a Magistrate who has power to entertain cases on complaint preferred directly to himself, can, on special grounds, to be recorded, remit the fee on any process issuing from his Court. No fee is chargeable on any process issued by a Criminal Court of its own motion—*Gaz.*, 1873, Part II, p. 197.

BENGAL.	
Jessore.	Backergunge.
Pubna.	Mymensing.
Dacca.	Tipperah.
Furzedpore.	Noakhally.
ASSAM.	
Sylhet.	Nowgong.
Kamroop.	Lukhimpore.

Police—*Bengal Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 84.

For warrants of arrest the following fees are charged: in BENGAL and ASSAM, one rupee in respect of each person named therein.—*Bengal Gaz.*, 1879, p. 304; *Assam Gaz.*, 1879, p. 596; Wilkins, 81; in MADRAS, twelve annas (*Gaz.*, Aug. 5, 1873).

But only half rates are chargeable in Madras if the process is to be executed within a radius of six miles from the Court-house; and it has further been ordered that, if the warrant remains unexecuted for fifteen days after its delivery to the officer entrusted with its execution, an additional fee of the same rate shall be levied for every fifteen days or portion of fifteen days until return is made, provided that such delay is not attributable to the officer of the Court. Magistrates may forego the collection of fees for the service of process in non-cognizable cases, where the parties are unable to pay them.—*Mad. Govt. Pro.*, Sept. 10, 1873, 9 *Mad. Jur.*, 30.

In BRITISH BURMAH, *two rupees* is the fee chargeable on a warrant of arrest in non-cognizable cases. *Gaz.*, 1873, p. 197.

205 [S. 151; Act IV, 1877, S. 37.] Whenever a Magistrate issues

Magistrate may dispense with personal attendance of accused.

a summons, he may, if he sees reason so to do, dispense with the personal attendance of the accused, and permit him to appear by his pleader.

But the Magistrate inquiring into or trying the case may, in his discretion, at any stage of the proceedings, direct the personal attendance of the accused, and, if necessary, enforce such attendance in manner hereinbefore provided.

It is not only in summons cases that a Magistrate may dispense with the personal attendance of the accused, and permit him to appear by his pleader, but whenever he issues a summons, which it will be seen from S. 204 he can, in the exercise of his discretion, do in warrant cases.

This also appears from the latter part of S. 205 which enables a Magistrate to direct the personal attendance of the accused in an inquiry or trial, for it rarely happens that in an inquiry a summons will ordinarily issue.

"Pleader" used with reference to any proceeding in any Court, means a pleader authorized under any law for the time being in force to practice in such Court and includes (1) an advocate, a pleader and an attorney of a High Court so authorized and (2) any mookhtar or other person appointed with permission of the Court to act in such proceeding—S. 4 (n).

If the personal attendance of the accused be dispensed with, he should be represented by an agent, who should be provided with a mookhtarnamah bearing a stamp of eight annas.

If the personal attendance of an accused is dispensed with, a recognizance bond, if deemed necessary, should be taken from him and not from his agent, the accused being bound, under the terms of the recognizance, to appear, either in person or by agent, and if the agent has neglected to appear when the case is called on, the recognizance bond ought to be held forfeited, and the accused made liable for the payment of the penalty—Lallubhai Jasubhai, 5 Bomb., 64.

CHAPTER XVIII.

OF INQUIRY INTO CASES TRIABLE BY THE COURT OF SESSION OR HIGH COURT.

206 [S. 143.] Any Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Sub-divisional Magistrate, Magistrate of the first class or any Magistrate empowered in this behalf by the Local Government may commit any person for trial to the Court of Session or High Court for any offence triable by such Court.

But save as herein otherwise provided, no person triable by the Court of Session shall be committed for trial to the High Court.

Except a Presidency Magistrate, no Magistrate can commit direct to the High Court, unless the person charged is an European British subject, or charged jointly with an European British subject, and the offence charged is one punishable with death or transportation for life.—S. 447. Only a Magistrate of the first class who is also a Justice of the Peace and an European British subject can commit such a case. Commitments should be made ordinarily to the Court of Session.—S. 447.

In BENGAL, all Magistrates of the second class have been empowered to commit to the Court of Session (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 67); so also in the PUNJAB (*Gaz.*, 1878, p. 75). In MADRAS, this power has been conferred on all Magistrates (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 717).

207 [S. 189; Act IV, 1877, S. 81.] The following procedure shall be adopted in inquiries before Magistrates where the case is triable exclusively by a Court of Session or High Court, or, in the opinion of the Magistrate, ought to be tried by such Court.

208 [Ss. 190; 357, 362, Act IV, 1877 Ss. 82, 83.] The Magistrate shall, when the accused appears or is brought before him, proceed to hear the complainant (if any), and take in manner hereinafter provided all such evidence as may be produced in support of the prosecution or in behalf of the accused, or as may be called for by the Magistrate.

If the complainant or officer conducting the prosecution, or the accused, applies to the Magistrate to issue process to compel the attendance of any witness or the production of any document or other thing, the Magistrate shall issue such process unless, for reasons to be recorded, he deems it unnecessary to do so.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to require a Presidency Magistrate to record his reasons.

The fees for a process are stated in the note to S. 204. The first application for the summons of a witness or other person to attend either to give evidence or to produce a document is exempt from stamp duty.—Court Fees' Act (VII, 1870); S. 19, cl. xiv; otherwise it should bear a stamp of eight annas. *Id.* Sch. II, Art. 1 (b).

The following orders have been issued by the Calcutta High Court regarding the examination of witnesses before Magistrates:—

(a) The evidence of witnesses should invariably be recorded as soon as possible after their attendance. If from unavoidable causes an adjournment is indispensable, there should be no unnecessary delay. Witnesses remaining over from one day should, as a rule, be examined at the first sitting of the Court on the following day. By this means the public will be put to no inconvenience, and justice will be administered in a prompt and satisfactory manner.

(b) Chief Magistrates of districts should carefully supervise the returns of their subordinate, as they will be held responsible for the correction of irregularities—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 12, Nov. 27, 1865. Wilkins, 76.

(c) Every witness shall be examined *vide voce* in open Court.

(d) A Magistrate or Judge shall not be engaged in any other business whilst the examination of a witness is going on, or whilst any documentary evidence is being read.

(e) If, after examination of a witness has commenced, the Magistrate or Judge is compelled to attend to any other business, the examination of the witness shall be suspended so long as such other business is being attended to.

(f) The examination of a witness shall not be interrupted for the purpose of enabling the Magistrate or Judge to attend to other business unless such business is of an urgent nature.

(g) It shall be the duty of every Appellate Court subordinate to the High Court to examine the Memorandum of the evidence made by the Subordinate Court, and to report to the High Court cases in which it shall appear that the above Rules have not been strictly and properly attended to.

(h) The evidence of every witness shall invariably be recorded in the presence of the officer who may decide the case, except in cases otherwise specially provided for it under sections 349, 350 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in which the recalling and re-examination of the witnesses is optional.

(i) After the examination of witnesses has commenced, the trial or inquiry should be proceeded with until all the witnesses in attendance have been examined, those for the prosecution being first examined; and if any witness be detained for a longer period than two days, the Magistrate should record a Memorandum, stating the reasons of such detention.

(j) When it is deemed necessary to adjourn the hearing of a case, the adjournment shall be for as short a time as possible, and no person accused of any offence shall be remanded for any period exceeding fifteen days (section 344 Code of Criminal Procedure).

(k) Every Magistrate shall sit daily and punctually at the hour appointed for the opening of his Court, unless prevented by circumstances which are to be recorded in the proceedings of the Court—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 6, May 16, 1864. Wilkins, 77.

All Magisterial officers shall, in the examination of prosecutors, witnesses, and prisoners, record in each deposition, statement, or defence the following particulars, which are indispensably necessary for the future identification of the parties examined, *viz.*, the name of the person examined, the name of his or her father, and if a married woman, the name of her husband, the religion, caste, profession, and age of the party or witness, and the village and pergunnah in which he or she resides—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 19, Sept. 17, 1864; Wilkins, 78. Bomb. H. Ct. Dec. 27, 1872; *Bomb. Gaz.* 1873, p. 20.

When an accused is brought before a Magistrate, that officer has no authority further to detain him in custody or to remand him to prison, without some reason made manifest to him, either in the shape of sworn testimony given before him or in some other form which can be put on the record, and which is sufficient to justify him in sending the accused to prison.—*Abdool Kadir*. 11 B. L. R., 8, *App.* Approved by Mad. H. Ct. Manikram, I. L. R., 6 Mad. 63. See note to S. 344 *post*.

Any Magistrate inquiring into or trying any case may permit any person other than an officer of Police below the rank of Police Inspector to conduct the prosecution; but no person other than the Advocate General, Standing Counsel, Government Solicitor, Public Prosecutor or other officer generally or specially empowered by the Local Government in this behalf, shall be entitled to do so without such permission. Any person conducting the prosecution may do so personally or by a pleader.—S. 495.

Every person accused before any criminal Court may of right be defended by a pleader.—S. 340.

The evidence would be recorded in the manner provided by Ss. 346, 357, 359, 360. Special provision in this respect is made by S. 362 for evidence taken in the Court of a Presidency Magistrate.

If from the absence of a witness or any other reasonable cause it becomes necessary or advisable

to postpone the commencement of, or adjourn any inquiry or trial, the Court may, by order in writing, stating the reasons therefor, from time to time postpone or adjourn the same on such terms as it thinks fit, for such time as it considers reasonable, and by a warrant remand the accused, if in custody. Provided that no Magistrate shall remand an accused person to custody under this section for a term exceeding fifteen days at a time. Every order made under this section by a Court other than a High Court shall be in writing signed by the presiding Judge.—S. 344.

In a serious case a Magistrate is not only justified but bound to adjourn the inquiry or trial if further evidence, *i. e.*, the evidence of the man robbed, is necessary. It is obvious that if the administration of justice in serious cases depended on the party injured choosing to appear, the procedure of the Court would encourage acts of concealment of crimes which are themselves criminal offences.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Sept. 12, 1864. Weir, 177.*

209 [S. 195; Act IV, 1877, S. 87.] When the evidence referred to

When accused person in section 208, paragraphs 1 and 2, has been taken, to be discharged. and he has examined the accused for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him, such Magistrate shall, if he finds that there are not sufficient grounds for committing the accused person for trial, discharge him, unless it appears to the Magistrate that such person should be tried before himself or some other Magistrate, in which case he shall proceed accordingly.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prevent a Magistrate from discharging the accused at any previous stage of the case if, for reasons to be recorded by such Magistrate, he considers the charge to be groundless.

It should be noted that the purpose for which an accused is to be examined is limited, *viz.* to enable him to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him. S. 364 prescribes the manner in which the examination of an accused person shall be recorded.

The following observations of the Calcutta High Court (*per* Kemp and E. Jackson, JJ.) in the case of *Krishto Dhoba*, (14 W. R., 16) deserve especial attention in connection with S. 209, and are altogether in accordance with the Circular of the same Court, which follows:—

"I have for some time felt from examination of criminal trials, that many Magistrates are too hasty in making commitments, or rather that they do not make the thorough inquiry which, I think, they ought to make previous to commitment. In a case of murder more especially, there can be no doubt that it is the duty of the Magistrate to sift every fact bearing on the case, in order to ascertain whether the accused is guilty or innocent and to examine the accused on the facts which bear against him. One of the points of the evidence in this case which led to presumption of the accused's guilt was, that he had been absent about the time the murder was committed. His statement as to where he was at that time should have been recorded, and should also have been thoroughly inquired into. It is not sufficient to say that the accused might bring witnesses to prove his innocence at the trial. It is possible the accused may not know the names of the witnesses; and if the witnesses can give evidence in his favour to exculpate him, he should not be committed. A long time elapses before a trial at the Sessions comes on, and witnesses cannot then give as clear evidence, more especially as to time and duty, as when the facts have only lately occurred. I think every inquiry should have been made previous to commitment, to ascertain not only whether there was presumption of the guilt of the accused, but also whether he was innocent. It is the duty of the Police and the Magistrate not only to bring the parties suspected of being guilty to trial, but also to ascertain whether the suspected can clear themselves from the crime of which they are accused. There is a clause in the Procedure Code which empowers Magistrates to commit without inquiry into the defence of the accused. I believe the discretion given by this clause is much abused. It may be applied in certain cases, but in serious charges of murder, when the life of the accused is at stake, I think this clause should not be acted upon, because no certainty of the accused's guilt can arise until his defence is negatived, and proof that his defence is false is frequently very strong evidence in favour of the prosecution. If the result of the inquiry into the defence leaves the matter in doubt, it is the duty of the Magistrate to commit and leave the Sessions Court to decide which is the true story."

The following are the terms of a Circular, 13, July 28, 1864, issued by the Calcutta High Court to the Magistrates subordinate to it:

"Although the Code of Criminal Procedure does not make it imperative on a Magistrate to examine an accused person at any stage of the inquiry before committing him to stand his trial at the Court of Session, the Court think it necessary to impress upon all Magistrates the expediency of the general adoption of this course at some stage or other of the inquiry. In those few and exceptional cases in which the guilt of an accused may be beyond reasonable doubt, the practice in force may be permitted without risk; but inasmuch as by S. 209 it is discretionary with a Magistrate to

discharge or to commit an accused person, according as he finds that the evidence is, in his opinion, sufficient for his conviction by the Court of Session or otherwise, it is obvious that the truth of any ordinary case will be best elicited, and obscure points will be cleared away, by any explanation that an accused may wish to give, when, after hearing all the evidence against him, or at any other time in the discretion of the Magistrate, he may be subjected to an examination before the Magistrate on points requiring elucidation, it being clearly explained to the accused that it is at his option to answer such questions or not. The Court, however, desire to explain that, in issuing these directions, they in no way sanction any proceedings of an inquisitorial nature."

The Calcutta High Court (Cir. 3, May 24, 1880; Wilkins, 65) has ordered that the examination of an accused shall contain his or her name, that of his or her father, and if a married woman, that of her husband, the religion, caste, profession, and age of the accused person and the village or *pergunnah* in which he or she resides. Also Bomb. H. Ct., *Gaz.*, 1873, p. 20.

The examination of the accused should not be recorded until a complaint has been made. A criminal trial cannot properly be commenced by such examination (Cal. H. Ct., 627, 1863), but an admission of crime, fairly made, and after due warning, is not inadmissible, because at the time it was made no formal accusation had been made against the party making it.—Ramchurn Chamar and others, 4 W. R., 10.

When an accused person wishes to make a statement the Magistrate is bound to record it—*In re Abdool Guffoor*, 10 Cal. L. R., 51.

When death appears to have resulted from injuries voluntarily inflicted by the party accused, a Magistrate ought to be very careful and not take it upon himself to absolve the accused from the graver charge of culpable homicide or murder and to convict of hurt or grievous hurt only, unless it is quite clear that there is not sufficient evidence to warrant a commitment to the Sessions Court on such charge—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 9, Sept. 6, 1869. Wilkins, 103

An order of discharge may be passed at any stage of the case if, for reasons to be recorded by him, the Magistrate considers the charge to be groundless, otherwise the Magistrate must examine "all such evidence as may be produced by the prosecution." S. 208.

An order of discharge does not operate as an acquittal. S. 403.

If in the opinion of the Court of Session or District Magistrate, the case is triable exclusively by the Court of Session and the accused has been improperly discharged by an inferior Court, the Court of Session or the District Magistrate may order him to be arrested and after notice to the accused either order a fresh inquiry to be held or the accused to be committed for trial of the offence of which he has been improperly discharged.—S. 436

But in a warrant case, which may be a case regarding an offence triable exclusively by a Magistrate, or by a Magistrate and a Court of Session, in which any accused person has been discharged, the High Court, Court of Session or District Magistrate may order further inquiry to be made.—S. 437. This is a new provision of the law in the consequence of several judgments of the High Court holding that after an order of discharge in a warrant case, the trial could not be reopened except by order of the High Court as a Court of Revision. See *Mohesh Mistree*, I. L. R., 1 Cal., 282; *Mary Donelly*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 405; *Gowdapa bin Venkugowda*, I. L. R., 2 Bomb., 534 &c., &c. The law as now enacted in S. 437 places no restriction on the exercise of the power to order fresh inquiry in a case in which the accused has been discharged, and that inquiry can be held by whomsoever the Court directing it may order it to be held.

210 [S. 195, Expl. III; S. 196; S. 198, para. 1; S. 199: Act X, 1875,

When charge is to be framed. S. 13; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 88-90.] When, upon such

evidence being taken and such examination (if any) being made, the Magistrate finds that there are sufficient grounds for committing the accused for trial, he shall frame a charge under his hand, declaring with what offence the accused is charged.

As soon as the charge has been framed, it shall be read and explained to the accused and a copy thereof shall, if he so requires, be given to him free of cost.

Charge to be explained, and copy furnished, to accused.

Sch. V, No. 28 contains various forms of charges. Under S. 36 of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870) the Governor-General of India in Council has remitted the fees payable on a copy of a charge furnished under S. 210.—*India Gaz.* 1873, p. 520.

S. 360 provides for the case of a Magistrate vacating his office before concluding an inquiry commenced by him and enables his successor to continue the proceedings, or under certain circumstances requires him to recommence the inquiry and rehear the evidence.

211 [S. 200, paras. 1, 3; Act IV, 1877, S. 91.] The accused shall be

List of witnesses for required at once to give in, orally or in writing, a list of the persons (if any) whom he wishes to be summoned to give evidence on his trial.

The Magistrate may in his discretion allow the accused to give in any further list of witnesses at a subsequent time; and, where the accused is committed for trial before the High Court, nothing in this section shall be deemed to preclude the accused from giving, at any time before his trial to the Clerk of the Crown a further list of the persons whom he wishes to be summoned to give evidence on such trial.

S. 216 lays down the course to be taken by the Magistrate in summoning fresh witnesses.

212 [S. 200, para. 2; Act IV. 1877, S. 91.] The Magistrate may in his discretion summon and examine any witness named in any list given in to him under section 211.

213 [S. 198, para. 1; S. 200, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 89, 91.] When the accused on being required to give in a list under section 211 has declined to do so, or when he has given in such list and the witnesses (if any) included therein whom the Magistrate desires to examine have been summoned and examined under section 212, the Magistrate may make an order committing the accused for trial by the High Court or the Court of Session (as the case may be), and (unless the Magistrate is a Presidency Magistrate) shall also record briefly the reasons for such commitment.

Commitment to the High Court direct would only be made in the case of an European British subject charged with an offence punishable with death or transportation for life (S. 447) except in Presidency Towns where all commitments are made to the High Court. If, however, one who is not an European British subject is accused jointly with one who is and the latter is committed for trial before a High Court they may be both tried together.—S. 452.

Certain instructions regarding the course to be taken in commitments made to the High Court direct by Provincial Magistrates are to be found in Wilkins, pp. 93, 94.

A Magistrate should not commit the accused person for trial but should discharge him, if the act or omission charged does not amount to an offence on account of the existence of any of the general exceptions contained in Chapter IV of the Penal Code (Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 1, Feb. 12, 1867); but this rule does not apply to cases in which it may appear that the accused person has committed an act amounting to an offence triable exclusively by the Court of Session, at a time when by reason of unsoundness of mind he was incapable of knowing the nature of that act, or that he was doing what was wrong or contrary to law. Such cases should be committed for trial if the accused to be sane at the time of inquiry.—S. 469.

The reasons for commitment should set forth with exactness the proof against each particular prisoner and the manner in which the offence has been substantiated.—Kodai Kahar, 5 W. R., 6.

The duty of a committing Magistrate is to ascertain whether by the evidence of the prosecution a *prima facie* case has been made out against the accused. Magistrates are apt to suppose that it is incumbent on them to satisfy themselves fully of the guilt of the accused before making a commitment. The idea is erroneous. Where there is sufficient ground for putting an accused person on his trial, the Magistrate should make a commitment.—Moha Singh, 3 All., 27.

A Magistrate should, on no account, commit a person for trial by a Court of Session, unless there be good ground on the evidence for expecting a conviction (3 W. R., 10, C. L.); but if he is in doubt, where the evidence for the defence is nearly as strong as that for the prosecution, he should commit. If the evidence for the prosecution is manifestly false, of course there should be no commitment.—8 W. R., 12, C. L.

When an offence falls under two sections of the Penal Code, the one general and cognizable by a Magistrate, the other specifying aggravated circumstances and cognizable by the Sessions Court only, the jurisdiction of the Magistrate is not necessarily ousted. The Magistrate must determine whether he will dispose of the case under the general section, or commit the accused to the Court with a discreet regard to the gravity of the circumstances of the particular case.—Mad. H. Ct. July 19, 1871; March 18, 1868; Nov. 26, 1867; Nov. 4, 1865.

When death appears to have resulted from injuries voluntarily inflicted by the party accused, a Magistrate ought to be very careful and not take it upon himself to absolve the accused from the graver charge of culpable homicide or murder and to convict of hurt or grievous hurt only, unless it is quite clear that there is not sufficient evidence to warrant a commitment to the Sessions Court on such charge.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 9, Sept. 6, 1869.

When the offence charged is triable by a Magistrate as well as by a Court of Session, the Magistrate should exercise his own discretion in deciding whether the case should be committed, or whether the justice of the case will be fully satisfied by a sentence which he himself is authorized to pass. The amount of property stolen is one very proper point for consideration in determining this question.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., July 23, 1866. Weir, 228.

If two or more persons are jointly indicted, and the jurisdiction of the Magistrate is ousted in the case of one, the Magistrate should hold a preliminary inquiry and commit both or all for trial before the Court of Session.—Mad. H. Ct., March 18, 1868.

When several persons are charged with offences of various degrees, arising out of the same act or transaction, all implicated therein, against whom sufficient evidence is forthcoming, should be committed to the Court of Session, if any of the accused is charged with an offence beyond the cognizance of a Magistrate, or one which, in the opinion of the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the case, ought to be tried by the Court of Session.—Agra Sud. Ct. Cir. 14, 1862; Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 16, May 27, 1862; Cir. 13, Aug. 29, 1870. Wilkins, 100. The term transaction here used must not be understood to extend to a riot in which different parties are concerned not having the same "common object." Thus, when a riot is charged, and the Magistrate is about to commit the contending parties for trial, not only should separate charges be drawn up against each party, but separate trials should be held, since the offences of each party are distinct and separate.—Durzoolla Khan, 9 W. R., 83; Hosein Buksh Sheikh and others, 6 Cal. L. R., 521. Similarly, a separate trial should be held on each charge of "giving false evidence," although the statements forming the basis of the charge may relate to the same subject-matter. Two persons cannot be joined in one indictment of this offence.—10 W. R., 2 C. L.; Mad. H. Ct., March 15, 1867; 3 Mad. xxxii *app.* (S. C.), Weir, 378. Chand Khan, 2 Leg. Rem., 183.

When more persons than one are accused of the same offence, or of different offences committed in the same transaction, or when one person is accused of committing any offence, and another of abetment of, or attempt to commit, such offence, they may be tried together or separately, as the Court thinks fit, and the provisions contained in Ss. 221—238 shall apply to all such charges. S. 239. The illustrations to that section show the meaning to be put on the expression "same transaction."

A Magistrate having committed a person who appeared before him by agent [S. 205], the Calcutta High Court (Huronath Rai, 2 W. R., 50) held that the commitment was not necessarily illegal; but as the agent had not been required to give in a list of the witnesses whom he wished to have summoned for his principal, the Court directed the Magistrate to make the demand.

A Magistrate who is about to go on leave, exercises an improper discretion in committing to the Court of Session, a case properly triable by the Magistrate, merely on the ground that the witnesses for the defence are not in attendance, and that it would be inconvenient for his successor to commence the trial anew, but in doing so he does not commit an illegality such as would justify the High Court in quashing the commitment.—Bomb. H. Ct. *Resn. in Chambers*, 25th July, 1876.

214 [S. 197.] If any person (not being an European British subject)

Person charged outside
Presidency-towns jointly
with European British
subject.

is accused before a Magistrate other than a Presidency Magistrate of having committed an offence conjointly with an European British subject who is about to be committed for trial, or to be tried, before the High Court on a similar charge arising out of the same transaction, and the Magistrate finds that there are sufficient grounds for committing the accused for trial, he shall commit him for trial before the High Court, and not before the Court of Session.

An European British subject can be committed to the High Court *direct* by a Magistrate other than a Presidency Magistrate only when the offence charged is punishable with death or transportation for life (S. 447), but the trial of an European British subject arising out of a commitment to a Court of Session may be held by a High Court either by express order of that Court, or if it be referred by the Sessions Judge because in his opinion he cannot pass an adequate sentence.—S. 449.

Whether one or separate trials would be held would entirely depend on the action taken by the accused, when before the High Court.—S. 452.

215 [S. 197, last para. and Expl.] A commitment once made under

Quashing commitments
under section 213 or 214.
a point of law.

section 213 or section 214 by a competent Magistrate can be quashed by the High Court only, and only on

words are not used in an exclusive sense so as to deprive a High Court of its powers of revision to cancel a commitment made other than by a competent Magistrate.—*Luchman Singh*, I. L. R., 2 All. 308; per *Stuart, C.J.* (*Spankie, J. diss.*)

But if a commitment has been made by a Magistrate or other authority without jurisdiction in that respect, and the Sessions Court considers that the accused has been prejudiced, or if an objection to the proceedings of the committing officer as being without jurisdiction has been made before the order of commitment, the Sessions Court can quash the commitment and direct a fresh inquiry by a competent Magistrate.—S. 532.

If, in the opinion of a Sessions Judge, a commitment made to his Court is illegal, he should refer the case for the orders of the High Court (Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 7, June 20, 1864); but the insufficiency of legal evidence against the prisoner is no ground for such reference—*Gokul Bandari*, 1 W. R., 8.

A Magistrate discharged the accused after hearing four witnesses for the prosecution. But on learning that there was another witness present, he cancelled that order, took further evidence, and committed to the Court of Session. The High Court refused to quash the commitment holding that the accused had not been prejudiced this being the crucial test of a commitment made without jurisdiction.—*Mad. H. Ct. Nov. 23, 1874, Weir* 281.

216 [Ss. 358, 359; Act IV, 1877, S. 92.] When the accused has

Summons to witnesses given in any list of witnesses under section 211 and for defence when accused has been committed for trial, the Magistrate shall

summon such of the witnesses included in the list as have not appeared before himself, to appear before the Court to which the accused has been committed:

Provided that where the accused has been committed to the High Court, the Magistrate may in his discretion leave such witnesses to be summoned by the Clerk of the Crown, and such witnesses may be summoned accordingly:

Refusal to summon unnecessary witness unless deposit made. Provided also that if the Magistrate thinks that any witness is included in the list for the purpose of vexation or delay, or of defeating the ends of justice, the Magistrate may require the accused to satisfy him that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the evidence of such witness is material, and, if he is not so satisfied, may refuse to summon the witness (recording his reasons for such refusal), or may, before summoning him, require such sum to be deposited as such Magistrate thinks necessary to defray the expense of obtaining the attendance of the witness.

The accused person, on commitment to the Court of Session, gave in his list of witnesses. Among these two did not appear, the summons not being served on one, and no summons having been issued on the other. On the trial, the pleader for the defence asked for an adjournment on account of the absence of these witnesses, but was refused. The High Court, on appeal, held that accused was entitled, as a matter of right, to have these two witnesses examined, and accordingly, under S. 428 directed their evidence to be taken.—*Prösunno Coomar Moitro*, 23 W. R., 56.

S. 216 does not permit a Magistrate to inquire generally into what the defence of the accused person is to be, and to consider whether, on hearing the nature of the defence, he is absolutely to abstain from summoning the whole of the witnesses of the accused. It is intended rather to provide, that when among the persons named by the accused as witnesses for the defence, the Magistrate considers that any particular witness is included for the purposes of vexation or delay, he is to exercise his judgment and inquire whether such a witness is material.—*Raj Coomar Singh and others*, 2 Cal. L. R., 62. (S. C.) I. L. R., 3 Cal., 573.

There is no authority for forfeiting any such deposit to Government. It should be paid to the depositor or to some one authorized by him to receive it—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Dec. 13, 1870, 6 Mad. ix, app.* (S. C.) *Weir* 860.

217 [Ss. 360; Act IV, 1877, S. 93.] Complainants and witnesses

Bond of complainants and witnesses. for the prosecution and defence, whose attendance before the Court of Session or High Court is necessary, and who appear before the Magistrate, shall execute before him bonds binding themselves to be in attendance when called upon at the Court of Session or High Court, to prosecute or to give evidence, as the case may be.

If any complainant or witness refuses to attend before the Court of Session or High Court, or to execute the bond above directed, the Magistrate may detain him in custody until he executes such bond, or until his attendance at the Court of Session or High Court is required, when the Magistrate shall send him in custody to the Court of Session or High Court, as the case may be.

A Magistrate cannot require recognizances for the attendance at the Sessions or High Court of witnesses cited for the defence who have never appeared before him.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Oct. 19, 1876; Weir, 361.

It will be the duty of the Magistrate, in order to prevent hardship and unnecessary detention to such persons, so to arrange the coming on of cases before the Court of Session that such parties may not be brought from their homes to the Sudder Station before they are actually required, and they should have written notice of the specific date on which their attendance will be necessary, and it should be carefully explained that failure to attend will be severely dealt with.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, dated May 6, 1868. Wilkins, 102.

218 [Ss. 198, 202.] When the accused is committed for trial, the Magistrate shall issue an order to such person as may be appointed by the Local Government in this behalf, notifying the commitment, and stating the offence in the same form as the charge, unless the Magistrate is satisfied that such person is already aware of the commitment and the form of the charge;

and shall send the charge, the record of the inquiry and any weapon or other thing which is to be produced in evidence, to the Court of Session or (where the commitment is made to the High Court) to the Clerk of the Crown or other officer appointed in this behalf by the High Court.

When the commitment is made to the High Court, and any part of the record is not in English, an English translation of such part shall be forwarded with the record.

Sch. V, No. 27 contains a form of notice of commitment by a Magistrate to the Government Pleader.

The following rules issued by the Calcutta High Court (Cir. 4, May 6, 1868, Wilkins, 102) should be read with S. 218:—

"The Judge will, in the first week of December in each year, fix the number of Sessions to be held in the year following, and the dates on which respectively they are to begin (the number varying with the estimated or average number of trials, and not being less than six or more than ten in each year); and the Magistrate of the District, in communication with all the Subordinate Magistrates who exercise the power of committing to the Sessions and obtaining from them the particulars of all cases committed by them, will prepare and submit to the Zillah Judge, two days before the commencement of each Sessions, a calendar of all such cases in the form annexed:—

Calendar of Accused Persons for trial before the Court of Session. Sessions of 188 .

No. of Case.	Committing Officer.	Number and Name of Accused.	Charges and Section I. P. C.	Date of Offence.	Date of apprehension or appearance to summons.	Date of Commitment.	In Jail or on Bail.
--------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------

"The names of the witnesses should be placed on the back of the charge sheet. The Magistrates will be careful to arrange their commitments with a view to the trials taking place at the earliest or next ensuing Session, in order to avoid the needless detention of accused persons for prolonged periods.

"Whenever a commitment is made, intimation will be immediately given to the Court of Session, through the Magistrate of the District, by a letter in the annexed form:—

Form of letter to be sent by Committing Officers, through the Magistrate of the District, to the Judge.

To

THE SESSIONS JUDGE OF

SIR,

I beg to report that I have this day committed to take his trial before the Court of Sessions the person named in the margin on the charge specified below.

I have, &c.,

A. B.,

Magistrate (as the case may be).

CHARGE

1

2

"It will be unnecessary for the Court of Session to send any answer fixing a date for the trial. But the Judge will be guided by the information which he thus receives in estimating the time which it will be necessary to devote to the Criminal Session, and consequently at what period he will be able to take up civil business thereafter.

"Prosecutors and witnesses will be bound over to appear 'at the next Criminal Session commencing on.....'

"But it will be the duty of the Magistrate, in order to prevent hardship and unnecessary detention to such persons, so to arrange the coming on of cases before the Court of Session, that such parties may not be brought from their homes to the sudder station before they are actually required, and that they should have written notice of the specific date on which their attendance will be necessary, and it should be carefully explained that failure to attend will be severely dealt with.

"The directions herein contained for committing Magistrates are to be observed, so far as they are applicable, by Civil Courts and other authorities committing persons for trial at the Sessions.

"The names of the witnesses should be entered at the back of the charge sheet."—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 5, Oct. 14, 1879. Wilkins, 101.

In Madras, under orders of the High Court, a Register of Preliminary Inquiries is kept by Magistrates of every grade in committing an accused person for trial before the Court of Session, and the Magistrate is directed to place with the record an extract of the case taken from the Register of Preliminary Enquiries.

In the Panjab, the fixing of the date and place of trial of a case is with the Sessions Judge, due notice being sent to the committing Magistrate.—Smyth. p. 96. But jail deliveries of each District should be held at intervals of not more than ninety days, if there are prisoners awaiting trial, the selection of the time being left to the Judge.—*Id.*, p. 98.

The following form of Calendar for commitments to Courts of Session in the Panjab has been issued by the Chief Court [Book Cir. 1—87 of 1873, *Gaz.*, 1873, Part III, p. 41,] the model form being filled in as an illustration.

Case committed to the Sessions Court of the Commissioner of Umritsur, by the Assistant Commissioner of Umritsur, on the 1st day of July, 1872.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Prisoner's name, Parentage, Caste, Residence.	Offence charged, with Law applicable and date of Commission.	Date of Apprehension.	Whether in Prison or on Bail.	Witnesses for Prosecution, with a brief indication of the nature of evidence shown against name of each.	Material Evidence, i. e., weapon, clothes, &c.	Witnesses for Defence.
I.—Sona Sing, son of Roda; caste, Rajput; residence, Alwal, Umritsur District; age 40.	<i>Against I & II.</i> Murder of Nika Sing, on 25th June, 1872, S. 302, Indian Penal Code.	<i>I</i> 25th June. <i>II & III.</i> 26th June.	<i>I & II</i> in prison.	1. Dr. A. B., Civil Surgeon—to cause of death. 2. Devi Ditta, son of deceased—eye-witness of murder; identifies body. 3. Pertab Sing—to finding of earring and finding and identity of bloody knife, and identity of body. 4. Gurbas 5. Gulaba son of Mohomda 6. Bahadur 7. Dyal Sing 8. Ahmed Bukah, Constable. 9. Ramdoss 10. Naran Sing 11. Din Mohamed 12. Musamat Jewni—identifies earring. 13. Gulaba, son of Ghulam—identifies earring. 14. Jhanda—identifies bloody knife. 15. Alladin—saw deceased in company of prisoners I and II. 16. Bansidhar 17. Sant Sing	Bloody knife, earring, bloody garment.	FACT. <i>Prisoners I & II.</i> Sheo Bhagat, Gunga Pershad, Devi Din.
II.—Alla Sing, son of Lehna Sing; caste, Jat; residence, Tung, Umritsur District; age 40.				Eye-witnesses. (To finding and identity of bloody garment and to apprehension of accused I and II. Apprehended the two accused; was present when bloody garment was found; received No. III's report. Hearing a cry ran to spot; saw two accused, I and II running away; heard deceased's dying declaration. Helped to carry body to the Saddar; witness to enmity.		CHARACTER. Budh Sing, Mohomda.
III.—Bulaki, son of Gulaba; caste, Jutalia; residence, Alwal, Umritsur District; age 30.	<i>Against III.</i> Furnishing false information to public servant (S. 177, Indian Penal Code), on 25th June.		<i>III.</i> On Bail.			

The following instructions have also been issued on the subject :—

The reasons for commitment required by the Code of Criminal Procedure may be written either in the Calendar Sheet or on a separate sheet as may be most convenient.

The papers, which, upon commitment being made, will have to be transmitted to the Court of Session, will be—

- (a.) Copy of the charge.
- (b.) Calendar.
- (c.) Reasons for commitment.
- (d.) Record of original inquiry.

Besides these any weapon or other article of property necessary to produce in evidence must be sent.

The papers to be transferred to the Sessions file from the record of original inquiry are briefly those received in evidence by the Sessions Court.—Smyth, p. 95.

The following is a list of the papers which will ordinarily have to be transferred :—

- (a.) Evidence of Medical witness.
- (b.) Report of Chemical Examiner.
- (c.) Examination of accused before Magistrate.
- (d.) Evidence of present witness recorded at preliminary inquiry when Sessions Judge grounds his judgment thereon.
- (e.) Certificate of previous acquittal or conviction.
- (f.) Confession of accused when relevant.
- (g.) Statement of persons who cannot be called as witnesses including dying declaration.

Such papers will be transferred to the Sessions Court, and an endorsement to that effect will be made upon each.

In cases of commitments by Native Magistrates, the Calendar shall be written in the vernacular of the Court, and so submitted.

The Calcutta High Court has directed (8 B. L. R., 5, Rules, &c.; Wilkins, 100) that the record referred to in this section, which is to be forwarded to the Court of Session, shall include—

First.—The proceedings by which the case has been originated in the Magistrate's Court.

Second.—All papers showing the steps taken under the authority of the Magistrate upon the complaint; the summons (if any) and if returned; the warrant, and the return, or other documents showing how and when it has been executed; also any such warrant and the report showing how it has been executed.

Third.—The report, if any, on such inquiry as that under S. 205.

Fourth.—The orders, if any, sanctioning the prosecution, when such sanction is necessary.

Fifth.—The order, if any, withdrawing or transferring the case from one Court to another.

When a confession or examination of an accused person made before a Magistrate forms part of the evidence against the persons committed for trial to the Court of Sessions, it should be accompanied by a translation into English fairly written out.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir., 4, Aug. 10, 1862. Wilkins, 105.

219 [S. 357, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 91, para. 4.] The Magistrate

Power to summon supplementary witnesses. may summon and examine supplementary witnesses after the commitment and before the commencement of the trial, and bind them over in manner hereinbefore provided to appear and give evidence.

Such examination shall, if possible, be taken in the presence of the accused, and, where the Magistrate is not a Presidency Magistrate, a copy of the evidence of such witnesses shall, if the accused so require, be given to him free of cost.

A witness so examined, not in the presence of the accused person, must attend before the Court of Session or High Court. If he should die or cannot be found, or is incapable of giving evidence, or is kept out of the way by the adverse party, or if his presence cannot be obtained without unreasonable delay or expense, his deposition so taken before the Magistrate, but in the absence of the accused person, will not be evidence before the Court of Session or High Court, because the accused person had not the opportunity to cross-examine (S. 33, Act I, 1872), so that every endeavour should be made to examine all such witnesses in the presence of the accused person.

If any person affected by an order passed by a Criminal Court desires to have a copy of any order or deposition or other part of the record, he shall, on applying for such copy, be furnished therewith; provided that he pay for the same, unless the Court for some special reason, thinks fit to furnish it free of cost.—S. 548.

220 [Act X, 1875, S. 26; Act IV, 1877, S. 89.] Until and during Custody of accused the trial, the Magistrate shall, subject to the provisions of this Code regarding the taking of bail, pending trial. commit the accused, by warrant, to custody.

If it is a bailable offence the Magistrate should admit the accused to bail, unless he thinks fit instead of taking bail to discharge him on executing a bond without sureties for his appearance.—S. 496; but if a person is accused of a non-bailable offence he shall not be released on bail if there are reasonable grounds for believing that he is guilty of the offence of which he is accused.—S. 497; there would be such grounds after he has been committed for trial by a High Court or Sessions Court. The High Court or Sessions Court may, however, in any case, direct that any person may be admitted to bail.—S. 498.

CHAPTER XIX.

OF THE CHARGE.

In the trial of a summons case, no formal charge shall be framed (S. 242) but the accused shall be asked to show cause why he should not be convicted of the offence of which he is accused, the particulars of that offence being stated to him. In a warrant case, after the evidence for the prosecution has been taken and the accused examined, if the Magistrate is of opinion that there is ground for presuming that the accused has committed an offence which the Magistrate is competent to try and which can be adequately punished by him, a charge shall be framed.—S. 254.

In a case regarding security for good behaviour, in which the procedure should be as in a warrant case, it is especially provided that no formal charge shall be framed.—S. 117.

In a summary trial, no formal charge need be recorded.—Ss. 262 263

In an inquiry when the evidence affords sufficient grounds for committing the accused for trial, a charge should be drawn up.—S. 210.

No finding or sentence pronounced or passed shall be deemed invalid merely on the ground that no charge was framed, unless, in the opinion of the Court of appeal or revision, a failure of justice has been occasioned thereby. If the Court of appeal or revision thinks that a failure of justice has been occasioned by an omission to frame a charge, it shall order that a charge shall be framed, and that the trial be re-commenced from the point immediately after the framing of the charge.—S. 535.

No error, omission or irregularity in the charge shall necessitate the reversal or alteration of a finding, sentence or order coming before a Court of confirmation appeal or revision unless it has occasioned a failure of justice.—S. 537. And on a commitment made without a charge or with an imperfect or erroneous charge, the Sessions Court, or, in the case of a High Court, the Clerk of the Crown, may frame a charge or add to or otherwise alter it.—S. 226.

Form of Charges.

221 [S. 439; Act X, 1875, S. 118; Act IV, 1877, S. 94.]

Charge to state offence. charge under this Code shall state the offence with which the accused is charged.

Specific name of offence sufficient description. If the law which creates the offence gives it any specific name, the offence may be described in the charge by that name only.

How stated where offence has no specific name. If the law which creates the offence does not give it any specific name, so much of the definition of the offence must be stated as to give the accused notice of the matter with which he is charged.

The law and section of the law against which the offence is said to have been committed shall be mentioned in the charge.

What implied in charge. The fact that the charge is made is equivalent to a statement that every legal condition required by law to constitute the offence charged was fulfilled in the particular case.

In the Presidency-towns the charge shall be written in English; elsewhere it shall be written either in English or in the language of the Court.

Language of charge.

If the accused has been previously convicted of any offence, and it is intended to prove such previous conviction for the purpose of affecting the punishment which the Court is competent to award, the fact, date and place of the previous conviction shall be stated in the charge. If such statement is omitted, the Court may add it at any time before sentence is passed.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is charged with the murder of B. This is equivalent to a statement that A's act fell within the definition of murder given in sections 299 and 300 of the Indian Penal Code; that it did not fall within any of the general exceptions of the same Code; and that it did not fall within any of the five exceptions to section 300, or that, if it did fall within Exception 1, one or other of the three provisos to that exception applied to it.

(b.) A is charged, under section 326 of the Indian Penal Code, with voluntarily causing grievous hurt to B, by means of an instrument for shooting. This is equivalent to a statement that the case was not provided for by section 335 of the Indian Penal Code, and that the general exceptions did not apply to it.

(c.) A is accused of murder, cheating, theft, extortion, adultery or criminal intimidation, or using a false property-mark. The charge may state that A committed murder, or cheating, or theft, or extortion, or adultery, or criminal intimidation, or that he used a false property-mark, without reference to the definitions of those crimes contained in the Indian Penal Code; but the sections under which the offence is punishable must, in each instance, be referred to in the charge.

(d.) A is charged, under section 184 of the Indian Penal Code, with intentionally obstructing a sale of property offered for sale by the lawful authority of a public servant. The charge should be in those words.

With reference to para. 5 and Illustration (a), S. 105 of the Evidence Act (I of 1872) should be read—

“When a person is accused of any offence, the burden of proving the existence of circumstances bringing the case within any of the General Exceptions in the Indian Penal Code, or within special exception or proviso contained in any other part of the same Code, or in any law defining the offence, upon him, and the Court shall presume the absence of such circumstances.

Illustrations.

“(a.) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by reason of unsoundness of mind, he did not know the nature of the act.

“The burden of proof is on A.

“(b.) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by grave and sudden provocation, he was deprived of the power of self-control.

“The burden of proof is on A.

“(c.) Section three hundred and twenty-five of the Indian Penal Code provides that whoever, except in the case provided for by section three hundred and thirty-five, voluntarily causes grievous hurt, shall be subject to certain punishments.

“A is charged with voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section three hundred and twenty-five.

“The burden of proving the circumstances bringing the case under section three hundred and thirty-five lies on A.”

The law as now enacted in the last para. of S. 221 is slightly altered from S. 439 of the Code of 1872 which it replaces. The latter ran thus: and “if it is intended to prove such previous conviction for the purpose of affecting the punishment *which is to be awarded.*” For the words in italics S. 221 of the present Code has substituted “which the Court is competent to award,” so that it would seem that although a previous conviction brought against the accused might influence a Magistrate in passing sentence, the accused would not necessarily be called upon formally to plead to it if the sentence is one which the Magistrate “is competent to award.” It will probably nevertheless be considered necessary to give the accused an opportunity of showing that he was not the person so convicted. A previous conviction apparently would not form part of the formal charge unless the Magistrate makes it the ground of commitment to the Sessions or High Court or of referring the case in certain Districts to the District Magistrate invested with extraordinary powers under S. 30.—S. 343.

Where the previous conviction did not form part of the charge, the enhanced sentence was set aside, and the Sessions Judge directed to re-open the trial with the same set of jurors on that charge, giving the accused an opportunity of making a fresh defence to it. The High Court remarked that the question of proof of a previous conviction is one of fact which ought to have gone to, and been determined by a jury.—*Eshan Chunder Dey*, 21 W. R., 40. A sentence of whipping in addition to imprisonment which can be passed only on a second conviction for the same offence was set aside because the previous conviction was not set out in the charge.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Pro. Feb. 3, 1874, *Weir* 372. It is not sufficient that the charge should state that the accused is an old offender as that does not bring home to him the particular offence or class of offences which renders him liable to a more severe sentence than he would otherwise receive. Evidence of his identification should also be offered.—*Yappaka Daligada, Weir*, 373.

222 [S. 440; Act IV, 1877, S. 95.] The charge shall contain such particulars as to time, place and person. particulars as to the time and place of the alleged offence, and the person (if any) against whom or the thing (if any) in respect of which, it was committed, as are reasonably sufficient to give the accused notice of the matter with which he is charged.

223 [S. 441; Act IV, 1877, S. 96.] When the nature of the case is such that the particulars mentioned in sections 221 and 222 do not give the accused sufficient notice of the matter with which he is charged, the charge shall also contain such particulars of the manner in which the alleged offence was committed as will be sufficient for that purpose.

Illustrations.

(a) A is accused of the theft of a certain article at a certain time and place. The charge need not set out the manner in which the theft was effected.

(b) A is accused of cheating B at a given time and place. The charge must set out the manner in which A cheated B.

(c) A is accused of giving false evidence at a given time and place. The charge must set out that portion of the evidence given by A which is alleged to be false.

(d) A is accused of obstructing B, a public servant, in the discharge of his public functions at a given time and place. The charge must set out the manner in which A obstructed B in the discharge of his functions.

(e) A is accused of the murder of B at a given time and place. The charge need not state the manner in which A murdered B.

(f) A is accused of disobeying a direction of the law with intent to save B from punishment. The charge must set out the disobedience charged and the law infringed.

224 [Act XVIII, 1862, S. 28.] In every charge words used in describing an offence shall be deemed to have been used in the sense attached to them respectively by the law under which such offence is punishable.

Words in charge taken in sense of law under which offence is punishable.

225 [S. 443; Act X, 1875, S. 24; Act IV, 1877, S. 98.] No error in stating either the offence or the particulars required to be stated in the charge, and no omission to state the offence or those particulars, shall be regarded at any stage of the case as material, unless the accused was misled by such error or omission.

Effect of errors.

Illustrations.

(a) A is charged, under section 242 of the Indian Penal Code, with "having been in possession of counterfeit coin, having known at the time when he became possessed thereof that such coin was counterfeit," the word "fraudulently" being omitted in the charge. Unless it appears that A was in fact misled by this omission, the error shall not be regarded as material.

(b) A is charged with cheating B, and the manner in which he cheated B is not set out in the charge, or is set out incorrectly. A defends himself, calls witnesses, and gives his own account of the transaction. The Court may infer from this that the omission to set out the manner of the cheating is not material.

(c) A is charged with cheating B, and the manner in which he cheated B is not set out in the charge. There were many transactions between A and B, and A had no means of knowing to which of them the charge referred, and offered no defence. The Court may infer from such facts that the omission to set out the manner of the cheating was, in this case, a material error.

(d) A is charged with the murder of Khoda Baksh on the 21st January 1882. In fact, the murdered person's name was Haidar Baksh, and the date of the murder was the 20th January, 1882. A was never charged with any murder but one, and had heard the inquiry before the Magistrate, which referred exclusively to the case of Haidar Baksh. The Court may infer from these facts that A was not misled and that the error in the charge was immaterial.

(e) A was charged with murdering Haidar Baksh on the 20th January, 1882, and Khoda Baksh (who tried to arrest him for that murder) on the 21st January 1882. When charged for the murder of Haidar Baksh, he was tried for the murder of Khoda Baksh. The witnesses present in his defence were witnesses in the case of Haidar Baksh. The Court may infer from this that A was misled, and that the error was material.

Unless otherwise specially provided for, no finding, sentence or order passed by a Court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or altered under Chapter XXVII (on submission for confirmation) or on appeal or revision on account of any error, omission or irregularity in the charge unless such error, omission or irregularity has occasioned a failure of justice.—S 537.

In *Baban Khan Valad Mhasoji*, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb., 142, the conviction and sentence were set aside on the ground that the charge did not give to the accused the information which the law intended him to have of the particular offence, expressed circumstantially, to which he was called upon to answer. The High Court remarked:—The description of crimes in the Penal Code must of necessity be expressed in abstract terms, but the very object of a trial is to determine whether particular acts or omissions on the part of an accused fall or do not fall within the rule thus abstractedly stated. Conformably to this principle all the models of charges given in Sch. V of the Code of Criminal Procedure contain or imply the setting forth with reasonable particularity of the matters alleged to constitute the offence.

226 [S. 446; Act X, 1875, Ss. 7, 8.] When any person is committed

Procedure on commitment without charge or with imperfect charge.

for trial without a charge, or with an imperfect or erroneous charge, the Court, or, in the case of a High Court, the Clerk of the Crown, may frame charge, or add to or otherwise alter the charge, as the case may be, having regard to the rules contained in this Code as to the form of charges.

When the accused was charged under S. 217, Penal Code, without any statement of the direct law which he disobeyed and how he disobeyed it, it was held that the charge being thus expressed in such vague terms, the prosecution, on the appeal against the conviction, should be limited to the particular sense in which the charge had been understood at the trial.—*Baban Khan Valad Mhasoji*, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb., 142.

The following judgment was delivered by the Madras High Court in the case of *Kovilagatha Rama Varma Rajah*, 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 361, (S. C.) Weir, 376:—

"A Sessions Judge cannot add a charge regarding an offence which is entirely distinct from that already charged, and which is supported by no evidence given before the Magistrate. The law (S. 193) imposes a restriction on the powers of a Sessions Court by declaring that it shall not take cognisance of any offence unless the accused person has been committed by a Magistrate, and the object of this is presumed to secure in the case of a person charged with a grave offence a preliminary inquiry which would afford him an opportunity of becoming acquainted with the circumstances of the offences imputed to him so as to enable him to make his defence.

"The Judge did not amend or alter the original charge nor supply a charge provable by the evidence taken by the Magistrate. He added a charge of an offence which, though it arose out of the same circumstances, was distinct from that for which the accused had been committed to take his trial and in as much as the charge so added could not be supported by the evidence taken by the Magistrate, a witness not examined at the inquiry by the Magistrate was for the first time examined at the Sessions Court, and on her evidence alone the Judge has convicted the prisoner on the charge added by him.

"This action of the Judge must be pronounced *ultra vires*, and as this is not a mere error of procedure but an improper assumption of jurisdiction, the conviction on the added charge must be quashed."

If a charge be amended or altered by the Court of Session it should still be drawn in the name of the committing Magistrate.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.* Aug. 30, 1862. Weir, 300.

227 [Ss. 444, 445; Act X, 1875, Ss. 9, 10; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 99, 100.]

Court may alter charge.

Any Court may alter any charge at any time before judgment is pronounced, or, in the case of trials

before the Court of Session or High Court, before the verdict of the jury is returned or the opinions of the assessors are expressed.

Every such alteration shall be read and explained to the accused.

228 [S. 447; Act X, 1875, S. 11; Act IV, 1877, S. 101.] If the charge framed or alteration made under section 226 or section 227 is such that proceeding immediately with the trial is not likely, in the opinion of the Court, to prejudice the accused in his defence or the prosecutor in the conduct of the case, the Court may in its discretion, after such charge or alteration has been framed or made, proceed with the trial as if the new or altered charge had been the original charge.

The object of the law is to secure to a prisoner a preliminary inquiry which affords him an opportunity of becoming acquainted with the circumstances of the offence imputed to him so as to enable him to prepare his defence. Consequently a Sessions Judge is not competent to add a charge regarding which no evidence was taken by the Magistrate. He should have adjourned the trial and directed further inquiry.—Kovilagatha Rama Varma Rajah, I. L. R., 8 Mad., 361; (S. C.) Weir, 376.

The fact that the accused defended by a competent pleader when the charge was altered during the trial, never asked for a new trial, goes to show that he was not prejudiced by the proceedings subsequently taken. It is only in the case of charges closely related that a trial goes on forthwith after an amendment of the charge by the addition of a charge of another offence. Thus, where the prisoner was charged with murder, the addition of a charge of abetment of murder, though it had the effect of making the statement of another prisoner also being tried in the same trial admissible against him, was held to be no ground for holding that the trial should have been adjourned, or a fresh trial held.—Govind Bapli Baul, 11 Bomb., 278.

229 [S. 448; Act X, 1875, S. 12; Act IV, 1877, S. 102.] If the new or altered charge is such that proceeding immediately with the trial is likely, in the opinion of the Court, to prejudice the accused or the prosecutor as aforesaid, the Court may either direct a new trial or adjourn the trial for such period as may be necessary.

230 [S. 450; Act X, 1875, S. 16; Act IV, 1877, S. 104.] If the offence stated in the new or altered charge is one for the prosecution of which previous sanction is necessary, the case shall not be proceeded with until such sanction is obtained, unless sanction has been already obtained for a prosecution on the same facts as those on which the new or altered charge is founded.

The cases regarding which previous sanction to prosecute is necessary are specified in Ss. 132, 195, 197.

S. 230 declares that such a case shall not be proceeded with until such sanction is obtained unless &c. &c., but S. 537 provides that no finding, sentence or order shall be reversed or altered under Chapter XXVII (in a case submitted for confirmation of sentence) or an appeal or revision on account of the want of any sanction required by S. 195 unless such want has occasioned a failure of justice. S. 537 does not however apply to cases requiring sanction under other sections than S. 195, *e. g.*, under S. 132, S. 196, or S. 197.

231 [S. 449; Act X, 1875, S. 15; Act IV, 1877, S. 103.] Whenever a charge is altered by the Court after the commencement of the trial, the prosecutor and the accused shall be allowed to re-call or re-summon, and examine with reference to such alteration, any witness who may have been examined.

232 [S. 451.] If any Appellate Court, or the High Court, in the exercise of its powers of revision or of its powers under Chapter XXVII, is of opinion that any person

Effect of material errors.

convicted of an offence was misled in his defence by the absence of a charge or by an error in the charge, it shall direct a new trial to be had upon a charge framed in whatever manner it thinks fit.

If the Court is of opinion that the facts of the case are such that no valid charge could be preferred against the accused in respect of the facts proved, it shall quash the conviction.

Illustration.

A is convicted of an offence under section 196 of the Indian Penal Code, upon a charge which omits to state that he knew the evidence which he corruptly used or attempted to use as true or genuine was false or fabricated. If the Court thinks it probable that A had such knowledge, and that he was misled in his defence by the omission from the charge of the statement that he had it, it shall direct a new trial upon an amended charge; but if it appears probable from the proceedings that A had no such knowledge, it shall quash the conviction.

No finding or sentence shall be invalid merely on the ground that no charge was framed unless, in the opinion of the Court of appeal or revision, a failure of justice has been occasioned thereby. If the Court of appeal or revision thinks that a failure of justice has been occasioned by an omission to frame a charge, it shall order the charge to be framed, and that the trial be recommenced from the point immediately after the framing of the charge.—S. 535.

Joinder of Charges.

233 [S. 452; Act X, 1875, S. 17: Act IV, 1877, S. 105.] For every **Separate charges for distinct offences.** distinct offence of which any person is accused there shall be a separate charge, and every such charge shall be tried separately, except in the cases mentioned in sections 234, 235, 236 and 239.

Illustration.

A is accused of a theft on one occasion, and of causing grievous hurt on another occasion. A separately charged and separately tried for the theft and the causing grievous hurt.

Thus, two persons tried for intentionally giving false evidence (S. 193, Penal Code) in the same trial must be separately charged and separately tried.—*Mad H. Ct.*, March 15, 1867; *Weir*, 378; 10 W. R., 2 C. L.; *Chand Khan*, 2 Leg. Rem., 183. Similarly for framing an incorrect document, as a public servant, with intent to cause injury (S. 167 Penal Code,) and forgery of a register kept by a public servant (S. 466).—*Sreenath Kur*, I. L. R., 8 Cal. 450; or for dishonestly receiving stolen property &c. (S. 411) and habitually dealing in stolen property (S. 413).—*Uttom Koondoo* *Ibid.* 634. See also *Reg. v. Hanmanta*, I. L. R., 1 Bomb. 610.

234 [S. 453; Act X, 1875, S. 18: Act IV, 1877, S. 106.] When a **Three offences of same kind within year may be charged together.** person is accused of more offences than one of the same kind, committed within the space of twelve months from the first to the last of such offences, he may be charged with, and tried at one trial for, any number of them not exceeding three.

Offences are of the same kind when they are punishable with the same amount of punishment under the same section of the Indian Penal Code, or of any special or local law.

The offences must be committed against the same person to be joined in one trial under S. 234. Thus, where M was accused of cheating G on two occasions and K on a third, it was held that although the three offences were of the same kind and were all committed within the space of twelve months they should not have been joined in the same trial.—*Murari*, I. L. R., 4 All., 147. But see *contra* *Manu Mya*, I. L. R. 9 Cal., 371 differing from that opinion on the ground that the terms of S. 234 do not make such a limitation and also that the practice in England is otherwise.

When certain persons had been tried in one case and convicted of various offences committed between 1872 and 1876, it was held that the trial was irregular, and therefore the hearing of appeals against the conviction and acquittal of such offences would also be irregular. The High Court consequently restricted the appeal in respect of offences committed in 1874-5 only, having regard to

S. 234, as it appeared that this course did not prejudice the accused persons who had been fairly tried for those offences.—Hanmanta and others, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 61. See also Sreenath Kur, I. L. R., 8 Cal., 450: Uttom Koondoo, *Ibid.* 634.

S. 234 does not mean that if, at one time, or within one year or more a man commits fifty distinct offences of the same kind, he shall not in one day be prosecuted for more than three such offences. This is clear from S. 235 (d). It only restricts the number of offences charged in one trial.—In the matter of Ram Manickyo Chuckerbutty, 1 Cal. L. R., 478. (S. C.) I. L. R., 3 Cal. 540.

235 [S. 454; Act X, 1875, S. 19: Act IV, 1877, S. 107.] I.—If, in

I.—Trial for more than one series of acts so connected together as to form one offence. the same transaction, more offences than one are committed by the same person, he may be charged with, and tried at one trial for, every such offence.

II.—If the acts alleged constitute an offence falling within two or more

II.—Offence falling separate definitions of any law in force for the time within two definitions. being by which offences are defined or punished, the person accused of them may be charged with and tried at one trial for each of such offences.

III.—If several acts, of which one or more than one would by itself or

III.—Acts constituting themselves constitute an offence, constitute when one offence, but constituting a different offence, the person accused of when combined a different offence. them may be charged with and tried at one trial for the offence constituted by such acts when combined, or for any offence constituted by any one, or more, of such acts.

Nothing contained in this section shall affect the Indian Penal Code, section 71.

Illustrations.

to paragraph I—

(a) A rescues B, a person in lawful custody, and in so doing causes grievous hurt to C, a constable, in whose custody B was. A may be charged with, and tried for, offences under Ss. 225 and 333 of the Indian Penal Code.

(b) A commits house-breaking by day with intent to commit adultery, and commits, in the house so entered, adultery with B's wife. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 454 and 497 of the Indian Penal Code.

(c) A entices B, the wife of C, away from C, with intent to commit adultery with B, and then commits adultery with her. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 493 and 497 of the Indian Penal Code.

(d) A has in his possession several seals knowing them to be counterfeit and intending to use them for the purpose of committing several forgeries punishable under S. 466 of the Indian Penal Code. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, the possession of each seal under S. 473 of the Indian Penal Code.

(e) With intent to cause injury to B, A institutes a criminal proceeding against him, knowing that there is no just or lawful ground for such proceeding; and also falsely accuses B of having committed an offence, knowing that there is no just or lawful ground for such charge. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, two offences under S. 211 of the Indian Penal Code.

(f) A, with intent to cause injury to B, falsely accuses him of having committed an offence, knowing that there is no just or lawful ground for such charge. On the trial, A gives false evidence against B, intending thereby to cause B to be convicted of a capital offence. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 211 and 194 of the Indian Penal Code.

(g) A, with six others, commits the offences of rioting, grievous hurt, and assaulting a public servant endeavouring in the discharge of his duty as such to suppress the riot. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 147, 325 and 152 of the Indian Penal Code.

(h) A threatens B, C and D at the same time with injury to their persons with intent to cause alarm to them. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, each of the three offences under S. 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

The separate charges referred to in Illustrations (a) to (h) respectively may be tried at the same time.

to paragraph II—

(i) A wrongfully strikes B with a cane. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 352 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

(j) Several stolen sacks of corn are made over to A and B, who know they are stolen property, for the purpose of concealing them. A and B thereupon voluntarily assist each other to conceal the sacks at the bottom of a grain-pit. A and B may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 411 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code.

(k) A exposes her child with the knowledge that she is thereby likely to cause its death. The child dies in consequence of such exposure. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 317 and 304 of the Indian Penal Code.

(l) A dishonestly uses a forged document as genuine evidence, in order to convict B, a public servant, of an offence under S. 167 of the Indian Penal Code. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 471 (read with 466) and 196 of the same Code.

to paragraph III—

(m) A commits robbery on B, and in doing so, voluntarily causes hurt to him. A may be separately charged with, and convicted of, offences under Ss. 323, 392 and 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

S. 71, Penal Code, amended by Act VIII of 1882, S. 4, should be read with this section—

When anything which is an offence is made up of parts, any of which parts is itself an offence, the offender shall not be punished with the punishment of more than one of such his offences unless it be so expressly provided. Where anything is an offence falling within two or more separate definitions of any law in force for the time being by which offences are defined or punished, or when several acts, of which one or more than one would of itself or themselves constitute an offence, constitute when combined a different offence, the offender shall not be punished with a more severe punishment than the Court which tries him could award for any one of such offences.

Illustrations.

(a) A gives Z fifty strokes with a stick. Here A may have committed the offence of voluntarily causing hurt to Z by the whole beating, and also by each of the blows which make up the whole beating. If A were liable to punishment for every blow, he might be imprisoned for fifty years, one for each blow. But he is liable only to one punishment for the whole beating.

(b) But if, while A is beating Z, Y interferes, and A intentionally strikes Y, here, as the blow given to Y is no part of the act whereby A voluntarily causes hurt to Z, A is liable to one punishment for voluntarily causing hurt to Z, and to another for the blow given to Y.

Where the charge is founded on one single continuous transaction, the first thing to be ascertained is what is the principal legal offence involved in the conduct of the accused—what would subject him to the greatest amount of punishment. That being ascertained, should form the first head of the charge, the object of adding others is not the accumulation of punishment, but to provide against the event of the evidence failing to establish the principal charges.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro., March 30, 1863, Weir. 379.*

The judgment of the Madras High Court in the case of *Noujan*, (7 *Mad. 375*; (S. C.) *Weir. 381*) is very instructive and may well be consulted with respect to S. 235 though it proceeds on an illustration to S. 454 of the Code of 1872 which has not been reproduced. It was there held that a person cannot be punished for abducting a child with intent dishonestly to take moveable property, and also for the theft of a part of the moveable property which he intended dishonestly to take by means of the abduction.

The Allahabad High Court (*Mungroo* and another, 8 *All., 293*) similarly set aside a conviction and sentence under S. 346, Penal Code, (wrongful confinement in secret) holding that the prisoner could not also be convicted of attempt to kidnap out of British India (Ss. 511, 363), since the latter offence necessarily implies confinement or restraint of some kind.

Where the accused is charged with rioting and causing hurt in the course of the rioting only one trial should be held but it is not illegal to hold separate trials and therefore separate sentences were affirmed—*Ameeruddeen*, 1 *L. R., 8 Cal., 481*; so where the accused is convicted of trespass with the intention of committing mischief (S. 447, Penal Code) and also of the mischief (S. 426) though he may be separately sentenced for each offence, the aggregate sentence must not be more severe than might have been awarded for any one of such offences (S. 71, Penal Code).—*Budh Singh*, 1 *L. R., 2 All., 101*; *Jabdar Kazi*, 8 *Cal. L. R., 390* (S. C.) 1 *L. R., 6 Cal., 713*. See also *Takya bin Tamana*, 1 *L. R., 1 Bomb. 114*. Per *Westropp, C. J.* and four Judges.

As a general rule, when in the same penal statute there are two clauses applicable to the same act of an accused, the punishments are not to be considered as cumulative, unless it be so expressly provided. So separate convictions and sentences under S. 435 and S. 436, Penal Code, were disapproved, the sentence under S. 435 being set aside.—*Bom. H. Ct., Dodbasaya*, Feb. 12, 1874. S. 235, para. 2 has omitted the concluding words of S. 454, para. 2 which it professes to re-enact, viz., "but he must not receive a more severe punishment than could be awarded by the Court which tries him, for either."

236 [S. 455; Act X, 1875, S. 20; Act IV, 1877, S. 108.] If a single

act or series of acts is of such a nature that it is doubtful which of several offences the facts which

charged with having committed all or any of such offences, and any number of such charges may be tried at once; or he may be charged in the alternative with having committed some one of the said offences.

Illustration.

A is accused of an act which may amount to theft, or receiving stolen property, or criminal breach of trust or cheating. He may be charged with theft, receiving stolen property, criminal breach of trust and cheating, or he may be charged with having committed theft, or receiving stolen property, or criminal breach of trust or cheating.

S. 72, Penal Code, declares that in all cases in which judgment is given that a person is guilty of one of several offences specified in the judgment, but that it is doubtful of which of these offences he is guilty, the offender shall be punished for the offence for which the lowest punishment is provided if the same punishment is not provided for all.

The distinction between section 236 and the first para. of S. 235 should be noted. In the former it is the application of the law to the facts that is doubtful, whereas S. 235, para. 1, refers to the commission of a series of acts, each of which taken separately constitutes a distinct offence.

237 [S. 456; Act X, 1875, S. 21: Act IV, 1877, S. 109.] If, in the

When a person is charged with one offence, he can be convicted of another.

case mentioned in section 236, the accused is charged with one offence, and it appears in evidence that he committed a different offence for which he might have been charged under the provisions of that section, he may be convicted of the offence which he is shown to have committed, although he was not charged with it.

Illustration.

A is charged with theft. It appears that he committed the offence of criminal breach of trust, or that of receiving stolen goods. He may be convicted of criminal breach of trust, or of receiving stolen goods (as the case may be), though he was not charged with such offence.

On a trial for abetment and attempt to commit criminal breach of trust, it was held that the prisoner might and should have been convicted of attempt to cheat and abetment of that offence. The High Court remarked that the legal character of the acts done by the accused might well be considered ambiguous, but the evidence given would apply to the one offence as to the other. The prisoners had been acquitted by the Sessions Judge, though he found facts sufficient to convict them of attempt and abetment of cheating; a new trial was ordered by the High Court on the appeal of Government.—Government of Bombay, 12 Bomb., 1.

238 [S. 457; Act X, 1875, S. 22: Act IV, 1877, S. 110.] When a

When offence proved included in offence charged.

person is charged with an offence consisting of several particulars, a combination of some only of which constitutes a complete minor offence, and such combination is proved but the remaining particulars are not proved, he may be convicted of the minor offence, though he was not charged with it.

When a person is charged with an offence and facts are proved which reduce it to a minor offence, he may be convicted of the minor offence although he is not charged with it.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorize a conviction of any offence referred to in section 198 or section 199 when no complaint has been made as required by that section.

Illustrations.

(a) A is charged, under S. 407 of the Indian Penal Code, with criminal breach of trust in respect of property entrusted to him as a carrier. It appears that he did commit criminal breach of trust under S. 406 in respect of the property, but that it was not entrusted to him as a carrier. He may be convicted of criminal breach of trust under S. 406.

(b) A is charged under S. 325 of the Indian Penal Code with causing grievous hurt. He proves that he acted on grave and sudden provocation. He may be convicted under S. 335 of that Code.

This section applies to cases in which the charge is of an offence which consists of several particulars, a combination of only some of which constitutes a complete minor offence. The grave charge in such a case gives to the accused notice of all the circumstances going to constitute the

minor one of which he may be convicted. The latter is arrived at by mere subtraction from the former. But this is not the case where the circumstances embodied in the major charge do not necessarily, and according to the definition of the offence imputed by that charge, constitute that minor offence also; the principle no longer applies, because notice of the former does not necessarily involve notice of all that constitutes the latter. The section was not intended to apply to a collateral offence. It is not open to a Court to find a man guilty of the abetment of an offence on a charge of the offence itself.—Chand Nur and another, 11 Bomb., 240.

S. 238 enables a verdict to be given on some of the facts which are a component part of the original charge, provided that those facts constitute a minor offence. Thus, when the prisoners were charged with being members of an unlawful assembly in prosecution of the common object of which grievous hurt was committed by some member, it was held that they could be convicted of voluntarily causing grievous hurt.—Mahuddi, 6 Cal. L. R., 349; (S. C.) I. L. R., 5 Cal. 871.

So where the prisoner was charged with culpable homicide (S. 304) and voluntarily causing grievous hurt without grave or sudden provocation (S. 325), he was convicted under S. 335 of having caused that hurt on grave and sudden provocation.—Lukhinarain Agoori, 23 W. R., 61.

The offence of criminal house-trespass, S. 445, Penal Code, is not part of the offence of dacoity, or of riot, and, therefore, without a specific charge, a person under trial for dacoity and riot cannot be convicted of criminal house-trespass.—Salamat Ali, 23 W. R., 59. But the retaining stolen property acquired by dacoity, S. 412, Penal Code, is included in the more comprehensive charge of dacoity.—Lakhya Govind, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 50.

239 [S. 458; Act X, 1875, S. 23; Act IV, 1877, S. 111.]

What persons may be charged jointly. When more persons than one are accused of the same offence, or of different offences committed in the same transaction, or when one person is accused of committing any offence, and another of abetment of, or attempt to commit, such offence, they may be charged and tried together or separately, as the Court thinks fit; and the provisions contained in the former part of this chapter shall apply to all such charges.

Illustrations.

(a) A and B are accused of the same murder. A and B may be charged and tried together for the murder.

(b) A and B are accused of a robbery, in the course of which A commits a murder with which B has nothing to do. A and B may be tried together on a charge, charging both of them with the robbery, and A alone with the murder.

(c) A and B are both charged with a theft, and B is charged with two other thefts committed by him in the course of the same transaction. A and B may be both tried together on a charge, charging both with the one theft, and B alone with the two other thefts.

If two or more persons are jointly charged with an offence and the jurisdiction of the Magistrate is ousted as to one, the Magistrate should hold an inquiry and either discharge or commit all for trial to the Sessions Court.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. March 18, 1868; Weir, 135.

When several persons are charged with offences of various degrees arising out of one act or transaction, all implicated therein, against whom sufficient evidence is forthcoming, should be committed to the Court of Session, if any of the accused is charged with an offence beyond the cognizance of a Magistrate, or one which, in the opinion of the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the case, ought to be tried by a Court of Session.—Agra Sudder Court Cir. 64, 1862; Cal. H. Ct. Cir., May 19, 1862.

A person purchasing stolen articles from a thief or receiver of stolen property cannot be said to be engaged in the same transaction with another who purchases a different article. There is no privity whatever between the two and they should therefore be tried separately.—Manik, 1 Leg. Rem., 216.

Persons charged with having, as witnesses, intentionally given false evidence on the same trial or proceedings should be charged and tried separately.—10 W. R., C. L.; Mad. H. Ct., March 15, 1867; 3 Mad. xxxii app. (S. C.) Weir 378; Chand Khan, 2 Leg. Rem., 183. So should the persons composing the contending parties in a riot.—Durzoola Khan, 9 W. R., 33; Hosein Buksh Sheikh, 6 Cal. L. R., 521, (S. C.) I. L. R., 6 Cal. 96; Haibat and others, Punj. Rec, 1881, p. 47. So should several persons charged with committing public nuisances by making dungheaps.—Pulisanki Reddi, I. L. R., 5 Mad. 90.

240 [S. 459; Act X, 1875, S. 102; Act IV, 1877, S. 112.]

Withdrawal of remaining charges on conviction on one of several charges. When more charges than one are made against the same person, and when a conviction has been had on one or more of them, the complainant, or the officer con-

ducting the prosecution, may, with the consent of the Court, withdraw the remaining charge or charges, or the Court of its own accord may stay the inquiry into, or trial of, such charge or charges. Such withdrawal shall have the effect of an acquittal on such charge or charges, unless the conviction be set aside, in which case the said Court (subject to the order of the Court setting aside the conviction) may proceed with the inquiry into or trial of the charge or charges so withdrawn.

S. 240 it should be noted is general and not, like the corresponding section, 459, of the Code of 1872, restricted in its application to trials before a High Court or Court of Session.

Chapter XXXVIII relates to Public Prosecutors, S. 495 of which empowers a Magistrate to permit any person other than an officer of Police below the rank of Police Inspector to conduct a prosecution. S. 494 further provides that a Public Prosecutor with the consent of the Court may withdraw from a prosecution in cases tried by jury before the return of the verdict, and in other cases before the judgment is pronounced.

CHAPTER XX.

OF THE TRIAL OF SUMMONS-CASES BY MAGISTRATES.

241 [S. 203, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 114.] The following procedure shall be observed by Magistrates in the trial of summons-cases.

Summons-case means a case regarding an offence not punishable with death, transportation or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months, S. 4 (2), that is, an offence punishable with imprisonment for a term not exceeding six months or with fine or with whipping or any of these punishments combined.

242 [Ss. 203, para. 2; S. 206, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 119.] When the accused appears or is brought before the Magistrate, the particulars of the offence of which he is accused shall be stated to him, and he shall be asked if he has any cause to show why he should not be convicted; but it shall not be necessary to frame a formal charge.

When a Magistrate issues a summons, he may if he sees reason to do so, dispense with the personal attendance of the accused and permit him to appear by pleader, but he may, in his discretion, at any stage of the proceedings, direct the personal attendance of the accused, and if necessary enforce his attendance.—S. 205.

It is necessary that the accused should have a clear statement made to him (1) that he is about to be put on his trial and (2) as to the offence or facts constituting the offence with the commission of which he is accused. Where certain persons had been brought before the Magistrate for other purposes while he was in camp, and these circumstances were not made known to them, they were released as having been improperly convicted.—In the matter of Acharjee Lall and another, 3 Cal. L. R., 87.

243 [S. 206, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 120.] If the accused admits that he has committed the offence of which he is accused, his admission shall be recorded as nearly as possible in the words used by him; and if he shows no sufficient cause why he should not be convicted, the Magistrate shall convict him accordingly.

244 [Ss. 207, 361; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 121, 142.] If the accused does not make such admission, the Magistrate shall proceed to hear the complainant (if any), and take all such evidence as may be produced in support of the prosecution and also to hear the accused and take all such evidence as he produces in his defence.

The Magistrate may, if he thinks fit, on the application of the com-

plainant or accused, issue process to compel the attendance of any witness or the production of any document or other thing.

The Magistrate may, before summoning any witness on such application, require that his reasonable expenses, incurred in attending for the purposes of the trial, be deposited in Court.

In summons-cases tried before a Magistrate other than a Presidency Magistrate, the Magistrate shall make a memorandum of the substance of the evidence of each witness as the examination of the witness proceeds. Such memorandum shall be written and signed by the Magistrate with his own hand and shall form part of the record. If such Magistrate is prevented from making a memorandum as above required, he shall record the reason of his inability to do so, and shall cause such memorandum to be made from his dictation in open Court, and shall sign the same; and such memorandum shall form part of the record.—S. 355. But if the Magistrate thinks fit, he may take down the evidence of any witness as in a warrant case.—S. 355.

S. 362 provides for the record of evidence in Presidency Magistrates' Courts.

When the Magistrate requires a complainant to deposit the reasonable expenses of a witness before summoning him, and the complainant fails to do so, the Magistrate should proceed to deal with the case on such evidence as he may have before him. He cannot dismiss the case under S. 247 on default.—In the matter of Korapullu, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 160 (S. C.) Weir, 361.

245 [S. 211, paras. 1, 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 126.] If the Magistrate

Acquittal. upon taking the evidence referred to in section 244 and such further evidence (if any) as he may, of his own motion, cause to be produced, and (if he thinks fit) examining the accused, finds the accused not guilty, he shall record an order of acquittal.

If he finds the accused guilty, he shall pass sentence upon him according to law.

Sentence.

See Chapter XXVI, Ss. 366—372 for the rules regarding the delivery and recording of judgments.

If the Magistrate finds an accused guilty, he is bound to pass some sentence even though it be only a nominal sentence.—Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Aug. 12, 1869, Weir, 290.

Magistrates of the second and third classes should submit to the Magistrate of the District a calendar of every case in which conviction takes place, within 24 hours from the sentence being passed, in order to enable a District Magistrate at once to take measures towards rectifying injury done by an illegal sentence.—Bomb. H. Ct. Cir., 43.

Whenever any officer, enlisted soldier or sepoy is sentenced in any Criminal Court to fine of Rs. 200 or upwards, or to imprisonment otherwise than in default of paying a fine not amounting to Rs. 200, the Court should *proprio motu* send a copy of its final order to the superior of the person convicted.—Govt. of India, 1632, Oct. 3, 1871; Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 58, Oct. 30, 1871; Smyth p. 148.

Whenever any person serving under Government in the Military Department is convicted in a Criminal Court, information should be given to the Officer Commanding the Regiment or Corps to which he belongs; and if the person convicted be serving under the Government of India in the Military Department, a copy of the conviction and sentence should be forwarded to that Department.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 6, July 14, 1871. Bomb. Gaz. 1879, p. 472.

Whenever any Government officer is judicially convicted of any offence, a copy of the decision should be sent to the head of the department in which he is employed, in order that such action as may be deemed proper may be taken at once.—Govt. of India, Aug. 7, 1868; Govt. of Bengal, 4589, Aug. 22, 1868.

Ordinarily cases tried under this Chapter are non-cognizable cases; complaints or charges in cases of that class are liable to stamp-duty of eight annas under the Court Fees Act (VII, 1870) Sch. II, Art. 8, (b); or if no written but a verbal complaint has been preferred, the complainant is required to pay a fee of eight annas on his examination.—(S. 18). Magistrates, on convicting persons accused of such offences, should bear in mind that, under S. 31 of the same Act, they are bound, in addition to the penalty imposed upon an accused, to order him to repay to the complainant the fee paid on such application or petition or for such examination as well as any fees for serving processes. Such fees "may be recovered as if they were fines imposed by the Court," i. e., under S. 387 of this Code.

If sentence of imprisonment is passed, the warrant of commitment to Jail should be in the form prescribed by Sch. V, No. 39.

246 [S. 203, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 117.] A Magistrate may, under section 243 or section 245, convict the accused of any offence triable under this chapter which from the facts admitted or proved he appears to have committed, whatever may be the nature of the complaint or summons.

247 [Ss. 205, 208, para. 3; S. 212; Act IV, 1877, S. 118.] If the summons has been issued on complaint, and upon the day appointed for the appearance of the accused or any day subsequent thereto to which the hearing may be adjourned the complainant does not appear, the Magistrate shall, notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, acquit the accused, unless for some reason he thinks proper to adjourn the hearing of the case to some other day.

The discretion given by this section to dismiss a complaint on non-appearance of the complainant is not applicable to a case falling under S. 195, that is, a case requiring special sanction for its institution. Where therefore sanction had been given by a Court to prosecute a person for resisting the authority of one of the bailiffs of that Court, the non-appearance of the bailiff does not justify a Magistrate in dismissing the complaint.—*Bomb. H. Ct. Empress v. Ramchunder Sidheshwar*, Oct. 21, 1878. See also *Muse Ali Adam*, I. L. R., 2 *Bomb.*, 653.

Where the order for adjournment was not made in the presence and the hearing of the parties, and the case was subsequently dismissed on account of the absence of the complainant, the proceedings were set aside as illegal.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Feb. 24, 1875; 8 *Mad.*, 6, *App.*

An adjournment is within the discretion of the Magistrate. In the case of *Bhekka Roy* (10 *W. R.*, 86), the Calcutta High Court would not interfere, because the Magistrate had refused to grant an adjournment for the purpose of summoning the witnesses for the defence; and in the case of *Dinoo Roy* (16 *W. R.*, 21), the same Court held that an adjournment for this purpose was not wrong in law. But the Magistrate should not dismiss a case on the day fixed for the attendance of the witnesses for the defence merely because the complainant did not appear, unless his attendance has been specially required at that adjourned date, for he has done all that is necessary for him to do to establish his case.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.* Nov. 5, 1874. *Weir*, 283.

An adjournment of the trial may be granted by an order in writing stating the reasons therefor, on account of the absence of a witness or any other reasonable cause, on such terms as the Magistrate may think fit and for such time as he may consider reasonable, provided that it is not for a term exceeding fifteen days.—S. 344.

Where a case was dismissed in consequence of the non-appearance of the complainant and it appeared that he had not been informed of the day fixed for the trial, it was held that a grave irregularity had been committed such as to make the proceedings held amount to no trial, and that therefore there was no acquittal of the accused.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.* Aug. 17, 1875. *Weir*, 291.

Where summons for a witness applied for by the complainant has not issued because the complainant has failed to deposit the reasonable expenses of that witness as required by the Magistrate under S. 244, the case should not be dismissed under S. 247, but should be decided on the evidence on the record.—*Korapulu*, I. L. R., 5 *Mad.*, 160 (S. C.) *Weir*, 361.

248 [S. 210; Act IV, 1877, S. 125.] If a complainant, at any time before a final order is passed in any case under this chapter, satisfies the Magistrate that there are sufficient grounds for permitting him to withdraw his complaint, the Magistrate may permit him to withdraw the same, and shall thereupon acquit the accused.

S. 345 provides for the compounding of certain offences, under Ss. 341, 352, 436, 447, 490, 491, 492, Penal Code, some of which are summons-cases, without permission of the Court, and abetments of, or attempts to, commit such offences may be similarly dealt with.

Where a prosecution can be instituted only with the sanction of a particular officer or Court, it cannot be withdrawn without such sanction.—*In re Muse Ali Adam*, I. L. R., 2 *Bomb.*, 653.

249 In any case instituted otherwise than upon complaint, a Presidency Magistrate, a Magistrate of the first class, or, with the previous sanction of the District Magistrate, any other Magistrate, may, for reasons to be recorded by him, stop the proceedings at any stage without pronouncing any judgment either of acquittal or conviction, and may thereupon release the accused.

250 [S. 209, paras. 1 and 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 242.] If, in any case instituted upon complaint, a Magistrate acquits the accused under section 245 or section 247, and is of opinion that the complaint was frivolous or vexatious, he may, in his discretion, by his order of acquittal direct the complainant to pay to the accused, or to each of the accused where there are more than one, such compensation, not exceeding fifty rupees, as the Magistrate thinks fit.

The sum so awarded shall be recoverable as if it were a fine: Provided that, if it cannot be realized, the imprisonment to be awarded shall be simple, and for such term, not exceeding thirty days, as the Magistrate directs.

At the time of awarding compensation in any subsequent civil suit relating to the same matter, the Court shall take into account any sum paid or recovered as compensation under the section.

S. 545 enables a Court to apply the whole or any part of a fine which has been imposed to the payment of the expenses of a complainant or as compensation for the injury sustained.

In BENGAL and in ASSAM, on an application for the recovery of compensation under S. 250 a fee of eight annas is charged for the warrant for its levy.—*Bengal Gaz.* 1879, p. 304: *Assam Gaz.* 1879, p. 596. Wilkins, 82.

When a Magistrate allows a complaint to be withdrawn he cannot allow compensation to the accused.—*Amanut Khan v. Khoda Buksh*, 1 Leg. Rem., 148.

There is a wide difference between the procedure necessary, when a person is charged with an offence under S. 211, Penal Code (making a false charge with intent to injure, &c.), and that laid down in S. 250, Code of Criminal Procedure. Under the latter, by the order of dismissal, if his complaint be frivolous and vexatious, the complainant may be ordered to pay to the person against whom the charge was brought, such amends, not exceeding 50 rupees, as the Magistrate may consider just and reasonable; but in order to make him liable to the penalty provided by S. 211, Penal Code, for a false complaint, it is necessary to hold a regular trial.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 19, July 22, 1863, adopted by the *Agra Sud. Ct.*, 23, 1863 and by the *Judicial Commissioner*, *Cen. Prov.*, 37, 1863.

It is not necessary that any charge should be preferred before a person can be fined for making a frivolous or vexatious complaint. The Magistrate should simply call upon the complainant to show cause why he should not be fined.—*Cal. H. Ct.*, 672, 1863. *Contra* Muthoor Ghose, 11 W. R., 10.

Compensation can be awarded only in summons cases. When the complaint made is house-trespass with intent to rob and murder, compensation cannot be awarded, though the Magistrate may treat the case as an ordinary case of house-trespass and try it as a summons case.—*Gurningapa*, 7 Bomb., 58, *Crown Cases*.

But the Calcutta High Court has held that where the complaint was made of various offences, some triable as warrant cases and others as summons cases, the Magistrate can in the latter award compensation.—*Muddoosoodun Ghose*, 13 W. R., 39.

As opposed to the rule laid down by the Calcutta High Court in the case of *Muddoosoodun Ghose*, 13 W. R., 39, see *Hurree Dutta*, 18, W. R., 6, in which it was held that the complaint having been of criminal force and theft or robbery, compensation could not be awarded, because the case was not altogether a summons-case. But when the complaint was improperly made as one of theft, whereas the offence really committed was mischief or using criminal force, both summons-cases, a Magistrate is not prevented from awarding compensation.—*Cal. H. Ct. Lalla Baneshwar Sahai*, Aug. 20, 1877.

Simultaneously with awarding compensation, the Magistrate may give permission to the accused person to institute a prosecution on a charge of intentionally giving false evidence or instituting a false case with intent to injure.—*Rupon Rai*, 6 B. L. R., 296 (S. C.) 15 W. R., 9. The award is no ground for the abandonment of such a prosecution; but, if the Magistrate thinks that by the order of fine passed sufficient punishment has been imposed, he can refuse to give leave to prosecute.—*Cal. H. Ct.* 111, 1863. Nor does an award of compensation deprive the accused of any right of suit for damages in the Civil Court.—*Adram*, 1 N. W. P., 58, but in such suit the Court shall take into account any sum paid or recovered as compensation.—S. 250, last para.

Where a master made a complaint on behalf of his servant which was dismissed as frivolous and vexatious, it was held that compensation could not be awarded as the complainant had no *locus standi*, and the complaint should have been dismissed without investigation.—*Corbyn*, *Panj. Rec.*, 1869, p. 51.

A karkun on the establishment of a Sub-Judge reported that he had been obstructed in the execution of his duty, whereupon the Sub-Judge instituted criminal proceedings before the Magistrate, who found that no obstruction to the attachment had been offered by the accused, and accordingly acquitted him, directing the karkun to pay Rs. 5 as compensation under S. 250. The High Court set aside this order of compensation as illegal, remarking that the Sub-Judge, and not the karkun, must be regarded as the complainant, that the Sub-Judge would not be liable to this penalty as he acted judicially, and that the karkun might be punished for making a false report, or giving false evidence, but he was not liable under S. 250, because he was not the complainant.—*In re Keshav Laksman*, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 175.

The Madras High Court, (Pro. Nov. 22, 1879, Weir, 288 *Foot note*) has held that compensation could not be given if the accused had been called upon for his defence, because if the evidence of the complainant was such as to call for an answer from the accused it could not properly be said that the complaint was frivolous or vexatious, but that Court has re-considered and revoked that ruling, holding that it is quite possible owing to the suggested statement of the complainant, or some such cause, a Magistrate may not be able to detect the frivolous or vexatious character of a complaint until the accused has had an opportunity of explaining the real circumstances when making his defence.—Pro Dec. 14, 1880, Weir 288, followed by *Number v. Ambu* and others, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 381.

An order under S. 250 awarding compensation cannot be passed by an Appellate Court, which revises the sentence passed.—Pro. Feb. 27, 1875, 8 Mad., 7, *App.* (S. C) Weir, 285.

The simultaneous issue and currency of warrants of distress and imprisonment in the Civil Jail as the alternative of non-realisation of the fine, are illegal. It is only when the person fined admits that he has no goods and thereby waives the right to have the amount levied by distress, that the Magistrate may at once proceed to imprison him in the Civil Jail.—*Bisheshwar Shaha*, 23 W. R., 64.

Compensation cannot be awarded as a fine, in default of payment of which imprisonment will be undergone. That follows on default of realization of the fine by distress—*Gopal*, 2 All., 430; *Prabhoo Dyal*, Panj. Rec., 1869, p. 58. But imprisonment cannot be awarded in anticipation of default of distress.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Dec. 28, 1871; 7 Mad. Jur., 137.

S. 388 enables a Court to suspend execution of a sentence of imprisonment in default of payment of a fine, when the sentence is of fine only. (Ss. 386, 387 provide for the levy of a fine.)

CHAPTER XXI.

OF THE TRIAL OF WARRANT-CASES BY MAGISTRATES.

251 [S. 213.] The following procedure shall be observed by Magis-

trates in the trial of warrant-cases.

Warrant-case means a case relating to an offence punishable with death, transportation or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months.—S. 4 (s).

252 [Ss. 190, 362; Act IV, 1877, S. 143.] When the accused appears

evidence for prosecution or is brought before a Magistrate, such Magistrate shall proceed to hear the complainant (if any) and take all such evidence as may be produced in support of the prosecution.

The Magistrate shall ascertain, from the complainant or otherwise, the names of any persons likely to be acquainted with the facts of the case and to be able to give evidence for the prosecution, and shall summon to give evidence before himself such of them as he thinks necessary.

Ss. 356 *et seq.* provide for the manner in which evidence of witnesses in warrant-cases shall be recorded.

See note to S. 208 for the rules prescribed by the Calcutta High Court for observance by a Court in recording evidence.

Where an accused is brought before a Magistrate, that officer has no authority further to detain him in custody or to remand him to prison without some reason made manifest to him, either in the shape of sworn testimony given before him or in some other form which can be put on the record, and which is sufficient to justify him in sending the accused to prison.—*Abdool Kadir*, 11 B. L. E.,

(S. C.) 20 W. R., 23.

Whenever a Magistrate issues a summons he may, if he sees fit to do so, dispense with the personal attendance of the accused and permit him to appear by pleader, but he can at any stage of the proceedings direct the personal attendance of the accused, and if necessary, enforce his attendance.—S. 303.

If the personal attendance of the accused person be dispensed with, he should be represented by a pleader [see defn. S. 4 (n)] who should be provided with a mukhtarnama bearing a stamp of eight annas.

253 [S. 215.] If upon taking all the evidence referred to in section Discharge of accused. 252, and making such examination (if any) of the accused as the Magistrate thinks necessary, he finds that no case against the accused has been made out which, if unrebutted, would warrant his conviction, the Magistrate shall discharge him.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prevent a Magistrate from discharging the accused at any previous stage of the case if, for reasons to be recorded by such Magistrate, he considers the charge to be groundless.

An illegal arrest by the Police, without a warrant issued, on complaint of non-cognizable offence is no valid ground for an order of discharge by a Magistrate. He cannot pass an order of discharge until he has heard the evidence against the accused.—Bomb. H. Ct., Sangapa San-krapa, May 21, 1873.

"All the evidence referred to in S. 252", that is, "all such evidence as may be produced in support of the prosecution" and "such witnesses as the Magistrate may think necessary to summon" but this is modified by the last para. of S. 253 which is new. The Calcutta High Court has in numerous cases held that when a Magistrate terminates a trial without examining all the witnesses tendered by the prosecution he cannot direct the complainant to be prosecuted for making a false complaint under S. 211, Penal Code.—In the matter of Ganjoo Singh and others, 2 Cal. L. R., 399. But under S. 215 Explanation III of the Code of 1872 then in force an order of discharge could not be passed until the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution had been taken. This is now within the discretion of Magistrate. S. 476, however, states that before directing such a prosecution, the Court should make any preliminary inquiry that may be necessary, and if a Magistrate under the last para. of S. 253 summarily discharges an accused, it will probably be necessary that before directing the complainant to be prosecuted, he should in the preliminary inquiry examine whatever witnesses may be tendered on behalf of that person.

There is no restriction put by this section on the power to examine the accused. In the corresponding section (S. 209) relating to inquiries, it is stated that where the evidence has been taken and the Magistrate has "examined the accused for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing in evidence against him" &c., S. 289 relating to Sessions trials is like S. 253 general in its terms regarding the examination of the accused. But see S. 342 which requires a Court, for the purpose of enabling an accused to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him, to question him generally on the case after the witnesses for the prosecution have been examined and before he is called on for his defence.

Under the Code of 1872 it was held that after an order of discharge passed by a Magistrate in any case except a Sessions case, that is, a case triable exclusively by a Court of Session, no Magistrate could re-open the proceedings and commence a new trial unless evidence be forthcoming which was not before the Magistrate in the first proceedings.—See Mohesh Mistree, 1. L. R., Cal., 282; Mary Donnelly, 1. L. R., 2 Cal., 405; Gowdapa bin Venkugowda, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb., 534 &c., &c. But S. 437 of this Code has altered the law by enabling a High Court, Sessions Court, or District Magistrate to direct further inquiry to be made into the case of any accused person who has been discharged.

254 [S. 216; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 116, 122.] If, when such evidence and examination have been taken and made, the Charge to be framed when offence appears proved. Magistrate is of opinion that there is ground for presuming that the accused has committed an offence triable under this chapter, which such Magistrate is competent to try, and which, in his opinion, could be adequately punished by him, he shall frame in writing a charge against the accused.

Sch. V, No. 28 contains various forms of charges.

It is unnecessary for a Magistrate to examine more witnesses than are sufficient to convince him of the truth of the charge, and in that view he is competent under S. 342 to put questions to the

accused. The answers given to these questions, if any are given, will generally have as great effect as to the witnesses necessary to be examined on the part of the prosecution; and if after the complainant has been examined, questions put to the accused elicit answers which leave no doubt as to the commission of the offence, there seems to be no reason why the Magistrate should not then frame the charge and call upon the accused to plead.—Mad. H. Ct., Sept. 12, 1864; Dec. 18, 1864.

In framing a charge a Magistrate is not restricted to the offences stated in the complaint. If on the evidence he should find that an offence different from that which had been specially charged in the complaint has been committed, he is competent to inquire into and proceed against the accused with regard to the other offence.—Dhonduram Ramchandra, 5 Bomb., 100, *Crown Cases*.

The examination of an accused is by S. 342 declared to be for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing on the evidence against him, and a Magistrate is accordingly empowered at any stage of the trial without previously warning him to put him such questions as he may consider necessary, and also for the same purpose to question him generally on the case after the witnesses for the prosecution have been examined and before he is called upon for his defence.

255 [S. 217; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 120, 122.] The charge shall then

Plea.

be read and explained to the accused, and he shall be asked whether he is guilty or has any defence to make.

If the accused pleads guilty, the Magistrate shall record the plea, and may in his discretion convict him thereon.

No inference can be drawn from a plea, if it does not amount to a distinct confession of the charge; the charge must be proved.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Dec. 14, 1871; 7 Mad. Jur., 136; an admission which does not admit all the elements of the charge is not a plea of guilty to the charge, as for instance on a charge under S. 211 Penal Code where he does not admit that in making a false charge he intended to cause injury.—Gopal Dhanook, 8 Cal. L. R., 471. (S. C.) 1 L. R., 7 Cal. 96: Sonaollah, 25 W. R., 23.

256 [S. 218; Act IV, 1877, S. 121.] If the accused refuses to plead

Defence.

or does not plead, or claims to be tried, he shall be called upon to enter upon his defence and to produce his evidence, and shall, at any time while he is making his defence, be allowed to recall and cross-examine any witness for the prosecution present in the Court or its precincts.

If the accused puts in any written statement, the Magistrate shall file it with the record.

For the cross-examination of witnesses, see The Evidence Act (I of 1872) Ss. 143, 145, *et. seq.*

The examination of an accused person which may have been made before the preparation of a charge, will not dispense with the necessity for putting him on his defence after the charge has been prepared.—Golab Roy, 3 Agra, 156.

A Magistrate held that, by simply pleading not guilty, the accused had made no defence such as to entitle him to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution; but this order was set aside by the High Court, who held that the accused was entitled to prove that he was not guilty by cross-examining the witnesses.—Belilios, 19 W. R., 53.

The terms of S. 256 remove many of the difficulties experienced from the corresponding section (218) of the Code of 1872. The accused "shall, at any time while he is making his defence be allowed to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution present in the Court or its precincts;" the words in italics are new. The accused has of course the right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution after they have been examined in chief, that is, examined by the party who called them, the cross-examination relating to relevant facts, (S. 138, Evidence Act), and the exercise of this right will not prevent his asking to have the witnesses recalled and cross-examined after he has been called upon to enter upon his defence provided that such witnesses are present in the Court or its precincts. A Magistrate has the discretion under certain circumstances (see S. 257) to refuse to require the re-attendance of witnesses for the prosecution for purposes of cross-examination or he may first require the deposit of their reasonable expenses so that the accused can no longer insist on the witnesses being re-summoned. This alteration in the law will no doubt if judiciously carried out prevent the abuses felt under the former law.

The object of the section is to secure to the accused the opportunity of cross-examining the witnesses for the prosecution after he has been informed as to the nature of the specific charge which he is required to answer. Until he knows this, he is not in a position to decide on what points the evidence for the prosecution is material. If this opportunity be secured, he has no further right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution. If he refuses to exercise this right, after he has entered

on his defence, he cannot demand as a right to recall the witnesses for the prosecution, if the case be adjourned, because he has not produced his witnesses. What his own witnesses may have to say, can have little or no bearing on the cross-examination of the witnesses for the prosecution who are called to support the charge but not to refute the evidence for the defence.—Baldeo Sahai, I. L. R., 2 All., 253.

When, as frequently happens, it becomes necessary to summon witnesses for the defence from a distance, and consequently to adjourn the hearing for some days, the necessity of retaining in attendance the witnesses for the prosecution must occasion considerable inconvenience to the witnesses and expense to the public. Therefore, the Magistrate should in all cases before granting an adjournment inquire of the accused if he desires to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution or consents to the discharge of any or all of them. If the accused consents to their discharge, and they are discharged accordingly, he is not entitled to have them re-summoned as a matter of right, but it would be in the discretion of the Magistrate to re-summon them. Whether, if the Magistrate before granting an adjournment called upon the accused to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, and the accused refused to do so at that time, the Magistrate would thereupon be at liberty to discharge the witnesses, for the prosecution need not now be determined. In the present instance the Magistrate did call upon the accused to exercise his rights and there is no sufficient proof that the accused consented to the discharge of the witnesses, he was probably not aware that he had any option in the matter, and therefore it would be an unsound inference from his silence that he consented to it. The accused was entitled to have the witnesses whom he desired to cross-examine re-summoned.—Lali Mahamed, 6 All., 284.

An accused person in a warrant case has an undoubted right to have the witnesses for the prosecution recalled for the purpose of cross-examination after the charge has been framed against him, unless he has waived that right; he may no doubt either waive it by express words, or he may waive it by allowing the proper time in the course of the trial to go by without availing himself of that right. As a rule the proper and convenient time in the course of the trial is at the commencement of the defence of the accused person. But the law does not lay down any rigid rule on the subject, and unless the accused person has waived the right, he is entitled to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution even after he has called and examined the witnesses for his defence. If a trial is likely to continue over many days or a lengthened period, it may be great harassment and vexation to the witnesses that they should all be compelled to remain at the place of trial until its termination, and it is right and proper that the Court should permit them to go home as soon as their attendance at Court has become unnecessary. But it is incumbent on the Court before it discharges a witness from the duty of attendance before the trial is ended, to ascertain from the accused person whether he has, or is likely to have, any need of the witness's testimony, and if he has such need then to take such steps for insuring the presence of the witness at the required time as may be necessary. If a Magistrate before discharging a witness obtains the assent of the accused person to his going away without any order for his re-appearance, then no doubt such assent would be the best possible evidence of waiver of the accused person's right to cross-examine. Nothing having been shown to have been done or omitted to be done on the part of the accused, which could possibly be construed into a waiver of his right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, though that right was not claimed until the witnesses for the defence had been examined, the Calcutta High Court held that the Magistrate was not competent to refuse to recall them, and setting aside the conviction ordered the trial to be re-opened at this point.—Khuruckdharee Singh, 22 W. R., 44. See also Ram Kishen Halwai, 25 W. R., 48.

In the case of Thakoor Dyal Sen, 17 W. R., 57 the following judgment was delivered by COUCH, C. J., (AINSLIE, J., concurring).:—

"It does not clearly appear whether it was intended by the Code that there should be, previous to the preparation of the charge, a full cross-examination by the accused or by his pleader; it would rather seem, that that was not contemplated, and that the Magistrate should, in the first instance, examine the witnesses with a view to seeing whether there was a *prima facie* case against the accused person, and then that he should prepare the charge.

"Now I do not say that if an accused person or his pleader went into a full cross-examination before the preparation of the charge, and were told that if he did that, he might be waiving his right to a further cross-examination after the charge had been prepared, that he would not be precluded from the subsequent cross-examination. It is possible that if put to it, he might be obliged to elect between the two, and not to have the inconvenient proceeding of the whole of the cross-examination being again repeated.

"But here that does not seem to have been done. The Magistrate appears to have allowed a cross-examination before the charge was prepared; but when the accused was put upon his defence, it might be very important that some further question should be put to the witnesses for the prosecution in order to elicit facts which might constitute a defence for him. I think that the Magistrate had no power to say that this should not be done, and that the cross-examination, which had been already had, should be the only cross-examination in the case. If the privilege of cross-examination had been abused and questions which had been put before and appeared to have been answered (the

witnesses understanding the questions), were repeated, the Magistrate might have stopped that and confined the cross-examination to its proper limits. I think it was not competent to him to refuse to allow the witnesses to be recalled and cross-examined after the accused had been upon his defence.

"Also, the subsequent section would entitle the accused person to call the witnesses as his own. Of course, he would be in a different position then, and would not be allowed to cross-examine them and treat them as witnesses for the prosecution. What he really required, and what he was entitled to, was to have them recalled and to cross-examine them, treating them as witnesses for the prosecution."—Thakoor Dyal Sen, 17 W. R., 51.

257 [S. 362, para. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 143.] If the accused applies to the Magistrate to issue any process for compelling the attendance of any witness (whether he has or has not been previously examined in the case) for the purposes of examination or cross-examination, or the production of any document or other thing, the Magistrate shall issue such process unless he considers that such application should be refused on the ground that it is made for the purpose of vexation or delay, or for defeating the ends of justice. Such ground shall be recorded by him in writing.

The Magistrate may, before summoning any witness on such application, require that his reasonable expenses incurred in attending for the purposes of the trial be deposited in Court.

By the terms of this section an accused by summoning a witness for the prosecution for the purpose of cross-examination would not make him his witness. The prosecution will of course be entitled to re-examine such a witness.—Evidence Act (I of 1872), S. 138.

A person summoned to produce a document does not become a witness by the mere fact that he produces it, and cannot be cross-examined unless and until he is called as a witness.—Evidence Act (I of 1872), S. 139.

When a Magistrate refused to summon witnesses cited by an accused person without assigning any reasons, and convicted him, the conviction was set aside and he was ordered to proceed according to law.—*In re Satnarain Singh*, 1 L. R., 8 All., 392. A Magistrate is not at liberty to refuse such an application except for the reasons specified in the law.—*In re Deola Mahtoon*, 8 Cal. L. R., 72.

258 [S. 220; Act IV, 1877, S. 126.] If in any case under this chapter in which a charge has been framed the Magistrate finds the accused not guilty, he shall record an order of acquittal.

If in any such case the Magistrate finds the accused guilty, he shall pass sentence upon him according to law.

If, however, before signing judgment it appears to a Magistrate at any stage of the proceedings that the case is one which ought to be tried by the Court of Session or High Court and he is empowered to commit, he shall stay further proceedings and commit the accused. If he is not empowered to commit, the Magistrate will submit the case with a brief report explaining its nature to any Magistrate to whom he is subordinate, or to such other Magistrate having jurisdiction as the District Magistrate directs.—Ss. 347, 346.

Chapter XXVI, Ss. 366—372 provide for the recording and delivery of judgments of acquittal or conviction. Where a complete trial had been held except that no formal charge had been drawn and the prisoner had been acquitted, it was held that the mere absence of a formal charge would not prevent the order from operating as an acquittal unless it be shown that the absence of a charge has been in itself the cause of a failure of justice.—*In the matter of Joga Pershad*, 3 Cal. L. R., 131. This has now been embodied in S. 537.

If the person convicted is serving under Government in the Military Department, information should be given to the officer commanding the Regiment or Corps to which he belongs: and if he be serving under the Government of India in the Military Department, a copy of the conviction and sentence should be forwarded to that Department.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir., 6 July 17, 1871. Bomb. Gaz. 1879, Part I, p. 472.

Whenever any officer, enlisted soldier or sepoy is sentenced to fine of Rs. 200 or upwards or to imprisonment otherwise than in default of paying a fine not amounting to Rs. 200, the Court should,

of its own motion, send a copy of its final order to the superior of the person convicted.—Government of India, 1632, Oct. 3, 1871: Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 58, Oct. 30, 1871: Smyth, p. 148.

A copy of the decision should be sent to the head of the Department in which he is employed, whenever any Government servant is judicially convicted of any offence.—Govt. of India, Aug. 7, 1868: Govt. of Bengal 4589, Aug. 22, 1868.

Sch. V. No. 28 gives the form of warrant for commitment on a sentence of imprisonment or fine.

If the accused is convicted of an offence which is a non-cognizable offence, the Court shall in addition to the penalty imposed upon him, order him to repay to the complainant the fee paid on his application or petition, *viz.*, eight annas.—(S. 18, Court Fees' Act, VII of 1870) or the same amount paid on his examination (S. 18) and, when he has paid fees for serving processes, also the amount paid therefor: all such fees to be realised as if they were fines imposed by the Court.—Court Fees' Act, VII of 1870, S. 31.

259 [S. 215; Act IV, 1877, S. 118.] When the proceedings have been instituted upon complaint, and upon any day fixed for the hearing of the case the complainant is absent, and the offence may be lawfully compounded, the Magistrate may, in his discretion, notwithstanding any thing hereinbefore contained, at any time before the charge has been framed, discharge the accused.

S. 345 declares that certain offences may be compounded by certain persons without and with the permission of the Court before which the prosecution of such offence is pending.—Sch. II, Col. 6 also specifies the offences which are compoundable.

CHAPTER XXII.

OF SUMMARY TRIALS.

260 [Ss. 222, 223, 224.] Notwithstanding anything contained in this Code.

- (1) the District Magistrate,
- (2) any Magistrate of the first class specially empowered in this behalf by the Local Government, and
- (3) any Bench of Magistrates invested with the powers of a Magistrate of the first class and specially empowered in this behalf by the Local Government may try, in a summary way, all or any of the following offences:—
 - (a) Offences not punishable with death, transportation or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months;
 - (b) Offences relating to weights and measures, under sections 264, 265 and 266 of the Indian Penal Code;
 - (c) Hurt, under section 323 of the same Code;
 - (d) Theft, under sections 379, 380 or 381 of the same Code, where the value of the property stolen does not exceed fifty rupees;
 - (e) Receiving or retaining stolen property, under section 411 of the same Code, where the value of such property does not exceed fifty rupees;
 - (f) Assisting in the concealment or disposal of stolen property, under section 414 of the same Code, where the value of such property does not exceed fifty rupees;
 - (g) Mischief, under section 427 of the same Code;
 - (h) House-trespass, under section 448 of the same Code;
 - (i) Insult with intent to provoke a breach of the peace, under section 504, and criminal intimidation, under section 506, of the same Code;
 - (j) Abetment of any of the foregoing offences;

(k) An attempt to commit any of the foregoing offences, when such attempt is an offence:

Provided that no case in which a District Magistrate exercises the special powers conferred by section 84 shall be tried in a summary way.

In the N. W. Provinces, all Magistrates of the first class who are or who have officiated as Joint Magistrates, and also all Assistant Commissioners who are or who have officiated in the first class, have been invested with powers to act under S. 260.—Govt. Not., Dec. 24, 1878.

In Madras, every Magistrate of a Division of a District exercising powers of a Magistrate of the first class has been vested with the power of holding summary trials under S. 206.—*Mad. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 1136.

To the list contained in S. 222 of the Code of 1872 the offence specified in (f) has been added and both to it as well as to (e), receiving or retaining stolen property, a limitation has been placed on the jurisdiction to hold a summary trial only where the value of the property does not exceed fifty Rupees as in case of theft.

If any Magistrate, not being empowered by law on that behalf, tries an offender summarily, his proceedings shall be void.—S. 530 (g).

Ss. 15, 16 relate to the powers and constitution of Benches of Magistrates and for the making of rules for their business.

It should be noted that S. 262 declares that no sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three months shall be passed in the case of any conviction in a summary trial, and as S. 414 provides that there shall be no appeal by a convicted person in cases tried summarily in which a Magistrate empowered to act under S. 260 passes a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding three months only, or of fine not exceeding two hundred Rupees only, or of whipping only, an appeal would lie against a sentence passed in a summary trial held under S. 260, only where the sentence passed is a combination of any two or more punishments. If, however, the trial be held by a Bench of Magistrates under S. 261, an appeal would lie to the District Magistrates against any sentence passed.—S. 407.

The restriction placed on the Magistrates' powers in summary trials by S. 262 will no doubt to a great extent remove the temptation to adopt this easier mode of trial rather than the procedure and the additional labour of recording evidence as in warrant cases. It is not unusual to find that in a case in which the offence really committed is one that cannot be tried summarily, a summary trial is held for a minor offence which forms one of the component parts of the offence actually committed and this minor offence being one within the list of offences specified in S. 260, the summary trial is apparently legal. This practice has been condemned in many judgments of the High Court.

The case of Chunder Seekor Thakoor, 22 W. R., 29 will serve as an illustration of the practice. The complaint was made of theft of property valued at Rs. 884, and after Police investigation was reported to be house-breaking by night in order to commit theft (S. 457, Penal Code) neither of these offences being triable summarily. The Magistrate, however, summarily tried and convicted the accused of dishonestly receiving stolen property (S. 411) an offence falling within S. 222 of the Code of 1872. The proceedings were set aside as contrary to law, the following observations being made by the High Court:—

"The powers conferred upon Magistrates under Chapter XXII of the Code of Criminal Procedure appear not to have been intended to give them the power of altering a charge brought against an accused person so as to bring his case within the provisions of that Chapter, but when a charge of a serious offence, one which the Magistrate is not competent to inquire into summarily, has been regularly preferred, it is the plain duty of the Magistrate to apply the procedure prescribed for such cases and either to convict or acquit or commit for trial the person implicated. The procedure under Chapter XXII is to be followed when a charge brought against the accused is plainly and directly one of those specified in S. 260. Now, supposing that the Magistrate could properly and legally have brought this case within the provisions of Chapter XXII, there were very cogent reasons why he should not have done so. Whether the Legislature intended to bring under this Chapter all cases of receiving stolen property, whether the value of the property stolen did or did not exceed Rs. 50, it is clear where the value is large and where the property has been acquired by so grave a crime as house-breaking, the offence is one which it would be proper to visit with a punishment far exceeding three months' rigorous imprisonment, and in which consequently an appeal would lie to the Court of Session. In such a case therefore the provisions of S. 227 (now S. 263) would manifestly not apply, and it would be necessary to record at least the substance of the evidence. As the case stands there has been absolutely no trial and no investigation into the charge preferred by the Police, *etc.*, a charge under S. 457 of the Indian Penal Code."—*In re Chunder Seekor Thakoor*, 22 W. R., 29; see also *In re Banees Madhub Dass*, 23 W. R., 3, where the Magistrate in a summary trial convicted under S. 352, Penal Code, of using criminal force instead of under S. 353 of using criminal force to a public servant, &c.

A Magistrate cannot split up an offence into its component parts for the purpose of giving himself summary jurisdiction. If a charge of an offence not triable summarily is laid and sworn to, the Magistrate must deal with the case accordingly, unless he is at the outset in a position to show from the deposition of the complainant that the circumstances of aggravation are really mere exaggeration and not to be believed. In this case the accused were tried and convicted summarily of being members of an unlawful assembly (S. 143, Penal Code) whereas the complaint made and the evidence showed that they were armed with swords, and should therefore have been tried under S. 144 which could not be tried summarily. The convictions were set aside and fresh trials were ordered.—In the matter of Abdool Kadir and others, 3 Cal. L. R., R., 44; (S. C.) I. L. R., 4, Cal., 16. See also Chunder Seckor Sookul, 1 Cal. L. R., 434; Beputoolla, 2 Cal. L. R., 374; Dwarkanath Majoomdar, 2 W. R., 89; Ram Chunder Chatterjee, 25 W. R., 19; Bugleh Ali, 22 W. R., 66, &c., &c.

The judgment of the Calcutta High Court in the case of Issur Chunder Mundul and others, 25 W. R., 65 is also deserving of careful attention:—

"In this case certain persons have been convicted under S. 426 of the Indian Penal Code of the offence of mischief, and sentenced to a fine of Rs. 20, or fifteen days' rigorous imprisonment for each. The mischief charged was the causing cattle to trespass and graze on the prosecutor's land.

"The proceeding was nominally by way of summary trial. Practically, it was a very hotly contested suit, in which the masters of the prosecutor and of the accused respectively asserted and tried to prove their right to the land on which the cattle were alleged to have trespassed.

"S. 227 (of the Code of 1872, now re-enacted in S. 263 of this Code) enacts that in summary trials the Magistrate need not record the evidence of the witnesses, nor the reasons for passing the judgment, but that he shall enter in a register, to be kept for the purpose, the prisoner's plea, the finding, and, in the case of a conviction, a brief statement of the reasons therefor.

"How little this case really partook of the nature of a summary trial may be judged of from the time spent over it. Many days (the 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 19th and 20th of June) were occupied in the examination of witnesses, and a long written judgment was delivered on the 22nd of June. The record of the evidence and the judgment together fill 69 ory closely written pages, an office copy of which, written in the ordinary manner, covered more than 130 pages.

"Such a proceeding is manifestly an abuse of S. 227, which section is intended to apply only to short and simple cases in which but little evidence is needed. As soon as the Magistrate discovered that the question before him was really a dispute between Mr. Gow Smith on behalf of the factory which he represents and the persons who are throughout these proceedings spoken of as "the Tagore Baboos," he ought at once to have declined to proceed further and to have referred the parties to the Civil Court. A *bona fide* claim of right deprives a Magistrate of jurisdiction to deal with a criminal charge in a summary way. And in this case there was a *bona fide* claim or assertion of right, so far as any rate as the accused persons were concerned. To prove that the accused caused damage is not enough. It must be proved against each individual convicted that he caused the damage with a wrongful intent,—with a knowledge that he was not justified in doing it, and that "the Tagore Baboos" had no real title."

Where the jurisdiction to try a case of theft summarily depends on the pecuniary value of the property stolen, a Magistrate should indicate in the Register Statement that the value was such as to bring the offence within his summary power.—Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Sept. 23, 1878. Weir, 298. It should appear on the face of the conviction what the value of the stolen property was.—Abheem Parirah, 20 W. R., 117.

When an accused is also charged with a previous conviction of an offence under Chapter XVII, Penal Code, he cannot be tried summarily as the subsequent offence becomes a different offence from the act when standing alone.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Sept. 23, 1878. Weir, 298.

Because the Excise or Salt Act makes the confiscation of contraband articles follow on a conviction, the offence does not thereby become other than a summons-case for which a summary trial may be held. The confiscation is not a part of the sentence but a consequence of it.—Boidonath Dass, I. L. R., 3 Cal., 366, FULL BENCH. (S. C.) 1 Cal. L. R., 442.

261 [S. 225.] The Local Government may confer on any Bench of Magistrates invested with the powers of a Magistrate of the second or third class power to try summarily all or any of the following offences:—

Power to invest Bench of Magistrates invested with less power.

(a) Offences against the Indian Penal Code, sections 277, 278, 279, 285, 286, 289, 290, 292, 293, 294, 323, 334, 336, 341, 352, 426, and 447;

(b) Offences against Municipal Acts, and the conservancy-clauses of Police Acts, punishable only with fine, or with imprisonment for a term not exceeding one month;

(c) Abetment of any of the foregoing offences;

(d) An attempt to commit any of the foregoing offences, when such attempt is an offence.

Ss. 15, 16 of this Code relate to Benches of Magistrates, their powers and rules for their guidance.

S. 277 of the Indian Penal Code relates to voluntarily fouling the water of a public spring or reservoir so as to render it unfit for ordinary use;

S. 278, to voluntarily making the atmosphere noxious to the health of the neighbourhood;

S. 279, to rash or negligent riding or driving on a public way;

S. 285, to rash or negligent conduct with respect to fire or combustible matter;

S. 286, to rash or negligent conduct with respect to any explosive substance;

S. 289, to wilful or negligent conduct with respect to any dangerous animal;

S. 290, to the commission of a public nuisance;

S. 292, to sale, &c., of obscene books;

S. 293, to possession of obscene books, &c., for sale, &c.;

S. 294, to singing, &c., obscene songs, &c., to annoyance of others;

S. 323, to voluntarily causing hurt without grave or sudden provocation;

S. 334, to voluntarily causing hurt on grave or sudden provocation;

S. 336, to rashly or negligently endangering human life or personal safety of others;

S. 341, to wrongful restraint;

S. 352, to assault or criminal force without grave or sudden provocation;

S. 426, to mischief;

S. 447, to criminal trespass.

With the exception of an offence under S. 323, Penal Code, all these offences are summons-cases, and as such would fall under S. 260 (a); voluntarily causing hurt under S. 323 is, however, also triable summarily under S. 260 (c).

All sentences passed by a Bench of Magistrates under S. 261, if the Bench exercises only powers of the second or third class, would be appealable to the District Magistrate.—S. 407. If the Bench exercises the powers of a Magistrate of the first class the trial would be held under S. 260, and the right of appeal would be regulated by S. 414.

Any two or more persons authorized to exercise all or any of the powers of a Magistrate, who, until the 1st January 1873, acted together under Act XXVII, 1867, in the cities of Lahore, Jullundhur, Amritsur, Delhi, Gujranwalla, Dera Ismail Khan, and Botala, may sit together as a Bench and such Bench has been invested with powers under S. 261.—*Punj. Gaz.*, 1872, p. 2024.

262 [S. 226.]

Procedure for summons and warrant-cases applicable.

after mentioned.

In trials under this chapter, the procedure prescribed for summons-cases shall be followed in summons-cases, and the procedure prescribed for warrant-cases, shall be followed in warrant-cases, except as herein-

No sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three months shall be passed in the case of any conviction under this chapter.

Limit of imprisonment.

In accordance with this section the procedure in summons-cases or warrant-cases is to be followed according to the nature of the case, but S. 355 provides that the evidence in summary trials held by Magistrates of the first or second class is to be recorded as in summons-cases and this is again subject to S. 263 which directs that, where no appeal lies, the Magistrate or Bench of Magistrates need not record the evidence of the witnesses.

All the offences mentioned in S. 260 except those under (a) are warrant-cases.

The last para. of this section is new and is a very important alteration of the law.

The procedure for the trial of summons-cases by Magistrates is contained in Chapter XX, Ss. 241—250.

The procedure for the trial of warrant-cases by Magistrates is contained in Chapter XXI, Ss. 251—259.

263 [S. 227.]

Record in cases where there is no appeal.

In cases where no appeal lies, the Magistrate or Bench of Magistrates need not record the evidence of the witnesses or frame a formal charge; but he or they shall enter in such form as the Local Government may direct the following particulars:—

(a) the serial number;

- (b) the date of the commission of the offence;
- (c) the date of the report or complaint;
- (d) the name of the complainant (if any);
- (e) the name, parentage and residence of the accused;
- (f) the offence complained of and the offence (if any) proved, and in cases coming under clause (d), clause (e) or clause (f) of section 260 the value of the property in respect of which the offence has been committed;
- (g) the plea of the accused and his examination (if any);
- (h) the finding, and, in the case of a conviction, a brief statement of the reasons therefor;
- (i) the sentence or other final order; and
- (j) the date on which the proceedings terminated.

(f) is new, and the words, "and his examination if any," have been added to (g).

If any Magistrate not being empowered by law in that behalf tries an offender summarily, his proceedings shall be void. S. 530 (g).

See note to S. 258 quoting the Court Fees' Act (VII of 1870) Ss. 31, 18 regarding the repayment by an accused, on conviction of a non-cognizable offence or wrongful confinement or wrongful restraint, of Court-Fees paid by the complainant.

No appeal lies in any case tried under S. 260 against a sentence of imprisonment only, not exceeding twelve months; or of fine only, not exceeding two hundred rupees; or of whipping only.—S. 414. But an appeal lies against every order of acquittal (S. 417), and if in any summary trial the sentence passed is a combination of one or more of the punishments specified in S. 414 an appeal would lie to the Sessions Court under S. 408. Where a Bench of Magistrates has been vested with powers of a Magistrate of the first class, or unless otherwise provided by an order of the Local Government, where one of the members of a Bench is a Magistrate of that class, it is deemed a Magistrate of that class (S. 16). S. 414 applies also to cases tried under S. 261. Although it refers expressly only to cases tried under S. 260, in as much as all the offences specified in S. 261 are among those triable under S. 260, but if the Bench is not vested with powers of the first class, an appeal would lie to the District Magistrate against any sentence passed.—(S. 404).

No provision is made for the manner in which the examination of an accused person in a summary trial is to be recorded except that it is not to be recorded as in a regular trial (S. 364); probably it would be recorded in the same manner as evidence is recorded in such a trial, but the examination would be only for the purpose of enabling the accused to explain any circumstances appearing in evidence against him.—S. 342.

Where a Magistrate had inadvertently omitted to record a judgment as directed by S. 263 (h), in a case in which he had convicted the accused in a summary trial, it was held that the omission could be remedied by a statement of the reasons for his order subsequently recorded, and inasmuch as the requirements of the law had thus been fully complied with, the High Court refused to interfere as a Court of Revision.—*In re Dowlut Singh*, 6 Cal. L. R., 273.

The Magistrate should so state the reasons for convicting the accused, that, the High Court, on Revision, may judge whether there are sufficient materials to support the conviction. Where they were not so stated, the conviction was set aside.—*In re Punjab Singh*, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 579.

In a trial under this Chapter, under a procedure in which the Legislature has provided a minimum of protection for the person affected by the order, it is absolutely necessary that the Magistrate should most strictly observe the scanty formalities prescribed, otherwise it will be absolutely impossible for the High Court as a Court of Revision, or any other authority, to exercise the smallest control over proceedings which may form the subject of complaint. See further remarks of Jackson, J., in the case of *Joheri Singh*, 22 W. R., 28.

When the offence under trial under Chapter XXII is a warrant-case, the prisoner should be called upon to plead to a definite charge which must be made verbally. The final order or judgment in such cases should, where conviction is not made, invariably show whether the accused has been discharged or acquitted, the test being whether after hearing the evidence for the prosecution, the Court has called upon the prisoner to plead to a definite charge or not.—Smyth, pp. 101, 102.

Whenever any Government officer is judicially convicted of any offence, a copy of the decision should be sent to the Head of the Department in which he is employed, in order that such action as may be deemed proper may be taken at once.—Govt. of India, Aug. 7, 1868; Govt. of Bengal, 4589, Aug. 22, 1868.

Whenever any officer, enlisted soldier or sepoy is sentenced by a Criminal Court to fine of Rs. 200 or upwards, or to imprisonment otherwise than in default of paying a fine not amounting to Rs. 200, the Court should *proprio motu* send a copy of its final order to the superior of the person

convicted.—Govt. of India, 1632, Oct. 3, 1871; Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 58, Oct. 20, 1871; Smyth p. 148.

264 [S. 228.] In every case tried summarily by a Magistrate or Bench in which an appeal lies, such Magistrate or Bench shall, before passing sentence, record a judgment embodying the substance of the evidence and also the particulars mentioned in section 263.

Such judgment shall be the only record in cases coming within this section.

See note to S. 263 as to the summary trials in which an appeal lies against the sentence passed and note to S. 268 as to the repayment by the accused, on conviction of certain offences, of Court-Fees paid by the complainant.

In the case of *Kheraj Mullah* (20 W. R., 13; (S. C.) 11 B. L. R., 33), the Sessions Judge in an appeal against a conviction pronounced under S. 264, held that, from the substance of the evidence recorded, he could not form any opinion as to its credibility, and that there was nothing in the evidence which would warrant him in finding that the witnesses were not speaking the truth, or that the conviction was wrong: he therefore dismissed the appeal. The High Court, however, held that as the Sessions Judge was unable with the aid of the Magistrate's finding to form an independent judgment as to whether the prisoners had committed the offence or not, it was his duty to have acquitted. The conviction was accordingly quashed.

The Allahabad High Court has, however, held under the same circumstances that the Sessions Judge should have required the Magistrate to repair the defect by recording a judgment in which the substance of the evidence should be fully embodied, if necessary re-examining the witnesses for that purpose, or that he should have ordered a re-trial.—*Karan Singh*, I. L. R., All. 680.

A re-trial was ordered by the Chief Court, Punjab, in a case falling under S. 264, because the Magistrate had omitted to record a judgment "embodying the substance of the evidence on which the conviction was had."—*Bakku*, Panj. Rec., 1874, p. 3.

In the case of *Dowlut Singh*, 6 Cal. L. R., 273, the Calcutta High Court refused to interfere in a case in which the Magistrate had omitted to record a judgment, but had subsequently remedied the defect by writing a brief statement of the reasons for his order.

The following Circular 7, July 8, 1876 issued by the Calcutta High Court is deserving of attention:—

"The attention of the Court has been recently called to a case in which a Magistrate, having tried an offence summarily and passed a sentence from which an appeal lay, made the record required by S. 264 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as an entry in the register prescribed by S. 263 and on the Appellate Court calling for the record of trial, cut out and sent up the portion of the register containing this entry. There is reason to believe that this is not an isolated case. The practice of mutilating official registers is open to the gravest objection, and is strictly prohibited; there is no warrant for it in the law.

"S. 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure directs that a register shall be kept for a certain purpose, namely, for the purpose of entering such particulars as are specified in the section, in cases where no appeal lies. The provisions of this section do not apply to any other cases.

"The judgment required to be drawn up in appealable cases under S. 264 is to contain the particulars mentioned in S. 263 and something more; namely, the substance on which the conviction was had. But it is not to be entered in the register of non-appealable cases, and is evidently intended to be in a separate form, so that, when necessary, it may be submitted to the Court of Appeal."

265 [Ss. 229, 230.] Records made under section 263 and judgments recorded under section 264 shall be written by the presiding officer, either in English or in the language of the Court, or, if the Court to which such presiding officer is immediately subordinate so directs, in such officer's mother-tongue.

The Local Government may authorize any Bench of Magistrates empowered to try offences summarily to prepare the aforesaid record or judgment by means of an officer appointed in this behalf by the Court to which such Bench is immediately subordinate, and the record or judgment so prepared shall be signed by each member of such Bench present taking part in the proceedings.

CHAPTER XXIII.

OF TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURTS AND COURTS OF SESSION.

No Sessions Court shall ordinarily take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original jurisdiction unless the accused person has been committed by a Magistrate duly empowered in that behalf (S. 193), that is, by a District Magistrate, a Subdivisional Magistrate, a Magistrate of the first class or any Magistrate specially empowered by the Local Government (S. 206).

A.—Preliminary.

266 [Act X of 1875, S. 3.] In this chapter, except in section 307, the expression “High Court” means a High Court of Judicature established or to be established under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104, and includes the Chief Court of the Panjab, and such other Courts as the Governor General in Council may, by notification in the *Gazette of India*, declare to be High Courts for the purposes of this chapter.

Compare the definition given in S. 4 (i).

267 [Act X of 1875, S. 32.] All trials under this chapter before a High Court shall be by jury ;
Trials before High Court to be by jury.

and, notwithstanding anything herein contained, in all criminal cases transferred to a High Court under this Code or under the Letters Patent of any High Court established under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104, the trial may, if the High Court so directs, be by jury.

The power to transfer any particular criminal case or appeal for trial by itself is conferred on a High Court by S. 526.

268 [S. 232.] All trials before a Court of Session shall be either by jury, or with the aid of assessors.
Trials before court of Session to be by jury or with assessors.

269 [S. 233, paras. 1, 2.] The Local Government may, by order in the official Gazette, direct that the trial of all offences or of any particular class of offences, before any Court of Session, shall be by jury in any District, and may revoke or alter such order.
Local Government may order trials before Court of Session to be by jury.

When the accused is charged at the same trial with several offences of which some are and some are not triable by jury, he shall be tried by jury for all such offences.

The Government of Bengal has ordered [*Cal. Gaz.* 1862, p. 87] that, in the Districts of the 24-Pergunnahs, Hooghly, Burdwan, Moorshedabad, Nuddea, Patna, and Dacca, the trial by any Court of Session of any of the offences provided for by Chapters VIII, XI, XVI, and XVII of the Indian Penal Code shall be by Jury. These Chapters relate to offences against the public tranquillity: false evidence and offences against public justice: offences affecting the human body: offences against property. On May 27th, 1862, (*Cal. Gaz.* 1862, p. 2041,) offences under Chapter XVIII of the Indian Penal Code, i. e., offences relating to documents and to trade or property marks, were added to the above list: and on October 13th, 1862. (*Cal. Gaz.* p. 3416,) the list was further extended by the addition of trials for abetments of, or attempts to commit, any of the above offences. In the Province of Assam all trials in the Sessions Court are held by
Gaz. 1862, p. 1286.

After the Government order that the trial of all offences by the Court of Session in Assam should be by Jury, Goalparah, then a District of Assam, was transferred to Cooch Behar, and made subordinate to the Sessions Court of that Province,—held, that trial by Jury in Goalparah ceased on its transfer from Assam.—*Khodeeram*, 8 W. R., 39. It has, however, since been ordered that the trial of all offences in the Sessions Court at Goalparah be held by Jury.—*Govt. of Bengal*, August 31, 1867.

IN MADRAS the Government has introduced the system of trial by Jury into the Sessions Courts of Chittoor, Cuddapah, Rajahmundry, Tanjore, Tranquebar, Cuddalore, and Vizagapatam, but only for the following offences:—

Theft, under Ss. 379, 380, 381, Penal Code.

Robbery or gang robbery, under Ss. 392—5, Ss. 397—9, Ss. 400—2.

Receiving stolen property, under Ss. 411, 412, 414.

House-breaking, &c. Ss. 451—8, S. 461.

In the Presidency of BOMBAY, trial by Jury is held only in the Sessions Court of Poona for all offences under Chapters VIII, XI, XII, XVI, XVII, XVIII of the Indian Penal Code, punishable with death, transportation for life, or imprisonment for ten years or upwards; also for all abettments, and attempts to commit, any of such offences.—*Govt. Bomb.*, Not. August 31, 1867. *Bomb. Gaz.* 1875, p. 798.

All offences tried before the Recorder or Judge of Rangoon or Moulmein shall be by Jury.—*British Burma, Gaz.* 1875, Part II, p. 233.

If an offence triable with the aid of Assessors is tried by a Jury, the trial shall not on that ground be invalid. If an offence triable by a Jury is tried with the aid of Assessors, the trial shall not on that ground only be invalid, unless the objection is taken before the Court records the finding.—S. 536.

Where a case triable partly by Jury and partly with Assessors was inadvertently tried by Jury and the error was discovered after taking the verdict of the Jury, it was not competent to the Judge to treat the trial, as regards some of the charges, to have been held with Assessors and to convict on those charges against the verdict delivered. After delivery of the verdict, the trial by Jury cannot be declared by the Sessions Judge to be invalid; he is not competent to change the character of the trial.—*Bhootnath Dey*, 4 Cal. L. R., 405.

270 [S. 235.] In every trial before a Court of Session, the prosecution shall be conducted by a Public Prosecutor.

Trial before Court of Session to be conducted by Public Prosecutor.

Public Prosecutors are appointed by the Governor-General in Council or the Local Government, generally, or in any case, or for any specified class of cases in any local area.—S. 492.

In Sessions trials, in the absence of the Prosecutor, or where no Public Prosecutor has been appointed, the District Magistrate or, subject to his control, the Sub-divisional Magistrate may appoint any other person not being an officer of Police below the rank of Assistant District Superintendent to be Public Prosecutor for the purpose of that case.—S. 493. See *Ramchunder Sircar*, 13 W. R., 18 where the practice of ordering the prosecution in Sessions trials to be conducted by officers of Police was declared to be objectionable. If a private person instructs a pleader, S. 4 (a), to conduct the prosecution, the Public Prosecutor shall conduct the prosecution, and the pleader so instructed shall act under his directions.—S. 493.

IN BOMBAY, the High Court has directed that Criminal Sessions shall commence in each District on the first Monday of each month, except when it shall be a close holiday, and, in that case, on the next Court-day. Sessions Judges are required to give notice to Magistrates once for all, up to what date after the commencement of the Sessions they will receive cases and prisoners for trial at the same Session.—*Bom. H. Ct. Cir.*, 32. *Bomb. Gaz.* 1879, p. 472.

IN BENGAL the following rules have been laid down for the fixing of periodical Sessions (*Cal. H. Ct.*, Cir. 5, Act 14, 1879, *Wilkins*, 101):—

The Judge will, in the first week of December in each year, fix the number of Sessions to be held in the year following, and the dates on which respectively they are to begin (the number varying with the estimated or average number of trials, and not being less than six or more than ten in each year) and the Magistrates of the District in communication with all the Subordinate Magistrates who exercise the power of committing to the Sessions, and obtaining from them the particulars of all cases committed by them, will prepare and submit to the Sessions Judge, two days before the commencement of each Session, a Calendar of all such cases in the form annexed:—

Calendar of accused persons for trial before the Court of Session.

Sessions of

18 .

Number of case.	Committing Officer.	Number and name of accused.	Charges and Section Indian Penal Code.	Date of offence.	Date of apprehension or appearance to summons.	Date of commitment.	In Jail or on Bail.
-----------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	--	------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------

The names of the witnesses should be placed on the back of the Charge Sheet.

The Magistrate will be careful to arrange the commitments with a view to the trial taking place at the earliest or the next ensuing Session, in order to avoid the needless detention of accused persons for prolonged periods.

Whenever a commitment is made, intimation will be immediately given to the Court of Session, through the Magistrate of the District, by a letter in the annexed form :—

From

THE MAGISTRATE OF

To

THE SESSIONS JUDGE OF

SIR,

I beg to report that have this day committed to take his trial before the Court of Session the person named in the margin on the charge specified below.

I have, &c.

A. B.,

Magistrate (as the case may be).

CHARGES.

1
2

It will be unnecessary for the Court of Session to send any answer fixing a date for the trial. But the Judge will be guided by the information which he thus receives in estimating the time which it will be necessary to devote to the Criminal Sessions, and consequently at what period he will be able to take up civil business thereafter.

Prosecutors and witnesses will be bound over to appear "at the next Criminal Sessions commencing on

But it will be the duty of the Magistrate, in order to prevent hardship and unnecessary detention to such persons, so to arrange the coming on of cases before the Court of Session that such parties may not be brought from their homes to the Sudder Station before they are actually required, and that they should have written notice of the specific date on which their attendance will be necessary, and it should be carefully explained that failure to attend will be severely dealt with.

The directions herein contained for committing Magistrates are to be observed, so far as they are applicable by Civil Courts and other authorities committing persons for trial at the Sessions.

In MADRAS the following rules are in force :—

A monthly Session for the trial of criminal cases is to be held in every District. Jurors, assessors, prosecutors, witnesses, and defendants admitted to bail should be required to attend on the first Monday, or, if that is an authorized holiday, on the first Court-day after the first Monday in each month. No departure from this rule is permissible without the previous sanction of the High Court. There will be no Sessions during the adjournment of the Courts but a special Session must be held before the adjournment. Due notice must be given in the District Gazette of the days of the month on which the several Sessions will respectively commence, and the Magistracy will make their commitments accordingly, taking care that there is sufficient time for the parties to reach the Court before the first day of the Sessions.

All cases in which the records may be received and the parties present before the first day of any Session should be tried at such Session.—Mad. H. Ct., April 10, 1862; Weir, *App.* xlv: Nov. 14, 1862; Weir, *App.* xlvii.

On the termination of each Session, a statement should be submitted to the High Court and published in the District Gazette show the number of cases and persons brought before the Court, the number disposed of and the number postponed, distinguishing those cases in which a capital sentence has been recorded.—Mad. H. Ct., Sept. 29, 1862; Weir, *App.* xlvii.

In the PUNJAB it has been ordered that Jail deliveries of each District should be held at intervals of not more than ninety days, if there are prisoners awaiting trial, the selection of the time being left to the Judge.—Smyth, p. 93.

271 [S. 237; Act X, 1875, Ss. 28, 29, 73.] When the Court is ready to commence the trial, the accused shall appear or be brought before it, and the charge shall

Commencement of trial. be read out in Court and explained to him, and he shall be asked whether he is guilty of the offence charged, or claims to be tried.

If the accused pleads guilty, the plea shall be recorded, and he may be

Plea of guilty. convicted thereon.

When any person is committed for trial without a charge or with an imperfect or erroneous charge, the Court, or, in the case of a High Court, the Clerk of the Crown may frame a charge, or add

to, or otherwise alter the charge as the case may be. (S. 226.) The Court may also alter any charge at any time before the verdict of the jury is returned or the opinions of the assessors are expressed, every such alteration being read and explained to the accused, (S. 227,) and may either proceed with the trial, (S. 228,) or direct a new trial or adjourn the trial for such period as may be necessary, (S. 229,) but whenever a charge is altered after the commencement of the trial, the prosecutor and the accused shall be allowed to recall or re-summon and examine with reference to such alteration any witness who may have been examined. (S. 231.)

A Sessions Judge is not competent to return a case for trial by the Magistrate who committed it to the Court of Session. He should rather try the case himself, and, if in his opinion it should have been tried by the Magistrate, he should point out the error in committing it.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Aug. 26, 1862. Weir, 280.

When the accused is charged with an offence after a previous conviction for any offence, that part of the charge shall be reserved until the accused has either pleaded guilty to, or been convicted of the subsequent offence.—A special procedure is provided for such cases.—See S. 310.

It should appear on the face of the proceedings that the charge has been duly read and explained to the accused and his plea shall be recorded.—Gopal Dhanook, L. R., 7 Cal., 96; (S. C.) 8 Cal. L. R., 471.

The accused person should plead to the charge by his own mouth, and not through his pleader.—Roopa Goulla, 15 W. R., 42.

Where a charge is regarding an offence which has a special meaning (*e. g.* murder) it should be fully explained to the prisoner; an admission that he killed the accused is not a plea of guilty.—Vaimbillee, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 826. An admission which is not an admission of all the elements of the charge is not a plea of guilty.—Gopal Dhanook, 8 Cal. L. R., 471. (S. C.) I. R., 7 Cal. 96.

So where the prisoner admitted that he had killed his wife, but added that at the time he was not in his right mind, a Judge should proceed to hold a regular trial.—Chey Ram, 5 All., 110.

No inference can be drawn from a plea; if it does not amount to a distinct confession of the charge, the charge must be proved.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Dec. 14, 1874; 7 Mad. Jur., 136.

If the accused person pleads guilty, it is unnecessary to call for an opinion from the Assessors (S. 231), or a verdict from the Jury (S. 232), or even to appoint Assessors.—Sreekant Ghosal, 10 W. R., 43; (S. C.) 2 B. L. R., Full Bench, 28.

When an accused person pleads guilty, and is forthwith convicted by the Sessions Judge, the following finding should be used:—The accused pleads guilty of _____, and the Courts directs, &c., &c.—8 W. R., 21, C. L.

If the accused person pleads guilty, it is not absolutely necessary to record the evidence for the prosecution [Cal. H. Ct., 359, 1882]; the Sessions Judge may record it if he thinks proper [*Id.*, 810, 1863]; but it may be sometimes necessary to proceed with the trial, as, for instance, when a person is accused of having intentionally given false evidence by making statements which are contradictory one of the other, and he may plead guilty of having falsely made one statement. Here the two statements may be both false; and because the prisoner has pleaded guilty to one charge, he should not of necessity be acquitted of the other. Looking to the special nature of such charge, the prisoner ought not to be allowed to elect which statement he shall admit to be false: the fact should rather be tried, as under S. 237 it is optional with the Court to do.—8 W. R., 6, C. L.

If the prisoner pleads guilty to a specific charge, he cannot on such plea be convicted of any other offence. Thus, if he pleads guilty to a charge of murder, he cannot be convicted of the lesser offence of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. If there are circumstances given in evidence before the committing Magistrate which apparently reduce the offence, the Sessions Judge, in exercise of his discretion, should hold the trial and take the verdict of the Jury.—Mad. H. Ct. Sept. 14, 1881. Weir, 302.

If the prisoner pleads guilty and the evidence before the committing Magistrate raises great doubt whether at the time of committing the offence, he was, by reason of unsoundness of mind, capable of knowing the nature of the act charged or that he was doing what was wrong or contrary to law, the Sessions Judge should not convict on that plea, but should proceed with the trial, recording the evidence and taking the verdict of the jury or the opinions of the assessors.—Vaimbillee, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 826; Cheyt Ram, 5 All., 110.

But if after pleading not guilty and claiming to be tried, a prisoner confesses in the course of the trial, the Sessions Judge cannot convict him on such confession without taking the verdict of the Jury. All the evidence including that confession should be laid before the Jury for their verdict.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Nov. 12, 1866. Weir, 301. This would also apply to the opinions of the Assessors where that form of trial is adopted.

272 [Ss. 238, 265; Act X, 1875; Ss. 30, 34.] If the accused refuses

to, or does not, plead, or, if he claims to be tried, the Court shall proceed to choose jurors or assessors, as herein after directed and to try the case.

Provided that, subject to the right of objection hereinafter mentioned, the same jury may try, or the same assessors may aid in the trial of, as many accused persons successively as the Court thinks fit.

Trial by same jury or assessors of several offenders in succession.

If the prisoner pleads not guilty, he must be tried; he cannot be convicted at once on a confession made to a Magistrate.—Hursookh, 2 All., 479. If there is no evidence offered for the prosecution, the prisoner should be called upon to plead to the charge, and if he pleads not guilty, the Judge should instruct the jury or assessors that they are bound to find him not guilty.—4 Mad. xxxix App., Pro., March 9, 1869 (S. C.) Weir, 304.

Any Public Prosecutor appointed by the Governor-General in Council or the Local Government may, with the consent of the Court, in cases tried by jury before the verdict of the jury, and in other cases before the judgment is pronounced, withdraw from the prosecution of any person, and upon such withdrawal, after a charge has been framed, he shall be acquitted. S.—494.

When the accused person makes no answer to the inquiry whether he is guilty or has any defence to make, it should be ascertained whether he is obstinately mute or dumb *ex visitations dei*. If he be found to be obstinately mute, the plea of not guilty should be recorded, and the trial should proceed. If he be found to be dumb *ex visitations dei*, an inquiry should be made as to whether he is sane or insane or incapable of being tried. If found sane, a plea of not guilty should be recorded, and the trial should proceed, but if found to be insane, the procedure laid down by Chapter XXXI should be followed.—Bom. H. Ct., Sattya Nandi Appa, August 9, 1869.

There should be a change of Assessors after the trial of every third or fourth case.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Feb. 1, 1863, Weir, 365.

The accused person should not be examined by the Sessions Judge immediately after he has been called upon to plead, if his plea be “not guilty.”—Sib Deb and others, 3 Agra, 85. An examination under the present Code is for the purpose of enabling the accused to explain any circumstance appearing in evidence against him.—S. 342.

273 [Act X, 1875, S. 14.] In trials before the High Court, when it appears to the High Court at any time before the commencement of the trial of the person charged, that any charge or any portion thereof is clearly unsustainable, the Judge may make on the charge an entry to that effect.

Such entry shall have the effect of staying proceedings upon the charge or portion of the charge, as the case may be.

Effect of entry. In a Sessions trial the Public Prosecutor with the consent of the Court can at any time withdraw from the prosecution of any person.—S. 494. See also note to S. 272 ante.

C.—Choosing a Jury.

274 [Act X, 1875, Ss. 33, 236.] In trials before the High Court the jury shall consist of nine persons.

Number of jury. In trials by jury before the Court of Session, the jury shall consist of such uneven number, not being less than three, or more than nine, as the Local Government, by order applicable to any particular district or to any particular class of offences in that district, may direct.

The number of the jury has been fixed by the several Local Governments thus: in BENGAL, five in cases in which the accused is not an European or American; Cal. Gaz. 1873, Part I, 741; in MADRAS, five in trials before Sessions Court; Gaz., 1873, p. 718; in BOMBAY, five when an European not being an European British subject or an American is the accused or one of the accused, and also in the Court of Session at Poona; Gaz., 1873, p. 129; in the N. W. PROVINCES, seven; Aug. 23, 1873, p. 1042; in the PUNJAB, nine in Lahore, Rawul Pindie and Peshawur, five in Umballa, Mooltan and Sealkote; three in all other Districts; Gaz., Jan. 30, 1873, p. 60; in the CENTRAL PROVINCES, five in Nagpore, Jubbulpore, Saugor, Raipore, Hoshungabad, three in all other Districts.—Gaz., Jan. 25, 1873, Part I A, p. 18.

275 [S. 241.] In a trial by jury, before the Court of Session, of a person not being an European or an American, a majority of the jury shall, if he so desires, consist of persons who are neither Europeans nor Americans.

Jury for trial of persons not Europeans or Americans before Court of Ses-

In trials of European British subjects before a Court of Sessions, if before the first juror is called and accepted, or the first assessor is appointed, as the case may be, any such subject requires to be tried by a mixed jury, or by a mixed set of assessors not less than half the number of jurors or assessors shall be Europeans or Americans or both Europeans and Americans.—S. 451.

276 [Ss. 240, 243; Act X, 1875, Ss. 33, 38, 49.] The jurors shall

Jurors to be chosen by lot. be chosen by lot from the persons summoned to act as such, in such manner as the High Court may from time to time by rule direct:

Proviso. Provided that—

first, pending the issue under this section of rules for any Court, the practice now prevailing in such Court in respect to the choosing of jurors shall be followed;

secondly, in case of a deficiency of persons summoned, the number of jurors required may, with the leave of the Court, be chosen from such other persons as may be present; and

thirdly, in the Presidency-towns—

Trials before special jurors. (a) if the accused person is charged with having committed an offence punishable with death, or

(b) if in any other case a Judge of the High Court so directs, the jurors shall be chosen from the special jury list hereinafter prescribed.

Subject to the right of objection, the same jury may try as many accused persons successively as the Court thinks fit.—S. 272.

The practice in the High Court, Bombay, original criminal jurisdiction, regarding choosing persons by lot is described in the case of *Reg. v. Vithaldas Pranjivandas* and others, I. L. R. Bomb. 462. For the practice in Calcutta see *Belchambers, Rules and Orders*, pp. 261, 262.

Before the commencement of the proceedings of a trial, the Sessions Judge should record, in English, the names of all the jurymen in attendance at the Sessions, and, after selection by lot, under S. 267, of the persons who constitute the jury in the particular case before the Court, the names of those selected. These papers should form part of the record of the case. If any objection be raised to any juror, the name of the objector, the nature of the objection, and the decision of the Court should be recorded.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, June 23, 1865.

277 [S. 243, paras. 1, 2; Act X, 1875, Ss. 47, 53.] As each juror

Names of jurors to be called. is chosen, his name shall be called aloud, and, upon his appearance, the accused shall be asked if he objects to be tried by such juror.

Objection may then be taken to such juror by the accused or by the prosecutor, and the grounds of objection shall be stated:

Objection without grounds stated. Provided that, in the High Court, objections without grounds stated shall be allowed to the number of eight on behalf of the Crown and eight on behalf of the person or all the persons charged.

278 [Ss. 244, 245, 405, 406; Act X, 1875, Ss. 47, 54, 57.] Any

Grounds of objection. objection taken to a juror on any of the following grounds, if made out to the satisfaction of the Court, shall be allowed:—

(a) some presumed or actual partiality in the juror;

(b) some personal ground, such as alienage, deficiency in the qualification required by any law or rule having the force of law for the time being

in force, or being under the age of twenty-one or above the age of sixty years;

(c) his having by habit or religious vows relinquished all care of worldly affairs;

(d) his holding any office in or under the Court;

(e) his executing any duties of police or being entrusted with police-duties;

(f) his having been convicted of any offence which, in the opinion of the Court, renders him unfit to serve on the jury;

(g) his inability to understand the language in which the evidence is given, or, when such evidence is interpreted, the language in which it is interpreted;

(h) any other circumstance which, in the opinion of the Court, renders him improper as a juror.

279 [S. 243, paras. 3, 4; Act X, 1875, Ss. 48, 55, 56.] Every objection

Decision of objection.

taken to a juror shall be decided by the Court, and such decision shall be recorded and be final.

If the objection is allowed, the place of such juror shall be supplied

Supply of place of juror against whom objection allowed.

by any other juror attending in obedience to a summons and chosen in manner provided by section 276; or, if there is no such other juror present, then by any other person present in the Court whose name is on the list of jurors, or whom the Court considers a proper person to serve on the jury, provided that no objection to such juror or other person is taken under section 278 and allowed.

If any objection be made to a juror, the name of the objector, the nature of the objection, and the decision of the Court should be recorded.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, June 23, 1865.

280 [S. 246; Act X, 1875, S. 58.] When the jurors have been

Foreman of jury.

chosen, they shall appoint one of their number to be foreman.

The foreman shall preside in the debates of the jury, deliver the verdict of the jury, and ask any information from the Court that is required by the jury or any of the jurors.

If a majority of the jury do not, within such time as the Judge thinks reasonable, agree in the appointment of a foreman, he shall be appointed by the Court.

281 When the foreman has been appointed, the jurors shall be sworn

Swearing of jurors.

under the Indian Oaths Act, 1873.

Christian jurors, to whom oaths are administered, should be sworn on the New Testament.

The following forms of oaths and affirmations for jurors have been introduced by the several High Courts:—

In BENGAL, (Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 12, June 7, 1873; Wilkins, 87).

(Oath.)

I swear that I will justly and truly try and determine the questions submitted to the jury in this case, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

So help me God.

(Affirmation.)

I solemnly declare that I will justly and truly try and determine the questions submitted to the jury in this case, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

In MADRAS, (Mad. H. Ct., Aug. 16, 1873.)

(Oath.)

You shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and a true verdict give according to the evidence.

So help you God.

(Affirmation.)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I will judge truly between the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

In the NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES, Cir. 4, May 2, 1873; and in the PUNJAB (C. Ct., Punjab Cir. IX, May 8, 1873; Smyth, 233, 234).

(Oath.)

I shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and give true verdict according to the evidence. So help me God.

(Affirmation.)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and give true verdict according to the evidence.

282 [S. 254.] If, in the course of a trial by jury, at any time

Procedure when juror ceases to attend, &c. before the return of the verdict, any juror, from any sufficient cause is prevented from attending throughout the trial, or if any juror absents himself, and it is not practicable to enforce his attendance, or if it appears that any juror is unable to understand the language in which the evidence is given, or, when such evidence is interpreted, the language in which it is interpreted, a new juror shall be added, or the jury shall be discharged and a new jury chosen.

In each of such cases the trial shall commence anew.

Any juror who fails to attend after an adjournment of the Court after being ordered to attend is liable by order of the Court of Session to a fine not exceeding one hundred Rupees, or, in default of recovery of the fine, to imprisonment in the Civil Jail for the term of fifteen days, unless the fine be paid before the end of that term.—S. 332.

283 [Act X, 1875, S. 99.] The Judge may also discharge the jury

Discharge of jury in case of sickness of prisoner. whenever the prisoner becomes incapable of remaining at the bar.

D.—Choosing Assessors.

284 [S. 239.] When the trial is to be held with the aid of assessors, two or more shall be chosen, as the Judge thinks

Assessors how chosen. fit, from the persons summoned to act as such.

The law does not as in the case of jurors provide for objections being made to an assessor. The choice of jurors is by lot, but of assessors entirely with the Sessions Judge who in exercising this power will no doubt pay every consideration to any reasonable objection raised. An European British subject under trial before a Court of Session may before the first Assessor is appointed, require the trial to be held by a mixed set of assessors not less than half of whom shall be Europeans or Americans, or both Europeans and Americans.—S. 451.

The same Assessors may aid in the trial of as many persons successively as the Court thinks fit.—S. 272.

285 [S. 259.] If, in the course of a trial with the aid of assessors,

Procedure when assessor is unable to attend. at any time before the finding, any assessor is, from any sufficient cause, prevented from attending throughout the trial, or absents himself, and it is not practicable to enforce his attendance, the trial shall proceed with the aid of the other assessor or assessors.

If all the assessors are prevented from attending, or absent themselves, the proceedings shall be stayed, and a new trial shall be held with the aid of fresh assessors.

An assessor failing to attend after an adjournment of the Court after being ordered to attend is liable by order of the Court of Session to a fine not exceeding one hundred Rupees or, on default of recovery of the fine imposed, to be imprisoned by order of the same Court in the Civil Jail for the term of fifteen days, unless such fine is paid before the end of that term.—S. 332.

E.—Trial to Close of Cases for Prosecution and Defence.

286 [S. 247; Act X, 1875, S. 59.] When the jurors or assessors have been chosen, the prosecutor shall open his case by reading from the Indian Penal Code or other law the description of the offence charged, and stating shortly by what evidence he expects to prove the guilt of the accused.

Examination of witnesses. The prosecutor shall then examine his witnesses.

The jurors or assessors may put any question to the witnesses through or by leave of the Judge which the Judge himself might put, and which he considers proper.—Act I, 1872, S. 166.

287 [S. 248; Act X, 1875, S. 60.] The examination of the accused duly recorded by or before the committing Magistrate shall be tendered by the prosecutor and read as evidence.

From the use of the words "by or before the committing Magistrate," S. 287 would not apply to a statement or confession recorded under S. 164 by another Magistrate. But such statement or confession would nevertheless be receivable in evidence if put in as it should be.

Whenever any document is produced before any Court purporting to be a statement or confession made by any prisoner or accused person taken in accordance with law and purporting to be signed by any Magistrate, the Court shall presume that the document is genuine; that any statements as to the circumstances under which it was taken, purporting to be made by the person signing it, are true; and that such statement or confession was duly taken.—Act I, 1872 (Evidence Act), S. 80.

If any Court before which a confession or other statement of an accused person recorded under S. 164 or 364 is tendered in evidence finds that the provisions of such section have not been fully complied with by the Magistrate recording the statement, it shall take evidence that such person duly made the statement recorded; and, notwithstanding anything contained in the Indian Evidence Act, S. 91, such statement shall be admitted if the error has not injured the accused as to his defence on the merits.—S. 533.

If the confession of an accused person before the Magistrate forms part of the evidence against the persons committed for trial to the Court of Session, it should be accompanied by a translation into English.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872. Wilkins, 105.

It is not optional with the prosecution to tender as evidence the examination of the accused person before the committing Magistrate. If it is not put in, the Judge is bound to call for it and to require it to be put in.—Sheikh Mehr Chand, 13 W. R., 63.

It should be put in and read as a part of the case for the prosecution, before the accused person is called upon to enter on his defence. It should be detached from the record of the preliminary inquiry, and attached to that trial (Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 11, Sept. 2, 1867, Wilkins, 104, also Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872, *Id.* 105) and marked as an exhibit, a note to the effect that this has been done being entered on the record.—Mad. H. Ct., March 31, 1869. Weir, 303.

The Judge is not bound to read over to the accused persons the confessions made by them to the Magistrate, and to ask them expressly if they have any objection to the reception of those confessions.—Misser Sheikh, 14 W. R., 9.

The statement made by an accused person must be taken in its entirety. In a case in which the only evidence against the prisoner was his statement that he accompanied the dacoits for a short distance, but turned back almost immediately, and had nothing to do with the dacoits, and did not even know that such an offence was in contemplation, it was held that this amounted to no evidence against him, and he was acquitted.—Greedharsee Manjee, 7 W. R., 39; see also Sheikh Boodhoo, 8 W. R., 38; also Krishto Mundul, 7 W. R., 7.

A confession made before a Magistrate, but retracted before the Sessions Court, is still evidence against the prisoner, and sufficient for his conviction, provided that the Court is satisfied that it was

voluntarily made and is genuine.—*Soemotee Mongola*, 6 W. R., 81; *Jhuree*, 7 W. R., 41; *Mussumat Jema*, 8 W. R., 40. It is not necessary that a confession should be corroborated by other evidence.—*Runjeet Sonthal*, 6 W. R., 73; *Chokoo Khan*, 6 W. R., 70; *Bhukan Rajwan*, 13 W. R., 49.

But where the only evidence in a Sessions trial is a confession made to a Magistrate, and subsequently retracted before the case left that Court, and misconduct on the part of the Police in the investigation is proved, it is not safe to convict on such evidence without corroboration.—*Suffirooddeen and others*, 2 Cal. L. R., 132.

The Evidence Act (I of 1872), S. 30, declares, that when more persons than one are being tried jointly for the same offence, and a confession made by one of such persons, affecting himself and some other of such persons, is proved, the Court may take into consideration such confession as against such person as well as against the person who makes such confession. This has been discussed in many judgments of the High Courts, the substance of which will be found on a reference to that law in the Appendix.

288 [S. 249; Act X, 1875, S. 75.] The evidence of a witness duly taken in the presence of the accused before the committing Magistrate may, in the discretion of the presiding Judge, if such witness is produced and examined, be treated as evidence in the case.

Evidence given at preliminary inquiry admissible.

To bring S. 288 into effect, the particular witness must have been examined in the High Court or Sessions Court, his evidence must have been taken before the committing Magistrate in the presence of the accused, and an opportunity for cross-examination given.—*Dham Mundul and others*, Cal. H. Ct., Feb. 25, 1880. A witness is not always examined in the presence of the accused, for instance, he may be examined by commission (Ss. 503-508), or, if it proved that the accused has absconded and there is no immediate prospect of arresting him, the committing Magistrate may, in his absence, examine witnesses on behalf of the prosecution and record their depositions, and any such deposition may be given in evidence on the subsequent trial of the accused, if the deponent is dead or is incapable of giving evidence or his attendance cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would be unreasonable.—S. 512. The Evidence Act S. 33 also enables a Court, under the same circumstances, and also if the witness is kept out of the way by the adverse party, to use as evidence, evidence given by a witness in a judicial proceeding for the purpose of proving in a subsequent judicial proceeding or in a later stage of the same judicial proceeding, the truth of the facts to which it relates. Provided, that the proceeding was between the same parties;

that the adverse party in the first proceeding had the right and opportunity to cross-examine, and

that the questions in issue were substantially the same in the first as the second proceeding—

Explanation. A criminal trial or inquiry shall be deemed to be a proceeding between the prosecutor and the accused within the meaning of this section.

If a Sessions Court acts in accordance with S. 288, it should incorporate with the record of its own proceedings the evidence taken by the committing Magistrate.—See Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872. *Wilkins*, 105.

A certificate of a Magistrate would, under S. 80 of the Evidence Act, afford *prima facie* evidence of the circumstances mentioned in it relative to the taking of the statement, &c., but the Magistrate did not record any of the facts necessary to render a deposition admissible under S. 288 of the Code. Moreover, if he did record those facts, still, inasmuch as the witness, when examined as a witness before the Sessions Court and asked about the alleged deposition, denied that it was the deposition made by him, the presumption allowed by S. 80 of the Evidence Act could not be made; and it became necessary to show by direct testimony that the conditions of S. 288 had been satisfied. Evidence was therefore called for by the High Court under S. 428 of the Code to prove the deposition within the terms of S. 288.—*Nussuroodden*, 21 W. R., 5.

"The purpose of S. 288, as recently amended, is to make depositions given before Magistrates in the preliminary inquiry evidence for the purposes of the trial in the Court of Session, only when the Sessions Judge determines, in the exercise of his discretion, that they are to be used in this way. But we think that exercise of this discretion, considering it as a matter of fact or of law, is open to review by this Court in appeal. When a case is under trial in a Court of Session, the Sessions Judge uses the depositions given in the Magistrate's Court before him. If he finds that the statements of the witnesses in his own Court differ materially from those previously made by the same witnesses, it is his duty to examine them as to the discrepancies, and this is more especially his duty when the witnesses are undefended, and contradictory testimony is given for the prosecution. But if he thus examines the witnesses, he ought—see *Taylor on Evidence*, Ss. 1300, 1301, and the *Indian Evidence Act*, S. 155,—in ordinary cases to make the depositions upon which he has examined them evidence

in the case; he is at liberty to do so, and the power should be exercised so as to bring all relevant matter, so far as possible, under consideration in forming a judgment on the case. If the Sessions Judge has omitted to examine witnesses on obvious and important discrepancies in their statements, this Court will, in general, direct that such an examination be made, and the Sessions Judge having the witnesses before him for such purpose, will, in most cases, feel it his duty to make the former depositions evidence *quantum valeant* for the purpose of the final adjudication on appeal. The alternative is for this Court in such cases to order a new trial on the ground that there has been a misuse of the Sessions Judge's discretion which may have caused a failure of justice, but a new trial will not be ordered except in special cases."—*Per West, J. Arjun Megra*, 11 Bom., 281.

The judgment of the Calcutta High Court in the case of *Amanoolia*, (21 W. R., 49, (S. C.) 12 B. L. R., 15 App) is very important in connection with S. 288:—

PHEAR, J.—In other words, the Judge founds his conviction of the prisoner on the charge of murder upon the testimony which was given before another judicial officer, not before himself, by the very persons who, according to his own view before him, showed themselves in the very same matter to be utterly unworthy of belief. Even if S. 288 warranted the Court in taking such a step as this, it seems to me certainly an inordinately long step to take, and I might almost say that the logical consequence would be that the taking of evidence in the Sessions Court might be altogether dispensed with: for if it is legitimate, proper, and safe that the Sessions Court should come to a verdict against the prisoner upon the evidence given before the Magistrate by witnesses who before the Sessions Court denied that evidence, and showed themselves unworthy of belief, *à fortiori*, it would be right, proper, and safe for the Sessions Court to found its judgment upon the evidence given before the Magistrate in those cases where the witnesses afterwards confirm that evidence by the testimony which they give in the Sessions Court. And I think that this very obvious consequence shows very conclusively that the Judge misapprehended the true scope of S. 288 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

It appears to me that the Legislature, in framing this enactment, desired merely to authorize the Court to take a particular statement made by a witness before the committing Magistrate as the true statement, notwithstanding that it was denied, or a statement inconsistent therewith was made by the witnesses before the Court itself, if the Court could see from the evidence of that same witness before itself, or of other witnesses before itself, that the original statement was worthy of belief. Not that the Court should discard wholly the testimony of witnesses given before it, and have recourse to the testimony of the same persons which was given elsewhere before another judicial officer on the occasion of making the investigation preliminary to the final trial. The discretion which is conferred by the passage "if the Court thinks fit" in S. 288 is to be exercised upon substantial materials rightly before the Court, and reasonably sufficient to guide the judgment of the Court to the truth of the matter, and not, as was the case here, upon mere speculation or conjecture.

MORRIS, J.—I quite agree in the view of the evidence taken by my learned brother in this case. I also think that it was not safe to convict the accused *Amanoolia* solely on the evidence given by the witnesses before the Magistrate—witnesses whom the Judge considered had perjured themselves before him. It seems to me that, under S. 288 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a Judge may base his judgment on the evidence given before the Magistrate in the presence of the accused, where there are special and particular reasons for considering that evidence to be honest and true, and when that evidence is, to a certain extent, corroborated by independent testimony before himself. In the present instance there is nothing of this kind. There is really no one such substantive fact conclusively proved as can enable the Judge to say with confidence that the evidence given before the Magistrate was true as opposed to what was said before himself. Nor can it be said that the Police officer or any other witness before the Court of Sessions affords independent and *à fortiori* corroborative of the evidence given before the Magistrate.

The question arose in the case of *Joyaddee Paramanick*, 7 Cal. L. R., 61, whether the statement of an approver before a Magistrate was admissible as evidence in the Sessions trial after he had retracted that statement and the pardon had been cancelled. The High Court was inclined to hold that the former statement was inadmissible except against the person who made it, as he had ceased to sustain the character of witness in the Sessions Court, but, in the absence of any argument in the case, the High Court declined to hold that evidence was absolutely irrelevant, adding that the value to be attached to it was so exceedingly small that it ought not to affect the case made against the other prisoners by the case of that evidence. In *Queen v. Hardewa* (5 All., 217) it was decided by the Allahabad High Court that if an approver in the Sessions Court retracts his statement before the Magistrate that statement is inadmissible against the prisoner. Similarly in *Empress v. Najam*, 2 Leg. Rem., 170, *Straight, J.* stated, "For my own part, I confess and entertain the grave doubts as to whether S. 288 was ever intended to be applied to the case of an approver who made a deposition before the Magistrate, but in the Sessions Court withdraws it in toto upon allegation, that it was not a voluntary but an enforced statement. Even if S. 288 has any effect, the Judge would have exercised a sounder discretion had he discarded the statement altogether. It was not the case of a witness giving evidence before him inconsistent with or contrary to a former statement or statements made to the committing Magistrate; on the contrary he admitted the depositions but declared that it was brought about by the coercion of the Police. At any rate the

proper course would have been to call his attention to the various passages of his deposition *seriatim* before using it to contradict him."

289 [S. 251, paras. 1, 2; Act X, 1875, S. 62.] When the examination of the witnesses for the prosecution and the examination (if any) of the accused are concluded, the accused shall be asked whether he means to adduce evidence.

If he says that he does not, the prosecutor may sum up his case; and if the Court considers that there is no evidence that the accused committed the offence, it may then, in a case tried with the aid of assessors, record a finding, or, in a case tried by a jury, direct the jury to return a verdict, of not guilty.

If the accused or any one of several accused says that he means to adduce evidence and the Court considers that there is no evidence that the accused committed the offence, the Court may then, in a case tried with the aid of assessors, record a finding, or, in a case tried by a jury, direct the jury to return a verdict, of not guilty.

If the accused, or any one of several accused, says that he means to adduce evidence, and the Court considers that there is evidence that he committed the offence, or if, on his saying that he does not mean to adduce evidence, the prosecutor sums up his case, and the Court considers that there is evidence that the accused committed the offence, the Court shall call on the accused to enter on his defence.

The judgment delivered in the case of Dhunnoo Kazee, 10 Cal. L. R., 151; (S. C.) I. L. R., 8 Cal., 121, is important as pointing out the relative positions and obligations of the prosecution and defence in a trial.

The only legitimate object of a prosecution is to secure not a conviction but that justice be done. The prosecutor is not therefore free to choose how much evidence he will lay before the Court. He is bound to produce all the evidence in his power directly bearing on the charge. It is *prima facie* his duty accordingly to call those witnesses who from their connection with the transaction in question must be able to give important evidence. The only thing which can relieve the prosecutor from calling such witnesses is the reasonable belief that if called they will not speak the truth. If such witnesses are not called without sufficient reason being shown, and the mere fact of their being summoned for the defence seems to us by no means necessarily a sufficient reason, the Court may properly draw an inference adverse to the prosecution. There is no corresponding inference against the accused. He is merely on the defensive and owes no duty to any one except himself. He is at liberty, as to the whole or any part of the evidence against him, to rely on the witnesses of the case for the prosecution, and to call witnesses and to meet the charge in any way he chooses: and no inference unfavourable to him can properly be drawn because he takes one course rather than another. If in the present case the witnesses referred to by the Sessions Judge are thought to be trustworthy men, then the prosecution was bound to call them. If they are thought not to be so, it is especially unreasonable to reproach the accused for not calling them.—Dhunnoo Kazee. 10 Cal. L. R., 121.

Witnesses examined before the committing Magistrate or summoned for the prosecution and not examined on the trial because the case is thought to be sufficiently proved should be tendered by the prosecutor for cross-examination by the accused. *A fortiori* if such a witness has been called and examined by the Court under S. 165 of the Evidence Act the prisoner should be allowed to cross-examine.—Grish Chunder Talookdar, I. L. R., 5 Cal. 614; (S. C.) 5 Cal. L. R., 364.

On the other hand it has been held by the Bombay High Court that as there is no provision of the Code entitling a person to have a witness for the prosecution who is not called put into the box for cross-examination. The Counsel for the defence might have applied to have such a witness examined or he might have commented on his not being examined for the prosecution or tendered for cross-examination —Futteechand Vastichand, 5 Bom. 85, *Crown Cases*.

It is not necessary to take the opinion of Assessors when the Court thinks that there are no grounds for proceeding.—Parvati, 7 Bom., 82, *Crown Cases*.

When the evidence, if believed, does not amount to proof, the case should not be put to the Jury, as a verdict of guilty cannot be sustained.—Rutton Dass, 10 W. R., 19. The Jury should be

instructed to return a verdict of acquittal. But when it is a question as to the credibility of the evidence, it must be left to the Jury, though the Judge himself may disbelieve that evidence.—*Hurro Shahu*, 18 W. R., 20.

With the permission of the Court any Advocate or Pleader may address the Court in English when any one of the Pleaders on the opposite side is acquainted with that language, or whenever the Senior of such Pleaders or his client consents to such.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 4, March 15, 1869. *Wilkins*, 75.

By the terms 'the prosecutor may sum up his case,' it is not intended to exclude the assistance of Counsel for this purpose when such assistance has been accepted by the public prosecutor or other officer conducting the prosecution.—*Narayan Pendshe*, 11 Bom., 102.

There is nothing in the law which prohibits a written defence; if presented, it should be received.—*Madad Ali Khan*, 2 Agra, 356. Sessions Judges should put on record any statement that the accused person may make on his being called upon to enter upon his defence; and if no statement be made by the accused, the fact should be noted by the Judge.—*Agra Sud. Ct. Cir.* 6, 1863.

If the accused makes any statement on his defence, it should be recorded. If he does not voluntarily make any statement, and declines to answer any question put by the Court, the fact should be noted, and when there is nothing else to show the nature of the defence, a note of the address to the Court (if any) should be recorded. The record is not complete unless it shows the nature of the defence set up.—*Gopal Hajjam*, 15 W. R., 16.

After the examination of the witnesses for the defence, the Sessions Judge recalled one of the witnesses for the prosecution and examined him. The proceedings were quashed and a fresh trial was ordered, because the prisoner had had no opportunity of making a defence or calling evidence with reference to the fresh evidence admitted after the prisoner had concluded his defence.—*Asanoolla*, 18 W. R., 15; but where evidence so received was evidence of which the prisoner had full notice, it was held that the irregularity was not one which had or could have occasioned a failure of justice, and therefore the High Court would not interfere.—*Sham Kishore Halder*, 13 W. R., 36.

290 [S. 251, para. 3; Act X, 1875, S. 62.] The accused or his pleader may then open his case, stating the facts or law on which he intends to rely, and making such comments as he thinks necessary on the evidence for the prosecution. He may then examine his witnesses (if any) and after their cross-examination and re-examination (if any) may sum up his case.

Every accused person may of right be defended by a pleader.—S. 340.

There is nothing in the law which prohibits a written defence; if presented, it should be received.—*Madad Ali Khan*, 2 Agra, 356. Sessions Judges should put on record any statement that the accused person may make on his being called upon to enter upon his defence; and if no statement be made by the accused, the fact should be noted by the Judge.—*Id.*, Cir. 6, 1863. Under S. 218 *ante*, if the accused person in the trial of a warrant case before a Magistrate puts in any written statement the Magistrate may file it with the record, but he is not bound to do so.

If the accused makes any statement on his defence, it should be recorded. If he does not voluntarily make any statement, and declines to answer any question put by the Court, the fact should be noted, and when there is nothing else to show the nature of the defence, a note of the address to the Court (if any) should be recorded. The record is not complete unless it shows the nature of the defence set up.—*Gopal Hajjam*, 15 W. R., 16; (S. C.) 13 W. R., 15, 36.

291 [S. 363; Act X, 1875, S. 85; Act IV, 1877, S. 91.] The accused shall be allowed to examine any witness not previously named by him, if such witness is in attendance; but he shall not, except as provided in sections 211 and 231, be entitled of right to have any witness summoned, other than the witnesses named in the list delivered to the Magistrate by whom he was committed for trial.

A Sessions Judge is bound to postpone a trial in which a witness summoned for the defence is absent, especially if he be a material witness, and the case cannot be satisfactorily decided in his absence.—*Isahn Dutt*, 6 B. L. R., lxxxviii, *App.*; (S. C.) 15 W. R., 34.

Under S. 214 the accused is required, after the charge has been read and explained to him, at once to give in, orally or in writing, a list of the persons (if any) whom he wishes to be summoned to give evidence on the trial, and the Magistrate in his discretion may allow him to give in any further list of witnesses at a subsequent time. The accused may also at any time before his trial before a

High Court give to the Clerk of the Crown a further list of witnesses whom he wishes to have summoned.

S. 231 declares the right of the accused to recall and resummon any witnesses examined when a case has been altered by the Court after the commencement of the trial.

292 [S. 252; Act X, 1875, S. 63.] If the accused, or any of the Prosecutor's right of accused, has stated, when asked under section 289, that he means to adduce evidence, the prosecutor shall be entitled to reply.

293 [S. 253; Act X, 1875, S. 64.] Whenever the Court thinks that the jury or assessors should view the place in which the offence charged is alleged to have been committed, or any other place in which any other transaction material to the trial is alleged to have occurred, the Court shall make an order to that effect, and the jury or assessors shall be conducted in a body, under the care of an officer of the Court, to such place, which shall be shown to them by a person appointed by the Court.

Such officer shall not, except with the permission of the Court, suffer any other person to speak to, or hold any communication with, any of the jury or assessors, and, unless the Court otherwise directs, they shall, when the view is finished, be immediately conducted back into Court.

The Calcutta High Court condemned the proceedings of a Sessions Judge who permitted the assessors in a trial to visit the scene of the alleged offence without adopting the precautions provided by S. 293, and ordered certain of the witnesses to attend with the assessors, at the same time pressing upon the latter the necessity of orally examining the witnesses, if they deemed proper to do so, in the presence of the accused, who would be present.—Chutterdhareo Singh, 5 W. R., 59.

If a Sessions Judge should desire to visit the scene of the alleged occurrence of the offence under trial, he should give notice to the parties and should proceed thither with the assessors and not after they have delivered their opinions and the case has closed and awaits delivery of the judgment. Where this course had been taken it was declared to be ill-advised and to be altogether without any authority.—Oudh Behari Narain Singh, 1 Cal. L. R., 143.

294 [S. 258; Act X, 1875, S. 69.] If a juror or assessor is personally acquainted with any relevant fact, it is his duty to inform the Judge that such is the case, whereupon he may be sworn, examined, cross-examined and re-examined in the same manner as any other witness.

The term "relevant fact" used here is to be found throughout the Evidence Act. See Ss. 5 *et seq.*, which declare what are relevant facts.

In the same way a Judge can be examined as a witness in a trial held before himself. See the case of Mookta Singh, 13 W. R., 60 (S. C.) 4 B. L. R., 15, in which the following remarks were made per Norman, J.:—"No doubt it is extremely inconvenient that a Judge sitting without a jury should try a case in which he himself is the complainant and principal witness. I should have no doubt that if he has any personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge, he is disqualified from trying it. But if that is not the case, if the Judge, in making the complaint, has acted merely in discharge of his duty as a public officer, I think we must say he is not incompetent to try the case."

In the same case, after citing the English cases, it was said:—"I think it pretty clear that a prisoner has a right to ask to have the evidence of the Sessions Judge who is trying him taken on a point which he thinks makes in his favour."

295 [S. 260; Act X, 1875, S. 67.] If a trial is adjourned, the jury or assessors shall attend at the adjourned sitting, and at every subsequent sitting, until the conclusion of the trial.

Jury or assessors to attend at adjourned sitting.

A Sessions Judge is bound to postpone a case in which a witness summoned for the defence is absent, especially if he be a material witness, and the case cannot be satisfactorily decided in his absence.—Isban Dutt, 6 B. L. R., lxxvii, *App.*; (S. C.) 15 W. R., 34.

Failure on the part of a juror or assessor to attend after an adjournment of the Court after being ordered to attend renders the juror or assessor liable to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, or, in default of recovery of the fine by attachment and sale of his moveable property, to imprisonment by order of the Court in the Civil jail for the term of fifteen days unless such is paid before the end of such term.—S. 332.

296 [Act X, 1875, S. 65.] The High Court may, from time to time, make rules as to keeping the jury together

Looking-up jury. during a trial before such Court lasting for more than one day, and, subject to such rules, the presiding Judge may order whether and in what manner the jurors shall be kept together under the charge of an officer of the Court, or whether they shall be allowed to return to their respective homes.

For the rules in the Bombay High Court see *Bomb. Gaz.* 1875, p. 653.

F.—Conclusion of Trial in Cases tried by Jury.

297 [S. 255; Act X, 1875, S. 90.] In cases tried by jury, when the case for the defence, and the prosecutor's reply (if any) are concluded, the Court shall proceed to charge

Charge to jury. the jury, summing up the evidence for the prosecution and defence, and laying down the law by which the jury are to be guided.

The Court of Session, in trials by jury, shall record the heads of the charge to the jury.—S. 367. It is not necessary that a statement of the Judge's direction to the jury should be reduced to writing before delivery, but it should represent with absolute accuracy the substance of the charge, so as to enable the High Court, in the event of an appeal, to see distinctly whether the case was fairly and properly placed before the jury.—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. Memo. 2, 1875; 23 W. R., 7, *Rules &c.* Wilkins, 113.

298 [S. 256; Act X, 1875, S. 91.] In such cases, it is the duty of the Judge—

Duty of Judge.

(a) to decide all questions of law arising in the course of the trial, and especially all questions as to the relevancy of facts which it is proposed to prove, and the admissibility of evidence or the propriety of questions asked by or on behalf of the parties; and, in his discretion, to prevent the production of inadmissible evidence, whether it is or is not objected to by the parties;

(b) to decide upon the meaning and construction of all documents given in evidence at the trial;

(c) to decide upon all matters of fact which it may be necessary to prove in order to enable evidence of particular matters to be given;

(d) to decide whether any question which arises is for himself or for the jury, and upon this point his decision shall bind the jurors.

The Judge may, if he thinks proper, in the course of his summing up, express to the jury his opinion upon any question of fact, or upon any question of mixed law and fact, relevant to the proceeding.

Illustrations.

(a) It is proposed to prove a statement made by a person not being a witness in the case, on the ground that circumstances are proved which render evidence of such statement admissible.

It is for the Judge, and not for the jury, to decide whether the existence of those circumstances has been proved.

(b) It is proposed to give secondary evidence of a document the original of which is alleged to have been lost or destroyed.

It is the duty of the Judge to decide whether the original has been lost or destroyed.

The term "relevancy of facts" is no doubt here used in the sense in which it is used in the Evidence Act. See S. 3 and Ss. 5—55 Act I, 1872.

It is no doubt useful, because it saves time, that the Judge should state to the jury in the narrative form so much of the facts as are admitted by both sides. But when he has reached this point, it is best that he explain distinctly the issues of fact that it remains for the jury to determine having regard to that part of the case which is admitted and to the charges upon which the prisoners are tried; and having made the jury understand these issues, the more convenient mode of summing up for him to adopt is to present to the jury as clearly and impartially as he can a summary of the evidence and the considerations and inferences to be drawn from the evidence as they bear both on the negative and affirmative sides of each of these issues. It is impossible, of course, for any Judge to state every item of evidence, or to draw the attention of the jury to every fact which has been leposed to, but he can, without difficulty, give them a summary of the leading points of the evidence and the considerations and inferences to be drawn from it on the one side or the other.

He may, if he thinks fit, under the last clause of S. 298, at the same time, express to the jury his own opinion on the facts; but that is a very different thing from that which the Judge has done in this case. The Judge has not simply expressed his opinion and then left all the evidence fairly before the jury on the one side, and on the other, for them to judge of it by the aid of his opinion if they choose to avail themselves of it. But he has endeavoured from the first to last to persuade the jury to take a particular view of the facts and of the inferences from the evidence which he has himself taken and drawn, and indeed he has left them no loophole for taking any other view. That is not in accordance with the Code, but is a course calculated in the most foolish way to withdraw altogether from the jury the actual decision of the case.—*Per Phear, J. Rajcoomar Bose, 10 B. L. R., 36, App.*

What a Judge says to a jury upon the law is an absolute and binding direction upon them. What he addresses to them on the facts are only such observations as he thinks it necessary and proper to make in assisting them to arrive at a conclusion upon the evidence which it is wholly in their province to deal with as they think proper, and the observations which a Judge would make to a jury upon the facts would be determined by circumstances which must vary, one might almost say, in every case and in every tribunal in the country. They would vary in a very great degree according to the intelligence of the jury whom the Judge was addressing; they would also vary very much according as the case had or had not been fully discussed both for and against the prisoners by Counsel previous to his addressing them. Had there been no discussion of a case by Counsel, it would undoubtedly be necessary for the Judge to point out many things which, after the case had been fully discussed on both sides, both for the Crown and the prisoner, might well seem to him unnecessary. And, on the other hand, a Judge has very often to caution a jury against accepting without careful consideration some of the suggestions that are made to them. When we are called upon to say whether or not a Judge has done his duty in addressing a jury on the facts, we must look to his summing-up as a whole, and see that the case has been fairly laid before them.—*Per Markby, J. Nim Chand Mookerjee, 20 W. R., 42.*

In appeal, objection was taken that in summing up to the jury the Sessions Judge had omitted to notice the evidence for the defence. The High Court read that evidence and found that the prisoner had not been prejudiced by the omission, as, if it had been noticed, the Sessions Judge would have had to point out to the jury that the witnesses were not in accord with one another, that their statements were discrepant, and that the evidence of the principal witness was wholly unreliable. The High Court added: "moreover we know that the prisoner was defended by Counsel and though particular points may not have been alluded to in the Judge's charge to the jury, we have little doubt that they were made, and properly made, much of by the prisoner's Counsel. It is not therefore to be assumed that these points were absent from the minds of the jury in considering their verdict. It is impossible for a Judge in summing up to go into every particular of the evidence. It is only necessary to direct the attention of the jury to the important and salient points in the case."—*Rochia Mahato, 1 L. R., 7 Cal., 42.*

On the whole, the result appears to be that the Legislature has laid it down as a maxim or rule of evidence resting on human experience that an accomplice is unworthy of credit against an accused person, i. e., so far as his testimony implicates an accused person, unless he is corroborated in material particulars in respect to that person; that it is the duty of the Court which in any particular case has to deal with an accomplice's testimony to consider whether this maxim applies to exclude that testimony or not; in other words, to consider whether the requisite corroboration is furnished by other evidence or facts proved in the case, though at the same time the Court may rightly, in exceptional cases, notwithstanding the maxim, and in the absence of this corroboration, give credit to the accomplice's testimony against the accused, if it sees good reason for doing so upon grounds other than, so to speak, the personal corroboration.

Now, in the case of a trial by jury, it is the function of the jury to ascertain the facts upon the evidence before them, and for that purpose to be guided by the law which is applicable; and it is in all cases the duty of the Judge to point out to them that law (S. 298, Criminal Procedure Code). It was therefore, in the present case, the duty of the Judge to lay before the jury substantially to the effect just set out the principles relative to the reception of an accomplice's testimony, which the Legislature sanctioned by the Indian Evidence Act; and we think the Judge was wrong in telling

the jury that this case was one in which no caution or instruction from him was needed on this head. It is in cases, when an accomplice's testimony is admitted, incumbent on the Judge to inform the jury of the results of the law bearing on this point, substantially as we have just endeavoured to explain it.—*Sadhu Mundul*, 21 W. R., 69.

Several cases regarding the duty of a Judge in laying before the jury the evidence of an accomplice are given in the notes to Ss. 30, 114, Evidence Act, *See Appendix*.

299 [S. 257; Act X, 1875, S. 93.] It is the duty of the jury—

Duty of jury. (a) to decide which view of the facts is true, and then to return the verdict which under such view ought, according to the direction of the Judge, to be returned;

(b) to determine the meaning of all technical terms (other than terms of law) and words used in an unusual sense which it may be necessary to determine, whether such words occur in documents or not;

(c) to decide all questions which according to law are to be deemed questions of fact;

(d) to decide whether general indefinite expressions do or do not apply to particular cases, unless such expressions refer to legal procedure, or less their meaning is ascertained by law, in either of which cases it is the duty of the Judge to decide their meaning.

Illustrations.

(a) A is tried for the murder of B.

It is the duty of the Judge to explain to the jury the distinction between murder and culpable homicide, and to tell them under what views of the facts A ought to be convicted of murder, culpable homicide, or to be acquitted.

It is the duty of the jury to decide which view of the facts is true, and to return a verdict accordance with the direction of the Judge, whether that direction is right or wrong, and whether they do or do not agree with it.

(b) The question is whether a person entertained a reasonable belief on a particular point, — whether work was done with reasonable skill or due diligence.

Each of these is a question for the jury.

See S. 303 and note thereunder regarding the verdict of a jury convicting of an offence expressly stated in the charge or any minor offence not so specified or in an alternative form.

But in a case of giving false evidence by making two contradictory statements, it is not necessary for the jury to state which of the two statements is false, but it is sufficient for it to find whether the allegations made in the charge are proved.—*Mahomed Homayoon Shah*, 12 W. R., 72, (S. C.) B. L. R., 324 *Per Corbett, C. J.* and nine Judges.

300 [S. 263, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 92.] In cases tried by jury

Retirement to consider. after the Judge has finished his charge, the jury may retire to consider their verdict.

Except with the leave of the Court, no person other than a juror shall, speak to, or hold any communication with, any member of such jury.

301 [S. 263, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 94.] When the jury have

Delivery of verdict. considered their verdict, the foreman shall inform the Judge what is their verdict, or what is the verdict of a majority.

302 [S. 263, para. 3; Act X, 1875, S. 96.] If the jury are not

Procedure where jury differ. unanimous, the Judge may require them to retire for further consideration. After such a period as the Judge considers reasonable, the jury may deliver their verdict although they are not unanimous.

It is only when the jury are not unanimous that they may be required to retire for further consideration. If they are unanimous their verdict must be received.

The terms of S. 302 are sufficiently complied with, if the jury under S. 238 returns a verdict of guilty of a minor offence forming part of one of the charges.—*Empress v. Mahaddi*, 6 Cal. L. R., 349 (S. C.) I. L. R., 5 Cal., 871; *Empress v. Harai Mriddha* and another, I. L. R., 3 Cal., 189: See note to S. 237 *ante*.

In a trial for murder the jury gave the following verdict:—"We have no doubt that the prisoner killed Nudce Ghose; we think Nudce Ghose gave no provocation; but we do not think it murder, because the prisoner had no object in killing him." The Calcutta High Court held that this was clearly not such a verdict as could have been received, and that the jury were properly directed by the Sessions Judge to re-consider their verdict. The Court further refused to question the subsequent verdict of the jury convicting the prisoner of murder.—*Okhoor Ghose*, 1 W. R., 50. The same Court held that a Sessions Judge acted irregularly in directing the jury to re-consider their verdict, declaring certain prisoners guilty of theft, because he considered that from the evidence they were guilty of robbery. The Court ordered a fresh trial, remarking that the Jury were the sole Judges of the credibility of the evidence which would convert the theft into robbery, and, as they apparently disbelieved such evidence, their first verdict was proper, and should have been accepted.—*Shakhawat Sheikh*, 2 W. R., 13.

A jury convicted a prisoner on the second head of a charge, acquitting him on the first. The Sessions Judge required them to re-consider their verdict, after which the Jury convicted on the first head of the charge. The Calcutta High Court held that the Judge had no power thus to control the jury, since, having left the several charges to the jury, it must be presumed that he considered that there was evidence in support of each of those charges, and it was for the jury alone to convict or acquit on the several charges as they thought proper. The Sessions Judge should have recorded the first finding of the jury which was their verdict, and should have sentenced the prisoner accordingly.—*Joy Krishno Ghosamee*, 7 W. R., 22.

303 [S. 263, para. 2; Act X, 1872, S. 92.] Unless otherwise ordered

Verdict to be given on each charge. by the Court, the jury shall return a verdict on all the charges on which the accused is tried, and the

Judge may question Jury. Judge may ask them such questions as are necessary to ascertain what their verdict is.

Questions and answers to be recorded. Such questions and the answers to them shall be recorded.

A jury may under certain circumstances return a verdict in the alternative, that is, when the verdict is one convicting the accused under the Penal Code and it is doubtful under which of two sections or under which parts of the same section of the Code, the offence falls, they may distinctly express the same and return a verdict on the alternative, (compare S. 367, Cl. iv. and S. 236, and see Illustrations to S. 236.) or the verdict may be one convicting of an offence which the accused is found to have committed, although he was not charged with it, provided that the circumstances are such as would bring the charge under S. 236, (See Illustrations to S. 237), or the verdict may be one convicting the accused of an offence not expressly specified in the charge but forming a portion of a graver offence charged and itself a minor offence. See S. 238. But under all circumstances, the jury, if so inclined to act, should ask for and obtain the instructions of the Judge. See *Mahaddi* and another, 6 Cal. L. R., 349 (S. C.) I. L. R., 5 Cal., 871.

It seems doubtful how far a Sessions Judge may put questions to the jury as the reasons of the verdict delivered. In the case of *Meahjan Sheikh*, 20 W. R., 50, Couch, C. J., and Birch, J., ordered a Sessions Judge to be informed that he ought not to do so, but in the case of *Udoy Chang*, 20 W. R., 73, Macpherson and Glover, JJ., remarked "the Judge never took the trouble to ascertain on what ground it was that the jury arrived at the verdict which they gave;" and in the case of *Sustiram Mundul*, 21, W. R., 1, Phear and Morris, JJ., made the following observations:—"It is only when it is necessary in order to ascertain what the verdict of the jury really is, that the Judge is justified in putting questions to the jury. Unless a necessity of this kind truly exists, the questions are not justified in law. No doubt the Legislature thought that it would be very dangerous to give the Sessions Court the power of cross-examining the jury after they had delivered their final verdict, with a view to show that the conclusions at which they had arrived were not logical or inconsistent, or in order to provide materials upon which the Judge might be enabled afterwards to dispute the finality of the verdict. But in this instance it does appear from the answers which the foreman returned on being asked to give the verdict of the Jury on the first charge, that there was at the time some lurking uncertainty in the minds of the Jury themselves in regard to their verdict: and we think that this uncertainty in their minds made itself apparent to the Judge, and that therefore, on the whole that the questions which were put by him were rightly put within the discretion vested in him by S. 263. This being so, there was no verdict delivered, and there could have been no verdict formally

recorded until the last of the questions was answered; it is very clear that, upon the finding of facts which the answers of the jury taken together disclose, the verdict ought to have been a verdict of guilty on the first charge, namely, the charge of murder."

In the case of Mukhun Kumar, 1 Cal. L. R., 275, Markby, J. expressed his opinion that a jury should not be questioned by a Judge as to the grounds on which its conclusion is based. Prinsep, J., however, differed approving of the case of Sustiram Mundul, 21 W. R., 1, and observing that such a course would enable the Judge to decide whether a case should be submitted to the High Court.

But see *contra* Dhannu Kazoo, 11 Cal. L. R., 169 in which it was held that where the jury has returned a plain simple verdict of "not guilty," though it may be erroneous but not ambiguous, the duty of the Judge is to receive it and record it without asking any questions about it. The High Court further refused to consider the answers given by the jury because the Judge had no authority to put the questions which called forth the answers.

The law does not prescribe any specific form in which the jury are to return their finding. The jury is at liberty to deliver it in a form that they think fit, and if that finding is not exhaustive as to the facts in issue which go to make up the charge or charges, it is competent to the Judge, and is indeed his duty, to put such questions to them as shall elicit a complete finding. A question whether the jury find the accused guilty of the charge under one of the sections named, and, if so, under which, is unobjectionable, where it is clear that the jury have the distinction between such sections present to their minds, and that putting such a question is not putting to them a question of law. It is merely a short way of stating somewhat quite familiar for the moment to the questioner and the person questioned, and it is inconsistent with common sense to require that a question so put should contain every word of the section referred to.—Hurri Prashad Gangooly, 8 B. L. R., 557.

304 When by accident or mistake a wrong verdict is delivered, the jury may, before or immediately after it is recorded, amend the verdict and it shall stand as

Amending verdict.

ultimately amended.

This section is new.

305 [Act X, 1875, Ss. 97, 98.] When in a case tried before a High

Verdict in High Court Court the jury are unanimous in their opinion, or when as many as six are of one opinion and the Judge agrees with them, the Judge shall give judgment in accordance with such opinion.

When in any such case the jury are satisfied that they will not be unanimous, but six of them are of one opinion, the foreman shall so inform the Judge.

If the Judge disagrees with the majority, he shall at once discharge the

Discharge of jury in other cases.

If there are not so many as six who agree in opinion, the Judge shall, after the lapse of such time as he thinks reasonable, discharge the jury.

306 [S. 263, para. 4.] When in a case tried before the Court of

Verdict in Court of Session when to prevail. Session the Judge does not think it necessary to express disagreement with the verdict of the jurors, or of a majority of the jurors, he shall give judgment accordingly.

If the accused is acquitted, the Judge shall record judgment of acquittal. If the accused is convicted, the Judge shall pass sentence on him according to law.

If sentence of death is passed the proceedings shall be submitted to the High Court and sentence shall not be executed unless it is confirmed by such Court.—S. 374.

Because the Sessions Judge does not agree with the verdict of the Jury convicting the accused, is no valid reason for his passing a nominal sentence. By doing so he usurps the function of the Jury. Unless he thinks proper to refer the case under S. 307, it is the Judge's duty to pass a sentence adequate to the offence of which the prisoner has been convicted.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Nov. 8, 1866; Weir, 304; 3 W. R., 16, C. L.

If the prisoner is acquitted, no warrant of release or intimation to the Jail authorities is necessary. The prisoner is entitled to be discharged from custody immediately on judgment of acquittal being pronounced, and if there is no further charge pending against him, his further detention is illegal. It is for the Jail authorities in whose custody the prisoner was until the trial was concluded to satisfy themselves of the result of the trial and no formal warrant of release ordered by the Court to the Superintendent of the Jail is necessary.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Oct. 30, 1869. Weir, App. 1.*

307 [S. 263, paras. 5, 6.] If in any such case the Sessions Judge disagrees with the verdict of the jurors, or of a majority of the jurors, on all or any of the charges on which the accused has been tried, so completely that he considers it necessary for the ends of justice to submit the case to the High Court, he shall submit the case accordingly, recording the grounds of his opinion, and, when the verdict is one of acquittal, stating the offence which he considers to have been committed.

Whenever the Judge submits a case under this section, he shall not record judgment of acquittal or of conviction on any of the charges on which the accused has been tried, but may either remand the accused to custody or admit him to bail.

In dealing with the case so submitted the High Court may exercise any of the powers which it may exercise on an appeal; but it may acquit or convict the accused of any offence of which the jury could have convicted him upon the charge framed and placed before it; and, if it convicts him, may pass such sentence as might have been passed by the Court of Session.

The disagreement of the Sessions Judge must be such a complete disagreement as to lead the Judge to consider it necessary for the ends of justice to submit a case to the High Court, not a mere expression of disagreement with the verdict coupled with his recording that it was not necessary to submit the case.—*Bhowani bin Panduji, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb. 525.* But when the Judge differs from the verdict of acquittal by a Jury and does not submit the case to the High Court, the Government has the right to appeal against the verdict.—*Hari Ghanu, 1. L. R., 2 Bomb., 526 note.*

In a case submitted to the High Court under S. 307, it is for the prosecutor on behalf of Government who asks for a conviction to begin and satisfy the Court that there is a case calling for an answer from the prisoner.—*Ram Churn Ghose, 20 W. R., 33.*

It should be noted that if the Sessions Judge submits a case in which the Jury have returned a verdict of acquittal he should state the offence which he considers to have been committed.—*See Sahae Rai, 2 Cal. 1. L. R., 304; (S. C.) 1. L. R., 3 Cal, 623.*

The powers given to the High Court by S. 307 are not to be lightly exercised, and the unanimous verdict of a Jury ought not to be set aside even if a Sessions Judge disagrees with it, unless that verdict is clearly and patently wrong and unsustainable.—*Per Phear and Morris, JJ., Huroo Manjhee, 21 W. R., 4 (S. C.) 14 B. L. R., 2 App.* Similarly in the case of *Ram Churn Ghose, 20 W. R., 33 (per Markby, J., Birch, J., concurring)*:—“The High Court will not interfere with the verdict of a Jury unless it be established in the clearest possible manner that they have wholly miscarried in their conclusions on the case. They are the constituted tribunal upon questions of facts, and it would be wholly destructive of that institution if the greatest possible confidence is not placed in them. See also *Sham Bagdee, 20 W. R., 74 (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 19 app.*—“The High Court ought not to interfere with the verdict of a Jury, unless it can say decidedly that it is clearly wrong. If the High Court is to interfere in every case of doubt, in any case in which it may with propriety be said that the evidence would have warranted a different verdict, then it must be held that real trial by Jury is absolutely at an end, and that the verdict of a Jury is of no more weight than the opinion of Assessors. If this were the intention of the Legislature, it would have said so. But the Legislature has not said so. The Court should exercise the powers vested in it by S. 307 only in cases in which it finds the verdict of the Jury clearly and undoubtedly wrong.”

The Jury on being called on for their verdict, returned answer, that they found the charge proved by the evidence of the witnesses, but that, as there were no eye-witnesses, they could not convict the accused. The Sessions Judge then requested the Jury to state distinctly whether they found the accused to be guilty or not guilty, and the foreman said that they unanimously found the accused to be not guilty. The Sessions Judge, under S. 307, referred the case to the High Court, which, on perusing the evidence, held, that the charge of murder was completely established, and sentenced the prisoner to transportation for life. The High Court pointed out that the Judge might properly have explained to the Jury that the law does not require that a charge of

murder should be proved by the testimony of one or more eye-witnesses, and that it was for them to determine without any reference to any such supposed rule, whether on the evidence before them there was any reasonable doubt of the prisoner being the person who caused the death of the deceased, and that, if they had no such reasonable doubt, they were bound to give effect to the conclusion at which they had arrived in the first instance, that the charge was proved.—Gokool Kahar, 25 W. R., 36.

In the case of *Khanderav Bajirav*, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 10 the Bombay High Court made the following remarks regarding S. 307, and their powers of interfering with the verdict of a Jury:—"It is a well recognized principle that the Courts in England will not set aside the verdict of a Jury, unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by an error of the Judge. We adhere generally to this principle, notwithstanding our large discretionary powers, first, on the constitutional ground of taking as little as possible out of the hands to which it has been primarily assigned by the Legislature, and, secondly, because any undue interference may tend to diminish the sense of responsibility. Burko, profoundly versed in the principle of the British Constitution, said of Juries; 'I will make no man or set of men a complement of the constitution.' In this country, we must never let our acquiescence grow into a betrayal of justice. When Juries know that they are liable to the scrutiny and supervision of this Court they will feel the necessity of exercising conscientious deliberation in arriving at their verdict. The same check will prevent temptation to a wilfully wrong verdict from being held out to them. It is our duty in the present case to satisfy ourselves that the verdict of acquittal is proper, or at least sustainable, and if we find that it is not, the law enjoins on us to set it aside and pass the right judgment ourselves." The Court proceeded to hold that the Judge had not charged the Jury as he should have done, and that being misled by his charge, the Jury had delivered a wrong verdict. The Court then said, "the acquittal is not to be set aside the less, because the Judge and the Jury have both committed a mistake. Taking this view we reverse the Jury's verdict." The prisoners were convicted of dacoity accompanied with grievous hurt, and sentenced.

Where there is a patent and unquestionable failure of justice, it is necessary for the High Court to set aside the verdict of a Jury, but so long as trial by Jury exists, the verdict of a Jury must be accepted, and must stand, unless it is manifestly and certainly wrong.—*Per Macpherson and Morris, JJ.*, *Wazir Mundul*, 25 W. R., 25. See *contra* *Mukhan Kumar*, 1 Cal. L. R., 275 where the Calcutta High Court (*Garth, C. J.*, *Markby and Prinsep, JJ.*), held that no fixed rules could be laid down for the exercise of the discretion of the High Court, but that the decision of each case must depend upon its own peculiar circumstances and the majority (*Garth, C. J.*, and *Prinsep, J.*), held that the case of *Wazir Mundul*, 25 W. R., 25, went too far.

It may be that another Jury would have come to a different conclusion on the evidence, but at the same time there are reasons for suspicion to warrant the Jury in disbelieving the witnesses in the present case and in giving the prisoner the benefit of the doubts raised by the inconsistencies in the evidence. The High Court accordingly refused to interfere.—*In re Hurree Narain Mookerjee*, 2 Cal. L. R., 518.

In the case of *Tillukdharee*, 2 Cal. L. R., 1, the Calcutta High Court (*L. S. Jackson and Cunningham, JJ.*), held on consideration of the evidence that there was no reason to discredit the evidence for the prosecution which was consistent with the probabilities of the case and accordingly in concurrence with the one Juror and the opinion of the Sessions Judge, and against the verdict of the Jury the High Court convicted the accused.

The Calcutta High Court requires (March 11th, 1863) that in all cases tried by Jury, Sessions Judges shall, in the periodical statements submitted by them, state whether such verdict has their concurrence, and whether, in their opinion, the evidence in the case warranted the particular finding.—*Cir. 5, Oct. 14, 1879. Wilkins, 25.*

G.—Re-trial of Accused after Discharge of Jury.

308 [Act X, 1875, S. 100.] Whenever the jury is discharged, the

Re-trial of accused after discharge of Jury. accused shall be detained in custody or on bail (as the case may be), and shall be tried by another jury, unless the Judge considers that he should not be re-tried, in which case the Judge shall make an entry to that effect on the charge, and such entry shall operate as an acquittal.

H.—Conclusion of Trial in Cases tried with Assessors.

309 [S. 255, para. 1; Ss. 261, 262.] When, in a case tried with the

Delivery of opinions of assessors. aid of assessors, the case for the defence and the prosecutor's reply, if any, are concluded, the Court

may sum up the evidence for the prosecution and defence, and shall then require each of the assessors to state his opinion orally, and shall record such opinion.

The Judge shall then give judgment, but, in doing so, shall not be bound to conform to the opinions of the assessors.

If the accused is convicted, the Judge shall pass sentence on him according to law.

S. 309 enables the Court to sum up the evidence for the prosecution and defence in a case tried with assessors which was very doubtful under the former law. There is, however, no provision requiring that any statement of such summing up shall form portion of the record as in S. 367 with respect to trials by Jury.

The record of the opinion of each Assessor should appear at the commencement of the judgment of the Sessions Judge. It is not, in the High Court's opinion, sufficient that the record should contain a mere verdict of guilty or not guilty, or proven or not proven; what the High Court requires, is not only the result arrived at by each assessor sitting on a Sessions trial, but, if possible, the reasons by which each Assessor arrived at that result, that is, the grounds of his opinion. While avoiding prolixity, a Sessions Judge should be careful to be intelligible and precise in recording such opinions.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. Pro., 4, June 23, 1865; Wilkins, 105. This is more particularly necessary when the Judge differs from the assessors.—Musst. Mina Naggerbhatun, 3 W. R., 6: Bushmo Aurut, 1 W. R., 21.

Even where no evidence is offered for the prosecution (if the prisoner has pleaded not guilty to the charge) the opinion of the assessors should be taken and recorded. The Sessions Judge in such a case should direct them to acquit the accused.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., March 9, 1869, 4 Mad. xxxix App. (S. C.) Weir, 304.

Ss. 366—373 provide for the form of a judgment, its delivery and other particulars. If the sentence of death is passed, the proceedings shall be submitted to the High Court and sentence shall not be executed unless it is confirmed by such Court.—S. 364. When the accused is sentenced to death by a Sessions Judge, such Judge shall further inform him of the period within which, if he wishes to appeal, his appeal shall be preferred.—S. 371, last para.

If the prisoner is acquitted no warrant of release or intimation to the Jail authorities is necessary. The prisoner is entitled to be discharged from custody immediately on judgment of acquittal being pronounced, and, if there is no further charge pending against him, his further detention is illegal. It is for the Jail authorities in whose custody the prisoner was until the trial was concluded to satisfy themselves of the result of the trial, and no formal warrant of release ordered by the Court of the Superintendent of the Jail is necessary.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Oct. 30, 1869, Weir, App. 1.

I.—Procedure in Case of Previous Conviction.

310 In the case of a trial by jury or with the aid of assessors, where the accused is charged with an offence committed after a previous conviction for any offence, the procedure laid down in sections 271, 286, 305, 306 and 309, shall be modified as follows:—

(a) The part of the charge stating the previous conviction shall not be read out in Court, nor shall the accused be asked whether he has been previously convicted as alleged in the charge, unless and until he has either pleaded guilty to, or been convicted of, the subsequent offence.

(b) If he pleads guilty to, or is convicted of, the subsequent offence, he shall then be asked whether he has been previously convicted as alleged in the charge.

(c) If he answers that he has been so previously convicted the Judge may proceed to pass sentence on him accordingly; but, if he denies that he has been so previously convicted, or refuses to, or does not, answer such question, the jury or the Court and the assessors (as the case may be) shall then inquire concerning such previous conviction, and in such case (where the trial is by jury) it shall not be necessary to swear the jurors again.

This section is new. S. 511 provides special means of proving a previous conviction.

J.—List of Jurors for High Court, and summoning Jurors for that Court.

311 [Act X, 1875, Ss. 39, 41.] In each Presidency-town, the jurors' book for the year current when this Code comes into force shall be taken as containing a correct list of persons liable to serve as jurors under this chapter.

Jurors' book.

Those persons whose names are entered in the jurors' book as being liable to serve on special juries only, shall be deemed to be persons privileged and liable to serve only as special jurors under this chapter during the year for which the said list has been prepared.

312 [Act X, 1875, S. 40.] The names of not more than two hundred persons shall at any one time be entered in the special jurors' list.

Number of special jurors.

313 [Act X, 1875, Ss. 42, 43.] The Clerk of the Crown shall, before the first day of April in each year, and subject to such rules as the High Court from time to time prescribes, prepare—

Lists of common and special jurors.

- (a) a list of all persons liable to serve as common jurors; and
- (b) a list of persons liable to serve as special jurors only.

Regard shall be had, in the preparation of the latter list, to the property, character and education of the persons whose names are entered therein.

No person shall be entitled to have his name entered in the special jurors' list merely because he may have been entered in the special jurors' list for a previous year.

The Governor-General in Council in the case of the High Court at Calcutta, and, in the case of other High Courts, the Local Government, may exempt any salaried officer of Government from serving as a juror.

The Clerk of the Crown shall, subject to such rules as aforesaid, have full discretion to prepare the said lists as seems to him to be proper, and there shall be no appeal from, or review of, his decision.

The Govt. of Madras has exempted several salaried officers of Govt. from serving as jurors.—See *Gas.* 1876, *Supplement*, p. 1; *Ibid*, 1874, p. 105.

314 [Act X, 1875, S. 44.] Preliminary lists of persons liable to serve as common jurors, and as special jurors, respectively, signed by the Clerk of the Crown, shall be published once in the local official Gazette before the fifteenth day of April next after their preparation.

Revised lists of persons liable to serve as common jurors and special jurors, respectively, signed as aforesaid, shall be published once in the local official Gazette before the first day of May next after their preparation.

Copies of the said lists shall be affixed to some conspicuous part of the Court-house.

315 [Act X, 1875, S. 45.] Out of the persons named in the revised lists aforesaid, there shall be summoned for each sessions in each Presidency-town at least twenty-seven of those who are liable to serve on special juries, and fifty-four of those who are liable to serve on common juries.

Number of jurors to be summoned in Presidency-town.

No person shall be so summoned more than once in six months unless the number cannot be made up without him.

If, during the continuance of any sessions, it appears that the number of persons so summoned is not sufficient, such number as may be necessary of other persons liable to serve as aforesaid shall be summoned for such sessions.

316 [Act X, 1875, S. 50.] Whenever a High Court has given notice of its intention to hold sittings at any place outside the Presidency-towns for the exercise of its original criminal jurisdiction, the Court of Session at such place shall, subject to any direction which may be given by the High Court, summon a sufficient number of jurors from its own list, in the manner hereinafter prescribed for summoning jurors to the Court of Session.

See Ss. 335, 336.

317 [Act X, 1875, S. 51.] In addition to the persons so summoned as jurors, the said Court of Session shall, if it thinks needful, after communication with the Commanding Officer, cause to be summoned such number of Commissioned and Non-commissioned officers in Her Majesty's Army resident within ten miles of its place of sitting, as the Court considers to be necessary to make up the juries required for the trial of persons charged with offences before the High Court as aforesaid.

All officers so summoned shall be liable to serve on such juries notwithstanding anything contained in this Code; but no such officer shall be summoned whom his Commanding Officer desires to have excused on the ground of urgent military duty, or for any other special military reason.

318 [Act X, 1875, S. 46.] Any person summoned under section 315, section 316 or section 317, who, without lawful excuse fails to attend as required by the summons, or who, having attended, departs without having obtained the permission of the Judge, or fails to attend after an adjournment of the Court after being ordered to attend, shall be deemed guilty of a contempt and be liable by order of the Judge to such fine as he thinks fit; and, in default of payment of such fine, to imprisonment in the civil jail until the fine is paid.

If a trial is adjourned, the jurors shall attend at the adjourned sittings, and at every subsequent sitting, until the conclusion of the trial.—S. 295.

K.—List of Jurors and Assessors for the Court of Session, and summoning Jurors and Assessors for that Court.

319 [S. 404.] All male persons between the ages of twenty-one and sixty shall, except as next hereinafter mentioned, be liable to serve as jurors or assessors at any trial held within the District in which they reside.

320 [Ss. 405, 406.] The following persons are exempt from liability to serve as jurors or assessors, namely:—

Exemptions.

- (a) Officers in civil employ superior in rank to a District Magistrate;
- (b) Judges;
- (c) Commissioners and Collectors of Revenue or Customs;

(d) Persons engaged in the Preventive Service in the Customs Department;

(e) Persons engaged in the collection of the revenue whom the Collector thinks fit to exempt on the ground of official duty;

(f) Persons actually officiating as priests or ministers of their respective religions;

(g) Persons in Her Majesty's Army, except when, by any law in force for the time being, they are specially made liable to serve as jurors or assessors;

(h) Surgeons and others who openly and constantly practice the medical profession;

Hospital assistants attached to the Dispensary and Jail come within the category.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Aug. 15, 1873. *Weir*, 365.

(i) Persons employed in the Post-office and Telegraph Departments;

(j) Persons exempted from personal appearance in Court under the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, sections 640 and 641;

(k) Other persons exempted by the Local Government from liability to serve as jurors or assessors.

In MADRAS, several persons have been exempt from liability to serve as jurors or assessors either by virtue of their holding certain offices or following certain professions.—*See Mad. Gaz.*, 1876, *Supplement*, p. 1.

Pleaders and mookhtars do not hold any office under a Court of Session and are therefore not incapable of serving as jurors or assessors (7 W. R., C. L.), but it is inexpedient that their names should be included in the Collector's list of persons qualified to serve in those capacities, if a sufficient number of other persons is available.—*Mad. Sud. Ct.*, April 28, 1862. Advocates, Vakils and Attorneys of the High Court have been specially exempt from such service in the Presidency of Madras.—*Gaz.*, 1876, *Supplement*, p. 1; *Ibid*, 1874, p. 105.

What under S. 405 of the Code of 1872 was an incapacity to serve as a juror or assessor has now been made the ground of a valid objection. (S. 278). All such persons are liable to serve as jurors or assessors unless so objected to, or unless in the exercise of their discretion the Sessions Judge and the Collector of the District or other officer appointed by the Local Government for this duty does not include them in the list prepared under S. 321.

The following persons have been exempted from liability to serve as jurors or assessors in the N. W. Provinces:—District Locomotive Superintendents and Assistant Locomotive Superintendents of the East Indian Railway Company.—*Gaz.*, 1875, p. 1076; Engineers in charge of the open line; Engineering Inspectors employed thereon; Locomotive foremen and drivers in charge at changing Station; Drivers of Pilot Engines; Station-masters being officials of the Oude and Rohilkhand Railway Co., *Ibid*, p. 171.

321 [S. 400.] The Sessions Judge, and the Collector of the District

or such other officer as the Local Government appoints in this behalf, shall prepare and make out in alphabetical order a list of persons liable to serve as jurors or assessors and qualified, in the judgment of the Sessions Judge and Collector or other officer as aforesaid, to serve as such, and not likely to be successfully objected to under section 278, clauses (b) to (h), both inclusive.

The list shall contain the name, place of abode and quality or business of every such person; and if the person is an European or an American, the list shall mention the race to which he belongs.

In BENGAL, the radius of the area of selection has been fixed at twenty miles in the Districts of Nuddea and Patna, and at fifteen miles in other districts.—*Govt. Order*, June 13, 1865.

In the District of Beerbhoom the area of selection for jurors of European or American birth has been declared to be conforming with the limits of that District.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 259.

In the PANJAB, the area within which assessors may be selected has been fixed at twenty-four miles from the place where trials before the Court of Session are held.—*Govt. Not.* 80, June 22, 1866.

In the Presidency of BOMBAY, a distance of twelve miles from the town has been fixed as the radius of the area of selection of jurors and assessors for trials held at Dharwar (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 6); and of sixteen miles for trials held at Kaladgi. (*Ibid.*, p. 99).

In BRITISH BURMAH, all Deputy Commissioners and the Magistrates of the towns of Akyab, Rangoon, and Moulmein, have been appointed to prepare lists of jurors and assessors.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 7.

322 [S. 401, para. 1.] Copies of such list shall be stuck up in the office of the Collector or other officer as aforesaid, and in the Court-houses of the District Magistrate and of the District Court, and in some conspicuous place in the town or towns in or near which the persons named in the list reside.

323 [S. 401, para. 2.] To every such copy shall be subjoined a notice stating that objections to the list will be heard and determined by the Sessions Judge and Collector or other officer as aforesaid, at the Sessions Court-house, and at a time to be mentioned in the notice.

324 [S. 402.] For the hearing of such objections, the Sessions Judge shall sit with the Collector or other officer as aforesaid, and shall, at the time and place mentioned in the notice, revise the list and hear the objections (if any) of persons interested in the amendment thereof, and shall strike out the name of any person not suitable in their judgment to serve as a juror or as an assessor, or who may establish his right to any exemption from service given by section 320, and insert the name of any person omitted from the list whom they deem qualified for such service.

In the event of a difference of opinion between the Sessions Judge and the Collector or other officer as aforesaid, the name of the proposed juror or assessor shall be omitted from the list.

A copy of the revised list shall be signed by the Sessions Judge and Collector or other officer as aforesaid and sent to the Court of Session.

Any order of the Sessions Judge and Collector or other officer as aforesaid in preparing and revising the list shall be final.

Any exemption not claimed under this section shall be deemed to be waived until the list is next revised.

325 [S. 403.] The list so prepared and revised shall be again revised once in every year.

The list so revised shall be deemed a new list, and shall be subject to all the rules hereinbefore contained as to the list originally prepared.

326 [S. 407.] The Sessions Judge shall ordinarily, three days at least before the day which he may from time to time fix for holding the sessions, send a letter to the District Magistrate, requesting him to summon as many persons named in the said revised list as seem to the Sessions Judge to be needed for trials by jury and trials with the aid of assessors at the said sessions, the number to be summoned not being less than double the number required for any such trial.

The names of the persons to be summoned shall be drawn by lot in open Court, excluding those on the revised list who have served within six months,

unless the number cannot be made up without them; and the names so drawn shall be specified in the said letter.

See note to S. 270 *ante* for the rules for fixing periodical Sessions.

The exact number of assessors (and jurors) to be summoned at each session is left to the discretion of the Court of Session whose aim should be to render the duty of sitting on sessions trials as little onerous as possible by abstaining on the one hand from requiring the attendance of more persons than may be reasonably necessary, and providing on the other for a change of assessors after the trial of every third or fourth case.—*Mad. H. Ct. Feb. 11, 1863. Weir, 365.*

In the Punjab, any person summoned as an assessor to a Court of Session may apply for payment of the expenses incurred by him on account of his attendance, and the Magistrate of the District shall, if the charges appear reasonable, order payment to be made; provided that the amount paid shall not exceed 3 rupees *per diem*. If the journey is made otherwise than by rail, a distance of twelve miles shall be held to represent one day.—*C. Ct. Cir. 15, July 24, 1873; Smyth, p. 184.*

327 [S. 410.] The Court of Session may direct jurors or assessors to be summoned at other periods than the period specified in section 326, when the number of trials before the Court renders the attendance of one set

Power to summon another set of jurors or assessors.

of jurors or assessors for a whole session oppressive, or, whenever, for other reasons, such direction is found to be necessary.

328 [S. 409, para. 1.] Every summons to a juror or assessor shall be

Form and service of summons.

be therein specified.

in writing, and shall require his attendance as a juror or assessor, as the case may be, at a time and place to

329 [S. 411.] Where any person summoned to serve as a juror or assessor is in the service of Government or of a Railway Company, the Court to serve in which he is so summoned may excuse his attendance if it appears,

When Government or Railway servant may be excused.

on the representation of the head of the office in which he is employed, that he cannot serve as a juror or assessor, as the case may be, without inconvenience to the public.

330 [S. 412.] The Court of Session may, for reasonable cause, excuse any juror or assessor from attendance at any particular session.

Court may excuse attendance of juror or assessor.

331 [S. 413.] At each session, the said Court shall cause to be made a list of the names of those who have attended as jurors and assessors at such session.

List of jurors and assessors attending.

Such list shall be kept with the list of the jurors and assessors as revised under section 324.

A reference shall be made in the margin of the said revised list to each of the names which are mentioned in the list prepared under this section.

332 [S. 414.] Any person summoned to attend as a juror or as an assessor who, without lawful excuse, fails to attend

Penalty or non-attendance of juror or assessor.

as required by the summons, or who, having attended, departs without having obtained the permission of the Court, or fails to attend after an adjournment of the Court after being ordered to attend, shall be liable, by order of the Court of Session, to a fine not exceeding one hundred rupees.

Such fine shall be levied by the District Magistrate by attachment and sale of any moveable property belonging to such juror or assessor within the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court making the order.

In default of recovery of the fine by such attachment and sale, such juror or assessor may, by order of the Court of Session, be imprisoned in the civil jail for the term of fifteen days, unless such fine is paid before the end of the said term.

A similar provision is made by S. 308 for the non-attendance or absence of a juror in trials before a High Court.

If a trial is adjourned, the jurors or Assessors shall attend at the adjourned sitting, and at any subsequent sitting, until the conclusion of the trial.—S. 295.

An order fining an assessor is not appealable.—Gour Surma Dass, 8 W. R., 83.

L.—Special Provisions for High Courts.

333 [Act X of 1875, S. 146.] At any stage of any trial before a High Court under this Code before the return of the verdict, the Advocate General may, if he thinks fit, inform the Court on behalf of Her Majesty that he will not further prosecute the defendant upon the charge; and thereupon all proceedings on such charge against the defendant shall be stayed, and he shall be discharged of and from the same. But such discharge shall not amount to an acquittal unless the presiding Judge otherwise directs.

334 [Act X of 1875, S. 4.] For the exercise of its original criminal jurisdiction, every High Court shall hold sittings on such days and at such convenient intervals, as the Chief Justice of such Court from time to time appoints.

335 [Act X of 1875, S. 5.] The High Court shall hold its sittings at the place at which it now holds them, or at such other place (if any) as the Governor-General in Council in the case of the High Court at Fort William, or the Local Government in the case of the other High Courts, may direct.

But it may, from time to time, in the case of the High Court at Fort William with the consent of the Governor-General in Council, and in all other cases with the consent of the Local Government, hold sittings at such other places within the local limits of its appellate jurisdiction as the High Court appoints.

Such officer as the Chief Justice directs shall give notice beforehand in the local official Gazette of all sittings intended to be held for the exercise of the original criminal jurisdiction of the High Court.

336 [Act X 1875, S. 27.] The High Court may direct that all European British subjects and persons liable to be tried by it under section 214, who have been committed for trial by it within certain specified districts or during certain specified periods of the year, shall be tried at the ordinary place of sitting of the Court,

or direct that they shall be tried at a particular place named.

CHAPTER XXIV.

GENERAL PROVISIONS AS TO INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.

337 [S. 347; Act IV, 1877, S. 150.] In the case of any offence triable exclusively by the Court of Session or High Court, the District Magistrate, a Presidency Magistrate, any Magistrate of the first class inquiring into the offence, or, with the sanction of the District Magistrate, any other Magistrate, may, with the view of obtaining the evidence of any person supposed to have been directly or indirectly concerned in, or privy to, the offence under inquiry, tender a pardon to such person on condition of his making a full and true disclosure of the whole of the circumstances within his knowledge relative to such offence, and to every other person concerned, whether as principal or abettor, in the commission thereof.

Every person accepting a tender under this section shall be examined as a witness in the case.

Such person, if not on bail, shall be detained in custody until the termination of the trial by the Court of Session or High Court, as the case may be.

Every Magistrate, other than a Presidency Magistrate, who tenders a pardon under this section, shall record his reasons for so doing; and when any Magistrate has made such tender, and examined the person to whom it has been made, he shall not try the case himself, although the offence which the accused appears to have committed may be triable by such Magistrate.

No Magistrate of less powers than of the first class can tender a pardon except with the sanction of the District Magistrate, (probably after a report made of the circumstances of the case), and, as a tender can only be made in a case triable exclusively by a Court of Session, such Magistrate would be only one who could commit to that Court, *viz.*, a Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate, Subdivisional Magistrate, Magistrate of the first class and any Magistrate specially empowered on that behalf by the Local Government. In such a case the sanction of the District Magistrate on which the Subordinate Magistrate has proceeded should be in writing and appear on the record.

If any Magistrate not empowered by law on that behalf erroneously in good faith (*i. e.* acting with due care and attention) tenders a pardon, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (*g*).

The last portion of S. 337 apparently refers to a case in which the charge is of an offence triable exclusively by a Court of Session or one which on the report of the investigation by the Police appears to be regarding such an offence and on this *prima facie* complexion of the case a tender of pardon has been made to one of the accused and accepted, but in the course of the inquiry the evidence has satisfied the Magistrate that only a lesser offence triable by him has been committed:—for instance, a charge on a Police-report of murder was before a Magistrate who thereupon tendered a conditional pardon and examined the person who accepted it, but in the course of the inquiry the lesser offence of voluntarily causing grievous hurt or hurt only is established; the evidence under conditional pardon would still be legal evidence, but the Magistrate who had taken it would be unable to try the case himself. There would apparently be no objection to his committing to the Court of Session on a charge of an offence triable by Magistrate or the Court of Session. The incapacity would also extend to cases of offences triable exclusively by a Court of Session which the District Magistrate who had tendered a conditional pardon might otherwise be able to try under special powers conferred by S. 30.

A Magistrate is not competent to convert an accused person into a witness except when pardon, has been lawfully tendered to, and accepted by, him under S. 337. Evidence given by such a person who had accepted the offer of pardon in the case of an offence not triable exclusively by the Court of Session was held not to be relevant, as that person had not been discharged, acquitted or convicted.—*Hanmanta Madhaji Khadki*, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 610; see also *Asgur Ali*, I. L. R., 2 All., 260.

It is not illegal to take the evidence of a person who has been suspected, but discharged by the Magistrate for want of evidence. He may, notwithstanding, be admitted as a witness for the prosecution. It is not indispensable that he should have been acquitted. He could not have been

acquitted, as he was never committed for trial; and he could not be pardoned, as he would probably reject the offer if made.—Behary Lall Bose, 7 W. R., 44.

An accomplice shall be a competent witness against an accused person; and a conviction is not illegal merely because it proceeds upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice.—S. 133, Act I, 1872 (Evidence Act). But S. 114 of the same Act provides that:—

‘The Court may presume the existence of any fact which it thinks likely to have happened, regard being had to the common course of natural events, human conduct, and public and private business in their relation to the facts of the particular case.’ The following appears as an illustration (b) to this section. ‘The Court may presume that an accomplice is unworthy of credit, unless he is corroborated in material particulars.’

It has consequently been the universal practice of our Courts to require some corroboration, it being considered unsafe to convict on the sole evidence of an accomplice. The rule for charging a Jury has been thus laid down by the Madras High Court (March 20, 1868). They should be told (1) that there is no rule of law prohibiting the conviction of an offender upon the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice; (2) that as a general rule of practice it is considered unsafe to convict on such evidence; and (3) the Judge should point out such circumstances, if any, in the particular case which afford sufficient reason for relying on such evidence.

The evidence should not be left to a Jury without such directions and observations from the Judge as the circumstances of the case may require.

If a Judge in a criminal trial were to tell the Jury that in his opinion the evidence was sufficient to justify them in finding the prisoner guilty, in a case in which, if the Judge had been trying the case with assessors, the High Court would on appeal have reversed his judgment if upon the same evidence he had convicted the prisoner, then no doubt the Court ought on appeal to set aside a verdict of guilty found by the Jury, notwithstanding the advice was merely as to the weight of evidence.

So, if a Judge, instead of advising a Jury not to convict upon the mere uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice, were to advise them to convict upon such evidence, or were to tell them that the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice given under a tender of pardon was admissible, and that it was for them alone to form their opinion upon it, that a conviction founded upon such evidence would be legal, and that such evidence without corroboration might be acted upon with as much safety as that of any other witness, the error in the direction would form a good ground of appeal.—*Elahce Bukhsh*, 5 W. R., 80: (S. C.) B. L. R. Sup. Vol. F. B. 459. *Per Peacock, C. J. (Kemp and Phear, J.J. concurring).* In the case of *Sadhu Mundul*, 20 W. R., 69 it was held that in all cases in which an accomplice's testimony is admitted it is incumbent on the Judge to inform the Jury of the results of the law bearing on this point as laid down in S. 114 Ill. (b) and S. 133, Evidence Act as well as in the case of *Elahce Bukhsh* just quoted.

It is not sufficient simply to tell a Jury to consider whether the evidence of an accomplice was strongly corroborated as to the prisoners, as that would be to ask them to consider a question which, it is certain, no native Jury in the mofussil would understand. It is the duty of the Judge to go through the history of the crime as related by the accomplice, to point out any independent evidence proving facts showing that the prisoners were, or must have been, present at or cognizant of, the murder. If such facts are proved, they would corroborate the story of the accomplice. But it would not be enough that the evidence should disclose a state of facts consistent with the possibility of the truth of the accomplice's story. If the state of facts proved is *equally consistent with*, and capable of receiving, a reasonable and natural explanation on the hypothesis of the prisoner's innocence, *those facts, standing alone, would be no evidence of the truth, of the accomplice's story.*—*Karoo* and others, 6 W. R., 44; see also *Bykunto Nath Banerjee*, 10 W. R., 17.

The Jury, in cases tried by Jury, or the Court, in cases tried with the aid of Assessors, may no doubt presume that an accomplice is unworthy of credit unless corroborated (S. 114 Ill. 6), but, before acting on that presumption, the Jury or Court is required by S. 114 and the sequel to the Illustrations to take into consideration certain facts with the view to ascertain the probability of the story told, and the rule is thus brought to coincide with the rule observed in England, that, though the tainted evidence of an accomplice should be carefully scanned and received with caution and may be treated as unworthy of credit, yet, if the Jury in the one case, or the Court in the other, credits the evidence, the conviction is not illegal.—*In re Ramasami Padayachi*, I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394.

As regards the amount of corroboration required to support the evidence of an approver, Norman, J., remarked:—“It is sufficient if the evidence is confirmatory of some of the leading circumstances of the story of the approvers as against the particular prisoner, so that the Court may be able to presume that they have told the truth as to the rest. The true rule on the subject of the corroboration of the evidence of approvers probably is, that if the Court is satisfied that the witness is speaking the truth in some material part of his testimony, in which it is seen that he is confirmed by unimpeachable evidence, there may be just ground for believing that he also speaks truth in other parts as to which there may be no confirmation.”—*Kalachand Dass*, 11 W. R., 21.

There should be corroboration such as adds to the approver's evidence against the particular prisoner, and this is not complied with when there is no evidence apart from that of the accomplice

which identifies the prisoner with the commission of the offence with which he is charged; nothing which distinctly goes to prove that he was in any way connected with the commission of the principal offences. Facts which do not show the connection of the prisoner with the commission of the offence with which he is charged are no corroboration in the sense in which the word is used in such cases, although they may tend to show that certain portions of what the accomplice says are true. —Nowab Jan, 8 W. R., 19 (see p. 25), per Macpherson, J.

So in the case of Baikoontonath Banerjee, 3 B. L. R. 3, F. B. *Footnote*, it was laid down that before the evidence of an accomplice can be safely depended upon, so far as it affects the prisoner, it ought to be corroborated—that is, that other evidence from sources independent of the approver, should be forthcoming relative to facts which implicate the prisoner in the same way as the story of the approver does.—See also Mohesh Biswas, 19 W. R., 16.

S. 30 of the Evidence Act enables a Court to take into consideration a confession made by an accused person affecting himself and another person jointly tried with him for the same offence as against the other person as well as against himself. See note to S. 30, Evidence Act, *Appendix*. Such a confession is, however, no proper legal corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice. —Malapa bin Kapana and others, 11 Bomb., 196; Jaffir Ali, 19 W. R., 57, &c. &c.

338 [S. 348; Act, X, 1875, S. 77.] At any time after commitment,

Power to direct tender but before judgment is passed, the Court to which the commitment is made may, with the view of obtaining on the trial the evidence of any person supposed to have been directly or indirectly concerned in, or privy to, any such offence, tender, or order the committing Magistrate or the District Magistrate to tender, a pardon on the same condition to such person.

If any Magistrate not being empowered by law in that behalf erroneously in good faith tenders a pardon under S. 338, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (*g*).

339 [S. 349; Act X, 1875, S. 78; Act IV, 1877, S. 151.] Where a

Commitment of person to whom pardon has been tendered. pardon has been tendered under section 337 or section 338, and any person who has accepted such tender has, either by wilfully concealing anything essential, or by giving false evidence, not complied with the condition on which the tender was made, he may be tried for the offence in respect of which the pardon was so tendered, or for any other offence of which he appears to have been guilty in connection with the same matter.

The statement made by a person who has accepted a tender of pardon may be given in evidence against him when the pardon has been withdrawn under this section.

No prosecution for the offence of giving false evidence in respect of such statement shall be entertained without the sanction of the High Court.

It has been doubtful whether mere belief on the part of the Judge, drawn from the behaviour of the witness that he is not speaking the truth at the Sessions trial, is sufficient to satisfy the terms of S. 339.—See Kishto Dhoba, 14 W. R., 16.

The law is silent regarding the Court by whose order the person who has not complied with the conditions of the pardon may be tried, as well as regarding the time at which such order may be made. The corresponding section (S. 349) of the Code of 1872 declared that such order could be passed by “the Magistrate before the trial, or the Court of Session before judgment had been passed or the High Court as a Court of Reference or Revision.” Subsequent inquiries, or an accident might no doubt show that the evidence of an approver on which the conviction of an alleged accomplice may have mainly depended was altogether false with respect to the particular person, and his pardon may then be reasonably withdrawn, his trial being ordered, but an injudicious use of such a power on the part of a Magistrate might lead to serious consequences.

The question was raised in Joyuddeen Paramanick, 7 Cal. L. R., 66 whether the evidence of an approver given before the Magistrate but retracted before the Sessions Court upon which the conditional pardon was admissible in evidence against the other prisoners. The inclination of the High Court was apparently against its admissibility, but, in the absence of argument, the Court would not

decide that it was absolutely irrelevant. It was, however, considered that the value to be attached to it was so exceedingly small, that it ought not to affect the case made against the prisoners by the rest of the evidence. The Allahabad High Court has held it to be inadmissible.—*Hardewa*, 5 All., 217.

In the case of *Petambar Dhoobee*, 14 W. R., 10, it was held that it was unfair to put the approver, whose conditional pardon had been cancelled, on trial with other prisoners in the course of whose trial already commenced she had given testimony as a witness under oath. The consequence of such a proceeding as this was necessarily, so far as the assessors were concerned, that she was in truth put on trial upon evidence, part of which she had herself given, for the statements which she made before the assessors involuntarily when under examination, and her behaviour at the time could not possibly be without effect on their minds when they came to consider her case. Moreover if other evidence had been taken before her own, so far as that may have borne against her, it was not proper material upon which to try her case, because she had had no opportunity of cross-examining. That case was tried under the Code of 1861 which contained no provision such as that embodied in para. 2 of S. 339 of this Code, this last having been first enacted in S. 349 of the Code of 1872. The objection that the statement given by an approver as a witness might thus be used against him in his trial is removed. The other objections however, remain. So in *Joyuddeen Paramanick*, 7 Cal. L. R., 66 it was held that the Sessions Judge would have exercised a wiser discretion if he had waited until the conclusion of the trial then proceeding, and then before passing judgment had proceeded under S. 339 in respect of the approver, instead of at once committing him and trying him in the same trial.

340 [S. 186, paras. 1 and 2; Act X, 1875, S. 31; Act IV, 1877,

Right of accused to be S. 130.] Every person accused before any Criminal defended. Court may of right be defended by a pleader.

"Pleader," with reference to any proceeding in any Court, means a Pleader authorized by any law for the time being in force (Act XVIII, 1879) to practise in such Court, and includes (1) an advocate, a vakil, and an attorney of the High Court so authorized, and (2) any mukhtar or other person appointed with the permission of the Court to act in such proceeding.—S. 4 (n).

The Court Fees' Act (VII of 1870), Sch. II, Art. 10, requires that every mukhtarnama or vakalutnama, when presented for the conduct of any one case to any Criminal Court other than a High Court, or to a Magistrate, shall bear a stamp fee of eight annas, and if presented to the High Court, two rupees. The terms of S. 340 do not warrant any general rule for the exclusion of mukhtars in all cases, but only allow a discretion in each case as it arises. Magistrates are expected not to deprive parties of legal aid, which they can obtain at a moderate cost by the indiscriminate exclusion of persons who are invested by law with a distinct professional status in criminal trials.—Cal. Cir., 13, May 29, 1870.

The Madras High Court has held that when an advocate or attorney of the High Court or authorised pleader (including a moonsiff's pleader) appears in defence of an accused person under S. 340, no vakalutnama is necessary.—7 Mad. xl, *App.*, Pro. Nov. 23, 1874, *Weir*, 272; Pro. March 28, 1879, *Weir* 273.

With the permission of the presiding Judge, any advocate or pleader may address the Court in English, when any one of the pleaders on the opposite side is acquainted with that language, or whenever the senior of such pleader consents.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 5, March 15, 1869; 2 B. L. R., 6, *Rules*, &c.; Wilkins, 75; 4 All. Mis. 1, Cir. 1, 1868.

The practice of admitting private vakils to defend parties in Criminal Courts is not illegal, but it is within the discretion of the Magistrate to hear such agents or not.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Nov. 4, 1874; 7 Mad. xxxvii, *App.*

No party has the right to be heard either personally or by pleader before any Court when exercising its powers of revision: provided that the Court may, if it thinks fit, when exercising such powers, hear any party either personally or by pleader.—S. 440

S. 495 provides for the conduct of a prosecution by empowering any Magistrate inquiring into, or trying any case to permit any person other than an officer of Police below the rank of Police Inspector to conduct the prosecution: but no person other than the Advocate General, Standing Counsel, Government Solicitor, Public Prosecutor, or other officer generally or specially empowered by the Local Government in this behalf shall be entitled to do so without permission. Any person conducting the prosecution may do so personally or by a pleader.

341 [S. 186, para. 3; Act X, 1875, S. 130; Act IV, 1877, S. 131.]

Procedure where ac- If the accused, though not insane, cannot be made
cused does not under- to understand the proceedings, the Court may proceed
stand proceedings. with the inquiry or trial; and, in the case of a Court
other than a High Court, if such inquiry results in a commitment, or if

such trial results in a conviction, the proceedings shall be forwarded to the High Court with a report of the circumstances of the case, and the High Court shall pass thereon such order as it thinks fit.

When the accused person is from unsoundness of mind incapable of understanding the proceedings, the Court, if that of Magistrate, should proceed under S. 464, and if a Court of Session or High Court under S. 465.

The following judgments have been delivered on S. 341 :—

"The accused is, as the Deputy Magistrate states, both deaf and dumb, and 'is unable to understand the proceedings in the case.' The Magistrate, however, says that he is satisfied from the man's demeanour and action that he did understand what he was charged with, *viz.*, house-breaking, and that he, being a very old offender in this particular, ought to have been dealt with under S. 75, Penal Code, and have been committed to the Sessions.

"I presume that the Magistrate's finding, as to the accused's being able to understand the nature of the proceedings brought against him, must be taken as conclusive, the Deputy Magistrate's statement notwithstanding, and that S. 341, Code of Criminal Procedure, will not apply.

"If that be so, the matter would come under the provisions of S. 348, Code of Criminal Procedure, for the accused is stated by the Magistrate to have been no less than seven times previously convicted of an offence under Chapter XVII, Penal Code, punishable with three years' rigorous imprisonment, and he should ordinarily have been committed to the Sessions, there being no question as to the extent of the Magistrate's powers under S. 34 of the Code.

"Under S. 439, Code of Criminal Procedure, this Court can act as a Court of Revision and under this section it appears to me that the order of the Deputy Magistrate convicting the accused should be quashed, and the Deputy Magistrate be directed to commit the prisoner for trial to the Sessions Court."—*Dobree Halwaroo*, Cal. H. Ct., Feb. 20, 1873.

In the case of *Bowka Hari* (22 W. R., 35) several persons were tried and convicted by the Court of Session for committing house-breaking, one of whom alone was deaf and dumb and unable to understand the proceedings or to plead to the charge. The High Court held that, on the facts established by the evidence, there could be no doubt that this man was guilty of the offence charged, but the case was returned to the Magistrate to obtain some means of communicating with the deaf and dumb prisoner through his relations or associates for the purpose of conveying notice to him that he was given a further opportunity of being heard in the matter. The termination of this case is reported in 22 W. R., 72, the prisoner being convicted and sentenced.

See also the case of *Nodur Chand Kanto*, 22 W. R., 35, in which the High Court directed the accused, a deaf and dumb person, to be admonished and discharged as not being a person to whom penal discipline could be properly applied.

342 [Ss. 193, 250, 342, 343, 345 ; Act X, 1875, S. 61 ; Act IV, 1877,

Power to examine the accused. Ss. 5, 148.] For the purpose of enabling the accused to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him, the Court may, at any stage of any inquiry or trial, without previously warning the accused, put such questions to him as the Court considers necessary, and shall, for the purpose aforesaid, question him generally on the case after the witnesses for the prosecution have been examined, and before he is called on for his defence.

The accused shall not render himself liable to punishment by refusing to answer such questions, or by giving false answers to them ; but the Court and the jury (if any) may draw such inference from such refusal or answers as it thinks just.

The answers given by the accused may be taken into consideration in such inquiry or trial, and put in evidence for or against him in any other inquiry into, or trial for, any other offence which such answers may tend to show he has committed.

No oath shall be administered to the accused.

* The examination of the accused is for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing in evidence against him, (*Hosein Buksh*, 6 Cal. L. R. 521), and would consequently not be a general examination on whatever might suggest itself to the Court as under the Code of 1872. An examination during the course of an inquiry or trial is optional with the Court as may be considered necessary, but at the close of the evidence for the prosecution and before his defence is taken, the

Court *shall* for the same purpose, question the accused generally on the case on points on which it requires some explanation from him. The examination should be recorded according to S. 364, unless it is taken by a High Court established by Royal Charter, or the Chief Court, Punjab, or in a summary trial.

The following observations of the Calcutta High Court (*per* Kemp and E. Jackson, JJ.) in the case of *Krishto Dhoba* (14 W. R., 16) deserve special attention in connection with S. 342, and are altogether in accordance with the Circular of the same Court, which follows:—

"I have for some time felt from examination of criminal trials, that many Magistrates are too hasty in making commitments, or rather that they do not make the thorough inquiry which, I think, they ought to make previous to commitment. In a case of murder more especially, there can be no doubt that it is the duty of the Magistrate to sift every fact bearing on the case, in order to ascertain whether the accused is guilty or innocent, and to examine the accused on the facts which bear against him. One of the points of the evidence in this case which led to presumption of the accused's guilt was, that he had been absent about the time the murder was committed. His statement as to where he was at that time should have been recorded, and should also have been thoroughly inquired into. It is not sufficient to say that accused might bring witnesses to prove his innocence at the trial. It is possible the accused may not know the names of the witnesses; and if the witnesses can give evidence in his favour to exculpate him, he should not be committed. A long time elapses before a trial at the Sessions comes on, and witnesses cannot then give as clear evidence, more especially as to time and duty, as when the facts have only lately occurred. I think every inquiry should have been made previous to commitment, to ascertain not only whether there was presumption of the guilt of the accused, but also whether he was innocent. It is the duty of the Police and the Magistrate not to bring the parties suspected of being guilty to trial, but also to ascertain whether the suspected can clear themselves from the crime of which they are accused. There is a clause in the Procedure Code which empowers Magistrates to commit without inquiry into the defence of the accused. I believe the discretion given by this clause is much abused. It may be applied in certain cases, but in serious charges of murder, when the life of the accused is at stake, I think this clause should not be acted upon, because no certainty of the accused's guilt can arise until his defence is negatived, and proof that his defence is false is frequently very strong evidence in favour of the prosecution. If the result of the inquiry into the defence leaves the matter in doubt, it is the duty of the Magistrate to commit and leave the Sessions Court to decide which is the true story."

The following are the terms of a Circular, 13, 1864, issued by the Calcutta High Court to the Magistrates subordinate to it:—

"Although the Code of Criminal Procedure does not make it imperative on a Magistrate to examine an accused person at any stage of the inquiry, before committing him to stand his trial at the Court of Session, the Court thinks it necessary to impress upon all Magistrates the expediency of the general adoption of this course at some stage or other of the inquiry. In those few and exceptional cases in which the guilt of an accused may be beyond reasonable doubt, the practice in force may be permitted without risk; but inasmuch as it is discretionary with a Magistrate to discharge or to commit an accused person, according as he finds that the evidence is, in his opinion, sufficient for his conviction by the Court of Session or otherwise, it is obvious that the truth of any ordinary case will be best elicited, and obscure points will be cleared away, by any explanation that an accused may wish to give, when, after hearing all the evidence against him, or at any other time in the discretion of the Magistrate, he may be subjected to an examination before the Magistrate on points requiring elucidation, it being clearly explained to the accused that it is at his option to answer such questions or not. The Court, however, desires to explain that, in issuing these directions, it in no way sanctions any proceedings of an inquisitorial nature."

It is entirely discretionary with the Magistrate whether he should examine an accused person, but it is very undesirable that he should do so when he is satisfied that the evidence for the prosecution does not disclose any proper subject of criminal charge against him.—*Shama Sunkar Biswas and another*, 1 B. L. R., 16, *Short Notes*; (S. C.) 10 W. R., 25.

So also the Madras High Court, in the case of *Virabudra Gaud* (1 Mad., 199 (S. C.) Weir, 608), remarked, that the discretion given by law for questioning a prisoner has not been allowed for the purpose of driving him to make statements criminatory of himself. It can only be properly used for ascertaining from a prisoner how he may be able to meet facts in evidence appearing against him, so that these facts should not stand against him unexplained. Questions must not, therefore, be put to the prisoner in the middle of the case for the prosecution, so as to supplement it when it is defective.—*Diaz*, 3 Bomb., 51, *Crown Cases*. See also *Chineebash Ghose*, 1 Cal. L. R., 436.

It is not the object of the law to force an accused to convict himself by making some criminatory admissions after a series of searching questions in a case in examination.—*Hosein Bukhsh*, 6 Cal. L. R., 621; nor to cross-examine him with the apparent object of convicting him out of his own mouth of false statements, and so make him prejudice himself in respect of the matter with which he is charged.—*Behari Lal Bose*, 6 Cal. L. R., 431.

The Calcutta High Court (Cir. 19, Sept. 17, 1864; *Wilkins* 78) has ordered that the examination of an accused shall contain his or her name, that of his or her father, and if a married woman, that of

her husband, the religion, caste, profession, and age of the accused person and the village or pergunna in which he or she resides. Also Bomb. H. Ct., Dec. 27, 1872; *Gaz.*, 1873, p. 20.

The examination should not be recorded until a complaint has been made. A criminal trial cannot properly be commenced by such examination (Cal. H. Ct., 627, 1863), but an admission of crime, fairly made, and after due warning, is not inadmissible, because at the time it was made no formal accusation had been made against the party making it.—Ramchurn Chamar and others, 4 W. R., 10.

The Court may presume that if a man refuses to answer a question which he is not compelled to answer by law, the answer, if given, would be unfavourable to him. Evidence Act (I of 1872) S. 114, *Ill.* (A).

When more persons than one are being tried jointly for the same offence and a confession made by one of such persons affecting himself and some other of such persons is proved, the Court may take into consideration such confession as against such other person as well as against the person who makes it. Evidence Act, (I, 1872) S. 30. The rulings on this section are collected in a note to it in the Appendix, the substance being that a confession made by one person can be used against another person only when it is sufficient of itself to criminate the person making it of the offence for which they are jointly tried.

In cases regarding offences triable exclusively by the Court of Session, certain Magistrates, during the inquiry, and, at any time after commitment but before judgment is pronounced, the Court to which a commitment has been made, may tender a conditional pardon to any one supposed to have been directly or indirectly concerned in any such offences.—Ss. 337, 338.

343 [S. 344; Act IV, 1877, S. 149.] Except as provided in sections 337 and 338, no influence, by means of any promise to induce disclosures, or threat or otherwise, shall be used to an accused person to induce him to disclose or withhold any matter within his knowledge.

With this section, Ss. 24, 28, and 29 of the Evidence Act, (I of 1872) should be read.

A confession made by an accused person is irrelevant in a criminal proceeding, if the making of the confession appears to the Court to have been caused by an inducement, threat, or promise, having reference to the charge against the accused person, proceeding from a person in authority, and sufficient in the opinion of the Court to give the accused person grounds, which would appear to him reasonable, for supposing that by making it he would gain any advantage or avoid any evil of a temporal nature in reference to the proceedings against him.—S. 24.

If such confession is made after the impression caused by any such inducement, threat, or promise has, in the opinion of the Court, been fully removed, it is relevant.—S. 28.

If such a confession is otherwise relevant, it does not become irrelevant, merely because it was made under a promise of secrecy, or in consequence of a deception practised on the accused person for the purpose of obtaining it, or when he was drunk, or because it was made in answer to questions which he need not have answered, whatever may have been the form of those questions, or because he was not warned that he was not bound to make such confession and that evidence of it might be given against him.—S. 29.

344 [S. 194, para. 1, *Expl.*; S. 208, para. 1; Ss. 219, 264; Act X, 1875, S. 66; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 86, 124.] If, from the absence of a witness or any other reasonable cause, it becomes necessary or advisable to postpone the commencement of, or adjourn, any inquiry or trial, the Court may, by order in writing, stating the reasons therefor, from time to time postpone or adjourn the same on such terms as it thinks fit, for such time as it considers reasonable, and may by a warrant remand the accused if in custody:

Remand.

Provided that no Magistrate shall remand an accused person to custody under this section for a term exceeding fifteen days at a time.

Every order made under this section by a Court other than a High Court shall be in writing signed by the presiding Judge or Magistrate.

EXPLANATION.—If sufficient evidence has been obtained to raise a suspicion that the accused may have committed an offence, and it appears likely that further evidence may be obtained by a remand, this is a reasonable cause for a remand.

It is not competent to a Magistrate to remand an accused against whom no evidence has been recorded.—Zuhurudeen Hossein, 25 W. R., 8.

After a person accused of an offence has been brought before a Magistrate under arrest, before making out a warrant of his commitment to Jail, otherwise than for mere temporary custody, as, for instance, until the arrival of witnesses known to be on their way, and the like, and Magistrate is bound to see that upon the evidence some case is made out against the prisoners, or that there are reasonable grounds for his believing that he is guilty of the offence imputed to him.—Mohesh Chunder Banerjee, 4 B. L. R., 1 App.

Although no sworn testimony has been recorded against the prisoners in custody, an order of commitment to Jail or remand may be passed, if evidence is available, but not recorded until further evidence which is forthcoming is similarly available. It is often very desirable to postpone the commencement of an inquiry for a short period in order that, when commenced, it may be continuous and conducted in such order in regard to the examination of witnesses as may best set out the facts to be given in evidence. The accused have a right to have the evidence recorded at as early a period as possible and the fact that there is or may be a great body of evidence forthcoming against them is not a good ground for detention for an inordinate period, but they are not entitled to be admitted to bail merely because for this reason the commencement of the trial has been deferred.—Manikram Mudali, I. L. R., 6 Mad 63.

On the first occasion that accused persons are produced, it is not necessary to go fully into the charge; it is ordinarily sufficient to show, by the evidence of an officer of the Police, that the Police are in possession of information they believe to be reliable, that an offence has been committed, and that the accused persons were concerned in its commission. But when the accused persons are brought up after a remand, some direct evidence of the connection of the accused with the crime should be required to justify the Magistrate in refusing bail, and with each remand the necessity for the production of implicating proof becomes more strong.—Ponnu Sami Chetti and others, I. L. R., 6 Mad. 69.

An order for remand should be passed in the presence of the accused persons. To remand is to recommit to custody and therefore as a Magisterial commitment requires the presence of the prisoner, his recommitment also requires that presence so as to give them an opportunity of applying to be admitted to bail.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., June 10, 1867. Weir, 277. See also Mohesh Chunder Banerjee, 4 B. L. R., App.

If it appears to such officer or Court at any stage of the investigation, inquiry, or trial, as the case may be, that there are not reasonable grounds for believing that the accused has committed such offence, but that there are sufficient grounds for further inquiry into his guilt, the accused shall, pending such inquiry, be released on bail, or, at the discretion of such officer or Court, on the execution by him of a bond without sureties for his appearance as hereinafter provided.—S. 497.

There is nothing illegal in a verbal order passed by a Magistrate directing the accused person to appear on the day to which the trial may have been adjourned. A conviction under S. 174, Penal Code, for non-attendance on such verbal order was affirmed.—5 Mad., xv., App. Pro., Jan. 18, 1870. (S. C.), Weir 39.

An accused person may be bound over to appear daily before a Magistrate until the close of the trial. No notice is necessary before proceeding to enforce the penalty of the recognizance.—6 Mad., xxxviii, App., Pro. Nov. 17, 1871. (S. C.) Weir, 362.

Whenever, from any cause, a Magistrate is unable to complete an inquiry himself, any other Magistrate having jurisdiction may complete the case, and proceed as if he had recorded all the evidence himself.—S. 350.

When the proceedings have been completed against an accused person, the decision of the case or his commitment to the Court of Session should not be deferred, merely because the principal offenders have not been apprehended.—3 W. R., 21, C. L.

The observations of the Calcutta High Court (*per* Couch, C. J., Ainslie, J., concurring) in the case of Mathoora Nath Chuckerbutty (17 W. R., 55; 9 B. L. R., 354) are very important in connection with this section:—

"If there is not a proper cause—a cause such as is described in S. 344—a Magistrate has not power to adjourn an inquiry. It is not lawful for him to do it. A Magistrate is not at liberty arbitrarily or for any reason which he may think sufficient to adjourn the inquiry. It is only to be in the cases mentioned, and although an improper adjournment of the inquiry by a Magistrate on a ground which could not be said to show that it was either necessary or advisable to do so, might scarcely be said to be an error in the discretion upon a point of law or to involve any question of law, and S. 404, (of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1861), might possibly not enable the High Court to interfere, we have by S. 15 of the Act, under which this Court is established, a superior power of superintendence which enables us to deal with such a case. There was not the absence of a witness or other reasonable cause which made it necessary or advisable to adjourn the inquiry. The witness, whose absence appears to have been given as a reason for the adjournment, was the Inspector who made the report. Assuming that if called he would have deposed to the facts stated in that report, it appears that all that he would have proved would have been that the will was produced to him and

was afterwards returned, and, that evidence being taken, there really would have been no evidence to justify the detention of the parties upon a charge of forgery of the will. It would really have been just the same as if there had been no evidence whatever against them. The case appears to have been postponed in a manner which could hardly be justified. There was not any evidence taken which could be made the foundation of a charge, and the Magistrate appears to have been influenced in the course which he took by the expectation that after some time and by dint of inquiry some evidence might be obtained. But a Magistrate is not justified in keeping parties under recognizances in the way he did on this occasion."

Where three witnesses for the defence were summoned, and one only appeared, the other two being reported absent from their homes, and the case was therefore decided, the conviction was set aside, and the Magistrate was directed to re-hear the case after giving to the accused person a reasonable time to obtain the attendance of the absent witnesses.—5 Mad. xxvii App.; Pro. July 5, 1870.

If any case in which a commission has been issued for the examination of any witness, the inquiry, trial, or other proceeding may be adjourned for a specified time reasonably sufficient for the execution and return of the commission—S. 508. In some cases it may so happen that an adjournment of more than the fifteen days allowed in S. 344 may be necessary.

345 [S. 188; Act X, 1875, S. 151; Act IV, 1877, S. 133.] The

Compounding offences.

offences punishable under the sections of the Indian Penal Code described in the first two columns of the Table next following may be compounded by the persons mentioned in the third column of that Table:—

Offence.	Sections of Indian Penal Code applicable.	Person by whom offence may be compounded.
Uttering words, &c., with deliberate intent to wound the religious feelings of any person	298	The person whose religious feelings are intended to be wounded.
Causing hurt	323, 334	The person to whom the hurt is caused.
Wrongfully restraining or confining any person...	3-1, 342	The person restrained or confined.
Assault or use of criminal force	352, 355, 358	The person assaulted or to whom criminal force is used.
Unlawful compulsory labour	374	The person compelled to labour.
Mischief, when the only loss or damage caused is loss or damage to a private person	426, 427	The person to whom the loss or damage is caused.
Criminal trespass	447	The person in possession of the property trespassed upon.
House-trespass	448	
Criminal breach of contract of service	490, 491, 492	
Adultery	497	The husband of the woman.
Enticing or taking away or detaining with a criminal intent a married woman	498	
Defamation	500	The person defamed.
Printing or engraving matter knowing it to be defamatory	501	
Sale of printed or engraved substance containing defamatory matter, knowing it to contain such matter	502	
Insult intended to provoke a breach of the peace..	504	
Criminal intimidation, except when the offence is punishable with imprisonment for seven years...	506	The person intimidated.

The offence of voluntarily causing hurt, voluntarily causing grievous hurt, causing hurt by an act which endangers life, or causing grievous hurt by an act which endangers life, punishable under section 324, section 335, section 337, or section 338 of the Indian Penal Code, may, with the permis-

sion of the Court before which any prosecution for such offence is pending, be compounded by the person to whom the hurt has been caused.

When any offence is compoundable under this section, the abetment of such offence or an attempt to commit such offence (when such attempt is itself an offence) may be compounded in like manner.

When the person who would otherwise be competent to compound an offence under this section is a minor, an idiot or a lunatic, any person competent to contract on his behalf may compound such offence.

The composition of an offence under this section shall have the effect of an acquittal of the accused.

No offence not mentioned in this section shall be compounded.

In a summons-case, if the complainant, at any time before the final order is passed, satisfies the Magistrate that there are sufficient grounds for permitting him to withdraw his complaint, the Magistrate may permit him to withdraw the same, and shall thereupon acquit the accused.—S. 248.

S. 214, Penal Code, declares that, whoever gives or causes or offers to agree to give or cause any gratification to any person, or to restore or cause the restoration of any property to any person, in consideration of that person's concealing an offence or of his screening any person from legal punishment for any offence or of his not proceeding against any person for the purpose of bringing him to legal punishment, shall be liable to certain degrees of punishment according to the offence, and S. 213 has made punishable in the same way the accepting or attempting to obtain or agreeing to accept any gratification for himself or any other person or any restitution of property to himself or any other person in consideration of the object above specified &c., but Act VIII of 1882, S. 6, has added an *Exception* that the provisions of Ss. 213, 214 do not extend to any case in which the offence may be lawfully compounded.

346 [S. 45, paras. 1, 2.] If, in the course of an inquiry or a trial before a Magistrate in any district outside the Presidency-towns, the evidence appears to him to warrant a presumption that the case is one which should be tried or committed for trial by some other Magistrate in such district, he shall stay proceedings and submit the case, with a brief report explaining its nature, to any Magistrate to whom he is subordinate, or to such other Magistrate, having jurisdiction, as the District Magistrate directs.

The Magistrate to whom the case is submitted may, if so empowered, either try the case himself, or refer it to any Magistrate subordinate to him having jurisdiction, or commit the accused for trial.

This would be necessary if in the course of proceedings before a Magistrate, not of the first class, a Justice of the Peace, and an European British subject, it should transpire that the accused was an European British subject, when the proceedings should be stayed and the case submitted with a brief report explaining its nature to a Magistrate competent to deal with it. Compare S. 445. So also when the offence committed is apparently one which the particular Magistrate is not competent to try; or one in which it appears he is in some way personally interested (S. 555) or which he is declared to be otherwise incompetent to deal with.—Ss. 482, 487.

A Magistrate cannot assume jurisdiction over a case by ignoring certain facts charged and proved which constitute an offence beyond his jurisdiction. Thus, he cannot try a case as of theft when that theft is accompanied with acts constituting an offence under Ss. 380 and 451 Penal Code, which is beyond his jurisdiction.—Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Jan. 5, 1866, Weir, 238. Ramtahal Singh, 5 W. R., 5.

347 [S. 46, para. 3; Ss. 221, 436, para. 3; Act IV, 1877, S. 127.] If, in any inquiry before a Magistrate, or in any trial before a Magistrate before signing judgment, it appears to him at any stage of the proceedings that the case is one which ought to be tried by the Court of Session or High Court, and if he is empowered to commit for trial, he shall stop further proceedings and commit the accused under the provisions hereinbefore contained.

If such Magistrate is not empowered to commit for trial, he shall proceed under section 346.

S. 209 provides for a case in which, in the course of an inquiry, the Magistrate is of opinion that it should be tried by him rather than be committed to the Court of Session.

"Before signing judgment." The judgment in every trial shall be pronounced in open Court in the presence of the parties unless the sentence is one of fine only, in which case it may be pronounced in the presence of the pleader of the accused, and it shall be dated and signed by the presiding officer of the Court at the time of pronouncing it.—Ss. 367, 366. No Court, other than a High Court, when it has signed its judgment shall alter or review the same except as provided in S. 396 (that is, when a Medical Officer prevents a sentence of whipping being carried out), or to correct a clerical error.—S. 369.

If the subordinate Magistrate be empowered to make commitments to the Court of Session, and the offence be triable by the Magistrate of the District or the Court of Session, he should refer the case to the Magistrate of the District rather than hold a preliminary inquiry, and commit it to the Court of Session, since this latter procedure, though strictly legal, should, as much as possible, be avoided, as it tends unnecessarily to occupy the more valuable time of the Sessions Judge.—2 W. R., 19 C. L.

In cases triable by a Magistrate or by the Court of Session, the accused person should be committed for trial only when the Magistrate finds, from aggravating circumstances, that a higher punishment is required than he can award.—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 5, 1865.

Where death appears to have resulted from injuries inflicted by the party accused, a Magistrate ought to be very careful and not take it on himself to absolve the accused of the graver charge of culpable homicide or murder, and convict only of hurt or grievous hurt, unless it is quite clear that there is no sufficient evidence to warrant a commitment to the Sessions Court on such charge.—Cal. H. Ct., Cir. 9, Sept. 6, 1869. Wilkins, 103; Gopeenath Shaha, 1 Cal. L. R., 141.

When several persons are charged with offences of various degrees, arising out of one act or transaction, all implicated therein, against whom sufficient evidence is forthcoming, should be committed to the Court of Session, if an offence beyond the cognizance of a Magistrate, or one, which, in the opinion of the Magistrate having jurisdiction in the case, ought to be tried by a Court of Session, be chargeable against any of the accused.—Agra Sud. Ct., Cir. 64, 1862; also Cal. H. Ct. Cir., May 27, 1862. Wilkins, 100.

Where the evidence showed that an offence beyond the jurisdiction of the Magistrate had been committed, the Calcutta High Court set aside the conviction for a lesser offence, remarking that Magistrates are not at liberty to pass over material parts of the evidence in cases before them, and so to withdraw cases from the cognizance of the proper tribunal.—Ramtahal Singh, 5 W. R., 65.

348 [S. 315; Act IV, 1877, S. 128.] Whoever, having been convicted of an offence punishable under Chapter XII

Trial of persons previously convicted of offences against coinage, stamp-law or property.

or Chapter XVII of the Indian Penal Code with imprisonment for a term of three years or upwards, is again accused of any offence punishable under

either of those chapters with imprisonment for a term of three years or upwards, shall ordinarily, if the Magistrate before whom he is accused considers him an habitual offender, be committed to the Court of Session or High Court, as the case may be; or, in districts in which the District Magistrate has been invested with powers under section 30, placed on his trial before such Magistrate.

Chapter XII of the Indian Penal Code relates to offences relating to coins and Government Stamps, and Chapter XVII to offences against property. It should be noted that the offender need not have been punished with imprisonment for three years and upwards, but the offence for which he was convicted must have been so punishable.

S. 76, Penal Code, provides that an enhanced sentence may be passed on a second conviction as above specified, but the offender should not be committed for trial unless the Magistrate considers him to be an habitual offender. Much necessarily depends upon the nature of the previous conviction or convictions as well as of the offence then before the Magistrate, and also the interval between the date of the expiry of the last sentence and the commission of that offence.

If it is intended to prove the previous convictions for the purpose of affecting the punishment which the Court is competent to award, the fact, date and place of the previous conviction shall be stated in the charge. If such statement be omitted, the Court may add it at any time before sen-

tence is passed.—S. 221, last para. S. 310 provides a special procedure for the trial of such charges in the High Court or Court of Session and S. 511 provides special means of proving a previous conviction.

Although the accused may have been convicted several times of the offences specified in S. 348, as the Magistrate who tried and convicted had some jurisdiction to decide the case, the Bombay High Court refused to order a new trial, for it could not say that the Magistrate had no jurisdiction.—*Annaji Krishna*, April 24, 1873. Unless the previous convictions be specified in the charge as required by S. 221, they cannot be used for the purpose of enhancing the sentence.—*Ibid*; *Rajcoomar Bose*, 19 W. R., 41; see also *Eshan Chunder Dey*, 21 W. R., 40, in which the case was re-opened, and the Sessions Judge was directed to take evidence and record the verdict of the jury on such a charge.

349 [S. 46, paras. 1, 2.] Whenever a Magistrate of the second or

Procedure when Magistrate cannot pass sentence sufficiently severe.

third class, having jurisdiction, is of opinion after hearing the evidence for the prosecution and the accused, that the accused is guilty, and that he ought to receive a punishment different in kind from, or more severe than, that which such Magistrate is empowered to inflict, or that he ought to be required to execute a bond under section 106, he may record the opinion and submit his proceedings, and forward the accused, to the District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate to whom he is subordinate.

The Magistrate to whom the proceedings are submitted may, if he thinks fit, examine the parties and recall and examine any witness who has already given evidence in the case, and may call for and take any further evidence, and shall pass such judgment, sentence or order in the case as he thinks fit, and as is according to law: Provided that he shall not inflict a punishment more severe than he is empowered to inflict under sections 32 and 33.

If the subordinate Magistrate be empowered to commit to the Court of Session, and the offence be triable by the Magistrate of the District or the Court of Session, he should refer the case to the Magistrate of the District rather than hold a preliminary inquiry, and commit it to the Court of Session, since this latter procedure, though strictly legal, should, as much as possible, be avoided as it tends unnecessarily to occupy the more valuable time of the Sessions Judge.—2 W. R., 19, C. L.

The Magistrate to whom a case is referred under S. 349 cannot transfer it to another Magistrate but must dispose of it himself.—5 Mad. App. xliii. Pro Nov. 8, 1870. *Weir*, 241. *Velayudam*, I. L. R., 4 Mad. 233. He cannot return the case to the referring Magistrate on the ground that in his opinion the latter has power to pass an adequate sentence.—*Dula Fakir*, 6 Cal. L. R., 276.

In amending the Code of 1872, Act XI of 1874, S. 7 gave the following illustration as part of S. 46 of that Code, and this is now embodied in S. 349 of this Code in the words "punishment different in kind from or more severe than that which such Magistrate is empowered to inflict:—"

Illustration. A Magistrate of the third class having jurisdiction finds an accused person guilty, but considers that he ought to receive a more severe punishment than imprisonment for a term of one month, or a fine of fifty rupees. On recording the finding, submitting the proceedings and forwarding the accused to the Magistrate of the District, such Magistrate may pass a sentence on the accused including solitary confinement and whipping.—Act XI, 1874, S. 7.

"And shall pass such judgment, sentence or order." By the use of the word order, provision is expressly made for the disposal of the case otherwise than by conviction or acquittal. It is competent to a Magistrate to whom a case has been so referred to say that, either from the gravity of the matter or from some other sufficient reason, the Sessions Court is the proper tribunal for the disposal of the case and to make an order in accordance with that opinion.—In the matter of *Chinami marigada*, I. L. R., 1 Mad. 289; (*S. C.*) *Weir* 242; *Abdulla*, I. L. R., 4 Bomb., 240.

But a District Magistrate to whom a case may be submitted under S. 349 cannot, on proceedings taken by the subordinate Magistrate, exercise powers with which he may be vested under Ss. 30, 34. If he desires to do so, he must direct a new trial before himself.—*Begu*, *Punj. Rec.* 1881, p. 70. The accused in proceedings submitted under S. 349 has a right to be present at the proceedings taken by the Magistrate on receipt of those proceedings.—*Guneah Sircar*, 7 W. R., 38; *Ragha Naranji and others*, 7 Bomb. 31, *Crown Cases*.

350 [Ss. 328, 329; Act IV, 1877, S. 156.] Whenever any Magistrate, after having heard and recorded the whole or

Conviction or commitment on evidence partly recorded by one Magistrate and partly by another.

any part of the evidence in an inquiry or a trial, ceases to exercise jurisdiction therein, and is succeeded by another Magistrate who has and who exercises such jurisdiction, the Magistrate so succeeding may act on the evidence so recorded by his predecessor, or partly recorded by his predecessor and partly recorded by himself; or he may re-summon the witnesses and re-commence the inquiry or trial:

Provided as follows:—

(a) In any trial, the accused may, when the second Magistrate commences his proceedings, demand that the witnesses or any of them be re-summoned and re-heard.

(b) The High Court, or, in cases tried by Magistrates subordinate to the District Magistrate, the District Magistrate, may, whether there be an appeal or not, set aside any conviction passed on evidence not wholly recorded by the Magistrate before whom the conviction was had, if such Court or District Magistrate is of opinion that the accused has been materially prejudiced thereby; and may order a new inquiry or trial.

Nothing in this section applies to cases in which proceedings have been stayed under section 346.

'Inquiry' includes every inquiry under this Code conducted by a Magistrate or Court.—S. 4 (c), so that the application of S. 350 would not be limited to inquiries preliminary to commitment but to inquiries in miscellaneous matters under Part IV, Chapters VIII, X, XI, XII, that is, to cases regarding security to keep the peace or for good behaviour, public nuisances and disputes regarding immoveable property likely to cause a breach of the peace, as well as to a preliminary inquiry under S. 476 before sending the case for inquiry or trial by the nearest Magistrate of the first class. When the second Magistrate continues and completes an inquiry on proceedings taken by his predecessor in office, it would seem that the High Court alone can order a new inquiry to be held, even though such inquiry may have terminated in a commitment to the Court of Session. S. 215 declares that a commitment made by a competent Magistrate can be quashed by the High Court only, and only on a point of law, and S. 537 provides that no order by a Court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or altered under Chapter XXVII, (on submission of the proceedings for confirmation of the sentence passed) or on appeal or revision on account of any error, omission or irregularity in the proceedings before trial or in any inquiry or other proceedings under this Code, unless such error, omission or irregularity has occasioned a failure of justice. When a commitment has been made by a Magistrate professing to exercise powers duly conferred which have not been so conferred, a Court of Session to which the commitment has been made may, under certain specified circumstances, direct a fresh inquiry by a competent Magistrate. (S. 522), and, except in any of the cases coming under S. 522, it would seem that a Court of Session must proceed to try on a commitment made to it, or refer the matter for the orders of the High Court.

351 [S. 104.] Any person attending a Criminal Court, although

Detention of offenders attending Court.

not under arrest or upon a summons, may be detained by such Court for the purpose of examination, for any offence of which such Court can take cognizance and which, from the evidence, he may appear to have committed; and may be proceeded against as though he had been arrested or summoned.

When the detention takes place in the course of an inquiry under Chapter XVIII, or after a trial has been begun, the proceedings in respect of such person shall be commenced afresh and the witnesses re-heard.

S. 65 empowers every Magistrate at any time to arrest or direct the arrest, in his presence, within the local limits of this jurisdiction, of any person for whose arrest he is competent at the time or in the circumstances to issue a warrant.

The action of a Court of Session would be restricted by S. 193 as it cannot ordinarily take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original jurisdiction, unless the accused person has been committed by a Magistrate duly empowered in that behalf.

352 [S. 187; Act X, 1875, S. 150; Act IV, 1877, S. 132.] The place in which any Criminal Court is held for the purpose of inquiring into or trying any offence shall be deemed an open Court, to which the public generally may have access, so far as the same can conveniently contain them:

Provided that the presiding Judge or Magistrate may, if he thinks fit, order at any stage of any inquiry into, or trial of, any particular case, that the public generally, or any particular person, shall not have access to, or be or remain in, the room or building used by the Court.

CHAPTER XXV.

OF THE MODE OF TAKING AND RECORDING EVIDENCE IN INQUIRIES AND TRIALS.

353 [S. 191, para. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 83, para. 1.] Except as otherwise expressly provided, all evidence taken in presence of accused. under Chapters XVIII, XX, XXI, XXII and XXIII shall be taken in the presence of the accused, or, when his personal attendance is dispensed with, in presence of his pleader.

354 [S. 332.] In inquiries and trials (other than summary trials) under this Code by or before a Magistrate (other than a Presidency Magistrate) or Sessions Judge, the evidence of the witnesses shall be recorded in the following manner.

For the orders of the Calcutta High Court regarding the examination of witnesses see note to S. 208 and Wilkins, 76—79.

355 [Ss. 222, 333.] In summons-cases tried before a Magistrate, other than a Presidency Magistrate, and in cases of the offences mentioned in section 260, clauses (b) to (k), both inclusive, when tried by a Magistrate of the first or second class, the Magistrate shall make a memorandum of the substance of the evidence of each witness as the examination of the witness proceeds.

Such memorandum shall be written and signed by the Magistrate with his own hand, and shall form part of the record.

If the Magistrate is prevented from making a memorandum as above required, he shall record the reason of his inability to do so, and shall cause such memorandum to be made in writing from his dictation in open Court, and shall sign the same; and such memorandum shall form part of the record.

Chapter X, (Ss. 135—166) of the Indian Evidence Act (I, 1872), prescribes the manner in which the examination of witnesses should be conducted.

Ordinarily, in the cases provided for by S. 355, the record of the evidence consists merely of a memorandum taken by the Magistrate of the substance of the evidence of each witness. A Magistrate is bound to "make a memorandum of the substance of the evidence of each witness as the examination of the witness proceeds;" this is not complied with by a mere statement that a witness deposes the same as the last.—Agra Sudder Court Cir. 18, 1865; Byha Wullud Soorjim, 1 Bomb., 91. Muttee Nshyo, Suth. Rep. 1864, p. 18. The practice of preparing the memorandum of evidence from the recorded depositions of the witnesses after their examination is illegal.—Agra Sudder Court Cir. 13, 1866. Want of time cannot be accepted as a valid course for not recording a memorandum of the evidence.—Smyth, p. 119. The Calcutta High Court (Cir., 4, March 30, 1864; Wilkins, 104,) has ordered that, when it may appear to a Magistrate that a witness is giving false evidence, so that criminal proceedings are likely to be necessary, the Magistrate should take down at length the evidence of the particular witness. A full record of the evidence in the vernacular is not necessary in order to a conviction for giving false evidence; but this precaution will serve to obviate any doubts regarding the accuracy of the Magistrate's brief note of the evidence, where the commitment rests wholly or mainly on that note.

Evidence of witnesses should, in all cases, be recorded in printed or lithographed forms; and care should be taken that the headings are carefully and accurately filled up. No more than one deposition should be written on each sheet.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872.

The following heading to depositions of witnesses has been issued for use in the Criminal Courts in Bombay:—

I, A. B., having made solemn affirmation or (oath as the case may be) state:

I am by religion (or caste when the party is a Hindoo) a

My age is about (If the witness cannot tell his age the Magistrate should state how old he appears to be.)

My occupation is that of a

My residence is in the village of

(Here follows deposition)

Cross-examined by the accused,

I, &c. (or the accused decline to cross-examine).

Re-examined by the Court.

Dated this day of

Bomb. Gaz. 1879, p. 475.

356 [S. 334.] In all other trials before Courts of Session and

Record in other cases Magistrates (other than Presidency Magistrates) and outside Presidency-towns in all inquiries under Chapters XII and XVIII, the evidence of each witness shall be taken down in writing, in the language of the Court, by the Magistrate or Sessions Judge, or in his presence and hearing, and under his personal direction and superintendence, and shall be signed by the Magistrate or Sessions Judge.

When the evidence of such witness is given in English, the Magistrate or Sessions Judge may take it down in that language with his own hand, and, unless the accused is familiar with English, or the language of the Court is English, an authenticated translation of such evidence in the language of the Court shall form part of the record.

In cases in which the evidence is not taken down in writing by the Magistrate or Sessions Judge, he shall, as the examination of each witness proceeds, make a memorandum of the substance of what such witness deposes; and such memorandum shall be written and signed by the Magistrate or Sessions Judge with his own hand, and shall form part of the record.

If the Magistrate or Sessions Judge is prevented from making a memorandum as above required, he shall record the reason of his inability to make it.

Evidence recorded under this section shall ordinarily be in the form of a narrative.—S. 359.

A Sessions Judge (and Magistrate) is bound to take a memorandum of the deposition of each witness as the examination proceeds: this is not complied with by a mere statement that a witness

deposes the same as the last.—Byha Wullud Soorjin, 1 Bomb., 91; Muttee Nushyo, Suth. Rep., 1864, p. 18; Agra Sudder Court Cir. 18, 1865.

The practice of preparing the memoranda of evidence, required by S. 356, from the recorded depositions of witnesses, after their examination, is contrary to law.—Agra Sudder Court Cir. 18, 1866.

Want of time cannot be accepted as a valid excuse for not recording a memorandum of the evidence.—Smyth, p. 119.

The term "witness" in S. 356 includes a complainant.—Cal. H. Ct., 156, 1865.

The examination of complainants and witnesses should contain the name of the person examined, and of his or her father (and if a married woman, the name of her husband), the religion, caste, profession, and age of the deponent, and the village and pergunnah in which he or she resides.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 19, Sept. 17, 1864, Wilkins 78; also Bomb., H. Ct., *Gaz.*, 1873, p. 20 and see *Ibid.* 1879, p. 475.

357 [S. 335.]

Language of record of evidence.

The Local Government may direct that in any district or part of a district, or in proceedings before any Court of Session, or before any Magistrate or class of Magistrates, the evidence of each witness shall, in the cases referred to in section 356, be taken down by the Sessions Judge or Magistrate with his own hand and in his mother-tongue, unless he is prevented by any sufficient reason from taking down the evidence of any witness, in which case he shall record the reason of his inability to do so, and shall cause the evidence to be taken down in writing from his dictation in open Court.

The evidence so taken down shall be signed by the Sessions Judge or Magistrate, and shall form part of the record:

Provided that the Local Government may direct the Sessions Judge or Magistrate to take down the evidence in the English language, or in the language of the Court, although such language is not his mother-tongue.

In BENGAL, orders have been issued by Government extending this section to all Magistrates' Courts (Govt. Bengal, June 30, 1864) and to all Courts of Session (August 6, 1865). Also, in Oude, to all Magistrates and Sessions Judges.—*Gaz.*, 1883, p. 269.

In the Presidency of MADRAS, these provisions have not been so generally extended, and are apparently restricted to special cases of particular and qualified officers.

In BENGAL, several native Magistrates, Covenanted Civil Servants and Uncovenanted Deputy Magistrates, have been empowered to take down evidence in criminal cases in English.—See *Cal. Gaz.*, 1883, p. 309; also an Assistant Sessions Judge. *Ibid.*, p. 310.

The authority conferred on an officer by S. 357 is personal to that officer, and is in force only so long as he remains in the District in which it has been conferred.—5 Mad. ix *App.* Pro. Nov. 25, 1869, (S. C.) Weir, 357.

In the Presidency of BOMBAY, and in the NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES the Local Governments do not appear to have exercised these powers.

In all proceedings before the Court of Session or before any Magistrate in the Settlement of Port Blair and the Nicobars, the evidence of complainants and witnesses is to be recorded in the vernacular language of the officer presiding over the Court.—*Gazette of India*, 1874, p. 40.

In the PUNJAB, with the sanction of the Local Government, the Judicial Commissioner (Smyth, p. 118) issued the following orders regarding the record of evidence in criminal cases:—

All trials in which sentence of death is legal are to be conducted in the English language; there may be a counterpart in the vernacular of the Court at the discretion of the Judge for his own satisfaction.

All other trials are to be conducted under S. 356, unless the Judge prefers to adopt the above rule in such cases also.

All trials in which a Magistrate commits to the Sessions, or passes final orders under S. 34 of this Code, are to be conducted under S. 356, unless the Magistrate prefers to adopt the above rule, but in that case he must not omit the vernacular counterpart.

In ADEN, English has been made the language of recording evidence in all trials before the Court of Session or any Magistrate or Bench of Magistrates.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 227.

A similar rule has been introduced into BRITISH BURMAH.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 7.

The following rules applicable to this section have been laid down by the Madras High Court. 5 Mad. ix, *App.*, Pro. Nov. 25, 1869; Weir, *App.* xxii:—

1. All applications from Judges or Magistrates for bringing into operation the provisions of this section must be made through the High Court.

Where delay has occurred, and any considerable part of it is owing to the case having been detained in the Court of the Magistrate of the District, an explanation to that effect should be entered in the column of remarks.

The Magistrate of the District should check every month a few of the diaries kept up in the Courts of his subordinates, and in the quarterly statement of attendance of witnesses he will certify that he has done so, adding remarks as to the result of his examination. If this certificate is omitted when the quarterly statement is received by the Commissioner, that officer should send back the statement, in order that the omission may be supplied.—Smyth, pp. 127—129.

360 [S. 339.] As the evidence of each witness taken under section 356 or section 357 is completed, it shall be read over to him in the presence of the accused, if in attendance, or of his pleader, if he appears by pleader, and shall, if necessary, be corrected.

If the witness deny the correctness of any part of the evidence when the same is read over to him, the Magistrate or Sessions Judge may, instead of correcting the evidence, make a memorandum thereon of the objection made to it by the witness, and shall add such remarks as he thinks necessary.

If the evidence be taken down in a language different from that in which it has been given, and the witness does not understand the language in which it is taken down, the evidence so taken down shall be interpreted to him in the language in which it was given, or in a language which he understands.

361 [S. 340.] Whenever any evidence is given in a language not understood by the accused, and he is present in person, it shall be interpreted to him in open Court in a language understood by him.

If he appears by pleader, and the evidence is given in a language other than the language of the Court, and not understood by the pleader, it shall be interpreted to such pleader in that language.

When documents are put in for the purpose of formal proof, it shall be in the discretion of the Court to interpret as much thereof as appears necessary.

An omission to have the evidence as recorded interpreted to a witness is no ground for setting aside a conviction.—Okhoy Kumar, 7 Cal. L. R., 393; but see *contra*, Issur Raout and others, 8 W. R., 63.

Where the services of an interpreter are required by any Criminal Court for the interpretation of any evidence or statement, he shall be bound to state the true interpretation of any such evidence or statement.—S. 543. Oaths or affirmations shall be made by every interpreter of questions put to and evidence given by witnesses, unless he is an official interpreter of any Court after he has entered on his duties.—The Indian Oaths' Act (X of 1873) S. 5. See note to S. 543 *post* for the form of oath or affirmation to be administered.

362 [Act IV, 1877, S. 115.] In every case in which a Presidency Magistrate imposes a fine exceeding two hundred rupees, or imprisonment for a term exceeding six months, he shall either take down the evidence of the witnesses with his own hand, or cause it to be taken down in writing from his dictation in open Court. All evidence so taken down shall be signed by the Magistrate and shall form part of the record.

Evidence so taken down shall ordinarily be recorded in the form of a narrative, but the Magistrate may, in his discretion, take down, or cause to be taken down, any particular question or answer.

Sentences passed under section 35, on the same occasion, shall, for the purposes of this section, be considered as one sentence.

363 [S. 341.] When a Sessions Judge or Magistrate has recorded the evidence of a witness he shall also record such remarks (if any) as he thinks material respecting the demeanour of such witness whilst under examination.

Remarks respecting demeanour of witness.

364 [S. 346; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 84, 123.] Whenever the accused is examined by any Magistrate, or by any Court other than a High Court established by Royal Charter or the Chief Court of the Panjab, the whole of such examination, including every question put to him and every answer given by him, shall be recorded in full, in the language in which he is examined, or, if that is not practicable, in the language of the Court or English; and such record shall be shown or read to him, or, if he does not understand the language in which it is written, shall be interpreted to him in a language which he understands, and he shall be at liberty to explain or add to his answers.

When the whole is made conformable to what he declares is the truth, the record shall be signed by the accused and the Magistrate or Judge of such Court, and such Magistrate or Judge shall certify under his own hand that the examination was taken in his presence and hearing, and that the record contains a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

In cases in which the examination of the accused is not recorded by the Magistrate or Judge himself, he shall be bound, unless he is a Presidency Magistrate, as the examination proceeds, to make a memorandum thereof in the language of the Court, or in English, if he is sufficiently acquainted with the latter language; and such memorandum shall be written and signed by the Magistrate or Judge with his own hand, and shall be annexed to the record. If the Magistrate or Judge is unable to make a memorandum as above required, he shall record the reason of such inability.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to apply to the examination of an accused person under section 263.

The examination of the accused is for the purpose of enabling him to explain any circumstances appearing in evidence against him. With this object the Court may, from time to time, at any stage of the inquiry or trial, put such questions as the Court considers necessary, and after the witnesses for the prosecution have been examined and before he is called upon for his defence, the Court shall for the same purpose question him generally on the case. The accused is not bound to answer such questions; but the Court and the Jury (if any) may draw such inferences from his refusal or answers as it thinks just. The answers given by accused may be taken into consideration in such inquiry or trial, and put on evidence for, or against him, in any other inquiry into or trial for any other offence which such answers may tend to show he has committed.—S. 342.

It is not proper to allow the Police officer who brought the prisoner to be present, when a confession is taken, nor should he be allowed to suggest questions to be put. Though a confession so taken would be admissible as evidence, a Court would not attach much weight to it, as such a course suggests that the Magistrate was not really conducting the inquiry himself.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 7, July 30, 1873. Wilkins, 66.

After having made a full confession, and its being read over to him, the accused retracted and said:—"I said this because the Police beat me." The Magistrate and the Sessions Judge, both found the allegation to be false and the Judge mainly convicted on the confession, but the High Court as a Court of Reference rejected it as evidence.—Gurbad Bechan, 9 Bomb., 344.

"The examination of the accused shall be recorded in full in the language in which he is examined, or, if that is not practicable, in the language of the Court or in English."

It is exceedingly important that this should be strictly observed so that the exact shade of expression made use of by an accused person should be deducible from his recorded statement, his whole statement being recorded in *extenso* precisely as made.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., May 13, 1867, Weir 247.

The examination of an accused person should be recorded in the language in which it is delivered, notwithstanding that the Local Government may, under S. 357, have ordered that the evidence

When the conviction is under the Indian Penal Code, and it is doubtful under which of two sections, or under which of two parts of the same section, of that Code the offence falls, the Court shall distinctly express the same, and pass judgment in the alternative.

If it be a judgment of acquittal, it shall state the offence of which the accused is acquitted, and direct that he be set at liberty.

If the accused is convicted of an offence punishable with death, and the Court sentences him to any punishment other than death, the Court shall in its judgment state the reason why sentence of death was not passed :

Provided that, in trials by jury, the Court need not write a judgment but the Court of Session shall record the heads of the charge to the jury.

The Local Government may determine what, for the purposes of the Code, shall be deemed the language of each Court within the territories administered by such Government.—S. 556.

Where an Appellate Court merely rejected an appeal without specifying the points for determination, its decision thereon and the reasons therefor, a rehearing was ordered so that a proper judgment might be recorded.—Uttam, Punj. Rec. 1876, p. 9. But see *Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Aug. 28, 1879* Weir. 388, quoted in note to S. 366 *supra*, also *Kala Karsan 6 Bomb. 55, Crown Cases*, in which the Sessions Judge differed from the opinions of the Assessors and omitted to record the reasons for his judgment, but the High Court held that although this was an irregularity it did not vitiate the finding.

Section 367 does not provide for an alternative finding in a case in which it is doubtful whether of two offences under the same part of the same section the accused person is guilty,—for instance, a case in which a person is charged with having intentionally given false evidence in making one statement, and again with the same offence in making a diametrically opposite statement. It has been usual to enter each of these offences in a separate head of the charge and for some attempt to be made by the prosecution to prove one or other of these offences, and for the Court of Session, if not satisfied with the evidence as to the truth or falseness of either statement but still being satisfied from the contradiction that the accused is guilty of having intentionally given false evidence, to convict in the alternative form of finding. But though S. 367 does not expressly provide for this procedure, it will be seen from a reference to the last form of charge given in Schedule V, No. 28 (II) that it is contemplated that such charges should be made in one charge, and not in two separate heads as heretofore. Probably, therefore, if any evidence is offered, or is likely to be offered in proof of the falseness or truth of one of such contradictory statements, a separate head of the charge will be made so as to provide for each offence, and the alternative form of charge will also be given.

The Calcutta High Court (*per* Chief Justice, and six Judges concurring; Jackson and Phear, JJ., *diss.*) held, that on a charge of intentionally giving false evidence in making two contradictory statements [see Schedule V, No. 28 (II), last form of charge], the Court or Jury, if convicting, need not by direct evidence find which of the two statements is true, but that it is sufficient that there should be a finding, that the allegations made in the charge are proved.—*Mahomed Hamayoon Shah, 21 W. R., 72 (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 324. F. B.* The two statements must however be absolutely contradictory and irreconcilable so that necessarily one of them must be false. Every presumption in favour of the possible reconciliation of the two statements should be made. Some attempt should be made to obtain evidence upon one or other of the charges before judgment is given and a finding arrived at in the alternative form.—*Bedoo Noshyo and others, 12 W. R., 11.*

Though it is advisable to offer some evidence as to the truth or falseness of one of the statements, this is not indispensable to a legal conviction.—See the case of *Ganowree, 22 W. R., 2*, in which the contradictory statements made were the only evidence offered.

It is the duty of every Judge if he thinks that the committing Magistrate has acted erroneously to point out the error.—*Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Feb. 24, 1879; Weir, 231.*

The responsibility rests with the Sessions Judge to decide whether there are circumstances of extenuation sufficient to justify the infliction of a punishment less than death. He should not therefore pass sentence of death and refer the case to the High Court with a recommendation to mercy.—*Mad. H. Ct., April 24, 1866; Weir 210. (Ed. 1.)* So also, after he has concluded the trial and recorded the opinions of the assessors, he should deliver the judgment of the Court. He cannot suspend the proceedings and refer the case to the High Court because he has doubts regarding his own jurisdiction. He should rather decide that matter leaving it open to the party dissatisfied with his judgment to appeal against it.—*Bhup Singh I. L. R. 2 All. 771. Dharma Dass Banerjee, Cal. H. Ct. Jan. 23, 1883.*

The terms "heads of the charge" must be construed reasonably, and must be held to include such a statement on the part of the Sessions Judge as will enable the Appellate Court to decide

whether the evidence has been properly laid before the Jury, and whether there has been any misdirection in the charge.—Kasim Sheikh, 23 W. R., 32. But it need not be reduced to writing before delivery.—Cal. H. Ct. Memo. 2, 1875; 23 W. R., 7, *Rules &c.* Wilkins 113, 114.

368 [Ss. 319, 321; Act X, 1875, S. 113.] When any person is sentenced to death, the sentence shall direct that he be hanged by the neck till he is dead.

Sentence of death.

No sentence of transportation shall specify the place to which the

Sentence of transportation. person sentenced is to be transported.

The Sessions Judge should fix the time and place for carrying out sentences of death, and should give orders regarding the disposal of the corpses of executed convicts.—Cal. H. Ct., 1863.

Capital sentences are passed by Sessions Judges subject to confirmation by the High Court to whom the proceedings are referred. Ss. 375—379 of this Code lay down the procedure to be followed by that Court, and Ss. 381, 382 declare how such sentences shall be executed.

Sch. V, No. 34 gives the form of warrant of commitment to Jail under sentence of death, and No. 35 that of warrant of execution after sentence of death has been confirmed.

The proper form of passing sentence of transportation in cases in which imprisonment can be imposed for seven years or upwards is, in accordance with S. 59, Penal Code, to sentence the convict to transportation, recording that, under that law, such transportation is awarded instead of imprisonment, simple or rigorous, as the case may be.—Cal. H. Ct. Cia. 9, Aug. 3, 1866, Wilkins, 127; Punj. C. Ct. Cir. 25, Sept. 26, 1866. But see Sch. V, No. 36. Under the Penal Code, no offence is made punishable with transportation for a term of years, otherwise than by this process of commutation.

The punishment in each case must not be less than seven years' imprisonment: a general sentence of transportation for two or more offences when only one of the punishments awarded is seven years' imprisonment is illegal.—Shonaollah, 5 W. R., 44. When the sentence for one offence is of seven years' transportation, the sentence of less than seven years for another offence in the same case cannot be converted into transportation.—Gour Chunder Dey, 8 W. R., 2.

The Governor-General in Council is empowered to appoint places in British India to which persons sentenced to transportation may be sent and it is the duty of the Local Government to make arrangements for the removal of such persons, Act IX, 1882. The Court passing sentence of transportation shall forthwith forward its warrant to the Jail in which the accused is to be or is already confined.—S. 383 post.

369 [S. 464, para. 1.] No Court, other than a High Court, when it

Court not to alter judgment.

has signed its judgment, shall alter or review the same, except as provided in section 395, or to correct a clerical error.

S. 395 lays down the course to be followed when a sentence of whipping cannot be carried into execution in consequence of the ill health of the prisoner certified by a Medical officer.

When judgment has been signed by a Magistrate, it cannot, by law, be altered by him. But on finding that he has passed an illegal sentence, a Magistrate may, if the prisoner is suffering prejudice, direct the Jailor to suspend execution and merely keep the prisoner in detention, which should in no case exceed the term of imprisonment awarded, until the orders of the High Court are received on his reference.—*Empress v. Tukaram Ramji*, Bomb. H. Ct. 29, 1878. See also *Tookia*, 1 Bomb. 3.

When an illegal sentence of whipping in addition to imprisonment was passed by a Magistrate, and the discovery of the illegality was made before execution, but after sentence had been pronounced and signed, it was held that such sentence could be altered only by the High Court.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Nov. 13, 1873; 8 *Mad. Jur.*, 466. *Puran Mal*, 23 W. R., 49. (S. C.); *Weir*, 387.

Where however a Sessions Judge on appeal sets aside the proceedings before a Magistrate as without jurisdiction, he is competent to direct a new trial. The law refers to the mode in which the appeal is to be dealt with, not to the direction in regard to ulterior proceedings and though a literal construction, of S. 284 of the Code 1872 [now 423 (b)] seems to contemplate that the order directing ulterior proceedings should be made simultaneously with the order quashing the proceedings already had, a Judge who omits to do so is not precluded by the terms of (S. 464 of the Code of 1872 now repeated in) S. 369 from passing such an order subsequently.—*M. Ramireddi*, 1 L. R., 3 *Mad.* 48: (S. C.) *Weir*, 505.

Magistrates of the second or third class should submit to the District Magistrates a calendar of every case in which conviction takes place within twenty-four hours of the sentence being passed, to enable the District Magistrate at once to take measures towards rectifying injury done by an illegal sentence.—*Bomb. H. Ct. Cir.*, p. 43.

The law as it is contained in S. 369 would seem to contemplate the power of a High Court to alter or review its own judgment. S. 464 para. 1 of the Code of 1872 which it replaces, declared

that "when a judgment or final order has been so signed it cannot be altered or reviewed by the Court which gives such judgment or order" and the Code of 1861 was silent in this respect. The Calcutta High Court (Peacock, C. J. and four Judges,) held that under their general powers they had no power to review their judgments in Criminal cases, and the Code of 1861 conferred no such power. If an erroneous judgment has been delivered, the proper course is to apply to the Local Government for relief.—*Godai Raont* 5 W. R., 61. (S. C.) B. L. R. 436 *Sup. Vol.* See also *Mehtarji Gopalji* and others, 7 Bomb. 67, *Crown Cases*; *Tilok Chund*, 3 All., 273. But see *Govt. of Bengal*, 9 B. L. R., 342 in which the High Court reconsidered and cancelled its own order.

370 [Act IV, 1877, Ss. 114, 126.] Instead of recording a judgment in manner hereinbefore provided, a Presidency Magistrate shall record the following particulars:—

- (a) the serial number of the case;
- (b) the date of the commission of the offence;
- (c) the name of the complainant (if any);
- (d) the name of the accused person, and (except in the case of an European British subject) his parentage and residence;
- (e) the offence complained of or proved;
- (f) the plea of the accused and his examination (if any);
- (g) the final order;
- (h) the date of such order; and
- (i) in all cases in which the Magistrate inflicts imprisonment, or fine exceeding two hundred rupees, or both, a brief statement of the reasons for the conviction.

371 [Act XI, 1874, Ss. 22, 41, para. 1.] The judgment shall be explained to the accused, and on his application a copy of the judgment, or, when he so desires, a translation in his own language, if practicable, or in the language of the Court, shall be given to him without delay. Such copy shall, in any case other than a summons-case, be given free of cost.

In trials by jury in a Court of Session, a copy of the heads of the charge to the jury shall, on the application of the accused, be given to him without delay and free of cost.

When the accused is sentenced to death by a Sessions Judge, such Judge shall further inform him of the period within which, if he wishes to appeal, his appeal should be preferred.

In exercise of the power conferred by S. 35 of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), the Governor-General in Council has remitted the fees leviable on account of a copy of the judgment or order passed by a Criminal Court and of a Judge's charge to the Jury furnished on the application of any party affected by such judgment or order, provided that such person is in Jail, or the Court, for some special reason sees fit to grant such copy free of expense.—*Govt. India*, Not. 996, June 6, 1873.

S. 371 in some respects goes beyond this Notification, because it provides that, except in summons-cases, a copy of the judgment, and in trials by Jury, a copy of the heads of the charge to the Jury, shall be given to the accused free of cost without any reservation that he has been convicted, still less that he is in Jail or entitled to special indulgence. On the other hand, the Notification makes no reservation regarding summons-cases except that the accused is in Jail, or the Court, for some special reason thinks fit to grant a copy of the judgment free of expense. S. 548 provides that, if any person affected by a judgment or order passed by a Criminal Court desires to have a copy of the Judge's charge to the Jury or of any order or deposition or other part of the record, he shall, on applying for such copy, be furnished therewith: provided that he pay for the same, unless the Court, for some special reason, thinks fit to furnish it free of cost. An application for a copy of a judgment or order or the heads of the Judge's charge to the Jury must be made on a paper bearing a Stamp of one anna.—*Court Fees Act* (VII of 1870), Sch. II, Art. 1 (a).

The Sessions Judge or officer in charge of the Jail should affix his signature to the application or to the envelope in which it is transmitted. This will afford sufficient proof that the application

really emanates from the person sentenced. Every reasonable facility consistent with the requirements of the law should be given to prisoners who consider that they have been unjustly dealt with and are desirous of appealing to a superior Court.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Aug. 4, 1864; Weir, 278. (Ed. 1).*

The ordinary charge for a copy is at the rate of eight annas for every three hundred and sixty words or fraction of three hundred and sixty words.—*Act VII of 1870, Sch. 1, Art. 9.*

Copies of evidence on a trial are not exempt from payment of the usual Court Fees, unless, for some special reason the Court thinks fit to furnish them free of cost.—*S. 548 post.*

When the Judge's notes form the only record of a case, the parties should be allowed to have copies of such notes on paying the authorized charge for making the same.—*Subbayya Gaundan, 1 Mad., 138.*

The period within which an appeal should be preferred from a sentence of death passed by a Sessions Judge is seven days from the date of the sentence.—*Act XV of 1877, Sch. II, Art. 150.*

S. 271B of the repealed Code, enacted by Act XI, 1874, S. 22, required the Sessions Judge in such cases to delay the transmission of the reference to the High Court for a reasonable time, not exceeding seven days, so as to allow the appeal and reference being made at the same time. This has not been re-enacted in the present Code, but the Sessions Judges should exercise such a discretion as heretofore.

372 [S. 464, para. 3.] The original judgment shall be filed with the record of proceedings, and where the original is recorded in a different language from that of the Court, and the accused so requires, a translation thereof into the language of the Court shall be added to such record.

373 [S. 302, para. 1.] In cases tried by the Court of Session, the Court shall forward a copy of its finding and sentence (if any) to the District Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction the trial was held.

On application made by the Magistrate of the District to the Sessions Judge for a copy of any judgment delivered by him, the Judge should permit a copy to be made by any person whom the Magistrate may depute for that purpose. Such copies will be granted to Magistrates and committing officers only for their information and guidance; they are not at liberty to cavil at the judgment of the Sessions Court, or to enter into any discussion with the Judge upon its merits.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir., i, Jan. 1, 1864; Wilkins, 109.*

Sessions Judges should give every facility to Magistrates, and District Superintendents of Police for inspecting the records of cases in their Courts and for the preparation of copies by clerks sent by the District Magistrate, care being taken that the records are not removed from the Judge's office.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir. No. 5, Sept. 21, 1880; Wilkins, 127.* When the Divisional Commissioner requires the records of a criminal trial in order to satisfy himself whether Government should be moved to direct an appeal against an original or appellate judgment of acquittal the Sessions Judge should comply with the requisition.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir., Jan. 12, 1877; Wilkins, 127.*

CHAPTER XXVII.

OF THE SUBMISSION OF SENTENCES FOR CONFIRMATION.

374 [S. 287, para. 1.] When the Court of Session passes sentence of death, the proceedings shall be submitted to the High Court, and the sentence shall not be executed unless it is confirmed by the High Court.

On passing sentence of death, the Sessions Judge should give immediate intimation to the Superintendent of the Jail in which the condemned prisoner is confined, in order that proper precautions may be taken for his safe custody.—*All. H. Ct. Cir. 3, April 22, 1873.*

Sch. V, No. 34 provides a special form of warrant of commitment to Jail on passing of a sentence of death by a Sessions Court subject to confirmation of the High Court.

The Sessions Judge should also record whether he has inquired whether the convict desires to appeal against that order, and that he has informed him that such appeal must be made within seven days as directed by S. 371, last clause.—*All. H. Ct., Cir. 1, Jan. 29, 1873.*

The responsibility rests with the Sessions Judge to determine whether there are circumstances of extenuation sufficient to justify the infliction of a punishment less than death. He should not therefore pass sentence of death and refer the case to the High Court with a recommendation to mercy.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, April 24, 1866; *Weir*, 210 (Ed. 1).

The *MADRAS High Court* (Pro., Aug. 6, 1864: Pro., Aug. 12, 1862) have ordered that, in referring a case to the High Court for confirmation of the sentence of death, the particulars of the evidence and the Judge's remarks should be embodied in a letter to the Registrar, and that an English translation of the whole of the evidence given at the trial should also be submitted.

375 [S. 289.] If when such proceedings are submitted, the High

Power to direct further inquiry to be made or additional evidence to be taken.

Court thinks that a further inquiry should be made into, or additional evidence taken upon, any point bearing upon the guilt or innocence of the convicted person, it may make such inquiry or take such evidence itself or direct it to be made or taken by the Court of Session.

Such inquiry shall not be made nor shall such evidence be taken in the presence of jurors or assessors, and, unless the High Court otherwise directs, the presence of the convicted person may be dispensed with when the same is made or taken.

When the inquiry and the evidence (if any) are not made and taken by the High Court, the result of such inquiry and the evidence shall be certified to such Court.

376 [S. 288.] In any case submitted under section 374, whether

Power of High Court to confirm sentence or annul conviction.

tried with the aid of assessors or by jury, the High Court—

(a) may confirm the sentence, or pass any other sentence warranted by law, or

(b) may annul the conviction, and convict the accused of any offence of which the Sessions Court might have convicted him, or order a new trial on the same or an amended charge, or

(c) may acquit the accused person:

Provided that no order of confirmation shall be made under this section until the period allowed for preferring an appeal has expired, or, if an appeal is presented within such period, until such appeal is disposed of.

The High Court are bound to go into the facts of the case, although the conviction was by verdict of a Jury.—*Jaffir Ali*, 19 W. R., 57. See also *Ramsodoy Chuckerbutty*, 19 W. R., 19, in which, contrary to the verdict of the Jury concurred in by the Sessions Judge, the majority of the Judges of the High Court acquitted on the evidence.

The prisoner after committing murder attempted suicide by cutting his own throat, and became in such a state that it was probable that, if he were hanged, decapitation would ensue. He was accordingly, sentenced to transportation for life instead of to death.—*Boodhoo Jolaha*, 2 Cal. L. R., 214.

377 [S. 290.] In every case so submitted, the confirmation of the

Confirmation or new sentence to be signed by two Judges.

sentence, or any new sentence or order passed by the High Court, shall, when such Court consists of two or more Judges, be made, passed and signed by at

least two of them.

378 [S. 271 B.] When any such case is heard before a Bench of

Procedure in case of difference of opinion.

Judges and such Judges are equally divided in opinion, the case, with their opinions thereon, shall

be laid before another Judge, and such Judge, after such examination

and hearing as he thinks fit, shall deliver his opinion, and the judgment or order shall follow such opinion.

The same provision is made by S. 429 for a similar contingency in the hearing of an appeal.

379 [S. 301, para. 1.] In cases submitted by the Court of Session to the High Court for the confirmation of a sentence of death, the proper officer of the High Court shall, without delay, after the order of confirmation or other order has been made by the High Court, send a copy of the order, under the seal of the High Court, and attested with his official signature, to the Court of Session.

Ss. 381, 383 declare the course to be taken by the Sessions Judge on receipt of the copy of the order of the High Court.

380 [Ss. 18, 36.] When a sentence passed by an Assistant Sessions Judge or by a District Magistrate acting under section 34 is submitted to a Sessions Judge for confirmation, such Sessions Judge—

Confirmation of sentence of Assistant Sessions Judge or Magistrate acting under section 34.

(a) may confirm the sentence, or pass any other sentence which the lower Court might have passed; or

(b) may annul the conviction, and convict the accused of any offence of which the lower Court might have convicted him, or order a new trial on the same or an amended charge; or

(c) may acquit the accused; or

(d) if he thinks further inquiry or additional evidence upon any point bearing upon the guilt or innocence of the accused to be necessary, he may make such inquiry or take such evidence himself or direct such inquiry or evidence to be made or taken.

Unless the Court of Sessions otherwise directs, the presence of the convicted person may be dispensed with when such inquiry is made or evidence taken; and, when the sentence has been submitted by an Assistant Sessions Judge, such inquiry shall not be made, nor shall such evidence be taken, in the presence of jurors or assessors.

When the inquiry and the evidence (if any) are not made and taken by the Court of Sessions, the result of such inquiry and the evidence shall be certified to such Court.

The powers thus given to a Sessions Judge are identical with those given to the High Court under Ss. 375, 376, in cases submitted for confirmation of a sentence of death.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

OF EXECUTION.

381 [S. 301, para. 2.] When a sentence of death passed by a Court of Session is submitted to the High Court for confirmation, such Court of Session shall, on receiving the order of confirmation or other order of the High Court thereon, cause such order to be carried into effect by issuing a warrant or taking such other steps as may be necessary.

Execution of order passed under section 376.

If the sentence of death is confirmed, a warrant in the form given in Sch. V, No. 35 should be issued to the Jailor.

In **BENGAL**, it has been ordered that such a warrant shall fix a time for execution of the sentence at an interval not less than fourteen or more than twenty-one days from the date of issue of the warrant.—H. Ct. Cir., 2, May 5, 1876, Wilkins, 112. See also Bengal Govt. Cir. April 7, 1876.

In **MADRAS**, it has been ordered by Government that sentences of death shall not be carried into execution by officers in charge of Jails until the fifteenth day after the receipt from the Court of Session of the warrant issued under S. 381 after confirmation of such sentence by the High Court, and that in cases from the Ganjam, Vizagapatam, and Canara Districts, such sentences shall not be carried into execution until the twenty-second day after the said date.—Govt. Order, May 23, 1873.

In **BOMBAY**, it has been ordered that there shall be at least fourteen days from the receipt of the order of confirmation of sentence.—Bomb. H. Ct. Cir. 382, 1866; *Gaz.*, 1879, Part I, p. 474, and that the warrant shall direct the Jailor to carry out the execution in the presence of a Magistrate of the first class or a Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent of Police. The Sessions Judge shall at the time of sending the warrant for execution to the Jailor inform the Superintendent of the Jail of his having done so.—Bomb. *Gaz.*, 1879, Part I, 474.

Warrants for the execution of capital sentences should be addressed to the officer in charge of the Jail, but it is necessary that the execution should be superintended by the Magistrate or some Magisterial officer deputed by him for that purpose. The officer in charge of the Jail should communicate with the Magistrate of the District and take his orders as to details.

All sentences of capital punishment shall be carried out at the Sudder Station unless it be otherwise ordered in the warrant. The spot at which the execution is to take place shall be fixed by the Magistrate of the District, and shall generally be at such distance from habitations that no annoyance need be caused to the public by the spectacle. No execution should take place within the Jail walls, and no attempt should be made to give anything of a private character to the execution, but at the same time undue publicity should not be courted. To this end the early morning should be the time selected for execution. The prisoners in the Jail should not be made to attend. The medical officer should attend throughout the execution. The body should hang for one hour, and should not be taken down until the medical officer declares life to be extinct.—Govt. of Bengal, Oct. 4, 1869.

When the officer in charge of a Jail is a Civil Surgeon, or Chief medical officer, no Magistrate need attend to witness execution of a sentence of death, unless the Commissioner or Magistrate of the District should think it desirable, but, when the officer in charge of the Jail is of no special rank, the Magistrate or a Subordinate deputed by him should be present at the execution.—Govt. of Bengal Cir. 92, July 24, 1873.

In the warrant which a Sessions Judge issues to the Jailor for the execution of a sentence of death, he should direct execution to be carried out in the presence of a Magistrate of the first class or District Superintendent or Assistant District Superintendent of Police. It should also be stated in the warrant that execution is to be carried out on a day to be named that shall be at least fourteen days from the date of the receipt of the confirmation of the sentence by the High Court.—Bomb. H. Ct. Cir., 65, 66.

382 [S. 306; Act X, 1875, S. 114.] If a woman sentenced to death

Postponement of capital sentence on pregnant woman.

be found to be pregnant, the High Court shall order the execution of the sentence to be postponed, and may commute the sentence to transportation for life.

The pregnancy should be certified by the Civil Surgeon, and the warrant returned to the Sessions Judge with an endorsement to that effect.—Agra Sud. Ct., 1864.

In such a case the Sessions Judge is competent only to direct postponement of the execution of the sentence until further orders of the High Court. The Madras High Court limited the postponement of execution of sentence of death until such time after the delivery of the woman as was necessary to obtain its further orders. The Court further directed that the delivery of the woman be reported with the least possible delay, and to be accompanied with a statement of the opinion of the medical officer of the Jail as to the date on which the prisoner would be able to undergo the sentence passed on her.—Pro. June 4, 1879; Weir, 327.

383 [S. 302 A, cl. 1; Act IV, 1877, S. 183.] Where the accused

Execution of sentences of transportation or imprisonment in other cases.

is sentenced to transportation or imprisonment in cases other than those provided for by section 381, the Court passing the sentence shall forthwith forward a warrant to the jail in which he is to be confined, and, unless the accused is already confined in such jail, shall forward him to such jail, with the warrant.

Sch. V, No. 36 gives a form of warrant after a commutation of sentence of imprisonment to transportation.

No sentence of transportation shall specify the place to which the person is to be transported.—S. 368 last para.

The Governor-General in Council may, from time to time, appoint places within British India to which persons sentenced to transportation shall be sent: and the Local Government, or some officer duly authorized in this behalf by the Local Government, shall give orders for the removal of such persons to the places so appointed, except when sentence to transportation is passed on a person already undergoing transportation under a sentence previously passed for another offence.—Act IX, 1882.

All Central Jails in Bengal have been appointed by the Governor-General in Council to be places to which persons sentenced to transportation may be sent—*Gaz. India*, 1870, Part I, p. 60; also the Central prison at Lucknow; *Id.*, 1872, Part I, p. 846, also the Jail at Ahmedabad for females sentenced to transportation in Guzerat and that of Thanah for females so sentenced in the Presidency of Bombay.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1875, Part I, p. 754.

Warrants of imprisonment directed to Superintendents of District Jails shall in the English language; and warrants directed to the keepers of sub-divisional lock-ups shall issue in the Vernacular except where the sentence is for imprisonment for a longer term than fifteen days in which case the warrants issued by sub-divisional authorities shall, if possible, be in English.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 10, August 27, 1873.

The residence of the convict should be entered in the warrant to enable the proper preparation by Police officers of the "Roll of Released Prisoners," after expiry of the sentence.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 7, Sept. 10, 1868; 1 B. L. R., Rules, &c.; 15, and *Agra Sud. Ct. Cir.* 7 f, 1866.

Every Criminal Court, when it passes sentence of imprisonment or transportation, shall endorse on the back of the warrant of sentence—

1. The age of the convict; 2. His caste; 3. His place of residence; 4. Opinions of the assessors where the trial has been conducted with the aid of assessors.

If after trial any previous conviction has been established, there should also be endorsed—

1. The offence of which the convict was previously convicted.

2. The sentence passed upon him for that offence.

3. The date of that sentence.

4. Name and designation of the trying authority. All these particulars should be written in the same language in which the warrant itself is written.—*Bomb. Gaz.* 1879, p. 472.

When the accused person is a soldier or person holding any rank in the army, the warrant of imprisonment shall set forth accurately the rank of the prisoner and the Regiment or Military Department to which he belongs.—*Cal. H. Ct. Cir.* 12, Nov. 28, 1873; *Wilkins*, 71.

The MADRAS High Court has issued the following orders on this subject:—

All warrants or orders addressed to officers in charge of Central or District Jails by Judicial or Magisterial officers shall, whenever practicable, be prepared in the English language.

In every case in which two or more persons are jointly charged and convicted of an offence before a Court of Session or Magistrate, it shall be necessary to issue a separate warrant or order for the commitment to prison of each person under the sentence passed upon him.

Orders or Warrants directing the release of a prisoner should be addressed to the officer in charge of the Jail and sent direct to him.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Jan. 9, 1867; and March 13, 1868; *Weir, App.* xlvii.

When a prisoner is acquitted after trial by a Session Court, it is not necessary to send a formal warrant of release to the Superintendent of the Jail.—*Id.* Oct. 30, 1869; *Weir, App.*, 1.

A Register of warrants in the following form has been ordered by the CALCUTTA High Court (*Cir.* 167, May 15, 1865; 11, Oct. 1, 1886; 1, Jan. 11, 1871; *Wilkins*, 6) to be kept by Sessions Judges:—

Register of Warrants.

1	2	3		4		5			Memo.
Name of prisoner.	Date of sentence.	Term of imprisonment.		When the sentence will expire.		Warrants when received from the Jailor or Magistrate.			
		Years.	Months.	Month.	Date and year.	Month.	Date.	Year.	

384 [S. 303; Act X, 1875, Ss. 103, 104; Act IV, 1877, S. 184.]

Direction of warrant for execution. Every warrant for the execution of a sentence of imprisonment shall be directed to the officer in charge of the jail or other place in which the prisoner is, or is to be, confined.

See note to S. 383.

The date of the termination of all terms of imprisonment should be distinctly expressed in the warrants of commitment. The cases in which the imprisonment is to take effect on the expiration of a previous sentence, the commencement of the imprisonment is to be stated accordingly.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. No. 3, Dec. 19, 1876; Wilkins, 71.

Whenever a soldier is committed to jail whether for trial or under sentence, his military rank shall always be stated in the warrant of commitment, in order that due notice may be given to the Military authorities of the day and hour on which his imprisonment will expire, as required by the 33rd clause of the Mutiny Act.—Smyth, p. 148; Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 12, November 28, 1873; Wilkins, 71.

385 [S. 304; Act X, 1875, S. 104.]

Warrant with whom to be lodged. When the prisoner is to be confined in a jail, the warrant shall be lodged with the jailor.

Act V, 1871, S. 16, empowers officers in charge of prisons outside Presidency-towns to give effect to any sentence or order or warrant for the detention of any person passed or issued by any Court or tribunal acting under the authority of the Local Government.

A warrant under the official signature of such Court or tribunal shall be sufficient authority for holding any prisoner in confinement or sending any prisoner for transportation beyond the sea in pursuance of the sentence passed upon him.—S. 17.

Any officer in charge of a prison doubting the legality of any warrant sent to him for execution, or the competency of the person, whose official seal and signature are affixed thereto, to pass the sentence and issue such warrant, shall refer the matter to the Local Government, by whose order on the case such officer and all other public officers shall be guided as to the future disposal of the prisoner.

Pending any such reference, the prisoner shall be detained in such manner and with such restrictions or mitigations as may be specified in the warrant.—S. 18.

386 [S. 307, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 105; Act IV, 1877, S. 185.]

Warrant for levy of fine. Whenever an offender is sentenced to pay a fine, the Court passing the sentence may, in its discretion, issue a warrant for the levy of the amount by distress and sale of any moveable property belonging to the offender, although the sentence directs that, in default of payment of the fine, the offender shall be imprisoned.

Sch. V. No. 37 gives a form of warrant to levy a fine by distress and sale.

Act I, 1868, S. 5 declares that the provisions of Ss. 63—70 (both inclusive) of the Penal Code, shall apply to all fines imposed under the authority of any Act hereafter to be passed, unless such Act shall contain a special provision to the contrary.

Of the sections of the Penal Code referred to, S. 70 is very important in the matter of the levy of fines, inasmuch as it declares that a fine or any portion thereof which remains unpaid may be levied at any time within six years after the passing of the sentence, and, if under the sentence, the offender be liable to imprisonment for a longer period than six years, then at any time previous to the expiration of that period: and the death of the offender does not discharge from the liability any property which would after his death be legally liable for his debts.

From the terms of S. 386 of this Code and S. 70, Penal Code, it will be seen that although a sentence of imprisonment on default of payment of the fine may be passed or be in course of execution, or even undergone, the offender is still liable for the amount unpaid until the expiration of six years after the passing of the sentence and the Court which passed the sentence may issue a warrant for the realisation at any time within that period.

A sentence of fine must be specific as to each person fined. It is not legal to pass a sentence of fine of a certain amount on the prisoners individually and collectively.—5 Mad. App. v; Pro. Nov. 11, 1869.

The Calcutta High Court (4 W. B., C. L. 8,) has held that, although under the Code of Criminal Procedure only moveable property belonging to the offender is liable in satisfaction of a fine, under the terms of S. 70 of the Penal Code, *after his death*, any property which would be legally liable for his debts would be liable to the payment of a fine remaining unpaid at his death, the restriction as to the distress and sale of moveable property continuing only during the lifetime of the offender. The Bombay High Court, however, has declined to follow this rule in the case of *Lallu Karwar*, 5 Bomb., *Crown Cases*, 63, holding that the law has provided for the distress and sale of moveable property only; there is no way by which immoveable property can be made liable for a fine.

S. 70, Penal Code, is permissive not imperative. The Court should exercise its discretion according to the circumstances of each particular case. If there is reason to believe that the convict is able to pay and is preferring to undergo imprisonment, this law should be strictly enforced: but if it appears that the fine was not paid for want of means, or that its realization would be ruinous to the offender or his family, it is not desirable that further steps should be taken.—Smyth, p. 109.

"Such of any moveable property belonging to the offender." The language of S. 386 denotes things which may be taken by distress and then sold so as by the mere act of sale to pass the property on them, not mere rights and interest or shares in joint moveables.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Feb. 16, 1876, Weir, 328. Standing crops are not moveable property within the meaning of S. 386.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Nov. 19, 1878; Weir, 329.

There should be no delay in the levy of a fine. It should not be deferred until the result of any appeal that the convict may make be known; nor can the Appellate Court order a lower Court to abstain from issuing the warrant for the levy of a fine.—2 W. R., C. L., 18.

The warrant for the levy of a fine should be directed to a Police officer, and should fix a time for the sale and for the return of the warrant. If no one claims the property distrained, the Police have the power of selling it within the time that should be specified in the warrant without any previous reference to the Magistrate; if a claimant comes forward, then the ownership of the property distrained must be determined by the Magistrate, and not by the Police. If, at any time subsequent to the return of the warrant, and within the period of six years from the passing of a sentence, the fine or any part thereof remains unpaid (S. 70, Penal Code), and the Magistrate, from information gained in any way, has reason to think that any moveable property belonging to the offender is within his jurisdiction, he should issue a fresh warrant for the attachment and sale of that property within a specified period returnable within a certain time.—Cal. H. Ct., No. 8, June 22, 1864. Wilkins, 111. If there is any dispute regarding the ownership of property attached, it is inadvisable to order a sale until the claimant has had an opportunity of establishing his title in the Civil Court. Mad. H. Ct., Sept. 15, 1881, Weir, 330. See also Bomb. H. Ct. Cir., 44. S. 386 applies only to British India. Fines therefore cannot be realized in any Foreign State.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. July 28, 1879. Weir, 330.

In Bengal, under the Court Fees' Act, a fee of *one rupee* has been fixed on every warrant of levy of fine, and a percentage on the amount of fine, *viz.*, 2 per cent. on sums not exceeding Rs. 100, and when the sum exceeds Rs. 100, then 2 per cent. on Rs. 100, and 1 per cent. on the amount of excess. Such percentage is to be deducted from the proceeds of the property sold, or to be paid, together with the amount levied, and with the other costs of process as stated in the warrant.—Cal. Gaz, 1874, p. 478; 21 W. R., Rules, &c., p. 12. This rule would, however, apply only to non-cognizable cases. See Court Fees' Act (VII, 1870), S. 20, Cl. ii.

387 [S. 307, para. 2; Act X, 1875, S. 105; Act IV, 1877, S. 185.]

Effect of such warrant.

Such warrant may be executed within the local limits of the jurisdiction of such Court, and it shall authorize the distress and sale of any such property without such limits, when endorsed by the District Magistrate or Chief Presidency Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction such property is found.

The Civil Ministerial officer of the Court is held responsible that on realisation of the fine, on non-payment of which alternative imprisonment has been attached, immediate intimation be given to the Jail authorities.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. March 12, 1867; Weir, *App.* xxii.

If an adverse title be set up to property attached by order of a Magistrate for the levy of a fine, the Magistrate should inquire into the matter.—Cal. H. Ct., 435, 1864.

The following orders on this subject have been issued by the Chief Court, Punjab.—Smyth, pp. 109—111.

Whenever a fine is imposed, whether it is in addition to imprisonment, or whether it is the only punishment, a separate written order should be signed and sealed by the Magistrate imposing the fine. This should be in a lithographed form addressed to the Court Inspector, or Deputy Court Inspector, or other official discharging the duties of the Court Inspector, or the Sheriff in districts not under the organized Police. This writ is returnable in one fortnight, during which period the offender will be informed in person of the amount of the fine imposed by the Magistrate; the Police will inform the friends of the offender and if they tender the money, the same will be certified to the Magistrate, who, when the party is under restraint, will at once notify the same to the Darogah of the Jail. If within that period no tender is made, the fact of notice given will be endorsed on the return, and in either case the work of the Police is done.

When fine is the only punishment imposed for a non-heinous offence, and the offender is notoriously a man of substance residing in the district, the Magistrate is at liberty to allow him to remain on bail or personal recognizance at large for the period of one week, so as to admit of his arranging for the payment of his fine at the close of this period, imprisonment will be enforced in

default. The Court Inspector or Sheriff in districts where the Police is not organized, should be informed by a written order of the term of grace allowed, at the close of which they will take care that, if the fine is not tendered to them, the warrant of arrest and imprisonment is issued. (See S. 388 of the Code.)

At every head-quarter station, every out-station, and station of Cantonment Magistrate, Honorary Magistrate, and Tehseeldar, there will be one vernacular Fine Register, and it will be the duty of the reader of each Court to see that fines issued by the Judicial officer on whom he attends are entered the same day in that register. This register should be signed daily by the Magistrate of the District at head-quarter stations and by the Judicial officer at out-stations, and every order to realize a fine should bear a memorandum of having been registered with its number.

The Registrar of Fines is to be specially charged with the custody of the above register, and the duty of seeing that the necessary measures are taken from time to time to realize the fine. He should look for his orders to the officer who daily under the above rule signs the register. Where there is no Registrar of Fines, the duty should be entrusted to one of the departmental clerks.

When the Court Inspector or the Sheriff, as the case may be, has certified that the fine has not been realized by his efforts (which are restricted to an oral demand for payment, it remains for the Magistrate of the District at head-quarter stations, and the Judicial officer elsewhere, to decide what steps should be taken to issue a distress for a forcible levy of the amount. For this purpose the Magistrate will employ the Tehseeldar of each Pergunnah and endorse the report of the Court Inspector or Sheriff with an order to the Tehseeldar to ascertain the moveable property of the offender and to attach the same. Although agricultural implements are not exempt from distress and sale in realization of a fine, the measure is one which should be resorted to with discretion, otherwise it may entail undue hardship in cases which do not require such severity.

Cantonment Magistrates and Honorary Magistrates must realize their fines by the officers of their own Courts.—Smyth, pp. 109, 110, 111.

"A fine occupies the position of a judgment-debt; the Sub-Magistrates will use the same formalities in attachment, sale, and adjudicating upon the objections which are in force in the execution of civil decrees, with this difference, that the process issues on the criminal side.

"When an objector comes forward, he should be warned of the penalties contained in S. 207 of the Penal Code against fraudulent claims to property to prevent its seizure in satisfaction of fine; after this warning the objection should be disposed of either by admitting the claim, or referring the objector to a civil action, if his claim seems *prima facie* groundless. When a Sub-Magistrate has realized a fine, he will credit it in his accounts, and certify the same to the Magistrate, who will at once notify the same to the Darogah of the Jail.

"The Tehseeldar of a Pergunnah will always receive a fine tendered by the Police or any other person, and grant a receipt, which receipt will be admitted by the Magistrate as payment. The Magistrate will receive fines tendered in his own Court. If the fine is tendered within the fortnight allowed to the Police to realize, the payment will be made through the Court Inspector that there may be a record of the transaction on his books. The Magistrate is also at liberty in special cases to allow fines to be paid by instalments not extending beyond a period of six months. The Darogah of Jail will also receive fines, and send them, with report, to the Magistrate, who will inform the Court Inspector, Sheriff, or the Sub-Magistrate according to the stage at which the transaction may have arrived."—Jud. Comr., Panjab, Cir. 54, Nov. 17, 1862.

Memoranda showing the amount of all fees, fines and penalties levied during the month are to be forwarded by every Magisterial officer to the District Magistrate on the last day of each month, and a general statement is to be prepared by him and forwarded to the Court of Session.—Mad. H. Ct., Dec. 21, 1868; Feb. 9, 1869.

The following Rules have been issued by the Government of Bengal, November 22, 1868, for the realization of Criminal Fines:—

1. The only books to be kept are a Fine Register and a Fine Balance Statement.
2. In every Sudder or Sub-divisional office there shall be kept a register, in Form A, in the hands of the Court Inspector or Sub-Inspector. In this shall be entered in a consecutive monthly series all fines imposed by any of the Magisterial officers of the station, or imposed by Sessions Judges or the High Court and transferred to the Magistrate for realization. In each Court, one of the mohurris shall be specially charged with the duty of looking after the fines or other sentences.
3. When an offender is sentenced by the Magistrate, a small printed form shall be at once filled in with the particulars, and sent with the prisoner in the charge of a Constable to the Court Inspector's office.
4. The printed forms prescribed in Rule 3 should be bound together in the form of a cheque book, the outer section being torn off and sent with the convicted person to the Court Inspector's office, and the counterpart being kept in the Magistrate's office. A consecutive number should be given to each form. The form should be used by the Magistrate in all cases, whether the fine is imposed by himself or by the Sessions or High Court, and the number in column 1 of the Register A should be the number of this form. The counterparts will enable the Fine Mohurri to check easily the Court Inspector's books.

5. The Court Inspector will then make the necessary entries in his Register of Fines, if fine

Realization of fines under Penal Code in Bengal.

be part of the sentence, and will call upon the prisoner to pay the amount. If this be done, the necessary entries should be made and the payer released, unless he be also sentenced to imprisonment. If the sentence be one of fine only, and the fine be paid in part, the entries will be made and prisoner released and application be made by the Court Inspector for a warrant for realization of the balance to the Court which passed the sentence. If the sentence be one of fine only, and the fine be not paid at all, the Court Inspector shall apply for a warrant for the realization of the whole amount. No person, not also under sentence of imprisonment, alternative or otherwise, shall be detained by the Court Inspector on account of inability to pay the fine. Where the sentence is one of fine, but with an alternative sentence of imprisonment on failure to pay the fine, if the fine be not wholly satisfied at once, the Court Inspector shall report to the Court which imposed the sentence and shall take its written orders as to the term of imprisonment proportionate to the amount still unpaid which, under S. 69 of the Indian Penal Code, the convicted person has yet to undergo.

6. A receipt should be granted to the person paying a fine by the Court Inspector or other Police officer to whom it is paid.

7. Any further payments made during the currency of the term of imprisonment must be at once reported to the Magistrate by the Court Inspector with a view to the further amendment of the sentence of imprisonment or the release of the prisoner as the case may be.

8. In any case where, under any special or local law, imprisonment in lieu of fine is to be taken as a full satisfaction of the penalty, if the convicted person elect to undergo the imprisonment, the Court Inspector must bring him at once before the Court imposing the sentence, which shall certify to the fact of the election, and the amount of fine shall, if entered, be struck out of the Court Inspector's books. Nothing hereinbefore laid down shall interfere with any special directions of law for the attempted realization of fine by distress or otherwise before carrying out any sentence of imprisonment upon the offender.

9. When a warrant for realization of a fine is received from the Sessions or any other Court not under the control of the Magistrate of the District, the fine shall be entered in the register and shall be treated in all respects as a fine imposed by the Magistrate of the District which the offender has declined to pay, and for the realization of which a warrant has issued. But such entries may be conveniently distinguished by having prefixed to them in red ink the letters S. or H. O. for Sessions or High Court.

10. All fines received by the Court Inspector must be paid in by him daily to the treasury. The challan sent with them should be in detail and accompanied by the Fine Register, and the Treasury Mohurrir receiving them will check each entry in the challan by the Register of Fines, putting his initials to each in the proper column of the register.

11. The challan receipted by the Treasury officer will be kept filed by the Court Inspector as his acquittance.

12. It shall be the duty of the Fine Mohurrir of each Court to examine daily the Court Inspector's register, and to ascertain that each entry is made, and made correctly. He will certify this by his initials in the proper column. He is also responsible for the speedy preparation of warrants. It is the duty of the Fine Mohurrir of the principal Court, i. e., the Magistrate's own Court at a Sudder Station and Sub-Divisional officer's Court, where there are more than one at a Sub-Division, to check the Court Inspector's monthly Statements and the totals in the cash columns of the register. Each Magistrate should examine the Fine Register daily and check his own fines, signing his initials to each entry. He should see that warrants are issued and remittances paid in and acknowledged without delay.

13. The Magistrate of the District or officer in charge of a Sub-Division should also inspect the register from time to time, watch the action of his subordinates, and check the Court Inspector's totals at the close of each month.

14. When any fine or part of a fine is remitted in any month subsequent to that in which it may have been imposed, whether on appeal or otherwise, or become irrecoverable in consequence either of the lapse of six years from date of sentence, or imprisonment having been suffered in lieu of fine, it shall be entered in the register in red ink under the month in which it is so remitted or lapses and the amount remitted or lapsing shall be shown in column 8 of the register. When a fine is remitted in the same month in which it may have been imposed, the entry in column 8 will be made opposite the original entry and of course in black ink.

15. If a fine be not realized in whole or in part in the month in which it is imposed, the whole fine or any outstanding balance of it (as the case may be) shall be entered in red ink under the month in which any part of it may be realized, a reference being made in the Remarks Column to the months and numbers of any former entries of the fine. Similarly all subsequent realizations of the fine should be entered against the original entry in Remarks Column. Subsequent realizations during the same month in which the fine was levied may of course appear in black ink in the proper columns opposite the original entry, a total being struck in the body of the page.

16. The totals of the red and black ink entries in columns 6, 8, 12, 13, and 14 must, at the close of the month, be found both separately and together on each page, and carried on thus to the end of the month but no further, *e. g.* :—

Total of black ink entries	Rs.	22	0	0
Total of red ink entries	„	10	0	0
Grand Total				Rs.	32	0	0

17. If the Court Inspector be required to meet any contingent or other expenses of the Court, he must receive special advances for this purpose. The account of these must be kept quite distinct from the fines accounts, and in no case is any disbursement to be made from realized fines in the Court Inspector's hands. Any refunds of fines will be made by the Treasury officer on the order of the Magistrate.

18. In the same way when all or any part of the fine is directed to be paid to complainant as compensation, this fact and the amount awarded must be noted in red ink in the 'Remarks' Column of the Register, but after realization of the fine is reported by the Court Inspector, the disbursement of the compensation will in every case be made from the Treasury on the Magistrate's order. Fines under S. 250 of the Criminal Procedure Code will be treated as if imposed in a case instituted on complaint, by the original defendant.

19. In non-appealable cases, however, should the Court Inspector report that the fine or amount of award under S. 250, Criminal Procedure Code, has been paid to him before the parties leave the Court, the Magistrate may direct payment to be made to the person entitled to compensation from his permanent advance, such payment being afterwards adjusted at the Treasury against the Fines Account as though originally disbursed there. In all other cases the Magistrate will give an order on the Treasury for the amount as prescribed by Rule 18.

20. In Sub-Divisions where there is no Sub-Divisional Treasury, and the fine collections remain in the Court Inspector's hands till the close of the month, payment of compensation, where this can legally be given, may be made by the Court Inspector on the Magistrate's order in any case in which the fine has not formed an item in a challan to the District Treasury. Where the fine has been *challaned*, the Magistrate may order payment of the compensation from his permanent advance, adjusting it afterwards as prescribed in Rule 19. In these Sub-Divisions, however, column 14 of Register A should be sub-divided so as to show separately amounts paid by Court Inspectors as compensation out of realized fines and amounts remitted to the Treasury.

21. A monthly balance sheet should be prepared in a book for the purpose, showing

Grand balance of fines outstanding	_____
Amount imposed during month, <i>i. e.</i>	_____
Total of black ink entries in column 6	_____
Grand total realizable	_____
Amount remitted on appeal, &c., or written off by Commissioner's order, <i>i. e.</i> , Grand	_____
Total of column 8	_____
Amount realized—	
Of new fines—	
<i>i. e.</i> , Total of black ink entries in column 12	_____
Of old fines—	
<i>i. e.</i> , Total of red ink entries in ditto	_____
Grand Total (of column 12)	_____
Balance—	
Of new fines—	
<i>i. e.</i> , Total of black ink entries in column 13	_____
Of old fines—	
<i>i. e.</i> , Total of red ink entries in ditto	_____
Grand Total (of column 13)	_____

The grand balance outstanding at close of previous month, less the total of red ink entries in columns 8 and 12, and plus the black ink entries in column 13, will give the grand outstanding balance of the present month.

A certificate to the following effect should be given at foot :—

"Certified that the total of the above realized fines has been brought to credit in the Treasury accounts."

Magistrate.

Initial of Treasury officer.

" " Court Inspector.

" " Fine Mohurrir.

22. A copy of each Sub-Divisional Balance Sheet must be sent to Magistrate of the District within two days after the end of month, and the Balance Sheet of the Sudder Station ought to be ready within the same time.

23. A general District Balance Sheet must be sent to the Commissioner within ten days of the close of the month.

24. The above would seem to be sufficient check upon the Court Inspector's Department, if properly carried out. But under the Penal Code fines may be realized any time within six years, or during the term of imprisonment of the offender, if this be more than six years. It is requisite therefore that at each Thannah a Register, in form B, be kept of all warrants received for realization of fines within its jurisdiction. Whenever a balance is left unrealized it is the duty of the Police to institute periodical inquiries as to the acquisition of property by the defaulter. The fact and result of making these inquiries should be entered in the column of Remarks at least once a quarter.

25. The inquiries should not, in the first instance, be made in any formal or official manner, but the officer in charge of a Police station, when visiting villages or receiving reports from Rural Police, should inquire from time to time verbally as to the position and occupation of any defaulter resident within his jurisdiction. If it shall appear that such defaulter can in all probability pay the amount of fine outstanding against him, the Police officer shall forthwith report the matter to the Magistrate having jurisdiction, with a view to the issue of a warrant. In all other cases he will merely note "no assets" in the Remarks column, during the entry.

26. The Magistrate should, in every case, exercise a sound discretion as to the issuing or refusal of warrants; and may, if he think fit, order a local inquiry to be made by a superior officer of Police before granting such.

27. The inquiries provided for under Rules 24, 25, and 26 may be made by a Head Constable under the immediate orders and supervision of his superior officer. A Head Constable employed on this duty should always receive precise instructions as to the cases to be inquired into, and mode of his inquiry.

28. Warrants of this description subsequent to the first must be entered in the Thannah Register in red ink, but be treated as a fresh entry, a reference being made in the Remarks column to the year and number of the original warrant.

29. In the event of the death of a defaulter being reported, one final and formal inquiry should be made as to whether he has left anywhere any property of any kind liable for his debts.

30. All fines realized should be remitted with the returned warrant to the Court Inspector at once.

31. The Magistrate should call for the Register of each Thannah at least once a quarter, and have it compared with the Court Inspector's Register. He should also note that the Police inquiries have been regularly made and properly recorded.

32. The Commissioner on his annual tour of inspection or at such other times as he may deem fit, should examine the Fine Registers and give orders for the writing off of all fines which, in consequence of the death of the defaulter or of its having been ascertained after repeated inquiry that there are no assets, may be irrecoverable.

The MADRAS HIGH COURT has prescribed the following—

STATEMENT OF FEES, FINES, AND PENALTIES.

Statement of Fees, Fines, Penalties, &c., levied in the Judicial Magisterial Courts in the Zillah of
in the month of 18 .

Nature of sums levied.	Civil Court.	Small Cause Court.	Principal Sadr. Amins' Courts.	District Moonsiffs' Courts.	Magistrates' Courts.	Total.
Stamp duty on unstamped or insufficiently stamped documents.						
Penalties on documents.						
Fines and Forfeitures.						
Sale proceeds of unclaimed property.						
Court Fees' Stamps.						
Process Service Fees.						
Total ..						
Refunds ..						

388 [Act IV, 1877, S. 185.] When an offender has been sentenced to fine only, and to imprisonment in default of payment of the fine, and the Court issues a warrant under section 386, it may suspend the execution of the sentence of imprisonment and may release the offender on his executing a bond, with or without sureties, as the Court thinks fit, conditioned for his appearance before such Court on the day appointed for the return to such warrant, such day not being more than fifteen days from the time of executing the bond; and in the event of the fine not having been realized the Court may direct the sentence of imprisonment to be carried into execution at once.

S. 388 makes no provision for a proportionate reduction of the imprisonment in default of payment of fine where only a portion of the fine has been realized. S. 69, Penal Code, however, declares that, if, before expiration of the term of imprisonment in default of payment, such a proportion of the fine be paid or levied that the term of imprisonment suffered on default of payment is not less than proportional to the part of the fine still unpaid, the imprisonment shall terminate.

389 [S. 307, last para.; Act IV, 1877, S. 185.] Every warrant for the execution of any sentence may be issued either by the Judge or Magistrate who passed the sentence or by his successor in office.

390 [S. 302 A, cl. 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 183.] When the accused is sentenced to whipping only, the sentence shall be executed at such place and time as the Court may direct.

The Whipping Act (VI of 1864), Ss. 2, 5, declares for what offences and under what circumstances sentence of whipping may be passed as a sole punishment.

Where sentence of whipping is the sole punishment of a Magistrate, it shall be executed at the Court-house of the Magistrate or in some suitable place adjacent thereto at a fixed time at the close of each day in the presence of a Magistrate or other officer as provided by S. 394 and with as much privacy as circumstances permit.—N. W. P., 1878, p. 718. In such cases arrangements should be made to have the sentence carried out at once at the Magistrate's office in communication with the Civil Surgeon or Native Doctor of the Station.—Bengal Government, Cir. 21, Jan. 1876.

391 [S. 310; Act IV, 1877, S. 187.] When the accused is sentenced to whipping in addition to imprisonment in a case which is subject to appeal, the whipping shall not be inflicted until fifteen days from the date of the sentence, or, if an appeal be made within that time, until the sentence is confirmed by the Appellate Court: but the whipping shall be inflicted as soon as practicable after the expiry of the fifteen days, or, in case of an appeal, as soon as practicable after the receipt of the order of the Appellate Court confirming the sentence.

The whipping shall be inflicted in the presence of the officer in charge of the jail: unless the Judge or Magistrate orders it to be inflicted in his own presence.

"Whipping in addition to imprisonment." This should be read with the Whipping Act (IV of 1864) Ss. 3, 4, and does not refer to a case in which for a second offence on the same trial sentence of whipping is passed.—Mad. H. Ct., Nov. 28, 1878; Weir, 344.

A prisoner was sentenced on three separate convictions: *first*, to a term of imprisonment; *second*, on expiry of that term to whipping; *third*, to a term of imprisonment after the execution of the previous sentence of whipping. It was held by the Madras High Court that any postponement of execution of a sentence of whipping except under S. 391 was illegal, and the sentence of whipping was accordingly set aside as bad in law, it being ordered that the second sentence of imprisonment do follow on expiry of the first sentence.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Dec. 10, 1878; 7 Mad., xxix, App. (S. C.) Weir 342. But if he escapes from Jail during the fifteen days during which execution of the sentence of

whipping is suspended, the whipping may be inflicted on his recapture.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Aug. 10, 1874; Weir, 343. A direction on the warrant of sentence that the whipping shall be inflicted immediately on expiry of the sentence of imprisonment is illegal. The officer in charge of the Jail on receiving such a warrant should return it for correction. When such an illegality has been committed, the whipping may be inflicted on receipt of the order of the High Court correcting it.—Mad. H. Ct., Jan. 31; Weir, 343.

If, through neglect or accident or wilful breach of duty, the whipping is not inflicted as directed by S. 391, the convict is not thereby freed from liability. The sentence there subsisting must be executed.—Bomb. H. Ct., Aug. 5, 1878. But *contra* Madras Court who have held that where a sentence of whipping directed that it should be inflicted at the expiry of the sentence of imprisonment and the term specified in S. 391 had passed, that sentence was inoperative by lapse of time, and was cancelled.—6 Mad., xxxviii, App. Pro. Nov. 13, 1871; (S. C.) Weir, 341; and Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Dec. 10, 1873; 9 Mad. Jur., 104 (S. C.) 7 Mad. xxix App. (S. C.) Weir, 342. Followed in Man, Punj. Rec. 1880, p. 81. See also *In re Jaffir Ali*, 20 W. R. 70. But where a Sessions Judge sentenced a convict to one year's rigorous imprisonment and to receive thirty stripes, one week before his release, the High Court ordered the whipping to be inflicted at once, as the postponement of it was opposed to the Whipping Act.—Bomb. H. Ct., Jamalvalad Nanabhai, Dec. 22, 1870.

It should be noted, that, under this Code, in a case in which sentence of whipping *only* is passed by a Magistrate of the first class, there is no appeal.—Ss. 273, 274. An appeal, however, lies against sentences of whipping passed by Magistrate of the second class; but, if the whipping be awarded in lieu of any other punishment, the sentence should be carried into execution without delay. The effect of the appeal will be to ascertain the correctness of a sentence already carried out, and not to bring under review the sentence itself with a view to its revision.—Cal. H. Ct., 1864, 314.

392 [S. 311, paras. 1, 2; Act X, 1875, S. 108; Act IV, 1877, S. 188.]

Mode of inflicting punishment. In the case of a person of or over sixteen years of age, whipping shall be inflicted with a light ratan not less than half an inch in diameter, in such mode, and on such part of the person, as the Local Government directs; and, in the case of a person under sixteen years of age, it shall be inflicted in the way of school-discipline with a light ratan.

Limit of number of stripes. In no case shall such punishment exceed thirty stripes.

Any juvenile offender who commits any offence which is not by the Indian Penal Code punishable with death, may, whether for a first or any other offence be punished with whipping in lieu of any other punishment to which he may for such offence be liable under the said Code.—Whipping Act (VI of 1864) S. 5.

393 [S. 312, para. 3; Act IV, 1877, S. 190.]

Not to be executed by instalments. Whipping shall be executed by instalments; and none of the following persons shall be punishable with whipping (namely):—

- (a) females;
- (b) males sentenced to death, or to transportation, or to penal servitude, or to imprisonment for more than five years;
- (c) males whom the Court considers to be more than forty-five years of age.

(c) is new.

394 [S. 312, paras. 1, 2; Act X, 1875, S. 108; Act IV, 1877, S. 189.]

Whipping not to be inflicted if offender not in fit state of health. The punishment of whipping shall not be inflicted unless a Medical Officer, if present, certifies, or, if there is not a Medical Officer present, unless it appears to the Magistrate or officer present, that the offender is in a fit state of health to undergo such punishment.

If, during the execution of a sentence of whipping, a Medical Officer certifies, or it appears to the Magistrate or officer present, that the offender is not in a fit state of health to undergo the remainder of the sentence, the whipping shall be finally stopped.

Stay of execution.

The Governor-General of India in Council has notified that he considers that the precaution of having a Medical officer present at the time of the infliction of the punishment should be observed in every instance when practicable.

A Medical officer, during execution of a sentence of whipping, certified that the accused was not in a fit state to undergo the remainder of the sentence, which was accordingly stayed, and the prisoner who had been sentenced only to whipping was discharged by the Magistrate. On a reference to it, the Calcutta High Court approved of the Magistrate's proceedings.—3 Wyman, 3, *Letters*. See also 3 Mad., 1, *App.* Pro. July 25, 1864. The prisoner should not necessarily be discharged though he cannot suffer the remainder of the sentence of whipping; see S. 395.

395 [S. 313; Act X, 1875, S. 103; Act IV, 1877, S. 191.] In any

Procedure if punishment cannot be inflicted under section 394.

case in which, under section 394, a sentence of whipping is, wholly or partially, prevented from being executed, the offender shall be kept in custody till the Court which passed the sentence can revise it; and the said Court may, at its discretion, either remit such sentence, or sentence the offender, in lieu of whipping, or in lieu of so much of the sentence of whipping as was not executed, to imprisonment for any term not exceeding twelve months, which may be in addition to any other punishment to which he may have been sentenced for the same offence.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorize any Court to inflict imprisonment for a term exceeding that to which the accused is liable by law, or that the said Court is competent to inflict.

S. 395 does not enable a Court to sentence an offender to fine if he cannot suffer the sentence of whipping originally passed.

Where a sentence of whipping passed in addition to imprisonment could not be executed because the Medical officer certified that the prisoner was not in a fit state to undergo it, it was held that sentence of fine could not be passed in its place, but that the sentence of imprisonment should be enhanced.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Jan. 9, 1879; Weir, 345.

396 [S. 316; Act X, 1875, S. 110; Act IV, 1877, S. 192.] When

Execution of sentences on escaped convicts.

sentence is passed under this Code on an escaped convict, such sentence, if of death, fine or whipping, shall, subject to the provisions hereinbefore contained, take effect immediately, and if of imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation, shall take effect according to the following rules, that is to say:—

If the new sentence is severer in its quality than the sentence which such convict was undergoing when he escaped, the new sentence shall take effect immediately.

When the new sentence is not severer in its quality than the sentence the convict was undergoing when he escaped, the new sentence shall take effect after he has suffered imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation, as the case may be, for a further period equal to that which, at the time of his escape, remained unexpired of his former sentence.

EXPLANATION.—For the purposes of this section—

(a) a sentence of transportation or penal servitude shall be deemed severer than a sentence of imprisonment:

(b) a sentence of imprisonment with solitary confinement shall be deemed severer than a sentence of the same description of imprisonment without solitary confinement; and

(c) a sentence of rigorous imprisonment shall be deemed severer than a sentence of simple imprisonment with or without solitary confinement.

S. 224, Penal Code, provides the punishment for an escape or an attempt to escape by a convict.

A sentence of solitary confinement may be passed by any Court on any person who is convicted of an offence for which the Court has power to sentence him to rigorous imprisonment.—S. 78, Penal Code.

The attention of all Sessions Judges and Magistrates in Bengal has been drawn to the special requirements of S. 396, and they have been directed to specify in the warrants of sentence the date from which a sentence is to take effect, whether at once or after the lapse of a period equivalent to a portion of the prisoner's original sentence which remained unexpired at the date of his escape, the date on which the original sentence, of which the currency was interrupted by the escape, will expire being clearly shown.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 9, July 15, 1873.

The Governor-General in Council is pleased to direct that, in modification of the Circular of the 22nd November, the following procedure be observed upon the re-capture of a convict, if the Local Governments see no impediment. The Police, who have arrested a person upon the charge of having escaped, will apply to the Magistrate before whom the accused has been brought for an adjournment, to enable them to ascertain whether a warrant has been received from Port Blair for his re-capture. Inquiry should be made at the Home Department of the Government of India, if no warrant has been received by the Police of the province in which the convict has been arrested. And in all cases of escape by a life-convict, the Superintendent of Port Blair or other Magistrate having jurisdiction, as soon as the fact of escape is known, should issue a warrant charging him with having committed an offence under S. 224, Penal Code, to the chief of the Police of the province or administration in which the convict is known or is likely to be found, and should also forward a warrant forthwith to this Department. If the warrant is forthcoming, the Magistrate by whom the case is being inquired into will decide whether there is any reason why the accused should not be removed in custody, under S. 85, Criminal Procedure Code, to the Magistrate at the Andamans who issued the warrant.—Orders of Govt. of India, Home Dept. dated May 18, 1874, circulated by Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 22, dated May 27, 1874.

The Calcutta High Court has held (480, 1864) that S. 396 does not apply to the escape of a person from the custody in which he may have been detained when under trial. Such an escape is punishable under S. 224, Penal Code.

397 [S. 317; Act X, 1875, S. 111; Act IV, 1877, S. 193.] When a

Sentence on offender already sentenced for another offence. person already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation is sentenced to imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation, such imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation shall commence at the expiration of the imprisonment, penal servitude or transportation to which he has been previously sentenced :

Provided that if he is undergoing a sentence of imprisonment, and the sentence on such subsequent conviction be one of transportation, the Court may, in its discretion, direct that the latter sentence shall commence immediately, or at the expiration of the imprisonment to which he has been previously sentenced.

When the first sentence is set aside on appeal or revision, the second sentence which was to take effect on expiration of the first commences from that time, it being immaterial whether the first sentence has been executed or been set aside by a superior Court.—Bomb. High Court, *Resn. in Chambers*, April 29, 1879.

When a sentence of imprisonment is passed on a person who has just been convicted and sentenced for another offence, it is contrary to S. 397 to make the second sentence concurrent with the first.—Bomb. H. Ct. *Resn. in Chambers*, Aug. 3, 1869.

In ignorance that the person under trial before him was already under sentence in Jail, a Magistrate convicted and sentenced him, dating the warrant irrespective of the previous sentence. The Calcutta High Court (3 W. R., 16 C. L.,) held that the Magistrate was competent to alter the date of the warrant, as the alteration referred only to the time at which the sentence should commence, and not to the sentence itself.

The terms of S. 397 seem to make any such alteration in the warrant unnecessary, as the second sentence, it is enacted, "*shall* commence at the expiration of the imprisonment, pena servitute or transportation to which he has been previously sentenced" except under circumstance specially provided for.

398 [317, Proviso.] Nothing in section 396 or section 397 shall be held to excuse any person from any part of the punishment to which he is liable upon his former or subsequent conviction.

399 [S. 318; Act X, 1875, S. 112.] When any person under the age of sixteen years is sentenced by any Criminal Court to imprisonment for any offence, the Court may direct that such person, instead of being imprisoned in a criminal jail shall be confined in any reformatory established by the Local Government as a fit place for confinement, in which there are means of suitable discipline and of training in some branch of useful industry, or which is kept by a person willing to obey such rules as the Local Government prescribes with regard to the discipline and training of persons confined therein.

All persons confined under this section shall be subject to the rules so prescribed.

Act V of 1876 relates to Reformatory Schools.

S. 7 of that Act provides that whenever any youthful offender, is sentenced to transportation or imprisonment and is in the judgment of the Court by which he is sentenced (a) under the age of sixteen years and (b) a proper person to be an inmate of a Reformatory School, the Court may direct that instead of undergoing his sentence, he shall be sent to a Reformatory School, and be there detained for a period which shall not be less than two years and not more than seven years, and shall be in conformity with any rules made under section twenty-two, and for the time being in force. The powers so conferred by the Court shall be exercised only by (a) the High Court (b) the Court of Session (c) a Magistrate of the first class (d) a Magistrate of Police or a Presidency Magistrate in the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay.

S. 8 further enacts that whenever any youthful offender under the age of sixteen years has been or shall be sentenced to imprisonment, the officer in charge of the Jail in which such offender is confined may bring him before the Magistrate within whose jurisdiction such Jail is situate; and the Magistrate, if he thinks the offender (a) under the age of sixteen years and (b) a proper person to be an inmate of a Reformatory School, may direct him to be sent to a Reformatory School, and be there detained for a period which shall not be less than two and not more than seven years, and which shall be in conformity with any rules made under section twenty-two and for the time being in force. In this section a Magistrate means in the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, a Magistrate of Police or Presidency Magistrate, and elsewhere a Magistrate of the first class.

Nothing contained in sections 7 and 8 shall be deemed to authorize the detention in a Reformatory School of any person after he is proved to be above the age of eighteen years.—S. 8.

In directing that a youthful offender under sentence of imprisonment should be sent for detention in a Reformatory School, the period of that detention should not be fixed with reference to the sentence of imprisonment, but rather to the circumstances of the case and the age of the youthful offender, as detention in a Reformatory School is not a punishment equal in severity to imprisonment in a Jail, and a sufficient term of such detention is necessary to effect any reform in his habits and to teach him some trade or profession likely to enable him to earn an honest livelihood on his release.

A form of an order of detention in a Reformatory School has been prescribed by the Calcutta High Court Cir. 6, June 29, 1878; Wilkins, 74.

If a person as described by S. 399 is sentenced to confinement in a Reformatory in lieu of imprisonment in a Criminal Jail, he can be confined only for the term of such imprisonment which must not be in excess of the Magistrate's powers under the Code. It is only where the Reformatory Act has been extended that the power of awarding a longer period of confinement is conferred.—Bomb. H. Ct. Ravji Gangaram, Jan. 10, 1882.

400 [S. 305.] When a sentence has been fully executed, the officer executing it shall return the warrant to the Court from which it issued, with an endorsement

Return of warrant on execution of sentence.

under his hand certifying the manner in which the sentence has been executed.

See Act V of 1871, APPENDIX.

If the sentence be one of both imprisonment and whipping, a certificate of the execution of the whipping should be endorsed on the warrant at the time of inflicting that punishment, but the warrant should be retained until the sentence of imprisonment has been undergone.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 34, June 19, 1864; Wilkins, 112.

CHAPTER XXIX.

OF SUSPENSIONS, REMISSIONS AND COMMUTATIONS OF SENTENCES.

401 [S. 322, paras. 1, 2; Act XI, 1874, S. 34.] When any person

Power to suspend or has been sentenced to punishment for an offence, the remit sentences.

Governor General in Council, or the Local Government, may at any time, without conditions or upon any conditions which the person sentenced accepts, suspend the execution of his sentence or remit the whole or any part of the punishment to which he has been sentenced.

Whenever an application is made to the Governor General in Council or the Local Government for the suspension or remission of a sentence, the Governor General in Council or the Local Government, as the case may be, may require the presiding Judge of the Court before or by which the conviction was had or confirmed to state his opinion as to whether the application should be granted or refused, together with his reasons for such opinion.

If the person in whose favour a sentence has been suspended or remitted fails to fulfil the conditions prescribed by the Governor General in Council or the Local Government, the Governor General in Council or the Local Government as the case may be, may cancel such suspension or remission, whereupon such person may, if at large, be arrested by any Police-officer without warrant, and remanded to undergo the unexpired portion of the sentence.

Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to interfere with the right of Her Majesty to grant pardons, reprieves, respites or remissions of punishment.

Para. 2 is new.

S. 23, Act V of 1871, empowers the Governor-General in Council to "grant to any convict sentenced to be kept in penal servitude, a license to be at large within British India or in such part thereof as in such license is expressed, and upon such condition as to the Governor-General in Council seem fit;" and Ss. 25—29 contain the law for the revocation of such license and the procedure to be taken on breach of any of the condition thereof.

In the Punjab, applications under S. 401 should be submitted to Government through the Chief Court in order to prevent the possibility of that Court hearing in appeal a case in which Government has remitted or commuted the punishment.—Smyth, p. 117.

402 [S. 322, para. 3.] The Governor General in Council, or the

Power to commute Local Government, may, without the consent of the punishment.

person sentenced, commute any one of the following sentences for any other mentioned after it:—

death, transportation, penal servitude, rigorous imprisonment for a term not exceeding that to which he might have been sentenced, simple imprisonment for a like term, fine.

Ss. 54 and 55 of the Indian Penal Code confer similar powers on the Government of India, or the Government of the place in which the offender shall have been sentenced, with respect to the commutation (S. 54) of a sentence of death to any other punishment under that Code, and (S. 55) of a sentence of transportation for life to imprisonment, rigorous or simple, for a term not exceeding fourteen years.

Under Act V, 1871, S. 23 the Governor-General in Council may grant to any convict sentenced to penal servitude a license to be at large within British India or in such part thereof as in such license is expressed, during such portion of his term of servitude, and upon such condition as to the Governor-General in Council seems fit.

CHAPTER XXX.

OF PREVIOUS ACQUITTALS OR CONVICTIONS.

403 [S. 460; Act X, 1875, S. 117; Act IV, 1877, S. 113.] A person

Person once convicted who has once been tried by a Court of competent or acquitted not to be jurisdiction for an offence and convicted or acquitted tried for same offence. of such offence, shall, while such conviction or acquittal remains in force, not be liable to be tried again for the same offence, nor on the same facts for any other offence for which a different charge from the one made against him might have been made under section 236, or for which he might have been convicted under section 237.

A person acquitted or convicted of any offence may be afterwards tried for any distinct offence for which a separate charge might have been made against him on the former trial under section 235, paragraph one.

A person convicted of any offence constituted by any act causing consequences which, together with such act, constituted a different offence from that of which he was convicted, may be afterwards tried for such last-mentioned offence, if the consequences had not happened, or were not known to the Court to have happened, at the time when he was convicted.

A person acquitted or convicted of any offence constituted by any acts may, notwithstanding such acquittal or conviction, be subsequently charged with, and tried for, any other offence constituted by the same acts which he may have committed, if the Court by which he was first tried was not competent to try the offence with which he is subsequently charged.

EXPLANATION.—The dismissal of a complaint, the stopping of proceedings under section 249, the discharge of the accused, or any entry made upon a charge under section 273, is not an acquittal for the purposes of this section.

Illustrations.

(a) A is tried upon a charge of theft as a servant and acquitted. He cannot afterwards, while the acquittal remains in force, be charged with theft as a servant, or, upon the same facts, with theft simply, or with criminal breach of trust.

(b) A is tried upon a charge of murder and acquitted. There is no charge of robbery; but it appears from the facts that A committed robbery at the time when the murder was committed; he may afterwards be charged with, and tried for robbery.

(c) A is tried for causing grievous hurt and convicted. The person injured afterwards dies. A may be tried again for culpable homicide.

(d) A is charged before the Court of Session and convicted of the culpable homicide of B. A may not afterwards be tried on the same facts for the murder of B.

(e) A is charged by a Magistrate of the first class with, and convicted by him of, voluntarily causing hurt to B. A may not afterwards be tried for voluntarily causing grievous hurt to B on the same facts, unless the case comes within paragraph three of this section.

(f) A is charged by a Magistrate of the second class with, and convicted by him of theft of property from the person of B. A may be subsequently charged with, and tried for, robbery on the same facts.

(g) A, B and C are charged by a Magistrate of the first class with, and convicted by him of, robbing D. A, B and C may afterwards be charged with, and tried for, dacoity on the same facts.

In reading this section the terms of the penultimate para. of section 4 should be borne in mind, "Words which refer to acts done extend also to illegal omissions."

S. 511 provides special means for proving a previous conviction or acquittal.

S. 240 provides that where, after conviction on one out of several charges against the same person, the complainant or officer conducting the prosecution, with the consent of the Court, withdraws the remaining charge or charges, such withdrawal shall have the effect of an acquittal on such charge or charges unless the conviction be set aside, in which case the inquiry or trial of the charge or charges so withdrawn may proceed.

Similarly S. 494 declares that, if the Public Prosecutor, with the consent of the Court withdraws from the prosecution of any person in cases tried by Jury before the return of the verdict and in other cases before the judgment is pronounced, such person shall be acquitted, if such withdrawal is made after a charge has been framed, or (S. 248) where no charge is required, on withdrawal of the complaint by the complainant in a summons-case, the Magistrate shall acquit the accused.

If in the course of the trial of a summons-case, the complainant does not appear, the Magistrate shall ordinarily acquit the accused.—S. 247.

The compounding of an offence under S. 345 has the effect of an acquittal of the accused.

When a Jury is discharged in a trial before a High Court because six persons out of nine do not agree in opinion, or because the Judge disagrees with such majority, if the Judge considers that there should be no re-trial, he shall make an entry to that effect on the charge, such entry operating as an acquittal.—S. 308.

The previous conviction or acquittal must have been on a trial held by a Court having jurisdiction over the offence charged.—Muthoor Prashad Panday, 2 W. R., 10; In Ramniddi and another, I. L. R. 8 Mad. 48 (S. C.); Weir 505.

The previous conviction or acquittal must be in force, that is, it must not have been subsequently set aside by a Court of Appeal or Revision. So it was held that the reversal of a conviction on the ground of misdirection to the Jury is no bar to a fresh trial.—Kali Churn Gangooly, 7 W. R., 2; nor when, in the previous trial by the Court of Session, the proceedings had been quashed as irregular or illegal.—Wahid Ali, 3 W. R., 42.

The following remarks made by Peacock, C. J., in the case of Dwarkanath Dutt, 7 W. R., 15, are important in the connection with this section:—

"When a former conviction or acquittal is set up as a bar to a subsequent trial, the Court before which the second trial is held has nothing to do with the evidence given on the former trial, except for the purpose of ascertaining whether the offence which formed the subject of the first trial is the same as that which forms the subject of the second charge. If the offence is the same, the former conviction or acquittal is a bar to the second trial, whether the second Court considers that the former conviction or acquittal was warranted by the evidence given on the first trial or not. If the offence is not the same, the former conviction or acquittal is no bar to the trial upon the second charge, notwithstanding the evidence given in the two cases is the same, and the Court, whether the same as that which tried the prisoner for the first offence, or a different Court, is bound to apply its own judgment to the evidence before it, and to give a verdict according to its own conviction upon the evidence adduced. It appears to me that two distinct offences cannot be converted into one such offence by reason of any evidence which the prosecutor may think fit to adduce upon the trial for one of them. For instance, upon an indictment for murdering A, it would be no answer that the prisoner had been acquitted upon a trial for murdering B, unless it could be shown that the two charges related to the same person under different names. If it were shown that A and B were two different persons, as for instance that A was a man, and that B was a woman, no amount of proof as to what evidence was given on the trial for the murder of A could show that the offences were one and the same, so as to render the acquittal as to A a bar to the charge of murdering B. See also *Musst. Itwarya*, 22 W. R., 14.

Where a complaint was made to the Police of the commission of two offences, one cognizable, the other non-cognizable, and the Police after investigation reported that the former was not established, on which the Magistrate ordered it to be expunged from his register, it was held that there was no bar to the trial of that offence.—*Govt. of Bombay v. Shidapa*, I. L. R., 5 Bomb., 405.

To bring a case under S. 403 there must have been a trial by a Court of competent jurisdiction resulting in the conviction or acquittal of the accused of the same offence &c. This Code contains no definition of the term "trial" which S. 4 of the Code of 1872 thus defined:—

"'Trial' means the proceedings taken in Court after a charge has been drawn up and includes the punishment of the offender. It includes the proceedings under Chapters XVI and XVIII (that is, trials of summons-cases and summary trials), from the time when the accused appears in Court."

So In re Jagabandhu Mytee, 4 B. L. R., 1, although the nature of the charge in a warrant-case had been explained to the accused who were examined after the evidence for the prosecution had been taken, yet, in as much as no formal charge in writing had been drawn up nor had they been called upon to plead guilty or not guilty or to make their defence, it was held that the order of discharge did not amount to an acquittal and it was ordered that the trial should proceed. But where the prisoners have pleaded to a formal charge the Magistrate is bound to convict or acquit and his order dismissing the case will amount to an acquittal.—*Jadubar Mookerjee*, 5 Cal. L. R., 359.

But where the trial has otherwise been regularly conducted, the mere omission to draw up a formal charge will not make the order passed otherwise than as an acquittal and therefore a bar to further proceedings.—*Gurdu*, 1 L. R., 3 All., 129.

PART VII. OF APPEAL, REFERENCE AND REVISION.

CHAPTER XXXI.

OF APPEALS.

An appeal may be presented by any person authorized by the appellant to present it, not necessarily by a Pleader of the Court.—*Sabha Aitala and another*, 1 L. R., 1 Mad., 304.

The following periods of limitation are prescribed by Act XV of 1877, Sch. II for the presentation of Criminal appeals.

From a sentence of death passed by a Sessions Judge;	seven days	from the date of sentence.	Art. 150.
Against a sentence or order appealed against presented to the High Court,	sixty days	from the date of sentence or order	Art. 155.
to any other Court;	thirty days	as above in Art. 155	Art. 154.
From an order of acquittal;	six months	from the date of the judgment appealed against.	Art. 157.

Unless the appellant satisfies the Court that he had sufficient cause for not presenting the appeal within the prescribed period, it shall be dismissed.—Ss. 4, 6.

If the Court is closed on the last day in which an appeal may be presented, it may be presented on the day that the Court re-opens.—S. 5.

In computing the period of limitation the day from which such period is to be reckoned shall be excluded, also the time requisite for obtaining a copy of the sentence or order appealed against.—S. 12.

404 [S. 286.] No appeal shall lie from any judgment or order of a Criminal Court except as provided for by this Code or by any other law for the time being in force. Unless otherwise provided, no appeal to lie.

405 [Act IV, 1877, S. 180.] Any person whose application under section 89 for the delivery of property or the proceeds of the sale thereof has been rejected by any Court, may appeal to the Court to which appeals ordinarily lie from the sentences of the former Court. Appeal from order rejecting application for restoration of attached property.

S. 89 relates to the appearance of a person whose property has been attached or sold in consequence of its being supposed that he has absconded or is concealing himself to avoid execution of a warrant of arrest. Such a person, on his appearance within two years from the date of the attachment, and on proof that he did not so abscond or conceal himself, or that he had no notice of the attachment sufficient to enable him to attend within the specified time, is entitled to obtain restoration of the property, or, if it, or any portion of it, has been sold, the nett proceeds of the sale, after deducting the costs of attachment. An appeal lies against a refusal to comply with such an application.

406 [S. 267.] Any person required by a Magistrate, other than the District Magistrate or a Presidency Magistrate, to give security for good behaviour under section 118, may appeal to the District Magistrate.

In addition to his powers as an Appellate Court, the District Magistrate can at any time order the discharge of any person imprisoned on default of giving security for good behaviour by order of any Magistrate in the District.—S. 124.

407 [Ss. 266, 47, para. 2.] Any person convicted on a trial held by any Magistrate of the second or third class, or any person sentenced under section 349 by a Sub-divisional Magistrate of the second class, may appeal to the District Magistrate.

The District Magistrate may direct that any appeal under this section, or any class of such appeals, shall be heard by any first class Magistrate. Magistrate of the first class subordinate to him and empowered by the Local Government to hear such appeals, and thereupon such appeal or class of appeals shall be presented to such Subordinate Magistrate, or, if already presented to the District Magistrate, shall be transferred to such Subordinate Magistrate. The District Magistrate may withdraw from such Magistrate any appeal or class of appeals so presented or transferred.

If any Magistrate not being empowered by law in that behalf decides an appeal, his proceedings shall be void.—S. 530 (*r*). The right of appeal is given to a person convicted on a trial, and therefore an order under S. 250 giving compensation to the accused is not appealable.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, April 29, 1867; *Weir*, 283. The same rule could be applicable to all orders not being convictions or made specially appealable, *e. g.*, under Ss. 405, 406, 515, 520. See note S. 423 (*a*).

All orders passed by a Magistrate of the second or third class under S. 514 forfeiting a bond are appealable to the District Magistrate, or, if not so appealed, may be revised by him.—S. 515.

A case dealt with under S. 349 and here referred to would be when a Magistrate of the third class having jurisdiction to hold the trial is of opinion that the accused is guilty, but that the sentence which he can pass is inadequate. The case would, in a Subdivision, be then referred to the Sub-divisional officer, and if that officer is of the second class and convicts, the sentence would be appealable under S. 407 as if it had been passed in a trial held by him.

In the N. W. Provinces, all Joint and Assistant Magistrates and Assistant Commissioners holding their Courts at the Head-Quarters of a District, being Magistrates of the first class, and next in seniority to the Magistrate of the District, have been vested with power under S. 407 to hear appeals, such powers to be exercised only during the absence from Head-Quarters of the Magistrate of the District.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 903.

In Sindh, Magistrates of the first class in charge of Divisions of Districts have been empowered to hear appeals under S. 407.—*Bamb. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 255.

408 [Ss. 269, 270, 79.] Any person convicted on a trial held by an Assistant Sessions Judge, a District Magistrate or other Magistrate of the first class, or any person sentenced under section 349 by a Magistrate of the first class, may appeal to the Court of Session :

Provided as follows:—

(a) when in any case an Assistant Sessions Judge or a District Magistrate passes any sentence which is subject to the confirmation of the Court of Session, every appeal in such case shall lie to the High Court, but shall not be presented until the case has been disposed of by the Court of Session;

(b) any European British subject so convicted may at his option appeal either to the High Court or the Court of Session.

The right of appeal is given to a person convicted on a trial, and therefore an order under S. 250 giving compensation to the accused is not appealable.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, April 29, 1867; *Weir*, 283. The same rule would be applicable to all orders not being convictions or made specially appealable. *s. g.* under Ss. 405, 406, 515, 520. See note to S. 423 (*d*).

If the person convicted be an European British subject, he has the right of appeal either to the High Court or Court of Session, at his option, except in the cases provided for by S. 411, that is to say, every sentence passed on an European British subject by a Sessions Judge or Magistrate, not a Presidency Magistrate, is appealable to the High Court or Court of Session, at his option, but a sentence passed on such a subject by a Presidency Magistrate is appealable only if it be one of imprisonment exceeding six months, or of fine exceeding two hundred Rupees, and then only to the High Court. In other cases, no appeal lies against a sentence passed by any of the officers specified in S. 408, if the sentence be one of imprisonment only, not exceeding three months, or of fine only, not exceeding two hundred Rupees, or of whipping only (S. 412); nor against a conviction by a Magistrate in a summary trial, if the sentence be one of imprisonment only, not exceeding three months, or of fine only not exceeding two hundred Rupees, or of whipping only.—S. 413.

A sentence passed under S. 349 here referred to, would be in a case tried by a Magistrate of the second or third class having jurisdiction and submitted by him to a superior Magistrate of the first class because he cannot pass an adequate sentence.

Every sentence of imprisonment for a term exceeding three years passed by an Assistant Sessions Judge (S. 31, para. 3.) or a District Magistrate specially empowered under S. 34, is subject to the confirmation of the Sessions Judge, and is thereupon appealable to the High Court after the case has been disposed of by the Court of Session.

409 An appeal to the Court of Session or Sessions Judge shall be heard by the Sessions Judge or by an Additional or Joint Sessions Judge.

410 [Ss. 80, 270, para. 3; S. 271; Act XI, 1874; S. 22, cl. 1.] Any person convicted on a trial held by a Sessions Judge, or an Additional or a Joint Sessions Judge, may appeal to the High Court.

411 [Act IV, 1877, S. 167.] Any person convicted on a trial held by a Presidency Magistrate may appeal to the High Court if the Magistrate has sentenced him to imprisonment for a term exceeding six months or to fine exceeding two hundred rupees.

412 [S. 273, last para.; Act IV, 1877, S. 167.] Notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, where an accused person has pleaded guilty, and has been convicted by a Court of Session or a Presidency Magistrate on such plea, there shall be no appeal except as to the extent or legality of the sentence.

From this it would seem that an appeal would lie against a conviction by any Magistrate, even a District Magistrate exercising special powers under S. 34, when the accused has pleaded guilty, although such an order passed by an Assistant Sessions Judge would not be appealable because he is a Court of Session. But the sentence passed must be one from which an appeal would lie.—See Ss. 412, 413.

Where in a Municipal prosecution, which the law requires to be instituted within a certain time, the accused pleaded guilty and was convicted, he was not allowed on appeal to plead that the prosecution was invalid because it had been instituted out of time. The appeal would lie only with respect to the sentence irrespective of the conviction and not against the legality of the conviction.—*Jaffir M. Talab*, I. L. R., 5 Bomb., 85.

413 [S. 273, paras. 1, 2.] Notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, there shall be no appeal by a convicted person in cases in which a Court of Session or the District Magistrate or other Magistrate of the first class passes a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding one month only, or of fine not exceeding fifty rupees only, or of whipping only.

EXPLANATION.—There is no appeal from a sentence of imprisonment passed by such Court or Magistrate in default of payment of fine when no substantive sentence of imprisonment has been passed.

Unless he has been convicted by a Presidency Magistrate, an European British subject has the right of appeal at his option to the High Court, or Sessions Court, against any sentence passed by an inferior Court. (S. 408). S. 413 does not apply to such a case (S. 410). If he has been convicted by a Presidency Magistrate and sentenced to imprisonment only for a term not exceeding six months, or to fine only not exceeding two hundred Rupees, he has no right of appeal.—S. 411.

Jurisdiction over the appeal of one person does not give a Sessions Judge constructive jurisdiction over the convictions of other prisoners whose sentences may not be appealable or if appealable, are not before him on appeal.—Cal. H. Ct., 922, 1864; Kalubhai Meghabhai, 7 Bomb., 35, *Crown Cases*; Mulija Nana, 5 Bomb. 24; Mad. H. Ct., Pro., May 10, 1872, 7 Mad. Jur., 301; Pro. March 4, 1875, 8 Mad. 7 *App.*

414 [S. 274, para. 1.] Notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, there shall be no appeal by a convicted person in cases tried summarily, in which a Magistrate empowered to act under section 260 passes a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding three months only, or of fine not exceeding two hundred rupees only, or of whipping only.

This section does not apply to sentences passed on European British subjects (S. 416) which are always appealable except certain sentences passed by a Presidency Magistrate.—S. 408.

415 [S. 374, para. 2; Act XI, 1874, S. 24.] An appeal may be brought against any sentence referred to in section 413 or section 414 by which any two or more of the punishments therein mentioned are combined, but no sentence which would not otherwise be liable to appeal shall be appealable merely on the ground that the person convicted is ordered to find security to keep the peace.

EXPLANATION.—A sentence of imprisonment in default of payment of fine is not a sentence by which two or more punishments are combined within the meaning of this section.

416 [S. 274, para. 3.] Nothing in sections 413 and 414 applies to appeals from sentences passed under Chapter XXXIII on European British subjects.

Every sentence passed on an European British subject by a Sessions Judge or a Magistrate who is not a Presidency Magistrate is consequently appealable either to the High Court or Court of Session, at the option of the person sentenced.—S. 408. See S. 411 and note to S. 413.

417 [S. 272; Act IV, 1877, S. 168.] The Local Government may direct the Public Prosecutor to present an appeal to the High Court from an original or appellate order of acquittal passed by any Court other than a High Court.

A special limitation of six months is provided for such an appeal.—Act XV of 1877, Sch. II, Art. 157.

Public Prosecutors are appointed by the Governor-General in Council or the Local Government generally, or in any case, or for any special class of cases, in any local area.—S. 492.

The Sessions Judge should send to the Divisional Commissioner any records of a criminal trial that he may require to satisfy himself whether Government should be moved to direct an appeal against an original or appellate judgment of acquittal.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 1, Jan. 12, 1877, *Wilkins*, 127.

A clear statement of the circumstances which are considered to justify an appeal with the point or points on which it should be preferred should be sent to Government.—Govt. Bengal, Cir. 19, March 19, 1875.

The verdict of a jury acquitting on certain charges but convicting on others, if accepted by the Sessions Judge is appealable as a judgment of acquittal on those charges. Thus, where the Jury acquitted of murder but convicted of culpable homicide not amounting to murder, and the prisoner was sentenced accordingly, an appeal against the verdict of acquittal of murder was heard, and the prisoner was convicted and sentenced to death.—*Empress v. Juddonath Gangooly*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 273.

In considering an appeal by Government against an order of acquittal, it is not for the High Court to say whether if it had been trying the case, it might not have taken a view opposed to that of the Lower Court. That is not the test to be applied to determine such an appeal. While the High Court fully recognizes the necessity for the existence of such powers in the Local Government in this country, it is equally clear that those powers should be most sparingly enforced: and, in respect to pure questions of fact, only in those cases where through the incompetence, stupidity or perversity of a subordinate tribunal, such unreasonable or distorted conclusions have been drawn from evidence as to produce a positive miscarriage of justice. It is not because a Judge or Magistrate has taken a view of the case in which the Government does not coincide, and has acquitted the accused persons that an appeal from this decision must necessarily prevail, or that the High Court should be called upon to disturb the ordinary course of justice by putting in force the arbitrary powers conferred by S. 417. The doing so should be limited to those instances in which the Lower Court has so obstinately blundered and gone wrong as to produce a result mischievous alike to the administration of justice and the interests of the public. The Sessions Judge in the present case has had the witnesses before him, and consequently the best opportunity of judging their truth, and he appears to have conducted the inquiry with care and patience, and to have weighed and considered the facts to the best of his ability. It may be, that the High Court may have arrived at a different view, but holding this decision to be an honest and not unreasonable one of which the facts were susceptible, the High Court unhesitatingly dismissed the appeal.—*Empress v. Gayadin*, I. L. R., 4 All., 148. See note to S. 423 (a).

418 [S. 271, last para.; Act XI, 1874, S. 22.] An appeal may lie

Appeal on what matters on a matter of fact as well as a matter of law, except
admissible. where the trial was by jury, in which case the appeal shall lie on a matter of law only.

EXPLANATION.—The alleged severity of a sentence shall, for the purposes of this section, be deemed to be a matter of law.

The Local Government is empowered to direct what cases before any Court of Session shall be tried by jury, but if the accused is charged at the same trial with several offences, some of which are, and some are not, triable by jury, he shall be tried by jury for all such offences.—S. 269. If an offence triable with the aid of assessors is tried by jury, the trial shall not on that ground only be invalid, (S. 536), but it is doubtful how far such an irregularity would deprive the accused of a right to appeal on a matter of fact such as he would have had unquestionably if the trial had been properly held with the aid of assessors.—See *Mohim Chunder Lall* and another, I. L. R., 3 Cal., 763; (S. C.) 4 Cal., I. R., 405, in which Maclean, J. held that such a person would still be entitled to an appeal on the facts. See *Norkoo* and others, 18 W. R. 59, in which the trial was wrongly held by Jury instead of with the aid of Assessors.—The appeal was tried on the facts.

It should be noted that in cases submitted under S. 307 because the Sessions Judge disagrees from the verdict of the Jury or a majority of the Jury, and also under S. 374 for confirmation of sentence of death, although the trials may have been by Jury, and a verdict delivered on the facts, the High Court is competent to consider those facts before delivering its final judgment. In the former class of cases the prisoner can appear as a respondent, it being the practice that the Public Prosecutor should begin, and in the latter the prisoner has the right of appeal.

So also where on appeal or revision it has been found that evidence has been improperly admitted and laid before the Jury at the trial, the High Court has proceeded to consider the other evidence in the case and to determine whether it is such that the Jury might not upon it reasonably find the prisoner guilty.—See *Huribole Chunder Ghose*, 25 W. R., 36, (S. C.), I. L. R., Cal., 207; *Gogun Chunder Bose*, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 247; *Pitambar Jana*, I. L. R., 2 Bomb., 61; *Amrita Govinda*, 10 Bomb., 497; *Navroji*, 9 Bomb., 358.

419 [S. 275; Act IV, 1877, S. 169.] Every appeal shall be made

Petition of appeal. in the form of a petition in writing presented by the appellant or his pleader, and every such petition shall (unless the Court to which it is presented otherwise directs) be accompanied by a copy of the judgment or order appealed against, and, in cases tried by a jury, a copy of the heads of the charge recorded under section 367.

If the appellant is in Jail his petition of appeal may be presented to the proper Appellate Court through the officer in charge of the Jail, S. 420; and it is exempt from stamp duty.—Court Fee's Act (VII, 1870) S. 19, cl. xvii. Otherwise, if presented to a Magistrate or Sessions Court it must bear a stamp of eight annas, or, if to a High Court, of two Rupees.—*Ibid.* Sch. II Art. 1, (b) (c).

S. 367 declares what a judgment shall contain and under S. 371 on the application of the accused a copy of the judgment, or, when he so desires, a translation in his own language, if practicable, or in the language of the Court, shall be given to him without delay, and, except in summons-cases, free of cost. If the trial has been held by jury, a copy of the charge shall be given to him free of cost.—S. 371.

The practice of furnishing to the person affected and sending up to the Appellate Court a copy of the sentence only with the petition of appeal is not in compliance with the law.—Cal. II. Ct. Cir. 2, June 8, 1874.

It is not material whether the appeal of several convicted persons in the same case is made jointly in one petition or separately.—Bomb. Gaz., 1879, Part I, 473.

The petition of appeal against the verdict of a jury should state specifically in what respect the law has been contravened.—Gopal Bherewalla, 1 W. R., 21.

The time requisite for obtaining a copy of the sentence or order appealed against shall be excluded in computing the period of limitation prescribed for an appeal.—Act XV, 1877, S. 12.

420 [S. 277; Act IV, 1877, S. 171.] If the appellant is in jail, he

may present his petition of appeal and the copies accompanying the same to the officer in charge of the jail, who shall thereupon forward such petition and copies to the proper Appellate Court.

A petition of appeal presented by a person under duress or restraint of any Court or its officers is exempt from stamp duty.—Court Fee's Act (VII, 1870), S. 19, cl. xvii.

Officers in charge of Jails are required to give all proper facilities for drawing up petitions of appeal, or for getting them drawn up by other prisoners, or by their legal advisers or friends. It is, however, no duty of the Jail establishment to draw up such petitions.—Govt. Bengal 2031, June 16, 1870. See also Nitro Gopal Palit and others, 13 W. R., 69. The fullest opportunity should be given to prisoners to execute powers of attorney to whomsoever they please, and without reference to the mode or circumstances by which they might be influenced to do so.—Sheikh Dadabhai, 1 Bomb., 16.

The Calcutta High Court has, by its Circular 9, August 7, 1867, Wilkins, 107, laid it down, as a general rule, that petitions of appeal against the sentences or orders of Sessions Judges, presented to officers in charge of jails, shall be forwarded by such officers direct to the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, intimation of the fact being at once given in each instance and in the following form to the Judge whose sentence or order is appealed against.

Petitions not presented in time, or not accompanied as above, are not to be transmitted to the Registrar, but should be returned to the petitioner with an endorsement by the officer in charge of the jail showing the date of presentation.

To

THE SESSIONS JUDGE OF

The undersigned begs to report, for the information of the Sessions Judge, that an appeal by the prisoner against the Judge's sentence or order, dated and noted at foot, has this day been presented to the officer in charge of the Jail, and has been forwarded to the High Court, as required by S. 420 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Officer in charge of the Jail.

As a general rule the Sessions Judge should, on receipt of this notice, forward the record to the High Court, but whenever public inconvenience would arise from this, he should forward in the first instance a certified copy of his reasons (S. 371) for making or passing such sentence or order, stating at the same time why the original record has not been sent.—Cal. II. Ct. Cir. 5, May 28, 1868; Wilkins, 108.

Every officer in charge of a Jail, on receiving a petition of appeal to the High Court against a sentence or order of a Sessions Judge shall at once intimate the same to that officer, and at the same time inform him whether the petition of appeal is accompanied by a copy in English of the Sessions Judge's judgment or charge to the Jury. If the petition of appeal is not accompanied by such copy, the Sessions Judge shall at once forward to the High Court a certified copy of the judgment recorded, and if subsequently the case is called for by the High Court no second copy need be made to accompany the fair copy of the Sessions Judge's proceedings.—Bomb. Gaz., 1879, Part I, p. 473.

No petition of appeal or revision shall be admitted by any Criminal Court unless it is either

through the District or Jail authorities or presented by the convicted person himself or by some one authorised by power of attorney to present it on behalf of the convicted person.—Smyth, p. 102. Along with the petition of appeal and copy of the judgment, the Deputy Commissioner should forward to the Appellate Court the files of the case, so that the appeal may be disposed of with as little delay as possible. When the appeal lies to the Chief Court, the Deputy Commissioner should forward the file in his office through the Commissioner, so that the files of the Sessions Court and of the committing Magistrate may be transmitted together to the Chief Court.—Smyth, p. 103.

But when the order is not appealable, no record should be sent unless specially called for.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 7, Aug. 12, 1869; 12 W. R., *Crim. Cir.*, 5; 3 B. L. R., 11, *Rules*, &c. In such cases, also the officer in charge of the Jail should not forward the petition, but should leave the prisoner to move the superior Court by motion in open Court.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 8, Aug. 25, 1869; 12 W. R., 5, *Crim. Cir.*; 3 B. L. R., 11, *Rules* &c.; Wilkins, 108.

When transmitting a petition of appeal, a Magistrate should forward the record of the case to the Court of Session.—Panj. Ch. Ct. Cir. 22, Oct. 26, 1868.

A petition of appeal must be presented within the period, prescribed by Act IX, 1871, Sch. II, unless the appellant satisfies the Court that he had sufficient cause for not presenting the appeal within such period, and it must be accompanied by a copy of the sentence or order appealed against, as provided by S. 419 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.—Act IX, 1871, S. 5.

Communications from an officer in charge of a Jail to a Sessions Judge, relative to an appeal made by a prisoner convicted by the latter, should be made not through the Magistrate of the District, but to the Sessions Judge direct.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 6, July 2, 1869; 3 B. L. R., *Rules*, &c., 5, Wilkins, 108.

421 [S. 278, paras. 1, 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 172.] On receiving the Summary rejection of petition and copy under section 419 or section 420, appeal. the Appellate Court shall peruse the same, and, if it considers that there is no sufficient ground for interfering, it may reject the appeal summarily: Provided that no appeal presented under section 419 shall be dismissed unless the appellant or his pleader has had a reasonable opportunity of being heard in support of the same.

Before rejecting an appeal under this section, the Court may call for the record of the case, but shall not be bound to do so.

It is not competent to an Appellate Court to order a convict under sentence of imprisonment to appear in Court.—Bomb. H. Ct., Antoine José, Sept. 4, 1869, *Resn. in Chambers*. The proviso to S. 424 of this Code, however, seems to contemplate the exercise of this power in exceptional cases.

In order to give the appellant or his pleader a reasonable opportunity of being heard in support of the appeal there shall be posted up in the Appellate Court, in a place accessible to the public, notice of the day appointed for considering the petition of appeal.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, Part I, p. 473.

A general order fixing the day following the presentation of criminal appeals for their hearing is not a compliance with S. 421. A time must be fixed in each case.—*Malan*, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 11, (S. O.) Weir, 307.

When an Appellate Court has rejected an appeal without hearing the appellant's pleader, and it is afterwards proved to the satisfaction of that Court that an adequate excuse has been made for the pleader's non-appearance, it is open to the Appellate Court to rehear the appeal on its merits. Such a power should, however, be sparingly used.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Nov. 7, 1873; 7 Mad., xxix *App.* (S. C.) 9 Mad. Jur., 58 (S. C.) Weir, 306. But see *contra* Mahomed Yashin, I. L. R. 4 Bomb., 101, where it was held that an order rejecting an appeal was final.

Where an Appellate Court merely rejected an appeal without specifying the points for determination, its decision thereon, and the reasons therefor, a rehearing of the appeal was ordered and also the writing of a proper judgment.—*Uttam*, Punj Rec, 1876, p. 9.

The sound rule to apply in trying a criminal appeal where disputed questions of fact are in issue is to consider whether the conviction is right, and in this respect a criminal appeal differs from a Civil appeal. There, the Court must be convinced before reversing a finding of fact by a Lower Court that the finding is wrong.—*Protap Chunder Mookerjee*, 11 Cal. I. L. R., 25, *per White*, J. See note to S. 423 (b).

422 [Ss. 62, 269, para. 2; S. 279; Act IV, 1877, S. 173.] If the Appellate Court does not reject the appeal summarily, it shall cause notice to be given to the appellant or his pleader, and to such officer as the Local Government may appoint in this behalf, of the time and place at which such appeal will be heard, and on the application of such officer, furnish him with a copy of the

grounds of appeal; and, in cases of appeals under section 417, the Appellate Court shall cause a like notice to be given to the accused.

S. 417 relates to an appeal against an order of acquittal.

In Bengal, District Magistrates have been appointed officers to whom notice of appeal to the Court of Session shall be given.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1883, Part I, p. 200.

A complainant cannot claim a right to be heard in an appeal. The matter is one which may be left in each case to the discretion of the Court.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Nov. 6, 1874; 7 *Mad. xlii*, *App.* (S. C.) 10 *Mad. Jur.* 67; (S. C.); *Weir*, 309.

423 [Ss. 271, 272, para. 3; Ss. 280, 284, 299; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 174, 179.] The Appellate Court shall then send for the

Powers of Appellate Court in disposing of appeal.

record of the case, if such record is not already in Court. After perusing such record, and hearing the appellant or his pleader, if he appears, and the Public Prosecutor, if he appears, and, in case of an appeal under section 417, the accused, if he appears, the Court may, if it considers there is no sufficient ground for interfering, dismiss the appeal, or may—

(a) in an appeal from an order of acquittal, reverse such order and direct that further inquiry be made, or that the accused be retried or committed for trial, as the case may be, or find him guilty and pass sentence on him according to law;

(b) in an appeal from a conviction, (1) reverse the finding and sentence, and acquit or discharge the accused, or order him to be retried by a Court of competent jurisdiction subordinate to such Appellate Court, or committed for trial, or (2) alter the finding, maintaining the sentence, or, with or without altering the finding, reduce the sentence, or (3) with or without such reduction, and with or without altering the finding, alter the nature of the sentence, but not so as to enhance the same;

(c) in an appeal from any other order, alter or reverse such order:

(d) Nothing herein contained shall authorize the Court to alter or reverse the verdict of a jury, unless it is of opinion that such verdict is erroneous owing to a misdirection by the Judge, or to a misunderstanding on the part of the jury of the law as laid down by him.

It will be seen that the power of enhancing a sentence on appeal no longer exists. The power of enhancing a sentence is conferred only upon a High Court as a Court of Revision.—S. 439. But though an Appellate Court cannot enhance a sentence, it can set it aside and direct a commitment to the Court of Session to be made; but probably the exercise of this power will be limited to cases in which the Magistrate has convicted of an offence beyond jurisdiction.—*Elahee Buksh*, I. L. R. 2 *All.*, 910.

Subject to the provisions hereinbefore contained, no finding, sentence or order passed by a Court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or altered on account—

of any error, omission or irregularity in the complaint, summons, warrant, charge or judgment, or other proceedings before or during trial, or in any inquiry or other proceeding under this Code, or of the want of sanction required by S. 195, or of the omission to nominate jurors or assessors in accordance with S. 324, or of any misdirection in any charge to a jury; unless such an omission or irregularity, want, or misdirection has occasioned a failure of justice.—S. 537.

The Appellate Court shall in every instance certify its decision to the Court or Magistrate from whose decision an appeal has been preferred, and it shall be the duty of such Court or Magistrate when a sentence is modified on appeal, to issue a fresh warrant to the officer in charge of the Jail in which the appellant is confined, and to recall and cancel the original warrant of commitment. If, on the other hand, a sentence is confirmed or reversed on appeal, the jail authorities will receive information by means of the following form, which will invariably be sent for the information of the appellant, whatever be the result of the appeal.

List of criminal appeals heard by the Magistrate or Sessions Judge of
18

Name of Prisoner.	Against whose order the appeal is preferred.	Order passed in appeal.	Remarks.

Cal. H. Ct. Cir., 6, June 15, 1881 : Cir. 2, March 18, 1872 ; Wilkins 108, 109.

The following rules have been issued by the Allahabad High Court :—

The Appellate Court shall in every case certify its decision, which shall include the judgment or final order, to the Court from whose order the appeal was preferred.

Where a sentence on a prisoner is reversed or modified on appeal, and the Appellate Court has not proceeded under the next succeeding rule, the Court to which the decision is certified shall issue a fresh warrant or order conformable thereto.

The Appellate Court can, if it see fit, itself issue a fresh warrant or order conformable to its decision, and if it do so, shall notify the fact to the Court from whose order the appeal was preferred when certifying its decision under rule I.

When an appeal is rejected, or sentence confirmed, the Court to which the decision is certified shall intimate the same to the officer in charge of the jail of the District in which the prisoner was convicted.

In all cases in which a sentence or order is modified or reversed in appeal, a separate warrant or order shall be issued as regards each prisoner whose sentence has been so modified or reversed, and the original warrant shall be recalled.

In all cases, the officer in charge of the Jail will acknowledge by letter the receipt of any warrant or order or intimation, and will inform the prisoner of the result of his appeal or application, or communicate the same to him through the officer in charge of the Jail where he may be undergoing his sentence at the time.

In all cases in which a fresh warrant has been issued, the warrant shall be returned to the Court issuing it when it has been fully executed, and with an endorsement thereon to that effect.—All. Gaz. 1880, Part II, p. 1210.

If on appeal the finding is rescinded or modified, or the sentence is interfered with, a copy of the judgment of the Appellate Court shall be transmitted to the Magistrate whose decision was appealed against or to his successor in office.—Bomb. Gaz., 1879, p. 473.

(a) **Appeal from an acquittal.**

This clause it will be observed relates only to a High Court, to which alone an appeal against an order of acquittal lies.—(S. 417.)

When an appeal is presented against an order of acquittal under S. 417, the High Court may issue a warrant directing that the accused be arrested and brought before it or any Subordinate Court, and the Court before which he is brought may commit him to prison pending the disposal of the appeal, or admit him to bail.—S. 427.

When an acquittal is set aside on appeal, the sentence passed should commence to take effect from the date on which the prisoner after arrest has been committed to Jail.—*Empress v. Mohuddi*, 6 Cal. L. R., 352, *Foot note*.

In considering an appeal by Government against an order of acquittal, it is not for the High Court to say whether, if it had been trying the case, it might not have taken a view opposed to that of the Lower Court. That is not the test to be applied to determine such an appeal. While the High Court fully recognizes the necessity for the existence of such powers in the Local Government in this country, it is equally clear that those powers should be most sparingly enforced ; and, in respect to pure questions of fact, only in those cases where through the incompetence, stupidity or perversity of a subordinate tribunal, such unreasonable or distorted conclusions have been drawn from evidence as to produce a positive miscarriage of justice. It is not because a Judge or Magistrate has taken a view of the case in which the Government does not coincide, and has acquitted the accused persons that an appeal from this decision must necessarily prevail, or that the High Court should be called upon to disturb the ordinary course of justice by putting in force the arbitrary powers conferred by S. 417. The doing so should be limited to those instances in which the Lower Court has so obstinately blundered and gone wrong as to produce a result mischievous alike to the administration of justice and the interests of the public. The Sessions Judge in the present case has had the witnesses before him, and consequently the best opportunity of judging their truth, and he appears to have conducted the inquiry with care and patience, and to have weighed and considered the facts to

the best of his ability. It may be, that the High Court may have arrived at a different view, but holding this decision to be an honest and not unreasonable one of which the facts were susceptible, the High Court unhesitatingly dismissed the appeal.—*Empress v. Gayadin*, I. L. R., 4 All., 148.

A Sessions Judge on appeal set aside a conviction by a Magistrate and acquitted the accused. On the appeal of Government the High Court remarked:—In strictness of law the Sessions Judge was right in saying that the offence (of which the Magistrate had convicted) was not made out. We are inclined to think that instead of acquitting the prisoners he might properly have come to the conclusion that they had not really been prejudiced in their defence, and have allowed the conviction to stand for the offences of which they were manifestly guilty. On such a matter of discretion it would however be a strong thing for us to re-establish the conviction as we are asked to do, even if so doing would be legal. The course to be taken would be probably the ordering of a new trial, if we thought it desirable to do so. We think that the exercise of our discretion in such a matter requires that we should be satisfied that the case is of sufficient consequence to justify us in acting under this very exceptional section.—The Government Pleader 7 Mad 339; (S. C.) Weir 305. This has been approved by the Allahabad High Court (*Queen v. Dukaram*, 7 All., 196) who under similar circumstances directed a new trial to be held. But see *contra Ramajirav v. Jivrajirav*, 12 Bomb., 1.

When the Sessions Judge, as an Appellate Court, erroneously set aside a conviction by a Magistrate on a technical objection, and acquitted the accused, the High Court on the appeal of Government set aside his order and directed the trial of the appeal on the merits.—*Govt. of Bengal v. Gokool Chunder Chowdhry*, 24 W. R., 41.

(b) Appeal from a conviction.

The sound rule to apply in trying a criminal appeal when disputed questions of fact are in issue is to consider whether the conviction is right, and in this respect a criminal appeal differs from a civil appeal. There, the Court must be convinced before reversing a finding of fact by a Lower Court that the finding is wrong.—*Protap Chunder Mookerjee*, 11 Cal. L. R., 25, *per White, J.* See also *Kheraj Mullah*, 20 W. R., 13 in which it was pointed out that it was the duty of an Appellate Court to be satisfied not merely that there was not sufficient on the record to convince it that the Magistrate was entirely wrong, but whether as a matter of fact the appellants committed the offence of which they had been convicted. As it appeared that the Appellate Court was unable to find on the evidence that the prisoners had been rightly convicted, they should have been acquitted.

Similarly in *Goomanee and others*, 17 W. R., 59 D. N. Mitter, J. made the following remarks on the duties of an Appellate Court:—"No doubt an Appellate Court is bound to presume the decision of the Court of original jurisdiction to be correct until the contrary is shown, and it is equally beyond all doubt that an Appellate Court is bound to give every reasonable weight to the conclusion which the original Court has arrived at upon a question depending upon evidence. But the Appellate Court is also bound, precisely in the same way as the Court of first instance, to test the evidence *extrinsically* as well as *intrinsically*. In determining the value of the oral evidence, it is not enough for the Appellate Court to say, as the Judge says in the case, 'I find no fault with the evidence *per se*, and it must be allowed to prevail'; but that Court is bound also to inquire, as thoroughly as the Court of first instance, whether the probabilities coming from all the surrounding circumstances of the case are such as to justify a reasonable mind in coming to the conclusion that the evidence is worthy of credit. This precaution is nowhere more necessary than in this country. It is true that there is no presumption of perjury against oral testimony, but it has been sufficiently confirmed by a long course of experience that nothing can be more dangerous than to act upon such testimony without testing its credibility both *intrinsically* and *extrinsically*."

But in forming a conclusion as to the credibility of witnesses, the High Court is bound to attach great weight to the opinion which the Judge who heard them has expressed.—*Madhub Chunder Gui Mohunt*, 21 W. R., 13.

Notwithstanding the terms of S. 369, which prevent an Appellate Court after signing its judgment to alter or review it except to correct a clerical error, it can by a subsequent order remedy an omission to order a new trial where it has merely set aside the proceedings as held without jurisdiction.—*M. Ramireddi and another*, I. L. R., 3 Mad. 48 (S. C.), Weir, 505.

The nature of the sentence may be altered but not so as to enhance it. So, where a Magistrate in addition to a sentence of imprisonment illegally passed a sentence of whipping, the Appellate Court, in setting aside this part of the sentence, cannot substitute for it a further term of imprisonment, as that would be an enhancement of sentence.—*Banda Ali*, 15 W. R., 7; (S. C.) 6 B. L. R., 95 App.

On the appeal of some of the accused, the Appellate Court in acquitting them cannot (unless it be a High Court) set aside or mitigate the sentence of those who have not appealed.—*Mulija Nana*, 5 Bomb. 24 *Crown Cases*. It should refer the case to the High Court.—*Mad. H. Ct Pro.* April 19, 1875; Weir, 322. Application should rather be made to Government in such a case.—*Sheosura Singh*, Cal. H. Ct. Aug. 16, 1877.

(c) Appeal from any other order.

That is an appeal from an order not being of acquittal or conviction. These are very exceptional

cases as no appeal lies from any order of a Criminal Court except as provided for by this Code or by any law for the time being in force (S. 404). Such orders would be:—

I. An order rejecting an application under S. 89 for restoration of property which has been placed under attachment in consequence of the applicant absconding or concealing himself to avoid execution of a warrant of arrest, and non-attendance in compliance with a proclamation issued under S. 118.

II. An order under S. 118 to give security for good behaviour if passed by any Magistrate other than the District Magistrate or a Presidency Magistrate.

III. An order under S. 514 passed by any of the same classes of Magistrates for the recovery of the penalty of a bond.

IV. An order under S. 480 by any Civil, Criminal or Revenue Court sentencing a person in certain cases of contempt of Court committed in its view or presence.

V. An order under S. 485 summarily sentencing a witness who without reasonable ground refuses to answer any question put to him or to produce a document in his possession or power, or committing such person to the custody of an officer of the Court.

IV. An order under S. 524, that property unclaimed or not proved to belong to a claimant shall be at the disposal of the Government, or for the sale thereof.

VII. An order under S. 203 dismissing a complaint.

VIII. An order under S. 209 in any inquiry or S. 253 in a warrant-case discharging the accused.

(d) The latter part of S. 537 above quoted is especially important in connection with this clause.

The law does not expressly provide for the withdrawal of an appeal and probably now that the power of enhancing a sentence has been taken away from Appellate Courts, applications for leave to withdraw will not be made. It has been held that an appeal may be withdrawn before an Appellate Court has decided to hear it.—*In re Chunder Nath Deb*, 5 Cal. L. R., 372. But not after the Appellate Court has heard it.—*In re Dwarka Manjee*, 6 Cal. L. R., 427.

See Wilkins 66, for the form of a warrant of release on appeal.

424 The rules contained in Chapter XXVI as to the judgment of a Criminal Court of original jurisdiction shall apply, so far as may be practicable, to the judgment of any Appellate Court other than a High Court:

Provided that, unless the Appellate Court otherwise directs, the accused shall not be brought up, or required to attend, to hear judgment delivered.

If the finding be reversed or modified or the sentence be interfered with, a copy of the judgment of the Appellate Court shall be transmitted to the Magistrate whose decision was appealed against or to his successor in office.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1879, Part I, p. 473. See note S. 423 *ante* for the orders of the Calcutta and Allahabad High Courts regarding the communication to the Court of original jurisdiction of the orders passed by an Appellate Court.

425 [S. 299, paras 1, 2.] Whenever a case is decided on appeal by the High Court under this chapter, it shall certify its judgment or order to the Court by which the finding, sentence or order appealed against was recorded or passed. If the finding, sentence or order was recorded or passed by a Magistrate other than the District Magistrate, the certificate shall be sent through the District Magistrate.

The Court to which the High Court certifies its judgment or order shall thereupon make such orders as are conformable to the judgment or order of the High Court; and, if necessary, the record shall be amended in accordance therewith.

Cases appealable to the High Court direct from an order of a Magistrate would be appeals against the orders of a Presidency Magistrate, and of other Magistrates only where the accused is an European British subject.—S. 408 (b); also appeals by Government against orders of acquittal.

In cases of revision the certificate of the order of the High Court is to be communicated direct to the Court by which the finding sentence or order revised was passed.—S. 442.

426 [Ss. 281, 297, para. 8; Act IV, 1877, S. 175.] Pending any appeal by a convicted person, the Appellate Court may, for reasons to be recorded by it in writing, order that the execution of the sentence or order appealed against be suspended, and, if he is in confinement, that he be released on bail or on his own bond.

The power conferred by this section on an Appellate Court may be exercised also by the High Court in the case of any appeal by a convicted person to a Court subordinate thereto.

When the appellant is ultimately sentenced to imprisonment, penal servitude, or transportation, the time during which he is so released shall be excluded in computing the term for which he is so sentenced.

The following form of warrant has been prescribed by the Calcutta High Court (Wilkins, 67) for the release of an appellant on bail.

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE AT

To the Magistrate of the District of

WHEREAS

of an offence under Section _____ of
Magistrate of _____
day of _____ 18____
and on _____

Accused (or convicted by)
or before.

the _____
on the _____
has been _____
to this _____
State sentence or period
of remand to jail. Appeal
or application.

Court an order has been passed under Section _____ of the
Criminal Procedure Code for his release on bail until his
been disposed of _____ shall have

You are hereby required to release the said
on good and sufficient bail
accordingly

If the amount of bail is
fixed by the Appellate
Court, enter it here.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this the day of _____ 18____
Sessions Judge or Magistrate.

The suspension of a sentence means relaxation of its severity, that is, merely to detain the person under sentence in safe custody—to put him into the same position as a prisoner remanded by a Magistrate.—Mad. H. Ct. April 15, 1868; Weir 304.

A Sessions Judge directing an appellant to be released on bail should order such bail to be given before the Nazir of the District Court or before such Magistrate as the Judge may think most convenient.—Bomb. Gaz. 1879, Part 1, p. 473.

427 [Act IV, 1877, S. 168, para. 3.] When an appeal is presented under section 417, the High Court may issue a warrant directing that the accused be arrested and brought before it or any subordinate Court, and the Court before which he is brought may commit him to prison pending the disposal of the appeal, or admit him to bail.

428 [S. 282, paras. 1, 3, 4; Act IV, 1877, S. 176.] In dealing with any appeal under this chapter, the Appellate Court, if it thinks additional evidence to be necessary, may either take such evidence itself, or direct it to be taken by a Magistrate, or, when the Appellate Court is a High Court, by a Court of Session or a Magistrate.

When the additional evidence is taken by the Court of Session or the Magistrate, it or he shall certify such evidence to the Appellate Court, and such Court shall thereupon proceed to dispose of the appeal.

If any Sub-divisional Magistrate acting under this section considers that any such finding, sentence, or order is illegal or improper, or that any such proceedings are irregular, he shall forward the record, with such remarks thereon as he thinks fit, to the District Magistrate.

Orders made under sections 143 and 144 and proceedings under section 176 are not proceedings within the meaning of this section.

It should be noted that the expression "inferior Criminal Court" is used instead of "Subordinate Court" as in S. 294 of the repealed Code of 1872. All Magistrates in the District are Criminal Courts inferior to the Court of Session, but having regard to S. 6 which gives the five classes of Criminal Courts, probably only Magistrates of the second or third class would be inferior to the District Magistrate who is a Magistrate of the first class appointed by the Local Government in every District outside the Presidency-Towns.—(S. 10). Such a rule would be in accordance with the procedure as to appeals.

S. 143 refers to an order passed on any person not to repeat or continue a public nuisance; S. 144 to summary orders for the removal of certain nuisances in cases of emergency; S. 176 to inquests.

These powers should be exercised at all times, not merely on matters coming up in Court, but also in matters coming to the knowledge of the particular official on reliable information. Conversation held with an officer employed on famine duty was considered to be information on which action could be taken.—*Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Nov. 21, 1878; Weir, 313.*

Criminal proceedings are bad unless they are conducted according to law; and if they are substantially bad in the selves, the defect will not be cured by any consent of the prisoner. It is the duty of Magistrates and all Criminal Courts to follow the procedure provided by law, and there is no law which sanctions their intentional departure from that procedure, and thus attempting to protect themselves against the consequences of such departure by getting the accused to say that he consents to it. There would be an end to all procedure, if such an assent were held to warrant material and important irregularities.—*Bholanath Sen, 25 W. R., 57 (S. C.) I. L. R., 2 Cal. 23.* An objection of want of jurisdiction may be taken for the first time even before the High Court as a Court of Revision.—*In re Riddell, 16 W. R., 79.* The consent of an accused cannot cure a defect in the jurisdiction.—*Kopali Kotraiya, Weir 355.* A prisoner on his trial can consent to nothing.—*Bishonath Pal, 12 W. R., 3, quoting Attorney-General of N. S. Wales v. Bortram, 36 Law Journal, 51 Privy Council cases.*

436 [S. 296, paras. 2, 3.] When, on examining the record of any

Power to order commitment. case under section 435 or otherwise, the Court of Session or District Magistrate considers that such

case is triable exclusively by the Court of Session, and that an accused person has been improperly discharged by the inferior Court, the Court of Session or District Magistrate may cause him to be arrested, and may thereupon, instead of directing a fresh inquiry, order him to be committed for trial upon the matter of which he has been, in the opinion of the Court of Session or District Magistrate, improperly discharged :

Provided as follows—

(a) that the accused has had an opportunity of showing cause to such Court or Magistrate why the commitment should not be made :

(b) that, if such Court or Magistrate thinks that the evidence shows that some other offence has been committed by the accused, such Court or Magistrate may direct the inferior Court to inquire into such offence.

(a). This in accordance with numerous decisions of the High Courts. But if the trial has taken place and no failure of justice has resulted from the omission to give such notice, the High Court will not interfere.—*Khamir, I. L. R., 7 Cal., 662.*

(b). In the case of *Tarucknath Mookerjee, 10 B. L. R., 285 (S. C.) 19 W. R., 30*, it was held that the words "improperly discharged" referred to some offence for which he was substantially charged in the complaint or which was specified in the warrant, or which was framed as a formal charge by the Magistrate at the preliminary inquiry, for, unless this were so, a man might be committed for trial of an offence of which he had never been accused or even heard a word until he was apprehended under the Judge's order of commitment. Such a result could not have been contemplated by the Legislature as the Code was carefully framed with a view to provide that no one shall be committed for trial without having previously had a fair opportunity of meeting the charge upon which he is to be committed.

The alteration in the law made by S. 436 of the Code would now prevent such a result, as before an order of commitment could be passed, the accused must have an opportunity of showing cause why such order should not be made, and the Court taking cognizance of the matter may direct the inferior Court to inquire into such offence.

A Sessions Judge on hearing an appeal is competent to set aside the conviction and sentence, and direct the appellant to be committed for trial, when he finds that the offence which the appellant apparently has committed is one triable exclusively by a Court of Session. The Magistrate, on receipt of such an order, can act on the evidence already taken in the presence of the accused and make the commitment in the manner prescribed by the Code.—Elahi Buksh, I. L. R., 2 All., 910.

437 [S. 298; Act XI, 1874, S. 31.] On examining any record, under section 435 or otherwise, the High Court or
Power to order inquiry. Court of Session may direct the District Magistrate by himself or by any of the Magistrates subordinate to him to make, and the District Magistrate may himself make, or direct any subordinate Magistrate to make, further inquiry into any complaint which has been dismissed under section 203, or into the case of any accused person who has been discharged.

This section goes beyond S. 298 of the Code of 1872 in enabling the Court of Session or District Magistrate to direct further inquiry to be made "into the case of any accused person who has been discharged," which it has been repeatedly held by the Courts, could hitherto be ordered only by the High Court as a Court of Revision.

If, however, fresh evidence be forthcoming, there would apparently be no objection to the Magistrate who passed the order of discharge re-opening the case.—Muniyappa Maistri, Weir, 293; Kristoram Mohara, 20 W. R., 47; Mary Donolly, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 405.

438 [S. 296, para. 1.] The Court of Session or District Magistrate may, if it or he thinks fit, on examining under sec-
Report to High Court. tion 435 or otherwise the record of any proceeding, report for the orders of the High Court the result of such examination, and, when such report contains a recommendation that a sentence be reversed, may order that the execution of such sentence be suspended, and if the accused is in confinement that he be released on bail or on his own bond.

A Magistrate has a discretion which he should exercise before reporting a case under S. 438 to the High Court. He is not bound to report every case in which he may detect an error. If a punishable offence has been committed, and a proper punishment inflicted, he should abstain from further proceedings, unless from any irregularity a failure of justice has been caused.—Nibaran Chunder Dass, 20 W. R., 40.

The following orders have been issued by the CALCUTTA High Court (Cir. 18, July 15, 1863, Wilkins, 110) regarding the manner in which such references should be made:—

"References under S. 438 shall always be accompanied by the records of the case to which they relate, and by an English letter commencing—'Under S. 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and Circular Order of the High Court, dated 15th July, 1863, No. 18, I herewith transmit the record of the case noted in the margin, to be laid before the High Court with the following report. There will then be stated—

1st.—A brief analysis of the case.

2nd.—The order of the Lower Court.

3rd.—In what particular portion of that order the Court making the reference considers an error on a point of law to exist.

4th.—The grounds upon which the order of the Lower Court should be reversed.

"Unless there be any particular reason why delay should be avoided, the explanation of the Lower Court should be called for and accompany the reference.

"The Court do not think it necessary to enter into any details of the particular occasions on which such references should be made to them, or to define what descriptions of grave irregularity of procedure, undue severity of punishment, &c., may give rise to a reference to them.

"It is deemed sufficient to enjoin the exercise of a sound discretion in making these references to the Court, so that neither important errors and omissions may escape correction, nor the time of the Court be needlessly engrossed by matters not demanding their interference."

All references submitted to the CHIEF COURT, PUNJAB, under this section are to be accompanied by the referring officer's opinion, by the records, and a statement of the Punjab Chief Court. case in English, giving—

1st.—A brief abstract of the case.

2nd.—The sentence or order of the Lower Court, and the name of, and powers exercised by, the Magistrate passing it.

3rd.—The particular portion of the sentence or order in which an error on a point of law is believed to exist.

4th.—The grounds upon which the order of the Lower Court should be reversed or modified.

It should also be noted how much of the sentence the accused has undergone, and, if he has been sentenced to fine or whipping, whether the fine has been realized, or the whipping has been inflicted.—Punjab Ch. Ct. Cir. 5, 1870; Smyth, p. 103; also Bomb. H. Ct., *Gaz.*, 1873, p. 714.

The following Rules have been issued by the MADRAS High Court—

All references under S. 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by Magistrates with full powers should be submitted to the High Court through the Magistrate of the District, unless justice would be defeated by the delay.

The District Magistrate cannot refuse to refer to the High Court a case in which a Divisional Magistrate doubts the legality of the sentence of a Subordinate Magistrate.

A reference under S. 438 should contain the opinion of the officer referring the proceedings and the grounds upon which such opinion is based.

A copy of the proceedings if in the English, or if in the Vernacular, an English translation must be sent up with all cases referred to the High Court under S. 438.—Mad. H. Ct. Feb. 20, 1864; Nov. 14, 1864; Dec. 14, 1866; and July 1, 1868.

The following Rules have been issued by the BOMBAY High Court:

All references under S. 438, are to be accompanied by the referring officer's opinion, by the record of the case, and by a statement of the case in English, giving:—

Bombay High Court.

I. A brief abstract of the case.

II. The sentence or order of the lower Court and the name of, and powers exercised by the Magistrate passing it.

III. The particular portion of the sentence or order in which an error on a point of law is believed to exist.

IV. The grounds upon which the order of the lower Court should be reversed or modified.

V. A statement (where appropriate) showing how much of the sentence the accused has undergone, and if he has been sentenced to fine or whipping, whether the fine has been realized or the whipping has been inflicted.

The fact of there being no evidence to support a conviction is a question of law and affords ground for a reference under S. 438. The fact of the evidence being insufficient is a question of fact, and affords no ground for such a reference.—Mad. H. Ct., Oct. 30, 1867.

Where there is the right of appeal, the High Court will not exercise its extraordinary powers as a Court of Revision until all other remedies provided by law have been exhausted—Rajcoomar Singh, 1 Cal. L. R., 352; Nilambor Baboo, 1 L. R., 2 All., 276.

A District Magistrate is not competent to invoke the High Court as a Court of Revision because he disapproves of the orders passed by the Sessions Judge as a Court of appeal.—David, 6 Cal. L. R., 245; *Greene v. DeLaunay*, 14 W. R., 27; nor because in a Sessions trial he has doubts of his own jurisdiction. In such a case he should leave the party dissatisfied to appeal against his order in the matter.—Cal. H. Ct. *Dhurmo Dass Banerjee*, Jan. 23, 1883.

439 [S. 297.] In the case of any proceeding the record of which

High Court's power of has been called for by itself, or which has been re-revision. ported for orders, or which otherwise comes to its knowledge, the High Court may, in its discretion, exercise any of the powers conferred on a Court of appeal by sections 195, 423, 426, 427 and 428, or on a Court by section 338, and may enhance the sentence, and, when the Judges composing the Court of revision are equally divided in opinion, the case shall be disposed of in manner provided by section 429.

No order under this section shall be made to the prejudice of the accused unless he has had an opportunity of being heard either personally or by pleader in his own defence.

Where the sentence dealt with under this section has been passed by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate acting otherwise than under section

34, the Court shall not inflict a greater punishment for the offence which, in the opinion of such Court, the accused has committed, than might have been inflicted for such offence by a Presidency Magistrate or a Magistrate of the first class.

Nothing in this section applies to an entry made under section 273, or shall be deemed to authorize a High Court to convert a finding of acquittal into one of conviction.

S. 195 relates to the sanction of any Court to prosecute on account of certain offences committed either in contempt of the lawful authority of public servants; or against public justice; or relating to documents given in evidence.

S. 423 to the powers of an Appellate Court to reverse the finding and sentence, and acquit or discharge the accused, or to order him to be re-tried by a Court of competent jurisdiction, or, committed for trial, to alter the finding, maintaining the sentence, or with or without altering the finding to reduce the sentence, or alter the nature of the sentence, or to alter or reverse an order not a conviction or sentence.

S. 426 to the suspension of a sentence or order, and to admission on bail or personal recognizance.

S. 427 to an order for the arrest of an accused person.

S. 428 to the taking of additional evidence.

S. 338 to an offer of conditional pardon.

The High Court is empowered to act in its discretion as a Court of Revision in the same manner as a Court of appeal, except that, although it is vested with the powers of a Court of appeal under S. 423, it cannot under the terms of the last para. of S. 439 act as prescribed by cl. (a) of S. 423 so as to convict and pass sentence on a person acquitted by an inferior Court. From the special terms of the last para. of S. 439 in this respect it would seem that in other respects the Legislature contemplates the action of the High Court as a Court of Revision in matters of acquittal. Under the Code of 1872 it has always been held that the High Court could take no cognizance of such matters except on the appeal of Government.

It should also be noted that whereas under the Code of 1872 the High Court could act as a Court of Revision only whenever it appeared that a material error had been committed in a judicial proceeding which, as interpreted, limited its powers, it can now act in its discretion.

The second para. of S. 439 is new, and will probably be read so as to mean any order adversely affecting the position of the accused at that time, otherwise, as every order passed by a Court of Revision rejecting an application on behalf of an accused would be to his "prejudice," he might claim the right to be heard in every case. Compare S. 440 which should be read with this para.

The power to enhance a sentence is conferred only on the High Court. Any doubt as to the meaning of the term, and whether it means the alteration of the nature of the sentence is cleared by a reference to S. 423 (b) under which an Appellate Court may "alter the nature of the sentence but not so as to enhance the same."

The High Court, as a Court of Revision, will not interfere in the case of persons who have not appealed to the proper Court merely because others tried in the same case who have appealed have been acquitted. Application in such a case should be made to the Local Government.—*Shao Suran Singh*, Cal. H. Ct., Aug. 16, 1877. Nor will the High Court exercise its extraordinary powers as a Court of Revision so long as the right of appeal remains, and until all such remedies provided by law have been exhausted.—*Rajcoomar Singh*, 1 Cal. L. R., 352; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 3 Cal., 573; *Nilambar Baboo*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 276.

Nor will the High Court express an opinion on a point arising in a trial before a Sessions Court on which the Judge has doubts, for it is the duty of the Judge himself to decide all such matters leaving it to the party affected by the final order to bring it regularly before the High Court.—*Cal. H. Ct. Dhurmo Dass Banerjee*, Jan. 23, 1883.

The High Court in exercise of its powers of superintendence and revision will not go into evidence and examine the conclusions of the Lower Court on the facts, otherwise an appeal would lie against every decision of the subordinate Courts which was clearly not intended by the Legislature. But the High Court is nevertheless not excluded from interference when, in cases requiring the exercise of discretion, it appears on the face of the proceedings that a Magistrate has exercised no discretion at all, or has exercised his discretion in a manner wholly unreasonable. The High Court has the power and ought to interfere where the Magistrate has been guilty of misconduct.—in the matter of *Jugut Chunder Chuckerbutty*, 1 L. R., 2 Cal., 110. See also in the matter of *Porno Chunder Pal*, 1 L. R., 7 Cal., 447.

The probative form or effect of evidence is a question of fact; where there is evidence to be considered and weighed, a judgment of conviction will not be set aside by a Court Revision. It is otherwise if, on certain facts found, a Court misapplies the law.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, Nov. 20, 1869; *Weir*, 317. *Aurokiam*, 1 L. R., 2 Mad., 38, (S. C.) *Weir*, 318. *Sheikh Oodla*, 18 W. R., 7.

S. 275 confers a similar privilege on persons under trial before a Court of Session who are neither Europeans nor Americans.

452 [Act X, 1875, Ss. 36, 37.] In any case in which an European British subject is accused jointly with a person not being an European British subject, and such European British subject is committed for trial before a High Court or Court of Session, such subject and person may be tried together, and the procedure on the trial shall be the same as it would have been had the European British subject been tried separately:

Provided that, if the European British subject requires under section 451 to be tried by a mixed jury, or by a mixed set of assessors, and the person not being an European British subject requires that he shall be tried separately, the latter person shall be tried separately in accordance with the provisions of Chapter XXIII.

S. 275 declares that in a trial by Jury, before the Court of Session, of a person not being an European or an American, the majority of the Jury, shall, if he so desires it, consist of persons who are neither Europeans nor Americans, but there is no corresponding provision relating to such a trial before a High Court. The accused may require to be tried separately and he can exercise the right of challenge under S. 277, but he cannot claim a specially constituted Jury.

453 [S. 83.] When any person claims to be dealt with as an European British subject, he shall state the grounds of such claim to the Magistrate before whom he is brought for the purposes of the inquiry or trial; and such Magistrate shall inquire into the truth of such statement, and allow the person making it a reasonable time within which to prove that it is true, and shall then decide whether he is or is not an European British subject, and shall deal with him accordingly. If any such person is convicted by such Magistrate and appeals from such conviction, the burden of proving that the Magistrate's said decision was wrong shall lie upon him.

When any such person is committed by the Magistrate for trial before the Court of Session, and such person before such Court claims to be dealt with as an European British subject, such Court shall, after such further inquiry, (if any,) as it thinks fit, decide whether he is or is not an European British subject, and shall deal with him accordingly. If he is convicted by such Court and appeals from such conviction, the burden of proving that the Court's said decision was wrong shall lie upon him.

When the Court before which any person is tried decides that he is not an European British subject, such decision shall form a ground of appeal from the sentence or order passed in such trial.

Before committing an European British subject for trial by a Court having jurisdiction, the Magistrate and Justice of the Peace holding the preliminary inquiry should satisfy himself that there is evidence of his being amenable to the jurisdiction of that Court. For this purpose, there should be produced the evidence of a credible person, who knows the accused and his place of birth, or who has heard him declare to what country he belongs. If an accused person plead before a Magistrate that he is a European British subject, and that therefore, he is not amenable to the jurisdiction of the Local Courts, and the Magistrate has no reason to distrust this statement, it is sufficient for the Magistrate to act on this allegation; and if he considers the evidence to be such as to warrant the commitment of the accused person to the High Court, he should make such commitment. The Magistrate should, however, forward as a witness some person who heard the accused person make this plea.—Calcutta High Court Cir., 5, May 6, 1864.

A Magistrate is bound to give an accused person an opportunity of pleading that he is an European British subject when there is reason to believe that he is such.—*Clerk v. Beana*, 5 W. R., 53.

When the accused pleaded that he was an European British subject, but, without deciding this plea, the Magistrate who was competent to try him, even if he were such tried and sentenced him to a sentence beyond his powers over such a person, the High Court directed the Magistrate to inquire into this plea giving the accused an opportunity of proving it, and on receiving the Magistrate's certificate that the accused was an European British subject, the High Court directed the Magistrate to proceed with the trial in accordance with law.—*Empress v. Berrill*, 1. L. R., 4, All., 141.

A plea of being an European British subject may be admitted by the High Court if it be satisfied from the appearance of the prisoner and the circumstances brought forward that the plea is true, but if the Court is not so satisfied, the plea, if persisted in, must be substantiated by sufficient evidence.—*Thomas Nash Turnbull*, 6 Mad. 7, (S. C.) *Weir*, 252.

454 [S. 84.] If an European British subject does not claim to be

Failure to plead status dealt with as such by the Magistrate before whom a waiver. he is tried or by whom he is committed, or if, when such claim has been made before, and disallowed by, the committing Magistrate, it is not again made before the Court to which such subject is committed, he shall be held to have relinquished his right to be dealt with as such European British subject, and shall not assert it in any subsequent stage of the same case.

Unless the Magistrate has reason to believe that any person brought before him is not an European British subject, the Magistrate shall ask such person whether he is such a subject or not.

See note to S. 453.

The last para. is in accordance with the judgment *In re Quiros*, 6 Cal. L. R., 465: (S. C.) 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 83. Before an European British subject can be considered to have waived the privilege conferred on him by S. 443, it must appear that his rights have been distinctly made known to him, so that he would have been enabled to exercise his choice and judgment whether he would or would not claim such rights. The Legislature could not have meant that a person might be tried or committed by a Magistrate, whose act in trying or committing him would be altogether invalid, so that such act could be immediately got rid of by application to the proper Court.

S. 534 of this Code, however, enacts that an omission to ask any person whether he is an European British subject in a case to which the second clause of S. 454 applies shall not affect the validity of any proceeding. But if the Magistrate knows or ought to know that there was a defect in his jurisdiction because the accused is an European British subject, he is bound to give the accused an opportunity of pleading it. If it can be shown that the Magistrate knew or ought to have known the fact, and nevertheless proceeded with the case, he is liable to an action for trespass.—*Calder v. Halkett*, 2 Moore's Ind. App. 293.

The point was also discussed in the case of *In re Foy* (Taylor and Bell, 219) by the late Supreme Court of Calcutta when the following judgment was delivered:

"If the Magistrate knows that the prisoner is an European British subject, it is his duty, whether the prisoner claims exception or not, to abstain from further proceedings against him as a Magistrate. If, without any actual knowledge on the subject, the Magistrate has reason to suppose that the prisoner is such a British subject, it is the Magistrate's duty to ascertain from him, whether he alleges or denies that he is one; and if he alleges that he is, to give him every facility by allowing time, and otherwise, for proving that he is, the burden of such proof being on him. A Magistrate will not be justified, if he has reason to suppose that a prisoner is an European British subject, in proceeding against him as if he were not one, without first giving him a distinct opportunity of pleading that he is one. If he do not so plead, or is not able, upon time being allowed him for that purpose, to adduce any satisfactory proof of his being an European British subject, the Magistrate will be quite warranted in proceeding against him. If he do so plead, and gives proof or produces documents which, although not amounting to full legal proof of his status, satisfy the Court that he is really an European British subject, the Magistrate should, without putting the prisoner fully to complete his proof by strict legal evidence, take up the case as a Justice of the Peace, and send it up to the Supreme (High) Court, taking care to record distinctly the statement made by the prisoner that he is a British subject of lawful European descent.

"The law is, that if a Judge has no jurisdiction, and has the knowledge or means of knowledge of his want of jurisdiction, he is liable as a trespasser if he acts. (*Calder v. Halkett* and the cases there referred to.) The duty of a Court is to walk in the course prescribed for it, as it understands its limits, and not to assume a jurisdiction which has not been confided to it. If a Court have reason-

The States in the Pahlapur Agency.
 The States in the Mahi Kanta Agency.
 The States in Rewa Kanta Agency.
 Penth, in the Ahmadnagar Collectorate.
 The territories of Chiefs or Tribes adjoining
 the Sindh Frontier.

Bhopal.
 Barwani.

Dewas.

Dhar.

Indore, excepting the District of Alampur in
 Bundelkhand.

Jobatt.

Burwai.

Gwalior, District of—

Amjhira.

Agar.

Bag.

Dikhan.

Mandisur.

Nimuch.

Ujein.

Sagor.

Shujawalpur.

Sonk ch, and

With the several Pergun-
 nahs subordinate thereto in-
 cluded in the charge of Scin-
 dia's Sir Subah of Malwa.

Bhilsa.

Ganj Baroda.

Malharghar.

Maksudanghar.

With the several Pergun-
 nahs subordinate thereto,
 which form part of the
 charge of Scindia's Sir
 Subah of Esangharh.

Jalra Patan, district of—

Pangrar.

Gach Pahar.

Dag.

Tonk, district of—

Pirawa.

Nimbhera.

Seronje.

Meywar.

Pertabghar.

Marwar.

Dungarpur.

Banswara.

Jhalawar.

Serohi.

Jaisalmir.

The Feudatory States in the Central Pro-
 vinces, viz. :

Kattiwara.

Mukammadgarh.

Matwara.

Rattan Mal.

Ali Rajpur.

Jhabna.

Jaura.

Kilchipur.

Narsinghar.

Rajghar.

Ratlam.

Sitaman.

Sillana.

Kalabandi or Karond.

Raigarh Bargharh.

Sarangharh.

Patna.

Sonepur.

Rairakhhol.

Bamra.

Sakti.

Kawarda.

Kairagarh.

Nandgaon.

Kondka or Chaikadan.

Kanker.

Bastar.

Makrai.

Savanur (Gaz. India, 1874, p. 612.)

IV.—By the High Court of the N. W. Provinces, in—

Provinces in—

Garhwal.

Dholpur.

Bhartpur.

Alwar.

Jeypur.

Keroli.

Tonk, with the exception of Pirawa, Nembhera
 and Seronje.

Katah.

Bundi.

Kishongharh.

Bikaner.

Shapura.

Rampur.

Behri.

Bhaisonda.

Bijawar.

Bigna.

Chirkhari.

Chatrapur.

Dhurwai.

Dhattiah.

Geranli.

Gaurihar.

Jigni.

Jassu.

Kamta Rijola.

Koti.

Kanniadhana.

Gwalior.—The whole of the State, excepting
 the Sir Subahship of Malwa and the Dis-
 tricts under the Sir Subah of Esangharh,
 enumerated above.

The Mairwara Pergunnahs belonging to
 Meywar and Marwar.

Bandelkhand States and Chiefships—

Adjeygarh.

Alipura.

Baoni.

Beronda.

Behat.

Logasi.

Mahir.

Nagod.

Naiagoan Rebai.

Urcha.

Pahari Banka.

Pahara Chanbe.
Paldeo.
Panna.
Rewah.
Schawal.

Samphag.
Surila.
Tiraon.
Tori Futtehpur.
Holkar's District of Alampur.

All Justices of the Peace within the states, territories, and chiefships specified in the preceding Notification, have, under S. 6, Act XI, 1872, been empowered to commit for trial to the High Courts respectively having jurisdiction under the said Notification such European British subjects, being Christians, as are required by Act X of 1872 to be committed to a High Court.—*Gaz. of India, 1874, Part I, p. 486.*

In modifications of Notification No. 2199g, dated 11th October 1872, and No. 896g, dated 14th February 1873, the Governor General in Council is pleased to direct that the powers of a High Court within the lands described in the aforesaid Notifications shall not be exercised by the Agent to the Governor General in Rajpootana, in cases in which the accused are European British subjects being Christians.—*Gaz. of India, 1874, Part I, p. 486.*

In modification of Notification No. 159j, dated 7th August 1873, the Governor General in Council is pleased to direct that the powers of a High Court within the territories described in the aforesaid Notification shall not be exercised by the Agent to the Governor General in Central India, in cases in which the accused are European British subjects being Christians.—*Gaz. of India, as above.*

The Governor General in Council has further, in exercise of his powers under Sections 4 and 5, Act XXI 1879, conferred powers on certain officers attached to the Political Agency in Central India at Indore, Goona, and Gwalior, but all proceedings against European British subjects or persons jointly charged with European British subjects have been excepted.—*Gaz. India, Part I, pp. 268—270, Not. June 27, 1883. See note to Act XXI, 1879, S. 4, APPENDIX.*

459 [Act XXII, 1870, Ss. 2, 4.] Unless there is something repug-

Application of Acts con-
ferring jurisdiction on
Magistrates or Courts of
Session.

nant in the context, all enactments heretofore or hereafter made by the Governor General in Council, which confer on Magistrates or on the Court of Session jurisdiction over offences, shall be deemed to apply to European British subjects, although such persons be not expressly referred to therein.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorize any Court to exceed the limits prescribed by this chapter as to the amount of punishment which it may inflict on an European British subject, or to confer jurisdiction on any Magistrate not being a Justice of the Peace, or on any Magistrate or Sessions Judge outside the Presidency-towns not being an European British subject.

Thus, under Act I of 1859 (the Merchant Seaman's Act) certain offences committed by European British subjects were punishable by a Justice of the Peace, but it was held by the Madras High Court that, since the passing of the Code of 1872, such an offence can nevertheless be tried only by a Justice of the Peace who is also a Magistrate of the first class and himself an European British subject.—*Mad. H. Ct, Pro. Dec. 18, 1873, 7 Mad. App. xxxiii; (S. C.) 9 Mad. Jur., 105; (S. C.) Weir, 253.*

460 [S. 234, para. 1, cl. ii.] In every case triable by jury or with

Jury for trial of Euro-
peans or Americans.

the aid of assessors, in which an European (not being an European British subject) or an American is the accused person, or one of the accused persons, not less than half the number of jurors or assessors shall, if practicable and if such European or American so claims, be Europeans or Americans.

461 [S. 242.]

Jury when European or
American charged jointly
with one of another race.

Whenever an European or American is charged before the Court of Session jointly with a person not an European or American, and in compliance with a claim made under section 460 is tried by a jury, or with the aid of a set of assessors, of which at least one-half consists of

Europeans and Americans, the latter person shall, if he so claims, be tried separately.

Similarly S. 275 provides for the converse:—

In a trial by Jury, before a Court of Session, of a person not being an European or an American, the majority of the Jury, shall, if he so desires consist of persons who are neither Europeans nor Americans.

462 [Ss. 406, 408, paras. 1, 2, 3.] When a trial is to be held before the Court of Session in which the accused person, or one of the accused persons, is entitled to be tried by a jury constituted under the provisions of section 451 or section 460, the Court shall, three days at least before the day fixed for holding such trial, cause to be summoned, in the manner hereinbefore prescribed, as many European and American jurors as are required for the trial.

The Court shall also at the same time in like manner cause to be summoned the same number of other persons named in the revised list, unless such number of such other persons has been already summoned for trials by jury at that session.

From the whole number of persons returned, the jurors who are to constitute the jury shall be chosen by lot in the manner prescribed in section 276, until a jury containing the proper number of Europeans or Americans, or a number approaching thereto as nearly as practicable, has been obtained:

Provided that in any case in which the proper number of Europeans and Americans cannot otherwise be obtained, the Court may, in its discretion, for the purpose of constituting the jury, summon any person excluded from the list on the ground of his being exempted under section 320.

463 [S. 87.] Criminal proceedings against European British subjects, Europeans not being European British subjects, and Americans, before the Court of Session and High Court, shall, except as otherwise expressly provided, be conducted according to the provisions of this Code.

Conduct of criminal proceedings against European British subjects, &c.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

LUNATICS.

464 [Ss. 423, 424, para. 3; Act IV, 1877, S. 194.] When a Magistrate holding an inquiry or a trial has reason to believe that the accused is of unsound mind and consequently incapable of making his defence, the Magistrate shall inquire into the fact of such unsoundness, and shall cause such person to be examined by the Civil Surgeon of the District or such other medical officer as the Local Government directs, and thereupon shall examine such Surgeon or other officer as a witness, and shall reduce the examination to writing.

If such Magistrate is of opinion that the accused is of unsound mind and consequently incapable of making his defence, he shall postpone further proceedings in the case.

The mere certificate of a Medical officer is not sufficient and cannot be accepted. He must be regularly examined before a Magistrate.—*Ram Rutton Dass*, 9 W. R., 23.

Wandering lunatics &c. are thus provided for by Act XXXVI, 1858, Ss. 4, 5, 18:—

It shall be the duty of every Daroga or District Police officer to apprehend and send to the Magistrate all persons found wandering at large within his District, who are deemed to be lunatics, and all persons believed to be dangerous by reason of lunacy. Whenever any such person as aforesaid is brought before a Magistrate, the Magistrate, with the assistance of a Medical officer, shall examine such person, and the Medical officer shall sign a certificate in the form A in the schedule to this Act, and the Magistrate shall be satisfied on personal examination or other proof that such person is a lunatic and a proper person to be detained under care and treatment, he shall make an order for such lunatic to be received into the Asylum established for the Division in which the Magistrate's jurisdiction is situate, or, if such lunatic is not a native of the country and the circumstances of the case so require, into a Lunatic Asylum at the Presidency; and shall send the lunatic in suitable custody to the Asylum mentioned in such order. Provided that, if any friend or relative of any lunatic, who is believed to be dangerous, shall undertake in writing to the satisfaction of the Magistrate that such lunatic shall be properly taken care of, and shall be prevented from doing injury to himself or others, the Magistrate, instead of sending him to an Asylum, may make him over to the care of such friend or relative. Provided also that, if any such friend or relative shall desire that the lunatic shall be sent to a licensed Asylum instead of the public Asylum of the Division, and shall engage in writing to the satisfaction of the Magistrate to pay the expenses which may be incurred for the lodging, maintenance, medicine, clothing, and care of the lunatic in such Asylum, the Magistrate may send the lunatic to the licensed Asylum mentioned in the engagement.—S. 4.

If it shall appear to the Magistrate, on the report of a public officer or the information of any other person, that any person within the limit of his jurisdiction deemed to be a lunatic is not under proper care and control, or is cruelly treated or neglected by any relative or other person having the charge of him, the Magistrate may send for the supposed lunatic, and summon such relative or other person as has or ought to have the charge of him; and if such relative or other person be legally bound to maintain the supposed lunatic, the Magistrate may make an order for such lunatic being properly taken care of and treated, and if such relative or other person shall wilfully neglect to comply with the said order, may commit him to jail for a period not exceeding one month. If there be no person legally bound to maintain the supposed lunatic, or if the Magistrate thinks fit so to do, he may proceed as prescribed by in the last preceding section, and upon being satisfied in the manner aforesaid that the person deemed to be a lunatic is a lunatic and a proper person to be detained under care and treatment, may make an order for his reception into such Asylum as aforesaid. It shall be the duty of every Daroga or District Police officer to report to the Magistrate every such case of neglect or cruel treatment as aforesaid which may come to his knowledge.—S. 5.

The word "lunatic," as used in this Act, shall mean and include every person of unsound mind, and every person being an idiot. S. 18.

465 [S. 425; Act XI, 1874, S. 39; Act X, 1875, S. 120.] If any

person committed for trial before a Court of Session or a High Court appears to the Court at his trial to be of unsound mind and consequently incapable of making his defence, the jury or the Court with the aid of assessors shall, in the first instance, try the fact of such unsoundness and incapacity, and, if satisfied of the fact, shall pass judgment accordingly, and thereupon the trial shall be postponed.

The trial of the fact of the unsoundness of mind and incapacity of the accused shall be deemed to be part of his trial before the Court.

The Bombay High Court directed a Sessions Judge that, as he entertained doubts as to the sanity of the accused person, he should not merely have put questions to him, but should have tried the fact of such unsoundness of mind by examining the Civil Surgeon or some other Medical officer, and by taking such evidence as might have been procurable from the village in which the accused resided, with the view of ascertaining whether he had, at any time prior to the commission of the crime, exhibited symptoms of insanity.—*Heera Poonja*, 1 Bomb., 33.

It should be borne in mind that the issue whether the accused is of unsound and consequently incapable of making his defence should be tried and a verdict obtained from the Jury or the opinions of the assessors recorded "in the first instance," that is, before they are asked to determine the main issues in the case. Where at the same time the Jury was required to consider whether the accused was, in the terms of S. 84, Penal Code and S. 470 of this Code, responsible before the law for the act charged, the verdict was set aside and a re-trial ordered as it was held that the accused had been prejudiced by this error.—*Doorjodhun Shamunto*, 19 W. R., 26.

A Magistrate after having found that the accused was, from unsoundness of mind, incapable of making his defence acquitted him on the ground that when he committed the act charged, he was incapable of knowing the nature of the act or that it was wrong or contrary to law. The High Court set aside this order holding that the trial was illegal.—*Romon Adhicaree*, 10 W. R., 37.

466 [S. 426; Act X, 1875, S. 121 : Act IV, 1877, S. 196.] Whenever

Release of lunatic pending investigation or trial. an accused person is found to be of unsound mind and incapable of making his defence, the Magistrate or Court, as the case may be, if the case is one in which bail may be taken, may release him on sufficient security being given that he shall be properly taken care of, and shall be prevented from doing injury to himself or to any other person, and for his appearance, when required, before the Magistrate or Court or such officer as the Magistrate or Court appoints in this behalf.

If the case is one in which bail may not be taken, or if sufficient security is not given, the Magistrate or Court shall report the case to the Local Government, and the Local Government may order the accused to be confined in a lunatic asylum or other suitable place of safe custody, and the Magistrate or Court shall give effect to such order.

The distinction between this law laid down in this Chapter and S. 341 should be borne in mind. S. 341 provides for the case of an accused person, who though not insane, cannot be made to understand the proceedings, whereas Chapter XXXIV provides the course to be taken (1) when an inquiry or trial cannot take place because the accused is, by reason of unsoundness of mind, incapable of making his defence, and (2) when the accused is acquitted because, when he committed the act charged, he was by reason of unsoundness of mind incapable of knowing the nature of that act or that it was wrong or contrary to law.

Where it was found that the accused was "an imbecile and consequently unable to understand the proceedings, but that he is not of unsound mind" and the case was referred to the High Court under S. 341, that Court remarked that "if the prisoner was unable to understand the proceedings, it was from unsoundness of mind properly so called and from no other cause." An order was consequently passed in the terms of S. 466.—*Hussen*, I. L. R., 5 Bomb., 262.

The Government of Bombay has, under Act V, 1868, S. 2, delegated the powers of a Local Government under S. 466 of this Code to the Commissioner of Sindh.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 312.

The Court before which the accused person is so brought should report the case direct to Government, with a history of the facts.—*Beng. Govt. Cir.* 84, Oct. 28, 1870; *Agra Sud. Ct.* 91, 1863. In the Panjab, such cases should be reported to Government through the Commissioner.—*Smyth* p. 134.

After a case has been reported to Government under S. 466, it should not be struck off, but kept on the register of pending cases.—*Rughooa*, 6 W. R., 3.

Pending a reference to Government, the lunatic should be detained either in the Jail-Hospital or in the Lunatic Asylum, (when there is one) at the discretion of the Magistrate.—*Govt. of Bengal Cir.* 48, August 12, 1871.

The following rules for the conveyance of lunatics to Asylums have been issued by the Government of Bengal :—

1. For persons of unsound mind who need to be sent to the Lunatic Asylums of the Lower Provinces, where practicable, water shall be preferred to land carriage.

2. No lunatic shall be sent from a jail, or other place in which he is temporarily confined for safe custody, to a Lunatic Asylum, when he is in an unfit state to travel with safety, either from ordinary bodily disease, or during the acute state of the form of mental aberration under which he is labouring.

3. Every lunatic, who is unable to take care of himself, or to attend to his personal wants, shall be provided with the attendance, clothing, and food, necessary for his safety and protection, and the strictest injunctions shall be given to the persons in charge of such lunatics to see that they do not suffer injury from exposure to weather, want of food, the neglect of cleanliness, or any other cause whatever.

4. Every lunatic in transit to an Asylum shall be provided with at least two complete suits of clothing, and with an extra blanket, so as to admit of their being changed and washed in case of necessity. The most stringent orders shall be given to the persons in charge of a lunatic that all soiled clothes shall immediately be changed and cleansed before they are again worn.

5. Proper provision for cooking and supplying the food of lunatics in transit shall be made by the officer transmitting them.

6. Every lunatic, prior to transfer to an Asylum, shall be carefully examined by the Medical officer who furnishes the certificate of unsoundness of mind, and the Medical officer referred to shall certify that all the above-mentioned provisions for the safe custody of the lunatic have been made, and that he is in a fit state to travel. This certificate shall be transmitted by post to the officer in charge of the Asylum to which the lunatic is sent, and a duplicate copy of it shall be given to the person sent in charge of the lunatic.

7. The officer in charge of the Asylum shall, on the arrival of a lunatic, see that the above orders have been strictly observed, and that the lunatic has been properly cared for in transit. Should there be any evidence of carelessness or other breach of these rules, the matter shall at once be reported in writing to the Magistrate of the District for the information and orders of the Government.

After a person has been made over to the Local Government, the matter is beyond the authority of the Magistrate until he is replaced before that officer for trial under S. 468 on his being reported to be capable of making his defence. A Magistrate therefore cannot release such a person accused of a bailable offence for whose proper care sufficient security is offered after he has been made over for safe custody in the place appointed by the Local Government.—*In re Joy Hurree Kor*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 356.

Under 14 and 15 Vic. c. 81, Ss. 1, 2, if any person shall be indicted for or charged with any crime or offence in India, and shall be acquitted of or not tried for such crime or offence on the ground of his being found to be of unsound mind, he may be removed to England in custody by order of the person administering the Government of the Presidency in which such person shall be in custody to abide the order of Her Majesty concerning his safe custody. And upon his arrival in the United Kingdom it shall be lawful for Her Majesty to give such order for the safe custody of such person during her pleasure to such place and in such manner as to Her Majesty shall seem fit.

The regularity of the proceedings taken in India in declaring an European British subject a criminal lunatic and in removing him to England for safe custody were discussed in *In re Maltby*, 7 Q. B. D. 18.

467 [S. 427; Act X, 1875, S. 122; Act IV, 1877, S. 197.] Whenever an inquiry or a trial is postponed under section 464 or section 465, the Magistrate or Court, as the case may be, may at any time resume the the inquiry or trial, and require the accused to appear or be brought before such Magistrate or Court.

When the accused has been released under section 466, and the sureties for his appearance produce him to the officer whom the Magistrate or Court appoints in this behalf, the certificate of such officer that the accused is capable of making his defence shall be receivable in evidence.

468 [S. 428; Act X, 1875, S. 123; Act IV, 1877, S. 198.] If, when the accused appears or is again brought before the Magistrate or the Court, as the case may be, the Magistrate or Court considers him capable of making his defence, the inquiry or trial shall proceed.

If the Magistrate or Court considers the accused person to be still incapable of making his defence, the Magistrate or Court shall again act according to the provisions of section 464 or section 465, as the case may be.

469 [S. 424, paras. 1, 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 195.] When the accused appears to be of sound mind at the time of inquiry or trial, and the Magistrate is satisfied from the evidence given before him that there is reason to believe that the accused committed an act which, if he had been of sound mind, would have been an offence, and that he was at the time when the act was committed, by reason of unsoundness of mind, incapable of knowing the nature of the act or that it was wrong or contrary to law, the Magistrate shall proceed with the case, and, if the accused ought to be committed to the Court of Session or High Court, send him for trial before the Court of Session or High Court, as the case may be.

Whenever any Magistrate acting under S. 469 shall send for trial before the Court of Session an accused person regarding whose sanity at the time of committing the offence he entertains any

doubt, he shall at the same time inform the jail authorities of the supposed state of the accused, order that such person may be placed under careful surveillance prior to his trial before the Court of Session.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 472.

470 [S. 429; Act X, 1875, S. 124; Act IV, 1877, S. 199.] Whenever Judgment of acquittal any person is acquitted upon the ground that, at the on ground of lunacy. time at which he is alleged to have committed an offence, he was, by reason of unsoundness of mind, incapable of knowing the nature of the act alleged as constituting the offence, or that it was wrong or contrary to law, the finding shall state specifically whether he committed the act or not.

S. 84, Penal Code, declares that "nothing is an offence which is done by a person, who, at the time of doing it, by reason of unsoundness of mind, is incapable of knowing the nature of the act, or that he is doing what is either wrong or contrary to law."

S. 84 of the Penal Code falls within Chapter IV of that Code which relates to "general exceptions;" and S. 105 of the Evidence Act declares that, "when a person is accused of any offence, the burden of proving the existence of circumstances bringing the case within any of the general exceptions in the Indian Penal Code is upon him, and the Court shall presume the absence of such circumstances."

The fact of unsoundness of mind must be clearly and distinctly proved before any jury is justified in returning a verdict under S. 84, Penal Code. Every man is presumed to be sane and to possess a sufficient degree of reason to be responsible for his acts until the contrary is proved.—Nobin Chunder Bannerjee, 20 W. R., 70; (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 20 *App.*

The prisoner before the Court of Session admitted that he had killed his wife but pleaded that he was not at that time in his right mind. The Sessions Judge thereupon without choosing assessors proceeded himself to try this point, took evidence, and delivered judgment. The proceedings were quashed and a trial ordered with assessors.—Cheyt Ram, 5 All., 110.

If upon a trial it is doubtful whether the accused was or was not sane at the time of the commission of the criminal act charged, the trial should be postponed, and he should be placed under the care of the Civil Surgeon, who should carefully watch his state of mind, with the view to discover whether he is subject to recurring fits of insanity or light-headedness. The Calcutta High Court, on his appeal, remanded the case of Sheikh Moostafa, (1 W. R., 1) for this purpose, directing that, after having had charge of the prisoner for a period not less than thirty days, the Civil Surgeon should report to the Sessions Judge, and be examined on oath as to his state during that period.

If the Sessions Judge disagrees with the verdict of the Jury acquitting the prisoner under S. 470 he should submit the case under S. 307 for the orders of the High Court. See Nobin Chunder Bannerjee, 20 W. R., 70 (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 20 *App.* for an instance of a perverse verdict in this respect and a discussion of the evidence on which it was delivered.

It will not be out of place here to quote the leading case in England on this point. The following questions were put by the House of Lords in the case of *R. v. McNaughten* (Archbold, pp. 15—17) and received answers from the English Judges as below stated.—

"1st.—What is the law respecting alleged crimes committed by persons afflicted with insane delusion in respect of one or more particular subjects or persons; as, for instance, where, at the time of the commission of the alleged crime, the accused knew he was acting contrary to law, but did the act complained of with a view, under the influence of insane delusion, of redressing or revenging some supposed grievance or injury, or of producing some public benefit?"

"2nd.—What are the proper questions to be submitted to the jury when a person, alleged to be afflicted with insane delusion respecting one or more particular subjects or persons, is charged with the commission of a crime (murder for example), and insanity is set up as a defence?"

"3rd.—In what terms ought the question to be left to the jury as to the prisoner's state of mind at the time when the act was committed?"

"4th.—If a person, under an insane delusion as to the existing facts, commits an offence in consequence thereof, is he thereby excused?"

"5th.—Can a Medical man, conversant with the disease of insanity, who never saw the prisoner previous to the trial, but who was present during the whole trial, and the examination of all the witnesses, be asked his opinion as to the state of the prisoner's mind at the time of the commission of the alleged crime, or his opinion whether the prisoner was conscious, at the time of doing the act, that he was acting contrary to law, or whether he was labouring under, and what, delusion at the time?"

To these questions the Judges (with the exception of Maule, J., who gave on his own account a more qualified answer) answered as follows:—

To the first question:—"Assuming that your Lordships' inquiries are confined to those persons who labour under partial delusions only, and are not in other respects insane, we are of opinion that, notwithstanding the party did the act complained of with a view, under the influence of insane

delusion, of redressing or revenging some supposed grievance or injury, or of producing some public benefit, he is nevertheless punishable, according to the nature of the crime committed, if he knew, at the time of committing such crime, that he was acting contrary to law, by which expression we understand your Lordships to mean the law of the land."

To the *second* and *third* questions:—"That the jury ought to be told in all cases that every man is presumed to be sane, and to possess a sufficient degree of reason to be responsible for his crimes until the contrary be proved to their satisfaction; and that, to establish a defence on the ground of insanity, it must be clearly proved that, at the time of the committing of the act, the party accused was labouring under such a defect of reason from disease of the mind, as not to know the nature and quality of the act he was doing, or, if he did know it, that he did not know he was doing what was wrong. The mode of putting the latter part of the question to the jury on these occasions has generally been, whether the accused, at the time of doing the act, knew the difference between right and wrong, which mode, though rarely, if ever, leading to any mistake with the jury, is not, as we conceive, so accurate when put generally, and in the abstract, as when put to the party's knowledge of right and wrong in respect to the very act with which he is charged. If the question were to be put as to the knowledge of the accused, solely and exclusively with reference to the law of the land, it might tend to confound the jury, by inducing them to believe that an actual knowledge of the law of the land was essential in order to lead to a conviction, whereas the law is administered upon the principle that every one must be taken conclusively to know it, without proof that he does know it. If the accused was conscious that the act was one which he ought not to do, and if that act was at the same time contrary to the law of the land, he is punishable; and the usual course, therefore, has been to leave the question to the jury, whether the party accused had a sufficient degree of reason to know that he was doing an act that was wrong; and this course, we think, is correct, accompanied with such observations and explanations as the circumstance of each particular case may require."

To the *fourth* question:—"The answer to this question must of course depend on the nature of the delusion; but, making the same assumption as we did before, that he labours under such partial delusion only, and is not in other respects insane, we think he must be considered in the same situation as to responsibility as if the facts with respect to which the delusion exists were real. For example, if, under the influence of his delusion, he supposes another man to be in the act of attempting to take away his life, and he kills that man, as he supposes, in self-defence, he would be exempt from punishment. If his delusion was that the deceased has inflicted a serious injury to his character and fortune, and he killed him in revenge for such supposed injury, he would be liable to punishment."

And to the *last* question:—"We think the Medical man, under the circumstances supposed, cannot in strictness be asked his opinion in the terms above stated, because each of those questions involves the determination of the truth of the facts deposed to, which it is for the jury to decide; and the questions are not mere questions upon a matter of science, in which case such evidence is admissible. But, where the facts are admitted or not disputed, and the question becomes substantially one of science only, it may be convenient to allow the question to be put in that general form, though the same cannot be insisted on as a matter of right."

In the cases of Sheikh Moostafa (1 W. R., 1) and of Purseram (7 W. R., 42), which were tried in the Sessions Courts with the aid of Assessors, the Calcutta High Court acquitted the prisoners on the ground specified in S. 84, Penal Code, and directed the Sessions Judge to report the cases for the orders of Government under S. 470, Code of Criminal Procedure.

The terms of the law should be strictly followed in recording the finding in a case falling under S. 470. A Judge should not find an accused person guilty of the offence charged, and then acquit him on the ground of insanity.—*Cal. H. Ct. 22, 1864, Wilkins, 75.*

The following finding was given by the Calcutta High Court (8 W. R., 19, C. L., Wilkins 76) as a model in cases dealt with under S. 429:—"The Court concurring with the Assessors, finds that Gazee Peer did kill Baboo Mundul by striking him on the head with a club; but that by reason of unsoundness of mind he was incapable of knowing that he was doing an act which was wrong or contrary to law, and that he is not, therefore, guilty of the offence specified in the charge, *viz.*, that he has committed culpable homicide not amounting to murder by causing the death of Baboo Mundul, and has thereby committed an offence punishable under S. 304 of the Indian Penal Code; and the Court directs that the said Gazee Peer be acquitted, and that, under the provision of S. 470 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the said Gazee Peer be kept in safe custody in the ———, pending the orders of the Local Government.

471 [S. 430; Act XI, 1875, S. 125; Act IV, 1877, S. 200.] Whenever

Person acquitted on such ground to be kept in safe custody.

such judgment states that the accused person committed the act alleged, the Magistrate or Court before whom or which the trial has been held shall, if such act would, but for the incapacity found, have constituted an offence, order such person to be kept in safe custody in such place and manner as

the Magistrate or Court thinks fit, and shall report the case for the orders of the Local Government.

The Local Government may order such person to be confined in a lunatic asylum, jail, or other suitable place of safe custody.

472 [S. 431; Act X, 1875, S. 127; Act IV, 1877, S. 202.] When any person is confined under the provisions of section 466 or section 471, the Inspector General of Prisons, if such person is confined in a jail, or the visitors of the lunatic asylum, or any two of them, if he is confined in a lunatic asylum, may visit him in order to ascertain his state of mind; and he shall be visited once at least in every six months by such Inspector General or by two of such visitors as aforesaid; and such Inspector General or visitors shall make a special report to the Local Government as to the state of mind of such person.

473 [S. 432; Act X, 1875, S. 126; Act IV, 1875, S. 201.] If such person is confined under the provisions of section 466, any such Inspector General or visitors shall certify that, in his or their opinion, such person is capable of making his defence, he shall be taken before the Magistrate or Court, as the case may be, at such time as the Magistrate or Court appoints, and the Magistrate or Court shall deal with such person under the provisions of section 468; and the certificate of such Inspector General or visitors as aforesaid shall be receivable as evidence.

474 [S. 433; Act X, 1875, S. 128; Act IV, 1877, S. 203.] If such person is confined under the provisions of section 466 or section 471, and such Inspector General or visitors shall certify that, in his or their judgment, he may be discharged without danger of his doing injury to himself or to any other person, the Local Government may thereupon order him to be discharged, or to be detained in custody, or to be transferred to a public lunatic asylum if he has not been already sent to such an asylum; and, in case it orders him to be transferred to an asylum, may appoint a commission, consisting of a judicial and two medical officers.

Such commission shall make formal inquiry into the state of mind of such person, taking such evidence as is necessary, and shall report to the Local Government, which may order his discharge or detention as it thinks fit.

The Government of Bombay has, under Act V, 1868, S. 2, delegated the powers of a Local Government under S. 474 of this Code to the Commissioner of Sindh.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 312.

Report under S. 474 should, in the PUNJAB, be made to Government through the Commissioner.—Smyth, p. 134.

475 [S. 434; Act X, 1875, S. 129; Act IV, 1877, S. 204.] Whenever any relative or friend of any person confined under the provisions of section 466 or section 471 desires that he shall be delivered over to his care and custody, the Local Government, upon the application of such relative or friend, and on his giving security to the satisfaction of such Government that the person delivered shall be properly taken care of, and shall be prevented from doing injury to himself or to any other person, may order such person to be delivered to such relative or friend.

Whenever such person is so delivered, it shall be upon condition that he shall be produced for the inspection of such officer and at such times as the Local Government directs.

The provisions of sections 472 and 474 shall, *mutatis mutandis*, apply to persons delivered under the provisions of this section; and the certificate of the inspecting officer appointed under this section shall be receivable as evidence.

The Government of BOMBAY has, under Act V, 1868, S. 2, delegated the powers of a Local Government under S. 475 of this Code to the Commissioner of Sindh.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 312.

CHAPTER XXXV.

PROCEEDINGS IN CASE OF CERTAIN OFFENCES AFFECTING THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

476 [Ss. 471, 477; Act X, 1875, S. 135; Act IV, 1877, S. 104.] When any Civil, Criminal or Revenue Court is of opinion that there is ground for inquiring into any offence referred to in section 195, and committed before it or brought under its notice in the course of a judicial proceeding, such Court, after making any preliminary inquiry that may be necessary, may send the case for inquiry or trial to the nearest Magistrate of the first class, and may send the accused in custody, or take sufficient security for his appearance, before such Magistrate; and may bind over any person to appear and give evidence on such inquiry or trial.

Such Magistrate shall thereupon proceed according to law, and may, if he is authorized under section 192 to transfer cases, transfer the inquiry or trial to some other competent Magistrate.

S. 195 declares that no Court shall take cognizance of certain offences in contempt of the lawful authority of a public servant, or against public justice, or relating to documents given in evidence without previous sanction or complaint of the public servant concerned, or of some superior officer, or of the Court concerned, or of some Court to which it is subordinate.

The sanction refers to a private prosecution, the complaint to action directly taken at the instance of the particular public servant or Court immediately concerned, or of some superior public servant or Court. Before such complaint can be made, the particular Civil, Criminal or Revenue Court must proceed as directed by S. 476.

It will be seen that, with reference to the terms of S. 476, "may send the case for inquiry or trial to the nearest Magistrate," and of S. 487, no Magistrate can try a case of intentionally giving false evidence when the act charged was committed before himself. See also *Safatoolah*, 22 W. R., 49.

The High Court has invariably insisted that there must be some preliminary inquiry before a prosecution is regularly commenced and has set aside proceedings taken without such inquiry. It is not sufficient that a Court should give a reasonable indication of the nature of the offence to be inquired into by the Magistrate, or that it should decide as to the necessity for a Magisterial inquiry. Something more is needed than a mere indication that a witness has spoken falsely, before a Civil Court is justified in initiating a prosecution for giving false evidence. There must be evidence of a direct and substantial nature before the Court, evidence going to show that the statement made by a witness is absolutely false. The law does not warrant a Judge in issuing a general commission to a Magistrate to inquire generally into the truth or falsehood of depositions or of averments in a plaint, and the Judge is bound to indicate the particular statement or averments in regard of which he considers that there is ground for a charge into which the Magistrate ought to inquire.—*Baijoo Lall*, 1. L. R., 1 Cal., 450.

A Judge cannot send a case to a Magistrate for inquiry in order that the Magistrate, instead of the Judge, may satisfy himself that any charge of any kind is made out against the accused. Proceedings taken on such an order are without jurisdiction.—*Kali Prosunno Bagchee*, 23 W. R., 39. In the case of *Mutty Lal Ghose*, 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 308 the Calcutta High Court (Garth, C. J., and Maclean, J.) stated that the ruling in the case of *Baijoo Lall*, 1. L. R., 1 Cal., 450, above quoted, had been somewhat misunderstood. "It seems from that ruling that a Court, Civil or Criminal, which has heard a case tried, has no right to institute proceedings against any of the parties concerned in that

to the Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate or other Magistrate authorized to commit for trial; and such Magistrate shall bring the case before the High Court or Court of Session, as the case may be, together with the witnesses for the prosecution and defence.

480 [S. 435; Act IV, 1877, S. 205.] When any such offence as is described in section 175, section 178, section 179, section 180, or section 228 of the Indian Penal Code is committed in the view or presence of any Civil, Criminal or Revenue Court, the Court may cause the offender, whether he is an European British subject or not, to be detained in custody; and at any time before the rising of the Court on the same day may, if it thinks fit, take cognizance of the offence and sentence the offender to fine not exceeding two hundred rupees, and, in default of payment, to simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month, unless such fine be sooner paid.

Nothing in section 443 or section 444 shall be deemed to apply to proceedings under this section.

This is an exception to the rule laid down by S. 443 which makes European British subjects amenable only to Criminal Courts presided over by special officers.

S. 175. Penal Code relates to the omission to produce a document before a public servant by a person legally bound to produce such document.

S. 178 relates to the refusal of a person to bind himself by an oath to state the truth, when duly required so to bind himself by a public servant.

S. 179 relates to the refusal of a person, legally bound to state the truth, to answer any question put to him by a public servant in the exercise of his legal powers.

S. 180 relates to the refusal of a person to sign a statement made by him on lawful demand of a public servant.

S. 228 relates to an intentional insult or interruption to a public servant sitting in any stage of a judicial proceeding.

An application for the transfer of a suit from a particular Court, on the ground of a probable miscarriage of justice, is not a contempt.—Kadar Buksh, 4 Panj. Rec., 64.

Provarication or refusal by a witness to return direct answers to questions will not render him liable to punishment under this section, or under S. 228. Penal Code.—Pandu bin Vithoji, 4 Bomb., 7, *Crown Cases*; Choto Hurree Paramanick Tantee, 15 W. R., 5.

An irrelevant question put to a witness cannot amount to a contempt under S. 228, Penal Code, though a persistence in vexatious or irrelevant questions after warning might amount to a contempt.—Azeemoola, 2 Panj. Rec., 80.

A Criminal Court acting under S. 480 should specifically record its reason for inflicting a fine, and the facts constituting the contempt, with any statement the offender may make, together with the finding and sentence.—Panchanada Tambiran, 4 Mad., 229.

A contempt is by law made promptly punishable by the Court before which it is committed; but where a Magistrate, to whom the case has been referred, refuses to act, and the Court referring the cases takes no further notice, another and a superior Court cannot revive the matter.—3 W. R., 11 C. L.

Similarly, if the particular Court does not itself proceed under S. 480 or S. 482, it cannot make the contempt the subject of complaint to a Magistrate.—Sardharee Lall, 22 W. R., 19; (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 40 App.

Proceedings under S. 480 constitute a trial, and therefore orders so passed are subject to appeal under the rules laid down for appeals.—K. Chapper Menon, 4 Mad., 126. An appeal is allowed against every conviction and sentence under this Chapter. Provision is also made by S. 486 for the Courts to which such appeals shall lie.

Sch. V, No. 38 contains a form of warrant of commitment in cases of contempt dealt with under S. 480 when a fine has been imposed.

Since, in cases of contempt, a sentence of imprisonment in default of payment of fine must be undergone in the Civil Jail, rations must be supplied to the convict as they are supplied to prisoners in the Criminal Jail, and application should be made to the Collector for payment of the same.—3 W. R., 21, C. L.

When an offender has been sentenced to fine only, and to imprisonment in default of payment of the fine, and the Court issues a warrant for the levy of the amount, it may suspend the execution

of the sentence of imprisonment and may release the offender on his executing a bond, with or without sureties, as the Court thinks fit, conditioned for his appearance before such Court on the day appointed for the return of the warrant, such day not being more than fifteen days from the time of executing the bond; and, in the event of the fine not having been realised, the Court may direct the sentence of imprisonment to be carried into execution at once.—S. 388.

481 [S. 434, paras. 2, 3.] In every such case, the Court shall record

Record in such cases.

the facts constituting the offence, with the statement (if any) made by the offender, as well as the

finding and sentence.

If the offence is under section 228 of the Indian Penal Code, the record must show the nature and stage of the judicial proceeding in which the Court interrupted or insulted was sitting, and the nature of the interruption or insult.

No person should be punished for contempt of Court unless the specific offence charged against him be distinctly stated, and an opportunity of answering given him. Where this had not been done, the order of fine was set aside.—*In re Pollard*, L. R., 2 P. C., 106.

482 [S. 436, paras. 1, 2; Act IV, 1877, S. 206.] If the Court in any

Procedure where Court considers that case should not be dealt with under section 480.

case considers that a person accused of any of the offences referred to in section 480, and committed in its view or presence, should be imprisoned otherwise

than in default of payment of fine, or that a fine exceeding two hundred rupees should be imposed upon him, or such Court is, for any other reason, of opinion that the case should not be disposed of under section 480, such Court, after recording the facts constituting the offence and the statement of the accused as hereinbefore provided, may forward the case to a Magistrate having jurisdiction to try the same, and may require security to be given for the appearance of such accused person before such Magistrate, or, if sufficient security is not given, shall forward such person under custody to such Magistrate.

The Magistrate to whom any case is forwarded under this section shall proceed to hear the complaint against the accused person in manner hereinbefore provided.

If a case be dealt with under S. 482 and the accused is an European British subject, only a Magistrate of the first class who is a Justice of the Peace and an European British subject will be competent to deal with it, as the exception contained in last para. of S. 480 has not been extended to proceedings under S. 482.

483 When the Local Government so directs, any Registrar or any

When Registrar or Sub-Registrar to be deemed a Civil Court within sections 480 and 482.

Sub-Registrar appointed under the Indian Registration Act, 1877, shall be deemed to be a Civil Court within the meaning of sections 480 and 482.

This section is new.

484 [S. 437; Act IV, 1877, S. 207.] When any Court has, under sec-

Discharge of offender on submission or apology.

tion 480, adjudged an offender to punishment for refusing or omitting to do anything which he was lawfully required to do, or for any intentional insult or interruption, the Court may, in its discretion, discharge the offender or remit the punishment on his submission to the order or requisition of such Court, or on apology being made to its satisfaction.

485 [Ss. 356, 364; Act X, 1875, S. 89; Act IV, 1877, S. 141.]

Imprisonment or commitment of person refusing to answer or produce document.

If any witness before a Criminal Court refuses to answer such questions as are put to him, or to produce any document in his possession or power which the Court requires him to produce, and does not offer any reasonable excuse for such refusal, such Court may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, sentence him to simple imprisonment, or by warrant under the hand of the presiding Magistrate or Judge commit him to the custody of an officer of the Court, for any term not exceeding seven days, unless in the meantime such person consents to be examined and to answer, or to produce the document. In the event of his persisting in his refusal, he may be dealt with according to the provisions of section 480 or section 482, and, in the case of a Court established by Royal Charter, shall be deemed guilty of a contempt.

Sch. V, No. 39 contains a form of warrant of commitment of a witness refusing to answer.

The offence committed by a witness in refusing to answer a question is punishable under S. 179 Penal Code, and the procedure to be followed is laid down in Ss. 480, 482 of this Code.

A witness shall not be excused from answering any question as to any matter relevant to the matter in civil or any criminal proceeding upon the ground that the answer to such question will criminate or may tend, directly or indirectly, to criminate such witness, or that it will expose, or tend, directly or indirectly, to expose such witness to any penalty or forfeiture of any kind. Provided that no such answer, which any witness shall be compelled to give, shall subject him to any arrest or prosecution, or be proved against him in any criminal proceeding, except a prosecution for giving false evidence by such answer.—Act I, 1872 (Evidence Act). S. 132.

When a witness is cross-examined, he may be asked any questions which tend (1) to test his veracity, (2) to discover who he is and what is his position in life, or (3) to shake his credit, by injuring his character, although the answer to such questions might tend directly or indirectly to expose him to a penalty or forfeiture.—S. 146.

If any such question relates to a matter not relevant to the proceeding, except in so far as it affects the credit of the witness by injuring his character, the Court shall decide whether or not the witness shall be compelled to answer it, and may, if he thinks fit, warn the witness that he is not obliged to answer it.—S. 148. Certain points for the consideration of the Court in exercising such discretion are laid down in this section, and Ss. 151, 152 give further power to a Court to forbid indecent or scandalous questions to be put except under certain circumstances—also any question intended to insult or annoy or needlessly offensive in form.

Ss. 149, 150 lay down the course to be taken when any such question as is specified in S. 148 is asked without reasonable grounds for thinking that the imputation which it conveys is well founded.

But there are certain matters which certain witnesses are declared by law to be entitled to withhold.—See Act I, 1872, Ss. 121, *et seq.*

486 [S. 268.] Any person sentenced by any Court under section

Appeals from convictions in contempt-cases.

480 or section 485 may, notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, appeal to the Court to which decrees or orders made in such Court are ordinarily appealable.

The provisions of Chapter XXXI shall, so far as they are applicable, apply to appeals under this section, and the Appellate Court may alter or reverse the finding or reduce or reverse the sentence appealed against.

An appeal from such conviction by a Court of Small Causes in a Presidency-town shall lie to the High Court, and

an appeal from such conviction by any other Court of Small Causes shall lie to the Court of Session for the Sessions Division within which such Court is situate.

An appeal from such conviction by any officer as Registrar or Sub-Registrar appointed as aforesaid may, when such officer is also Judge of a Civil Court, be made to the Court to which it would, under the preceding portion

of this section, be made if such conviction were a decree by such officer in his capacity as such Judge, and in other cases may be made to the District Judge, or, in the Presidency-towns, to the High Court.

If the accused person is an European British subject, and he has been convicted by a Magistrate or Court of Session, he has the right of appeal to the High Court.—S. 408.

487 [S. 473.] Except as provided in sections 477, 480 and 485, no

Certain Judges and Magistrates not to try offences referred to in section 195 when committed before themselves.

Judge of a Criminal Court or Magistrate, other than a Judge of a High Court, the Recorder of Rangoon, and the Presidency Magistrates, shall try any person for any offence referred to in section 195, when such offence is committed before himself or in contempt of his authority, or is brought under his notice as such Judge or Magistrate in the course of a judicial proceeding.

Nothing in section 476 or section 482 shall prevent a Magistrate empowered to commit to the Court of Session or High Court from himself committing any case to such Court, or shall prevent a Presidency Magistrate from himself disposing of any case instead of sending it for inquiry to another Magistrate.

The terms of S. 487 clear away many of the difficulties experienced in interpreting and acting under S. 473, the corresponding section, of the Code of 1872. The offences now excepted are those specified in S. 195 of this Code instead of the indefinite expression, offences committed in contempt of the authority of the particular Court. Unless he is a Presidency Magistrate, S. 473 prevents a Magistrate from trying, himself, any of the cases specified in S. 195 when the offence has been committed in contempt of his authority, or in, or in relation to, any proceeding before him, or by a party to any such proceeding, the only exception being in matters dealt with summarily under S. 480 or S. 485. It would also seem doubtful whether he is competent to commit any such case to the High Court or Court of Session, as S. 476 merely empowers him to "make any preliminary inquiry that may be necessary" and then "to send the case for inquiry or trial to the nearest Magistrate of the first class."

The disqualification is only personal. The successor in office of the particular officer can hold such a trial.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.* Oct. 2, 1877, *I. L. R.*, 1 *Mad.*, 305: (*S. C.*) *Weir*, 405.

A Revenue officer cannot in his capacity as Magistrate try a person for having given false evidence before him as Collector. He cannot try the case on a complaint made by himself.—*Sobha*, *I Leg. Rem.*, 103.

It is not illegal for a Sessions Judge to hear an appeal against an order of a Magistrate convicting a person for whose prosecution the Sessions Judge had given sanction under S. 195—*Kesavaia* and others, *Per Innes and Forbes, JJ.*, *Kernan, J. dis*; *Weir*, 404; nor is a Sessions Court precluded from trying an offence committed in contempt of the authority of the District Court presided over by the same officer. It is, no doubt, desirable, as a rule, that a trial should not be held before a Judge who has already prejudged the question of the guilt of the accused, and on this ground the High Court has always been ready to entertain applications for the transfer of trials in such cases. But it would be highly inconvenient to hold that a trial for an offence committed in a civil appeal, and exclusively triable by a Court of Session, can under no circumstances be regarded as within the jurisdiction of the Judge who heard the civil appeal, even though, as in the present case, the accused person may be perfectly willing to be tried by him.—*Gasper D'Silva*, *I. L. R.*, 6 *Bomb.*, 479. See also *Subal Chunder Gangooly*, 22 *W. R.*, 16.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

OF THE MAINTENANCE OF WIVES AND CHILDREN.

488 [S. 536; Act IV, 1877, S. 234.] If any person, having suffi-

Order for maintenance of wives and children. cient means, neglects or refuses to maintain his wife or his legitimate or illegitimate child unable to maintain itself, the District Magistrate, a Presidency Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate, or a Magistrate of the first class may, upon proof

of such neglect or refusal, order such person to make a monthly allowance for the maintenance of his wife or such child, at such monthly rate, not exceeding fifty rupees in the whole, as such Magistrate thinks fit, and to pay the same to such person as the Magistrate from time to time directs.

Such allowance shall be payable from the date of the order.

If any person so ordered wilfully neglects to comply with the order, any such Magistrate may, for every breach of the order, issue a warrant for levying the amount due in manner hereinbefore provided for levying fines, and may sentence such person, for the whole or any part of each month's allowance remaining unpaid after the execution of the warrant, to imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month:

Enforcement of order. Provided that, if such person offers to maintain his wife on condition of her living with him, and she refuses to live with him, such Magistrate may consider any grounds of refusal stated by her; and may make an order under this section notwithstanding such offer, if he is satisfied that such person is living in adultery, or that he has habitually treated his wife with cruelty.

Proviso. No wife shall be entitled to receive an allowance from her husband under this section if she is living in adultery, or if, without any sufficient reason, she refuses to live with her husband, or if they are living separately by mutual consent.

On proof that any wife in whose favour an order has been made under this section is living in adultery, or that without sufficient reason she refuses to live with her husband, or that they are living separately by mutual consent, the Magistrate shall cancel the order.

All evidence under this chapter shall be taken in the presence of the husband or father, as the case may be, or, when his personal attendance is dispensed with, in the presence of his pleader, and shall be recorded in the manner prescribed in the case of summons-cases.

If any Magistrate, not being empowered by law in that behalf, makes an order for maintenance, his proceedings shall be void.—S. 530 (u).

A Magistrate must proceed upon proof, that is, legal proof, of neglect or refusal of a man to maintain his wife or child before he can pass a maintenance-order under S. 488.—Gonda, 13 W. R., 19.

An order under S. 488 must be founded on legal proof in the same proceedings, and not upon knowledge acquired by the Magistrate in another case.—Lopotee Domnee, 8 W. R., 67.

Although, under Mahomedan law amongst Sheeahs, a *moota* wife is not entitled to maintenance, the Statutory law under S. 488 of the Code remains unaltered and the right may be maintained under it.—Luddun Sahiba, 11 Cal. L. R., 237; (S. C.) I. L. R., 8 Cal., 736.

Acts of familiarity before the time that the bastard child could have been begotten are receivable as corroborative evidence, in confirmation of the statement of the mother "in some material particular" such as is required by the law of England.—Cole v. Manning, 2, Q. B. D., 611.

If a Magistrate is otherwise competent to decide a case of maintenance, he is not without jurisdiction, because he may not have been empowered to take cognizance of offences without complaint, the matter of such case not being an offence.—*In re Todd*, 5 All., 257.

The fact that the man against whom the order for maintenance was passed was an European British subject and resident in another District, and that a similar application had been rightly or wrongly dismissed in that District for want of jurisdiction was held to be no bar to jurisdiction.—*Ibid.*

When the child is deaf or dumb, and shown to be unable to maintain itself, though a *major*, the father may be ordered to maintain it.—*Ibid.*

There is no appeal against an order passed under this section; but it is subject to revision by the High Court under S. 439.—Thaku bin Ira, 5 Bomb., 81, *Crown Cases*.

S. 488 does not deprive a wife of any remedy in the Civil Courts, which she would otherwise have had—Lalla Gopeenath v. Museamat Jeetun Koer, 6 W. R., 57 (*Civil Cases*); and a decision of

a Civil Court that a claim for maintenance is barred by the law of limitation would not preclude the woman from applying under S. 488 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the maintenance of the illegitimate daughter—*Meiselback*, 18 W. R., 49; but a Civil Court is not competent to set aside an order for maintenance passed by a Magistrate.—*Mad. H. Ct., Pro. Sept. 11, Weir*, 448. An order from a Civil Court for restitution of conjugal rights and the guardianship of children will however supersede any previous order of a Magistrate for maintenance, if the wife should persist in refusing to live with her husband.—*Lopotee Domnee*, 13 W. R., 52.

It has been held by the Calcutta High Court, in the case of *Jaddo Mussulmani* and another (6 W. R., 60), that a *nikah* marriage between Mahomedans is a marriage within the purview of Ss. 494 (adultery) and 495 (bigamy) of the Penal Code.—See also *Sheikh Moneeroodeen*, 18 W. R., 28. A *nikah* wife would therefore be entitled to ask for maintenance.

The order of a Magistrate directing a Mahomedan husband to support his wife does not deprive him of the right of divorce, and after such divorce the Magistrate's order for her maintenance cannot be enforced.—*Kassam Pirbhai*, 8 Bom., 95, *Crown Cases*.

The effect of a divorce by a Mahomedan husband after he had been required by a Magistrate to make an allowance to his wife was referred to the High Court by the Presidency Magistrate in the case of *Abdoor Ruhman v. Sukhina* (5 Cal. L. R., 21; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 558). It was held that a Magistrate was competent to try all questions which affect the right of a woman to receive maintenance, and though he cannot cancel an order for maintenance which was a proper order when passed, he can refuse to issue a warrant to enforce it. See also *In re Abdul Ali Ishmaolji*, 1 L. R., 7 Bomb., 180. A Mahomedan wife subsequently divorced is entitled to maintenance during her *Idut*, but an order for maintenance for a time subsequent to the expiry of the *Idut* cannot be enforced.—*Gholam Mohaddeen*, Pet. Weir, 450. See also *Nepoor Aurut*, 19 W. R., 73; (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 33, *App.*

Among Jats, a "Karoo" marriage is valid, and children the offspring of such minors are entitled to inherit. Therefore a woman so married is entitled to claim maintenance from her husband.—*In re Bahadur Singh*, 4 All., 128.

The inability of a wife to live with her husband without proof of cruelty is no ground for decreeing her a separate maintenance—*Mussamut Jesmut*, 6 W. R., 49; nor is a more disagreement with the husband's family—*Mulka*, Panj. Rec., 1870, p. 36; nor an incompatibility of temper and the presence of a second wife.—*Dhera Sing*, Panj. Rec., 1878, p. 2. Although amongst Mahomedans, non-payment of prompt dower may be a sufficient reason for the wife to withhold her person from her husband, it is not sufficient ground for a Magistrate to order the payment of maintenance to her.—*Mahtab Bibi*, Panj. Rec. 1880, p. 27.

Where the wife refused to live with her husband because of his cruelty, and the Magistrate, being satisfied as to the grounds of her complaint, directed the husband to make her an allowance, the High Court set aside the order as illegal, inasmuch as there had been no neglect or refusal on the part of the husband, such as the law requires, to maintain his wife. The Court remarked that S. 488 does not authorize a Magistrate to entertain applications for separate maintenance, on the ground of ill-treatment from wives whose husbands have not neglected or refused to maintain them, but who have of their own accord left their husbands' houses and protection, and to order allowance to be paid to such wives on evidence of ill-treatment.—*In re Thomson*, 6 All., 205.

Where the wife, a Hindoo girl, had not left her father's house, it was held to be requisite before an order on the husband to maintain her could be passed, that there should be evidence that the husband had been called upon to remove her to his own house, and, if he did not, to make payment for her maintenance.—*Mussamut Somree*, 22 W. R., 30.

A Magistrate can order only the payment of a money allowance. He cannot order the husband to provide a residence for his wife.—*Bali*, Panj. Rec., 1876, p. 19.

A Magistrate cannot, on the complaint of the father of a child-wife, order the husband to pay maintenance for her support in her father's house unless the husband has neglected or refused to maintain her. It is doubtful whether, amongst Mahomedans, there is any such liability when she has not attained puberty or whether it is material whether the husband is or is not desirous that she should live in his house.—*Kolashun Beehee*, 24 W. R., 44.

The Calcutta High Court [1089, 1863] quashed the order of a Magistrate requiring a man to pay a monthly allowance to a woman until the birth of his illegitimate child, and after its birth to contribute monthly towards its support. The Court remarked that the law empowers a Magistrate to order maintenance to children, legitimate and illegitimate, against the father, and to a wife against the husband; but not to an unmarried woman in a state of pregnancy.—See also *Musst. Larlee*, 3 All., 70.

An order for the maintenance of a child by monthly payments of a certain sum with a proviso that on the child attaining a certain age, the allowance shall be increased, was held to be illegal.—*Musst. Munglo*, 2 All., 454.

When a Magistrate, who is competent to deal with the matter, dismisses a complaint under S. 488, the District Magistrate cannot try it *de novo*. The complainant's remedy is in a superior Court.—*In re Musst. Jamotee*, 1 Cal. L. R., 89.

"Such allowance shall be payable from the date of the order."

The levy by one warrant of the arrears of maintenance for fifteen months is not illegal, but only one month's imprisonment can be awarded on default.—6 Mad., xxiii, *App.*, Pro., April 19, 1871; (S. C.) Weir, 446; also 7 Mad. xxxvii, *App.* Pro., Nov 11, 1874; (S. C.) Weir, 446. But if an order for a longer period is passed with the consent of the parties, the High Court will not interfere as a Court of Revision.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Dec. 14, 1880; Weir, 448.

Where arrears of maintenance had been included in a Schedule filed under the Insolvent Act, it was held that the insolvent was thereby protected from arrest or imprisonment in respect of it.—*Totee Beebeé v. Abdool Khan*, 5 Cal. L. R., 458; (S. C.) I. L. R., 5 Cal., 536.

An order of imprisonment in anticipation of a default of payment of the maintenance ordered is illegal.—5 Mad. xxxiv, *App.*, Pro., July 28, 1870. (S. C.) Weir, 445; nor can a Magistrate require security for the possible default to pay the maintenance ordered.—*Kanoo Sondagur*, 24 W. R., 72.

Sch. V, No. 40 prescribes a form of warrant of imprisonment on failure to pay maintenance and No. 41 of warrant to enforce the payment of maintenance by distress and sale.

It is open to the husband at any time to prove that his wife is living in adultery, and on proof thereof an order for maintenance should be cancelled.—*Chaku*, 8 Bomb., 124, *Crown Cases*. A Civil Court cannot make a declaratory order as to the paternity of the child, nor pass an order affecting an order of a Magistrate under this Chapter. The High Court can alone interfere as a Court of Revision.—*Subad Dhennec*, 20 W. R., 58.

A Magistrate cannot enter into any question regarding the lawful guardianship of a child, when dealing with an application for maintenance.—*Siddass v. Neelkanto Bhaishianee*, I. L. R., 4 Cal., 374; *Aziman*, Punj. Rec. 1876, p. 1.

489 [S. 537; Act IV, 1877, S. 235.] On proof of a change in the

Alteration in allowance.

488 a monthly allowance, or ordered under the same section to pay a monthly allowance to his wife or child, the Magistrate may make such alteration in the allowance as he thinks fit, provided the monthly rate of fifty rupees be not exceeded.

490 [S. 538; Act IV, 1877, S. 36.] A copy of the order of main-

Enforcement of order of maintenance. an allowance shall be given without payment to the person in

whose favour it is made, or to his guardian, if any, or to the person to whom the allowance is to be paid; and such order shall be enforceable by any Magistrate in any place where the person against whom it is made may be, on such Magistrate being satisfied as to the identity of the parties and the non-payment of the allowance due.

Copies of orders of maintenance given under S. 490 have been exempted from payment of fees under the Court Fees' Act.—Govt. of India, Not. 996, June 6, 1873, Wilkins, 107.

Under rules passed by the Calcutta High Court under the Court Fees' Act, S. 20, cl. 2, a fee of one rupee has been fixed for serving and executing a warrant for the levy of maintenance of a wife or children, and also a percentage on the amount of maintenance levied, viz., two per cent. on sums not exceeding Rs. 100; and when the sum exceeds Rs. 100, then two per cent. on Rs. 100, and one per cent. on the amount of excess. Such percentage is to be deducted from the proceeds of any property sold, or to be paid with the amount levied and with the other costs of process as stated in the warrant.—*Cal. Gaz.*, 1874, 478; 21 W. R., 12, Rules, &c. The legality of these rules seems to be open to some doubt, since by the Court Fees' Act, S. 20, cl. 2, such rules are restricted to fees chargeable on processes in the case of offences under, than offences for which Police officers may arrest without warrant.

S. 490 does not deprive the Magistrate who has made an order under S. 488 of his jurisdiction to enforce it. When the defendant is beyond his jurisdiction, the Magistrate may, in his discretion, exercise this jurisdiction or refer the application to the Magistrate having jurisdiction at the place in which the defendant is to be found.—*Karri Papayamma*, I. L. R., 4 Mad., 230; (S. C.) Weir, 452.

CHAPTER XXXVII. •

DIRECTIONS OF THE NATURE OF A HABEAS CORPUS.

491 [S. 82; Act X, 1875, S. 148.] Any of the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras and Bombay, whenever it thinks fit, may direct—

Power to issue directions of the nature of a habeas corpus.

- (a) that a person within the limits of its ordinary original civil jurisdiction be brought up before the Court to be dealt with according to law;
- (b) that a person illegally or improperly detained in public or private custody within such limits be set at liberty;
- (c) that a prisoner detained in any jail situate within such limits be brought before the Court to be there examined as a witness in any matter pending or to be inquired into in such Court;
- (d) that a prisoner detained as aforesaid be brought before a Court-martial or any Commissioners acting under the authority of any Commission from the Governor General in Council for trial or to be examined touching any matter pending before such Court-martial or Commissioners respectively;
- (e) that a prisoner within such limits be removed from one custody to another for the purpose of trial; and
- (f) that the body of a defendant within such limits be brought in on the Sheriff's return of *cepi corpus* to a writ of attachment.

Each of the said High Courts may, from time to time, frame rules to regulate the procedure in cases under this section.

Nothing in this section applies to persons detained under Bengal Regulation III of 1818, Madras Regulation II of 1819 or Bombay Regulation XXV of 1827, or the Acts of the Governor General in Council No. XXXIV of 1850 or No. III of 1858.

This section, it will be observed, relates only to persons within the limits of the ordinary original Civil jurisdiction of the High Courts of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, that is, within a Presidency-town as defined by this Code. S. 456 enables an European British subject unlawfully detained in custody to apply for an order to be brought before the High Court to abide such further order as it may pass.

See In the matter of Ganish Sundari Debi, 5 B. L. R., 418, and In the matter of Khatija Bibi, *Ibid*, 557.

PART IX. SUPPLEMENTARY PROVISIONS.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

492 [Ss. 57, 58, 202, para. 2.] The Governor General in Council or the Local Government may appoint, generally, or in any case, or for any specified class of cases, in any local area, one or more officers to be called Public Prosecutors.

Power to appoint Public Prosecutors.

The following rules have been issued for the guidance of Police officers in conducting prosecutions in Criminal Courts in BENGAL :

I.—A POLICE OFFICER TO ATTEND ALL CRIMINAL COURTS.—It is desirable that at the hearing of every criminal case sent up by the Police, a responsible Police officer be in attendance to conduct the prosecution if the Magistrate wishes him to do so, or otherwise to assist as he may be desired.

II.—WHAT OFFICERS TO PROSECUTE.—In cases of peculiar difficulty or great public importance, the District Superintendent or his Assistant should, unless there be good reason to the contrary, attend in person.

In ordinary cases this duty will devolve on the Court inspector.

In petty and simple cases, or when two or more Criminal Courts are sitting at one time, head constables attached to the Court may be deputed.

At subdivisions the duty will be performed by the head Police officer employed in the Court, except in such cases as it is expedient that the District Superintendent or his Assistant attend in person.

III.—PROSECUTION IN LOWER COURTS.—The first duty of the Police officer will be to make himself thoroughly acquainted beforehand with the facts of the case, and the evidence adducible in support of such facts. Ordinarily, ample time will elapse between the completion of the inquiry and the hearing of the case to enable the Court officer to make himself complete master of the contents of the special diaries. No pains should be spared for this purpose, as it is obvious that an officer who attends the Court with an imperfect knowledge of the facts that each witness is able to prove may do the case material harm. The special diaries, if carefully prepared, will generally be found to contain all the information essential for the proper conduct of the prosecution. In intricate and heinous cases the officer who made the local investigation will, as a rule, himself appear as a witness; and in such cases he should arrange to see the District Superintendent and Court inspector before the Court opens, and ascertain that the strong points of the case are thoroughly understood.

IV.—SESSIONS CASES.—In Sessions cases either the Magistrate, or, should the Magistrate desire it, the District Superintendent, should draw up, for the guidance of the Government Pleader or other officer appointed to conduct the prosecution, a memorandum containing a concise history of the case, and of the specific facts to which each witness is able to speak. This memorandum, together with the special report or special diaries, and copies of necessary evidence, should be made over to the Government Vakeel at least three days before the day appointed for the trial, and should be returned at the close of the trial with such remarks as the prosecuting officer may wish to offer. The memorandum will be treated by the pleader or other officer as a confidential communication. The Court inspector or Police officer acquainted with the case should be present to assist the Government Vakeel throughout the case if the Magistrate so desire. In appeals of importance the vakeel should be duly instructed, and should make himself acquainted with the contents of the file.

V.—COMMUNICATION OF FINAL ORDERS TO LOCAL POLICE.—All final orders in cases sent up for trial, whether at the Sudder Station or at Subdivisions, should be communicated to the officer who held the inquiry. Nothing can be more discouraging to a Police officer who believes he has sent up a true case on good and sufficient evidence than to receive from the Court inspector only a brief notice that the case has been dismissed. Where errors on the part of the local police arise from want of experience or insufficient knowledge of the laws of evidence, much good would result from a careful explanation of their error by the District Superintendent. In cases when the acquittal is due to less satisfactory causes, it is the more incumbent on the District Superintendent promptly to mark his disapproval of the result by a timely warning addressed to the officer by name.

VI.—OBJECT OF RULES.—Every District and Assistant Superintendent is enjoined to assist the Magistrate to the utmost of his power to give effect to the above rules. The procedure now ordered should tend at once to the more careful conduct of inquiries, the more complete preparation of special diaries, and the better exposition and understanding of the evidence at the hearing of cases : and no duties are more peculiarly the duties of a Police officer than these.

District Superintendents should, by constant supervision, see that Court inspectors do not neglect this, the most important part of their duties for the preparation of statements and registers, which can be written up equally well out of office hours, and at times when no Criminal Court is sitting.

Serious notice should be taken of any remarks made by a Magistrate to the effect that the Police officer came into a Court with an imperfect knowledge of the case, or was otherwise remiss in his duty.

CHAPTER XXXIX.

OF BAIL.

496 [S. 128, para. 2; S. 194, para. 2; S. 204, para. 1; Ss. 388, 393; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 70, 74.] When any person, other than a person accused of a non-bailable offence, is arrested or detained without warrant by an officer in charge of a Police-

Ball to be taken in case of bailable offence.

station, or appears or is brought before a Court, and is prepared at any time while in the custody of such officer or at any stage of the proceedings before such Court to give bail, such person shall be released on bail: Provided that such officer or Court, if he or it thinks fit, may, instead of taking bail from such person, discharge him on his executing a bond without sureties for his appearance as hereinafter provided.

Sch. V, No. 42 gives forms of a bond and bail-bond on a preliminary inquiry before a Magistrate.

Bonds and bail-bonds for personal appearance in criminal cases are exempt from Stamp duty. —Court Fees' Act (VII of 1870), S. 19, cl. xv.

When a person is required by any Court or officer to execute a bond, with or without sureties, such Court or officer may, except in the case of a bond for good behaviour, permit him to deposit a sum of money or Government promissory notes to such amount as the Court or officer may fix in lieu of executing such bond.—S. 518.

497 [S. 128, para. 1 ; S. 194, para. 2 ; S. 389 ; Act IV, 1877, S. 71.]

When bail may be taken in case of non-bailable offence.

When any person accused of any non-bailable offence is arrested or detained without warrant by an officer in charge of a Police-station, or appears or is brought before a Court, he may be released on bail, but he shall not be so released if there appear reasonable grounds for believing that he has been guilty of the offence of which he is accused.

If it appears to such officer or Court, at any stage of the investigation, inquiry or trial, as the case may be, that there are not reasonable grounds for believing that the accused has committed such offence, but that there are sufficient grounds for further inquiry into his guilt, the accused shall, pending such inquiry, be released on bail, or, at the discretion of such officer or Court, on the execution by him of a bond without sureties for his appearance as hereinafter provided.

Any Court may, at any subsequent stage of any proceeding under this Code, cause any person who has been released under this section, to be arrested, and may commit him to custody.

Every arrest without warrant must be reported by the officer in charge of a Police station to the District Magistrate, or if he so directs to the Sub-divisional Magistrate whether such person has been admitted to bail or not.—S. 62. No person who has been convicted by a Police officer shall be discharged except on his own bond, or on bail, or under the special order of the Magistrate.—S. 68.

If upon an investigation of a cognizable offence it appears to the officer in charge of a Police station that there is not sufficient evidence or reasonable ground of suspicion to justify his forwarding the accused to a Magistrate, such officer may release him on his executing a bond with or without sureties.—S. 171.

Although no sworn testimony has been recorded against the prisoners in custody, an order of commitment to Jail or remand may be passed, if evidence is available but not recorded, until further evidence which is forthcoming is similarly available. It is often very desirable to postpone the commencement of an inquiry for a short period in order that, when commenced, it may be continuous and conducted in such order in regard to the examination of witnesses as may best set out the facts to be given in evidence. The accused have a right to have the evidence recorded at as early a period as possible and the fact that there is or may be a great body of evidence forthcoming against them is not a good ground for detention for an inordinate period, but they are not entitled to be admitted to bail merely because for this reason the commencement of the trial has been deferred.—*Manikram Mudali, I. L. R., 6 Mad., 63.*

On the first occasion that accused persons are produced, it is not necessary to go fully into the charge ; it is ordinarily sufficient to show, by the evidence of an officer of the Police, that the Police are in possession of information they believe to be reliable, that an offence has been committed, and that the accused persons were concerned in its commission. But when the accused persons are brought up after a remand, some direct evidence of the connection of the accused with the crime should be required to justify the Magistrate in refusing bail, and with each remand the necessity for the production of implicating proof becomes more strong.—*Ponnu Sami Chetti and others, I. L. R., 6 Mad., 69.*

An order for remand should be passed in the presence of the accused person. To remand is to recommit to custody and therefore as a Magisterial commitment requires the presence of the prisoner, his recommitment also requires that presence so as to give him an opportunity of applying to be admitted to bail.—*Mad. H. Ct. Pro.*, June 10, 1867; *Weir*, 277. See also *Moheesh Chunder Banerjee*, 4 B. L. R., App.

A Magistrate cannot require bail from an accused person against whom he finds that the evidence is insufficient to prove an offence, merely because more evidence might turn up.—*Ram Lall Tewaree v. Sopha Ram*, 10 W. R., 34; (S. C.) 1 B. L. R., 26, *Short Notes*.

498 [Ss. 390, 508; Act X, 1875, S. 136.] The amount of every bond executed under this chapter shall be fixed with due regard to the circumstances of the case, and shall not be excessive; and the High Court or Court of Session may, in any case, whether there be an appeal on conviction or not, direct that any person be admitted to bail, or that the bail required by a Police-officer or Magistrate be reduced.

The terms of S. 498 enable a Court of Session in referring a case under S. 438 to the High Court as a Court of Revision to direct that the person under sentence may be admitted to bail.

499 [S. 391; Act IV, 1877, S. 72.] Before any person is released on bond of accused and bail or released on his own bond, a bond for such sum sureties. of money as the Police-officer or Court, as the case may be, thinks sufficient, shall be executed by such person, and, when he is released on bail, by one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned that such person shall attend at the time and place mentioned in the bond, and shall continue so to attend until otherwise directed by the Police-officer or Court, as the case may be.

If the case so require, the bond shall also bind the person released on bail to appear when called upon at the High Court, Court of Session or other Court to answer the charge.

Sch. V. No. 42 gives the forms of a bond and bail-bond on a preliminary inquiry before a Magistrate. Bond and bail-bonds for personal appearance in criminal cases are exempt from Stamp duty.—*Court Fees' Act* (VII of 1870), S. 19, cl. xv.

500 [S. 394; Act IV, 1877, S. 73.] As soon as the bond has been executed, the person for whose appearance it has been executed shall be released; and when he is in jail the Court admitting him to bail shall issue an order of release to the officer in charge of the jail, and such officer on receipt of the order shall release him. Nothing in this section, section 496, or section 497 shall be deemed to require the release of any person liable to be detained for some matter other than that in respect of which the bond was executed.

Sch. V, No. 43 gives the form of warrant to discharge a person imprisoned on failure to give security.

501 [S. 392; Act IV, 1877, S. 75.] If, through mistake, fraud or otherwise, insufficient sureties have been accepted, or if they afterwards become insufficient, the Court may issue a warrant of arrest directing that the person released on bail be brought before it, and may order him to find sufficient sureties, and, on his failing so to do, may commit him to jail.

502 [S. 395; Act IV, 1877, S. 76.] All or any sureties for the attendance and appearance of a person released on bail may at any time apply to a Magistrate to discharge the bond either wholly or so far as relates to the applicants.

On such application being made, the Magistrate shall issue his warrant of arrest, directing that the person so released be brought before him.

On the appearance of such person pursuant to the warrant, or on his voluntary surrender, the Magistrate shall direct the bond to be discharged either wholly or so far as relates to the applicants, and shall call upon such person to find other sufficient sureties, and, if he fails to do so, may commit him to custody.

CHAPTER XL.

OF COMMISSIONS FOR THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

503 [S. 330, paras. 1, 2; Act X, 1875, S. 76; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 157, 158.] Whenever, in the course of an inquiry, a

When attendance of witness may be dispensed with.

trial or any other proceeding under this Code, it appears to a Presidency Magistrate, a District Magistrate, a Court of Session, or the High Court, that the

examination of a witness is necessary for the ends of justice, and that the attendance of such witness cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would

be unreasonable, such Magistrate or Court may dispense with such attendance and may issue a commission to any District Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, within the local limits of whose jurisdiction such witness resides, to take the evidence of such witness.

When the witness resides in the dominions of any Prince or State in alliance with Her Majesty in which there is an officer representing the British Indian Government, the commission may be issued to such officer.

The Magistrate or officer to whom the commission is issued, or, if he is the District Magistrate, he or such Magistrate of the first class as he appoints in this behalf, shall proceed to the place where the witness is, or shall summon the witness before him, and shall take down his evidence in the same manner, and may for this purpose exercise the same powers, as in trials of warrant-cases under this Code.

The power to issue a commission is now conferred on a District Magistrate. If any other Magistrate requires the issue of a commission he should proceed as directed by S. 506.

Para. 2 is new having been enacted in consequence of the judgment in the case of *Empress v. Dhan Kishen Dass*, 2 Leg. Rem., 93.

Ss. 188, 189 are important in connection with this section as enabling the Local Government to direct that copies of depositions made or exhibits produced before a Political officer or a judicial officer in or for a Prince or State in alliance with Her Majesty shall be used as evidence in the inquiry or trial held in the case of an offence committed by an European British subject in a foreign State in India, or a native Indian subject anywhere beyond British India in which a commission might issue for taking such evidence or to the matters to which such depositions or exhibits relate.

The issue of a commission is entirely within the discretion of the Court. In *Empress v. Counsell*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal., 896, *Wilson, J.* refused to issue a commission for the examination of a witness although the application was supported by the affidavit of the Civil Surgeon that, considering her age and present state of health, it would be inadvisable, if not dangerous, for the witness to go to Calcutta at that time of the year for her examination as a witness. The Court remarked that, in a criminal case, the issue for a commission would be a most unsatisfactory course of proceeding, and one dangerous to the interests of the prisoner.

But the High Court of Bombay issued a commission to examine in Bombay a Medical officer who had been bound over to appear at the Sessions, and had since received orders from Government to proceed to a very great distance. The Court, however, took into consideration that there was nothing special in the case which rendered it necessary, in the interests of justice, that he should

personally attend at the Sessions, and that the evidence, both in examination and cross-examination, would be just as effective if taken by commission, as if he were to appear in Court.—*Empress v. Bal Gangadhar Tilak*, 1 L. R., 6 Bomb., 285.

In *Harro Sundaree Chowdhra*, 1 L. R., 4 Cal., 20; (S. C.) 3 Cal. L. R., 93—the Calcutta High Court directed the Magistrate to issue a commission for examination of a witness which that officer had refused on the ground that she was a *purdah-nisheen* and knew nothing of the case. But in *Farid-unness*, 1 L. R., 5 All., 92 STRAIGHT, J. doubted whether this would be an inconvenience within the terms of S. 503 of the Code, and held that *purdah-nisheen* women were not of right exempted from personal attendance at Court. In dealing with this matter the learned Judge took into consideration the nature of the charge (defamation) and the fact that she was the complainant and had set the criminal law in motion thus materially altering her position, as she had the alternative of protecting herself by a civil suit in which case her attendance in Court would have been excused. "But she thought proper to cite her alleged defamer in a Criminal Court, and it is his right and privilege to have her evidence taken in his presence in such Court. Were it otherwise, it is impossible to conceive the dangers and mischiefs that would arise, the false charges that would be preferred, the malicious prosecutions to which persons would be subjected. The petitioner invokes the criminal law to punish, and she should be required to guarantee the *bona fides* of her prosecution, and that it has been really instituted by her of her own free will and not at the instigation of some other person, by attending the Magistrate's Court. The taking of evidence by commission should be most sparingly resorted to and ought not to be adopted save in extreme cases of delay, expense or inconvenience." The Court, however, directed "the Magistrate, if the complainant is found to be a *purdah-nisheen* lady, and if she elects to attend and support her charge, to allow her to be brought into his room at the Court-house in her *palki*, or, if this is not feasible, to make such other arrangements as may enable her to remain in it, and subject her to the least inconvenience or annoyance, for the purpose of recording her evidence according to law, in the presence of the accused, after identification by some approved female witnesses."

In connection with section 503 the terms of S. 33 of the Evidence Act (1, 1872) should be borne in mind:—

Evidence given by a witness in a judicial proceeding, or before any person authorized by law to take it, is relevant for the purpose of proving, in a subsequent judicial proceeding, or in a later stage of the same judicial proceeding, the truth of the facts which it states, when the witness is dead or cannot be found, or is incapable of giving evidence, or is kept out of the way by the adverse party, or if his presence cannot be obtained without an amount of delay or expense which under the circumstances of the case, the Court considers unreasonable.

Provided—

that the proceeding was between the same parties or their representatives in interest;

that the adverse party in the first proceeding had the right and opportunity to cross-examine;

that the questions in issue were substantially the same in the first as in the second proceeding.

Explanation.—A criminal trial or inquiry shall be deemed to be a proceeding between the prosecutor and the accused within the meaning of this section.

So in *Empress v. Debee Pershad*, 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 532 it was held that evidence taken by commission while the case was before the Magistrate would not be admissible on the trial before the High Court except under some of the circumstances specified in S. 33 of the Evidence Act. The same rule has been applied to a trial before the Court of Session, and where it did not appear upon the record that the deposition so taken upon commission had been properly admitted under S. 33 after the Judge was satisfied that the same circumstances which induced the Magistrate to issue the commission existed, it was held to be inadmissible as evidence at the Sessions trial.—*Cal. H. Ct. Motee Lal Ghose*, May 22, 1883.

504 [S. 35, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 76, para. 4.] If the witness is

Commission in case of witness being within Presidency town.

within the local limits of the jurisdiction of any Presidency Magistrate, the Magistrate or Court issuing the commission may direct the same to the said Presidency Magistrate, who thereupon may compel the attendance of, and examine, such witness as if he were a witness in a case pending before himself.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect the power of the High Court to issue commissions under the thirty-ninth and fortieth of Victoria, chapter 46, section 4.

The Statute referred to in the last para. is for the punishment of offences against laws relating to the Slave trade by British subjects or other persons protected by the British Government; S. 3 enables the High Courts to obtain evidence by commission in such cases.

505 [S. 330, para. 4; Act X, 1875, para. 5.] The parties to any proceeding under this Code in which a commission is issued may respectively forward any interrogatories in writing which the Magistrate or Court directing the commission may think relevant to the issue, and the Magistrate or officer to whom the commission is directed shall examine the witness upon such interrogatories.

Any such party may appear before such Magistrate or officer by pleader, or, if not in custody, in person, and may examine, cross-examine and re-examine (as the case may be) the said witness.

506 [S. 330, para. 5.] Whenever, in the course of an inquiry or a trial or any other proceeding under this Code before any Magistrate other than a Presidency Magistrate or District Magistrate, it appears that a commission ought to be issued for the examination of a witness whose evidence is necessary for the ends of justice, and that the attendance of such witness cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would be unreasonable, such Magistrate shall apply to the District Magistrate, stating the reasons for the application; and the District Magistrate may either issue a commission in the manner hereinbefore provided or reject the application.

507 [Act XI, 1874, S. 35, last para.; Act X, 1875, S. 76 para. 6.] After any commission issued under section 503 or section 506 has been duly executed, it shall be returned, together with the deposition of the witness examined thereunder, to the Court out of which it issued; and the commission, the return thereto and the deposition shall be open at all reasonable times to inspection of the parties, and may, subject to all just exceptions, be read in evidence in the case by either party, and shall form part of the record.

508 In every case in which a commission is issued under section 503 or section 506, the inquiry, trial or other proceeding may be adjourned for a specified time reasonably sufficient for the execution and return of the commission.

This section is new. It will be observed that there is no definite limitation as in the Proviso to S. 344 for the adjournment. The discretion should be exercised in a reasonable manner so as not to subject an accused to unnecessary detention.

CHAPTER XLI.

SPECIAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.

509 [S. 323; Act X, 1875, S. 71; Act IV, 1877, S. 152.] The deposition of a Civil Surgeon or other medical witness, taken and attested by a Magistrate in the presence of the accused, may be given in evidence.

in any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code, although the deponent is not called as a witness.

The Court may, if it thinks fit, summon and examine such deponent as

Power to summon medical witness. to the subject-matter of his deposition.

The only opinion of a Civil Surgeon that can properly be received in evidence is what may have been expressed by him as a witness under the usual tests to which witnesses may have been subjected. A letter is not evidence—Samiruddeen, 10 Cal. L. R., 11; Kaminee Dossee, 12 W. R., 15; K. Venkatroyadu, Weir, 353; nor a *post-mortem* report unless it has been used for the purpose of refreshing his memory. To make such evidence legally admissible it must have been taken before all the accused against whom it is sought to use it, not only against some of them.—Jhubboo Mahato, I. L. R., 13 Cal., 234.

If relied on by the prosecution, this examination, should be put in and read in Court before the accused is called upon for his defence. It should also be detached from the record of the inquiry, and attached to that of the trial.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 11, 1867: Wilkins, 104, also Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872.

Magistrates should be required invariably to record the evidence of the Medical officer before committing to the Court of Session any case regarding an offence affecting the human body (Chapter XVI, Penal Code); for the omission to record this evidence might very possibly lead to the acquittal of the accused person in the Sessions Court, if through sickness, death, or unavoidable absence of the Medical officer, his attendance cannot be procured before that Court.—2 W. R., 6 C. L.

But where there is already sufficient *prima facie* evidence to warrant a commitment to the Sessions Court, and the evidence of the Medical officer is likely to be of a purely formal character, and great inconvenience would result from his being summoned to a Magistrate's Court at a distance from the Sudder Station, the examination need not be taken before a Magistrate, but the attendance of the Medical officer before the Sessions Court should be ensured. Under all other circumstances, the Magistrate should invariably record the evidence of the Medical officer before himself and in the presence of the accused.—Bomb. H. Ct., Feb. 24, 1874.

A committing Magistrate should not, except for some special reason, bind over a medical witness, whose evidence he has taken, to appear in the Sessions Court. It is very undesirable that medical men in the districts should be taken away from their dispensaries more frequently, or for a longer period, than is absolutely necessary.—Bomb. Gaz. 1881, Part I, p. 245.

It is often of the greatest importance to have had the evidence of the Civil Surgeon regularly recorded by the Magistrate holding an inquiry. It may happen that, in the exigencies of the service, the Civil Surgeon may have been removed to a distant quarter of India before the Sessions trial, and thus may be unable to give evidence before the Sessions Court. His evidence before the Magistrate, if regularly recorded, would be evidence on the trial under S. 509, and under S. 33 of the Evidence Act (I of 1872): again, if some of the accused persons have absconded so as to be beyond the immediate prospect of arrest, if the Civil Surgeon's evidence has been recorded, it may under such circumstances be received as evidence under S. 512 *post*. If those precautions are not taken, the medical evidence of a *post-mortem* may be lost or only obtainable at considerable inconvenience and expense.

It is, however, entirely in the discretion of the particular Court to require the attendance of the medical witness. When the case depends almost entirely upon the Medical evidence, the examination of the Civil Surgeon before the Magistrate should not have been tendered or received as sufficient evidence. All the evidence before the Magistrate as to the alleged cause of death, arsenical poisoning, should have been retaken.—Mantapampalla Padigada, Weir, 354. The Session Judge should also especially examine the Civil Surgeon when his evidence is essentially deficient or requires further explanation or elucidation.—Roghumi Singh, 11 Cal. L. R., 569. If the Medical officer has been examined before the Court of Session, his deposition before the Magistrate does not become absolutely inadmissible. If it has been properly taken, it may be put in, and the medical officer may then be called and further interrogated upon any points upon which there has not been a sufficient examination by the Magistrate.—Jhubboo Mahato, I. L. R., 8 Cal., 739, per Field, J.

The attendance of the Civil Surgeon at the Criminal Courts of the station for the purpose of giving evidence is one of his ordinary official duties, and he is not entitled to claim, nor are the Courts authorised to grant, a fee for this duty. When a Civil Surgeon is required to proceed more than five miles beyond the limits of his station, he is entitled to travelling allowance under Resn. Govt. of India, April 26, 1872, published at page 1388.—Punj. Gaz., June 27, 1872.

Where a Medical officer other than the Civil Surgeon or officer in Medical charge of a Civil Station is summoned to give professional evidence in a Criminal Court, touching the result of a *post-mortem* examination made by him, in cases not falling within the ordinary discharge of his duties, a fee of Rs. 16 shall be allowed him in addition to the usual expenses payable to witnesses in a criminal trial, for which see S. 241 and note.—Smyth, p. 125.

510 [S. 325, para. 1; Act X, 1875, S. 72; Act IV, 1877, S. 153.]

Report of Chemical Examiner. Any document purporting to be a report under the hand of the Chemical Examiner or Assistant Chemical Examiner to Government, upon any matter or thing duly submitted to him for examination or analysis and report in the course of any proceeding under this Code, may be used as evidence in any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code.

The duty of directing a reference to be made to the Chemical Examiner, and of requiring that officer to make a report, which shall be admissible as evidence under section 510 of the Criminal Procedure Code, is one which lies solely within the province of the Magistrate conducting the inquiry for which information on the character of the suspected substance is required. When the Civil Surgeon has completed his examination and sent in his report, it is for the Magistrate, if he find further examination necessary, acting under the advice of the Medical officer, to call upon the Chemical Examiner to analyse and report the character of the suspected articles, furnishing him at the same time with a copy of the Civil Surgeon's report, and all other information available from the evidence taken in the case.

The duty of preparing and despatching the subjects sent for analysis will, as hitherto, devolve on the Medical officer. In its discharge, careful attention should be paid to the directions detailed below, which were issued in the Lower Provinces with the approval of the Government of India. The cost of preparation and packing will be charged in the Magistrates' Contingent Bill.

"The suspected substance should be, when practicable, enclosed in a glass bottle fitted with a sound cork, or in a well-stoppered jar; and the Medical officer who makes the *post-mortem* examination should see the cork tied down, and seal it with his own seal. An impression of the seal should be sent to the Chemical Examiner in the letter reporting the despatch of the parcel. It is desirable, especially in the hot season, that matters liable to decomposition should be put up in spirit. The bottle should be enclosed in a thick layer of raw cotton and tightly packed into a tin case or box; the box itself should be carefully taped and sealed. Every bottle or packet, should be carefully labelled, and the officer who despatches it should write across the label (adding his signature) the nature of the contents, and number and date of the letter reporting its despatch."

"This letter, signed by the officer who has signed the label, and containing the impression of the seal as above directed, should always be sent separate from the parcel containing the suspected substances; and it should contain, for the information and guidance of the Chemical Examiner, fullest possible details regarding symptoms and *post-mortem* appearances."—Govt. N. W. P., 791 A of 1865.

The original report should be put in as evidence. A copy is not receivable.—Bishumbar Dass, 15 W. R., 49; (S. C.) 6 B. L. R., 122, *App.*

If put in as evidence by the prosecution, the report from the Chemical Examiner should be read before the prisoner is called upon for his defence, and it should be detached from the record of the inquiry, and attached to that of the trial.—Cal. H. Ct. Cir. 11, Sept. 2, 1867; Cir. 4, Aug. 10, 1872.

511 [Ss. 326, 515, last clause; Act X, 1875, S. 119; Act IV, 1877,

Previous conviction or acquittal how proved. Ss. 154, 230.] In any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code a previous conviction or acquittal may be proved, in addition to any other mode provided by any law for the time being in force,—

(a) by an extract certified, under the hand of the officer having the custody of the records of the Court in which such conviction or acquittal was had to be a copy of the sentence or order; or

(b) in case of a conviction, either by a certificate signed by the officer in charge of the jail in which the punishment or any part thereof was inflicted, or by production of the warrant of commitment under which the punishment was suffered;

together with, in each of such cases, evidence as to the identity of the accused person with the person so convicted or acquitted.

A previous conviction or acquittal for the same offence may be proved as a bar to a subsequent conviction. S. 403. A previous conviction for another offence may be proved as ground for the passing of an enhanced sentence.—See S. 75, Penal Code; S. 221, last para. *ante*; Ss. 348, 310 *ante*.

512 [S. 327; Act X, 1875, S. 74; Act IV, 1877, S. 155.] If it be proved that an accused person has absconded,

Record of evidence in absence of accused.

and that there is no immediate prospect of arresting him, the Court competent to try or commit for trial such person for the offence complained of may, in his absence, examine the witnesses (if any) produced on behalf of the prosecution, and record their depositions. Any such deposition may, on the arrest of such person, be given in evidence against him on the inquiry into or trial for the offence with which he is charged, if the deponent is dead or incapable of giving evidence or his attendance cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would be unreasonable.

See note to S. 509, *ante*.

It may often be very desirable to examine the witnesses for the prosecution "if it is proved that an accused person has absconded, and there is no immediate prospect of arresting him," as the evidence of an important witness may otherwise be lost by his death, and, even if the accused person be arrested after a considerable time, the previous depositions may be used to corroborate the evidence of the witnesses, (S. 157, Evidence Act), or even to refresh their memory, (S. 159), if the conditions set forth in those sections of the Evidence Act exist. Great care should, however, first be taken to prove it proved that an accused person has absconded, and that there is no immediate prospect of arresting him.

Depositions recorded under S. 512, are not admissible as evidence under S. 33, Evidence Act, which requires that the adverse party shall have had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses who gave those depositions.—*Etwaroo Dwaree*, 21 W. R. 12, but the latter portion of S. 512 provides for such a contingency and modifies S. 33, Evidence Act in this respect.

Where a prisoner has been committed for trial by the Court of Session on depositions recorded under S. 512 in his absence and there is no evidence to show that he had absconded, and that there was no immediate prospect of arresting him when those depositions were recorded, the High Court refused to quash the commitment after the accused had pleaded to the charge, holding that he was entitled to be tried, and that if the Sessions Judge was of opinion that the prosecution had not laid a basis for the reception of those depositions he should adjourn the trial and summon such witnesses as he may deem material—*Sagumbur* 12 Cal. L. R. 120. But see *contra* *Bocha Chowkeedar*, 22 W. R., 38 where such a commitment was quashed as illegal.

CHAPTER XLII.

PROVISIONS AS TO BONDS.

513 [S. 399; Act X, 1875, S. 139; Act IV, 1877, S. 80.] When any person is required by any Court or officer to

Deposit instead of recognisance.

execute a bond, with or without sureties, such Court or officer may, except in the case of a bond for good behaviour, permit him to deposit a sum of money or Government promissory notes to such amount as the Court or officer may fix in lieu of executing such bond.

S. 499 relates to the execution of a bond or bail-bond.

S. 498 enables a Sessions Judge to admit a person to bail when submitting his case for revision by the High Court.

514 [Ss. 396—8, paras. 1, 2; S. 502, paras. 1—5, 7; Ss. 503, 514; Act X, 1875, Ss. 187, 198; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 77-79, 228, 229.] Whenever it is proved to the satisfaction

Procedure on forfeiture of bond.

of the Court by which a bond under this Code has been taken, or of the Court of a Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class,

or, when the bond is for appearance before a Court, to the satisfaction of such Court,

that such bond has been forfeited, the Court shall record the grounds of such proof, and may call upon any person bound by such bond to pay the penalty thereof, or to show cause why it should not be paid.

If sufficient cause is not shown and the penalty is not paid, the Court may proceed to recover the same by issuing a warrant for the attachment and sale of the moveable property belonging to such person.

Such warrant may be executed within the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court which issued it; and it shall authorize the distress and sale of any moveable property belonging to such person without such limits, when endorsed by the District Magistrate within the local limits of whose jurisdiction such property is found.

If such penalty be not paid and cannot be recovered by such attachment and sale, the person so bound shall be liable, by order of the Court which issued the warrant, to imprisonment in the civil jail for a term which may extend to six months.

The Court may, at its discretion, remit any portion of the penalty mentioned and enforce payment in part only.

Sch. V, Nos. 44—53 contain various forms for use under this section.

From the use of the terms, 'whenever it is proved' *prima facie* proof, by the taking of evidence, is necessary before proceedings can be taken under S. 514—*In re Hariram Birbhan*, 11 Bom., 170; such evidence must be taken in that particular matter. The Magistrate cannot proceed on evidence taken in a case to which the person bound was no party.—*In re Mohesh Chunder Roy*, 10 Cal. W. R., 571.

There must be a judicial trial and legal inquiry, evidence being taken in the presence of the accused or of his agent, if he has been allowed to appear by an agent—*Kali Kant Roy Chowdhry* 12 W. R. 54. If the surety does not appear to show cause, evidence must be recorded in proof of the service of the summons to show cause, before a warrant for the attachment and sale of his movable property can issue—*Doorga Dess Bhuttacharje*, 15 W. R., 82.

When a Magistrate has taken a bond from any person, and that person is brought before him on trial for an offence committed within the period covered by the bond, he ought, at the time of convicting for that offence, to take into consideration the fact that there is an outstanding bond and to determine, once for all, whether he will proceed on it or not. The Magistrate having abstained from making any order for the forfeiture of the bond, it must be taken that he determined not to proceed on it for that particular instance of breach of the peace. That being so, it was not open to him to reconsider and add to his order.—*In re Ram Chunder Lall*, 1 Cal. L. R., 134.—*In the matter of Parbutty Churn Bose*, 3 Cal. L. R., 406.

S. 174, Penal Code, provides for the punishment of any person who, being legally bound to attend in person or by an agent at a certain place and time in obedience to an order proceeding from any public servant, intentionally fails so to attend or departs without permission.

There is nothing illegal in a verbal order passed by a Magistrate directing the accused person to appear on the day to which the trial may have been adjourned. A conviction under S. 174, Penal Code, for non-attendance on such verbal order was affirmed.—5 Mad., xv., *App. Pro.*, Jan. 18, 1870: (S. C.), *Weir* 39.

An accused person may be bound over to appear daily before a Magistrate until the close of the trial. No notice is necessary before proceeding to enforce the penalty of the recognizance.—5 Mad., xxxviii., *App.*, *Pro. Nov.* 17, 1871. (S. C.) *Weir*, 362.

Although the surety may have already paid in consequence of the non-attendance of the person bound to appear before a Court, proceedings under S. 174, Penal Code, may still be taken against the latter.—*Tajoomuddee Lahoree*, 10 W. R., 4.

515 [S. 398, penult. para.] All orders passed under section 514 by any Magistrate, other than a Presidency Magistrate or District Magistrate, shall be appealable to the District Magistrate, or, of not so appealed, may be revised by him.

Appeals from, and revision of orders under section 514.

This revision by a District Magistrate evidently refers either to a total or partial remission of the penalty.

516 [S. 398, last para ; Act X, 1875, S. 138, last para.] The High Court or Court of Session may direct any Magistrate to levy the amount due on a bond to appear and attend at such High Court or Court of Session.

Power to direct levy of amount due on certain recognizances.

CHAPTER XLIII.

OF THE DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY.

517 [S. 418 ; Act X, 1875, S. 115 ; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 243, 244.] When an inquiry or a trial in any Criminal Court is concluded, the Court may make such order as it thinks fit for the disposal of any document or other property produced before it regarding which any offence appears to have been committed, or which has been used for the commission of any offence.

Order for disposal of property regarding which offence committed.

When a High Court or a Court of Session makes such order, and cannot, through its own officers, conveniently deliver the property to the person entitled thereto, such Court may direct that the order be carried into effect by the District Magistrate.

When an order is made under this section in a case in which an appeal lies, such order shall not (except when the property is live-stock or is subject to speedy and natural decay) be carried out until the period allowed for presenting such appeal has passed, or, when such appeal is presented within such period, until such appeal has been disposed of.

EXPLANATION.—In this section the term “property” includes, in the case of property regarding which an offence appears to have been committed, not only such property as has been originally in the possession or under the control of any party, but also any property into or for which the same may have been converted or exchanged, and anything acquired by such conversion or exchange, whether immediately or otherwise.

When there has been an inquiry or trial, and the accused has been discharged or acquitted by a Criminal Court, that Court is bound to restore the property in dispute into the possession of the person from whom it was taken, unless such Court is of opinion that “any offence appears to have been committed” regarding it, when such order as appears right for the disposal of the property may be made.—*In re Annapurna Bai*, I. L. R., Bomb., 630 where property belonging to the estate of A, a deceased person was found with B who on inquiry was acquitted by the Magistrate of having dishonestly taken it so as to amount to theft, and its restoration to B was ordered, it being held that the District Magistrate was not competent to order it to be given to A's heirs.

So the Madras High Court has held that if the accused person has been discharged, the prerequisites of an order under S. 517 are wanting. The property should not be withheld.—*Pro.* June 30, 1874, Weir, 368. Followed in *Parsanada Nynar*, Weir, 368. See also *Hurree Bandho Santia*, 5, W. R., 55.

The Allahabad High Court has held that although the person in whose custody the property was found has been acquitted, the Magistrate is competent to pass an order regarding its disposal, if he believes that it has been stolen.—*Nilambar Baboo*, I. L. R., 2 All., 276.

Where the accused has been convicted of taking a bribe (S. 161, Penal Code) the Magistrate cannot pass orders in respect of money produced by a witness and said to have been given as a bribe.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, *Pro.* Feb. 13, 1874, Weir, 367: nor can a Magistrate deal with money so offered and order it to be given in charity.—*Pro.* July 20, 1875, Weir, 368. A Magistrate should not, in a summary proceeding under S. 517, direct possession of property to be taken from a *bond fide* purchaser. He should rather leave the former owner to take such steps as he may think proper to establish his superior title, and so to recover possession.—*Sawan and another*, *Punj. Rec.*, 1878, p. 56.

A Government currency note was changed at the Government Treasury by a man, who was afterwards convicted of having stolen it. The note was produced in evidence at the trial, and the Sessions Judge ordered it to be returned to the original owner from whom it had been stolen. The matter was brought before the High Court as a Court of Revision, and the following judgment was delivered:—

"The Sessions Judge has decided the case upon an Illustration drawn from the Contract Act, embodying a very old rule of law that possession by the taker in good faith is no defence against the owner of a chattel whose possession was lost through theft.

"The decision is inapplicable to the case for money, and a note of this kind is in legal view money, does not stand upon the footing of other chattels (*Foster v. Green*, 7 H. & N., 881). In the language of the English law, the property passes by mere delivery, and, in the interests of commerce and the security of human dealing, nothing short of fraud in taking an instrument payable to bearer will engraft an exception upon the rule (*Goodman v. Harvey*, 4 Ad. & El., 870). Here the Treasury was bound to cash the note, and the original owner has no claim against it. The order must be reversed."—*Collector of Salem*, 7 Madras, 233: (S. C.) Weir, 366.

Where a Government currency note, which had been stolen, was honestly changed by a money-changer (poddar), who, when called upon, returned it to the Police, the High Court held that it should be restored to him rather than to the person from whom it had been stolen. The terms of S. 108 of the Contract Act (IX of 1872) and the definition of "goods" (S. 76) applied to it do not touch the case. The change of a currency note into money is no more a contract for sale than the payment of the same note for goods is a sale of the note for the goods, the note being paid as money, a legal tender for the amount expressed therein under S. 15, Act III of 1871.—In the matter of *Captain Michell*, Pet. 1 Cal. L. R., 339: (S. C.) I. L. R., 3 Cal., 379.

518 [S. 420.] In lieu of itself passing an order under section 517,

Order may take form of reference to District or Sub-divisional Magistrate.

the Court may direct the property to be delivered to the District Magistrate or to a Sub-divisional Magistrate, who shall, in such cases, deal with it as if it had been seized by the police and the seizure had been reported to him in the manner hereinafter mentioned.

519 [30 and 31 Vict. c. 35, S. 10.] When any person is convicted

Payment to innocent purchaser of money found on accused.

of any offence which includes, or amounts to, theft or receiving stolen property, and it is proved that any other person has bought the stolen property from him without knowing, or having reason to believe, that the same was stolen, and that any money has, on his arrest, been taken out of the possession of the convicted person, the Court may on the application of such purchaser and on the restitution of the stolen property to the person entitled to the possession thereof, order that out of such money a sum not exceeding the price paid by such purchaser be delivered to him.

This is new.

520 [S. 419.] Any Court of appeal, confirmation, reference, or

Stay of order under sections 517, 518 or 519.

revision, may direct any order under section 517, section 518 or section 519, passed by a Court subordinate thereto, to be stayed pending consideration by the former Court; and may modify, alter or annul such order.

The annulment of an order giving the property to a certain person may have the effect of its restoration to another. If, however, under the order of the lower Court, the property has already been given, there is apparently no provision to enable the Court to compel its return. It has been held that the High Court cannot direct the restoration of property delivered by the Police under an illegal order of a Magistrate.—*In re Annapurna Bai*, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 630.

521 On a conviction under the Indian Penal Code, section 292, sec-

Destruction of libellous and other matter.

tion 293, section 501 or section 502, the Court may order the destruction of all the copies of the thing in respect of which the conviction was had, and which are in the custody of the Court or remain in the possession or power of the person convicted.

The Court may, in like manner, on a conviction under the Indian Penal Code, section 272, section 273, section 274 or section 275, order the food, drink, drug or medical preparation, in respect of which the conviction was had, to be destroyed.

- S. 292, Penal Code, relates to the selling of obscene books, &c. ;
- S. 293 to having in possession obscene books, &c., for the purpose of sale, &c. ;
- S. 501 to printing or engraving matter knowing it to be defamatory ;
- S. 502 to selling or offering for sale such printed or engraved matter with such knowledge ;
- S. 272 to adulteration of food or drink intended for sale so as to make it noxious ;
- S. 273 to sale or offering or exposing for sale such food or drink with knowledge of its state ;
- S. 274 to adulteration of drugs ;
- S. 275 to sale or offering or exposing for sale such adulterated drugs ;

522 [S. 534; Act X, 1875, S. 142; Act IV, 1874, S. 239.]

Power to restore possession of immoveable property. ever a person is convicted of an offence attended by criminal force, and it appears to the Court that, by such force, any person has been dispossessed of any immoveable property, the Court may, if it thinks fit, order such person to be restored to the possession of the same.

No such order shall prejudice any right or interest to or in such immoveable property which any person may be able to establish in a civil suit.

In the Code of 1872 this section used to appear in Chapter XL which has become Chapter XII of this Code. Probably because cases under that Chapter could be dealt with only by a Magistrate of a District or Division of a District or of the first class, the Madras High Court held that an order under that section could be passed only by such officers, but there is nothing in the terms of S. 522 itself or its position in this Code to limit the powers of a Criminal Court in this respect.—See *Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Nov. 14, 1881; Weir, 445.*

A suit to set aside such an order must be brought within three years from the date on which it was passed.—*Limitation Act (XV, 1877) Sch. II, Art. 47.*

523 [S. 387, para. 2; Ss. 415, 416; Act IV, 1877, S. 244.]

Procedure by police upon seizure of property taken under section 51 or stolen. seizure by any Police-officer of property taken under section 51, or alleged or suspected to have been stolen, or found under circumstances which create suspicion of the commission of any offence, shall be forthwith reported to a Magistrate, who shall make such order as he thinks fit respecting the delivery of such property to the person entitled to the possession thereof, or, if such person cannot be ascertained, respecting the custody and production of such property.

If the person so entitled is known, the Magistrate may order the property to be delivered to him on such conditions (if any) as the Magistrate thinks fit. If such person is unknown, the Magistrate may detain it, and shall, in such case, issue a proclamation specifying the articles of which such property consists, and requiring any person who may have a claim thereto to appear before him and establish his claim within six months from the date of such proclamation.

On search of the petitioner's house certain property was found which could not be identified and therefore he was acquitted. On proclamation issued by the Magistrate, no one came forward to claim this property, on which the petitioner applied for it and offered evidence to prove that it belonged to him, but the Magistrate refused to issue process to obtain that evidence. The Calcutta High Court set aside this order holding that the Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses named by the petitioner.—*Soekhan Sahoo, 18 W. R., 5.*

The following instructions have been issued by the Government of Bengal (Cir. 2996, May 28, 1868) on an opinion of the Advocate-General :—

"The general rule of law with respect to moveable property found, of which the owners cannot be discovered, is, that it belongs to the finder, who may, however, be guilty of a criminal offence by appropriating it to his own use when he knows, or has the means of finding out, or does not take reasonable means to find out, the real owner. Thus, as regards the finding of hidden treasure, consisting of gold or silver coin or bullion, or of precious stones or other valuable property, the provisions of S. 2, Regulation V, 1817 (now embodied in Act VI, of 1878) apply. If after due notification the owner of such property may not be discoverable, such hidden treasure becomes the property of the innocent finder, provided it does not exceed in amount or value the sum of one lakh of sicca rupees. By S. 7 of the same Regulation the excess above that sum is declared to be at the disposal of Government.

"Wrecks are, in the first instance, to be retained by the salvors, who have a special property in them by way of lien for the salvage. It is illegal for the Police to take salvaged wreck out of the possession of the salvors, though upon discovery of wrecked property in such possession, notice of the same should be given by the Police to the Magistrate of the District. If the owners come forward, the matter will be one for adjustment between the parties. If owners cannot be found, then, subject to the salvage claims, the wrecked property belongs to the State, which may sue for its recovery in the same way as the owner might have done. Where such a course is necessary, the Magistrate should give notice to the Collector, who will take the necessary legal proceedings.

"With these exceptions, moveable property found in the possession of any private person and not claimed, is the property of the innocent finder.

"The provisions of Ss. 25-27, Act V, 1861, apply to all unclaimed property of which any officer of the Police may be the finder.

"The right of the State to property which is left by deceased persons and to which there is no claimant stands on different grounds, and is not the subject of these orders."

524 [S. 417; Act IV, 1877, S. 244.] If no person, within such period, establishes his claim to such property, and if the person in whose possession such property was found is unable to show that it was legally acquired by him, such property shall be at the disposal of the Government, and may be sold under the orders of the Presidency Magistrate, District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate or of a Magistrate of the first class empowered by the Local Government in this behalf.

In the case of every order passed under this section, an appeal shall lie to the Court to which appeals against sentences of the Court passing such order would lie.

If any Magistrate not empowered by law in that behalf erroneously in good faith sells property under S. 524, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (*h*).

In MADRAS, all Magistrates of the first class have been empowered to act under S. 524 (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 717); also in OUDH (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 3); also in BOMBAY, provided they are not Honorary Magistrates, when a special order is necessary in each case (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 16).

In the PUNJAB, all Senior Officers at Head-quarter Stations under the Magistrate of the District, who are Magistrates of the first class, have been vested with powers under S. 524. Such powers to be exercised only when the Magistrate of the District is absent from Head-quarters.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 75. For these purposes, the Senior Assistant Commissioner, being a Magistrate of the first class, shall be deemed to be the Senior Officer under the Magistrate, and if there be no such officer, the Senior Extra Assistant Commissioner, being a Magistrate of the first class, shall be so deemed.—*Ibid.*

A Magistrate is bound to summon witnesses named by a person to prove his claim to certain property seized by the Police as property suspected to have been stolen.—Sookhun Sahoo, 18 W. R., 5.

525 [S. 415, para. 2.] If the person entitled to the possession of such property is unknown or absent, and the property is subject to speedy and natural decay, or the Magistrate to whom its seizure is reported is of opinion that its sale would be for the benefit of the owner, the Magistrate may at any time direct it to be sold; and the provisions of sections 523 and 524 shall, as nearly as may be practicable, apply to the nett proceeds of such sale.

If any Magistrate not empowered by law on that behalf erroneously in good faith sells property under S. 525, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.—S. 529 (*h*).

CHAPTER XLIV.

OF THE TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASES.

High Court may transfer case, or itself try it.

526 [S. 64; Act X, 1875, S. 147; Act IV, 1877, S. 181.] Whenever it is made to appear to the High Court—

(a) that a fair and impartial inquiry or trial cannot be had in any Criminal Court subordinate thereto, or

(b) that some question of law of unusual difficulty is likely to arise, or

(c) that a view of the place in or near which any offence has been committed may be required for the satisfactory inquiry into or trial of the same or

(d) that an order under this section will tend to the general convenience of the parties or witnesses.

it may order—

(1) that any offence be inquired into or tried by any Court not empowered under sections 177 to 184 (both inclusive), but in other respects competent to inquire into or try such offence;

(2) that any particular criminal case or appeal, or class of such cases or appeals, be transferred from a Criminal Court subordinate to its authority to any other such Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction; or

(3) that any particular criminal case or appeal be transferred to and tried before itself.

When the High Court withdraws for trial before itself any case from any Court other than the Court of a Presidency Magistrate, it shall, except as provided in section 267, observe in such trial the same procedure which that Court would have observed if the case had not been so withdrawn.

Every application for the exercise of the power conferred by this section shall be made by motion which shall, except when the applicant is the Advocate General, be supported by affidavit or affirmation.

When an accused person makes an application under this section, the High Court may direct him to execute a bond, with or without sureties, conditioned that he will, if convicted, pay the costs of the prosecutor.

Every accused person making any such application shall give to the Public Prosecutor notice in writing of the application, together with a copy of the grounds on which it is made; and no order shall be made on the merits of the application unless at least twenty-four hours have elapsed between the giving of such notice and the hearing of the application.

Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect any order made under section 197.

The powers given by this section are larger than those conferred by S. 147 of the High Courts Criminal Procedure Act (X, 1875), under which no conviction or proceeding could be quashed "for want of form but only on the merits" which was the rule followed on writs of *certiorari* but probably the Courts will adhere to the same rule as generally embodied in S. 537, *post*.

Where a conviction has been arrived at by the Magistrate and the petitioner is actually suffering imprisonment under it, it is within the discretion of the High Court, for sufficient cause shown, and, on the application of the prisoner, to order the case to be removed without notice to the Crown. But sufficient opportunity will be given for the law officers of the Crown to support the conviction before a final order is passed.—*Queen v. Upendranath Dass*, I. L. R., 1 Cal., 356.

The powers of the High Court cannot be exercised in the case of an acquittal by a Magistrate, but only in the case of convictions or other orders by which an accused is aggrieved or injured.—*Corporation of Calcutta v. Bheekun Ram Napit*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 290. So where after hearing the evidence for the prosecution and without disbelieving it, the Magistrate decided that it did not amount to the offence charged, it was held that, assuming that an error of law had been committed, the High Court had no power to issue a *mandamus* to the Magistrate to commit the accused. It was not a case in which the Magistrate had declined jurisdiction, but he had exercised his jurisdiction and heard the case.—*Malcolm v. Gasper*, I. L. R., 2 Cal., 278.

The objection to the conviction must have a substantial meritorious ground and not be merely an error of form or procedure. Such cases would for example be when the Magistrate has convicted an accused person on a charge which he had no jurisdiction to hear and determine, or has awarded a sentence which he had no power to award, or has proceeded in such a manner as to afford ground for saying that the accused person had not had reasonable opportunity of defending himself. There may of course be other classes of cases in which an objection to a conviction would be entertained, when it could be said that the accused had merits. But though an accused person may have merits in the general sense of the word, and of the most substantial kind, viz., that the Magistrate has come to a wrong conclusion on the question of the guilt or innocence, yet that is not a case to which *per se* a remedy can be applied by means of a *certiorari*. The law in short says that to quash a conviction, there must be merits, not that whenever there are merits in the general sense of the word the conviction will be quashed.—*Reg. v. Nathulal Pitambar*, 10 Bomb., 102; see also the cases cited in the note, p. 109 thereof.

As a general rule the High Court does not exercise its powers of transfer in a case of forgery or perjury and only on the ground that the Judge who is to try the case has already formed an opinion that the document has been forged or the perjury committed sitting as a Judge on the Civil side. But when the transfer can be made without risk of any improper interference with the course of justice and without much inconvenience to the parties and witnesses, a transfer is not only a fair concession to the person charged, but is a means of relieving the Judge from a position which he himself would desire to avoid.—*Arunachella Reddi and others*, 5 Mad., 212. S. 555, declares that no Judge or Magistrate shall, except with the permission of the Court to which an appeal lies from his Court, try or commit for trial any case for which he is a party or personally interested.

The best evidence must be offered that the Jury cannot be trusted to do their duty impartially, before the High Court, on an application by the prosecution and against the wish of the accused, will order the transfer of a trial from one District to another on the ground that owing to popular excitement as to the result, a fair trial cannot be expected. There is less reason in India for such an order than in England, because the law of India imposes many safeguards against an undue bias by a Jury. There is the right of challenge, the verdict need not be unanimous, the power on the part of the Sessions Judge to refer the case to the High Court if he disagrees from the verdict, the hearing by the High Court itself, and the appeal of Government against an order of acquittal.—*The Empress v. Nobogopal Bose*, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 491. See also *Krishto Chunder Ghose*, 2 W. R., 58 in which the High Court refused to transfer a case from a Magistrate, for, although he had shown a great want of temper and discretion in dealing with the petitioner's written statement, there was not sufficient reason to suppose that there would not be a fair trial.

The High Court will always require some very strong grounds for transferring a case from one judicial officer to another, especially if the grounds are personal to such officer, as it is tantamount to a severe censure on him.—*Shankar Araj Hosking*, 6 Bomb., 69, *Crown Cases*.

In *Sitapathi v. The Queen*, I. L. R. 6 Mad., 32 the following considerations induced the Madras High Court to transfer for decision by itself an appeal pending before a Sessions Judge. "The public mind has been for several months been in a state of abnormal excitement about the convicted person. The most conflicting views have been entertained as to his conduct and character by various officials as is apparent from the reports published from time to time in the public journals. He is an old servant of Government who has been holding a very important post. And it seems desirable on all these accounts that the case should be transferred to the High Court, where it will have the advantage of being heard before two Judges of the highest tribunal, instead of by a single Judge of the subordinate tribunal, whose decision as a Judge of an Appellate Court could not be expected to have the same weight as that of the High Court. The transfer is likely to tend to the quieting of the public mind and to be conducive to the interests of justice in that and other ways."

But see *In re Ameer Khan* and another, 15 W. R. 69; (S. C.) 7 B. L. R., 240 in which the following judgments were delivered on these points:—

PHILIP, J. "It appears to me that instances drawn from the practice of the Court of Queen's Bench in England with reference to the granting or withholding of writs of *certiorari* to bring up an

indictment from an inferior Court are not near enough—not nearly parallel enough—to this case, as to afford us any real assistance in forming our judgment. In England, all criminal trials except trials for small offences are heard by Jury, which I may say is drawn somewhat promiscuously from a not very high class of the population. There is, therefore, some risk that the impartiality of the tribunal so constituted should be affected by existing causes of popular feeling or excitement bearing on the matter to be tried. And then the verdict of this body is final without appeal. Any risk of miscarriage of this kind by such a tribunal, if it is to be prevented, can only be prevented by removal to a better or less prejudiced tribunal for trial. Hence a comparatively small cause may possibly be found inducing the Court of Queen's Bench to remove criminal cases in England, which might not be sufficient to render a different kind of procedure.

"In the present case the prisoners will be tried at Patna by a Judge assisted by Assessors of intelligence and of respectable independent social position, and an appeal from the decision of the Sessions Court will lie to this Court, both upon fact and law. Bearing this in mind, I think the affidavits put in on behalf of the prosecution do appear so far to displace the case set up before us by the applicants, as to remove the grounds for supposing that they will not have a fair and impartial trial at Patna.

"There remains, perhaps, on the face of these affidavits themselves, enough to indicate that there has been a long continued and zealous activity on the part of the Police in procuring witnesses in support of the prosecution, such as may not possibly be without an effect upon the character of the evidence upon which the Sessions Court will have to act.

"But although for this reason more than common care will be required for the proper trial of the case, I do not see in it sufficient cause to justify our coming to the conclusion that the Sessions Court at Patna is not competent to exercise the requisite care.

"Finally, it appears to me that it is not likely that points of law will arise in the course of this trial, such as the Sessions Court cannot satisfactorily deal with; and in saying this I bear in mind that should the Sessions Court by any misfortune err in this matter, the error can be set right afterwards in this Court."

MACPHERSON, J.—"I concur in the opinion that sufficient grounds have not been made out to justify the High Court in transferring this case for trial before itself in Calcutta.

"The cases referred to as shewing the circumstances under which a criminal case may in England be brought up by *certiorari* for trial in a superior Court, appear to me to have little or no applicability to the present matter. In England there is, I may say, practically no appeal in a criminal case from the decision of the Court which tries it,—no remedy for a miscarriage of justice. From the decision of the Patna Court, if it tries this case, there is an appeal to the High Court on both facts and law. In my opinion, therefore, the mere possibility or probability that difficult questions whether of law or fact will arise, is no reason for transferring a case. For, in the event of a miscarriage on the part of the Mofussil Court, a sufficient remedy is provided in the right of appeal to this Court.

"A very much stronger case must be made out to justify us in transferring a case to this Court, than would in England justify the removal of a case by *certiorari*."

Where the only officers in the district competent to hear the appeal were or had been members of the Road Cess Committee whose money formed the subject of the charge preferred, the High Court on the application of the prisoner transferred the appeal to another District.—Dwarkanath Banerjee, 6 Cal., 1. L. R., 279.

In some instances where the case cannot be tried by any officer ordinarily having jurisdiction and the transfer to another District would cause great inconvenience and expense the High Court has moved Government to appoint a Magistrate specially to hold the trial.—See in the matter of Mahesh Chunder Banerjee, 4 B. L. R., 1 App.; Abdool Kadir, 20 W. R., 23 (S. C.), 11 B. L. R., 8 App.

Although affidavits contradict the finding of a Magistrate so as to show a want of jurisdiction, they cannot be used as affording materials for reviewing his decision. When the charge is such that, if true, it would give the Magistrate jurisdiction, his decision is final.—Reg. v. Nathalal Pitambar, 10 Bomb., 102.

527 [S. 64A; Act XI, 1874, S. 11.] The Governor General in

Power of Governor General to transfer criminal cases and appeals.

Council may, by notification in the *Gazette of India*, direct the transfer of any particular criminal case or appeal from one High Court to another High Court, or from any Criminal Court subordinate to one High Court to any other Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction subordinate to another High Court, whenever it appears to him that such transfer will promote the ends of justice, or tend to the general convenience of parties or witnesses.

The Court to which such case or appeal is transferred shall deal with the same as if it had been originally instituted in, or presented to, such Court.

528 [S. 44, last para.; S. 47, para. 1; S. 48.] Any District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate may withdraw any case from, or recall any case which he has made over to, any Magistrate subordinate to him, and may inquire into or try such case himself, or refer it for inquiry or trial to any other such Magistrate competent to inquire into or try the same.

District or Sub-divisional Magistrate may withdraw or refer cases.

The Local Government may authorize the District Magistrate to withdraw from the Magistrate subordinate to him either such classes of cases as he thinks proper, or particular classes of cases.

Power to authorize District Magistrate to withdraw classes of cases.

If a Magistrate not empowered by law in that behalf erroneously in good faith withdraws a case and tries it himself, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered—S. 529 (i).

S. 192 empowers a District Magistrate or Sub-divisional Magistrate to transfer any case of which he has taken cognizance for inquiry or trial to any Magistrate subordinate to him, and a District Magistrate can empower any Magistrate of the first class who has taken cognizance of a case to transfer it for inquiry or trial to any other specified Magistrate competent to deal with it.

When a case is removed to another Magistrate, the evidence must be taken *de novo*. S. 350 applies only to inquiries and trials commenced by a Magistrate who has ceased to exercise jurisdiction and is succeeded by another Magistrate.—Khan Mahomed, 24 W. R., 53.

Although the law does not require that the District Magistrate should state the reasons for transferring a case from one Magistrate to another, the High Court will set aside the order if it is clear that he has not exercised a wise or proper discretion. Thus, in a case in which after hearing the evidence for the prosecution, the Magistrate expressed an opinion that it was not sufficient to support the charge, on which the District Magistrate removed the case to the file of another Magistrate, the High Court set aside that order and directed the first Magistrate to conclude the trial. With reference to the reasons assigned by the District Magistrate for his order transferring the case, the High Court remarked that though these might be very good reasons for not making over the case originally to the Magistrate, they were wholly insufficient for removing the case from him at that late stage of the proceedings.—*In re Nobocomar Banerjen*, 14 W. R., 12; (S. C.) 5 B. L. R., 45, App. In *Umrao Singh*, I. L. R., 3 All., 749 the Allahabad High Court for similar reasons set aside the order of a District Magistrate transferring a case to another Magistrate after the evidence for the prosecution had been recorded. The District Magistrate acted on a petition of the accused which disclosed no adequate or satisfactory grounds for the removal of the case to another Magistrate nor did the Magistrate himself specify any reasons for his order. The Court observed—"The powers given by S. 528 are very large, but for this very reason they should be most carefully exercised, and Magistrates of Districts should use the extensive discretion given them to divert the course of procedure from its ordinary channel, only when it is absolutely necessary for the interests of justice that they should do so."

Similarly in the unreported case of *Jaffir Ali and others* (commonly known as the *Fennush case*) the High Court held that the Magistrate acted illegally in removing, on his own motion, a case in which several witnesses had been examined by a subordinate Magistrate and without giving notice to the prisoners and hearing what they had to say in the matter.—Cal. H. Ct., Feb. 27, 1877. See also *Tencotta Shekdar and others*, I. L. R., 8 Cal., 393 following that case and *Umrao Singh*, I. L. R. 3 All., 749.

A Magistrate to whom a case had been transferred for trial disallowed the objection that he had no jurisdiction and proceeded to try the case. The District Magistrate withdrew the case to his own Court and finding that he had no jurisdiction stopped proceedings. The High Court held that the District Magistrate is competent to call up a case to his own Court without limitation as to the stage of the proceedings at which it may be called up, and that, having the case before him, he could deal with the question of jurisdiction.—*Vilactee Khanum* 24 W. R., 4. But after a case has once been referred to another Magistrate, a District Magistrate cannot pass an order in the case, unless he has subsequently formally withdrawn or recalled it to his own Court.—*Shankar Teornid* 12 W. R., 51; (S. C.) 3 B. L. R., 151 app.; *Grish Chunder Ghose and another*, 16 W. R., 40, (S. C.) 7 B. L. R., 513; *Mrs. Belilios*, 12 W. R., 63. After a warrant has been issued for the arrest of the accused by the Magistrate who had received the complaint and examined the complainant, the

District Magistrate on withdrawing the case to his own file should proceed with the case as it then stood, and should not have summarily dismissed it, unless something had occurred to show that in issuing the warrant the Magistrate had, from some cause or other, made a wrong exercise of his discretion.—*Raghoo Parirah*, 19 W. R., 28 (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 26 *app.*

CHAPTER XLV.

OF IRREGULAR PROCEEDINGS.

529. [Ss. 32, 34, cl. ix.] If any Magistrate not empowered by law
Irregularities which do to do any of the following things, namely :—
not vitiate proceedings.

- (a) to issue a search-warrant, under section 98 ;
 - (b) to order, under section 155, the police to investigate an offence ;
 - (c) to hold an inquest under section 176 ;
 - (d) to issue process, under section 186, for the apprehension of a person within the local limits of his jurisdiction who has committed an offence outside such limits ;
 - (e) to take cognizance of an offence under section 191, clause (a) or clause (b) ;
 - (f) to transfer a case under section 192 ;
 - (g) to tender a pardon under section 337 or section 338 ;
 - (h) to sell property under section 524 or section 525 ; or
 - (i) to withdraw a case and try it himself under section 528 ;
- erroneously in good faith does that thing, his proceedings shall not be set aside merely on the ground of his not being so empowered.

Schedules III, IV specify the ordinary powers of Magistrates of different classes and offices and the additional powers with which and by whom they may be invested.

"Good faith." Nothing is said to be done or believed in good faith which is done or believed without due care and attention.—S. 52, Penal Code.

530 [S. 34] If any Magistrate, not being empowered by law
Irregularities which viti- in this behalf, does any of the following things
ate proceedings. (namely) :—

- (a) attaches and sells property under section 88 ;
- (b) issues a search-warrant for a letter in the Post-office, or a telegram in the Telegraph Department ;
- (c) demands security to keep the peace ;
- (d) demands security for good behaviour ;
- (e) discharges a person lawfully bound to be of good behaviour.
- (f) cancels a bond to keep the peace ;
- (g) makes an order under section 133 as to a local nuisance ;
- (h) prohibits under section 143 the repetition or continuance of a public nuisance ;
- (i) issues an order under section 144 ;
- (j) makes an order under Chapter XII ;
- (k) takes cognizance under section 191, clause (c), of an offence ;

(l) passes a sentence under section 349, on proceedings recorded by another Magistrate;

(m) calls under section 435, for proceedings;

(n) makes an order for maintenance;

(o) revises under section 515, an order passed under section 514;

(p) tries an offender;

(q) tries an offender summarily; or

This has been held to apply to a case in which although the Magistrate has been empowered to hold summary trials he adopted that form of trial when the offence charged was one to which it had not been extended.—*Khetter Mohun Chowringhee*, 22 W. R., 42.

(r) decides an appeal;
his proceedings shall be void.

Schedules III. IV specify the ordinary powers of Magistrates of the several classes and offices and the additional powers with which and by whom they can be invested.

531 [S. 70; Act IV, 1877, S. 24.] No finding, sentence or order of

Proceedings in wrong place. any Criminal Court shall be set aside merely on the ground that the inquiry, trial or other proceeding in the course of which it was arrived at or passed took place in a wrong Sessions Division, District, Sub-division or other local area, unless it appears that such error occasioned a failure of justice.

"Failure of justice," or, as expressed in S. 70 of the Code of 1872, "that the accused person was actually prejudiced in his defence, or the prosecutor in his prosecution, by such error."

S. 531, applies to "other proceedings" that is, proceedings not an inquiry or trial of a person accused of an offence, but miscellaneous proceedings such as an investigation by the Police, and it contemplates that, but for some defect in local jurisdiction, the Magistrate was competent to deal with the particular matter.

The introduction of the words "local area" provides for a case in which the local jurisdiction is not confined to the same Province or High Court, a defect in S. 70 of the Code of 1872, pointed out in the case of *Hiramun Ayah*, 21 W. R., 66, (S. C.) 13 B. L. R., 4 app.

532 [S. 33; Act X, 1875, S. 25.] If any Magistrate or other autho-

When irregular commitments may be validated. rity purporting to exercise powers duly conferred, which were not so conferred, commits an accused person for trial before a Court of Session or High Court, the Court to which the commitment is made may, after perusal of the proceedings, accept the commitment if it considers that the accused has not been injured thereby, unless, during the inquiry and before the order of commitment, objection was made on behalf either of the accused or of the prosecution to the jurisdiction of such Magistrate or other authority.

If such Court considers that the accused was injured, or if such objection was so made, it shall quash the commitment, and direct a fresh inquiry by a competent Magistrate.

S. 532 contemplates that the particular Magistrate was competent to deal with the offence as having taken place within the local limits of his jurisdiction or within any special jurisdiction conferred by the Code, but that he was not competent to make the commitment to the Court of Session or High Court (*Jagannath*, 1 L. R., 3 All., 258) either because he was not empowered to make such commitment (S. 206) or was not a Magistrate competent to proceed against the accused person, an European British subject (S. 443). Where it was objected that the Magistrate had acted without jurisdiction because after examining four witnesses he discharged the accused, and then finding another witness in attendance he examined him and committed the accused for trial by the Court of Session, the Madras High Court held that the commitment was good, because there was no question regarding the jurisdiction of the Magistrate to commit, and there was nothing to show that the accused had been prejudiced by the irregularity, that being the crucial test of a commitment by a Magistrate without jurisdiction.—*Pro. Nov. 28, 1874. Weir*, 281.

It lies with the party impugning the correctness of taken without jurisdiction—*Koomeroodee Sikdar*, 12 Cal. judicial and official acts have been regularly performed. If a commitment was made solely on evidence taken under S. 518 after he had pleaded to the charge, the Sessions Judge refused to quashed, the High Court remarked that, as the accused had pleaded entitled to be tried, and that, if the Sessions Judge was of opinion that the prosecution had not made a wrong exercise of his discretion, the proper basis for the reception under S. 518 of evidence taken by the Magistrate in the absence of the accused, he should adjourn the trial and summon the material witnesses.—In the matter of *Bagambar*, 12 Cal. L. R., 120.

In *De re Khamir*, 10 Cal. L. R., (S. C.) 1. L. R., 7 Cal., 662, the commitment was made by order of the Sessions Judge although he was empowered by law only to direct further inquiry to be made, and the accused had had no notice to appear and show cause against such an order, the High Court, however, on the appeal of the accused refused to set aside the conviction and sentence holding that three irregularities should have been brought to notice before the trial was held, and that they had not caused any failure of justice.

Where a Magistrate committed a prisoner on a charge, which he himself was fully competent to try, the commitment was cancelled, and he was directed to hold the trial himself.—*In re Anant Kalburto*, 17 W. R., 14.

533 [S. 346, last para.] If any Court before which a confession or other statement of an accused person recorded under provisions of section 164 or section 364 is tendered in evidence finds that the provisions of such section have not been fully complied with by the Magistrate recording the statement, it shall take evidence that such person duly made the statement recorded; and, notwithstanding anything contained in the Indian Evidence Act, section 91, such statement shall be admitted if the error has not injured the accused as to his defence on the merits.

S. 533, it will be seen, applies to a confession or statement recorded under S. 164, and thus removes many of the difficulties experienced under the Code of 1872, S. 346 of which failed to extend this remedial provision to a confession or statement recorded under S. 122.—See *Bai Ratan*, 10 Bomb., 166 and other cases.

It should also be noted that S. 533 confers the power on any Court, not, like S. 346 of the Code of 1872, only on a Court of Session. If the Magistrate be required to attend to give evidence, S. 131 of the Evidence Act should be borne in mind:—No Judge or Magistrate shall, except on order of some Court to which he is subordinate, be compelled to answer any questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Judge or Magistrate, or as to anything which came to his knowledge in Court as such Judge or Magistrate; but he may be examined as to other matters whilst he was so acting. *Illustration.* A, on his trial before the Court of Session, says that a deposition was improperly taken by B, the Magistrate. B cannot be compelled to answer questions as to this, except upon special order of a superior Court.—It seems to have been doubted in the case of *Empress v. Kuddar Khan*, 1. L. R., 8 All., 573 *FULL BENCH*, whether the Court of Session and not the District Magistrate was the Court superior to that of a Magistrate. The present Code, however, in describing relations between the District Magistrate and other Magistrates in the District sometimes uses the word "subordinate," and sometimes "inferior;" S. 17 declares that all Magistrates in a District shall be subordinate to the District Magistrate but inferiority would depend on a consideration of the class to which any particular Magistrate might belong (S. 6) and this expression, as used in Ss. 435, 436, and elsewhere, would probably refer to their relation in the matter of appeals, in which case, a Magistrate of the first class would be judicially inferior not to the District Magistrate, who is himself only a Magistrate of that class appointed by Government to be the District Magistrate (S. 10), but to the Court of Session which alone can hear appeals from him.

In order to remedy an omission or irregularity in recording a confession, evidence should be taken that it was duly recorded. Such evidence must be taken before the Court holding the trial unless it is receivable under S. 83 of the Evidence Act or any such special circumstances recognised by law. The deposition of the officer who recorded the confession taken before the committing Magistrate is otherwise not admissible before the Sessions Court.—*Noohai Mistree and another*, 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 688.

534 [S. 85.] An omission to ask any person whether he is an European British subject in a case to which the second clause of section 454 applies shall not affect the validity of any proceedings.

Omission to ask question prescribed by section 454, clause 2.

* If, however, a Magistrate, having reason to believe that an accused is an European British subject, omits to ask him whether he is such, and proceeds to try him as if he were not one, he would lay himself open to an action for trespass.—See *Caldor v. Halkett*, 2 Moore Ind. App., 293 and other cases quoted in the note to S. 454 ante.

535 [S. 216, *Expl. I, II.*] No finding or sentence pronounced or passed shall be deemed invalid merely on the ground that no charge was framed, unless, in the opinion of the Court of appeal or revision, a failure of justice has been occasioned thereby.

If the Court of appeal or revision thinks that a failure of justice has been occasioned by an omission to frame a charge, it shall order that a charge shall be framed, and that the trial be recommenced from the point immediately after the framing of the charge.

This section does not refer to the proceedings of a Court of Confirmation under Chapter XXVII, Ss. 374—380, probably because under Ss. 375—380 such Court has the power to order, or itself to make, any further inquiry into any point bearing upon the guilt or innocence of the convicted or accused person.

536 [S. 233, *Expl.*] If an offence triable with the aid of assessors is tried by a jury, the trial shall not on that ground only be invalid.

If an offence triable by a jury is tried with the aid of assessors, the trial shall not, on that ground only, be invalid, unless, the objection is taken before the Court records its finding.

When an accused is charged at the same trial with several offences of which some are, and some are not, triable by Jury, he shall be tried by Jury for all such offences.—S. 269, last clause. A Sessions Judge cannot, after trying a case by Jury and taking their verdict, before passing sentence treat the trial as held with the aid of assessors and the verdict of the Jury as the opinions of assessors, passing his own judgment on the facts.—*Bhootnath Dey and others*, 4 Cal. L. R., 405.

537 [S. 203, para. 3; S. 283, paras. 1, 2; Ss. 300, 464, paras. 6, 7; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 31, 117, 177, 178.] Subject to the provisions hereinbefore contained, no finding, sentence or order passed by a Court of competent jurisdiction shall be reversed or altered under Chapter XXVII or on appeal or revision on account—

of any error, omission or irregularity in the complaint, summons, warrant, charge, judgment or other proceedings before or during trial or in any inquiry or other proceeding under this Code, or

of the want of any sanction required by section 195, or of the omission to revise any list of jurors or assessors in accordance with section 324, or

of any misdirection in any charge to a jury; unless such error, omission, irregularity, want or misdirection has occasioned a failure of justice.

"Failure of justice."

This will probably be interpreted to mean, as was expressed in S. 70 of the Code of 1872, that "the accused has been actually prejudiced in his defence, or the prosecutor "in his prosecution by such error;" prejudiced has been held to mean, being unfairly affected as to his defence on the "merits."—*Deva Doyal*, 11 Bomb., 237. As was further stated in that case, the intention of the Legislature is to remedy defects of a formal character which may have arisen through inadvertence or neglect—defects which the law and the Legislature think ought not to be made the means of culprits escaping the just penalties of their crimes.

Although affidavits may contradict the finding of a Magistrate so as to show a want of jurisdiction they cannot be used as affording materials for reviewing his decision; when the charge is such that, if true, it would give the Magistrate jurisdiction, his decision is final.—Reg. v. Nathalal Pitambar, 10 Bomb. 102.

540 [Ss. 192, 351; Act X., 1875, S. 80; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 85, 184.]

Power to summon material witness, or examine person present.

Any Court may, at any stage of any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Code, summon any person as a witness, or examine any person in attendance, though not summoned as a witness, or recall and re-examine any person already examined; and the Court shall summon and examine or recall and re-examine any such person if his evidence appears to it essential to the just decision of the case.

In forwarding an application for summons for the attendance of a witness residing in a native State, care should be taken to give such a description of him that he may be easily identified. Thus, for instance, besides his name and his father's name, the requisition should indicate his age, caste, and village, and it should be mentioned if his village is in the neighbourhood of any well-known town. The probable time during which he will be detained should also be stated, and in fixing the date when his appearance is required, reasonable time should be given to allow of his being found and sent off. When practicable the *batta* allowed by Government orders for the expenses of witnesses should be transmitted at the time of sending the requisition.—Bomb. H. Ct. Cir., p. 41.

A Magistrate does not wisely exercise the discretion which S. 540 confers on him, if without good reason he allows witnesses on the part of the prosecution to be interposed in the midst of the case of the accused. But it is entirely within the discretion of a Magistrate to admit evidence on either side, at any stage of the trial, when he may think it necessary to do so for the purposes of justice.—*In re Kusse Singh*, 21 W. R., 61.

There is nothing to prevent a Magistrate from examining as a witness for the prosecution a person who has been suspected and arrested for the offence under trial, and who has not been discharged.—*Behari Lal Bose*, 7 W. R., 44. So also, a person apprehended by the Police and brought before the Magistrate together with the accused is a competent witness, provided that, at the time he was examined, he was not charged with the accused and upon his trial.—*Narayan Sundar*, 5 Bomb., Crown Cases.

The Judge (and this term apparently includes a Magistrate) may, in order to discover or obtain proof of relevant facts, ask any question he pleases, in any form, at any time, of any witness or of the parties, about any fact relevant or irrelevant; and neither the parties nor their agents shall be entitled to make any objection to any such question; nor without leave of the Court (this term expressly includes a Magistrate) to cross-examine any witness upon any answer given in reply to any such question.—Evidence Act (I, 1872), S. 165.

On the examination-in-chief being finished, the Sessions Judge questioned almost all the witnesses at considerable length upon the very points to which he must have known that the cross-examination would certainly and properly be directed. The result of this was to render the cross-examination of the Pleders to a great extent ineffective by assisting the witnesses to explain away in anticipation the points which may have afforded proper ground for useful cross-examination. It is not the province of a Court to examine the witnesses unless the Pleders on either side have omitted to put some material question: the Court should as a rule leave the witnesses to be dealt with by the Pleders as laid down in S. 138.—*Noor Bux Kazi*, 7 Cal. L. R., 385: (S. C.) 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 370.

Where the Sessions Judge thinks it necessary to call one of the witnesses for the prosecution examined before the Magistrate but not called on the part of the prosecution, for the purpose of eliciting some facts which he considered necessary for the prosecution, the prisoner ought to be allowed an opportunity of putting any questions he thinks proper in cross-examination.—*Griah Chander Talukdar*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal., 614: (S. C.) 5 Cal., L. R., 264.

When the Counsel for the prisoner has examined or declined to cross-examine a witness, and the Court afterwards of its own motion examined him, the witness cannot then, without the permission of the Court, be subjected to cross-examination. When after the examination of a witness by the complainant and the defendant the Court takes him in hand, he is put under special pressure, as the Judge is empowered to ask any question he pleases in any form about any fact relevant or irrelevant. (S. 165); and he is therefore at the same time placed under the special protection of the Court, which may, at its discretion, allow a party to cross-examine him, but this cannot be asked for as a matter of right.

The principle applies equally whether it is intended to direct the examination to the witness's statement of facts, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his

credit tends, or is so designed, to get rid of the effect of all his answers and of each of them just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or a contradiction. It is then a cross-examination upon answers, upon every answer given to the Court, and is therefore, to the Court's control.—Sakharam Makundji, 11 Bomb., 166.

541 [S. 88.] Unless when otherwise provided by any law for the time being in force, the Local Government may direct in what place any person liable to be imprisoned or committed to custody under this Code shall be confined.

In MADRAS, the Madras Penitentiary, the European Jail at Ootacamund and the Central Jails at Rajahmundry, Salem, Coimbatore, Trichinopoly, Vellore and Cannanore (*Gaz.*, December 24, 1873, p. 2065); in BOMBAY, the City Jail at Poona, the Jail at Yerrowla near Poona, the District Jail at Kurrachi, and the Jail at Aden (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 99); and the District Jails at Ahmedabad, Surat and Sattara (provided that the sentence does not exceed one month) and the District Jail at Kanara (provided the sentence does not exceed three months) (*Gaz.*, 1874, p. 297); in the PUNJAB, the Central Jail at Lahore, and the District Jails of Peshawar, Rawul Pindie, Mooltan, Umballa, and Delhi (*Gaz.* 1873, p. 76); in the CENTRAL PROVINCES, the Nagpore Central Jail and the Jails at Jubbulpore and Raipore (*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 80); and in BENGAL, the Presidency Jail, the Hazareebaugh Penitentiary, and the Jails at Bhaugulpore, Midnapore, Rajshahye, Cachar, Dacca, Darjeeling, Chittagong, Cuttack, Tezpur, and Patna, and the Dinapore Lock-up (*Cal. Gaz.*, 1873, p. 18)—have all been appointed as places for the confinement of European British subjects sentenced to imprisonment.

542 [Act IV, 1877, S. 139.] Notwithstanding anything contained in the Prisoners' Testimony Act, 1869, any Presidency Magistrate desirous of examining, as a witness or an accused person, in any case pending before him, any person confined in any jail within the local limits of his jurisdiction, may issue an order to the officer in charge of the said jail requiring him to bring such prisoner in proper custody, at a time to be therein named, to the Magistrate for examination.

The officer so in charge, on receipt of such order, shall act in accordance therewith, and shall provide for the safe custody of the prisoner during his absence from the jail for the purpose aforesaid.

Under S. 7 of the Prisoner's Testimony Act, XV of 1869, an order of the High Court used to be necessary in such cases.

543 [S. 422; Act X, 1875, S. 70.] When the services of an interpreter are required by any Criminal Court for the interpretation of any evidence or statement, he shall be bound to state the true interpretation of such evidence or statement.

Whenever any evidence is given in a language not understood by the accused and he is present in person, it shall be interpreted to him in open Court in a language understood by him.

If he appears by pleader and the evidence is given in a language other than the language of the Court, and not understood by the pleader, it shall be interpreted to such pleader in that language.

When documents are put in for the purpose of formal proof, it shall be in the discretion of the Court to interpret as much thereof as appears necessary. S. 361.

The Oaths Act (X of 1873, S. 5) enacts that:—

Oaths or affirmations shall be administered to interpreters of questions put to and evidence given by witnesses, but nothing therein contained shall render it necessary to administer to the official interpreter of any Court, after he has entered on duties of his office, an oath or affirmation that he will faithfully discharge those duties.

The following forms of oaths and affirmations have been prescribed by the several High Courts:—

By the CALCUTTA HIGH COURT: Cir. 12, June 7, 1873. Wilkins, 87.

Oath.

I swear that I will well and truly interpret, translate and explain all questions and answers, and all such matters as the Court may require me to interpret, translate and explain.

So help me God.

(*Affirmation.*)

I solemnly declare that I will well and truly interpret, translate and explain all questions and answers, and all such matters as the Court may require me to interpret, translate or explain.

By the MADRAS HIGH COURT. Aug. 16, 1873.

(*Oath.*)

You shall true interpretation make of the questions put to and the evidence given by the witnesses before the Court according to the best of your skill and understanding. So help you God.

(*Affirmation.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I will truly interpret the questions put to and the evidence given by the witnesses before the Court according to the best of my skill and understanding.

By the ALLAHABAD HIGH COURT (Cir. 4, May 2, 1873); and by the CHIEF COURT, PANJAB, Cir. X, May 8, 1873, Smyth, pp. 233, 234.

(*Oath.*)

I shall well and truly interpret what is deposed by the witness between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar. So help me God.

(*Affirmation.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I shall well and truly interpret what is deposed by the witness between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar.

544 [S. 421; Act X, 1875, S. 116; Act IV, 1877, S. 245.] Subject

Expenses of complainants and witnesses. to any rules made by the Local Government with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, any Criminal Court may order payment, on the part of Government, of the reasonable expenses of any complainant or witness attending for the purpose of any inquiry, trial or other proceeding before such Court under this Code.

The following amended rules have been issued by the Government of Bengal for the expenses of complainants and witnesses attending the Criminal Courts:—

The Criminal Courts are authorized to pay, at the rates specified below, the expenses of complainants or witnesses (1) in cases in which the prosecution is instituted or carried on by or under the orders or with the sanction of the Government, or any Judge, Magistrate, or other public officer, or in which it shall appear to the presiding officer to be directly in furtherance of the interests of the public service; (2) in all cases entered in column 6 of the Schedule appended to the Criminal Procedure Code as not bailable, and (3) of witnesses in all cases in which they are compelled by the Magistrate to attend under the provisions of S. 540 of the Code.

No payment shall be made by Government for witnesses summoned at the instance of the complainant under S. 244, unless the prosecution appears to the Court of Magistrate to be *in furtherance of the interests of public justice*, but under that section the Magistrates may require the complainants to pay their expenses.

Rates of Payment.

(a.) For the ordinary labouring class of natives, two annas per diem, together with actual railway fare by the lowest class.

(b.) For natives of higher rank in life, third class railway fare and four annas per diem for subsistence.

(c.) For Europeans and natives of superior rank, second class railway fare and an allowance according to circumstances not exceeding three rupees per diem for subsistence.

(d.) For witnesses following any profession, such as Medicine or Law, a special allowance according to circumstances.

(e.) For Government servants, actual travelling expenses only.

(f.) In districts where no railway exists, and in parts of Eastern Bengal where the only mode of travelling is by water, and in cases where persons travel by rapid *dhak* by road, the actual expenses up to a maximum limit of two rupees per boat *per diem*, and four annas per mile for travelling by road, may be paid, subject to the proviso that the travelling allowance is only to be given when the journey could not have been performed on foot, or in cases of persons whose age, position, or habits of life render it impossible for them to walk.

Officers will be held responsible that parties of witnesses are brought to Court together, as far as possible, so as to save expense. Each person should not be allowed to charge for his own boat; and, if a passage is offered him with others, he will have no claim for travelling allowance.

The number of days which should be allowed for the passage to and from will be determined by the officer ordering the payment in each case. For this purpose a table should be prepared and kept in each Court, showing the distance of each *thannah* from the *sudder* station and subordinate stations, and the number of intermediate ferries to be crossed; the existence or absence of roads or waterways being also noted in the table.—Govt. Cir. 68, May 30, 1873.

Since the promulgation of these rules, the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal has announced that payment of the expenses of complainants and witnesses need not be offered or made in every case covered by the rules, but it is within the discretion of the Courts to offer or make such payments, and that they should do so whenever they think it right whether an application for payment be made or not.—Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 90, July 19, 1873.

The following orders were subsequently issued in further explanation of the rules:—

The law and order of Government leave it entirely to the discretion of the Court to pay or not to pay the expenses of witnesses, and this discretion must be exercised without reference to the fact of their having appeared for the prosecution or defence, or any supposed estimate of the means of the accused.

Payment of witnesses' expenses by Government is limited to heinous cases, and, as a rule, if the Judge believes that the witnesses were reasonably summoned without collusion or conspiracy on their part, he should pay their expenses whether the prisoner is convicted or not; but if he thinks they are false and unnecessary witnesses engaged in a conspiracy to defeat justice, or that an unreasonable number are summoned, he may refuse the payment of their expenses.—Govt. of Bengal, Cir. 151, Dec. 16, 1873.

No necessary payment should be withheld because the budget allotment of any Court may have been expended. A fresh assignment will be made on application to Government.—Govt. of Bengal Cir. 147, Dec. 9, 1873.

The following rules on the subject are in force in MADRAS:—

The Criminal Courts are authorized to pay at the rates specified in Rule III, the expenses of complainants and witnesses in cases in which the prosecution is instituted or carried on by or under the orders or with the sanction of the Government, or of any Judge, Magistrate, or other public officer, or when it shall appear to the Judge or Magistrate presiding over such Court to be directly for or in furtherance of the interest of public justice; also in cases entered in column 5 of Schedule II, appended to the Code of Criminal Procedure as non-bailable; and in all cases in which the witnesses are compelled to attend by a Magistrate under the provisions of S. 540 of the Code.

II.—For the purposes of these rules, Europeans, East Indians, and natives shall be divided into three classes, and the Judge or Magistrate before whom they are required to appear, either as complainants or as witnesses, shall be careful to fix the class with due regard to the station in life occupied by each complainant or witness.

III.—Travelling allowance and *batta* shall be paid at the rates specified below:—

EUROPEANS OR EAST INDIANS.						
Travelling Allowance.			1st Class.	2nd Class.	3rd Class.	
By rail	1st class fare.	2nd class fare.	3rd class fare.	
By road	8 As. per mile.	4 As. per mile.	2 As. per mile.	
By sea or canal...	Actual expenses	of passage.		
Batta not to exceed	3 Rs. per diem.	1 R. per diem.	8 As. per diem.	
			NATIVES.			
Travelling Allowance.			1st Class.	2nd Class.	3rd Class.	
By rail	1st class fare.	2nd class fare.	3rd class fare.	
By road	6 As. per mile.	2 As. per mile.	2 As. per mile.	
By sea or canal...	Actual expenses	of passage.		
Batta not to exceed	1 R. per diem.	8 As. per diem.	4 As. per diem.	

IV.—The distance for which mileage, and the number of days for which *batta*, should be allowed for the journey to and from the station at which the Court is held, and for attendance at Court, shall be determined by the Judge or Magistrate ordering the payment in each case.

V.—All bills for travelling allowance and *batta* to complainants and witnesses attending before the Courts of Magistrates of the second or third class shall be scrutinized by the Magistrate of the Division in which such Courts are situated, before the charges included in them are finally passed.

VI.—Whenever a Magistrate dismisses a case as frivolous or vexatious, under S. 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, no travelling allowance or *batta* shall be granted to the complainant in such case.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 1096.

The following rules are in force in BOMBAY :—

I.—The Criminal Courts are authorized to pay, at the rates specified below, the expenses of complainants or witnesses (1) in cases in which the prosecution is instituted or carried on by or under the orders or with the sanction of the Government, or any Judge, Magistrate, or any other public officer, or in which it shall appear to the presiding officer to be directly in furtherance of the interests of the public service; (2) in all cases entered in column 5 of the Schedule appended to the Criminal Procedure Code as not bailable; and (3) of witnesses in all cases in which they are compelled by the Magistrate of his own motion to attend under the provisions of S. 540 of the Code.

(a.) European and East Indian witnesses in the mofussil,* when summoned by a Criminal Court to give evidence, are to be allowed their actual expenses for carriage, when the same are not in excess of six annas a mile. They are also to be allowed a sum not exceeding Rs. 2-8 a day for subsistence if they demand the same.

(b.) European and East Indian witnesses coming from the mofussil to attend trials at the High Court, are to be remunerated as follows :—

1st Class.—Each person coming under this class to be allowed eight annas a mile as travelling expenses for himself and a servant; five rupees a day as hotel allowance while in Bombay; and two rupees for carriage hire for each day he may have to attend the High Court.

2nd Class.—Persons under this class to have their actual travelling expenses, three rupees a day as board expenses in Bombay, and one rupee palkee hire for each day of attendance at the High Court.

3rd Class.—Persons of this class to have their actual travelling expenses, and Rs. 1-8 a day as boarding allowance.

NOTE.—The Magistrate or other authority who sends a witness to the High Court shall determine to which of the above classes he belongs.

(c.) As a general rule, Native witnesses of the better class, as Patels, Panderpeshas, Merchants, Vakeels, and persons of corresponding rank, as well as all witnesses who are in no way concerned in the case in which their evidence is given, but whose evidence is required for furthering the ends of justice (such as attesting witnesses to depositions and inquest-reports, provided they can read and write) are to be allowed six annas a day as subsistence money, and they are also to receive railway and other travelling expenses that have been actually incurred by them, provided the same be reasonable.

(d.) Native witnesses of the class of cultivators and menials, who would not, under ordinary circumstances, voluntarily incur any expense on account of special lodging when away from home, are to be allowed subsistence money at the rate of four annas a day, and are also to receive railway and other travelling expenses actually incurred by them, provided the same be reasonable.

II.—Peculiar cases (that is cases not coming under the operations of clauses (a), (b), (c) and (d) of Rule I) are to be dealt with according to their own merits, and at the discretion of the Court from which subsistence money or travelling allowance is demanded.

III.—When a witness lives in the same town or village in which the Court, before which he is required to give evidence, is situated, the Court may award him such sum, not exceeding four annas a day, as may compensate him for any loss he may have incurred by attendance upon the Court.

Subsistence allowance should be paid to witnesses day by day as it may become due; payment should not be deferred until the conclusion of a trial.—*Bomb. H. Ct. Cir.*, p. 42.

The following rules are in force in BRITISH BURMAH :—

I.—No expenses of complainants or witnesses in any criminal trial will be paid without a certificate from the Judge, or Magistrate, or Bench of Magistrates before whom the trial may have been held that the prosecution was deemed to be in furtherance of the interests of public justice, and that the expenses of the complainants and witnesses should therefore be borne by the State.

II.—If such certificate be granted in a "summons" case, it will be necessary for the Court granting the certificate to record its reasons for considering the case to be of such a nature. These reasons will be forwarded to the Commissioner of the Division, who will note any necessary instructions for future guidance.

* Any place outside the limits of the Town of Bombay, but within the Presidency of Bombay, or any place outside the local limits of the Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction of the High Court at Bombay, but within the Presidency of Bombay.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 454.

III.—Witnesses summoned under S. 540 of the Criminal Procedure Code may, in special cases, be allowed their expenses, if the presiding officer certifies that their deposition was considered necessary to further the interests of public justice.

IV.—On production of the certificate, the complainants and witnesses will be entitled to receive expenses at the following scale on account of their journeys to and from the Court, and for the days during which they have been absent from their houses for the purposes of the trial.

Provided that the allowance for each day's attendance shall not be passed to Government Officers and others remunerated by a fixed salary when attending a Court at the same station as their own. Provided, further, that the allowances passed by Government servants and others receiving fixed salaries shall be calculated by a consideration of the increased expenses actually incurred by them in consequence of their absence from home, and that the full allowance shall ordinarily only be paid to professional witnesses—such as lawyers, medical men, or merchants—in consideration of their loss of time.

1st Class.—Gazetted or Commissioned Officers of Government, European or Native gentlemen.

Actual sum spent in travelling or in conveyance to and from the Court, with an allowance according to circumstances, not to exceed, except in very special cases, Rs. 6 for each day's absence from their residence to European, and Rs. 2 to Native gentlemen.

2nd Class.—Clerks and Ministerial Officers and other Government officials in a similar position. Native Officers of Regiments, Eurasian or Native Trades-people, and other persons in a similar position.

Actual sum spent in travelling, with an allowance not to exceed Rs. 3 for each day's absence from home to European or Eurasian, and Rs. 1 to Native.

3rd Class.—All others not included in the above:—six annas a day, whether spent in attendance at the Court or in travelling, to those who are residents of another place than that where the Court is held; four annas a day to those who are residents of the place where the Court is held.

V.—The amount will be disbursed at the conclusion of the trials under the orders of the Judge, or Magistrate, or Bench of Magistrates, trying the case, whose duty it will be to check the statement of charges and deduct any charge which is unauthorized, or extravagant, or unsuitable to the station in life of the complainant or witness.

VI.—In cases committed to the Court of Session the Magistrate who commits the case will note in the list of witnesses the class to which each belongs.

VII.—The expenditure on this account will be adjusted as a contingent charge of the Court trying the case.

VIII.—The number of days which should be allowed for the passage to and from the Court will be determined by the Judge, Magistrate, or Bench in each case. For this purpose a table shall be prepared showing the distance of the station at which Court is situate from the other stations in the district, the number of intermediate ferries to be crossed, and existence or absence of roads or waterways.—*Gaz.*, 1873, Part IV, p. 113.

The following rules are in force in the CENTRAL PROVINCES, *Gaz.*, 1875, Part II, p. 189.

The Criminal Courts may, at their discretion, pay, at the rates specified below, the expenses of complainants and witnesses in all cases which are cognizable by the Police; in all cases entered in column 5 of the Schedule appended to the Criminal Procedure Code as not bailable; in all cases in which the witnesses are compelled to attend by the Magistrate, under S. 540 of the Code of Criminal Procedure; and in cases where the prosecution is instituted or carried on by or under the orders or with the sanction of Government or any Judge, Magistrate, or public officer, or in which the presiding officer thinks the prosecution to be directly in furtherance of the interests of the public.

Class I.—Rs. 3 per diem. All Europeans and Eurasians of the higher and middle classes, and Natives of the higher classes.

Class II.—Rs. 1 per diem. Other Europeans and Eurasians, and Natives of respectability generally, such as zemindars and tradesmen of the better sort.

Class III.—Annas 4 per diem. Natives below the preceding class but with some status, such as inferior zemindars, petty tradesmen, &c.

Class IV.—Annas 2 per diem. All Natives not included in the above classes, such as day-labourers, &c.

The Court shall have absolute discretion to determine, for the purposes of these rules, to what class any person belongs. All persons residing within 6 miles of the Court may be considered as able to come in and return on the same day, and should, therefore, be held entitled to one day's subsistence. Those residing from 6 to 12 miles may come in one day and return the next; they should, therefore, draw two days' subsistence, and so on, an extra day for every 6 miles; or, in other words, every witness may be allowed a day's allowance for every 12 miles or part of 12 miles he has to travel.

In some cases it may be found necessary to order witnesses to appear a second time. It will be for the Court to determine whether they are justified in remaining at the place where the Court sits, or should return to their homes for the time preceding the second date of hearing; in the former

case they may be allowed subsistence for every day they are detained; in the latter, may be paid a second time for the journey to and from Court.

Nothing beyond actual travelling expenses shall be paid to Government servants and employes in Government offices as it is the public time, and not their own time which is taken up by their having to attend as witnesses.—*Gaz.*, 1873, p. 118.

The following rules are in force in Oude:—

The Criminal Courts may pay, at the rates specified below, the expenses—

(a.) Of complainants and witnesses summoned to attend the Courts in all Sessions cases, and inquiries into cases triable by the Courts of Session or High Court (subject to the provisions of S. 216 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in respect of unnecessary witnesses for the defence).

(b.) Of the complainants and witnesses in all warrant cases.

(c.) Of witnesses for the defence in those warrant cases only in which the Magistrate does not consider it necessary to act in the discretionary power granted him by Ss. 208, 252 of requiring deposit of the expenses of a witness before summoning him.

Class I.—Rs. 3 per diem. All Europeans and Eurasians of the higher and middle classes, and Natives of the higher classes.

Class II.—Rs. 1 per diem. Other Europeans and Eurasians and Natives of respectability, generally such as zemindars and tradesmen of the better sort.

Class III.—Annas 4 per diem. Natives below the preceding class but with some status, as inferior zemindars, petty tradesmen, &c.

Class IV.—Annas 2 per diem. All Natives not included in the above classes such as day-labourers, &c.

2. Nothing beyond actual travelling expenses shall be paid to Government servants.

3. The Courts shall have absolute discretion to determine, for the purposes of these rules, to what class any person belongs.

4. All persons residing within 6 miles of the Court may be considered as able to come in and return on the same day, and should, therefore, be held entitled to one day's subsistence. Those residing from 6 to 12 miles may come in on one day and return the next, they should, therefore, draw two days' subsistence, and so on; an extra day for every 6 miles, or in other words, every witness may be allowed a day's allowance for every 12 miles or part of 12 miles he has to travel.

5. These instructions have reference only to the time occupied by witnesses in coming and going, and they could receive the diet-money due to their class for each additional day that they may be kept in attendance by the Court. For some cases it may be found necessary to order witnesses to appear a second time. It will then be for the Court to determine whether they are justified in remaining at the place where the Court sits, or should return to their homes for the time preceding the second date of hearing; in the former case they may be allowed subsistence allowance for every day they are detained; in the latter they may be paid a second time for the journey to and from Court.—*Oude Gaz.*, Part II, p. 34.

The following rules are in force in the PUNJAB:—

The Criminal Courts are authorized to pay, at the rates specified below, the expenses of complainants or witnesses (1) in cases in which the prosecution is instituted or carried on by, or under the orders, or with the sanction of, the Government, or any Judge, Magistrate, or any other public officer, or in which it shall appear to the presiding officer to be directly in furtherance of the interests of the public service; (2) in all cases entered in column 5 of the Schedule appended to the Criminal Procedure Code as not bailable; (3) in all cases which are cognizable by the Police; and (4) of witnesses in all cases in which they are compelled by the Magistrate of his own motion to attend under S. 540 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

No payment shall be made by Government to witnesses summoned at the instance of the complainant under S. 244, unless the prosecution appear to the Court or Magistrate to be in furtherance of the interests of public justice; but under this section the Magistrate may require the complainants to pay their expenses.

A.—RATES OF SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE, THAT IS, ALLOWANCE FOR EACH DAY'S NECESSARY ABSENCE FROM RESIDENCE AND ATTENDANCE AT COURT.

Natives.

(a.) For the ordinary labouring class, two annas per diem.

(b.) For witnesses of a somewhat higher grade, four annas per diem.

(c.) For witnesses not included in classes (a) and (b) a sum not exceeding Re. 1 per diem.

Europeans.

(d.) For ordinary European workmen, a sum not exceeding Re. 1 per diem.

(e.) For European tradesmen and other Europeans of similar rank, a sum not exceeding Rs. 3 per diem.

(f.) For witnesses of either nationality not coming within the scope of the abovementioned classes, a special allowance according to circumstances.

The Court in which a complainant or witness appears shall determine the class under which the complainant or witness shall be ranked.

B.—TRAVELLING RATES.

When the journey is made by rail, for classes (a) and (b) third class fare.

For class (c), second class fare.

For class (d), second or third class fare at the direction of the Court.

For class (e), second class fare.

For class (f), the fare actually paid.

When the journey is made otherwise than by rail, the necessary and actual expenses of carriage may be paid at the discretion of the Court; provided the expense incurred does not exceed eight annas a mile, and provided that the journey could not have been made on foot, or in the case of persons whose age, position, or habits of life render it impossible for them to walk. To natives in class (c) and Europeans in class (f) a further sum may be allowed to cover the cost of carriage hire to and from Court on the days of attendance at Court.—Smyth, pp. 123—125.

545 [S. 308, paras 1, 2, 3; Act X, 1875, S. 106; Act IV, 1877,

S. 186.] Whenever, under any law in force for the time being, a Criminal Court imposes a fine, or confirms in appeal, revision or otherwise a sentence of fine, or a sentence of which fine forms a part, the Court may, when passing judgment, order the whole or any part of the fine recovered to be applied—

(a) in defraying expenses properly incurred in the prosecution;

(b) in compensation for the injury caused by the offence committed, where substantial compensation is, in the opinion of the Court, recoverable by civil suit.

If the fine is imposed in a case which is subject to appeal, no such payment shall be made before the period allowed for presenting the appeal has elapsed, or, if an appeal be presented, before the decision of the appeal.

S. 250 enables a Magistrate in dismissing a summons-case which in his opinion has been brought on a frivolous or vexatious complaint to order the complainant to pay to the accused, or to each of the accused where there are more than one, such compensation not exceeding fifty Rupees, as he thinks fit.

In the Panjab, officers have been desired to give compensation in all cases of a public character, theft, grievous hurt, &c.—Smyth, p. 114.

Compensation may be given in a case of enticing away a wife for injury done to the honour of the husband.—Abhoo, Punj. Rec. 1878, p. 37.

An order directing the accused on conviction to pay the complainant a certain sum is illegal. The Magistrate should pass a sentence of fine, and then direct that out of the sum realized, payment should be made to the complainant of whatever amount he thinks fit. Nor can a Magistrate order the accused persons generally to pay a certain sum as costs of the complainant. It is not a fine and the amount payable by each is thus undetermined.—Mohseh Mondul, 3 Cal. L. R., 404.

The grounds upon which compensation has been awarded should be distinctly stated [Bishonath Mundul, 2 W. R., 58], as well as the specific sums to be paid to each individual, if there are more complainants than one.—6 W. R., 20, C. L.

In any case where the prosecution is on the part of Government, it shall be competent to the Magistrate, if the accused be convicted, to order that the fees which are chargeable under the rules framed under the Court Fees Act, but have not been paid because the prosecution was by Government, shall be paid by the accused or any of them in like manner as if such fees had been paid by the prosecutor in the first instance.—21 W. R., 12, Rules, &c.; Cal. Gaz., 1874, p. 478. This rule would, however, apply only to non-cognizable cases for which alone rules can be made.—See Court Fees Act (VII, 1870), S. 20, Cl. ii.

A Magistrate cannot order that a portion of the fine imposed by him shall be paid to the Ameen employed to hold a local inquiry in the case. It can be paid only to the person who has been injured.—Moorut Lall, 6 W. R., 93. But if such expenses were incurred in an inquiry held under S. 148 of this Code, the Magistrate may direct them to be paid as costs.

An award of compensation should be passed in the presence of the accused, and be a part of the sentence or order made upon conviction. It should also be formed on a statement of the loss, damage, or expenses incurred at the trial. The complainant should, when under examination, state on what grounds he applies for compensation, and the accused will then have the opportunity of disproving his statement, for the accused person has clearly an interest in this, since the award in

favour of the complainant is to form an ingredient in his punishment on conviction.—Gour Churn Dass, 11 W. R., 53.

If the fine has been paid away, there is no mode of compelling its refund on the reversal of the award. The payment should have been withheld until the expiry of the period specified in the last para. of S. 545. If an appeal be preferred, the Appellate Court can order the sentence to be suspended as to the paying over the fine to the party injured until after the decision of the appeal. The Court of first instance should always inform the Appellate Court of such an order, so that payment may be suspended.—6 W. R., 4, C. L.

546 [S. 308, last para.; Act X, 1875, S. 106, last para.] At the time of awarding compensation in any subsequent civil suit relating to the same matter, the Court shall take into account any sum paid or recovered as compensation under section 545.

Payments to be taken into account in subsequent suit.

The "taking into account," referred to in S. 546, means that any sum awarded as compensation by the Magistrate is to be taken into consideration at the time of awarding damages in any subsequent civil suit, not that it is to be deducted from any sum that may be given as damages in such suit.—Love, 22 W. R., 336, *Civil rulings*.

547 Any money (other than a fine) payable by virtue of any order made under this Code shall be recoverable as if it were a fine.

Moneys ordered to be paid recoverable as fines.

This section is new. It would apply to enforcement of an order of maintenance, S. 490. It may be held to authorize an appellant to order repayment of money erroneously paid by an order of the Court of first instance on reversal of that order and thus to overrule 6 W. R., 4 C. L. quoted at the end of the note to S. 545 *ante*.

548 [Ss. 201, 276; Act XI, 1874, S. 25; Act X, 1875, S. 13; Act IV, 1877, S. 170.] If any person affected by a judgment or order passed by a Criminal Court desires to have a copy of the Judge's charge to the jury, or of any order or deposition or other part of the record, he shall, on applying for such copy, be furnished therewith: Provided that he pay for the same, unless the Court, for some special reason, thinks fit to furnish it free of cost.

Copies of proceedings.

Formerly an application for any such copies must have been made on a paper bearing a stamp of one anna.—Act VII, 1870, Sec. 11, Act 1 (a), but these fees under the Court Fees' Act have been remitted.—*Gaz. of India*, 1873, p. 530. Copies of such papers, unless specially exempted by the Magistrate, will be charged at the rate of eight annas for every three hundred and sixty words or fraction of three hundred and sixty words.—*Ibid*, Sec. 1, Art. 9.

Section 548 is subject to the following:—

In exercise of the power conferred by the Court Fees' Act (VII of 1870) S. 35, the Governor-General in Council has remitted the fees leviable on account of the judgment or order passed by a Criminal Court and of a Judge's charge to the Jury furnished on the application of any part affected by such judgment or order, provided that such person is in Jail, or the Court, for some special reason, sees fit to grant such copy free of expense.—(Government of India, Not. 496, dated June 6, 1873. *Wilkins*, 107.

S. 371 *ante* moreover declares that except in a summons-case a copy of the judgment or of a translation, if so required, shall be given to the accused person free of cost; or, in trials by Jury in a Court of Session, copy of the heads of the charge to the Jury.

S. 210 further provides that if the accused so requires it, a copy of the charge shall be given to the accused person free of cost, if the Magistrate finds that there are sufficient grounds for committing him for trial to the Court of Session or High Court; and S. 219 enables the accused, if he so requires, to obtain free of cost a copy of the evidence of any witness examined by a Magistrate after a commitment and before the commencement of the trial.

In order to aid Appellate Courts in computing the period of limitation under para. 2, S. 13 of the Limitation Act (IX, 1871), every Criminal Court, subordinate to the High Court of Bombay, has been ordered to endorse the following particulars on every copy of a judgment, order, or charge to a Jury, furnished under S. 548 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, *viz.*, the date on which the copy was applied for; the date on which it was ready for delivery; the date on which it was delivered. To prevent unauthorized alterations being made, the dates should be written in letters in a distinct

handwriting, and such endorsement should be signed by some responsible officer of the Court on the date to which it refers.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1874, p. 601.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 35 of the Court Fees' Act, VII of 1870, the Governor-General in Council is pleased to remit the fees chargeable under the said Act in respect of—

1st.—Copies of all documents furnished under the orders of any Court or Magistrate to any Government-Advocate or Pleader or other person specially empowered in that behalf for the purpose of conducting any trial or investigation on the part of Government before any Criminal Court.

2nd.—Copies of all documents which any such Advocate, Pleader or other person is required to take in connection with any such trial or investigation for the use of any Court or Magistrate, or may consider necessary for the purpose of advising the Government in connection with any criminal proceeding.

3rd.—Copies of judgments and depositions required by officers of the Police Department for conducting appeals on behalf of Government before any Criminal Court.—*Gaz. India*, July 21, 1879, Part I, p. 382.

On application made by the Magistrate of the District to the Sessions Judge for a copy of any judgment delivered by him, the Judge should permit a copy to be made by any person whom the Magistrate may depute for that purpose. Such copies will be granted to Magistrates and committing officers only for their information and guidance; they are not at liberty to cavil at the judgment of the Sessions Court, or enter into any discussion with the Judge upon the merits.—*Cal. H. Ct. Oir.* 1, 1864. When the Judge's notes form the only record of a case, the parties should be allowed to have copies of such notes on paying the authorized charge for making the same.—*Subbayya Gaundan*, 1 Mad., 138.

Every complainant shall upon showing good cause be entitled to receive certified copies of depositions and all documents recorded in evidence in the case. Such copies shall be made at the expense of the person applying for them.—*Bomb. Gaz.*, 1879, p. 471.

A prisoner is entitled to have copies of all documents for which he asks, and which he thinks necessary for his defence. A Magistrate acts contrary to law in determining whether such copies are necessary or not. He can only determine at the hearing of the case whether the documents filed are or are not admissible as evidence.—*Sheeb Pershad Pandah*, 14 W. R., 77.

The terms of this section apply to all Magistrates. It was held under the previously existing law that all prosecutors whose charges have been dismissed by a Presidency Magistrate are affected by the order of discharge and are therefore entitled to the copies of the orders made by and the depositions taken before the Magistrate.—*Empress v. Dinonath Roy*, 1, Cal. L. R., 190; (S. C.) 1. L. R., 8 Cal., 166.

549 [Reg. (Bengal) XX of 1825.] The Governor General in Council

Delivery to Military authorities of persons liable to be tried by Court-martial.

may make rules, consistent with this Code and the Army Act, 1881, or any similar law for the time being in force, as to the cases in which persons subject to military law shall be tried by a Court to which this Code applies or by Court-martial; and when any person is brought before a Magistrate and charged with an offence for which he is liable, under the Army Act, 1881, section 41, to be tried by a Court-martial, such Magistrate shall have regard to such rules, and shall, in proper cases, deliver him, together with a statement of the offence of which he is accused, to the commanding officer of the regiment, corps, or detachment to which he belongs, or to the commanding officer of the nearest military station, for the purpose of being tried by Court-martial.

Every Magistrate shall, on receiving a written application for that purpose

Apprehension of such persons.

by the commanding officer of any body of troops stationed or employed at any such place, use his utmost endeavours to apprehend and secure any person accused of such offence.

A British-born European Soldier was committed by a Magistrate to the High Court on a charge of murder. Application was made to have the commitment quashed, and the prisoner sent for trial by Court-martial, but it was held that, as the prisoner had been made over by the military authorities to the Magistrate, and the Magistrate had jurisdiction, the commitment was valid.—*Reg. v. Jackson*, 22 W. R., 20 (S. C.) 13. B. L. R., 474. So in *Empress v. Maguire*, 1. L. R., 5 Cal., 124, it

was held that S. 101 of the Mutiny Act was only permissive of a military trial being held, and that as the Court had got possession of the investigation of the offence and the military authorities had not availed themselves of the alternative procedure of trying the offender by a General Court-Martial, the commitment to the Sessions Court was regular, and the trial should proceed.

With regard to para. 2, S. 54 *ante* empowers any Police Officer, without an order from a Magistrate and without a warrant, to arrest any person reasonably suspected of being a deserter from Her Majesty's Army. See note to S. 54.

550 [S. 137.] Police-officers superior in rank to an officer in charge of a Police-station may exercise the same powers, throughout the local area to which they are appointed, as may be exercised by such officer within the limits of his station.

551 [Act IV, 1877, S. 17.] Upon complaint made to a Presidency Magistrate or District Magistrate on oath of the abduction or unlawful detention of a woman, or of a female child under the age of fourteen years, for any unlawful purpose, he may make an order for the immediate restoration of such woman to her liberty, or of such female child to her husband, parent, guardian or other person having the lawful charge of such child, and may compel compliance with such order, using such force as may be necessary.

552 [Act IV, 1877, S. 242.] Whenever any person causes a Police-officer to arrest another person in a Presidency-town, if it appears to the Magistrate by whom the case is heard that there was no sufficient ground for causing such arrest, the Magistrate may award such compensation, not exceeding fifty rupees, to be paid by the person so causing the arrest to the person so arrested for his loss of time and expenses in the matter, as the Magistrate thinks fit.

In such cases, if more persons than one are arrested or complained against, the Magistrate may, in like manner, award to each of them such compensation, not exceeding fifty rupees, as such Magistrate thinks fit,

All compensation awarded under this section may be recovered as if it were a fine, and, if it cannot be so recovered, the person by whom it is payable shall be sentenced to simple imprisonment for such term, not exceeding thirty days, as the Magistrate directs, unless such sum is sooner paid.

553 [Ss. 292, 293.] With the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the High Court at Fort William, and, with the previous sanction of the Local Government, any other High Court established by Royal Charter, may, from time to time, make rules for the inspection of the records of subordinate Courts.

Every High Court not established by Royal Charter may, from time to time, and with the previous sanction of the Local Government,

Power of other High Courts to make rules for other purposes.

(a) make rules for keeping all books, entries and accounts to be kept in all Criminal Courts subordinate to it, and for the preparation and transmission of any returns or statements to be prepared and submitted by such Courts;

(b) frame forms for every proceedings in the said Courts for which it thinks that a form should be provided ;

(c) make rules for regulating its own practice and proceedings of all Criminal Courts subordinate to it ; and

(d) make rules for regulating the execution of warrants issued under this Code for the levy of fines :

Provided that the rules and forms made and framed under this section shall not be inconsistent with this Code or any other law in force for the time being.

All rules made under this section shall be published in the local official Gazette.

The rules and orders passed by the High Courts especially under this section are not reproduced as they have become very numerous, and have been published in a convenient form under authority of the several High Courts.

554 [Ss. 442, 493, para. 1 ; S. 509, para. 2 ; Act IV, 1877, S. 97.]

Forms.

Subject to the power conferred by section 553, and by the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter 104, section 15, the forms set forth in the fifth schedule, with such variation as the circumstances of each case require, shall be used for the respective purposes therein mentioned.

555 No Judge or Magistrate shall, except with the permission of the

Case in which Judge or Magistrate is personally interested.

Court to which an appeal lies from his Court, try or commit for trial any case to or in which he is a party, or personally interested, and no Judge or Magistrate shall hear an appeal from any judgment or order passed or made by himself.

Explanation.—A Judge or Magistrate shall not be deemed to be a party or personally interested, within the meaning of this section, to or in any case, merely because he is a Municipal Commissioner.

(This section is new.)

Under S. 457 a Judge or Magistrate is generally prohibited from trying any person for any offences, such as contempts of lawful authority, offences against public justice, or relating to documents, specified in S. 195, when such offence is committed before himself or in contempt of his authority, or is brought under his notice as such Judge or Magistrate in the course of a judicial proceeding. But this rule is subject to the cases specially provided for in Ss. 477, 480, 485.

The law in England has thus been laid down by MILLER and LUSH, JJ.—If he has any legal interest in the decision of the question, he is disqualified, no matter how small that interest may be. The law, in laying down the strict rule, has regard not so much perhaps to the motives which might be supposed to bias the Judge as to the susceptibilities of the litigant parties. One important object, at all events, is to clear away everything which might engender suspicion and distrust of the tribunal, and so promote the feeling of confidence in the administration of justice which is so essential to social order and security.—*Sergeant and others v. Dale*, 2 Q. B. D., 558, (see p. 567).

In the case of Hem Chunder Pal, 20 W. R., 70 the Magistrate who tried the case and convicted the accused has acted under S. 159 in holding the preliminary inquiry before the trial commenced. The Calcutta High Court (*Per Phear, J., Morris, J. concurring.*) made the following observations:—

"The Deputy Magistrate states: 'In this, as in that case, I was the chief actor and investigator. I have in this, as in that, to separate, and so far as in me lies to banish from the record, and, if it were possible from my own recollection, facts which I have seen and known, and confine myself strictly to the evidence on the record. In fact, I have to do that most difficult of all things—to, as it were, change my identity, and speak, write, and think, not in me first, but third person.'

"What was the particular obligation under which the Deputy Magistrate supposed himself to have laboured, and which constrained him to 'change,' as he says, his 'identity,' it is perhaps difficult to understand. It has been held by this Court, and is accordant with the general principles which govern the conduct of an English Court of Criminal Justice, that while a person is not necessarily disqualified from presiding as a Judge or acting as a jurymen upon an inquiry into or investigation

of facts, because he may have been himself a witness of some of the facts which are the subject of the inquiry or investigation, if he does so, he, so far from being under any such obligation, as that which the Deputy Magistrate seems to have referred to, is bound to state to the prisoner or other person concerned, or to make known to him, so far as he can, what are the facts which he himself observed, to which he himself can bear testimony. And, moreover, the prisoner, who is being tried by a Judge in this situation, has a right, if he thinks it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, who, under these circumstances, and to this extent, must be viewed as a witness, and his evidence should be recorded. It is quite erroneous, in our opinion, to suppose on the contrary, as the Deputy Magistrate appears to have supposed, that he was bound to keep out of sight altogether the part which he had played in the matter and to pretend (we cannot use any other word than that) that he knew nothing about the facts excepting so much as the witnesses told him in Court. It is always dangerous for any man in whose right conduct others are concerned to set up and endeavour to carry out a fiction such as this. It is most specially dangerous for a Judge, who is under the grave responsibility which attaches to the office of a criminal Judge, to attempt anything of the kind. The Deputy Magistrate, if he thought it right, as he did, to take upon himself the duty of trying the prisoners in this case, ought to have made no pretence whatever of any sort; he ought to have frankly avowed and openly stated in his Court all the part which he had taken, and the facts which he had observed, and made his own evidence part of the record in the case. The awkwardness of a criminal Judge being the principal witness in the case which he has to try, is no doubt most apparent; this, however, is a reason for his declining to try the case, not for his endeavouring to assume an unreal character."

The case of *Bholanath Son*, 25 W. R., 57: (S. C.), I. L. R., 2 Cal., 23 is also important in this respect. It was there declared that no man should sit as a Judge in a case in which he has a substantial interest. Thus, where the accused was charged with having imposed on the Superintendent of the Jail and fraudulently getting possession of sums of money, the receipt and appropriation of which was charged against him as criminal breach of trust, it was held that, as the Superintendent had a substantial interest in the matter and was by no means free from the possibility of being responsible for the money embezzled, he was disqualified to sit on the Bench of Magistrates to try the case.—*Bholanath Son*, 25 W. R., 57: (S. C.), I. L. R., 2 Cal., 23.

Cases occasionally occur in which a Magistrate or Judge holding that trial is in the position to give evidence or is called by the defence to give evidence. How far he is thus incapacitated has been discussed in several cases.

In the case of *Mookta Singh*, 13 W. R., 60: (S. C.), 4 B. L. R., 14, the following points were laid down:—

A person having to exercise judicial functions may give evidence in a case before himself when such evidence can and must be submitted to the independent judgment of other persons exercising similar functions sitting with him at the same time.

A Sessions Judge is a competent witness, and the giving of evidence by him does not preclude him from dealing judicially with the evidence of which his own forms a part.

The prisoner has a right to ask to have the evidence of a Sessions Judge who is trying him taken on a point which he thinks makes in his favour.

A Sessions Judge who makes a complaint before a Magistrate is not incompetent afterwards to try it without the aid of a Jury, if he has no personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge.—*Mookta Singh*, 13 W. R., 60: (S. C.) 4 B. L. R., 14.

In the case of the *Govt. of Bengal v. Hira Lall Dass*, 17 W. R., 39 (S. C.), 8 B. L. R., 422, the Govt. appealed against the order of the Sessions Judge on appeal, who held that the Magistrate, having as Sub-Registrar instituted the prosecution, was not competent to try the case.

The appeal was heard by the Chief Justice and five Judges who held, first, that the Magistrate was not prohibited by the law from trying the case. The other question then arose whether there is any general rule of law with regard to the Judge being interested which could apply and which would prevent the Sub-Registrar being the Magistrate who tried the case. The following judgment was delivered:—

FRACOCK, C. J.—"Now the interest which disqualifies a Judge is not merely a pecuniary interest; that would be too limited a way of describing such an interest; but in describing it we ought rather to use the language of Norman, J., in the case of *The Queen v. Mookta Singh* (4 W. R., 15: (S. C.), 13 W. R., 60) that is to say "a personal or a pecuniary interest." A Magistrate could not try a person for an assault upon himself; and without defining precisely what amounts to personal interest, it appears to me that there must be either a personal or pecuniary interest in order to disqualify a Judge or Magistrate from exercising the general jurisdiction which is conferred upon him. It is not a question of want of jurisdiction so much as a disability arising from interest to exercise his jurisdiction in the particular case.

"In this case I think the Sub-Registrar has not such an interest in the matter as disqualifies him from trying the case; and I may observe, with reference to some of the arguments that have been used as to the Sub-Registrar having made up his mind and that the accused would have no chance of a fair trial, that the sanction of the superior officer, the Registrar, is required before the prosecution can be instituted, and certainly I do not consider that the prosecution will not be instituted

unless the Sub-Registrar has made up his mind as to the guilt of the party. It is his duty, when he comes to know that an offence has been committed to cause a prosecution to be instituted; by which I understand that there is *prima facie* evidence of an offence having been committed, that there is that which renders it proper that there should be an inquiry, and the Registrar accordingly gives his sanction to it; and certainly, I cannot suppose that, because an officer in his position sanctions the institution of a prosecution, his mind is made up as to the guilt of the party, and that he is not willing to consider the evidence which may be produced before him when he comes to try the case. In this case there appears to be no such interest as would prevent the case from going before the Magistrate as the trying authority; but, as I have already said, it would be better, where it can be avoided, that it should not be done, and it may very well be that the Court in its discretion would in similar cases direct the transfer of the case, in order that it should be tried by some other officer."

The case of *Het Lal Roy*, 22 W. R., 75 is somewhat similar. It was there held that, when the District Magistrate took an active part, if not in instituting the prosecution, yet in inviting in a somewhat special manner and in procuring the evidence on which the prosecution was to be placed and was in fact afterwards instituted, and he directed the prosecution, taking evidence of witnesses before he transferred cases to various Magistrates for trial, it was not desirable or fair to the Magistrate himself that he should hear the appeals which were consequently transferred to the Sessions Court.

So where the same officer as Collector instituted a prosecution under the Stamp Law, and as Magistrate tried the case and convicted the accused, it was held that the objection to such a trial was well founded on the familiar rule that the same person cannot be both prosecutor and Judge. The conviction was, however, set aside on another ground. *Nuddi Chand Poddar*, 24 W. R., 1. In *Empress v. Gangadhar Bhunjo* and others, 2 Cal. L. R., 179; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 3 Cal., 622, the convictions were set aside on this ground. See also *Deeki Nandan Lal*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 306. So also when the same officer as Settlement Officer instituted the prosecution and as Magistrate tried and convicted the accused.—*Sukhari*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 405.

Some English Statutes contain provisions similar to those set out in the Explanation to S. 555; as, for instance, S. 258 of the Public Health's Act declares that "no Justice of the Peace shall be deemed incapable of acting in cases under this Act by reason of his being a member of any local authority," but this, it has been held, does not remove the disqualification of a Justice of the Peace, who has acted as a member of the Committee which directed the prosecution, to try the case afterwards. The section has not the effect of enabling a person to act as prosecutor and Judge in the same matter. It would require express terms in an Act of Parliament to produce that effect. The meaning of the section is clear. It was thought that there might be inconvenience in carrying out the Act owing to the difficulty in boroughs, of getting Justices to sit who are not members of the Corporation. The Legislature therefore went one step in the direction of removing that difficulty by enacting that the mere fact of membership should not disqualify the Justice. The section therefore removes one ground of interest merely. There is no warrant for holding that, when the Justice has acted as a member by directing a prosecution for an offence under the Act, he is a sufficiently disinterested person so as to be able to sit as a Judge at the hearing of the information.—*The Queen v. Lee*, 9 Q. B. D., 394. In *The Queen v. Handsley*, 8 Q. B. D., 383, it was held that, it was not sufficient merely to show that an adjudicating Justice is a member of the Town Council, and, as such, has a pecuniary interest in the result of the complaint or information, or that he is a member of the Corporation which is charged with the duty of prosecuting the offence which he sits to adjudicate upon, but that, in order to disqualify the Justice, it must be established that he has such a substantial interest in the result of the hearing as to make it likely that he has a real bias in the matter. Such an interest was, in *The Queen on the prosecution of F. D. Palmer v. The Justices of Great Yarmouth*, 8 Q. B. D., 525, held to be when the Justice was himself the appellant in one of several cases set down for hearing which all involved the same point. It was held that he was disqualified from trying those cases and afterwards himself prosecuting his own.

Where a Justice has such an interest as to give him a real bias in the matter, he ought not to sit on the Bench. It is immaterial what part he really took, although it may be, as was stated on affidavit, that he took no part until the other Justices had unanimously determined to convict, on which he may have proposed a mitigation of the penalties and abstained from signing the convictions. The convictions were accordingly quashed.—*The Queen v. Meyer*, 1 Q. B. D., 173.

The interest which at Common Law disqualifies an officer from acting in a judicial inquiry must be direct and certain, and not merely remote or contingent.—*The Queen v. Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Ry. Co.*, 2 Q. B., 336. Though any pecuniary interest, however small, in the subject-matter prevents a Justice from acting on a judicial inquiry, the mere possibility of bias in favour of one of the parties does not, *ipso facto*, avoid the Justice's decision. In order to have that effect, the bias must be shown at least to be real.—*Queen v. Rand*, 1 Q. B., 230.

556 [S. 337.]

Power to decide language of Courts.

The Local Government may determine what, for the purposes of this Code, shall be deemed to be the language of each Court within the territories ad-

ministered by such Government, other than the High Courts established by Royal Charter.

Hindes has been declared by the Government of Bengal to be the language in ordinary use in the Colehan. (Not., April 26, 1867); also in the hill portion of the District of Darjeeling (*Cal. Gaz.*, p. 1878, 116); and Assamese in the Districts of Kamroop, Durrung, Nowgong, Soobasagor, and Lakhimpore (*Ibid.*, p. 912).

In all the Districts of the Patna Division, that is, in Patna, Shahabad, Gya, Tirhoot, Sarun, Nagree has been declared to be the character to be used in all Court documents, the issue of such documents, except exhibits, in the Persian character being forbidden.—Govt. Bengal, Resn. April 18, 1880.

557 All powers conferred by this Code on the Governor-General in Council or on the Local Government may be exercised from time to time as occasion requires.

Powers of Governor-General in Council and Local Government exercisable from time to time.

558 [Ss. 3, 539; Act X, 1875, S. 153; Act IV, 1877, Ss. 5, 237.] The provisions of this Code shall apply, so far as may be, to all cases pending in any Criminal Court when this Code comes into force.

Pending cases.

SCHEDULE 1.

ENACTMENTS REPEALED.

(a).—*Statute.*

Year, reign and chapter.	Title.	Extent of repeal.
13 Geo. III, chapter 63	An Act for establishing certain regulations for the better management of the affairs of the East India Company, as well in India as in Europe.	Section 38.

(b).—Acts of the Governor General in Council.

Number and year.	Subject.	Extent of repeal.
XXIII of 1840 ...	Execution of process ...	So much as has not been repealed.
XLV of 1860 ...	Penal Code ...	The illustrations to section 214.
V of 1861 ...	Police Act ...	Section 6 and the last nine words of section 24. Section 35 down to and including the words "Provided that."
XVIII of 1862 ...	Criminal Procedure, Supreme Courts ...	So much as has not been repealed.
VI of 1864 ...	Whipping ...	Section 7.
II of 1869 ...	Justices of the Peace ...	So much as has not been repealed.
XXII of 1870 ...	Application to European British subjects of Acts conferring summary jurisdiction.	So much as has not been repealed.
IV of 1872 ...	Punjab Laws ...	So far as it relates to Bengal Regulation XX of 1825.
X of 1872 ...	The Code of Criminal Procedure ...	So much as has not been repealed.
XI of 1874 ...	Amending the Code of Criminal Procedure.	The whole.
XV of 1874 ...	Laws Local Extent ...	So far as it relates to Bengal Regulation XX of 1825.
X of 1875 ...	High Courts' Criminal Procedure ...	The whole Act, except section 144 and so much of section 146 as relates to informations.
XX of 1875 ...	Central Provinces Laws ...	So far as it relates to Bengal Regulation XX of 1825.
XVIII of 1876 ...	Oudh Laws ...	Ditto
IV of 1877 ...	Presidency Magistrates ...	The whole Act except section 57.
XXI of 1879 ...	Extradition ...	Chapter III.
X of 1881 ...	Coroners ...	Sections 8 and 9.

(c).—Regulations.

Number and year.	Subject.	Extent of repeal.
Bengal Regulation XX of 1825.	Jurisdiction of Courts-Martial ...	So much as has not been repealed.
III of 1872 ...	Santhal Parganas Settlement ...	So far as it relates to Act X of 1872.
IX of 1874 ...	Arakan Hills District Laws ...	So far as it relates to Acts II of 1869, X of 1872, and XI of 1874.
III of 1877 ...	Ajmer Laws ...	So far as it relates to Bengal Regulation XX of 1825.

(d).—Act of the Governor of Fort St. George in Council.

Number and year.	Subject.	Extent of repeal.
VIII of 1877 ...	Police ...	Section 2.

SCHEDULE II.

TABULAR STATEMENT OF OFFENCES.

EXPLANATORY NOTE.—The entries in the second and seventh columns of this Schedule, headed respectively "Offence" and "Punishment under the Indian Penal Code," are not intended as definitions of the offences and punishments described in the several corresponding sections of the Indian Penal Code, or even as abstracts of those sections, but merely as references to the subject of the section, the number of which is given in the first column.

The third column of this Schedule applies to the police in the towns of Calcutta and Bombay.

CHAPTER V.—ABETMENT, &c.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Section	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
109	Abetment of any offence, if the act abetted is committed in consequence, and where no express provision is made for its punishment.	May arrest without warrant, if an offence is committed for the offence abetted may be abetted.	May arrest without warrant, if an offence is committed for the offence abetted.	According as the offence is abetted or not.	According as the offence is abetted or not.	The same punishment as for the offence abetted.	The Court by which the offence abetted is triable.
110	Abetment of any offence, if the person abetted does the act with a different intention from that of the abettor.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
111	Abetment of any offence, when one act is abetted and a different act is done, subject to the proviso.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The same punishment as for the offence intended to be abetted.	Ditto.

113	Abetment of any offence, when an effect is caused by the act abetted different from that intended by the abettor.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The same punishment as for the offence committed.	Ditto.
114	Abetment of any offence, if abettor is present when offence is committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
115	Abetment of an offence punishable with death or transportation for life, if the offence be not committed in consequence of the abetment.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Ditto.
116	If an act which causes harm be done in consequence of the abetment.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 14 years and fine.	Ditto.
116	Abetment of an offence punishable with imprisonment, if the offence be not committed in consequence of the abetment.	Ditto.	Ditto.	According as the offence abetted is bailable or not.	Imprisonment extending to a quarter part of the longest term, and of any description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
	If the abettor or the person abetted be a public servant whose duty it is to prevent the offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment extending to half of the longest term, and of any description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
117	Abetting the commission of an offence by the public, or by more than ten persons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
118	Concealing a design to commit an offence punishable with death or transportation for life, if the offence be committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Ditto.
	If the offence be not committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Ditto.
119	A public servant concealing a design to commit an offence which it is his duty to prevent, if the offence be committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	According as the offence abetted is bailable or not.	Imprisonment extending to half of the longest term, and of any description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Ditto.

CHAPTER V.—ABETMENT.—Continued.

If the offence be punishable with death or transportation for life.	May arrest be made without warrant if the offence be abetted without warrant, but not otherwise.	According to the offence abetted is compoundable or not.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years.	The Court by which the offence abetted is triable.
If the offence be not committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment extending to a quarter part of the longest term, and of any description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
120 Concealing a design to commit an offence punishable with imprisonment, if the offence be committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
If the offence be not committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment extending to one-eighth part of the longest term, and of the description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Ditto.

CHAPTER VI.—OFFENCES AGAINST THE STATE.

Section.	Offences.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
121	Waging or attempting to wage war, or abetting the waging of war, against the Queen.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Death, or transportation for life, and forfeiture of property.	Court of Session.
121 A	Conspiring to commit certain offences against the State.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life or any shorter term, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years.	Ditto.

SCHEDULE II.

22

123	Collecting arms, &c., with the intention of waging war against the Queen.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and forfeiture of property.	Ditto.
123	Concealing with intent to facilitate a design to wage war.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years and fine.	Ditto.
124	Assaulting Governor-General, Governor, &c., with intent to compel or restrain the exercise of any lawful power.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Ditto.
124	Exciting or attempting to excite, disaffection.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life or for any term and fine, or imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine, or fine.	Ditto.
125	Waging war against any Asiatic Power in alliance or at peace with the Queen, or abetting the waging of such war.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life and fine, or imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine, or fine.	Ditto.
126	Committing depredation on the territories of any Power in alliance or at peace with the Queen.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine, and forfeiture of certain property.	Ditto.
127	Receiving property taken by war or depredation mentioned in sections 125 and 126.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
128	Public servant voluntarily allowing prisoner of State or War in his custody to escape.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
129	Public servant negligently suffering prisoner of State or War in his custody to escape.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Simple imprisonment for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
130	Aiding escape of, rescuing or harbouring, such prisoner, or offering any resistance to the recapture of such prisoner.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.

CHAPTER VII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO THE ARMY AND NAVY.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
131	Abetting mutiny, or attempting to seduce an officer, soldier or sailor from his allegiance or duty.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
132	Abetment of mutiny, if mutiny is committed in consequence thereof.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Death, or transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
133	Abetment of an assault by an officer, soldier or sailor on his superior officer, when in the execution of his office.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
134	Abetment of such assault, if the assault is committed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
135	Abetment of the desertion of an officer, soldier or sailor.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
136	Harbouring such an officer, soldier or sailor who has deserted.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
137	Deserter concealed on board merchant-vessel, through negligence of master or person in charge thereof.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine of 500 rupees.	Ditto.
138	Abetment of act of insubordination by an officer, soldier or sailor, if the offence be committed in consequence.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
140	Wearing the dress or carrying any token used by a soldier, with intent that it may be believed that he is such a soldier.	Ditto.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.

CHAPTER VIII.—OFFENCES AGAINST THE PUBLIC TRANQUILLITY.

SCHEDULE II.

333

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compendable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
143	Being member of an unlawful assembly.	May arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compendable.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
144	Joining an unlawful assembly armed with any deadly weapon.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
145	Joining or continuing in an unlawful assembly, knowing that it has been commanded to disperse.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
147	Rioting.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
148	Rioting armed with a deadly weapon.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
149	If an offence be committed by any member of an unlawful assembly, every other member of such assembly shall be guilty of the offence.	According as arrest may be made without warrant for the offence or not.	According as a warrant or summons is issuable or not.	According as the offence is bailable or not.	Ditto.	The same as for the offence.	The Court by which the offence is triable.
150	Hiring, engaging or employing persons to take part in an unlawful assembly.	May arrest without warrant.	According to the offence committed by the person hired, engaged or employed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The same as for a member of such assembly, and for any offence committed by any member of such assembly.	Ditto.
151	Knowingly joining or continuing in any assembly of five or more persons after it has been commanded to disperse.	Ditto.	Summons.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.

CHAPTER VIII.—OFFENCES AGAINST THE PUBLIC TRANQUILITY.—Continued.

	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both. Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both. Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both. Fine of 1,000 rupees.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class. Any Magistrate.
152 Assaulting or obstructing public servant when suppressing riot, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		
153 Wantonly giving provocation with intent to cause riot, if rioting be committed. If not committed.	Ditto.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.		Ditto.
154 Owner or occupier of land not giving information of riot, &c.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
155 Person for whose benefit or on whose behalf a riot takes place not using all lawful means to prevent it.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine.	Ditto.
156 Agent of owner or occupier for whose benefit a riot is committed not using all lawful means to prevent it.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		Ditto.
157 Harbelling persons hired for an unlawful assembly.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both. Ditto.	Ditto.
158 Being hired to take part in an unlawful assembly or riot.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		Ditto.
Or to go armed.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
159 Committing affray.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of 100 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.

CHAPTER IX.—OFFENCES BY OR RELATING TO PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
----------	----------	---	--	--------------------------	------------------------------	---	------------------------

		Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
161	Being or expecting to be a public servant, and taking a gratification other than legal remuneration in respect of an official act.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
162	Taking a gratification in order, by corrupt or illegal means, to influence a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
163	Taking a gratification for the exercise of personal influence with a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of first class.
164	Abetment by public servant of the offences defined in the last two preceding clauses with reference to himself.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
165	Public servant obtaining any valuable thing, without consideration, from a person concerned in any proceeding or business transacted by such public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
166	Public servant disobeying a direction of the law with intent to cause injury to any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
167	Public servant framing an incorrect document with intent to cause injury.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
168	Public servant unlawfully engaging in trade.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 2 years, or fine, or both, and confiscation of property, if purchased.	Any Magistrate.
169	Public servant unlawfully buying or bidding for property.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
170	Personating a public servant	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
171	Wearing garb or carrying token used by public servant with fraudulent intent.	Ditto.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.		

CHAPTER I.—CONTENTS OF THE LAWFUL AUTHORITY OF PUBLIC SERVANTS.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the Police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
172	Abducting to avoid service of summons or other proceeding from a public servant. If summons or notice require attendance in person &c., in a Court of Justice.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
173	Preventing the service or the affixing of any summons or notice, or the removal of it when it has been affixed, or preventing a proclamation. If summons, &c., require attendance in person &c., in a Court of Justice.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
174	Not obeying a legal order to attend at a certain place in person or by agent or departing therefrom without authority. If the order require personal attendance, &c., in a Court of Justice.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
175	Intentionally omitting to produce a document to a public servant by a person legally bound to produce or deliver such document.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	The Court in which the offence is committed, subject to the provisions of Ch. XXXV; or, if not committed in a Court, a Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
	If the document is required to be produced in or delivered to a Court of Justice.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.

176	Intentionally omitting to give notice or information to a public servant by a person legally bound to give such notice or information.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
177	If the notice or information required respects the commission of an offence, &c. Knowingly furnishing false information to a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
178	If the information required respects the commission of an offence, &c. Refusing oath when duly required to take oath by a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both. Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
179	Being legally bound to state truth, and refusing to answer questions.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The Court in which the offence is committed, subject to the provisions of Chapter XXXV; or, if not committed in a Court, a Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first and second class. Ditto.
180	Refusing to sign a statement made to a public servant when legally required to do so.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
181	Knowingly stating to a public servant on oath as true that which is false.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
182	Giving false information to a public servant in order to cause him to use his lawful power to the injury or annoyance of any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
183	Resistance to the taking of property by the lawful authority of a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

CHAPTER X.—CONTENTS OF THE LAWFUL AUTHORITY OF PUBLIC SERVANTS.—*Continued.*

	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both. Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class. Ditto.
184 Obstructing sale of property offered for sale by authority of a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		
185 Bidding by a person under a legal incapacity to purchase it, for property at a lawfully authorized sale, or bidding without intending to perform the obligations incurred thereby.						
186 Obstructing public servant in discharge of his public functions.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
187 Omission to assist public servant when bound by law to give such assistance.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
Willfully neglecting to aid a public servant who demands aid in the execution of process, the prevention of offences, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
188 Disobedience to an order lawfully promulgated by a public servant, if such disobedience causes obstruction, annoyance or injury to persons lawfully employed.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
If such disobedience causes danger to human life, health or safety, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
189 Threatening a public servant with injury to him, or one in whom he is interested, to induce him to do or forbear to do any official act.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.

190 Threatening any person to induce him to refrain from making a legal application for protection from injury.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
193 Giving or fabricating false evidence in a judicial proceeding.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.*	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
Giving or fabricating false evidence in any other case.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 8 years and fine.*	Ditto.
194 Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to cause any person to be convicted of a capital offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.*	Court of Session.
If innocent person be thereby convicted and executed	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Death, or as above.*	Ditto.
195 Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to procure conviction of an offence punishable with transportation for life or with imprisonment for seven years or upwards.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The same as for the offence.*	Ditto.
196 Using in a judicial proceeding evidence known to be false or fabricated.	Ditto.	Ditto.	According as the offence of giving such evidence is bailable or not.	Ditto.	The same as for giving or fabricating false evidence.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

* And with whipping on a second conviction. Act VI, 1864, S. 4; provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age.—S. 383, Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER XL.—FALSE EVIDENCE AND OFFENCES AGAINST PUBLIC JUSTICE.—Continued.

	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not punishable.	The same as for giving false evidence.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
197	Knowingly issuing or signing a false certificate relating to any fact of which such certificate is by law admissible in evidence.					
198	Using as a true certificate one known to be false in a material point.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
199	False statement made in any declaration which is by law receivable as evidence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
200	Using as true any such declaration known to be false.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
201	Causing disappearance of evidence of an offence committed, or giving false information touching it to screen the offender, if a capital offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
	If punishable with transportation for life or imprisonment for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
	If punishable with less than 10 years' imprisonment.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for a quarter of the longest term, and of the description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, or Court by which the offence is triable.
202	Intentional omission to give information of an offence by a person legally bound to inform.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
203	Giving false information respecting an offence committed.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
204	Secreting or destroying any document to prevent its production as evidence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
205	False personation for the purpose of any act or pro-	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Ma-

SCHEDULE II.

ceeding in a suit or criminal prosecution, or for becoming bail or security.	fine, or both.	gistrate of the first class.
206 Fraudulent removal or concealment, &c., of property to prevent its seizure as a forfeiture, or in satisfaction of a fine under sentence, or in execution of a decree.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
207 Claiming property without right, or practicing deception touching any right to it, to prevent its being taken as a forfeiture, or in satisfaction of a fine under sentence, or in execution of a decree.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
208 Fraudulently suffering a decree to pass for a sum not due, or suffering decree to be executed after it has been satisfied.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years and fine.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
209 False claim in a Court of Justice.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
210 Fraudulently obtaining a decree for a sum not due, or causing a decree to be executed after it has been satisfied.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
211 False charge of offence made with intent to injure. If offence charged be capital, or punishable with transportation for life, or with imprisonment for a term exceeding 7 years.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
212 Harbours an offender, if the offence be capital.	Imprisonment of either description for 5 years and fine.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

* And with whipping on second conviction provided that the offence falsely charged be an unnatural offence---Act VI, 1864, s. 4; and provided that the sentence does not exceed five years or that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 14 years of age. S. 293, Code Crim. Pro.

CHAPTER XI.—FALSE EVIDENCE AND OFFENCES AGAINST PUBLIC JUSTICE.—Continued.

If punishable with transportation for life, or with imprisonment for 10 years.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
If punishable with imprisonment for 1 year and not for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for a quarter of the longest term, and of the description provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, or Court by which the offence is triable.
213. Taking gift, &c., to screen an offender from punishment, if the offence be capital.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
If punishable with transportation for life or with imprisonment for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
If with imprisonment for less than 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for a quarter of the longest term, and of the description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, or Court by which the offence is triable.
214. Offering gift or restoration of property in consideration of screening offender, if the offence be capital.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
If punishable with transportation for life or with imprisonment for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
If with imprisonment for less than 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for a quarter of the longest term, and of the description provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, or Court by which the offence is triable.
216. Taking gift to help to recover moveable property of which a person has been deprived by an offence, without causing apprehension of offender.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

SCHEDULE II.

216	Harbouring an offender who has escaped from custody, or whose apprehension has been ordered, if the offence be capital. If punishable with transportation for life, or with imprisonment for 10 years. If with imprisonment for 1 year, and not for 10 years.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, with or without fine.	Ditto.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for a quarter of the longest term, and of the description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class, or Court by which the offence is triable.
217	Public servant disobeying a direction of law with intent to save person from punishment, or property from forfeiture.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
218	Public servant framing an incorrect record or writing with intent to save person from punishment, or property from forfeiture.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session.
219	Public servant in a judicial proceeding corruptly making and pronouncing an order, report, verdict or decision which he knows to be contrary to law.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for either description for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
220	Commitment for trial or confinement by person having authority, who knows that he is acting contrary to law.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
221	Intentional omission to apprehend on the part of a public servant bound by law to apprehend an offender, if the offence be capital. If punishable with transportation for life, or imprisonment for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, with or without fine.	Ditto.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, with or without fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

CHAPTER XI.—FALSE EVIDENCE AND OFFENCES AGAINST PUBLIC JUSTICE.—Continued.

	If with imprisonment for less than 10 years.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not comm-poundable.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 2 years, with or without fine. Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de-scription for 14 years, with or without fine.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class. Court of Session.
232	Intentional omission to apprehend on the part of a public servant bound by law to apprehend person under sentence of a Court of Justice, if under sentence of death. If under sentence of transportation or penal servitude for life, or transportation, imprisonment or penal servitude for 10 years or upwards. If under sentence of imprisonment for less than 10 years; or lawfully committed to custody	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.		
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 7 years, with or without fine.	Ditto.
233	Escape from confinement negligently suffered by a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
234	Resistance or obstruction by a person to his lawful apprehension.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class. Ditto.
235	Resistance or obstruction to the lawful apprehension of another person, or rescuing him from lawful custody	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
	If charged with an offence punishable with transportation for life, or imprisonment for 10 years.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
	If charged with a capital offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
	If the person is sentenced to transportation for life, or to transportation, penal	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		Ditto.

225	Escape, or attempt to escape, from custody for failing to furnish security for good behaviour.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
226	Unlawful return from transportation.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
227	Violation of condition of remission of punishment.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, and fine and rigorous imprisonment for 3 years before transportation.	Court of Session.
228	Intentional insult or interruption to a public servant sitting in any stage of a judicial proceeding.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Punishment of original sentence, or, if part of the punishment has been undergone the residue.	The Court by which the original offence was triable.
229	Personation of a juror or assessor.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	The Court in which the offence is committed, subject to the provisions of Ch. XXXV.
230					Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

CHAPTER XII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO COIN AND GOVERNMENT STAMPS.

Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
231. Counterfeiting, or performing any part of the process of counterfeiting, coin.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and <i>fine</i> .	Court of Session.
232. Counterfeiting, or performing any part of the process of counterfeiting, the Queen's coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years and <i>fine</i> .	Ditto.

CHAPTER XII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO COIN AND GOVERNMENT STAMPS.—Continued.

		Warrant.	May arrest without warrant.	Not ballable.	Not comm-poundable.		
223	Making, buying or selling instrument for the purpose of counterfeiting coin.					Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
224	Making, buying or selling instrument for the purpose of counterfeiting the Queen's coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 7 years and fine.	Court of Session.
225	Possession of instrument or material for the purpose of using the same for counterfeiting coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years and fine.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
	If Queen's coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years and fine.	Court of Session.
226	Abetting in British India the counterfeiting out of Bri-tish India of coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	The punishment provided for abetting the counterfei-ting of such coin within British India.	Ditto.
227	Import or export of counterfeited coin, knowing the same to be counterfeited.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
228	Import or export of counterfeits of the Queen's coin, knowing the same to be counterfeited.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
239	Having any counterfeited coin known to be such when it came into possession, and delivering, &c., the same to any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 5 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
240	The same with respect to the Queen's coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
241	Knowingly delivering to another any counterfeited coin as genuine which, when first possessed, the deliverer did not know to be counterfeited.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 2 years, or fine of ten times the value of the coin counterfeited, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

SCHEDULE II.

847

242 Possession of counterfeit coin by a person who knew it to be counterfeit when he became possessed thereof.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
243 Possession of Queen's coin by a person who knew it to be counterfeit when he became possessed thereof.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
244 Person employed in a Mint causing coin to be of different weight or composition from that fixed by law.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session.
245 Unlawfully taking from a Mint any coining instrument.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
246 Fraudulently diminishing the weight or altering the composition of any coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
247 Fraudulently diminishing the weight or altering the composition of the Queen's coin.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
248 Altering appearance of any coin with intent that it shall pass as a coin of a different description.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Ditto.
249 Altering appearance of the Queen's coin with intent that it shall pass as a coin of a different description.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
250 Delivery to another of coin possessed with the knowledge that it is altered.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 5 years, and fine.	Ditto.
251 Delivery of Queen's coin possessed with the knowledge that it is altered.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
252 Possession of altered coin by a person who knew it to be altered when he became possessed thereof.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Ditto.

CHAPTER XII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO COIN AND GOVERNMENT STAMPS.—Continued.

	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not em- poundable.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 5 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
253. Possession of Queen's coin by a person who knew it to be altered when he became possessed thereof.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 2 years, or fine of ten times the value of the coin.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
254. Delivery to another of coin as genuine which, when first possessed, the deliverer did not know to be altered.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de- scription for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
255. Counterfeiting a Govern- ment stamp.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
256. Having possession of an in- strument or material for the purpose of counter- feiting a Government stamp.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
257. Making, buying or selling instrument for the purpose of counterfeiting a Go- vernment stamp.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
258. Sale of counterfeit Govern- ment stamp.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
259. Having possession of a counterfeit Government stamp.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
260. Using as genuine a Govern- ment stamp known to be counterfeit.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
261. Effacing any writing from a substance bearing a Go- vernment stamp, or re- moving from a document a stamp used for it with intent to cause loss to Go- vernment.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
262. Using a Government stamp known to have been before used.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

265	Erasure of mark denoting that stamp has been used.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
-----	--	--------	--------	--------	---	---

CHAPTER XIII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
264	Fraudulent use of false instrument for weighing.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
265	Fraudulent use of false weight or measure.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
266	Being in possession of false weights or measures for fraudulent use.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
267	Making or selling false weights or measures for fraudulent use.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

CHAPTER XIV.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, CONVENIENCE, DECENCY AND MORALS.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
268	Negligently doing any act known to be likely to spread infection of any disease dangerous to life.	May arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

CHAPTER XIV.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, CONVENIENCE, DEGENCY AND MORALS—Continued.

	May arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
270	Malignantly doing any act known to be likely to spread infection of any disease dangerous to life.					
271	Knowingly disobeying any quarantine rule.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
272	Adulterating food or drink intended for sale, so as to make the same noxious.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
273	Selling any food or drink as food and drink knowing the same to be noxious.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
274	Adulterating any drug or medical preparation intended for sale, so as to lessen its efficacy, or to change its operation, or to make it noxious.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
275	Offering for sale or issuing from a dispensary any drug or medical preparation known to have been adulterated.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
276	Knowingly selling or issuing from a dispensary any drug or medical preparation as a different drug or medical preparation.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
277	Defiling the water of a public spring or reservoir.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
278	Making atmosphere noxious to health.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine of 500 rupees.	Ditto.
279	Driving or riding on a public way so rashly or negligently as to endanger human life, &c.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.

280	Navigating any vessel so rashly or negligently as to endanger human life, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
281	Exhibition of a false light, mark or buoy.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
282	Conveying for hire any person by water, in a vessel in such a state, or so loaded, as to endanger his life.	Ditto.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine of 200 rupees.	Ditto.
283	Causing danger, obstruction or injury in any public way or line of navigation.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
284	Dealing with any poisonous substance so as to endanger human life, &c.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
285	Dealing with fire or any combustible matter so as to endanger human life, &c.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
286	Dealing with any explosive substance.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
287	So dealing with any machinery.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
288	A person omitting to guard against probable danger to human life by the fall of any building over which he has a right entitling him to pull it down or repair it.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
289	A person omitting to take order with any animal in his possession, so as to guard against danger to human life, or of grievous hurt, from such animal.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
290	Committing a public nuisance.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine of 200 rupees.	Ditto.
291	Continuance of nuisance after injunction to discontinue.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

CHAPTER XIV.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, CONVENIENCE, DECENCY
AND MORALS—*Concluded.*

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
292	Sale, &c., of obscene books, &c.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
293	Having in possession obscene books, &c., for sale or exhibition.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
294	Obscene songs.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
294 A	Keeping a lottery-office.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
	Publishing proposals relating to lotteries.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Fine of 1,000 rupees.	Ditto.

CHAPTER XV.—OFFENCES RELATING TO RELIGION.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
295	Destroying, damaging, or defiling a place of worship or sacred object with intent to insult the religion of any class of persons.	May arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
296	Causing a disturbance to an assembly engaged in religious worship.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
297	Trespassing in place of worship or sepulchre, disturbing funeral, with intention to wound the feelings or to insult the religion of any person, or offering indignity to a human corpse.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

Ditto.

Ditto.

Compound-
able.

Ditto.

Ditto.

Shall not ar-
rest without
warrant.

Uttering any word or mak-
ing any sound in the hear-
ing, or making any gesture
or placing any object in
the sight, of any person,
with intention to wound
his religious feeling.

CHAPTER XVI.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE HUMAN BODY.

(Of offences affecting Life.)

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may ar- rest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the In- dian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
302	Murder.	May arrest without war- rant.	Warrant	Not bailable.	Not com- poundable.	Death, or transportation for life, and fine.	Court of Session.
303	Murder by a person under sentence of transportation for life.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Death.	Ditto.
304	Culpable homicide not amounting to murder, if act by which the death is caused is done with inten- tion of causing death, &c. If act is done with know- ledge that it is likely to cause death, but without any intention to cause death, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de- scription for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
304 A	Causing death by rash or negligent act	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 10 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
305	Abetment of suicide com- mitted by a child, or insane or delirious person, or an idiot, or a person intoxi- cated.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Death, or transportation for life, or imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.

CHAPTER XVI.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE HUMAN BODY—Continued.
Of offences affecting Life—Concluded.

	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
306 Abetting the commission of suicide.						
307 Attempt to murder. If such act cause hurt to any person.	Ditto. Ditto.	Ditto. Ditto.	Ditto. Ditto.	Ditto. Ditto.	Ditto. Transportation for life, or as above. Death, or as above.	Ditto. Ditto. Ditto.
308 Attempt by life-convict to murder, if hurt is caused. homicide.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
309 If such act cause hurt to any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
309 Attempt to commit suicide.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Simple imprisonment for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
311 Being a thug.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life and fine.	Court of Session.

Of the Causing of Miscarriage; of Injuries to Unborn Children; of the Exposure of Infants; and of the Concealment of Births.

	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session.
312 Causing miscarriage. If the woman be quick with child.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
313 Causing miscarriage without woman's consent.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
314 Death caused by an act done with intent to cause miscarriage. If act done without woman's consent.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
315 Act done with intent to prevent a child being born	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or as above. Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, or	Ditto. Ditto.

316	alive, or to cause it to die after its birth. Causing death of a quick unborn child by an act amounting to culpable homicide.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	fine, or both. Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
317	Exposure of a child under 12 years of age by parent or person having care of it, with intention of wholly abandoning it.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
318	Concealment of birth by secret disposal of dead body.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

Of Hurt.

323	Voluntarily causing hurt.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
324	Voluntarily causing hurt by dangerous weapons or means.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Compoundable when permission is given by the Court before which a prosecution is pending. Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
325	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
326	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt by dangerous weapons or means.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
327	Voluntarily causing hurt to extort property or a valuable security, or to constrain to do anything which is illegal or which may facilitate the commission of an offence.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.

CHAPTER XVI.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE HUMAN BODY.—Continued.

Of Hurt.—Continued.

SCHEDULE II.

		May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
328	Administering stupefying drug with intent to cause hurt, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
329	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt to extort property or a valuable security, or to constrain to do anything which is illegal or which may facilitate the commission of an offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
330	Voluntarily causing hurt to extort confession or information, or to compel restoration of property, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
331	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt to extort confession or information, or to compel restoration of property, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
332	Voluntarily causing hurt to deter public servant from his duty.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
333	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt to deter public servant from his duty.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
334	Voluntarily causing grievous hurt on grave and sudden provocation, not intending to hurt any other than the person who gave the provocation.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Compoundable when permission is given by the Court before which a prosecution is pending.	Imprisonment of either description for 4 years, or fine of 2,000 rupees, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
335	Causing grievous hurt on grave and sudden provocation, not intending to hurt any other than the person who gave the provocation.						
336	Doing any act which endangers human life or the personal safety of others.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 250 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.

337	Causing hurt by an act which endangers human life, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Compoundable when permission is given by the Court before which a prosecution is pending. Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 6 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
338	Causing grievous hurt by an act which endangers human life, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
<i>Of Wrongful Restraint and Wrongful Confinement.</i>							
341	Wrongfully restraining any person.	May arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
342	Wrongfully confining any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
343	Wrongfully confining for three or more days.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, and fine.	Ditto.
344	Wrongfully confining for ten or more days.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
345	Keeping any person in wrongful confinement, knowing that a writ has been issued for his liberation.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, in addition to imprisonment under any other section.	Ditto.
346	Wrongful confinement in secret.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
347	Wrongful confinement for the purpose of extorting property, or constraining to an illegal act, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Ditto.
348	Wrongful confinement for the purpose of extorting confession or information, or of compelling restoration of property, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

352	Assault or use of criminal force otherwise than on grave provocation.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Any Magistrate.
353	Assault or use of criminal force to deter a public servant from discharge of his duty.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Ditto.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
354	Assault or use of criminal force to a woman with intent to outrage her modesty.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.*	Ditto.
355	Assault or criminal force with intent to dishonour a person, or otherwise than on grave and sudden provocation.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Compoundable.	Ditto.	Ditto.
356	Assault or criminal force in attempt to commit theft of property worn or carried by a person.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Ditto.	Any Magistrate.
357	Assault or use of criminal force in attempt wrongfully to confine a person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
358	Assault or use of criminal force on grave and sudden provocation.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Ditto.	Compoundable.	Simple imprisonment for 1 month, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Ditto.
<i>Of Kidnapping, Abduction, Slavery and Forced Labour.</i>							
359	Kidnapping.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
360	Kidnapping or abducting in order to murder.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
361	Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine a person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.

366	Kidnapping or abducting a woman to compel her marriage or to cause her defilement, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
367	Kidnapping or abducting in order to subject a person to grievous hurt, slavery, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
368	Concealing or keeping in confinement a kidnapped person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Punishment for kidnapping or abduction.	Ditto.
369	Kidnapping or abducting a child with intent to take property from the person of such child.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
370	Buying or disposing of any person as a slave.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
371	Habitual dealing in slaves.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years and fine.	Ditto.
372	Selling or letting to hire a minor for purpose of prostitution, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
373	Buying or obtaining possession of a minor for the same purposes.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
374	Unlawful compulsory labour.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
<i>Of Rape.</i>							
376	Rape.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine. *†	Court of Session.

* And with whipping on a second conviction.—Act VI, 1864, S. 4; provided that the sentence does not exceed five years and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age. B. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER XVI.—OFFENCES AFFECTING THE HUMAN BODY.—Continued.
Of Unnatural Offences.

Unnatural offences.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.*†	Court of Session.
377						

CHAPTER XVII.—OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY.

Of Theft.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
379	Theft.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.††	Any Magistrate.
380	Theft in a building, tent or vessel.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.††	Ditto.
381	Theft by clerk or servant of property in possession of master or employer.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.††	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
382	Theft, preparation having been made for causing death, or hurt, or restraint, or fear of death, or of hurt or of restraint, in order to the committing of such theft, or to retiring after committing it, or to retaining property taken by it.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for 10 years and fine.††	Court of Session.

* And with whipping on second conviction.—Act VI, 1864, S. 4.

† Or whipping.—Act VI, 1864, S. 2, or both whipping and imprisonment on second conviction, S. 3.

†† Provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age.—S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

SCHEDULE II.

Of Extortion.

384 Extortion.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not comm-poundable.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
385 Putting or attempting to put in fear of injury, in order to commit extortion.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
386 Extortion by putting a per-son in fear of death or grievous hurt.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
387 Putting or attempting to put a person in fear of death or grievous hurt, in order to commit extortion.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
388 Extortion by threat of ac-cusation of an offence punishable with death, or transportation for life, or imprisonment for 10 years. If the offence threatened be an unnatural offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
389 Putting a person in fear of accusation of offence pun-ishable with death, trans- portation for life, or with imprisonment for 10 years, in order to commit extor-tion. If the offence be an unna-tural offence.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life.	Ditto.
					Imprisonment of either de-scription for 10 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
					Transportation for life.	Ditto.

Of Robbery and Decency.

392 Robbery.	May arrest without war-rant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not com-poundable.	Rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presi-dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
--------------	------------------------------	----------	---------------	--------------------	---	--

* Or with whipping; or both, on a second conviction. Act VI, 1864, Sec. 2, 3. Provided that sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

† And with whipping on a second conviction; provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age. Act VI, 1864, S. 3; Code of Criminal Procedure, S. 393.

CHAPTER XLV.—OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY—Continued.
Of Robbery and Dacoity—Continued.

SCHEDULE II.

	If committed on the highway between sunset and sunrise.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Rigorous imprisonment for 14 years, and fine.*	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
393	Attempt to commit robbery.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for 7 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
394	Person voluntarily causing hurt in committing or attempting to commit robbery, or any other person jointly concerned in such robbery.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
395	Dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session.
396	Murder in dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Death, transportation for life, or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
397	Robbery or dacoity with attempt to cause death or grievous hurt.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for not less than 7 years.*	Ditto.
398	Attempt to commit robbery or dacoity when armed with deadly weapon.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for not less than 7 years.	Ditto.
399	Making preparation to commit dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
400	Belonging to a gang of persons associated for the purpose of habitually committing dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
401	Belonging to a wandering gang of persons associated for the purpose of habitually committing thefts.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Rigorous imprisonment for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
402	Being one of five or more persons assembled for the purpose of committing dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

* And with whipping on a second conviction. Act VI, 1864, S. 4. Provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female or above 45 years of age. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

SCHEDULE II.

Of Criminal Misappropriation of Property.

403	Dishonest misappropriation of moveable property, or converting it to one's own use	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
404	Dishonest misappropriation of property, knowing that it was in possession of a deceased person at his death, and that it has not since been in the possession of any person legally entitled to it	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
	If by clerk or person employed by deceased.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.

Of Criminal Breach of Trust.

406	Criminal breach of trust.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
407	Criminal breach of trust by a carrier, wharfinger, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
408	Criminal breach of trust by a clerk or servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
409	Criminal breach of trust by public servant or by banker, merchant or agent, &c.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

Of the Receiving Stolen Property.

411	Dishonestly receiving stolen property, knowing it to be stolen.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.*	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
-----	---	-----------------------------	----------	---------------	-------------------	--	---

* Or with whipping; or both, on a second conviction—Act VI, 1864, Sec. 2, 3; provided that the person sentenced is not a female or above 45 years of age. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER XVII.—OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY.—Continued.

Of the Receiving Stolen Property.—Continued.

	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Transportation for life, or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.*†	Court of Session.
412 Dishonestly receiving stolen property, knowing that it was obtained by dacoity.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.†	Ditto.
413 Habitually dealing in stolen property.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

Of Cheating.

	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
417 Cheating.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
418 Cheating a person whose interest the offender was bound, either by law, or by legal contract, to protect	Ditto.	Ditto	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
419 Cheating by personation	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
420 Cheating and thereby dishonestly inducing delivery of property, or the making, alteration or destruction of a valuable security.						

Of Fraudulent Deeds and Dispositions of Property.

	Shall not arrest without warrant	Warrant	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
421 Fraudulent removal or concealment of property, &c. to prevent distribution among creditors						

* Or with whipping, or both, on a second conviction. Act VI, 1864, Ss 2, 3. † And with whipping on a second conviction—Act VI, 1864, S. 4; Provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female or above 45 years of age. S. 398, Code of Criminal Procedure.

422	Fraudulently preventing from being made available for his creditors a debt or demand due to the offender.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
423	Fraudulent execution of deed of transfer containing a false statement of consideration.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
424	Fraudulent removal or concealment of property of himself or any other person, or assisting in the doing thereof, or dishonestly releasing any demand or claim to which he is entitled.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

Of Mischief.

	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable when the only loss or damage caused is loss or damage to a private person.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
426	Mischief.					
427	Mischief, and thereby causing damage to the amount of 50 rupees or upwards.					
428	Mischief by killing, poisoning, maiming or rendering useless any animal of the value of 10 rupees or upwards.	May arrest without warrant.				
429	Mischief by killing, poisoning, maiming or rendering useless any elephant, camel, horse, &c., whatever may be its value, or any other animal of the value of 50 rupees or upwards.					
		Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable when the only loss or damage caused is loss or damage to a private person.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
		Warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Not compoundable.	Ditto.	Ditto.
		Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 5 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

CHAPTER XVII.—OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY—Continued.
Of Mischief—Continued.

SCHEDULE II.

	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 5 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
430 Mischief by causing diminution of supply of water for agricultural purposes, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
431 Mischief by injury to public road, bridge, navigable river or navigable channel, and rendering it impassable or less safe for travelling or conveying property.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
432 Mischief by causing inundation or obstruction to public drainage, attended with damage.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
433 Mischief by destroying or moving or rendering less useful a light-house or seamark, or by exhibiting false lights.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session.
434 Mischief by destroying or moving, &c., a landmark fired by public authority.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
435 Mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to cause damage to amount of 100 rupees or upwards, or, in case of agricultural produce, 10 rupees or upwards.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
436 Mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to destroy a house, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
437 Mischief with intent to destroy or make unsafe a decked vessel or a vessel of 20 tons burden.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
438 The mischief described in the last section when committed	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de-	Ditto.

SCHEDULE II.

ted by fire or any explosive substance.	scription for 10 years, and fine.								
439 Running vessel ashore with intent to commit theft, &c.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
440 Mischief committed after preparation made for causing death or hurt, &c.	Imprisonment of either description for 5 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
<i>Of Criminal Trespass.</i>									
447 Criminal trespass.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 500 rupees, or both.	Compoundable.	Bailable.	Summons.	May arrest without warrant.				Any Magistrate.
448 House-trespass.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine of 1,000 rupees, or both.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Warrant.	Ditto.				Ditto.
449 House-trespass in order to the commission of an offence punishable with death.	Transportation for life, or rigorous imprisonment for 10 years, and fine.	Not compoundable.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Court of Session.
450 House-trespass in order to the commission of an offence punishable with transportation for life.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Ditto.
451 House-trespass in order to the commission of an offence punishable with imprisonment.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Any Magistrate.
If the offence is theft.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
452 House-trespass, having made preparation for causing hurt, assault, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Ditto.
453 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, and fine.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
454 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking in order to the commission of an offence punishable with imprisonment.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.*	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.				Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

* Or with whipping; or both, on second conviction, if offence intended be theft, or extortion—Act VI, 1864, Sec. 2, 3; provided that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER XVII.—OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY—Continued.

Of Criminal Trespass—Continued.

If the offence is theft.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not com- poundable.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 10 years, and fine.*†	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
446 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking after pre- paration made for causing hurt, assault, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
456 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking by night.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 3 years, and fine.*†	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
457 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking by night in order to the commis- sion of an offence punish- able with imprisonment. If the offence is theft.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 5 years, and fine.*†	Ditto.
458 Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking by night, after preparation made for causing hurt, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 14 years, and fine.*†	Ditto.
459 Grievous hurt caused whilst committing lurking house- trespass or house-breaking.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de- scription for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presi- dency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
460 Death or grievous hurt caused by one of several persons jointly concerned in house-breaking by night, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Court of Session.

* Or with whipping; or both, on second conviction, if offence intended be theft, or extortion. Act VI, 1874, Ss. 2, 3.

† Provided that the sentence does not exceed five years, and that the person sentenced is not a female, or above 45 years of age. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

‡ And with whipping, on a second conviction, provided that the offence intended is so punishable. Act VI, 1864, S. 4.

461	Disonestly breaking open or unfastening any closed receptacle containing or supposed to contain property.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
462	Being entrusted with any closed receptacle containing any property, and fraudulently opening the same.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.*	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.

CHAPTER XVIII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO DOCUMENTS AND TO TRADE OR PROPERTY MARKS.

Section	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
463	Forgery.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.*	Court of Session.
464	Forgery of a record of a Court of Justice or of a Register of births, &c., kept by a public servant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
465	Forgery of a valuable security, will, or authority to make or transfer any valuable security, or to receive any money, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life or imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.*	Ditto.
	When the valuable security is a promissory note of the Government of India.	May arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
466	Forgery for the purpose of cheating.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.*	Ditto.

* And with whipping on a second conviction, Act VI, 1864, S. 4; provided that the sentence does not exceed five years. S. 303, Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER XVII.—OFFENCES RELATING TO DOCUMENTS AND TO TRADE OR PROPERTY MARKS—*Concluded.*

		Warrant.	Bailable.	Not com- poundable.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 3 years, and fine.*	Court of Session.
469	Forgery for the purpose of harming the reputation of any person, or knowing that it is likely to be used for that purpose.	Shall not ar- rest without warrant.				
471	Using as genuine a forged document which is known to be forged.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Punishment for forgery.	Ditto.
	When the forged document is a promissory note of the Government of India	May arrest without war- rant.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
472	Making or counterfeiting a seal, plate, &c. with intent to commit a forgery punish- able under section 467 of the Indian Penal Code, or possessing with like intent any such seal, plate, &c., knowing the same to be counterfeit.	Shall not ar- rest without warrant.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either de- scription for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
473	Making or counterfeiting a seal, plate, &c. with intent to commit a forgery pun- ishable otherwise than un- der section 467 of the Indian Penal Code, or pos- sessing with like intent any such seal, plate &c., knowing the same to be counterfeit.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either de- scription for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
474	Having possession of a do- cument, knowing it to be forged, with intent to use it as genuine; if the docu- ment is one of the descrip- tion mentioned in section 466 of the Indian Penal Code.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

* And with whipping on a second conviction, Act VI, 1864, S. 4; provided that the sentence does not exceed five years. S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

	If the document is one of the description mentioned in section 467 of the Indian Penal Code.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
475	Counterfeiting a device or mark used for authenticating documents described in section 467 of the Indian Penal Code, or possessing counterfeit marked material.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
476	Counterfeiting a device or mark used for authenticating documents other than those described in section 467 of the Indian Penal Code, or possessing counterfeit marked material.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
477	Fraudulently destroying or defacing, or attempting to destroy, or deface, or secreting, a will, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Transportation for life, or imprisonment of either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
<i>Of Trade and Property-Marks.</i>							
482	Using a false trade or property-mark with intent to deceive or injure any person.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
483	Counterfeiting a trade or property-mark used by another, with intent to cause damage or injury.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.
484	Counterfeiting a property-mark used by a public servant, or any mark used by him to denote the manufacture, quality, &c., of any property.	Ditto.	Summons.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, and fine.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
485	Fraudulently making or having possession of any die, plate, or other instrument for counterfeiting any public or private property or trade-mark.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Ditto.

Of Trade and Property-Marks.—Continued.

		Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
486	Knowingly selling goods marked with a counterfeit property or trade-mark.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
487	Fraudulently making a false mark upon any package or receptacle containing goods, with intent to cause it to be believed that it contains goods which it does not contain, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
488	Making use of any such false mark.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 year, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
489	Removing, destroying or defacing, any property-mark with intent to cause injury.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.		

CHAPTER XIX.—CRIMINAL BREACH OF CONTRACTS OF SERVICE.

Section	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily be issued in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
490	Being bound by contract to render personal service during a voyage or journey, or to convey or guard any property or person, and voluntarily omitting to do so.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of 100 rupees, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
491	Being bound to attend on or supply the wants of a person who is helpless from youth, unsoundness of mind or disease, and voluntarily omitting to do so.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 3 months, or fine of 200 rupees, or both.	Ditto.

Section.	Offence.	CHAPTER XX.—OFFENCES RELATING TO MARRIAGE.					
		Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
493	Being bound by a contract to render personal service for a certain period at a distant place to which the employee is conveyed at the expense of the employer, and voluntarily deserting the service or refusing to perform the duty.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 1 month, or fine of double the expense incurred, or both.	Ditto.
494	A man by deceit causing a woman not lawfully married to him to believe that she is lawfully married to him, and to cohabit with him in that belief.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Court of Session.
495	Marrying again during the lifetime of a husband or wife.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 7 years and fine.	Ditto.
496	Same offence with concealment of the former marriage from the person with whom subsequent marriage is contracted.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Ditto.	Imprisonment of either description for 10 years, and fine.	Ditto.
497	A person with fraudulent intention going through the ceremony of being married, knowing that he is not thereby lawfully married.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Imprisonment for either description for 7 years, and fine.	Ditto.
497	A daltary.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment for either description for 5 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.

CHAPTER XX.—OFFENCES RELATING TO MARRIAGE—Continued.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the Police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
----------	----------	---	--	-----------	---------------	---	---

CHAPTER XXI.—DEFAMATION.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the Police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
500	Defamation.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Simple imprisonment for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Court of Session, Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
501	Printing or engraving matter knowing it to be defamatory.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
502	Sale of printed or engraved substance containing defamatory matter, knowing it to contain such matter.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.

CHAPTER XXII.—CRIMINAL INTIMIDATION, INSULT AND ANNOYANCE.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the Police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
503	Insult intended to provoke a breach of the peace.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Bailable.	Compoundable.	Imprisonment of either description for 2 years, or fine, or both.	Any Magistrate.
504	False statement, rumour, &c., circulated with intent	Ditto.	Ditto.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	Ditto.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first

	to cause mutiny or offence against the public peace. Criminal intimidation.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Bailable.	Compound- able. Not Com- poundable.	Ditto.	or second class.
506	If threat be to cause death or grievous hurt, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
507	Criminal intimidation by anonymous communication or having taken precaution to conceal whence the threat comes.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.
508	Act caused by inducing a person to believe that he will be rendered an object of Divine displeasure.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first or second class.
509	Uttering any word or making any gesture intended to insult the modesty of a woman, &c.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Presidency Magistrate or Magistrate of the first class.
510	Appearing in a public place, &c., in a state of intoxication, and causing annoyance to any person.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Any Magistrate.

CHAPTER XXIII.—ATTEMPTS TO COMMIT OFFENCES.

Section.	Offence.	Whether the police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compound-able or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
511	Attempting to commit offences punishable with transportation or imprisonment, and in such attempt doing any act towards the commission of the offence.	According as the offence is one in respect of which the police may arrest without war- rant or not.	According as the offence is one in respect of which a summons or warrant shall ordina- rily issue.	According as the offence is contemplated by the offender as bailable or not.	Compound-able when the offence attempted is compound-able.	Transportation or imprisonment not exceeding half of the longest term, and of any description, provided for the offence, or fine, or both.	The Court by which the offence attempted is triable.

OFFENCES AGAINST OTHER LAWS.

Section	Offence.	Whether a police may arrest without warrant or not.	Whether a warrant or a summons shall ordinarily issue in the first instance.	Whether bailable or not.	Whether compoundable or not.	Punishment under the Indian Penal Code.	By what Court triable.
	If punishable with death, transportation or imprisonment for seven years or upwards.	May arrest without warrant.	Warrant.	Not bailable.	Not compoundable.	
	If punishable with imprisonment for three years or upwards but less than seven.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	
				Except in cases under the Indian Arms Act, 1878, section 19, which shall be bailable.			According to the provisions of section 29 of this Code.
	If punishable with imprisonment for less than three years.	Shall not arrest without warrant.	Summons.	Bailable.	Ditto.	
	If punishable with fine only	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	

SCHEDULE III.

ORDINARY POWERS OF PROVINCIAL MAGISTRATES.

I.—Ordinary Powers of a Magistrate of the Third Class.

- (1) Power to arrest, or direct the arrest in his presence, of an offender; section 65.
- (2) Power to endorse a warrant, or to order the removal of an accused person arrested under a warrant; sections 83, 84 and 86.
- (3) Power to issue proclamations in cases judicially before him, section 87.
- (4) Power to attach and sell property in cases judicially before him, section 88.
- (5) Power to restore attached property, section 89.
- (6) Power to issue search-warrant, section 96.
- (7) Power to endorse a search-warrant and order delivery of thing found, section 99.
- (8) Power to record statements or confessions during a police investigation, section 164.
- (9) Power to authorize detention of a person during a police investigation, section 167.
- (10) Power to detain an offender found in Court, section 351.
- (11) Power to sell perishable property of a suspected character, section 525.

II.—Ordinary Powers of a Magistrate of the Second Class.

- (1) The ordinary powers of a Magistrate of the third class.
- (2) Power to order the police to investigate an offence in cases in the Magistrate has jurisdiction to try or commit for trial, section 155.

III.—Ordinary Powers of a Magistrate of the First Class.

- (1) The ordinary powers of a Magistrate of the second class.
- (2) Power to issue search-warrant otherwise than in course of an inquiry, section 98.
- (3) Power to issue search-warrant for discovery of persons wrongfully confined, section 100.
- (4) Power to require security to keep the peace, section 107.
- (5) Power to require security for good behaviour, section 109.
- (6) Power to make orders, &c., in possession cases; sections 145, 146 and 147.
- (7) Power to commit for trial, section 206.
- (8) Power to stop proceedings when no complainant, section 249.
- (9) Power to make orders of maintenance, sections 488 and 489.

IV.—Ordinary Powers of a Sub-divisional Magistrate.

- (1) The ordinary powers of a Magistrate of the first class.
- (2) Power to direct warrants to landholders, section 78.
- (3) Power to make orders as to local nuisances, section 133.
- (4) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143.
- (5) Power to make orders under section 144.
- (6) Power to hold inquests, section 174.
- (7) Power to issue process for person within local jurisdiction who has committed an offence outside the local jurisdiction, section 186.
- (8) Power to entertain complaints, section 191.
- (9) Power to receive police-reports, section 191.
- (10) Power to entertain cases without complaint, section 191.
- (11) Power to transfer cases to a Subordinate Magistrate, section 192.
- (12) Power to pass sentence on proceedings recorded by a Subordinate Magistrate, section 349.
- (13) Power to sell property alleged or suspected to have been stolen, &c., section 524.
- (14) Power to withdraw cases other than appeals, and to try or refer them for trial; section 528.

V.—Ordinary Powers of a District Magistrate.

- (1) The ordinary powers of a Sub-divisional Magistrate, being a Magistrate of the first class.
- (2) Power to issue search-warrants for documents in custody of Postal or Telegraph authorities, section 96.
- (3) Power to discharge persons bound to keep the peace or to be of good behaviour, section 124.
- (4) Power to cancel bond for keeping the peace, section 125.
- (5) Power to try summarily, section 260.
- (6) Power to quash convictions in certain cases, section 350.
- (7) Power to hear appeals from orders requiring security for good behaviour, section 406.
- (8) Power to hear or refer appeals from convictions by Magistrates of the second and third classes, section 407.
- (9) Power to call for records, section 435.
- (10) Power to revise orders passed under section 514; section 515.

SCHEDULE IV.

ADDITIONAL POWERS WITH WHICH PROVINCIAL MAGISTRATES MAY BE INVESTED.

POWERS WITH WHICH A MAGISTRATE OF THE FIRST CLASS MAY BE INVESTED.

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

- (1) Power to require security for good behaviour section 110 :
- (2) Power to make orders as to local nuisances, section 133 :
- (3) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143 :
- (4) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (5) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (6) Power to issue process for person within local jurisdiction who has committed an offence, outside the local jurisdiction, section 186 :
- (7) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191 :
- (8) Power to take cognizance of offences upon police reports, section 191 :
- (9) Power to take cognizance of offences upon information, section 191 :
- (10) Power to try summarily, section 260 :
- (11) Power to hear appeals from convictions by Magistrates of the second and third class, section 407 :
- (12) Power to sell property alleged or suspected to have been stolen, &c., section 524.

BY THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

- (1) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143 :
- (2) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (3) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (4) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191 :
- (5) Power to take cognizance of offences upon police reports, section 191 :
- (6) Power to transfer cases, section 192.

POWERS WITH WHICH A MAGISTRATE OF THE SECOND CLASS MAY BE INVESTED.

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

- (1) Power to pass sentences of whipping, section 32 :
- (2) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143 :
- (3) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (4) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (5) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191 :
- (6) Power to take cognizance of offences, upon police reports, section 191 :
- (7) Power to take cognizance of offences upon information, section 191 :
- (8) Power to commit for trial, section 206.

BY THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

- (1) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143.
- (2) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (3) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (4) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191.
- (5) Power to take cognizance of offences upon police reports, section 191.

POWERS WITH WHICH A MAGISTRATE OF THE

BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

- (1) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143 :
- (2) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (3) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (4) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191 :

THIRD CLASS
MAY BE INVEST-
ED.

By THE DISTRICT MA-
GISTRATE.

- (5) Power to take cognizance of offences upon police reports, section 191 :
- (6) Power to commit for trial, section 206.
- (1) Power to make orders prohibiting repetitions of nuisances, section 143 :
- (2) Power to make orders under section 144 :
- (3) Power to hold inquests, section 174 :
- (4) Power to take cognizance of offences upon complaint, section 191 :
- (5) Power to take cognizance of offences upon police report, section 191.

POWERS WITH WHICH A SUB-
DIVISIONAL MA-
GISTRATE MAY
BE INVESTED.

By THE LOCAL GOV-
VERNMENT.

Power to call for records, section 435.

SCHEDULE V. FORMS.

I.—SUMMONS TO AN ACCUSED PERSON. (See section 68.)

To _____ of _____
WHEREAS your attendance is necessary to answer to a charge of (*state shortly the offence charged*),
you are hereby required to appear in person (*or by pleader, as the case may be*), before the (*Magis-*
(*trate*) of _____, on the _____ day of _____
Herein fail not.
Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (Signature.)

II.—WARRANT OF ARREST. (See section 75.)

To (*name and designation of the person or persons who is or are to execute the warrant.*)
WHEREAS _____ of _____ stands charged with the offence of (*state*
the offence), you are hereby directed to arrest the said _____, and to produce him
before me. Herein fail not.
Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (Signature.)

(See section 76.)

This warrant may be endorsed as follows :—

If the said _____ shall give bail himself in the sum of _____, with one
surety in the sum of _____ (*or two sureties each in the sum of _____*), to
attend before me on the _____ day of _____ and to continue so to attend
until otherwise directed by me, he may be released.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(Signature.)

III.—BOND AND BAIL-BOND AFTER ARREST UNDER A WARRANT.—(See section 86.)

I, (*name*), of _____, being brought before the District Magistrate of _____
(*or, as the case may be*) under a warrant issued to compel my appearance to answer to the
charge of _____, do hereby bind myself to attend in the Court of _____ on
the _____ day of _____ next to answer to the said charge, and to continue so to
attend until otherwise directed by the Court; and in case of my making default herein, I bind myself
to forfeit to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees _____.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(Signature.)

I do hereby declare myself surety for the abovenamed _____ of _____, that he shall
attend before _____ in the Court of _____ on the _____ day of _____ next to
answer to the charge on which he has been arrested, and shall continue so to attend until other-
wise directed by the Court; and, in case of his making default therein, I hereby bind myself to forfeit
to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees _____.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(Signature.)

IV.—PROCLAMATION REQUIRING THE APPEARANCE OF A PERSON ACCUSED. (*See section 87.*)

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that (*name, description and address*) has committed (*or is suspected to have committed*) the offence of , punishable under section of the Indian Penal Code, and it has been returned to a warrant of arrest thereupon issued that the said (*name*) cannot be found; and whereas it has been shown to my satisfaction that the said (*name*) has absconded (*or is concealing himself to avoid the service of the said warrant*);

Proclamation is hereby made that the said of is required to appear at (*place*) before this Court (*or before me*) to answer the said complaint within days from this date.

Dated this day of , 18 .
(*Seal.*)

(*Signature.*)

V.—PROCLAMATION REQUIRING THE ATTENDANCE OF A WITNESS. (*See section 87*)

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that (*name, description and address*) has committed (*or is suspected to have committed*) the offence of (*mention the offence concisely*) and a warrant has been issued to compel the attendance of (*name, description and address of the witness*) before this Court to be examined touching the matter of the said complaint; and whereas it has been returned to the said warrant that the said (*name of witness*) cannot be served, and it has been shown to my satisfaction that he has absconded (*or is concealing himself to avoid the service of the said warrant*);

Proclamation is hereby made that the said (*name*) is required to appear at (*place*) before the Court of on the day of next at o'clock, to be examined touching , the offence complained of.

Dated this day of , '18 .
(*Seal.*)

(*Signature.*)

VI.—ORDER OF ATTACHMENT TO COMPEL THE ATTENDANCE OF A WITNESS. (*See section 88.*)

To the Police-officer in charge of the Police-station at

WHEREAS a warrant has been duly issued to compel the attendance of (*name, description and address*) to testify concerning a complaint pending before this Court and it has been returned to the said warrant that the said (*name of witness*) cannot be served; and whereas it has been shown to my satisfaction that he has absconded (*or is concealing himself to avoid the service of the said warrant*), and thereupon a Proclamation was duly issued and published requiring the said to appear and give evidence at the time and place mentioned therein, and he has failed to appear;

This is to authorize and require you to attach by seizure the moveable property belonging to the said to the value of rupees which you may find within the District of and to hold the said property under attachment pending the further order of this Court, and to return this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Dated this day of , 18 .
(*Seal.*)

(*Signature.*)

ORDER OF ATTACHMENT TO COMPEL THE APPEARANCE OF A PERSON ACCUSED. (*See section 88.*)

To (*name and designation of the person or persons who is or are to execute the warrant*).

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that (*name, description and address*) has committed (*or is suspected to have committed*) the offence of punishable under section of the Indian Penal Code, and it has been returned to a warrant of arrest thereupon issued that the said (*name*) cannot be found; and whereas it has been shown to my satisfaction that the said (*name*) has absconded (*or is concealing himself to avoid the service of the said warrant*), and thereupon a Proclamation was duly issued and published requiring the said to appear to answer the said charge within days; and whereas the said is possessed of the following property other than land paying revenue to Government in the village (*or town*) of , in the District of , *viz.*, and an order has been made for the attachment thereof;

You are hereby required to attach the said property by seizure, and to hold the same under attachment pending the further order of this Court, and to return this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Dated this day of , 18 .
(*Seal.*)

(*Signature.*)

ORDER AUTHORIZING AN ATTACHMENT BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER AS COLLECTOR. (*See section 88.*)

To the Deputy Commissioner of the District of

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that (*name, description and address*) has committed (*or is suspected to have committed*) the offence of punishable under section of the Indian Penal Code, and it has been returned to a warrant of arrest thereupon issued that the

(name) cannot be found; and whereas it has been shown to my satisfaction that the said (name) has absconded (or is concealing himself to avoid the service of the said warrant), and thereupon a Proclamation was duly issued and published requiring the said to appear to answer the said charge within days, but he has not appeared; and whereas the said is possessed of certain land paying revenue to Government in the village (or town) of in the District of ;

You are hereby authorized and requested to cause the said land to be attached, and to be held under attachment pending the further order of this Court, and to certify without delay what you may have done in pursuance of this order.

Dated this day of , 18 .
(Seal.)

(Signature.)

VII.—WARRANT IN THE FIRST INSTANCE TO BRING UP A WITNESS. (See section 90.)

To (name and designation of the Police-officer or other person or persons who is or are to execute the warrant.)

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that of has (or is suspected to have) committed the offence of (mention the offence concisely), and it appears likely that (name and description of witness) can give evidence concerning the said complaint; and whereas I have good and sufficient reason to believe that he will not attend as a witness on the hearing of the said complaint unless compelled to do so;

This is to authorize and require you to arrest the said (name) and on the day of to bring him before this Court, to be examined touching the offence complained of.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .
(Seal.)

(Signature.)

VIII.—WARRANT TO SEARCH AFTER INFORMATION OF A PARTICULAR OFFENCE. (See section 96.)

To (name and designation of the Police-officer or other person or persons who is or are to execute the warrant.)

WHEREAS information has been laid (or complaint has been made) before me of the commission (or suspected commission) of the offence of (mention the offence concisely), and it has been made to appear to me that the production of (specify the thing clearly) is essential to the inquiry now being made (or about to be made) into the said offence (or suspected offence);

This is to authorize and require you to search for the said (the thing specified) in the (describe the house or place, or part thereof, to which the search is to be confined), and, if found, to produce the same forthwith before this Court; returning this warrant, with an endorsement certifying what you have done under it, immediately upon its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .
(Seal.)

(Signature.)

IX.—WARRANT TO SEARCH SUSPECTED PLACE OF DEPOSIT. (See section 98.)

To (name and designation of a Police-officer above the rank of a Constable.)

WHEREAS information has been laid before me, and on due inquiry thereupon had I have been led to believe that the (describe the house or other place) is used as a place for the deposit (or sale) of stolen property (or, if for either of the other purposes expressed in the section, state the purpose in the words of the section);

This is to authorize and require you to enter the said house (or other place) with such assistance as shall be required, and to use, if necessary, reasonable force for that purpose, and to search every part of the said house (or other place, or, if the search is to be confined to a part, specify the part clearly) and to seize and take possession of any property (or documents, or stamps, or seals, or coins, as the case may be) — [Add (when the case requires it) and also of any instruments and materials which you may reasonably believe to be kept for the manufacture of forged documents, or counterfeit stamps, or false seals, or counterfeit coin (as the case may be)] and forthwith to bring before this Court such of the said things as may be taken possession of returning this warrant with an endorsement certifying what you have done under it, immediately upon its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this day of , 18 .
(Seal.)

(Signature.)

X.—BOND TO KEEP THE PEACE. (See section 106.)

WHEREAS I, (name), inhabitant of (place), have been called upon to enter into a bond to keep the peace for the term of , I hereby bind myself not to commit a breach of the peace or do any act that may probably occasion a breach of the peace during the said term; and, in case of

my making default therein, I hereby bind myself to forfeit to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

XI.—BOND FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR. (See sections 109 and 110.)

WHEREAS I, (name), inhabitant of (place), have been called upon to enter into a bond to be of good behaviour to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, and to all her subjects for the term of (state the period), I hereby bind myself to be of good behaviour to Her Majesty and to all her subjects during the said term; and, in case of my making default therein, I bind myself to forfeit to Her Majesty the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

(Where a bond with sureties is to be executed, add) We do hereby declare ourselves sureties for the abovenamed that he will be of good behaviour to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, and to all her subjects during the said term; and, in case of his making default therein, we bind ourselves, jointly and severally, to forfeit to Her Majesty the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

XII.—SUMMONS ON INFORMATION OF A PROBABLE BREACH OF THE PEACE. (See section 114.)

To of

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me by credible information that (state the substance of the information) and that you are likely to commit a breach of the peace (or by which act a breach of the peace will probably be occasioned), you are hereby required to attend in person (or by a duly authorized agent) at the Office of the Magistrate of on the day of 18 , at ten o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause why you should not be required to enter into a bond for rupees [when sureties are required, add and also to give security by the bond of one (or two, as the case may be) surety (or sureties) in the sum of rupees (each, if more than one)], that you will keep the peace for the term of

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of 18 .

(Seal.)

(Signature.)

XIII.—WARRANT OF COMMITMENT ON FAILURE TO FIND SECURITY TO KEEP THE PEACE. (See section 123.)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the jail at

WHEREAS (name and address) appeared before me in person (or by his authorized agent) on the day of in obedience to a summons calling upon him to show cause why he should not enter into a bond for rupees with one surety (or a bond with two sureties each in rupees), that he the said (name) would keep the peace for the period of months; and whereas an order was then made requiring the said (name) to enter into and find such security (state the security ordered when it differs from that mentioned in the summons), and he has failed to comply with the said order;

This is to authorize and require you the said Superintendent (or Keeper) to receive the said (name) into your custody together with this warrant, and him safely to keep in the said jail for the said period of (term of imprisonment) unless he shall in the meantime comply with the said order by himself and his surety (or sureties) entering into the said bond, in which case the same shall be received, and the said (name) released; and to return this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .

(Seal.)

(Signature.)

XIV.—WARRANT OF COMMITMENT ON FAILURE TO FIND SECURITY FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR. (See section 123.)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Jail at

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that (name and description) has been and is lurking within the District of having no ostensible means of subsistence (or, and that he is unable to give any satisfactory account of himself;)

or
WHEREAS evidence of the general character of (name and description) has been adduced before me and recorded from which it appears that he is an habitual robber (or house-breaker, &c., as the case may be);

[illegible]

XV.—WARRANT TO DISCHARGE A PERSON IMPRISONED ON FAILURE TO GIVE SECURITY. (*See sections 123 and 124*).

[illegible]

XVI.—ORDER FOR THE REMOVAL OF NUISANCES. (See section 133.)

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

WHEREAS on the _____ day of _____, 18____, an order was issued to (name) requiring him (state the effect of the order), and whereas the said (name) has applied to me by a petition bearing date the _____ day of _____ for an order appointing a Jury to try whether the said recited order is reasonable and proper; I do hereby appoint (the names, &c., of the five or more Jurors) to be the Jury to try and decide the said question, and do require the said Jury to report their decision within _____ days from the date of this order at my office at _____.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal) _____ (Signature.)

To (name, description and address).

I HEREBY give you notice that the Jury duly appointed on the petition presented by you on the _____ day of _____ have found that the order issued on the _____ day of _____ requiring you (*state substantially the requisition in the order*) is reasonable and proper. Such order has been made absolute, and I hereby direct and require you to obey the said order within (*state the time allowed*) on peril of the penalty provided by the Indian Penal Code for disobedience thereto.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

To (name, description and address).

WHEREAS the inquiry by a Jury appointed to try whether my order issued on the day of , 18 , is reasonable and proper is still pending, and it has been made to appear to me that the nuisance mentioned in the said order is attended with so imminent serious danger to the public as to render necessary immediate measures to prevent such danger, I do hereby, under the provisions of section 142 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, direct and enjoin you forthwith to (state plainly what is required to be done as a temporary safe-guard), pending the result of the local inquiry by the Jury.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature)

To (name, description and address).

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that, &c. (state the proper recital, guided by Form No. XVI or Form No. XXI, as the case may be);

I do hereby strictly order and enjoin you not to repeat the said nuisance by again placing or causing or permitting to be placed, &c. (as the case may be).

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18__.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

To (name description and address).

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that you are in possession (or have the management) of (describe clearly the property), and that, in digging a drain on the said land, you are about to throw or place a portion of the earth and stones dug up upon the adjoining public road, so as to occasion risk of obstruction to persons using the road;

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that you and a number of other persons (*mention the class of persons*) are about to meet and proceed in a religious procession along the public street, &c. (*as the case may be*), and that such procession is likely to lead to a riot or an affray ;

WHEREAS, &c., &c (as the case may be);

I do hereby order you not to place or permit to be placed any of the earth or stones dug from your land in any part of the said road ;

I do hereby prohibit the procession passing along the said street, and strictly warn and enjoin you not to take any part in such procession (or, as the case recited may require).

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

XXII.—MAGISTRATE'S ORDER DECLARING PARTY ENTITLED TO RETAIN POSSESSION OF LAND &c., IN DISPUTE. (*See section 145.*)

It appearing to me, on the grounds duly recorded, that a dispute, likely to induce a breach of the peace, existed between (*describe the parties by name and residence, or residence only if the dispute be between bodies of villagers*) concerning certain (*state concisely the subject of dispute*) situate within the local limits of my jurisdiction, all the said parties were called upon to give in a written statement of their respective claims as to the fact of actual possession of the said (*the subject of dispute*), and being satisfied by due inquiry had thereupon, without reference to the merits of the claim of either of the said parties to the legal right of possession, that the claim of actual possession by the said (*name or names or description*) is true,

I do decide and declare that he is (*or they are*) in possession of the said (*the subject of dispute*) and entitled to retain such possession until ousted by due course of law, and do strictly forbid any disturbance of his (*or their*) possession in the meantime.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this
(*Seal.*)

day of , 18
(*Signature.*)

XXIII.—WARRANT OF ATTACHMENT IN THE CASE OF A DISPUTE AS TO THE POSSESSION OF LAND, &c. (*See section 146.*)

To the Police-officer in charge of the Police-station at [or, To the Collector of].

WHEREAS it has been made to appear to me that a dispute likely to induce a breach of the peace existed between (*describe the parties concerned by name and residence, or residence only if the dispute be between bodies of villagers*) concerning certain (*state concisely the subject of dispute*) situate within the limits of my jurisdiction, and the said parties were thereupon duly called upon to state in writing their respective claims as to the fact of actual possession of the said (*the subject of dispute*), and whereas, upon due inquiry into the said claims, I have decided that neither of the said parties was in possession of the said (*the subject of dispute*) [or I am unable to satisfy myself as to which of the said parties was in possession as aforesaid];

This is to authorize and require you to attach the said (*the subject of dispute*) by taking and keeping possession thereof, and to hold the same under attachment until the decree or order of a competent Court determining the rights of the parties, or the claim to possession shall have been obtained; and to return this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this
(*Seal.*)

day of , 18
(*Signature.*)

XXIV.—MAGISTRATE'S ORDER PROHIBITING THE DOING OF ANY THING ON LAND OR WATER. (*See section 147.*)

A DISPUTE having arisen concerning the right of use of (*state concisely the subject of dispute*) situate within the limits of my jurisdiction, the possession of which land (*or water*) is claimed exclusively by (*describe the person or persons*), and it appearing to me, on due inquiry into the same, that the said land (*or water*) has been open to the enjoyment of such use by the public (*or if by an individual or a class of persons, describe him or them*), and, (*if the use can be enjoyed throughout the year*) that the said use has been enjoyed within three months of the institution of the said inquiry (*or if the use is enjoyable only at particular seasons, say "during the last of the seasons at which the same is capable of being enjoyed"*);

I do order that the said (*the claimant or claimants of possession*), or any one in their interest, shall not take (*or retain*) possession of the said land (*or water*) to the exclusion of the enjoyment of the right of use aforesaid, until he (*or they*) shall obtain the decree or order of a competent Court adjudging him (*or them*) to be entitled to exclusive possession.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this
(*Seal.*)

day of , 18
(*Signature.*)

XXV.—BOND AND BAIL-BOND ON A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY BEFORE A POLICE-OFFICER. (*See section 149.*)

I, (*name*), of , being charged with the offence of , and after inquiry required to appear before the Magistrate of ,

and after inquiry called upon to enter into my own recognizance to appear when required, do hereby bind myself to appear at , in the Court of , on the day of next (*or on such day as I may hereafter be required to attend*) to answer further to the said charge, and, in case of my making default herein, I bind myself to forfeit to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18

(*Signature.*)

I hereby declare myself (or We jointly and severally declare ourselves and each of us) surety (or sureties) for the above-said that he shall attend at , in the Court of , on the day of next (or on such day as he may hereafter be required to attend), further to answer to the charge pending against him, and, in case of his making default therein, I hereby bind myself (or we hereby bind ourselves) to forfeit to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

XXVI.—BOND TO PROSECUTE OR GIVE EVIDENCE. (See section 170.)

I, (name), of (place), do hereby bind myself to attend at in the Court of at o'clock on the day of next, and then and there to prosecute and give evidence, (or to give evidence) in the matter of a charge of against one A. B., and, in case of making default herein, I bind myself to forfeit to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

XXVII.—NOTICE OF COMMITMENT BY MAGISTRATE TO GOVERNMENT PLEADER. (See section 218.)

The Magistrate of hereby gives notice that he has committed one for trial at the next Sessions; and the Magistrate hereby instructs the Government Pleader to conduct the prosecution of the said case.

The charge against the accused is that, &c. (state the offence as in the charge.)

Dated this day of , 18 .

(Signature.)

XXVIII.—CHARGES. (See sections 221, 222, 223.)

(1) CHARGES WITH ONE HEAD.

(a) I, [name and office of Magistrate, &c.], hereby charge you [name of accused person] as follows:—

(b) That you, on or about the day of , at , waged war against Her

On Penal Code, section 121. Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 121 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [when the charge is framed by a Presidency Magistrate, for Court of Session substitute High Court].

(c) And I hereby direct that you be tried by the said Court on the said charge.

(Signature and seal of the Magistrate.)

[To be substituted for (b):—]

(2) That you, on or about the day of , at , with the intention of

On section 124. inducing the Honourable A. B., Member of the Council of the Governor General of India, to refrain from exercising a lawful power as such Member, assaulted such Member, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 124 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(3) That you, being a public servant in the Department, directly accepted from [state

On section 161. the name], for another party [state the name], a gratification, other than legal remuneration, as a motive for forbearing to do an official act, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 161 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(4) That you, on or about the day of , at , did [or omitted to

On section 166. do, as the case may be] , such conduct being contrary to the provisions of Act , section , and known by you to be prejudicial to , and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 166 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(5) That you, on or about the day of , at , in the course of the

On section 193. trial of , before , before , stated in evidence that " , which statement you either knew or believed to be false, or did not believe to be true, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 193 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(6) That you, on or about the day of , at , committed culpable

On section 304. homicide not amounting to murder, causing the death of , and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 304 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(7) That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, abetted the commission of suicide by A. B., a person in a state of intoxication and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(8) That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, voluntarily caused grievous hurt to _____, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 325 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(9) That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, robbed [state the name] and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 392 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(10) That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed dacoity, an offence punishable under section 395 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

[In cases tried by Magistrates, substitute "within my cognizance" for within the cognizance of the Court of Session," and in (c) omit "by the said Court."]

(11)—CHARGES WITH TWO OR MORE HEADS.

(a) I, [name and office of Magistrate, &c.] hereby charge you [name of accused person] as follows:—

(b) *First.*—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, knowing a coin to be counterfeit, delivered the same to another person, by name A. B., as genuine, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 241 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

Secondly.—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, knowing a coin to be counterfeit, attempted to induce another person, by name A. B., to receive it as genuine, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 241 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(c) And I hereby direct that you be tried by the said Court on the said charges.

[Signature and seal of the Magistrate.]

[To be substituted for (b):—]

(2) *First.*—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed murder by causing the death of _____, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

Secondly.—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, by causing the death of _____, committed culpable homicide not amounting to murder, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 304 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(3) *First.*—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed theft, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

Secondly.—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed theft, having made preparation for causing death to a person in order to the committing of such theft, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 382 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

Thirdly.—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed theft, having made preparation for causing restraint to a person in order to the effecting of your escape after the committing of such theft, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 382 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

Fourthly.—That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, committed theft, having made preparation for causing fear of hurt to a person in order to the retaining of property taken by such theft, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 382 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

(4) That you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, in the course of the inquiry into _____ before _____, stated in evidence that "_____" and that _____ you, on or about the _____ day of _____, at _____, in the course of the trial of _____, before _____, stated in evidence that "_____", one of which statements you either knew or believed to be false, or did not believe to be true, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 193 of the Indian Penal Code, and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or High Court].

[In cases tried by Magistrates, substitute "within my cognizance" for "within the cognizance of the Court of Session," and in (c) omit "by the said Court."]

(III).—CHARGE FOR THEFT AFTER A PREVIOUS CONVICTION.

I (name and office of Magistrate, &c.,) hereby charge you (name of accused person) as follows:—

That you, on or about the day of , at , committed theft, and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 379 of the Indian Penal Code and within the cognizance of the Court of Session [or { High Court, } as the case may be.]
Magistrate, }

And you the said (name of accused) stand further charged that you, before the committing of the said offence, that is to say, on the day of , had been convicted by the (state Court by which conviction was had) at of an offence punishable under Chapter XVII of the Indian Penal Code with imprisonment for a term of three years, that is to say, the offence of house-breaking by night (describe the offence in the words used in the section under which the accused was convicted), which conviction is still in full force and effect, and that you are thereby liable to enhanced punishment under section 75 of the Indian Penal Code.

And I hereby direct that you be tried, &c.

XXIX.—WARRANT OF COMMITMENT ON A SENTENCE OF IMPRISONMENT OR FINE IF PASSED BY A MAGISTRATE. (See sections 245 and 258.)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Jail at

WHEREAS on the day of 18 , (name of prisoner), the (1st, 2nd, 3rd, as the case may be) prisoner in case No. of the Calendar for 18 , was convicted before me (name and official designation) of the offence of (mention the offence or offences concisely) under section (or sections) of the Indian Penal Code (or of Act), and was sentenced to (state the punishment fully and distinctly);

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper) to receive the said (prisoner's name) into your custody in the said jail, together with this warrant, and there carry the aforesaid sentence into execution according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .

(Seal.)

(Signature.)

XXX.—WARRANT OF IMPRISONMENT ON FAILURE TO RECOVER AMENDS BY DISTRESS. (See section 250.)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the jail at

WHEREAS (name and description) has brought against (name and description of the accused person) the complaint that (mention it concisely), and the same has been dismissed as frivolous (or vexatious), and the order of dismissal awards payment by the said (name of complainant) of the sum of rupees as amends; and whereas the said sum has not been paid and cannot be recovered by distress of the moveable property of the said (name of complainant) and an order has been made for his simple imprisonment in jail for the period of days, unless the aforesaid sum be sooner paid;

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper), to receive the said (name) into your custody, together with this warrant, and him safely to keep in the said jail for the said period of (term of imprisonment), subject to the provisions of section 69 of the Indian Penal Code, unless the said sum be sooner paid, and on the receipt thereof forthwith to set him at liberty; returning this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .

(Seal.)

(Signature.)

XXXI.—SUMMONS TO A WITNESS. (See sections 68 and 252.)

To of

WHEREAS complaint has been made before me that of has (or is suspected to have) committed the offence of (state the offence concisely, with time and place) and it appears to me that you are likely to give material evidence for the prosecution;

You are hereby summoned to appear before this Court on the day of next at ten o'clock in the forenoon, to testify what you know concerning the matter of the said complaint, and not to depart thence without leave of the Court; and you are hereby warned that if you shall without just excuse neglect or refuse to appear on the said date, a warrant will be issued to compel your attendance.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18

(Seal.)

(Signature.)

XXXII.—PRECEPT TO DISTRICT MAGISTRATE TO SUMMON JURORS AND ASSESSORS. (*See ; section 326.*)

To the District Magistrate of

WHEREAS a Criminal Session is appointed to be held in the Court-house at _____ on the _____ day of _____ next, and the names of the persons herein stated have been duly drawn by lot from among those named in the revised list of jurors and assessors furnished to this Court; you are hereby required to summon the said persons to attend at the said Court of Session at 10 A. M. on the said date, and, within such date, to certify that you have done so in pursuance of this precept.

(*Here enter the names of Jurors and Assessors.*)

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____, (Seal.) (Signature.)

XXXIII.—SUMMONS TO ASSESSOR OR JUROR. (*See section 328.*)

To (name) of (place).

PURSUANT to a precept directed to me by the Court of Session of _____ requiring your attendance as an Assessor (or a Juror) at the next Criminal Session, you are hereby summoned to attend at the said Court of Session at (place) at ten o'clock in the forenoon on the _____ day of _____ next.

Given under my hand and seal of office, this _____ day of _____, 18____. (Seal.) (Signature.)

XXXIV.—WARRANT OF COMMITMENT UNDER SENTENCE OF DEATH. (*See section 374.*)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Jail at _____

WHEREAS at the Session held before me on the _____ day of _____, 18____, (name of prisoner), the (1st, 2nd, 3rd, as the case may be) prisoner in case No. _____ of the Calendar at the said Session, was duly convicted of the offence of culpable homicide amounting to murder under section _____ of the Indian Penal Code, and sentenced to suffer death, subject to the confirmation of the said sentence by the _____ Court of _____;

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper), to receive the said (prisoner's name) into your custody in the said jail, together with this warrant, and him there safely to keep until you shall receive the further warrant or order of this Court, carrying into effect the order of the said _____ Court.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____. (Seal.) (Signature.)

XXXV.—WARRANT OF EXECUTION ON A SENTENCE OF DEATH. (*See section 381.*)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Jail at _____

WHEREAS (name of prisoner), the (1st, 2nd, 3rd, as the case may be) prisoner in case No. _____ of the Calendar at the Session held before me on the _____ day of _____, 18____, has been by a warrant of this Court, dated the _____ day of _____, committed to your custody under sentence of death, and whereas the order of the _____ Court of _____ confirming the said sentence has been received by this Court;

This is to authorize and require you the said Superintendent (or Keeper) to carry the said sentence into execution by causing the said _____ to be hanged by the neck until he be dead, at (time and place of execution), and to return this warrant to the Court with an endorsement certifying that the sentence has been executed.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____. (Seal.) (Signature.)

XXXVI.—WARRANT AFTER A COMMUTATION OF A SENTENCE. (*See sections 381 and 382.*)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Jail at _____

WHEREAS at a Session held on the _____ day of _____, 18____, (name of prisoner), (the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, as the case may be) prisoner in case No. _____ of the Calendar at the said Session, was convicted of the offence of _____ punishable under section _____ of the Indian Penal Code, and sentenced to _____, and was thereupon committed to your custody; and whereas by the order of the _____ Court of _____ (a duplicate of which is hereunto annexed) the punishment adjudged by the said sentence has been commuted to the punishment of transportation for life (or as the case may be);

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper), safely to keep the said (prisoner's name) in your custody in the said jail, as by law is required, until he shall be de-

if the mitigated sentence is one of imprisonment, say, after the words "custody in the said jail," "and there to carry into execution the punishment of imprisonment under the said order according to law."

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .
(Seal) (Signature.)

To (name and designation of the Police-officer or other person, or persons, who is or are to execute the warrant.)

This is to authorize and require you to make distress by seizure of any moveable property belonging to the said (name) which may be found within the District of _____; and, if within (state the number of days or hours allowed) next after such distress the said sum shall not be paid (or forthwith,) to sell the moveable property distrained, or so much thereof as shall be sufficient to satisfy the said fine; returning this warrant, with an endorsement certifying what you have done under it, immediately upon its execution.

[illegible]

And whereas for such contempt the said (name of offender) has been adjudged by the Court to pay a fine of rupees _____, or in default to suffer simple imprisonment for the space of (state the number of months or days) ;

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day of , 18 .
(Seal.) (Signature.)

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

WHEREAS (name, description and address) has been proved before me to be possessed of sufficient means to maintain his wife (name) [or his child (name)], who is by reason of (state the reason) unable to maintain herself (or himself)] and to have neglected (or refused) to do so, and an order has been

[illegible][illegible]

Dated this day of , 18 .

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.

(Signature.)

XLIV.—WARRANT OF ATTACHMENT TO ENFORCE A BOND. (*See section 514.*)

To the Police-officer in the charge of the Police-station at

WHEREAS (*name, description and address of person*) has failed to appear on (*mention the occasion*) pursuant to his cognizance, and has by such default forfeited to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees (*the penalty in the bond*); and whereas the said (*name of person*) has, on due notice to him, failed to pay the said sum or show any sufficient cause why payment should not be enforced against him.

This is to authorize and require you to attach any moveable property of the (*name*) that you may find within the District of _____, by seizure and detention, and, if the said amount be not paid within three days, to sell the property so attached, or so much of it as may be sufficient to realize the amount aforesaid, and to make return of what you have done under this warrant immediately upon its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (*Signature.*)

XLV.—NOTICE TO SURETY ON BREACH OF A BOND. (*See section 514.*)

To _____ of _____, 18 ____, you became surety for (*name*) of (*place*) that he should appear before this Court on the _____ day of _____ and bound yourself in default thereof to forfeit the sum of rupees _____ to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India; and whereas the said (*name*) has failed to appear before this Court, and by reason of such default you have forfeited the aforesaid sum of rupees _____;

You are hereby required to pay the said penalty or show cause, within _____ days from this date, why payment of the said sum should not be enforced against you.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (*Signature.*)

XLVI.—NOTICE TO SURETY OF FORFEITURE OF BOND FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR. (*See section 514.*)

To _____ of _____, 18 ____, you became surety by a bond for (*name*) of (*place*) that he would be of good behaviour for the period of _____, and bound yourself in default thereof to forfeit the sum of rupees _____ to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India; and whereas the said (*name*) has been convicted of the offence of (*mention the offence concisely*) committed since you became such surety, whereby your security-bond has become forfeited;

You are hereby required to pay the said penalty of rupees _____, or to show cause within _____ days why it should not be paid.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (*Signature.*)

XLVII.—WARRANT OF ATTACHMENT AGAINST A SURETY (*See section 514.*)

To _____ WHEREAS (*name, description and address*), has bound himself as surety for the appearance of (*mention the condition of the bond*), and the said (*name*) has made default, and thereby forfeited to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees _____ (*the penalty in the bond*);

This is to authorize and require you to attach any moveable property of the said (*name*) which you may find within the District of _____, by seizure and detention; and, if the said amount be not paid within three days, to sell the property so attached, or so much of it as may be sufficient to realize the amount aforesaid and make return of what you have done under this warrant immediately upon its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.
(*Seal.*) _____ (*Signature.*)

XLVIII.—WARRANT OF COMMITMENT OF THE SURETY OF AN ACCUSED PERSON ADMITTED TO BAIL. (*See section 514.*)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Civil Jail at

WHEREAS (*name and description of surety*) has bound himself as a surety for the appearance of (*state the condition of the bond*), and the said (*name*) has therein made default whereby the penalty mentioned in the said bond has been forfeited to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, and whereas the said (*name of surety*) has on due notice to him, failed to pay the said sum or show any sufficient cause why payment should not be enforced against him, and the

20

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper,) to receive the said (name) into your custody with this warrant and him safely to keep in the said Jail for the said (term of imprisonment), and to return this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

XLIX.—NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL OF FORFEITURE OF A BOND TO KEEP THE PEACE. (See section 514.)

Dated this _____ day of _____, 18 ____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.) _____

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature.)

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this _____ day of _____, 18____.

(Seal.) _____ (Signature)

This is to authorize and require you to attach by seizure moveable property belonging to the said (name) to the value of rupees _____ which you may find within the District of _____, and.

SCHEDULE V.

If the said sum be not paid within , to sell the property so attached, or so much of it as may be sufficient to realise the same, and to make return of what you have done under this warrant immediately upon its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this
(Seal.)

day of , 18 .
(Signature.)

LIII.—WARRANT OF IMPRISONMENT ON FORFEITURE OF BOND FOR GOOD BEHAVIOUR.
(See section 514.)

To the Superintendent (or Keeper) of the Civil Jail at

WHEREAS (name, description and address) did on the. day of , 18 , give security by bond in the sum of rupees for the good behaviour of (name, &c. of the principal), and proof of the breach of the said bond has been given before me and duly recorded, whereby the said (name) has forfeited to Her Majesty the Queen, Empress of India, the sum of rupees ; and whereas he has failed to pay the said sum or to shew cause why the said sum should not be paid, although duly called upon to do so, and payment thereof cannot be enforced by attachment of his moveable property, and an order has been made for the imprisonment of the said (name) in the Civil Jail for the period of (term of imprisonment) ;

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent (or Keeper), to receive the said (name) into your custody, together with this warrant, and him safely to keep in the said Jail for the said period of (term of imprisonment) ; returning this warrant with an endorsement certifying the manner of its execution.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this
(Seal.)

day of , 18 .
(Signature.)

APPENDIX.

CONTENTS.

ACT I, 1868 The General Clauses' Act	396
--	-----

OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS.

ACT X, 1873 The Indian Oaths' Act	398
---	-----

EVIDENCE.

ACT I, 1872 The Evidence Act	402
„ XVIII, 1872 The Evidence Act Amendment Act	455
„ XV, 1869 The Prisoners' Testimony Act	456

MISCELLANEOUS.

ACT III, 1880 The Cantonments' Act	461
„ V, 1861 For the Regulation of Police	468
„ IX, 1874 The European Vagrancy Act	478
„ XXI, 1879 The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act	487
„ VI, 1864 The Whipping Act.....	492
„ V, 1876 The Reformatory Schools' Act	496
„ V, 1871 The Prisoners' Act	500
„ III, 1879 The Destruction of Records' Act	506

Rules for the Destruction of Criminal Records	508
---	-----

APPENDIX.



ACT I OF 1868.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.
(Received the assent of the Governor-General on the 3rd January 1868.)

An Act for shortening the language used in Acts of the Governor-General of India in Council and for other purposes.

Preamble. WHEREAS it is expedient to shorten the language used in Acts made by the Governor-General of India in Council, and to make certain provisions relating to such Acts: It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title. I. This Act may be cited as “The General Clauses Act, 1868.”

Interpretation-clause. II. In this Act and in all Acts made by the Governor-General of India in Council after this Act shall have come into operation,—unless there be something repugnant in the subject or context,—

Gender. (1.) Words importing the masculine gender shall be taken to include females;

Number. (2.) Words in the singular shall include the plural, and *vice versa*;

“Person.” (3.) “Person” shall include any company, or association, or body of individuals, whether incorporated or not;

“Year” and “month.” (4.) “Year” and “month” shall respectively mean a year and month reckoned according to the British calendar;

“Immoveable property.” (5.) “Immoveable property” shall include land, benefits to arise out of land, and things attached to the earth, or permanently fastened to anything attached to the earth;

“Moveable property.” (6.) “Moveable property” shall mean property of every description, except immoveable property;

“Her Majesty.” (7.) “Her Majesty” shall include Her heirs and successors to the Crown;

“British India.” (8.) “British India” shall mean the territories for the time being vested in Her Majesty by the Statute 21 & 22 Vict., cap. 106 (*An Act for the better government of India*), other than the Settlement of Prince of Wales’ Island, Singapore, and Malacca;

“Government of India.” (9.) “Government of India” shall denote the Governor-General of India in Council, or during the absence of the Governor-General of India from his Council, the President in Council or the Governor-General of India alone, as regards the powers which may be lawfully exercised by them or him respectively;

“Local Government.” (10.) “Local Government” shall mean the person authorized by law to administer executive Government in the part of British India in which the Act containing such expression shall operate, and shall include a Chief Commissioner;

- "High Court." (11.) "High Court" shall mean the highest Civil Court of Appeal in such part ;
- "District Judge." (12.) "District Judge" shall mean the Judge of a principal Civil Court of original jurisdiction ; but shall not include a High Court in the exercise of its ordinary or extraordinary original civil jurisdiction ;
- "Magistrate." (13.) "Magistrate" shall include all persons exercising all or any of the powers of a Magistrate under the Code of Criminal Procedure ;
- "Barrister." (14.) "Barrister" shall mean a barrister of England or Ireland, or a member of the Faculty of Advocates in Scotland ;
- "Section." (15.) "Section" shall denote a section of the Act in which the word occurs ;
- "Will." (16.) "Will" shall include a codicil and every writing making a voluntary posthumous distribution of property ;
- "Oath," "swear," and "affidavit." (17.) "Oath," "swear," and "affidavit" shall include affirmation, declaration, affirming and declaring in the case of persons by law allowed to affirm or declare instead of swearing ;
- "Imprisonment." (18.) "Imprisonment" shall mean imprisonment of either description as defined in the Indian Penal Code ;
- "Son." (19.) And in the case of any one whose personal law permits adoption, "son" shall include an adopted son, and
- "Father." "father" an adoptive father.

III. In all Acts made by the Governor-General of India in Council after this Act shall have come into operation :—

- Revival of repealed enactments. (1) For the purpose of reviving, either wholly or partially, a Statute, Act, or Regulation repealed, it shall be necessary expressly to state such purpose ;
- Commencement of time. (2) For the purpose of excluding the first in a series of days or any other period of time, it shall be sufficient to use the word "from ;"
- Termination of time. (3) For the purpose of including the last in a series of days or any other period of time, it shall be sufficient to use the words "to ;"
- (4) For the purpose of expressing that law relative to the chief or superior of an office shall apply to the deputies or subordinates lawfully executing the duties of such office in the place of their superior, it shall be sufficient to prescribe the duty of the superior ;
- Official chiefs and subordinates. (5) For the purpose of indicating the relation of a law to the successors of any functionaries, or of corporations having perpetual succession it shall be sufficient to express its relation to the functionaries or corporations ; and
- Successors. (6) For the purpose of indicating the application of a law to every person or number of persons for the time being executing the functions of an office, it shall be sufficient to mention the official title of the officer at present executing such functions, or that of the officer by whom the functions are commonly executed.

IV. Whenever, by any Act or Regulation now in force or hereafter to be in force, any duty of customs or excise or in the nature thereof is leviable on any given quantity, by weight, measure or value, of any goods or merchandize, a like duty shall be leviable according to the same rate on any greater or less quantity.

* V. The provisions of Sections sixty-three to seventy, both inclusive, of the Indian Penal Code, and of Section sixty-one of the Code of Criminal Procedure, shall apply to all fines imposed under the authority of any Act hereafter to be passed, unless such Act shall contain an express provision to the contrary.

In the place of S. 61 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, read Ss. 386, 387 Act X, of 1882.

This section authorizes the infliction of imprisonment on default of payment of a fine imposed by any act of the Imperial Legislature subsequently passed. Sangapa bin Bashiapa, 7 Bomb., 76. Cr. Ca.

VI. The repeal of any Statute, Act, or Regulation shall not affect anything done or any offence committed, or any fine or penalty incurred, or any proceedings commenced before the repealing Act to be unaffected. shall have come into operation.

VII. Repealed by Act I, 1872.

VIII. Repealed by Act I, 1872.

ACT X OF 1873.

(RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL ON THE 8TH DAY OF APRIL, 1873.)

An Act to consolidate the law relating to Judicial Oaths, and for other purposes.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate the law relating to judicial oaths, affirmations, and declarations and to repeal the law relating to official oaths, affirmations, and declarations; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

I.—Preliminary.

- Short title. 1. This Act may be called "The Indian Oaths' Act, 1873."
- Local extent. It extends to the whole of British India, and so far as regards subjects of Her Majesty, to the territories of Native Princes and States in alliance with her Majesty;
- Commencement. And it shall come into force on the first day of May 1873.
2. The enactments specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are repealed to the extent mentioned in the third column thereof.
- Repeal of enactments. *Repealed by Act XII, 1873.*

II.—Authority to administer Oaths and Affirmations.

4. The following Courts and persons are authorized to administer by themselves or by an officer empowered by them in this behalf oaths and affirmations in discharge of the duties and in exercise of the powers imposed or conferred upon them respectively by law:—
- Authority to administer oaths and affirmations.
- (a.) All Courts and persons having by law or consent of parties authority to receive evidence;
- (b.) The Commanding Officer of any military station, occupied by troops in the service of Her Majesty: provided
- (1) that the oath or affirmation be administered within the limits of the station, and
- (2) that the oath or affirmation be such as a Justice of the Peace is competent to administer in British India.

III.—Persons by whom Oaths or Affirmations must be made.

- Oaths or affirmations to be made by— 5. Oaths or affirmations shall be made by the following persons:
- (a.) all witnesses, that is to say, all persons who may lawfully be examined, or give, or be required to give, evidence by or before any
- Witnesses.

Court or person having by law or consent of parties authority to examine such persons or to receive evidence:

Interpreters.

(b.) interpreters of questions put to, and evidence given by, witnesses, and

Jurors.

(c.) jurors.

Nothing herein contained shall render it lawful to administer in a criminal proceeding an oath or affirmation to the accused person, or necessary to administer to the official interpreter of any Court, after he has entered on the execution of the duties of his office, an oath or affirmation that he will faithfully discharge those duties.

Affirmation by Natives or by persons objecting to oaths.

6. Where the witness, interpreter or juror is a Hindu or Muhammadan,

or has an objection to making an oath, he shall, instead of making an oath, make an affirmation. In every other case the witness, interpreter or juror shall make an oath.

IV.—Forms of Oaths and Affirmations.

7. All oaths and affirmations made under section five shall be administered according to such forms as the High Court may from time to time prescribe.

And until any such forms are prescribed by the High Court, such oaths and affirmations shall be administered according to the forms now in use.

Explanation—As regards oaths and affirmations administered in the Court of the Recorder of Rangoon and the Court of Small Causes of Rangoon, the Recorder of Rangoon shall be deemed to be the High Court within the meaning of this section.

[The forms of oaths and affirmations, prescribed by several of the High Courts, are given after this Act.]

8. If any party to, or witness in, any judicial proceeding offers to give evidence on oath or solemn affirmation in any form common amongst, or held binding by, persons of the race or persuasion to which he belongs, and not repugnant to justice or decency, and not purporting to affect any third person, the Court may, if it thinks fit, notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained, tender such oath or affirmation to him.

9. If any party to any judicial proceeding offers to be bound by any such oath or solemn affirmation as is mentioned in section eight, if such oath or affirmation is made by the other party to, or by any witnesses in, such proceeding, the Court may, if it thinks fit, ask such party or witness, or cause him to be asked, whether or not he will make the oath or affirmation:

Provided that no party or witness shall be compelled to attend personally in Court solely for the purpose of answering such question.

10. If such party or witness agrees to make such oath or affirmation, the Court may proceed to administer it, or if it is of such a nature that it may be more conveniently made out of Court, the Court may issue a Commission to any person to administer it, and authorize him to take the evidence of the person to be sworn or affirmed and return it to the Court.

Evidence conclusive as against person offering to be bound.

11. The evidence so given shall, as against the person who offered to be bound as aforesaid, be conclusive proof of the matter stated.

The evidence referred to by s. 11 must have been given under the oath or solemn affirmation contemplated by s. 8, and not under the usual form of oath or affirmation.—*Sreemunt Ram Totadar*, 22 W. R., 387. *Civil Cases*.

12. If the party or witness refuses to make the oath or solemn affirmation referred to in section eight, he shall not be compelled to make it, but the Court shall record, as part of the proceedings, the nature of the oath or affirmation proposed, the facts that he was asked whether he would make it, and that he refused it, together with any reason which he may assign for his refusal.

V.—Miscellaneous.

13. No omission to take any oath or make any affirmation, no substitution of any one for any other of them, and no irregularity whatever in the form in which any one of them is administered, shall invalidate any proceeding or render inadmissible any evidence whatever, in or in respect of which such omission, substitution or irregularity took place, or shall affect the obligation of a witness to state the truth.

The word "omission" includes any omission, and is not limited to accidental or negligent omissions. In this case the affirmation was in fact omitted to be made, although it was done deliberately and under the direction of the Judge. The intention appears to have been to provide for every omission, substitution or irregularity.—*Per* Cochrane, C. J., Kemp, Phear and Markby, JJ. (Jackson, J., *dis.*).—Sewa Bhogta, 23 W. R., 12. (S. C.) 14 B. L. R., 294.

14. Every person giving evidence on any subject before any Court or person hereby authorized to administer oaths and affirmations shall be bound to state the truth on such subject.

Amendment of Penal Code, sections 178 and 181.

15. The Indian Penal Code, sections 178 and 181, shall be construed as if, after the word "oath" the words "or affirmation" were inserted.

(16.) Subject to the provisions of sections three and five, no person appointed to any office shall, before entering on the execution of the duties of his office, be required to make any oath, or to make or subscribe any affirmation or declaration whatever.

FORMS OF OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS.

Prescribed under the Oaths' Act (X of 1873) S. 7, for the N. W. PROVINCES (Cir. 4, May 2, 1873) and the PUNJAB; [C. C. Punjab Cir. IX, May 8, 1873.] Smyth, pp. 233, 234—

I. (Oath for Witnesses in Cases tried by Jury.)

The evidence which I shall give to the Court and the Jury touching the matter in question between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. So help me God.

II. (Oath for Witnesses in other Criminal Cases.)

The evidence which I shall give to the Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. So help me God.

III. (Affirmation for Witnesses in Cases tried by Jury.)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that the evidence which I shall give to the Court and the Jury, touching the matter in question between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

IV. (Affirmation for Witnesses in other Criminal Cases.)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that the evidence which I shall give to the Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

V. (*Oath for Interpreters.*)

I shall well and truly interpret what is deposed by the witness between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar. So help me God.

VI. (*Affirmation for Interpreters.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I shall well and truly interpret what is deposed by the witness between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar.

VII. (*Oath for Jurors.*)

I shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and give true verdict according to the evidence. So help me God.

VIII. (*Affirmation for Jurors.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and give true verdict according to the evidence.

The following Forms of Oaths and Affirmations have been prescribed by the MADRAS HIGH COURT (Aug. 16, 1873).

When an oath is administered, the witness, interpreter, or juror, shall be required to take the Bible in his hand, and to repeat the words "So help me God," at the same time kissing the book.

I. (*Form of Oath for a Witness.*)

The evidence which you shall give touching the matter now before the Court shall be truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. So help you God.

(*Form of Affirmation for a Witness.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that what I shall state shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

II. (*Form of Oath for an Interpreter.*)

(Other than an official interpreter of the Court.)

You shall true interpretation make of the questions put to and the evidence given by the witnesses before the Court according to the best of your skill and understanding. So help you God.

(*Form of Affirmation for an Interpreter.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I will truly interpret the questions put to and the evidence given by the witnesses before the Court according to the best of my skill and understanding.

III. (*Form of Oath for a Juror.*)

You shall well and truly try and true deliverance make between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and a true verdict give according to the evidence. So help you God.

(*Form of Affirmation for a Juror.*)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that I will judge truly between the Queen and the prisoner at the bar, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

The following forms of oaths and affirmations have been prescribed by the CALCUTTA HIGH COURT (Section 7, Act X of 1873.)

2. The same forms will be used in criminal as in civil cases.

3. Christian witnesses, interpreters, and jurors, to whom oaths are administered, are to be sworn upon the New Testament.

4. In other cases the oaths are to be administered upon such symbol, or accompanied by such act as may be usual, or as such witness, interpreter, or juror may acknowledge to be binding on his conscience.

(Oath for Witnesses.)

I swear that the evidence which I shall give in this case shall be true, that I will conceal nothing, and that no part of my evidence shall be false. So help me God.

(Affirmation for Witnesses.)

I solemnly declare that the evidence which I shall give in this case shall be true, that I will conceal nothing, and that no part of my evidence shall be false.

(Oath for Interpreters.)

I swear that I will well and truly interpret, translate and explain all questions and answers, and all such matters as the Court may require me to interpret, translate and explain. So help me God.

(Affirmation for Interpreters.)

I solemnly declare that I will well and truly interpret, translate and explain all questions and answers, and all such matters as the Court may require me to interpret, translate or explain.

(Oath for Jurors.)

I swear that I will justly and truly try and determine the questions submitted to the jury in this case, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

So help me God.

(Affirmation for Jurors.)

I solemnly declare that I will justly and truly try and determine the questions submitted to the jury in this case, and will give a true verdict according to the evidence.

ACT I OF 1872.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor-General on the 15th March 1872.)

The Indian Evidence Act, 1872.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate, define and amend the Law of Evidence; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

PART I.

RELEVANCY OF FACTS.

CHAPTER I.—PRELIMINARY.

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The Indian Evidence Act, 1872."

It extends to the whole of British India and applies to all judicial proceedings in or before any Court, including Courts-Martial, but not to affidavits presented to any Court or Officer, nor to proceedings before an arbitrator;

Extent.

Commencement of Act. and it shall come into force on the first day of September 1872;

II. On and from that day the following laws shall be repealed:—

(1.) All rules of evidence not contained in any Statute, Act or Regulation in force in any part of British India;

(2.) All such rules, laws and regulations as have acquired the force of law under the twenty-fifth section of 'The Indian Councils' Act, 1861,' in so far as they relate to any matter herein provided for; and

(3.) The enactments mentioned in the Schedule hereto, to the extent specified in the third column of the said Schedule.

But nothing herein contained shall be deemed to affect any provision of any Statute, Act or Regulation in force in any part of British India and not hereby expressly repealed.

III. In this Act the following words and expressions are used in the following senses, unless a contrary intention appears from the context:—

"Court." "Court" includes all Judges and Magistrates and all persons, except arbitrators legally authorized to take evidence.

"Facts." "Fact" means and includes—

(1.) Any thing, state of things, or relation of things capable of being perceived by the senses;

(2.) Any mental condition of which any person is conscious.

Illustrations.

(a.) That there are certain objects arranged in a certain order in a certain place is a fact.

(b.) That a man heard or saw something is a fact.

(c.) That a man said certain words is a fact.

(d.) That a man holds a certain opinion, has a certain intention, acts in good faith, or fraudulently, or uses a particular word in a particular sense, or is or was at a specified time conscious of a particular sensation, is a fact.

(e.) That a man has a certain reputation is a fact.

One fact is said to be relevant to another when the one is connected with the other in any of the ways referred to in the provisions of this Act relating to the relevancy of facts.

"Relevant." The expression "Facts in issue" means and includes—

"Facts in issue." Any fact from which, either by itself or in connection with other facts, the existence, non-existence, nature, or extent of any right, liability or disability asserted or denied in any suit or proceeding, necessarily follows.

Explanation—Whenever, under the provisions of the law for the time being in force relating to Civil Procedure, any Court records an issue of fact, the fact to be asserted or denied in the answer to such issue, is a fact in issue.

Illustrations.

A is accused of the murder of B.

At his trial the following facts may be in issue:—

That A caused B's death;

That A intended to cause B's death;

That A had received grave and sudden provocation from B;

That A, at the time of doing the act which caused B's death, was, by reason of unsoundness of mind, incapable of knowing its nature.

"Document" means any matter expressed or described upon any substance by means of letters, figures, or marks, or by more than one of those means, intended to be used, or which may be used, for

the purpose of recording that matter.

Illustrations.

A writing is a document :

Words printed, lithographed or photographed are documents :

A map or plan is a document :

An inscription on a metal plate or stone is a document :

A caricature is a document.

"Evidence."

"Evidence" means and includes—

(1.) All statements which the Court permits or requires to be made before it by witnesses, in relation to matters of fact under inquiry ;
such statements are called oral evidence :

(2.) All documents produced for the inspection of the Court ;
such documents are called documentary evidence.

A fact is said to be proved when, after considering the matters before it, the Court either believes it to exist, or considers its existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under the circumstances of the particular case, to act upon the supposition that it exists.

"Proved."

A fact is said to be disproved when, after considering the matters before it, the Court either believes that it does not exist, or considers its

"Disproved."

non-existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under

the circumstances of the particular case, to act upon the supposition that it does not exist.

"Not proved."

A fact is said not to be proved when it is neither proved nor disproved.

IV. Whenever it is provided by this Act that the Court may presume a fact, it may either regard such fact as proved, unless and until it is disproved, or may call for proof of it :

"May presume."

Whenever it is directed by this Act that the Court shall presume a fact it shall regard such fact as proved, unless and until it is disproved.

"Shall presume."

When one fact is declared by this Act to be conclusive proof of another, the Court shall, on proof of the one fact, regard the other as proved, and shall not allow evidence to be given for the

"Conclusive proof."

purpose of disproving it.

CHAPTER II.—OF THE RELEVANCY OF FACTS.

Evidence may be given of facts in issue and relevant facts.

V. Evidence may be given in any suit or proceeding of the existence or non-existence of every fact in issue and of such other facts as are hereinafter declared to be relevant, and of no others.

Explanation.—This section shall not enable any person to give evidence of a fact which he is disentitled to prove by any provision of the law for the time being in force relating to Civil Procedure.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is tried for the murder of B by beating him with a club with the intention of causing his death.

At A's trial the following facts are in issue :—

A's beating B with the club ;

A's causing B's death by such beating ;

A's intention to cause B's death.

(b.) A suitor does not bring with him, and have in readiness for production at the first hearing of the case, a bond on which he relies. This section does not enable him to produce the bond or prove its contents at a subsequent stage of the proceedings, otherwise than in accordance with the conditions prescribed by the Code of Civil Procedure.

VI. Facts which, though not in issue, are so connected with a fact in issue as to form part of the same transaction, are relevant, whether they occurred at the same time and place or at different times and places.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is accused of the murder of B by beating him. Whatever was said or done by A or B or the bystanders at the beating, or so shortly before or after it, as to form part of the transaction, is a relevant fact.

(b.) A is accused of waging war against the Queen by taking part in an armed insurrection in which property is destroyed, troops are attacked, and gaols are broken open. The occurrence of these facts is relevant, as forming part of the general transaction, though A may not have been present at all of them.

(c.) A sues B for a libel contained in a letter forming part of a correspondence. Letters between the parties relating to the subject out of which the libel arose, and forming part of the correspondence in which it is contained, are relevant facts, though they do not contain the libel itself.

(d.) The question is, whether certain goods ordered from B were delivered to A. The goods were delivered to several intermediate persons successively. Each delivery is a relevant fact.

VII. Facts which are the occasion, cause, or effect, immediate or otherwise, of relevant facts, or facts in issue, or which constitute the state of things under which they happened, or which afforded an opportunity for their occurrence or transaction are relevant.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether A robbed B.

The facts that, shortly before the robbery, B went to a fair with money in his possession and that he showed it, or mentioned the fact that he had it, to third persons, are relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether A murdered B.

Marks on the ground, produced by a struggle at or near the place where the murder was committed, are relevant facts.

(c.) The question is, whether A poisoned B.

The state of B's health before the symptoms ascribed to poison, and habits of B, known to A, which afforded an opportunity for the administration of poison, are relevant facts.

Motive, preparation and previous or subsequent conduct.

VIII. Any fact is relevant which shows or constitutes a motive or preparation for any fact in issue or relevant fact.

The conduct of any party, or of any agent to any party, to any suit or proceeding, in reference to such suit or proceeding, or in reference to any fact in issue therein or relevant thereto and the conduct of any person, an offence against whom is the subject of any proceeding, is relevant, if such conduct influences or is influenced by any fact in issue or relevant fact, and whether it was previous or subsequent thereto.

Explanation 1—The word "conduct" in this section does not include statements, unless those statements accompany and explain acts other than statements; but this explanation is not to affect the relevancy of statements under any other section of this Act.

Explanation 2.—When the conduct of any person is relevant, any statement made to him or in his presence and hearing, which affects such conduct, is relevant.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is tried for the murder of B.

The facts that A murdered C, that B knew that A had murdered C, and that B had tried to extort money from A by threatening to make his knowledge public, are relevant.

(b.) A sues B upon a bond for the payment of money. B denies the making of the bond.

The fact that, at the time when the bond was alleged to be made, B required money for a particular purpose, is relevant.

(c.) A is tried for the murder of B by poison.

The fact that, before the death of B, A procured poison similar to that which was administered to B, is relevant.

(d.) The question is, whether a certain document is the will of A.

The facts that, not long before the date of the alleged will, A made inquiry into matters to which the provisions of the alleged will relate; that he consulted vakils in reference to making the will, and that he caused drafts of other wills to be prepared, of which he did not approve, are relevant.

(c.) A is accused of a crime.

The facts that, either before, or at the time of, or after the alleged crime, A provided evidence which would tend to give to the facts of the case an appearance favourable to himself, or that he destroyed or concealed evidence, or prevented the presence or procured the absence of persons who might have been witnesses, or suborned persons to give false evidence respecting it, are relevant.

(f.) The question is, whether A robbed B.

The facts that, after B was robbed, C said in A's presence—'the police are coming to look for the man who robbed B,' and that immediately afterwards A ran away, are relevant.

(g.) The question is, whether A owes B rupees 10,000.

The facts that A asked C to lend him money, and that D said to C in A's presence and hearing—'I advise you not to trust A, for he owes B 10,000 rupees,' and that A went away without making any answer, are relevant facts.

(h.) The question is whether A committed a crime.

The fact that A absconded after receiving a letter warning him that inquiry was being made for the criminal, and the contents of the letter, are relevant.

(i.) A is accused of a crime.

The facts that, after the commission of the alleged crime, he absconded, or was in possession of property or the proceeds of property acquired by the crime, or attempted to conceal things which were or might have been used in committing it, are relevant.

(j.) The question is, whether A was ravished.

The facts that, shortly after the alleged rape, she made a complaint relating to the crime, the circumstances under which, and the terms in which, the complaint was made, are relevant.

The fact that, without making a complaint, she said that she had been ravished is not relevant as conduct under this section, though it may be relevant as a dying declaration under section thirty-two, clause (one), or as corroborative evidence under section one hundred and fifty-seven.

(k.) The question is, whether A was robbed.

The fact that, soon after the alleged robbery, he made a complaint relating to the offence, the circumstances under which, and the terms in which the complaint was made, are relevant.

The fact that he said he had been robbed, without making any complaint, is not relevant as conduct under this section, though it may be relevant as a dying declaration under section thirty-two, clause (one), or as corroborative evidence under section one hundred and fifty-seven.

The prisoner was charged with theft (S. 379, Penal Code) and dishonestly receiving stolen property (S. 411). The prosecutor who was robbed while travelling by railway reported his loss to the Railway Police Inspector at the first station at which the train stopped after being aware of the theft, the prisoner not being present. Evidence as to the report made was admitted under *Illustr.* (k) of S. 8 of the Evidence Act.—*Queen v. Macdonald*, 10 B. L. R., 2, *App.*

IX. Facts necessary to explain or introduce a fact in issue or relevant fact, or

Facts necessary to explain which support or rebut an inference suggested by a fact in issue or relevant fact, or which establish the identity of any thing or person whose identity is relevant, or fix the time or place at which any fact in issue or relevant fact happened, or which show the relation of parties by whom any such fact was transacted, are relevant in so far as they are necessary for that purpose.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether a given document is the will of A. The state of A's property and of his family at the date of the alleged will may be relevant facts.

(b.) A sues B for a libel imputing disgraceful conduct to A; B affirms that the matter alleged to be libellous is true.

The position and relations of the parties at the time when the libel was published may be relevant facts as introductory to the facts in issue.

The particulars of a dispute between A and B about a matter unconnected with the alleged libel are irrelevant, though the fact that there was a dispute may be relevant if it affected the relations between A and B.

(c.) A is accused of a crime.

The fact that, soon after the commission of the crime, A absconded from his house, is relevant, under section eight, as conduct subsequent to and affected by facts in issue.

The fact that, at the time when he left home, he had sudden and urgent business at the place to which he went, is relevant, as tending to explain the fact that he left home suddenly.

The details of the business on which he left are not relevant, except in so far as they are necessary to show that the business was sudden and urgent.

(d.) A sues B for inducing C to break a contract of service made by him with A. C, on leaving A's service, says to A—'I am leaving you because B has made me a better offer.' This statement is a relevant fact as explanatory of C's conduct, which is relevant as a fact in issue.

(e.) A, accused of theft, is seen to give the stolen property to B, who is seen to give it to A's wife. B says as he delivers it—'A says you are to hide this.' B's statement is relevant as explanatory of a fact which is part of the transaction.

(f.) A is tried for a riot and is proved to have marched at the head of a mob. The cries of the mob are relevant as explanatory of the transaction.

X. Where there is reasonable ground to believe that two or more persons have conspired together to commit an offence or an actionable wrong, any thing said, done or written by any one of such persons in reference to their common intention, after the time when such intention was first entertained by any one of them, is a relevant fact as against each of the persons believed to be so conspiring, as well for the purpose of proving the existence of the conspiracy as for the purpose of showing that any such person was a party to it.

Illustrations.

Reasonable ground exists for believing that A has joined in a conspiracy to wage war against the Queen.

The facts that B procured arms in Europe for the purpose of the conspiracy, C collected money in Calcutta for a like object, D persuaded persons to join the conspiracy in Bombay, E published writings advocating the object in view at Agra, and F transmitted from Delhi to G at Cabul the money which C had collected at Calcutta, and the contents of a letter written by H giving an account of the conspiracy, are each relevant, both to prove the existence of the conspiracy, and to prove A's complicity in it, although he may have been ignorant of all of them, and although the persons by whom they were done were strangers to him, and although they may have taken place before he joined the conspiracy or after he left it.

When facts not otherwise relevant become relevant.

XI. Facts not otherwise relevant are relevant—

(1.) If they are inconsistent with any fact in issue or relevant fact.

(2.) If by themselves or in connection with other facts they make the existence or non-existence of any fact in issue or relevant fact highly probable or improbable.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is whether A committed a crime at Calcutta on a certain day.

The fact that, on that day, A was at Lahore is relevant.

The fact that, near the time when the crime was committed, A was at a distance from the place where it was committed, which would render it highly improbable, though not impossible, that he committed it, is relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether A committed a crime.

The circumstances are such that the crime must have been committed either by A, B, C, or D. Every fact which shows that the crime could have been committed by no one else, and that it was not committed by either B, C, or D, is relevant.

In a trial for forgery, evidence was admitted to show that the accused was in possession of a number of other documents, apparently either forged or held in readiness for purposes of forgery. On appeal, the High Court held that this evidence had been improperly admitted and was irrelevant. The Court, *per West, J.*, made the following remarks:—"S. 11 of the Evidence Act is no doubt expressed in terms so extensive that any fact which can, by any chance of ratiocination, be brought into connection with another, so as to have a bearing upon a point in issue, may possibly be held to be relevant within its meaning. But the connexions of human affairs are so infinitely various and so far-reaching, that thus to take the section on its widest admissible sense would be to complicate every trial with a mass of collateral inquiries limited only by the patience and the means of the parties. One of the objects of a Law of Evidence is to restrict the investigations made by Courts within the bounds prescribed by general convenience, and this object would be completely frustrated by the admission, on all occasions, of every circumstance on either side having some remote and conjectural probative force, the precise amount of which might itself be ascertained only by a long trial and a determination of fresh collateral issues, growing up in endless succession, as the inquiry proceeded. That such an extreme meaning was not in the mind of the Legislature seems to be shown by several instances in the Act itself."—Parbhudas Ambaram and others, 11 Bomb., 90.

In suits for damages, facts tending to enable Court to determine amount are relevant.

XII. In suits in which damages are claimed, any fact which will enable the Court to determine the amount of damages which ought to be awarded is relevant.

Facts relevant when right or custom is in question.

XIII. Where the question is as to the existence of any right or custom, the following facts are relevant:—

(a.) Any transaction by which the right or custom in question was created, claimed, modified, recognized, asserted or denied, or which was inconsistent with its existence.

(b.) Particular instances in which the right or custom was claimed, recognized, or exercised, or in which its exercise was disputed, asserted or departed from.

Illustration.

The question is, whether A has a right to a fishery. A deed conferring the fishery on A's ancestors, a mortgage of the fishery by A's father, a subsequent grant of the fishery by A's father, irreconcilable with the mortgage, particular instances in which A's father exercised the right, or in which the exercise of the right was stopped by A's neighbours, are relevant facts.

See the judgments of a FULL BENCH of the Calcutta High Court in the case of *Gujju Lall v. Fattah Lall*, (6 Cal., L. R. 439, (S. C.) 1 L. R. 5 Cal. 171,) regarding the admissibility under S. 13 of a judgment not *inter partes*, nor *in rem*, nor relating to a matter of a public nature.

XIV. Facts showing the existence of any state of mind—such as intention, knowledge, good faith, negligence, rashness, ill-will or good-will towards any particular person,—or showing the existence of any state of body or bodily feeling, are relevant, when the existence of any such state of mind or bodily feeling is in issue or relevant.

Explanation.—A fact, relevant as showing the existence of a relevant state of mind, must show that it exists, not generally, but in reference to the particular matter in question.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is accused of receiving stolen goods knowing them to be stolen. It is proved that he was in possession of a particular stolen article.

The fact that, at the same time, he was in possession of many other stolen articles is relevant, as tending to show that he knew each and all of the articles of which he was in possession to be stolen.

(b.) A is accused of fraudulently delivering to another person a piece of counterfeit coin which at the time when he delivered it, he knew to be counterfeit.

The fact that, at the time of its delivery, A was possessed of a number of other pieces of counterfeit coin is relevant.

(c.) A sues B for damage done by a dog of B's which B knew to be ferocious.

The facts that the dog had previously bitten X, Y, and Z, and that they had made complaint to B, are relevant.

(d.) The question is whether A, the acceptor of a bill of exchange, knew that the name of the payee was fictitious.

The fact that A had accepted other bills drawn in the same manner before they could have been transmitted to him by the payee if the payee had been a real person, is relevant, as showing that A knew that the payee was a fictitious person.

(e.) A is accused of defaming B by publishing an imputation intended to harm the reputation of B.

The fact of previous publications by A respecting B, showing ill-will on the part of A towards B, is relevant, as proving A's intention to harm B's reputation by the particular publication in question.

The facts that there was no previous quarrel between A and B, and that A repeated the matter complained of as he heard it, are relevant, as showing that A did not intend to harm the reputation of B.

(f.) A is sued by B for fraudulently representing to B that C was solvent, whereby B, being induced to trust C, who was insolvent, suffered loss.

The fact that, at the time when A represented C to be solvent, C was supposed to be solvent by his neighbours and by persons dealing with him, is relevant, as showing that A made the representation in good faith.

(g.) A is sued by B for the price of work done by B, upon a house of which A is owner, by the order of C, a contractor.

A's defence is that B's contract was with C.

The fact that A paid C for the work in question is relevant, as proving that A did, in good faith, make over to C the management of the work in question, so that C was in a position to contract with B on C's own account, and not as agent for A.

(h.) A is accused of the dishonest misappropriation of property which he had found, and the question is whether, when he appropriated it, he believed in good faith that the real owner could not be found.

The fact that public notice of the loss of the property had been given in the place where A was is relevant, as showing that A did not in good faith believe that the real owner of the property could not be found.

The fact that A knew, or had reason to believe, that the notice was given fraudulently by C, who had heard of the loss of the property and wished to set up a false claim to it, is relevant, as showing that the fact that A knew of the notice did not disprove A's good faith.

(i.) A is charged with shooting at B with intent to kill him. In order to show A's intent, the fact of A's having previously shot at B may be proved.

(j.) A is charged with sending threatening letters to B. Threatening letters previously sent by A to B may be proved, as showing the intention of the letters.

(k.) The question is, whether A has been guilty of cruelty towards B, his wife.

Expressions of their feeling towards each other shortly before or after the alleged cruelty are relevant facts.

(l.) The question is, whether A's death was caused by poison.

Statements made by A during his illness as to his symptoms are relevant facts.

(m.) The question is, what was the state of A's health at the time when an assurance on his life was effected.

Statements made by A as to the state of his health at or near the time in question are relevant facts.

(n.) A sues B for negligence in providing him with a carriage for hire not reasonably fit for use, whereby A was injured.

The fact that B's attention was drawn on other occasions to the defect of that particular carriage is relevant.

The fact that B was habitually negligent about the carriages which he let to hire is irrelevant.

(o.) A is tried for the murder of B by intentionally shooting him dead.

The fact that A, on other occasions, shot at B is relevant, as showing his intention to shoot B.

The fact that A was in the habit of shooting at people with intent to murder them is irrelevant.

(p.) A is tried for a crime.

The fact that he said something indicating an intention to commit that particular crime is relevant.

That fact that he said something indicating a general disposition to commit crimes of that class is irrelevant.

Evidence that the accused person was on a date subsequent to those specified in the charge guilty of having done similar acts is not admissible.—*Empress v. M. J. Vyapoory Moodilliar*, 8 Cal. L. R., 190; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 655.

XV. When there is a question whether an act was accidental or intentional,

Facts bearing on question whether act was accidental or intentional. the fact that such act formed part of a series of similar occurrences, in each of which the person doing the act was concerned, is relevant.

Illustrations.

(a.) A is accused of burning down his house in order to obtain money for which it is insured.

The facts that A lived in several houses successively, each of which he insured, in each of which a fire occurred, and after each of which fires A received payment from a different insurance office are relevant, as tending to show that the fires were not accidental.

(b.) A is employed to receive money from the debtors of B. It is A's duty to make entries in a book showing the amounts received by him. He makes an entry showing that on a particular occasion he received less than he really did receive.

The question is, whether this false entry was accidental or intentional.

The facts that other entries made by A in the same book are false, and that the false entry is in each case in favour of A, are relevant.

(c.) A is accused of fraudulently delivering to B a counterfeit rupee.

The question is, whether the delivery of the rupee was accidental.

The facts that, soon before or soon after the delivery to B, A delivered counterfeit rupees to C, D, and E are relevant, as showing that the delivery to B was not accidental.

XVI. When there is a question whether a particular act was done, the existence of any course of business, according to which it naturally would have been done, is a relevant fact.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether a particular letter was despatched.

The facts that it was the ordinary course of business for all letters put in a certain place to be carried to the post, and that that particular letter was put in that place, are relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether a particular letter reached A. The facts that it was posted in due course, and was not returned through the Dead Letter Office, are relevant.

ADMISSIONS.

XVII. An admission is a statement, oral or documentary, which suggests any inference as to any fact in issue or relevant fact, and which is made by any of the persons, and under the circumstances,

Admission defined.
hereinafter mentioned.

XVIII. Statements made by a party to the proceeding, or by an agent to any such party, whom the Court regards, under the circumstances of the case, as expressly or impliedly authorized by him to make them, are admissions.

Admission—by party to proceeding or his agent;

Statements made by parties to suits, suing or sued in a representative character, are not admissions, unless they were made while the party making them held that character.

by suitor in representative character;

Statements made by—

(1.) Persons who have any proprietary or pecuniary interest in the subject-matter of the proceeding, and who make the statement in their character of persons so interested, or

(2.) Persons from whom the parties to the suit have derived their interest in the subject-matter of the suit, are admissions, if they are made during the continuance of the interest of the persons making the statements.

by person from whom interest derived.

Accounts kept on behalf of an accused person are relevant as admissions though they may not have been regularly kept in the course of business.—Harmanta Madhaji Khadke, I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 610.

XIX. Statements made by persons whose position or liability it is necessary to

Admission by persons whose position must be proved as against party to suit. prove as against any party to the suit, are admissions, if such statements would be relevant as against such persons in relation to such position or liability in a suit brought by or against them, and if they are made whilst the person making them occupies such position or is subject to such liability.

Illustration.

A undertakes to collect rents for B.

B sues A for not collecting rent due from C to B.

A denies that rent was due from C to B.

A statement by C that he owed B rent is an admission, and is a relevant fact as against A, if A denies that C did owe rent to B.

XX. Statements made by persons to whom a party to the suit has expressly

Admission by persons expressly referred to by party to suit. referred for information in reference to a matter in dispute are admissions.

Illustration.

The question is, whether a horse sold by A to B is sound.

A says to B, 'Go and ask C, C knows all about it.' C's statement is an admission.

XXI. Admissions are relevant and may be proved as against the person who makes them, or his representative in interest; but they cannot be proved by or on behalf of the person who makes them or by his representative in interest, except in the following cases:—

(1.) An admission may be proved by or on behalf of the person making it, when it is of such a nature that, if the person making it were dead, it would be relevant as between third persons under section thirty-two.

(2.) An admission may be proved by or on behalf of the person making it, when it consists of a statement of the existence of any state of mind or body, relevant or in issue, made at or about the time when such state of mind or body existed, and is accompanied by conduct rendering its falsehood improbable.

(3.) An admission may be proved by or on behalf of the person making it, if it is relevant otherwise than as an admission.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question between A and B is, whether a certain deed is or is not forged. A affirms that it is genuine, B that it is forged.

A may prove a statement by B that the deed is genuine, and B may prove a statement by A that the deed is forged; but A cannot prove a statement by himself that the deed is genuine, nor can B prove a statement by himself that the deed is forged.

(b.) A, the Captain of a ship, is tried for casting her away.

Evidence is given to show that the ship was taken out of her proper course.

A produces a book kept by him in the ordinary course of his business, showing observations alleged to have been taken by him from day to day, and indicating that the ship was not taken out of her proper course. A may prove these statements because they would be admissible between third parties, if he were dead, under section thirty-two, clause (two).

(c.) A is accused of a crime committed by him at Calcutta.

He produces a letter written by himself and dated at Lahore on that day, and bearing the Lahore post mark of that day.

The statement in the date of the letter is admissible, because, if A were dead, it would be admissible under section thirty-two, clause (two).

(d.) A is accused of receiving stolen goods knowing them to be stolen.

He offers to prove that he refused to sell them below their value.

A may prove these statements, though they are admissions, because they are explanatory of conduct influenced by facts in issue.

(e.) A is accused of fraudulently having in his possession counterfeit coin which he knew to be counterfeit.

He offers to prove that he asked a skilful person to examine the coin, as he doubted whether it was counterfeit or not, and that that person did examine it and told him it was genuine.

A may prove these facts for the reasons stated in the last preceding illustration.

The purchaser at an execution sale is a representative in interest of the judgment-debtor within the terms of s. 21, and any statement made by the latter is evidence against him.—*Per Markby and Birch, J.J., Unopoorna Dasee, 21 W. R., 148.*

XXII. Oral admissions as to the contents of a document are not relevant, unless

When oral admissions as to contents of documents are relevant, and until the party proposing to prove them shows that he is entitled to give secondary evidence of the contents of such document under the rules hereinafter contained, or unless the genuineness of a document produced is in question.

XXIII. In civil cases no admission is relevant, if it is made either upon an express condition that evidence of it is not to be given,

Admissions in civil cases, when relevant, or under circumstances from which the Court can infer that the parties agreed together that evidence of it should not be given.

Explanation.—Nothing in this section shall be taken to exempt any barrister, pleader, attorney or vakil from giving evidence of any matter of which he may be compelled to give evidence under section one hundred and twenty-six.

XXIV. A confession made by an accused person is irrelevant in a criminal

Confession caused by inducement, threat or promise, when irrelevant in criminal proceeding.

proceeding, if the making of the confession appears to the Court to have been caused by any inducement, threat or promise, having reference to the charge against the accused person, proceeding from a person in authority and sufficient, in the opinion of the Court, to give the accused person grounds, which would appear to him reasonable, for supposing that by making it he would gain any advantage or avoid any evil of a temporal nature in reference to the proceedings against him.

S. 163 of the Code of Criminal Procedure declares that no Police officer or person in authority shall offer or make or cause to be offered or made any such inducement, threat or promise as is mentioned by the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, S. 24. But no Police officer or other person shall prevent, by caution or otherwise any person from making in the course of any investigation any statement which he may be disposed to make of his own free will. *Id.*

The only inducement to confess which can legally be offered is the tender of a pardon by certain judicial officers on certain conditions to a person supposed to be concerned in an offence triable exclusively by the Court of Session or High Court. Ss. 337, 338 Code of Criminal Procedure.

In the case of *Dhurm Dutt Ojha* (8 W. R., 13), it appeared that the prisoners confessed on being told by the Police officer that he would get them released if they told the truth. The Calcutta High Court severely condemned such conduct as highly improper and illegal, holding that no part of the Police officer's evidence as to the discovery of facts in consequence of the confessions was legally admissible. See also *Bishoo Manjee*, 9 W. R., 16.

The following judgment of the Calcutta High Court expresses the law regarding the admissibility of statements made to the Police—(*Nobodeep Chunder Ghosameo* and another, 1. B. L.R., 15, Original Side, Criminal.)

"Peacock, C. J.—Upon the questions argued before us I entertain no doubt.

"The first relates to the answer given to the Police constable when he arrested the prisoner. The answer did not amount to a confession of guilt, but was a statement of facts, which, if true, showed that the prisoner was innocent. It is not a confession obtained under an inducement of hope or fear. The only objection to the statement being admissible in evidence is, that it was made in answer to a question put by the Police officer.

"The cases upon this subject in England are conflicting, but the later cases seem to show that statements made by a prisoner in answer to a question put by a Police officer are admissible in evidence. In the case of *R. v. Beriman*, 6 Cox, C. C., 388, Erle, C. J. refused to admit as evidence an answer given by a prisoner to a question put to him by a Magistrate; and a similar ruling by Willes, C. J. is to be found in the case of *R. v. Pettit*, 4 Cox, C. C., 164. But in a later case, the *Queen v. Cheverton*, 2 F. & F., 833, Erle, C. J. admitted as evidence against the prisoner a statement which she had made in answer to questions put to her by a Police officer. In that case it appeared that Baxter, the Police officer, had said to the prisoner, 'you had better tell all about it, it will save trouble;' and then put certain questions to the prisoner, which she answered. It was held that the answers given to Baxter were inadmissible, because they had been made under the influence of something in the nature of a threat or inducement. Afterwards, another Policeman put questions to the prisoner, which she answered, and it was objected that those answers were inadmissible, as they had been made under the inducement held out by the former Police officer. Erle, C. J., after consulting Wightman, J., admitted the statements made to the second Police officer, holding as I suppose, that the answers were not given in consequence of the inducement held out by the first officer. That is a distinct authority that statements made by a prisoner in answer to questions put by a Police officer are admissible, and it may be remarked that in that case the answers were held to be admissible, though the prisoner had not been cautioned.

"In the case of *R. v. Mick*, 3 F. F., 342, it was held by Miller, J., that the confession made by a prisoner in answer to a question put to him by a Police officer was admissible. A similar decision will be found in *Moody*, C. C., 27, in which it was held that a confession obtained without threat or promise from a boy, fourteen years old, by questions put to him by a Police officer, in whose custody the boy was on a charge of felony, and when the boy had had no food for nearly a whole day, was properly received as evidence against him. That was held by six Judges to three upon a point reserved. The majority held that the confession was rightly received, as no threat or promise had been made.

"Miller, J., in the case of *R. v. Mick*, to which I have referred, remarked that many Judges would not receive the evidence, and that he highly disapproved of the course the Police officer had taken in asking questions.

"Having these conflicting decisions before us, I should be disposed to act upon the decisions given in the case reserved, even if it were not borne out by every principle of common sense. If an inducement is held out to a prisoner to make a confession, by telling him he will be better off if he

makes a confession, he may be induced, if he knows that circumstances are strong to lead to a presumption of guilt, to make a confession, though he is innocent. *

"There may be reasonable ground against the admission of such a confession, though perhaps it would be better to admit it, and to leave those who have to determine as to the guilt or innocence of the prisoner to judge of the weight which ought to be attached to it.

"The object of the Criminal Law is to punish the guilty, for the purpose of deterring them and others from committing offences. The object of the law of Procedure, including the law of Evidence, is, or ought to be, that the innocent shall be protected and the guilty punished. I cannot, therefore, at all agree with the remarks of Miller, J., and in the expression of his disapproval of the conduct of the Police officer on asking questions, provided he does not hold out hope or fear as an inducement to confess."

A Panchayat assembled to consider whether A had murdered B, and had not consequently disqualified himself from further social intercourse with the brotherhood. *Held*, that statements made before the Panchayat were not irrelevant under S. 24 as it was not in authority, nor was there any threat, inducement or promise made having reference to any charge against the accused.—*Mohun Lall*, 1 L. R., 4 All., 46; (S. C.), Leg. Rem., 144.

The same matter was also discussed by the Bombay High Court in the case of *Reg. v. Navroji Dadabhai*, 9 Bomb., 358 where the question of how far a person was "in authority" over the confessing person, came also under consideration. Sargent, C. J., said: The test would seem to be, had the person authority to interfere in the matter; and any concern or interest in it would appear to be sufficient to give him that authority, as in the *Queen v. Warringham* (2 Den., C. O., 447 n) where Baron Parke held that the wife of one of the prosecutors and concerned in the management of their business was a person in authority, and the rule is so laid down in Archbold's Criminal Practice.

The evidence given by a witness in the presence of the accused and before the committing Magistrate who, it was proved, could not be found owing to his ship having sailed, was tendered as evidence in the Sessions trial in the Calcutta High Court; but the material part relating to an admission by the prisoner was not received because the admission was stated to have been made immediately after the prisoner and others had been threatened with a loaded rifle by the witness to whom the statement was made. It was held to be immaterial that the threat was not for the purpose of extorting the confession, but in order to suppress a mutiny.—*Queen v. Hicks*, 10 B. L. R., 1, App.

The Sessions Judge and Assessors having found that the Police had been guilty of misconduct in producing evidence to the identification of property which was false, the High Court on appeal refused to affirm conviction which rested on uncorroborated confessions, which were retracted before the case left the Magistrate's Court.—*Sofruddeen*, 2 Cal. L. R., 132.

Confession to Police officer XXV. No confession made to a Police officer shall be not to be proved. proved as against a person accused of any offence.

No statement, other than a dying declaration, made by any person to a Police officer in the course of an investigation shall, if reduced to writing, be signed by the person making it, or be used as evidence against the accused person.—S. 162, Code of Criminal Procedure.

Where the accused went to consult as a friend a person who happened to be a Police officer unconnected with the case and attached to another Police station who had come to give evidence in another case under trial, it was held that the statement made to him was inadmissible in evidence, because it had been made to a Police officer. Ss. 25 and 26 are distinct. S. 25 excludes confessions made to a Police officer under any circumstances, and S. 26 excludes a confession made to any person while the person making it is in any position to be influenced by a Police officer unless the free and voluntary nature of the confession is secured by its being made in the immediate presence of a Magistrate, in which case a confessing person has an opportunity of making a statement uncontrolled by any fear of the Police. *In re Hiran Mya*, 1 Cal., L. R., 21.

Although the Deputy Commissioner of Police in Calcutta may be invested with powers of a Magistrate, he is still a Police officer and as such is not competent to record a confession.—*Hurribols Chunder Ghose*, 25 W. R., 36; (S. C.) 1 L. R. 1 Cal., 207.

When a Police officer is about to depose to a confessional statement of a prisoner, a question should be interposed, "Was a Magistrate present at that time?" If not, that confessional statement is excluded by an express provision of law.—*Mad. H. Ct.*, Sept. 18, 1864; *Weir*, 356.

In two cases before the Calcutta High Court on its original criminal jurisdiction, a distinction has been drawn between a confession of guilt and an admission made to a Police officer when not under arrest. In the *Queen v. Macdonald*, 10 B. L. R., 2 App., *Phear, J.*, held that the statement made by the prisoner to the Police officer who arrested him to the effect that a watch and Rs. 1000 said to have been stolen had been given to him by his sister, and that he had bought the chain, were admissible as evidence. *Phear, J.*, drew a distinction between admissions and confessions of guilt. Similarly in the case of *Empress v. Debee Pershad*, 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 530; (S. C.) 7 Cal. L. R. 541, *Prinsep, J.*, admitted a statement made to the Police while the accused was under arrest.

The Bombay High Court has, however, refused to admit statements made under similar circumstances. In the case of *Pandarath, I. L. R.*, 6 Bomb., 34, the prisoner, while under arrest and in

the custody of the Police, on being shown a cheque, said that one Kisam had given it to him. It was held that that statement was not admissible in evidence although it was probably not intended as a confession of guilt, but was rather made by the prisoner in self-exculpation. It was nevertheless an admission of a criminating circumstance on which the prosecution mainly relied, and formed indeed the principal part of the evidence against him. Such an admission comes properly within the rule of exclusion which the Legislature has laid down with regard to confessions made by a person in custody of the Police.

In the case of *Petambar Jina, I. L. R., 2 Bomb., 61* it was proposed to ask a witness in cross-examination whether one of the two prisoners under trial had not in reply to a Policeman told him "I have killed a man and the other has run away." Objection was taken on behalf of that prisoner that this question was inadmissible at the joint trial because its object being, by means of the prisoner's own admission to the Police, to throw the blame on him and thus clear the second prisoner, it attempted to prove the first prisoner's confession as against him. *Westropp, C. J. Sargent and Atkinson, JJ.* however overruled the objection holding that S. 25 of the Evidence Act does not preclude the Counsel for an accused person, on behalf of his client asking a question to prove a confession made by another accused person. It was sought to prove the confession not against either the confessing person or his co-accused but on behalf of the latter. The Court added; "but under such circumstances it should be the duty of the Judge to instruct the Jury that such confession is not to be received or treated as evidence against the person making it but simply as evidence to be considered on behalf of the other."

Confession by accused while in custody of Police not to be proved against him.

XXVI. No confession made by any person whilst he is in the custody of a Police officer, unless it be made in the immediate presence of a Magistrate, shall be proved as against such person

See on the one hand *Queen v. Macdonald, 10 B. L. R., 2 App.* and *Empress v. Dabee Pershad, I. L. R., 6 Cal. 530* and *contra Pandarinath, I. L. R., 6 Bomb., 84* all quoted in the note to S. 25.

As confessions are so often obtained by undue influence, in order to give weight to those recorded under S. 26 of the Evidence Act, there should always be made a judicial record of the special circumstances under which such confessions were received by the Magistrate showing in whose custody the prisoners were and how far they were quite free agents—*Kodai Kahar, 5 W. R., 6*.

The mere standing-by of a Magistrate when confessions are being made to and recorded by the Police for their own use will not make those confessions evidence, for the law refers to cases where the Magistrate is himself conducting the investigation, and then, although the prisoner may be in the custody of the Police at the time, such prisoner, making a confession, is liable to have that confession used against him.—*Donnun Kahar, 12 W. R., 82*.

S. 164 empowers any Magistrate not being a Police officer to record any confession made to him in the course of a Police investigation or at any time afterwards before the commencement of the inquiry or trial. Though the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Calcutta, may be invested with powers of a Magistrate, still he is a Police officer and consequently not competent to record a confession.—*Hurribole Chunder Ghose, 25 W. R., 36*.

XXVII. Provided that, when any fact is deposed to as discovered in consequence of information received from a person accused of any offence, in the custody of a Police officer, so much of such information, whether it amounts to a confession or not as relates distinctly to the fact thereby discovered, may be proved.

The accused stated to the Sub-Inspector of Police that he had seized Khatu Beebee (the deceased) by the neck and pushed her forcibly down, so that she fell against a plantain tree and broke her neck; that he also struck her with his hand; that the woman then and there died, and that he then, after endeavouring to remove the body, took from it a necklace and a pair of bracelets which he concealed in the neighbouring jungle. In consequence of this information the accused was taken to the jungle pointed out by him, and he then produced, from a concealed place, the necklace and bracelets before spoken of, saying that they are the ornaments he had removed from the body of Khatu Beebee. That part of the accused's information which described his assault on Khatu Beebee, and her consequent death, related distinctly to the fact of the discovery of the ornaments, which discovery was made in consequence of that information; and the prisoner's admission as to the way he became possessed of those ornaments is a fact which the law of Evidence allows to be proved against him.—*Per Glover, J., Kemp, J., concurring; Pagaree Shaha, 19 W. R., 51*.

In the case of *Dhurm Dutt Ojha (8 W. R., 13)* it appeared that the prisoners confessed on being told by the Police officer that he would get them released if they told the truth. The Calcutta High Court severely condemned such conduct as highly improper and illegal, holding that no part of the Police Officer's evidence as to the discovery of facts in consequence of the confessions was legally admissible. See also *Bishoo Manjee, 9 W. R., 16*.

The Sessions Judge refused to accept a confession as evidence under S. 30 against other persons tried at the same time for the same offence, because it had been obtained under undue influence, but he accepted it as against the confessing prisoner, because it was corroborated by external facts with which it was connected. The High Court, however, held that if it was admissible at all, it was admissible for the Court to take into consideration against the co-accused, as well as affording the strongest evidence against himself. If circumstances made it wholly or partly admissible, it ought not to have been set aside at all, but weighed for all purposes with care and discretion.—*Rama Birapa*, I. L. R., 3 Bomb., 12.

It is not all statements connected with the production or finding of property which are admissible; those only which lead immediately to the discovery of property and so far as they lead, to such discovery are properly admissible. Whatever be the nature of the fact discovered, that fact must in all cases be itself relevant to the case, and the connection between it and the statement made must have been such that that statement constituted the information through which the discovery was made, in order to render the statement admissible. Other statements connected with the one thus made evidence, and so immediately, but not necessarily or directly connected with the fact discovered, are not to be admitted, as this would be rather an evasion than a fulfilment of the law, which is designed to guard prisoners accused of offences against unfair practices on the part of the Police. For instance, a man says, 'you will find a stick at such and such a place; I killed Rama with it.' A policeman, in such a case, may be allowed to say he went to the place indicated, and found the stick, but any statement as to the confession of murder would be inadmissible. If, instead of 'you will find,' the prisoner has said, 'I placed a knife or a sword in such a spot,' when it was found that too involved an admission of a particular act on the prisoner's part and is admissible, because it is the information which has directly led to the discovery, and is thus directly and independently of any other statement connected with it. But if, besides this, the prisoner has said what induced him to put the knife or sword where it has been found, that part of his statement as it has not furthered, much less has caused, the discovery, is not admissible. The words of § 27 of the Evidence Act, 'whether it amounts to a confession or not' are to be read as qualifying the word 'information' in the immediately preceding context, not the words 'so much,' and the effect is, that though ordinarily a confession of an accused while in custody would be wholly excluded, yet if, in the course of such a confession, information leading to the discovery of a relevant fact has been given, so much of the information as leads distinctly to this result may be depose to, though as a whole the statement would constitute a confession which the preceding sections are intended to exclude.

In this case, as in many others, the production of articles supposed to have been made use of in committing the murder by the prisoners, is adduced as strong evidence against them. The conduct of any person in relation to a relevant fact is good evidence according to S. 8 of the Indian Evidence Act; but according to Explanation 1, "the word 'conduct' in this section does not include statements, unless those statements accompany and explain acts other than those statements." It is on such a statement that the significance of the act, which it accompanies, in many cases depends; as for instance when a Police officer says to a prisoner, 'I must search your house for the stolen property,' to which the prisoner replies, 'I will give you at once all the valuables that I have in the house,' and thus gives him certain articles not stolen property, after which stolen jewels are found concealed under his hearth. But if, under cover of an explanation said to have been given by a prisoner of an act in itself ambiguous or not so obviously connected with the fact in issue as to be relevant, it is sought to introduce a confession of a prisoner to the Police, or made while in Police custody, the Evidence Act does not warrant its admission. The rules of exclusion and the exception to them being definitely laid down are not to be extended to cases not properly falling within it. The giving up by a cultivator of a bill-hook, or the pointing out of a place where *bejra* appears to have been trampled, is however in itself an unambiguous act. It is in general also insignificant. It needs no explanation, and a confession accompanying it does not explain it, but is a collateral matter whose exclusion, where it is excluded, is not prevented by its being connected with matters that are not excluded.—*Jora Hosji* and others, 11 Bomb., 242.

The fact discovered must be in consequence of information derived from the statement made by the accused. So, where he himself went with the Police and pointed out the spot where some anklets worn by the murdered person were lying, the confessional statement to the Police was held to be inadmissible.—*Empress v. Pancham*, I. L. R., 4 All., 196. *Per* Straight, J.

The fact discovered must be one which of its own force independently of the confession would be admissible evidence. The discovery of a rope was not such a fact. Its relevancy and probative force were both the offspring of the confession itself. There is some doubt as to the stones from the side of the well which were found in the well with the body. These as part of the transaction would no doubt be admissible and would if discovered in consequence of the confession probably render it admissible. Here, however, the facts of the case render it somewhat doubtful whether the discovery of the stones did not result from the finding of the body. It is perhaps safer to exclude the confessions altogether. The section is very inartistically drawn, but it seems clear that the discovery of a fact which, save for the confession, would be altogether indifferent, cannot take a confession out of the excluding rule.—*Choda Atchama*, 7 Mad. 818; (S. C.) *Weir*, 817.

XXVIII. If such a confession as is referred to in section twenty-four is made

Confession made after removal of impression caused by inducement, threat, or promise, relevant.

after the impression caused by any such inducement, threat, or promise, in the opinion of the Court, has been fully removed, it is relevant.

XXIX. If such a confession is otherwise relevant, it does not become irrelevant

Confession otherwise relevant not to become irrelevant because of promise of secrecy, &c.

merely because it was made under a promise of secrecy, or in consequence of a deception practised on the accused person for the purpose of obtaining it, or when he was drunk, or because it was made in answer to questions which he need not have answered, whatever may have been the form of those questions, or because he was not warned that he was not bound to make such confession, and that evidence of it might be given against him.

It is expressly declared by S. 163 of the Code that no Police officer or person in authority shall offer or make, or cause to be offered or made, any such inducement, threat or promise as is mentioned in the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, S. 24, but it is further provided that no Police officer or other person shall prevent, by any caution or otherwise, any person from making in the course of any investigation any statement which he may be disposed to make of his own free will.

S. 842 declares that for the purpose of enabling the accused to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him the Court may, at any stage of any inquiry or trial, without previously warning the accused, put such questions to him as the Court considers necessary, and the Court shall, for the same purpose, question him generally on the case after the witnesses for the prosecution have been examined, and before he is called on for his defence. The manner in which such an examination is to be recorded is set forth in S. 364 of the Code.

Where witnesses depose to the fact that before them the prisoner admitted his guilt, they should be required to repeat the words used by the prisoner. Nothing short of the actual words given in detail in the first person, so far as it is possible to obtain them, ought ever to be relied upon as the foundation for any opinion formed by the Court, because it may turn out that the words taken together with the questions and the circumstances under which the questions were put, do not in truth amount to a confession of guilt such as the witnesses choose to represent.—*Soorjaat*, 10 B. L. R., 332.

XXX. When more persons than one are being tried jointly for the same offence,

Consideration of proved confession affecting person making it and others jointly under trial for same offence.

and a confession made by one of such persons affecting himself and some other of such persons is proved, the Court may take into consideration such confession as against such other person as well as the person who makes such confession.

Illustrations.

(a.) A and B are jointly tried for the murder of C. It is proved that A said,—‘B and I murdered C.’ The Court may consider the effect of this confession as against B.

(b.) A is on his trial for the murder of C. There is evidence to show that C was murdered by A and B, and that B said,—‘A and I murdered C.’

This statement may not be taken into consideration by the Court against A, as B is not being jointly tried.

There are several conditions necessary before S. 30 can be brought into operation.

I. The confession can be taken into consideration *only at the trial in which the prisoner who made it is being tried* jointly for the same offence with some other person.

Thus, where one of several prisoners tried together confessed, and was thereupon convicted and sentenced, and the trial proceeded against the others, the evidence of the confessing prisoner was tendered for the prosecution. It was held that the confession could not be taken into consideration under S. 30 of the Evidence Act as the confessing prisoner was not then under trial.—*Kalu Patil*, 11 Bomb., 146.

II. The person who made the confession must be under trial *jointly for the same offence with the person against whom that confession is to be used.*

So where some prisoners charged with murder confessed and implicated others charged *only with abetment*, it was held that such confessions could not be considered against the latter.—*Jaffir Ali*, 19 W. R., 57. See also *Nur Mahomed*, Panj. Rec. 1874, p. 12. And for the same reason the confession of a person charged with abetment of murder could not be taken into consideration against another tried at the same time on a charge of murder.—*Amrita Govinda*, 10 Bomb., 497. But if the abetment charged is under S. 114 of the *Pend Code*, the offence is the same and a confession so made is admissible.—*Bag Singh*, Panj. Rec., 1869, p. 2; *Takur Singh*, Id. 1862, p. 39.

So also a confession made by a prisoner charged with dacoity was held to be inadmissible against another prisoner tried on the same trial under S. 412, Penal Code, for dishonestly receiving stolen property acquired in a dacoity.—*Bala Patel, I. L. R., 5 Bomb., 63*

Where in the course of the trial the Sessions Judge amended the charge so as to make it identical against all the prisoners, it was held that a confession, which otherwise could not have been taken into consideration against another prisoner being tried at the same time but for another offence, could not by this amendment of the charge be properly used against him. The following judgment was delivered:—

As to the point of the admissibility of prisoner Govind's confession as evidence against the second prisoner Babaji, we think that the Sessions Judge was justified in admitting that confession, not only against Govind, but against his fellow-prisoner. No doubt, when it was received, the two accused were before the Court on different charges, and it was received under the notion that it was evidence against Govind alone. But the Code of Criminal Procedure, by S. 228 and the following sections, provides for an amendment of the charge at any stage of the trial, and enables the Court, at its discretion, after making such amendment to proceed with the trial as if the amended charge had been the original charge. The amendment of the charge in this case made the charge identical against both the accused. If both had been charged originally with abetment, the confession of one would have been received without question against the other. Some difficulty might indeed conceivably arise out of dealing with a case of a confession of prisoner B as evidence against prisoner A (then under trial jointly on a different charge), who, at the time when this confession was recorded, might possibly have raised an objection against its legal admissibility, or might have started questions which would have served his purpose, but for their apparent irrelevancy at that time. But looking to the principle laid down in Ss. 228 to 231, it is clear that the intention of the Legislature is, that whenever an amendment of the charge in any way tends to prejudice the prisoner, steps should be taken to prevent that consequence arising by ordering a new trial, or suspending the trial going on, to enable him to make his defence, or to examine any material witness, or to recall any witnesses already examined. The same principle extends to all instances of material prejudice arising to any one under trial from an amendment made in the course of the proceedings. If we found that the Sessions Court had overlooked this principle, that the prisoner Babaji had objected, on valid grounds, to the reception of the confession, or that this prisoner had really been prejudiced by the refusal of an adjournment, or in any other manner, we should, in a confirmation case, give the accused the full benefit of the objection. We find, however, that the prisoner Babaji, in whose favour the objection is raised here, was defended by a competent pleader, who, when the charge against Govind was amended, neither asked for a new trial, nor sought to raise any objection to the admissibility of the confession as evidence against his client. It is only in the case of charges closely related that a trial goes on forthwith after an amendment; and in this instance the original and amended charges are so nearly related, that, in absence of technical objections urged on behalf of the prisoner Babaji, the trial might, without any unfairness, be deemed, for the reception of evidence and all other purposes, to have been a trial on the amended charge from its commencement. It was only when he came to draw up his judgment that the Judge took Govind's confession into consideration against Babaji, and at that moment they were both jointly under trial for the same offence. Therefore, the objection must be disallowed, although, at first sight, it might seem to possess some force.—(*Govind Bapji Raul and another, 11 Bomb., 278.*)

III. The confession must "affect" the person who has made it and the person against whom it is used.

The true test to apply is, whether the confession is of itself sufficient to justify the conviction of the person making it of the offence for which he is jointly tried with the other person or persons against whom it is tendered. The confession must "tar" himself and the person or persons he implicates "with one and the same brush."—*Gunraj and others, 1 Leg. Rem., 211.*

Before the confession of a person tried jointly with the prisoner can be taken into consideration against him, it must appear that the confession implicates the confessing person substantially to the same extent as it implicates the person against whom it is to be used in the commission of the offence for which the prisoners are being jointly tried. It is this implication of himself by the confessing prisoner which is intended by the Legislature to take the place, as it were, of the sanction of an oath, or rather which is supposed to serve as some guarantee for the truth of the accusation against the other. In this case the prisoners keep themselves out of all complicity in the actual facts which are charged against all the prisoners jointly, and upon which the appellants have been convicted with the others, so that the statements which these men make against the appellants, are simply, so far as the charge upon which they have been convicted is concerned, statements made without either the sanction of an oath, or of that substitute for that sanction already referred to, viz., the implication of themselves on the charge upon which they have been tried with the appellants—in short, without the application of any test of truth whatever.—*Behat Ali, 10 B. L. R., 452; Baijoo Chowdhry, 25 W. R., 45.* When a person admits his guilt to the fullest extent and exposes himself to the pains and penalties provided for his guilt, there is a guarantee for his truth, and the

Legislature provides that his statement may be considered against his fellow prisoners charged with the same crime. By exculpating himself a person does not afford this guarantee, and his statement is inadmissible against another.—Daji Narsu and another, 1 L. R., 6 Bomb., 283.

Statements made by prisoners under trial inculcating others but exculpating themselves, do not come within S. 30.—Keshub Bhoonia, 25 W. R., 1; Nur Bux Kazi, 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 279; (S. C.) 7 Cal., L. R. 385.

A confession admissible under S. 30 is evidence both against the prisoner who made it and against another charged jointly with the same offence, but a conviction against the latter if uncorroborated is illegal. A Sessions Judge should direct the Jury to acquit a prisoner against whom there is nothing but the confession of a fellow prisoner.—Ashootosh Chuckerbutty and others, 1 L. R., 4 Cal., 483, FULL BENCH; (S. C.) 3 Cal. L. R., 270.

There was not a particle of evidence against a prisoner, except the confession of a co-prisoner, which by S. 30 of the Evidence Act "may be taken into consideration." S. 20 is an exception, and its wording shows that the confession is merely to be an element in the consideration of the evidence. Unless there is something more, a conviction upon it will still be a case of no evidence and bad in law. The conviction was accordingly quashed.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro., Jan. 24, 1873; 7 Mad., xv, App.; (S. C.) Weir 503; (S. C.) 8 Mad. Jur., 415, *ib. id.*, 95. Ambigara Hulagu, 1 L. R., 1 Mad. 163; (S. C.) Weir 504; Bhawan, 1 L. R., 1 All., 664; Ramchand, *Ibid* 675.

If the testimony of an accomplice given before a Court under a process of careful examination and capable of being tested by cross-examination is yet by its nature such that it is against the accused, it must be received with caution, still more so must be the confession of a fellow prisoner, which is only the bare statement of an accomplice limited to just so much as the confessing person chooses to say, and guaranteed by nothing except the peril into which it brings the speaker and which it is generally fashioned to lessen.—Sadhu Mundul, 21 W. R., 69, Mohesh Biswas, 19 W. R., 16; (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 455 *Foot note*; Belat Ali Moonshee, 19 W. R., 67; (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 453; Budhu Nanku, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 475.

The confession of a fellow prisoner which may under S. 30 be taken into consideration stands no higher than the evidence of an accomplice. In addition to the infirmity inherent in an accomplice's testimony, it is neither sanctioned by an oath, nor can it be tested, developed or explained by cross-examination. It therefore requires to be corroborated. Corroboration by circumstantial evidence was in the case accepted as sufficient.—Naga and others 23, W. R., 24.

A prisoner can be convicted on the confession of a fellow prisoner if it be admissible under S. 30, provided that it is corroborated by other evidence, but how far such corroborative evidence would be sufficient must depend on the circumstances of each case (per Garth, C. J.) but (per Jackson and McDonell, JJ.) no conviction at such confession corroborated by circumstantial evidence can be supported unless the circumstances constituting corroboration would, if believed to exist, themselves support a conviction.—Ashootosh Chuckerbutty, 1 L. R., 4 Cal., 484, FULL BENCH; (S. C.) 3 Cal. L. R., 270.

Where a Sessions Judge in examining the prisoners on the trial, required them to withdraw from the Court until the turn of each to be examined came round, and by this means most of them never had an opportunity of knowing or denying what the others had said, and the Sessions Judge convicted mainly on the statements so obtained, the High Court on appeal, in considering the evidence placed their statements aside, remarking that it is an elementary rule that no one should be condemned in his absence and on evidence taken behind his back.—Chunder Nath Sikdar and others, 8 Cal. L. R., 362; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 7 Cal. 65. Approved and followed in Laksmun Bala and others, 1 L. R., 6 Bomb., 124.

Admissions not conclusive proof, but may estop.

XXXI. Admissions are not conclusive proof of the matters admitted, but they may operate as estoppels under the provisions hereinafter contained.

STATEMENTS BY PERSONS WHO CANNOT BE CALLED AS WITNESSES.

XXXII. Statements, written or verbal, relevant facts made by a person who is

Cases in which statement of relevant fact by person who is dead or cannot be found, &c., is relevant.

dead, or who cannot be found, or who has become incapable of giving evidence, or whose attendance cannot be procured without an amount of delay or expense which, under the circumstances of the case, appears to the Court unreasonable,

are themselves relevant facts in the following cases:—

(1). When the statement is made by a person as to the cause of his death, or as to any of the circumstances of the transaction which resulted in his death, in cases in which the cause of that person's death comes into question.

When it relates to cause of death;

Such statements are relevant whether the person who made them was or was

not, at the time when they were made, under expectation of death, and whatever may be the nature of the proceeding in which the cause of his death comes into question.

Thus in the case of Bissorunjan Mookerjee, 6 W. R., 75, in which the prisoner was charged with murder and rape, the dying declaration of the woman was received as evidence on the charge of rape. The terms of the law, it will be observed, do not require as heretofore any belief on the part of the deponent that he is in danger of approaching death. Similarly a statement made by a deceased person that he had been beaten by the prisoner was admitted in evidence without proof that at the time of making such statement he was conscious of any fatal effect from such beating.—*Empress v. Blechynden*, 6 Cal. L. R., 278.

The mere signature of a Magistrate, without proof or solemn affirmation, that a person since deceased actually made a declaration reduced to writing, is not sufficient authentication. It is obviously desirable that the person who took the statement should be subject to examination as to the dying man's state of mind when he made it as well as to other circumstances. The declaration made in the absence of the prisoner, and not authenticated by oral evidence, was rejected.—*Fata Adaji*, 11 Bomb., 247.

(2.) When the statement was made by such person in the ordinary course of business, and in particular when it consists of any entry or memorandum made by him in books kept in the ordinary course of business, or in the discharge of professional duty; or of an acknowledgment written or signed by him of the receipt of money, goods, securities or property of any kind; or of a document used in commerce written or signed by him, or of the date of a letter or other document usually dated, written or signed by him.

(3.) When the statement is against the pecuniary or proprietary interest of the person making it, or when, if true, it would expose him or would have exposed him to a criminal prosecution or to a suit for damages.

(4.) When the statement gives the opinion of any such person, as to the existence of any public right or custom or matter of public or general interest, of the existence of which, if it existed, he would have been likely to be aware, and when such statement was made before any controversy as to such right, custom or matter, had arisen.

(5.) When the statement relates to the existence of any relationship between persons as to whose relationship, (*by blood, marriage, or adoption*—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 2), the person making the statement had special means of knowledge, and when the statement was made before the question in dispute was raised.

(6.) When the statement relates to the existence of any relationship, (*by blood, marriage, or adoption*—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 2), between persons deceased, and is made in any will or deed relating to the affairs of the family to which any such deceased person belonged, or in any family pedigree, or upon any tombstone, family portrait, or other thing on which such statements are usually made, and when such statement was made before the question in dispute was raised.

(7.) When the statement is contained in any deed, will or other document which relates to any such transaction as is mentioned in section thirteen, clause (a).

(8.) When the statement was made by a number of persons, and expressed feelings or impressions on their part relevant to the matter in question.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether A was murdered by B, or

A dies of injuries received in a transaction in the course of which she was ravished. The question is, whether she was ravished by B; or

The question is, whether A was killed by B under such circumstances that a suit would lie against B by A's widow.

Statements made by A as to the cause of his or her death, referring respectively to the murder, the rape, and the actionable wrong under consideration, are relevant facts.

(b.) The question is as to the date of A's birth.

An entry in the diary of a deceased surgeon, regularly kept in the course of business, stating that, on a given day, he attended A's mother and delivered her of a son, is a relevant fact.

(c.) The question is, whether A was in Calcutta on a given day.

A statement in the diary of a deceased solicitor, regularly kept in the course of business, that on a given day the solicitor attended A at a place mentioned, in Calcutta, for the purpose of conferring with him upon specified business, is a relevant fact.

(d.) The question is, whether a ship sailed from Bombay harbour on a given day.

A letter written by a deceased member of a merchant's firm by which she was chartered to their correspondents in London to whom the cargo was consigned, stating that the ship sailed on a given day from Bombay harbour, is a relevant fact.

(e.) The question is, whether rent was paid to A for certain land.

A letter from A's deceased agent to A, saying that he had received the rent on A's account and held it at A's orders, is a relevant fact.

(f.) The question is, whether A and B were legally married.

The statement of a deceased clergyman that he married them under such circumstances that the celebration would be a crime, is relevant.

(g.) The question is, whether A, a person who cannot be found, wrote a letter on a certain day. The fact that a letter written by him is dated on that day, is relevant.

(h.) The question is, what was the cause of the wreck of a ship.

A protest made by the Captain, whose attendance cannot be procured, is a relevant fact.

(i.) The question is, whether a given road is a public way.

A statement by A, a deceased headman of the village, that the road was public, is a relevant fact.

(j.) The question is, what was the price of grain on a certain day in a particular market.

A statement of the price, made by a deceased banya in the ordinary course of business, is a relevant fact.

(k.) The question is, whether A, who is dead, was the father of B.

A statement made by A that B was his son, is a relevant fact.

(l.) The question is, what was the date of the birth of A.

A letter from A's deceased father to a friend, announcing the birth of A on a given day, is a relevant fact.

(m.) The question is, whether, and when, A and B were married.

An entry in a memorandum-book by C, the deceased father of B, of his daughter's marriage with A on a given date, is a relevant fact.

(n.) A sues B for a libel expressed in a painted caricature exposed in a shop window. The question is as to the similarity of the caricature and its libellous character. The remarks of a crowd of spectators on these points may be proved.

Cl. 8 refers to a case in which a number of persons collected together to give vent to one common statement, which statement expresses the feelings or impressions made in their minds at the time of making it. It does not mean that a Police officer may go round, collect a great many statements from persons in different places, and afterwards put those statements in second-hand before a Court as evidence which may affect the result of a criminal trial.—*Ram Dutt Chowdhry*, 23 W. R. 35.

To the instances given in the Illustrations, S. 312 of the Code, was added the following :—

If it be proved that an accused person has absconded, and that there is no immediate prospect of arresting him, the Court competent to try or commit for trial such person for the offence complained of may, in his absence, examine the witnesses (if any) produced on behalf of the prosecution and record their depositions. Any such deposition may, on the arrest of such person, be given in evidence against him on the inquiry into or trial for the offence with which he is charged, if the deponent is dead or incapable of giving evidence or his attendance cannot be procured without an amount of delay, expense or inconvenience which, under the circumstances of the case, would be unreasonable.

XXXIII. Evidence given by a witness in a judicial proceeding, or before any per-

Relevancy of certain evidence for proving, in subsequent proceeding, the truth of facts therein stated. son authorized by law to take it, is relevant for the purpose of proving in a subsequent judicial proceeding, or in a later stage of the same judicial proceeding, the truth of the facts which it states, when the witness is dead or cannot be found,

or is incapable of giving evidence, or is kept out of the way by the adverse party, or if his presence cannot be obtained without an amount of delay or expense which, under the circumstances of the case, the Court considers unreasonable.

Provided—

that the proceeding was between the same parties or their representatives in interest;

that the adverse party in the first proceeding had the right and opportunity to cross-examine;

that the questions in issue were substantially the same in the first as the second proceeding.

Explanation.—A criminal trial or inquiry shall be deemed to be a proceeding between the prosecutor and the accused within the meaning of this section.

See S. 512 of the Code of Criminal Procedure quoted in the note to the last section. See also Ss 503, 504, of the Code respecting the issue of commissions for the examination of witnesses in criminal cases.

S. 33 of the Evidence Act gives the Courts new powers which require to be exercised with great caution. There is no doubt that it is still necessary (just as much as ever it was) to produce every witness at the trial unless it is proved to be actually impossible, or to be so difficult to do so that it is, under the circumstances unreasonable to insist on his production. In the present case everything turns on the evidence of an absent witness, and without it the prosecution must fail. The Judge gives no means of judging whether there was any really good reason why the witness was not produced by the prosecution. He simply says "all attempts to find him having failed" We are of opinion that when the evidence of an absent witness is admitted under S. 33 of the Evidence Act, the grounds for its admission should be stated fully and clearly so as to enable the Courts to judge of the propriety of its admission. In the present case we think that it was improperly admitted: because there is nothing to show that, by ordinary care, and by the use of the ordinary means, the witnesses could not have been produced We think that, in order to make a deposition admissible under S. 33, there must be evidence that the accused person did in fact have an opportunity of cross-examining him.—*Mowjan alias Nancee Khan*, 20 W. R., 69.

The following judgment of the Calcutta High Court discloses another instance of the improper admission of evidence under S. 33 of the Evidence Act:—

"On the record of the Sessions Court, as it has come up to us, we do not find the evidence of witnesses, excepting in the shape of depositions which purport to have been made before the Assistant Commissioner. And we have the following remark of the Judge: 'The officer of the Court reports that neither of the witnesses for the prosecution are present. The accused in his examination admits the facts which have been spoken to by the witnesses in the preliminary inquiry. As, therefore, useless delay and expense would be incurred by postponing this case, and causing the absent witnesses to appear, it is hereby ordered that the depositions taken by the Assistant Commissioner be, under S. 33, Act I of 1872, admitted as evidence in the present case.'

"The Judge does not go so far as to say that he thinks that the presence of the witnesses could not be obtained without an amount of delay or expense which, under the circumstances of the case, the Court considers unreasonable. He confines himself to saying: 'As, therefore, useless delay and expense would be incurred by postponing this case, and causing the absent witnesses to appear, it is hereby ordered.' Now, it might very well be that, in the view which the Judge had taken of the case, 'the delay and expense of postponing the trial in order that the absent witnesses might be able to appear was a 'useless delay and expense.' But it does not follow that the delay and expense of bringing the witnesses was, under all the circumstances of the case, unreasonable. The delay could hardly, in a matter of this kind, where the charge against prisoner was that of having committed murder—the delay of an adjournment to the next Session—could not in itself very well be considered unreasonable for the purpose of enabling the case to be duly tried on *voir dire* testimony. And the expense of bringing the witnesses of the prosecution, and any other expense that might be attendant upon this delay, could hardly of itself, under the circumstances disclosed to us, be considered unreasonable, unless it is so in almost every case which is tried. The prisoner certainly had a right to expect that the witnesses should be brought to give their testimony *voir dire* before the Sessions Court, and any expense or delay that might be necessary for that purpose must in the absence of special facts, be taken as reasonable rather than unreasonable. This is not a case in which any special difficulty seems to have occurred in the way of procuring the witnesses, for nothing of a special nature is hinted at by the Judge which should stand in the way of postponement of the trial. And this being so, we think that the condition was not satisfied under which, in pursuance of the provisions of S. 33, the Judge had discretion to take the depositions of witnesses instead of and in the place of the oral testimony of the witnesses themselves. The result is, in our

opinion, that there was no evidence rightly before the Court at the Sessions trial, except the statement which the prisoner himself made to the Court."—*Lakhan Santal*, 21 W. R., 56.

It should be recollected, as pointed out in the case of *Soojan Beebee* (21 W. R., 414; (S. C.) 44 B. L. R., 3 App.), that S. 33 does not apply to statements previously made by parties to a suit who are not afterwards witnesses. Such statements can be used adversely to such persons as admissions unless they can show that the facts on the former answer were different from what they stated them to be, and that the deposition was false, and it would require strong evidence to prove that what the parties had deliberately asserted was altogether untrue, they alleging the facts to be different in order to keep the property which they were in possession of.

Where evidence had been legally taken by the British Consul at Zanzibar who could not, however enforce the attendance of the witnesses at the trial in Bombay, the depositions of the absent witnesses were received as evidence.—*Empress v. Dossaji Gholam Husein*, I. L. R., 3 Bomb., 384.

But where the former statement had been made *coram non judice* it is not admissible.—*Rami Roddi* and others, I. L. R., 3 Mad., 48; (S. C.) Weir 505.

When a witness had been examined in the inquiry before the Magistrate and after the commitment of the accused on a charge of grievous hurt, the injured person died and additional charges of murder and culpable homicide were added, the evidence of the injured man who had died and of another witness who had absconded were rightly received in the Sessions Court. The test is whether the same evidence is applicable though different consequences might follow from the same act.—*Rochia Mahato*, I. L. R., 7 Cal., 42; (S. C.) 6 Cal. L. R., 273.

The incapacity to attend need not be a permanent incapacity, but may be something short of it. The fact that a witness was ill and confined to his house is not sufficient. Precise evidence as to the nature of the illness and the incapacity of the witness to attend should be forthcoming. *In re Asgur Hosein* and others, 8 Cal. L. R., 124, (S. C.) I. L. R., 6 Cal., 774. But see *contra* *Pyari Lall* and others, 4 Cal. L. R., 504.

The incapacity to attend denotes an incapacity of a permanent not a temporary kind: and when a person is proved to be incapable of attending, the Court has no discretion in receiving his deposition. But when the absence of a witness is casual or due to a temporary cause, the Court has such a discretion "if his presence cannot be obtained without an amount of delay "or expense which under the circumstances the Court considers unreasonable." In this case it was stated by the medical officer that the witness was suffering from small-pox and consequently was not in a position to attend Court and give evidence, but would probably be able to attend in a week or so. The High Court on appeal rejected the deposition of the absent witness taken at the inquiry as inadmissible.—*Piyari Lall* and others, 4 Cal. L. R., 504.

A dying declaration made to a Magistrate and reduced to writing but not taken in the presence of the accused person is not legal evidence unless the Magistrate be examined and use that statement to refresh his memory.—*Sumiruddin*, 10 Cal. L. R., 11.

It is only in extreme cases of delay or expense that the powers given by S. 33 should be exercised and even then the evidence to supply such reasons and circumstances should be formally and regularly taken and recorded.—*Mullu*, 1 Leg. Rem., 220; (S. C.) I. L. R., 2 All., 646. Where the medical evidence showed that the witness would probably have borne removal to the Court in 10 or 15 days the High Court considered that the Judge was not justified in holding that there was unreasonable delay within the terms of S. 33 so as to admit as evidence in the Sessions Court his deposition before the Magistrate. I have pointed out that the Judge might have adjourned the trial to the Hospital and taken the witness's deposition there. *Sithondi Gounden*, Weir, 509.

The question in issue must be substantially the same in the first as the second proceeding.

The principle involved in requiring identity of the matter in issue is to secure that in the former proceeding the parties were not without the opportunity of examining and cross-examining to the very point on which their evidence is adduced in the subsequent proceeding, and though separate proceedings may involve issues, of which some only are common to both, the evidence to those common issues given in the former proceeding may, (on the conditions mentioned in S. 33 arising,) be given in the subsequent proceedings. Thus "if in a dispute respecting lands, any fact comes directly in issue, the testimony given to that fact is admissible to prove the same point in another action between the same parties or their privies though the last suit relates to other lands." (*Taylor on Evidence* Ed. 4, Section 436) —*Rami Reddi*, I. L. R. 3 Mad. 1849 (S. C.) Weir 505. On this principle the Madras High Court admitted in a case under S. 211, Penal Code, (intentionally bringing a false charge &c.) evidence given in the case in which the charge alleged to be false was made. So also evidence given before a Magistrate by the person injured, in a case of voluntarily causing grievous hurt, was, after his death from the effect of the injuries, admitted as evidence in the Sessions Court on the trial of murder. The High Court held that although the gravity of the offence became presumptively increased, the evidence to prove the act with which the accused was charged remained precisely the same.—*Rochia Mahato*, 8 Cal. L. R., 273, (S. C.) I. L. R., 7 Cal. 42.

STATEMENTS MADE UNDER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

XXXIV. Entries in books of account, regularly kept in the course of business,

Entries in books of account when relevant.

are relevant whenever they refer to a matter into which the Court has to inquire, but such statements shall not alone be sufficient evidence to charge any person with liability.

Illustration.

A sues B for Rs. 1,000 and shows entries in his account-books showing B to be indebted to him to this amount. The entries are relevant, but are not sufficient, without other evidence, to prove the debt.

Account-books, regularly kept in the course of business, are admissible in evidence, although the entries in them may not have been made by, or at the direction of, a person who had personal knowledge of the truth of the facts stated.—*Harmanta Madhaji Khadkeo*. I. L. R., 1 Bomb., 610.

XXXV. An entry in any public or other official book, register, or record

Relevancy of entry in public record, made in performance of duty.

stating a fact in issue or relevant fact, and made by a public servant in the discharge of his official duty, or by any other person in performance of a duty especially enjoined by the law of the country in which such book, register, or record is kept, is itself a relevant fact.

But this does not make the public book evidence to show that a particular entry has not been made in it. Such books are not evidence for the purpose of proving the absence in them of any particular entry.—*Juggun Lall*, 7 Cal. L. R., 356.

XXXVI. Statements of facts in issue or relevant facts, made in published

Relevancy of statements in maps, charts and plans.

maps or charts generally offered for public sale, or in maps or plans made under the authority of Government, as to matters usually represented or stated in such maps, charts or plans, are themselves relevant facts.

XXXVII. When the Court has to form an opinion as to the existence of any

Relevancy of statement as to fact of public nature, contained in certain Acts or notifications.

fact of a public nature, any statement of it, made in a recital contained in any Act of Parliament, or in any Act of the Governor-General of India in Council, or of the Governors in Council of Madras or Bombay, or of the Lieutenant-Governor in Council of Bengal, or in a notification of the Government appearing in the *Gazette of India*, or in the *Gazette* of any Local Government, or in any printed paper purporting to be the *London Gazette* or the *Government Gazette* of any colony or possession of the Queen, is a relevant fact.

XXXVIII. When the Court has to form an opinion as to a law of any

Relevancy of statements as to any law contained in law-books.

country, any statement of such law contained in a book purporting to be printed or published under the authority of the Government of such country and to contain any such law, and any report of a ruling of the Courts of such country contained in a book purporting to be a report of such rulings, is relevant.

HOW MUCH OF A STATEMENT IS TO BE PROVED.

XXXIX. When any statement of which evidence is given forms part of a longer

When evidence to be given when statement forms part of a conversation, document, book or series of letters or papers.

statement, or of a conversation or part of an isolated document, or is contained in a document which forms part of a book, or of a connected series of letters or papers, evidence shall be given of so much and no more of the statement, conversation, document, book, or series of letters or papers as the Court considers necessary in that particular case to the full understanding of the nature and effect of the statement, and of the circumstances under which it was made.

JUDGMENTS OF COURTS OF JUSTICE, WHEN RELEVANT.

XL. The existence of any judgment, order or decree which by law prevents any Court from taking cognizance of a suit or holding a trial, is a relevant fact when the question is whether such Court ought to take cognizance of such suit, or to hold such trial.

Previous judgments relevant to bar a second suit or trial.

XLI. A final judgment, order or decree of a competent Court, in the exercise of probate, matrimonial, admiralty or insolvency jurisdiction, which confers upon or takes away from any person any legal character, or which declares any person to be entitled to any such character, or to be entitled to any specific thing, not as against any specified person but absolutely, is relevant when the existence of any such legal character, or the title of any such person to any such thing, is relevant.

Relevancy of certain judgments in probate, &c., jurisdiction.

Such judgment, order or decree is conclusive proof.

that any legal character which it confers accrued at the time when such judgment, order or decree came into operation ;

that any legal character, to which it declares any such person to be entitled, accrued to that person at the time when such judgment (*order or decree**) declares it to have accrued to that person ;

that any legal character which it takes away from any such person ceased at the time from which such judgment (*order or decree**) declared that it had ceased or should cease ;

and that any thing to which it declares any person to be so entitled was the property of that person at the time from which such judgment (*order or decree**) declares that it had been or should be his property.

XLII. Judgments, orders or decrees other than those mentioned in section forty-one, are relevant if they relate to matters of a public nature relevant to the inquiry ; but such judgments, orders or decrees, are not conclusive proof of that which they state.

Relevancy and effect of judgments, orders or decrees other than those mentioned in section 41.

Illustration.

A sues B for trespass on his land. B alleges the existence of a public right of way over the land, which A denies.

The existence of a decree in favour of the defendant, in a suit by A against C for a trespass on the same land, in which C alleged the existence of the same right of way, is relevant, but it is not conclusive proof that the right of way exists.

XLIII. Judgments, orders or decrees, other than those mentioned in sections forty, forty-one, and forty-two, are irrelevant unless the existence of such judgment, order or decree is a fact in issue or is relevant under some other provision of this Act.

Judgments, &c., other than those mentioned in sections 40—42, when relevant.

Illustrations.

(a.) A and B separately sue C for a libel which reflects upon each of them. C in each case says, that the matter alleged to be libellous is true, and the circumstances are such that it is probably true in each case, or in neither.

A obtains a decree against C for damages on the ground that C failed to make out his justifications. The fact is irrelevant as between B and C.

(b.) A prosecutes B for adultery with C, A's wife.

B denies that C is A's wife, but the Court convicts B of adultery.

Afterwards C is prosecuted for bigamy in marrying B during A's lifetime. C says that she never was A's wife.

The judgment against B is irrelevant against C.

(c.) A prosecutes B for stealing a cow from him. B is convicted.

* Added by Act XVIII, 1872, S. 3.

A afterwards sues C for the cow, which B had sold to him before his conviction. As between A and C, the judgment against B is irrelevant.

(d.) A has obtained a decree for the possession of land against B. C, B's son, murders A in consequence.

The existence of the judgment is relevant, as showing motive for a crime

It has been held under the terms of S. 43, that, though decrees to which one of the parties was no party are no evidence under Ss. 40, 41, 42, still they are admissible under S. 13 as a transaction not as conclusive but to receive such weight as the Court might think that they ought to receive. In S. 13 there is not the limit that the suit must be between the same parties. Of course, the value of the decree will be very different when it is given in a suit to which the person against whom it is used was not a party and had not an opportunity of contesting the matter, and where he was a party to the suit and had the opportunity of producing any evidence he might think fit. Unless the Indian Evidence Act has excluded judgments and decrees which had previously been always considered as very good evidence, and which are indeed in many cases almost, if not quite, conclusive, it is clear that the decisions referred to were admissible in this case, and the Subordinate Judge was wrong in treating them as not being evidence of the plaintiff's title. They ought to have been considered.—*Neamat Ali*, 22 W. R., 365. But see *contra* *Gujju Lall v. Fattch Lall*, I. L. R. 6 Cal., 171, (S. O) 6 Cal., L. R., 439.

XLIV. Any party to a suit or other proceeding may show that any judgment,

Fraud or collusion in obtaining judgment or incompetency of Court, may be proved.

order or decree which is relevant under section forty, forty-one, or forty-two, and which has been proved by the adverse party, was delivered by a Court not competent to deliver it, or was obtained by fraud or collusion.

OPINIONS OF THIRD PERSONS WHEN RELEVANT.

XLV. When the Court has to form an opinion upon a point of foreign law, or of

Opinion of experts.

science or art, or as to identity of handwriting, the opinions upon that point of persons specially skilled in such foreign

law, science or art, (or in question as to identity of handwriting—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 4) are relevant facts.

Such persons are called experts.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether the death of A was caused by poison.

The opinions of experts as to the symptoms produced by the poison by which A is supposed to have died are relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether A, at the time of doing a certain act, was, by reason of unsoundness of mind, incapable of knowing the nature of the act, or that he was doing what was either wrong or contrary to law.

The opinions of experts upon the question whether the symptoms exhibited by A commonly show unsoundness of mind, and whether such unsoundness of mind usually renders persons incapable of knowing the nature of the acts which they do, or of knowing that what they do is either wrong or contrary to law, are relevant.

(c.) The question is, whether a certain document was written by A. Another document is produced which is proved or admitted to have been written by A.

The opinions of experts on the question whether the two documents were written by the same person or by different persons are relevant.

XLVI. Facts, not otherwise relevant, are relevant

Facts bearing upon opinions of experts.

if they support or are inconsistent with the opinions of experts, when such opinions are relevant.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether A was poisoned by a certain poison.

The fact that other persons, who were poisoned by that poison, exhibited certain symptoms which experts affirm or deny to be the symptoms of that poison, is relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether an obstruction to a harbour is caused by a certain sea wall.

The fact that other harbours similarly situated in other respects, but where there were no such sea-walls, began to be obstructed at about the same time, is relevant.

XLVII. When the Court has to form an opinion as to the person by whom

Opinion as to handwriting, when relevant.

any document was written or signed, the opinion of any person acquainted with the handwriting of the person by whom it is supposed to be written or signed that it was or was not written or signed by that person is a relevant fact.

Explanation.—A person is said to be acquainted with the handwriting of another person when he has seen that person write, or when he has received documents purporting to be written by that person in answer to documents written by himself or under his authority and addressed to that person, or when, in the ordinary course of business, documents purporting to be written by that person have been habitually submitted to him.

Illustration.

The question is, whether a given letter is in the handwriting of A, a merchant in London.

B is a merchant in Calcutta, who has written letters addressed to A and received letters purporting to be written by him. C is B's clerk, whose duty it was to examine and file B's correspondence. D is B's broker, to whom C habitually submitted the letters purporting to be written by A for the purpose of advising with him thereon.

The opinions of B, C, and D on the question whether the letter is in the handwriting of A are relevant, though neither B, C, nor D ever saw A write.

XLVIII. When the Court has to form an opinion as to the existence of any

Opinion as to existence of right or custom, when relevant.

general custom or right, the opinions, as to the existence of such custom or right, of persons who would be likely to know of its existence if it existed, are relevant.

Explanation.—The expression 'general custom or right' includes customs or rights common to any considerable class of persons.

Illustration.

The right of the villagers of a particular village to use the water of a particular well is a general right within the meaning of this section.

XLIX. When the Court has to form an opinion as to—

Opinions as to usages, tenets, &c., when relevant. the usages and tenets of any body of men or family, the constitution and government of any religious or charitable foundation, or

the meaning of words or terms used in particular districts or by particular classes of people,

the opinions of persons having special means of knowledge thereon are relevant facts.

L. When the Court has to form an opinion as to the relationship of one person

Opinion on relationship when relevant. son to another, the opinion, expressed by conduct, as to the existence of such relationship, of any person who, as a member of the family or otherwise, has special means of knowledge on the subject, is a relevant fact: Provided that such opinion shall not be sufficient to prove a marriage in proceedings under the Indian Divorce Act, or in prosecutions under section four hundred and ninety-four, four hundred and ninety-five, four hundred and ninety-seven, or four hundred and ninety-eight of the Indian Penal Code.

Illustrations.

(a.) The question is, whether A and B were married.

The fact that they were usually received and treated by their friends as husband and wife is relevant.

(b.) The question is, whether A was the legitimate son of B. The fact that A was always treated as such by members of the family is relevant.

The terms of S. 50, Evidence Act, seem to point that where marriage is an ingredient in the offence, as in bigamy, adultery and the enticing of married women, the fact of marriage must be strictly proved in the regular way.—Pitambur Singh, I. L. R., 5 Cal., 666.

Grounds of opinion when relevant.

LI. Whenever the opinion of any living person is relevant, the grounds on which such opinion is based are also relevant.

Illustration.

An expert may give an account of experiments performed by him for the purpose of forming his opinion.

CHARACTER WHEN RELEVANT.

LII. In civil cases, the fact that the character of any person concerned is such

In civil cases character to prove conduct imputed, irrelevant.

as to render probable or improbable any conduct imputed to him is irrelevant, except in so far as such character appears from facts otherwise relevant.

In criminal cases, previous good character relevant.

LIII. In criminal proceedings, the fact that the person accused is of a good character is relevant.

LIV. If criminal

In criminal proceedings, previous conviction relevant, but not previous bad character, except in reply.

proceedings, the fact that the accused person has been previously convicted of any offence is relevant; but the fact that he has a bad character is irrelevant unless evidence has been given that he has a good character, in which case it becomes relevant.

Explanation.—This section does not apply to cases in which the bad character of any person is itself a fact in issue.

Where the complainant claimed certain property found with the prisoner as his own and as having been stolen from him, and the prisoner stated that it belonged to him, the Sessions Judge was held to have misdirected the Jury in stating "the fact that the prisoner has been twice imprisoned is not without its weight and should be taken into consideration by you when deciding on the reliability of the evidence of identification." That was treating the previous conviction as evidence of character which was irrelevant and inadmissible.—*Roshan Dosadh and others*, 6 Cal. L. R., 219; (S. C.) I. L. R., 5 Cal., 768.

The Madras High Court (Pro. Oct. 1, 1877, Weir 511) had under consideration a case in which a Sessions Judge admitted in evidence on a charge of theft previous convictions of house-breaking by night and theft in a building. The following judgment was delivered:—

"It is difficult to come to the conclusion that the Legislature in this Section intended the words used to bear so wide a meaning as they naturally convey. For, if we look at the diversity of motives which actuate to different kinds of crime it will be apparent that the motive which would actuate to one species of offence is in many instances of such a character that it would throw no light upon the probability or improbability of the commission of a different class of offences. For instance, a knowledge of human nature teaches that the commission of rape by a person does not render it either probable or improbable that he should commit a theft. The fact that a person had committed the offence of endeavouring to screen his son, who had committed a murder, from legal punishment, would not bear upon the probability or improbability of the same person having himself afterwards committed a murder. It can scarcely have been intended therefore, that evidence of any previous conviction is always admissible as evidence to character to support a subsequent charge.

"Sir James Stephen the author of the Indian Evidence Act in his digest of the English law of evidence, thus defines relevancy; "The word relevant means that any two facts to which it is applied are so related to each other that according to the common course of events, one either taken by itself or in connection with other facts proves or renders probable the past, present or future existence or non-existence of the other."

The definition of relevancy in the Indian Law of Evidence is the same in effect when considered in connection with the Sections to which it refers and is as follows:—One fact is said to be relevant to another when the one is connected with the other in any of the ways referred to in the provisions of this Act relating to the relevancy of facts. It appears to us that what is intended by Section 54 is that the fact of a previous conviction is at any stage admissible as evidence to character whenever relevant.

"To determine whether it is relevant, it would be proper and indeed necessary to consider Sections 6 to 16 as to the sort of connection between the fact to be proved and the previous conviction offered in evidence which the law recognizes as relevant, and see whether the previous conviction was of a kind to fall within any of the classes of connection with the fact to be proved stated in those Sections, and it need scarcely be said that in cases tried by Jury especial care should be exercised in determining whether such evidence is admissible or not.

"Since the enactment of 34 and 35 Vic. Cap. 112 (See Section 19) evidence of any conviction of an offence involving fraud or dishonesty may be given on the trial of the substantive charge of receiving stolen goods, for the purpose of proving guilty knowledge and this enactment in rendering such evidence admissible obviously proceeds on the principle that a previous conviction of an offence of the class named renders it probable that the prisoner, if found to have received stolen property would have received it with a guilty knowledge. The Indian Evidence Act gives the Court a discretion to admit a previous conviction as evidence to character at any stage of the trial in all cases in which there is such a connection between the act of which the prisoner was found guilty on the previous conviction and the fact to be proved as bears upon the probability of the prisoner having committed the act charged. In the case which the Sessions Judge was trying, it is impossible to say that the previous convictions were not relevant and were wrongly admitted."

See S. 511 of the Code regarding the manner of proving a previous conviction.

The Explanation to S. 54 evidently refers to proceedings under Chapter VIII, Ss. 109 *et seq.* of the Code, under the European Vagrancy Act, 1874, or under the Criminal Tribes Act, 1871.

Character as affecting damages.

LV. In civil cases, the fact that the character of any person is such as to affect the amount of damages which he ought to receive is relevant.

Explanation.—In sections fifty-two, fifty-three, fifty-four and fifty-five, the word 'character' includes both reputation and disposition; but evidence may be given only of general reputation and general disposition, and not of particular acts by which reputation or disposition were shown.

PART II.

ON PROOF.

CHAPTER III.—FACTS WHICH NEED NOT BE PROVED.

Facts judicially noticeable need not be proved.

LVI. No fact of which the Court will take judicial notice need be proved.

Facts of which Court must take judicial notice.

LVII. The Court shall take judicial notice of the following facts:—

(1.) * All laws or rules having the force of law now or heretofore in force, or hereafter to be in force, in any part of British India:

(2.) All public Acts passed or hereafter to be passed by Parliament, and all local and personal Acts directed by Parliament to be judicially noticed:

(3.) Articles of War for Her Majesty's Army or Navy:

(4.) The course of proceeding of Parliament and of the Councils for the purposes of making Laws and Regulations established under the Indian Councils' Act, or any other law for the time being relating thereto:

Explanation.—The word 'Parliament' in clauses (two) and (four) includes—

1. The Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland;
2. The Parliament of Great Britain;
3. The Parliament of Scotland; and
5. The Parliament of Ireland:

(5.) The accession and the sign manual of the Sovereign for the time being of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland:

(6.) All seals of which English Courts take judicial notice: the seals of all the Courts of British India, and of all Courts out of British India, established by the authority of the Governor-General or any Local Government in Council: the seals of Courts of Admiralty and Maritime Jurisdiction and of Notaries Public, and all seals which any person is authorized to use by any Act of Parliament or other Act or Regulation having the force of law in British India:

(7.) The accession to office, names, titles, functions, and signatures of the persons filling for the time being any public office in any part of British India, if the fact of their appointment to such office is notified in the *Gazette of India*, or in the official Gazette of any Local Government :

(8.) The existence, title, and national flag of every State or Sovereign recognized by the British Crown :

(9.) The divisions of time, the geographical divisions of the world, and public festivals, facts and holidays notified in the official Gazette :

(10.) The territories under the dominion of the British Crown :

(11.) The commencement, continuance, and termination of hostilities between the British Crown and any other State or body of persons :

(12.) The names of the members and officers of the Court, and of their deputies and subordinate officers and assistants, and also of all officers acting in execution of its process, and of all advocates, attorneys, proctors, vakils, pleaders, and other persons authorized by law to appear or act before it :

(13.) The rule of the road (*on land or at sea*,—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 5.)

In all these cases, also on all matters of public history, literature, science or art, the Court may resort for its aid to appropriate books or documents of reference.

If the Court is called upon by any person to take judicial notice of any fact, it may refuse to do so, unless and until such person produces any such book or document as it may consider necessary to enable it to do so.

LVIII. No fact need be proved in any proceeding which the parties thereto or their agents agree to admit at the hearing, or which, before the hearing, they agree to admit by any writing under their hands, or which by any rule of pleading in force at the time they are deemed to have admitted by their pleadings: Provided that the Court may, in its discretion, require the facts admitted to be proved otherwise than by such admissions.

CHAPTER IV.—OF ORAL EVIDENCE.

Proof of facts by oral evidence.

LIX. All facts, except the contents of documents, may be proved by oral evidence.

Oral evidence must be direct.

LX. Oral evidence must, in all cases whatever, be direct; that is to say—

If it refers to a fact which could be seen, it must be the evidence of a witness who says he saw it;

If it refers to a fact which could be heard, it must be the evidence of a witness who says he heard it;

If it refers to a fact which could be perceived by any other sense or in any other manner, it must be the evidence of a witness who says he perceived it by that sense or in that manner;

If it refers to an opinion or to the grounds on which that opinion is held, it must be the evidence of the person who holds that opinion on those grounds:

Provided that the opinions of experts expressed in any treatise commonly offered for sale, and the grounds on which such opinions are held, may be proved by the production of such treatises if the author is dead or cannot be found, or has become incapable of giving evidence, or cannot be called as a witness without an amount of delay or expense which the Court regards as unreasonable:

Provided also that, if oral evidence refers to the existence or condition of any material thing other than a document, the Court may, if it thinks fit, require the production of such material thing for its inspection.

For the meaning of the term "expert," see S. 45.

CHAPTER V.—OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE.

Proof of contents of documents. LXI. The contents of documents may be proved either by primary or by secondary evidence.

Primary evidence.

LXII. Primary evidence means the document itself produced for the inspection of the Court.

Explanation 1.—Where a document is executed in several parts, each part is primary evidence of the document.

Where a document is executed in counterpart, each counterpart being executed by one or some of the parties only, each counterpart is primary evidence as against the parties executing it.

Explanation 2.—Where a number of documents are all made by one uniform process as in the case of printing, lithography, or photography, each is primary evidence of the contents of the rest; but where they are all copies of a common original, they are not primary evidence of the contents of the original.

Illustration.

A person is shown to have been in possession of a number of placards, all printed at one time from one original. Any one of the placards is primary evidence of the contents of any other, but no one of them is primary evidence of the contents of the original.

Secondary evidence.

LXIII. Secondary evidence means and includes—

- (1) Certified copies given under the provisions hereinafter contained;
- (2) Copies made from the original by mechanical processes which in themselves insure the accuracy of the copy, and copies compared with such copies;
- (3) Copies made from or compared with the original;
- (4) Counterparts of documents as against the parties who did not execute them;
- (5) Oral accounts of the contents of a document given by some person who has himself seen it.

Illustrations.

(a.) A photograph of an original is secondary evidence of its contents, though the two have not been compared, if it is proved that the thing photographed was the original.

(b.) A copy compared with a copy of a letter made by a copying machine is secondary evidence of the contents of the letter, if it is shown that the copy made by the copying machine was made from the original.

(c.) A copy transcribed from a copy, but afterwards compared with the original, is secondary evidence; but the copy not so compared is not secondary evidence of the original, although the copy from which it was transcribed was compared with the original.

(d.) Neither an oral account of a copy compared with the original, nor an oral account of a photograph or machine-copy of the original, is secondary evidence of the original.

Copies inadmissible under the Evidence Act having been rejected, it was competent to the Court, as a matter of discretion, to refuse to accept the originals when tendered in a later stage of the suit.—*Hurrechur Mozoomdar*, 22 W. R., 355.

Proof of documents by primary evidence.

LXIV. Documents must be proved by primary evidence except in the cases hereinafter mentioned.

Cases in which secondary evidence relating to documents may be given.

LXV. Secondary evidence may be given of the existence, condition, or contents of a document in the following cases.—

- (a.) When the original is shown or appears to be in the possession or power of the person against whom the document is sought to be proved, or of any person out of reach of, or not subject to, the process of Court, or of any person legally bound to produce it,

and when, after the notice mentioned in section sixty-six, such person does not produce it;

- (b.) When the existence, condition or contents of the original have been proved to be admitted in writing by the person against whom it is proved or by his representative interest;

(c.) When the original has been destroyed or lost, or when the party offering evidence of its contents cannot, for any other reason not arising from his own default or neglect, produce it in reasonable time ;

(d.) When the original is of such a nature as not to be easily moveable ;

(e.) When the original is a public document within the meaning of section seventy-four ;

(f.) When the original is a document of which a certified copy is permitted by this Act, or by any other law in force in British India, to be given in evidence ;

(g.) When the originals consist of numerous accounts or other documents which cannot conveniently be examined in Court, and the fact to be proved is the general result of the whole collection.

In cases (a), (c), and (d), any secondary evidence of the contents of the document is admissible.

In case (b), the written admission is admissible.

In case (e), or (f), a certified copy of the document, but no other kind of secondary evidence, is admissible.

In case (g), evidence may be given as to the general result of the document by any person who has examined them, and who is skilled in the examination of such documents.

LXVI. Secondary evidence of the contents of the document referred to in section

Rules as to notice to produce. sixty-five, clause (a), shall not be given unless the party proposing to give such secondary evidence has previously given to the party in whose possession or power the document is (or to his attorney or pleader,—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 6), such notice to produce it as is prescribed by law, and if no notice is prescribed by law then such notice as the Court considers reasonable under the circumstances of the case :

Provided that such notice shall not be required in order to render secondary evidence admissible in any of the following cases, or in any other case in which the Court thinks fit to dispense with it :—

(1.) When the document to be proved is itself a notice ;

(2.) When, from the nature of the case, the adverse party must know that he will be required to produce it ;

(3.) When it appears or is proved that the adverse party has obtained possession of the original by fraud or force ;

(4.) When the adverse party or his agent has the original in Court ;

(5.) When the adverse party or his agent has admitted the loss of the document ;

(6.) When the person in possession of the document is out of reach of, or not subject to, the process of the Court.

LXVII. If a document is alleged to be signed or to have been written wholly

Proof of signature and handwriting of person alleged to have signed or written document produced. or in part by any person, the signature or the handwriting of so much of the document as is alleged to be in that person's handwriting must be proved to be in his handwriting.

The law does not render it necessary that direct evidence of the handwriting of the person who is alleged to have executed a deed, must be given by some person who saw the signature affixed. It is left to the discretion of the Judge to determine what satisfies him that the document is genuine.—Neelkanto Pundit, 12 B. L. R., 18, App.

LXVIII. If a document is required by law to be attested, it shall not be used

Proof of execution of document required by law to be attested. as evidence until one attesting witness at least has been called for the purpose of proving its execution, if there be an attesting witness alive, and subject to the process of the Court and capable of giving evidence.

LXIX. If no such attesting witness can be found, or if the document purports to have been executed in the United Kingdom, it must be proved that the attestation of one attesting witness at least is in his handwriting, and that the signature of the person executing the document is in the handwriting of that person.

Proof where no attesting witness found.

Admission of execution by party to attested document.

Proof when attesting witness denies the execution.

Proof of document not required by law to be attested.

LXX. The admission of a party to an attested document of its execution by himself shall be sufficient proof of its execution as against him, though it be a document required by law to be attested.

LXXI. If the attesting witness denies or does not recollect the execution of the document, its execution may be proved by other evidence.

LXXII. An attested document not required by law to be attested may be proved as if it was unattested.

LXXIII. In order to ascertain whether a signature, writing, or seal is that of the person by whom it purports to have been written or made, any signature, writing, or seal admitted or proved to the satisfaction of the Court to have been written or made by that person, may be compared with the one which is to be proved, although that signature, writing, or seal has not been produced or proved for any other purpose.

The Court may direct any person present in Court to write any words or figures for the purpose of enabling the Court to compare the words or figures so written with any words or figures alleged to have been written by such person.

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS.

Public documents.

LXXIV. The following documents are public documents:—

- (1.) Documents forming the acts, or records of the acts—
 - (i) of the sovereign authority,
 - (ii) of official bodies and tribunals, and
 - (iii) of public officers, legislative, judicial and executive, whether of British India or of any other part of Her Majesty's dominions, or of a foreign country.
- (2.) Public records kept in British India of private documents.

Private documents.

LXXV. All other documents are private.

LXXVI. Every public officer having the custody of a public document, which any person has a right to inspect, shall give that person on demand a copy of it on payment of the legal fees therefor, together with a certificate written at the foot of such copy that it is a true copy of such document or part thereof, as the case may be, and such certificate shall be dated and subscribed by such officer with his name and his official title, and shall be sealed, whenever such officer is authorized by law to make use of a seal; and such copies so certified shall be called certified copies.

Explanation.—Any officer who, by the ordinary course of official duty, is authorized to deliver such copies, shall be deemed to have the custody of such documents within the meaning of this section.

Proof of documents by production of certified copies.

LXXVII. Such certified copies may be produced in proof of the contents of the public documents or parts of the public documents of which they purport to be copies.

Proof of other official documents.

LXXVIII. The following public documents may be proved as follows:—

(1.) Acts, orders or notifications of the Executive Government of British India in any of its departments, or of any Local Government or any department of any Local Government,

by the records of the departments, certified by the heads of departments respectively,

or by any document purporting to be printed by order of any such Government :

(2.) The proceedings of the Legislatures,

by the journals of those bodies respectively, or by published Acts or abstracts, or by copies purporting to be printed by order of Government :

(3.) Proclamations, Orders or Regulations issued by Her Majesty or by the Privy Council, or by any department of Her Majesty's Government,

by copies or extracts contained in the *London Gazette*, or purporting to be printed by the Queen's Printer :

(4.) The acts of the Executive or the proceedings of the Legislature of a foreign country,

by journals published by their authority, or commonly received in that country as such, or by a copy certified under the seal of the country or sovereign, or by recognition thereof in some public Act of the Governor-General of India in Council :

(5.) The proceedings of a municipal body in British India,

by a copy of such proceedings, certified by the legal keeper thereof, or by a printed book purporting to be published by the authority of such body :

(6.) Public documents of any other class in a foreign country,

by the original, or by a copy certified by the legal keeper thereof, with a certificate under the seal of a Notary Public, or of a British Consul or diplomatic agent, that the copy is duly certified by the officer having the legal custody of the original, and upon proof of the character of the document according to the law of the foreign country.

PRESUMPTIONS AS TO DOCUMENTS.

LXXIX. The Court shall presume every document purporting to be a certificate, certified copy, or other document, which is by

Presumption as to genuineness of certified copies.

law declared to be admissible as evidence of any particular fact, and which purports to be duly certified by any

officer in British India, or by any officer in any Native State in alliance with Her Majesty, who is duly authorized thereto by the Governor-General in Council, to be genuine : Provided that such document is substantially in the form and purports to be executed in the manner directed by law in that behalf.

The Court shall also presume that any officer, by whom any such document purports to be signed or certified, held, when he signed it, the official character which he claims in such paper.

LXXX. Whenever any document is produced before any Court, purporting

Presumption as to documents produced as record of evidence.

to be a record or memorandum of the evidence, or of any part of the evidence, given by a witness in a judicial proceeding or before any officer authorized by law to take such evidence, or to be a statement or confession by any

prisoner or accused person, taken in accordance with law, and purporting to be signed by any Judge or Magistrate, or by any such officer as aforesaid, the Court shall presume—that the document is genuine ; that any statements as to the circumstances under which it was taken, purporting to be made by the person signing it, are true, and that such evidence, statement or confession was duly taken.

§s. 353 et seq. of the Code of Criminal Procedure provide for the taking of evidence in criminal cases. §§. 164, 364 of the same Code provide for the recording of statements or confessions made to a Magistrate.

LXXXI. The Court shall presume the genuineness of every document pur-

Presumption as to Ga- porting to be the *London Gazette*, or the *Gazette of India*,
zettes, newspapers, private or the Government Gazette of any Local Government, or
Acts of Parliament and of any colony, dependency or possession of the British
other documents. Crown, or to be a newspaper or journal, or to be a copy
 of a private Act of Parliament printed by the Queen's Printer, and of every docu-
 ment purporting to be a document directed by any law to be kept by any person,
 if such document is kept substantially in the form required by law and is pro-
 duced from proper custody.

See explanation to S 90, *post*.

LXXXII. When any document is produced before any Court, purporting to

Presumption as to docu- be a document which, by the law in force for the time being
ment admissible in England in England or Ireland, would be admissible in proof of any
without proof of seal or sig- particular in any Court of Justice in England or Ireland
nature. without proof of the seal or stamp or signature authenti-

cating it, or of the judicial or official character claimed by the person by whom it
 purports to be signed, the Court shall presume that such seal, stamp or signature is
 genuine, and that the person signing it held, at the time when he signed it, the
 judicial or official character which he claims,

and the document shall be admissible for the same purpose for which it would
 be admissible in England or Ireland.

LXXXIII. The Court shall presume that maps or plans purporting to be made

Presumption as to maps by the authority of Government were so made, and are
or plans made by authority accurate; but maps or plans made for the purposes of any
of Government. cause must be proved to be accurate.

LXXXIV. The Court shall presume the genuineness of every book purporting

Presumption as to collec- to be printed or published under the authority of the Gov-
tions of laws and reports of ernment of any country, and to contain any of the laws of
decisions. that country,

and of every book purporting to contain reports of decisions of the Courts of
 such country.

LXXXV. The Court shall presume that every document purporting to be a

Presumption as to pow- power-of-attorney, and to have been executed before, and
ers-of-attorney. authenticated by, a Notary Public, or any Court, Judge,
of Her Majesty or of the Government of India, Magistrate, British Consul or Vice-Consul, or representative
 was so executed and authenticated.

LXXXVI. The Court may presume that any document purporting to be a

Presumption as to cer- certified copy of any judicial record of any country not
tified copies of foreign forming part of Her Majesty's dominions is genuine and
judicial records. accurate, if the document purports to be certified in any
 manner which is certified by any representative of Her Majesty or of the Government
 of India resident in such country to be the manner commonly in use in that country
 for the certification of copies of judicial records.

LXXXVII. The Court may presume that any book to which it may refer for

Presumption as to books, information on matters of public or general interest, and that
maps and charts. any published map or chart, the statements of which are
 relevant facts, and which is produced for its inspection,
 was written and published by the person, and the time and place, by whom or at
 which it purports to have been written or published.

LXXXVIII. The Court may presume that a message, forwarded from a telegraph

Presumption as to tele- office to the person to whom such message purports to be
graphic messages. addressed, corresponds with a message delivered for trans-
 mission at the office from which the message purports to
 be sent; but the Court shall not make any presumption as to the person by whom
 such message was delivered for transmission.

LXXXIX. The Court shall presume that every document called for and not produced after notice to produce, was attested, stamped and executed, &c. of documents executed in the manner required by law.

XC. Where any document, purporting or proved to be thirty years old, is produced from any custody which the Court in the particular case considers proper, the Court may presume that the signature and every other part of such document, which purports to be in the handwriting of any particular person is in that person's handwriting, and, in the case of a document executed or attested, that it was duly executed and attested by the persons by whom it purports to be executed and attested.

Explanation.—Documents are said to be in proper custody if they are in the place in which, and under the care of the person with whom, they would naturally be; but no custody is improper if it is proved to have had a legitimate origin, or if the circumstances of the particular case are such as to render such an origin probable.

The explanation applies also to section eighty-one.

Illustrations.

(a.) A has been in possession of landed property for a long time. He produces from his custody deeds relating to the land showing his titles to it. The custody is proper.

(b.) A produces deeds relating to landed property of which he is the mortgagee. The mortgagee is in possession. The custody is proper.

(c.) A, a connection of B, produces deeds relating to lands in B's possession, which were deposited with him by B for safe custody. The custody is proper.

CHAPTER VI.—OF THE EXCLUSION OF ORAL BY DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE.

XCI. When the terms of a contract, or of a grant, or of any other disposition of property have been reduced to the form of a document, and in all cases in which any matter is required by law to be reduced to the form of a document, no evidence shall be given in proof of the terms of such contract, grant or other disposition of property, or of such matter, except the document itself, or secondary evidence of its contents in cases in which secondary evidence is admissible under the provisions hereinbefore contained.

Exception 1.—When a public officer is required by law to be appointed in writing, and when it is shown that any particular person has acted as such officer, the writing by which he is appointed need not be proved.

Exception 2.—Wills under the *Indian Succession Act* may be proved by the probate.

[For the words in italics, Act XVIII, 1872, s. 7, has substituted "admitted to probate in British India."]

Explanation 1.—This section applies equally to cases in which the contracts, grants or dispositions of property referred to are contained in one document, and to cases in which they are contained in more documents than one.

Explanation 2.—Where there are more originals than one, one original only need be proved.

Explanation 3.—The statement, in any document whatever, of a fact other than the facts referred to in this section, shall not preclude the admission of oral evidence as to the same fact.

Illustrations.

(a.) If a contract be contained in several letters, all the letters in which it is contained must be proved.

(b.) If a contract is contained in a bill of exchange, the bill of exchange must be proved.

(c.) If a bill of exchange is drawn in a set of three, one only need be proved.

(d.) A contracts, in writing, with B, for the delivery of indigo upon certain terms. The contract mentions the fact that B had paid A the price of other indigo contracted for verbally on another occasion.

Oral evidence is offered that no payment was made for the other indigo. The evidence is admissible.

(e.) A gives B a receipt for money paid by B.

Oral evidence is offered of the payment.

The evidence is admissible.

Any omission in the record of a confession or other statement of an accused person made by a Magistrate may be supplied by evidence notwithstanding S. 91 of the Evidence Act, provided that the error has not injured the accused as to his defence on the merits.—S. 533, Code of Criminal Procedure.

XCII. When the terms of any such contract, grant or other disposition of property,

or any matter required by law to be reduced to the form of a document have been proved according to the last section, no evidence of any oral agreement or statement shall be admitted, as between the parties to any such instrument or their representatives in interest, for the purpose of contradicting, varying, adding to, or subtracting from, its terms:

Proviso 1.—Any fact may be proved which would invalidate any document, or which would entitle any person to any decree or order relating thereto; such as fraud, intimidation, illegality, want of due execution, want of capacity in any contracting party, want or failure of consideration, or mistake in fact or law.

Proviso 2.—The existence of any separate oral agreement as to any matter on which a document is silent, and which is not inconsistent with its terms, may be proved. In considering whether or not this proviso applies, the Court shall have regard to the degree of formality of the document.

Proviso 3.—The existence of any separate oral agreement, constituting a condition precedent to the attaching of any obligation under any such contract, grant or disposition of property, may be proved.

Proviso 4.—The existence of any distinct subsequent oral agreement to rescind or modify any such contract, grant or disposition of property may be proved, except in cases in which such contract, grant or disposition of property is by law required to be in writing, or has been registered according to the law in force for the time being as to the registration of documents.

Proviso 5.—Any usage or custom by which incidents not expressly mentioned in any contract are usually annexed to contracts of that description may be proved: Provided that the annexing of such incident would not be repugnant to, or inconsistent with, the express terms of the contract.

Proviso 6.—Any fact may be proved which shows in what manner the language of a document is related to existing facts.

Illustrations.

(a.) A policy of insurance is effected on goods "in ships from Calcutta to London." The goods are shipped in a particular ship, which is lost. The fact that that particular ship was orally excepted from the policy cannot be proved.

(b.) A agrees absolutely in writing to pay B Rs. 1,000 on the 1st March 1873. The fact that, at the same time, an oral agreement was made that the money should not be paid till the thirty-first March cannot be proved.

(c.) An estate called 'the Rampur tea estate' is sold by a deed which contains a map of the property sold. The fact that land not included in the map had always been regarded as part of the estate and was meant to pass by the deed cannot be proved.

(d.) A enters into a written contract with B to work certain mines, the property of B, upon certain terms. A was induced to do so by a misrepresentation of B's as to their value. This fact may be proved.

(e.) A institutes a suit against B for the specific performance of a contract, and also prays that the contract may be reformed as to one of its provisions, as that provision was inserted in it

by mistake. A may prove that such a mistake was made as would by law entitle him to have the contract reformed.

(f.) A orders goods of B by a letter, in which nothing is said as to the time of payment, and accepts the goods on delivery. B sues A for the price. A may show that the goods were supplied on credit for a term still unexpired.

(g.) A sells B a horse and verbally warrants him sound. A gives B a paper in these words:—'Bought of A horse for Rs. 500.' B may prove the verbal warranty.

(h.) A hires lodgings of B, and gives B a card on which is written—'Rooms, Rs. 200 a month.' A may prove a verbal agreement that these terms were to include partial board.

A hires lodgings of B for a year, and a regularly stamped agreement, drawn up by an attorney, is made between them. It is silent on the subject of board. A may not prove that board was included in the terms verbally.

(i.) A applies to B for a debt due to A by sending a receipt for the money. B keeps the receipt and does not send the money. In a suit for the amount, A may prove this.

(j.) A and B make a contract in writing to take effect upon the happening of a certain contingency. The writing is left with B, who sues A upon it. A may show the circumstances under which it was delivered.

Exclusion of evidence to explain or amend ambiguous document.

XCIII. When the language used in a document is, on its face, ambiguous or defective, evidence may not be given of facts which would show its meaning or supply its defects.

Illustrations.

(a.) A agrees, in writing, to sell a horse to B for 'Rs. 1,000, or Rs. 1,500.'

Evidence cannot be given to show which price was to be given.

(b.) A deed contains blanks. Evidence cannot be given of facts which would show how they were meant to be filled.

Exclusion of evidence against application of document to existing facts.

XCIV. When language used in a document is plain in itself, and when it applies accurately to existing facts, evidence may not be given to show that it was not meant to apply to such facts.

Illustration.

A sells to B, by deed, 'my estate at Rámpur containing 100 bighás.' A has an estate at Rámpur containing 100 bighás. Evidence may not be given of the fact that the estate meant to be sold was one situated at a different place and of a different size.

Evidence as to document unmeaning in reference to existing facts.

XCV. When language used in a document is plain in itself, but is unmeaning in reference to existing facts, evidence may be given to show that it was used in a peculiar sense.

Illustration.

A sells to B, by deed, 'my house in Calcutta'.

A had no house in Calcutta, but it appears that he had a house at Howrah, of which B had been in possession since the execution of the deed.

These facts may be proved to show that the deed related to the house at Howrah.

XCVI. When the facts are such that the language used might have been meant to apply to any one, and could not have been meant to apply to more than one, of several persons or things, evidence may be given of facts which show which of those persons or things it was intended to apply to.

Illustrations.

(a.) A agrees to sell to B, for Rs. 1,000, 'my white horse.' A has two white horses. Evidence may be given of facts which show which of them was meant.

(b.) A agrees to accompany B to Haidarábád. Evidence may be given of facts showing whether Haidarábád in the Dekkhan or Haidarábád in Sindh was meant.

Evidence as to application of language to one of two sets of facts, to neither of which the whole correctly applies.

XCVII. When the language used applies partly to one set of existing facts, and partly to another set of existing facts, but the whole of it does not apply correctly to either, evidence may be given to show to which of the two it was meant to apply.

Illustration.

A agrees to sell to B 'my land at X in the occupation of Y.' A has land at X, but not in the occupation of Y, and he has land in the occupation of Y, but it is not at X. Evidence may be given of facts showing which he meant to sell.

XCVIII. Evidence may be given to show the meaning of illegible or not commonly intelligible characters, of foreign, obsolete, technical, local, and provincial expressions, of abbreviations and of words used in a peculiar sense.

Evidence as to meaning of illegible characters, &c.

Illustration.

A, a sculptor, agrees to sell to B 'all my mods.' A has both models and modelling tools. Evidence may be given to show which he meant to sell.

Who may give evidence of agreement varying terms of document.

XCIX. Persons who are not parties to a document, or their representatives in the interest, may give evidence of any facts tending to show a contemporaneous agreement varying the terms of the document.

Illustration.

A and B make a contract in writing that B shall sell A certain cotton, to be paid for on delivery. At the same time they make an oral agreement that three months' credit shall be given to A. This could not be shown as between A and B, but it might be shown by C, if it affected his interests.

Saving of provisions of Indian Succession Act relating to wills.

C. Nothing in this chapter contained shall be taken to affect any of the provisions of the Indian Succession Act (X of 1865) as to the construction of wills.

PART III.

PRODUCTION AND EFFECT OF EVIDENCE.

CHAPTER VII.—OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF.

CI. Whoever desires any Court to give judgment as to any legal right or liability dependent on the existence of facts which he asserts, must prove that those facts exist.

Burden of proof.

When a person is bound to prove the existence of any fact, it is said that the burden of proof lies on that person.

Illustrations.

(a.) A desires a Court to give judgment that B shall be punished for a crime which A says B has committed.

A must prove that B committed the crime.

(b.) A desires a Court to give judgment that he is entitled to certain land in the possession of B, by reason of facts which he asserts, and which B denies to be true.

A must prove the existence of those facts.

On whom burden of proof lies.

CII. The burden of proof in a suit or proceeding lies on that person who would fail if no evidence at all were given on either side.

Illustrations.

(a.) A sues B for land of which B is in possession, and which, as A asserts, was left to A by the will of C, B's father.

If no evidence were given on either side, B would be entitled to retain his possession.

Therefore the burden of proof is on A.

(b.) A sues B for money due on a bond.

The execution of the bond is admitted, but B says that it was obtained by fraud, which A denies.

If no evidence were given on either side, A would succeed, as the bond is not disputed and the fraud is not proved.

Therefore the burden of proof is on B.

CIII. The burden of proof as to any particular fact lies on that person who wishes the Court to believe in its existence, unless it is provided by any law that the proof of that fact shall lie on any particular person.

Burden of proof as to particular fact.

Illustration.

(a.) A prosecutes B for theft, and wishes the Court to believe that B admitted the theft to C. A must prove the admission.

B wishes the Court to believe that, at the time in question, he was elsewhere. He must prove it.

Burden of proving fact to be proved to make evidence admissible.

CIV. The burden of proving any fact necessary to be proved, in order to enable any person to give evidence of any other fact, is on the person who wishes to give such evidence.

Illustrations.

(a.) A wishes to prove a dying declaration by B. A must prove B's death.

(b.) A wishes to prove, by secondary evidence, the contents of a lost document.

A must prove the document has been lost.

CV. When a person is accused of any offence, the burden of proving the existence of circumstances bringing the case within any of the General Exceptions in the Indian Penal Code, or within any special exception or proviso contained in any other part of the same Code, or in any law defining the offence, is upon him, and the Court shall presume the absence of such circumstances.

Burden of proving that case of accused comes within exceptions.

Illustrations.

(a.) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by reason of unsoundness of mind, he did not know the nature of the act.

The burden of proof is on A.

(b.) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by grave and sudden provocation, he was deprived of the power of self-control.

The burden of proof is on A.

(c.) Section three hundred and twenty-five of the Indian Penal Code provides that whoever, except in the case provided for by section three hundred and thirty-five, voluntarily causes grievous hurt, shall be subject to certain punishments.

A is charged with voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section three hundred and twenty-five.

The burden of proving the circumstances bringing the case under section three hundred and thirty-five lies on A.

The fact that the charge is made, is equivalent to a statement that every legal condition required by law to constitute the offence charged was fulfilled in the particular case. Code of Criminal Procedure, S. 221, cl. v.

Where a person charged with rioting sets up the exercise of the right of private defence, it is for him to contradict that plea either from the evidence for the prosecution or by evidence adduced on his own behalf. It is not for the prosecution to show that the acts charged were not done in the exercise of the right of private defence.—Omed Ali and others, Cal. H. Ct. June 1, 1877. See also Tookhun Mahato, July 24, 1877.

The plea must be supported by evidence giving a full and true account of the affair from which the charge arises. No accused person can at the same time deny committing an act and justify it. The law does not admit of justification by putting forward hypothetical cases; it must be by proof of actual facts.—*Jamsheer Sirdar*, 1 Cal. L. R., 62. But if the evidence for the prosecution shows that no offence was committed because the accused acted in exercise of his legal rights of private defence, he should not be called upon to make his defence at the trial at all.

Burden of proving fact especially within knowledge.

CVI. When any fact is especially within the knowledge of any person, the burden of proving that fact is upon him.

Illustrations.

(a.) When a person does an act with some intention other than that, which the character and circumstances of the act suggest, the burden of proving that intention is upon him.

(b.) A is charged with travelling on a railway without a ticket. The burden of proving that he had a ticket is on him.

Burden of proving death of person known to have been alive within thirty years.

CVII. When the question is whether a man is alive or dead, and it is shown that he was alive within thirty years, the burden of proving that he is dead is on the person who affirms it.

CVIII. Provided that when the question is whether a man is alive or dead, and

Burden of proving that person is alive who has not been heard of for seven years.

it is proved that he has not been heard of for seven years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been alive, the burden of proving that he is alive is on (shifted to—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 9) the person who affirms it.

CIX. When the question is whether persons are partners landlord and tenant, or

Burden of proof as to relationship in the case of partners, landlord and tenant, principal and agent.

principal and agent, and it has been shown that they have been acting as such, the burden of proving that they do not stand, or have ceased to stand to each other in those relationships respectively, is on the person who affirms it.

CX. When the question is whether any person is owner of anything of which he is shown to be in possession, the burden of proving that he is not the owner is on the person who affirms that he is not the owner.

Burden of proof as to ownership.

CXI. Where there is a question as to the good faith of a transaction between

Proof of good faith in transactions where one party is in relation of active confidence.

parties, one of whom stands to the other in a position of active confidence, the burden of proving the good faith of the transaction is on the party who is in a position of active confidence.

Illustrations.

(a.) The good faith of a sale by a client to an attorney is in question in a suit brought by the client. The burden of proving the good faith of the transaction is on the attorney.

(b.) The good faith of a sale by a son just come of age to a father is in question in a suit brought by the son. The burden of proving the good faith of the transaction is on the father.

CXII. The fact that any person was born during the continuance of a valid

Birth during marriage, conclusive proof of legitimacy.

marriage between his mother and any man, or within two hundred and eighty days after its dissolution, the mother remaining unmarried, shall be conclusive proof that he is the legitimate son of that man, unless it can be shown that the

parties to the marriage had no access to each other at any time when he could have been begotten.

CXIII. A notification in the *Gazette of India* that any portion of British territory

Proof of cession of territory.

has been ceded to any Native State, Prince or Ruler, shall be conclusive proof that a valid cession of such territory took place at the date mentioned in such notification.

CXIV. The Court may presume the existence of any fact which it thinks likely to have happened, regard being had to the common course of natural events, human conduct, and public and private business, in their relation to the facts of the particular case.

Court may presume existence of certain facts.

Illustrations.

The Court may presume—

(a.) That a man who is in possession of stolen goods soon after the theft is either the thief or has received the goods knowing them to be stolen, unless he can account for his possession :

(b.) That an accomplice is unworthy of credit, unless he is corroborated in material particulars :

(c.) That a bill of exchange, accepted or endorsed, was accepted or endorsed for good consideration :

(d.) That a thing or state of things which has been shown to be in existence within a period shorter than that within which such things or states of things usually cease to exist, is still in existence :

That judicial and official acts have been regularly performed :

(f.) That the common course of business has been followed in particular cases :

(g.) That evidence which could be, and is not, produced would, if produced, be unfavourable to the person who withholds it :

(h.) That if a man refuses to answer a question which he is not compelled to answer by law, the answer, if given, would be favourable to him :

(i.) That when a document creating an obligation is in the hands of the obligor, the obligation has been discharged.

But the Court shall also have regard to such facts as the following, in considering whether such maxims do or do not apply to the particular case before it :—

As to illustration (a)—A shop-keeper has in his till a marked rupee soon after it was stolen and cannot account for its possession specifically, but is continually receiving rupees in the course of his business :

As to illustration (b)—A, a person of the highest character, is tried for causing a man's death by an act of negligence in arranging certain machinery. B, a person of equally good character, who also took part in the arrangement, describes precisely what was done, and admits and explains the common carelessness of A and himself.

As to illustration (c)—A crime is committed by several persons. A, B, and C, three of the criminals, are captured on the spot and kept apart from each other. Each gives an account of the crime implicating D, and the accounts corroborate each other in such a manner as to render previous concert highly improbable :

As to illustration (e)—A, the drawer of a bill of exchange, was a man of business. B, the acceptor, was a young and ignorant person, completely under A's influence :

As to illustration (d)—It is proved that a river ran in a certain course five years ago, but it is known that there have been floods since that time which might change its course :

As to illustration (f)—A judicial act, the regularity of which is in question, was performed under exceptional circumstances :

As to illustration (g)—The question is, whether a letter was received. It is shown to have been posted, but the usual course of the post was interrupted by disturbances :

As to illustration (h)—A man refuses to produce a document which would bear on a contract of small importance on which he is sued, but which might also injure the feelings and reputation of his family :

As to illustration (i)—A man refuses to answer a question which he is not compelled by law to answer, but the answer to it might cause loss to him in matters unconnected with the matter in relation to which it is asked :

As to illustration (j)—A bond is in possession of the obligor, but the circumstances of the case are such that he may have stolen it.

With regard to (a) an important consideration in determining whether the particular person is the thief or the receiver is, not only the nature of the article, whether it is one capable of being readily transferred in the course of business—see proviso to (a)—but whether the possession was recent, that is, at no great interval of time after the commission of the theft.

With regard to (b), S 133 declares that an accomplice shall be a competent witness against an accused person, and a conviction is not illegal merely because it proceeds upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice. Our Courts have, however, invariably discouraged such a practice, and in appeal the High Courts have frequently set aside convictions which proceeded entirely on such uncorroborated evidence. See *Budhu Nanku*, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 475; *Jaffir Ali*, 19 W. R., 57; *Naga*, 23 W. R., 24; *Sadhu Mundul*, 21 W. R., 69; *Chanda Chandalinnee*, 24 W. R. 55. See, however, *Palavassam* and others, *Weir* 534, in which the Madras High Court (Scotland, C. J. and Phillips, J.) affirmed a conviction on the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice.

So in the case of *Nazam*, 2 Leg. Rem., 170 it was held that although it is true that a conviction is not illegal because it proceeds upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice, yet the unflinching practice of all Courts of Criminal Justice in England, as also in India, has been and always should be to require some corroboration in a material particular from a source independent of the accomplice, more particularly as to the identity of the party accused by him. It cannot for a moment be questioned that this is a sound and wholesome rule, and were any other method followed perfectly innocent persons would be placed at the mercy of an approver, who, having received a conditional pardon, would realize that his only sure means of escape would be to implicate other persons in his crime. See also *Palavasam* and others, *Weir*, 534.

The same rule was laid down by the Madras High Court in the case of *Ramasami Padayachi*, I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394 (S. C.) *Weir* 537. The Jury, in cases tried by Jury, and the Court, in cases tried with Assessors, may no doubt presume that an accomplice is unworthy of credit unless corroborated, but before acting on that presumption, the Jury or Court is required by S. 114 and the sequel in the illustration to take into consideration certain facts with the view to ascertain the probability of the story told, and the rule in the section is thus brought to coincide with the rule observed in England, that though the tainted evidence of an accomplice should be carefully scanned and received with caution, and may be treated as unworthy of credit, yet if the Jury in the one case, or the Court in the other, credits that evidence, a conviction proceeding upon it is not illegal. See *Mohim Chunder Doss*, 15 W. R. 37.

The proper course is to inform the Assessors or Jury (i) that there is no rule of law prohibiting the conviction of an offender upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice; (ii) that as a general rule of practice it is considered unsafe to convict on such evidence; (iii) and to point out any circumstances on the particular case, which, in the opinion of the Judge, afford a sufficient reason for relying upon the evidence in that case.—*Madras High Court*, Pro. March 20, 1868; 4 Mad. vii. *App.* (S. C.) *Weir* 537.

CHAPTER VIII.—ESTOPPEL.

CXV. When one person has, by his declaration, act or omission, intentionally caused or permitted another person to believe a thing to be true and to act upon such belief, neither he nor his representative, shall be allowed, in any suit or proceeding between himself and such person or his representative, to deny the truth of that thing.

Estoppel.

Illustration.

A intentionally and falsely leads B to believe that certain land belongs to A, and thereby induces B to buy and pay for it.

The land afterwards becomes the property of A, and A seeks to set aside the sale on the ground that, at the time of the sale, he had no title. He must not be allowed to prove his want of title.

CXVI. No tenant of immoveable property, or person claiming through such tenant, shall during the continuance of the tenancy, be permitted to deny that the landlord of such tenant had, at the beginning of the tenancy, a title to such immoveable property; and no person who came upon any immoveable property by the license of the person in possession thereof, shall be permitted to deny that such person had a title to such possession at the time when such license was given.

Estoppel of tenant;

And of licensee of person in possession.

CXVII. No acceptor of a bill of exchange shall be permitted to deny that the drawer had authority to draw such bill or to endorse it; nor shall any bailee or licensee be permitted to deny that his bailor or licensor had, at the time when the bailment or license commenced, authority to make such bailment or grant such license.

Explanation 1.—The acceptor of a bill of exchange may deny that the bill was really drawn by the person by whom it purports to have been drawn.

Explanation 2.—If a bailee delivers the goods bailed to a person other than the bailor, he may prove that such person had a right to them as against the bailor.

CHAPTER IX.—OF WITNESSES.

CXVIII. All persons shall be competent to testify, unless the Court considers that they are prevented from understanding the questions put to them, or from giving rational answers to those

Who may testify. questions, by tender years, extreme old age, disease, whether of body or mind, or any other cause of the same kind.

Explanation.—A lunatic is not incompetent to testify, unless he is prevented by his lunacy from understanding the questions put to him and giving rational answers to them.

A Juror or Assessor, in a Sessions trial, personally acquainted with any relevant fact, is bound to inform the Judge that such is the case, whereupon he may be sworn, examined, cross-examined and re-examined in the same manner as any other witness.—S. 294, Code of Criminal Procedure.

In the same manner a Judge can be examined in a trial before himself. See *Mookta Singh*, 13 W. R., 60 (S. C.) & B. L. R., 50 quoted in the note to S. 294 of the Code, p. 181 *ante*. But a Magistrate who is the sole judge of law and of fact is not competent to examine himself as a witness (*Per Markby, J.*); where he has done so and convicted the accused, the conviction is bad—(*Per Prinsep, J.*) The conviction can be sustained under S. 167, Evidence Act, if after rejecting that evidence, there is other evidence, which, if believed, is sufficient to support the conviction. In the matter of *Mary Donnelly*, 1 L. R., 2 Cal 405. See also *Hurro Chunder Pal*, 20 W. R., 76, quoted in the note to S. 159, of the Code, p. 92 *ante*.

A Magistrate is not competent to examine an accused person as a witness except when a pardon has been lawfully tendered to, and accepted by him under Ss. 337, 338 of the Code, or until he has been acquitted, discharged or convicted.—*Harmanta Madhoji Khadke*, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 610.

In *Reg. v. Narayan Sundar*, 5 Bomb. 1 Cr. Cr. it was held that a man, who was not at the time he was examined, charged with the accused and upon his trial, although he had been apprehended, was by law a competent witness. In *Karim Bakhsh*, 1 L. R., 2 All., 387 the question was raised whether a man who had been acquitted but re-arrested on an appeal made by Government against that acquittal was, after that re-arrest, a competent witness in the trial of another concerned in the same offence. *SPRATT, C. J.* held that under such circumstances it cannot be said that the statement was given under duress, that is, while he was under illegal restraint or arrest, as the witness was simply by means of his arrest in safe custody for the purposes of the appeal of Government and legally in such custody. The learned Chief Justice accordingly held that the evidence so given was admissible. *SPANKIE, J.*, however doubted, but held that it was evidence upon which no Court would place much reliance.

CXIX. A witness who is unable to speak may give his evidence in any other manner in which he can make it intelligible, as by writing

Dumb witnesses. or by signs; but such writing must be written and the signs made in open Court. Evidence so given shall be deemed to be oral evidence.

Parties to civil suit, and their wives or husbands.

Husband or wife of person under criminal trial.

CXX. In all civil proceedings the parties to the suit, and the husband or wife of any party to the suit, shall be competent witnesses. In criminal proceedings against any person, the husband or wife of such person, respectively, shall be a competent witness.

CXXI. No Judge or Magistrate shall, except upon the special order of some Court to which he is subordinate, be compelled to answer any questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Judge or Magistrate, or as to anything which came to his knowledge in Court as such Judge or Magistrate; but he may be examined as to other matters which occurred in his presence whilst he was so acting.

Illustration.

(a.) A on his trial before the Court of Session, says that a deposition was improperly taken by B, the Magistrate. B cannot be compelled to answer questions as to this, except upon the special order of a superior Court.

(b.) A is accused before the Court of Session of having given false evidence before B, a Magistrate. B cannot be asked what A said except upon the special order of the superior Court.

(c.) A is accused before the Court of Session of attempting to murder a Police officer whilst on his trial before B, a Sessions Judge. B may be examined as to what occurred.

It is the privilege of the witness of whom the question is asked. If he waives it, it does not lie in the mouth of any other person to assert it.—*Cheddu and others. Per Stuart, C. J., Pearson, Oldfield, Straight, JJ., (Spanking, J. dis.) 2 Leg. Rem., 34, (S. C.) 1 L. R., 3 All., 578.*

CXXII. No person who is or has been married shall be compelled to disclose any communication made to him during marriage by any person to whom he is or has been married; nor shall he be permitted to disclose any such communication, unless the person who made it, or his representative in interest, consents, except in suits between married persons, or proceedings in which one married person is prosecuted for any crime committed against the other.

CXXIII. No one shall be permitted to give any evidence derived from unpublished official records relating to any affairs of State, except with the permission of the officer at the head of the department concerned, who shall give or withhold such permission as he thinks fit.

CXXIV. No public officer shall be compelled to disclose communications made to him in official confidence, when he considers that the public interests would suffer by disclosure.

CXXV. No Magistrate or Police officer shall be compelled to say whence he got any information as to the commission of any offence.

CXXVI. No barrister, attorney, pleader or vakil, shall at any time be permitted, unless with his client's express consent, to disclose any communication made to him in the course and for the purpose of his employment as such barrister, pleader, attorney or vakil, by or on behalf of his client, or to state the contents or condition of any document with which he has become acquainted in the course and for the purpose of his professional employment, or to disclose any advice given by him to his client in the course and for the purpose of such employment:

Provided that nothing in this section shall protect from disclosure—

(1) Any such communication made in furtherance of any criminal (*illegal* substituted for the word "criminal,"—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 10.) purpose;

(2) Any fact observed by any barrister, pleader, attorney or vakil, in the course of his employment as such, showing that any crime or fraud has been committed since the commencement of his employment.

It is immaterial whether the attention of such barrister (*pleader*,—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 10.) attorney or vakil was or was not directed to such fact by or on behalf of his client.

Explanation.—The obligation stated in this section continues after the employment has ceased.

Illustrations.

(a) A, a client, says to B, an attorney—"I have committed forgery, and I wish you to defend me.

As the defence of a man known to be guilty is not a criminal purpose, this communication is protected from disclosure.

(b) A, a client, says to B, an attorney—"I wish to obtain possession of property by the use of a forged deed on which I request you to sue."

This communication, being made in furtherance of a criminal purpose, is not protected from disclosure.

(c) A, being charged with embezzlement, retains B, an attorney, to defend him. In the course of the proceedings, B observes that an entry has been made in A's account book, charging A with the sum said to have been embezzled, which entry was not in the book at the commencement of his employment.

This being a fact observed by B in the course of his employment, showing that a fraud has been committed since the commencement of the proceedings, it is not protected from disclosure.

CXXVII. The provisions of section one hundred and twenty-six shall apply to Section 126 to apply to interpreters, and the clerks or servants of barristers, pleaders, interpreters, &c. attorneys and vakils.

CXXVIII. If any party to a suit gives evidence therein at his own instance or otherwise, he shall not be deemed to have consented thereby to such disclosure as is mentioned in section one hundred and twenty-six; and if any party to a suit or proceeding calls any such barrister (*pleader*,—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 10), attorney or vakil as a witness, he shall be deemed to have consented to such disclosure only if he questions such barrister, attorney or vakil on matters which, but for such question, he would not be at liberty to disclose.

CXXIX. No one shall be compelled to disclose to the Court any confidential communication which has taken place between him and his legal professional adviser, unless he offers himself as a witness, in which case he may be compelled to disclose any such communications as may appear to the Court necessary to be known in order to explain any evidence which he has given, but no others.

CXXX. No witness who is not a party to a suit shall be compelled to produce his title-deeds to any property, or any document in virtue of which he holds any property as pledgee or mortgagee, or any document the production of which might tend to criminate him, unless he has agreed in writing to produce them with the person seeking the production of such deeds or some person through whom he claims.

CXXXI. No one shall be compelled to produce documents in his possession, which any other person would be entitled to refuse to produce if they were in his possession, unless such last mentioned person consents to their production.

CXXXII. A witness shall not be excused from answering any question as to any matter relevant to the matter in issue in any suit or in any civil or criminal proceeding, upon the ground that the answer to such question will criminate, or may tend directly or indirectly to criminate, such witness, or that it will expose, or tend directly or indirectly to expose, such witness to a penalty or forfeiture of any kind:

Provided that no such answer, which a witness shall be compelled to give, shall subject him to any arrest or prosecution, or be proved against him in any criminal proceeding, except a prosecution for giving false evidence by such answer.

Ss. 161, 175 of the Code of Criminal Procedure declare that all persons who are supposed to be acquainted with the facts and circumstances of a criminal case or the cause of the sudden or unnatural death of any person, shall be bound to answer truly all questions put to them by the investigating Police officer (other than questions which may criminate them).

If a witness does not desire to have his answer used against him in a subsequent criminal charge, he must object to answer, although he may know beforehand, that such objection, if the answer is relevant, is perfectly futile, so far as his duty to answer is concerned and must be overruled. *Gopal Das, I. L. R., 3 Mad., 271 (S. C.)* *Wear 517 Per Turner, C. J., Innes, Kindersley, J.J., Kernan, Muttassami Ayyar, J.J., diss.* The dissenting Judges held that S. 182 abolishes the privilege and confers an obligation on a witness to answer every question material to the issue, whether the answer criminate him or not, and gives him a right as correlated to that duty to claim that that answer shall not be admitted as evidence against him in any criminal prosecution.

See the case of *Seaman v. Netherliff*, 2 C. P. D., 53 for the rule as to how far a witness is protected from proceedings in consequence of what he said while under examination. He is protected as to what he said having reference to the inquiry being held and may volunteer statements to explain imputations on his character and credibility arising from an imperfect answer to a question asked. "Malice is no element in such a case, unless it be shown that the statement was made not in the course of giving evidence and therefore not in the character of witness." *Per Cockburn, C. J.*

CXXXIII. An accomplice shall be a competent witness against an accused person, and a conviction is not illegal merely because it proceeds upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice.

Accomplice.

Where a witness admits that he was cognizant of the crime to which he testifies, and that he took no means to prevent or disclose it, his evidence must be considered as no better than that of an accomplice.—*Chanda Chandalin and others* 24 W. R., 55.

The evidence of an accomplice in Criminal cases would be generally that of a person supposed to be directly or indirectly concerned in, or privy to, an offence triable exclusively by the Court of Session or High Court then under inquiry, or committed for trial in which he had accepted a pardon tendered by certain Magistrates or by the Sessions or High Court.—*Ss. 337, 338, Code of Criminal Procedure.* The confession of a person under trial for the same offence jointly with others can be taken into consideration against his fellow prisoner if it affects himself and those persons.—*S. 30 ante*; but as pointed out by Phear, J., such confession would not stand as high as even the evidence of an accomplice.—*Nagu and others*, 23 W. R., 24.

With respect to the evidence of an accomplice, the Court, having regard to the common course of natural events, or human conduct, may presume that it is unworthy of credit unless corroborated in material particulars, but where a crime is committed by several persons, and three of them are captured on the spot and are kept apart from each other, if each gives an account of the crime implicating a fourth person, and these accounts corroborate each other in such a manner as to render previous concert highly improbable, the Court may take this into consideration in considering the value of that evidence.—*S. 114 ante*, Illustration (b).

The following cases contain the substance of the leading cases on this subject. (See also notes to *Ss. 114, 337 of the Code*).

The evidence should not be left to a Jury without such directions and observations from the Judge as the circumstances of the case may require.

If a Judge in a criminal trial were to tell the Jury that in his opinion the evidence was sufficient to justify them in finding the prisoner guilty, in a case in which, if the Judge had been trying the case with Assessors the High Court would on appeal have reversed his judgment if upon the same evidence he had convicted the prisoner, then no doubt the Court ought on appeal, to set aside a verdict of guilty found by the Jury notwithstanding the advice was merely as to the weight of evidence.

So, if a Judge, instead of advising a Jury not to convict upon the mere uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice, were to advise them to convict upon such evidence, or were to tell them that the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice given under a tender of pardon was admissible, and that it was for them alone to form their opinion upon it, that a conviction founded upon such evidence would be legal, and that such evidence without corroboration might be acted upon with as much safety as that of any other witness, the error in the direction would form a good ground of appeal.—*Elahoe Bukkeh*, 5 W. R., 80; (*S. C.*) *B. L. R.*, Sup. Vol. (*F. B.*) 459. *Per Peacock, C. J.* (*Kemp and Phear, JJ.* concurring).

The law and practice of our Courts were thus summed up by Phear, J. (*Morris, J.* concurring) in the case of *Sadhu Mundul*, 21 W. R., 69:—"The result seems to be that the Legislature has laid it down as a measure or rule of evidence resting on human experience that an accomplice is unworthy of credit against an accused person, i. e., so far as his testimony implicates an accused person, unless he is corroborated in material particulars in respect to that person; that it is the duty of the Court which in any particular case has to deal with an accomplice's testimony to consider whether this maxim applies to exclude that testimony or not; in other words, to consider whether the requisite corroboration is furnished by other evidence or facts proved in the case, though, at the same time, the Court may rightly, in exceptional cases, notwithstanding this maxim, and in the absence of this corroboration, give credit to the accomplice's testimony against the accused, if it sees good reason for doing so upon ground other than, so to speak, the personal corroboration.

"Now in a case tried by Jury, it is the function of the Jury to ascertain the facts upon the evidence before them, and for that purpose to be guided by the law which is applicable, and it is in all cases the duty of the Judge to point out to them that law. It is therefore the duty of the Judge to lay before the Jury substantially, to the effect just set out, the principles relative to the reception of an accomplice's testimony which the Legislature has sanctioned by the Indian Evidence Act: and the Judge was wrong in telling the Jury that this case was one in which no caution or instruction from him was needed on this head. It is, in all cases in which an accomplice's testimony is admitted, incumbent on the Judge to inform the Jury of the results of the law bearing on this point substantially as we have just endeavoured to explain it."

It is not sufficient simply to tell a Jury to consider whether the evidence of an accomplice was strongly corroborated as to the prisoners, as that would be to ask them to consider a question which, it is certain, no native Jury in the mofussil would understand. It is the duty of the Judge

to go through the history of the crime as related by the accomplice, to point out any independent evidence proving facts showing that the prisoners were, or must have been, present at or cognizant of, the murder. If such facts are proved they would corroborate the story of the accomplice. But it would not be enough that the evidence should disclose a state of facts consistent with the possibility of the truth of the accomplice's story. If the state of facts proved is *equally consistent with*, and capable of receiving, a reasonable and natural explanation on the hypothesis of the prisoner's innocence, *those facts, standing alone, would be no evidence of the truth of the accomplice's story.*—Karoo and others, 6 W. R., 44; see also Bykunto Nath Banerjee, 10 W. R., 17; (S. C.) 2 B. L. R. 3, Full Bench.

If, however, notwithstanding being probably directed in this respect, the Jury convict on the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice, there is no error of law on which an appeal will lie to the High Court.—Nidheeram Bagdee and others, 18 W. R., 45; Ramasami Padayachi, 1 L. R., 1 Mad. 394. (S. C.) Weir 537. See also Palavasan and others, Weir 534, where a conviction in a trial with Assessors was affirmed, but the Calcutta High Court in such cases has generally held that it is not safe to rely upon uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice and has therefore acquitted.—See Luchmee Prashad, 19 W. R., 43, Udhan Bind and others, *Ibid.* 68; See also Budhu Nanku and others, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 475.

The Bombay High Court, on the authority of the case of Reg. v. Stubbs (2 Law J. Mag. Cas., 16), has held that the omission on the part of a Sessions Judge to tell the Jury that they should require corroboration before convicting a prisoner on the evidence of an accomplice, is not an error in law, as it is competent to convict on the uncorroborated evidence of an accomplice, though it is contrary to all practice.—Ganu bin Dhareji, 6 Bomb., 57, *Crown Cases*. See also Imam valad Khan, 3 *Id.* 57; Budhu Nanku, 1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 475.

As regards the amount of corroboration required to support the evidence of approvers, Norman, J. remarked:—"It is sufficient if the evidence is confirmatory of *some of the leading circumstances* of the story of the approvers as against the particular prisoner, so that the Court may be able to presume that they have told the truth as to the rest. The true rule on the subject of the corroboration of the evidence of approvers probably is, that if the Court is satisfied that the witness is speaking the truth in some material part of his testimony, in which it is seen that he is confirmed by unimpeachable evidence, there may be just ground for believing that he also speaks truth in other parts as to which there may be no confirmation."—Kalachand Dass, 11 W. R., 21.

There should be corroboration such as adds to the approver's evidence against the particular prisoner, and this is not complied with when there is no evidence apart from that of the accomplice which identifies the prisoner with the commission of the offence with which he is charged; nothing which distinctly goes to prove that he was in any way connected with the commission of the principal offences. Facts which do not show the connection of the prisoner with the commission of the offence with which he is charged are no corroboration in the sense in which the word is used in such cases, although they may tend to show that certain portions of what the accomplice says are true.—Nowab Jan, 8 W. R., 19 (*see p. 25*) per Macpherson, J.

So in the case of Bykunto Nath Banerjee, 3 B. L. R., 2 (F. B.) *Footnote*, it was laid down that before the evidence of an accomplice can be safely depended upon so far as it affects the prisoner, it ought to be corroborated—that is, that other evidence from sources independent of the approver, should be forthcoming relative to facts which implicate the prisoner in the same way as the story of the approver does. See also Mohesh Bhowas, 19 W. R., 16.

In the case of Reg. v. Chatur Purshotam, (1 L. R., 1 Bomb., 476 *Footnote*) the rule laid down by Lord Ellenborough in the trial of Colonel Despard (28 State Trials, 246) was followed. It was there held that "not only as to persons spoken of by an accomplice must there be corroborative evidence, but, what is more important still, as to the *corpus delicti* there must be some *prima facie* evidence pointing the same way to make the evidence of an accomplice satisfactory. As has been recognized in many cases, the man who charges another with the commission of a crime, in which he is himself implicated, requires corroboration as to the particular person but still more as to the existence itself of any crime, or the particular crime, from the penalty of which he is made free on the understanding that his testimony will be valuable for the prosecution."

S. 30 of the Evidence Act enables a Court to take into consideration a confession made by an accused person affecting himself and another person as well as against himself. See note to S. 30 *ante*. Such a confession is, however, no proper legal corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice.—Malapa bin Hapana and others; 1 Bomb., 196; Jafir Ali, 19 W. R., 57, &c. &c.

CXXXIV. No particular number of witnesses shall in any case be required for the proof of any fact.

The evidence of a complainant even if uncorroborated is sufficient for the conviction of the accused person.—*In re Kulum Mundal*, 22 W. R., 32.

CHAPTER X.—OF THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

CXXXV. The order in which witnesses are produced and examined shall be regulated by the law and practice for the time being relating to civil and criminal procedure respectively, and, in the absence of any such law, by the discretion of the Court.

Order of production and examination of witnesses.

CXXXVI. When either party proposes to give evidence of any fact, the Judge may ask the party proposing to give the evidence in what manner the alleged fact, if proved, would be relevant; and the Judge shall admit the evidence if he thinks that the fact, if proved, would be relevant, and not otherwise.

Judge to decide as to admissibility of evidence.

If the fact proposed to be proved is one of which evidence is admissible only upon proof of some other fact, such last mentioned fact must be proved before evidence is given of the fact first mentioned, unless the party undertakes to give proof of such fact and the Court is satisfied with such undertaking.

If the relevancy of one alleged fact depends upon another alleged fact being first proved, the Judge may, in his discretion, either permit evidence of the first fact to be given before the second fact is proved, or require evidence to be given of the second fact before evidence is given of the first fact.

Illustrations.

(a.) It is proposed to prove a statement about a relevant fact by a person alleged to be dead, which statement is relevant under section thirty-two.

The fact that the person is dead must be proved by the person proposing to prove the statement before evidence is given of the statement.

(b.) It is proposed to prove, by a copy, the contents of a document said to be lost.

The fact that the original is lost must be proved by the person proposing to produce the copy, before the copy is produced.

(c.) A is accused of receiving stolen property, knowing it to have been stolen.

It is proposed to prove that he denied the possession of the property.

The relevancy of the denial depends on the identity of the property. The Court may, in its discretion, either require the property to be identified before the denial of the possession is proved, or permit the denial of the possession to be proved before the property is identified.

(d.) It is proposed to prove a fact (A) which is said to have been the cause or effect of a fact in issue. There are several intermediate facts (B, C, and D) which must be shown to exist before the fact (A) can be regarded as the cause or effect of the fact in issue. The Court may either permit A to be proved before B, C, or D is proved, or may require proof of B, C, and D before permitting proof of A.

Examination-in-chief.

CXXXVII. The examination of a witness by the party who calls him shall be called his examination-in-chief.

Cross examination.

The examination of a witness, by the adverse party shall be called his cross-examination.

Re-examination.

The examination of a witness, subsequent to the cross-examination by the party who called him, shall be called his

re-examination.

*Order of examinations.
Direction of re-examination.*

CXXXVIII. Witnesses shall be first examined-in-chief then (if the adverse party so desires) cross-examined, then (if the party calling him so desires) re-examined.

The examination and cross-examination must relate to relevant facts, but the cross-examination need not be confined to the facts to which the witness testified on his examination-in-chief.

The re-examination shall be directed to the explanation of matters referred to in cross-examination; and if new matter is, by permission of the Court, introduced in re-examination, the adverse party may further cross-examine upon that matter.

The Court cannot refuse to allow a witness to be cross-examined who has been called by itself.—*Griah Chunder Talookdar*, 5 Cal. L. R., 361; (S. C.) 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 614.

A witness should be allowed on cross-examination to qualify or correct any statement made by him on his examination-in-chief. If he is not so allowed on pain of being convicted of perjury

out of his own mouth, the result will be that a man who has through carelessness made an inaccurate, or through partiality made an exaggerated statement, will be driven to stick to it, and thus the object of cross-examination will be defeated.—Tulsi Dasadh, 18 W. R., 57.

Cross-examination of person called to produce a document.

CXXXIX. A person summoned to produce a document does not become a witness by the mere fact that he produces it, and cannot be cross-examined unless and until he is called as a witness.

Witnesses to character.

CXL. Witnesses to character may be cross-examined and re-examined.

CXLI. Any question suggesting the answer which the person putting it wishes Leading questions. or expects to receive, is called a leading question.

CXLII. Leading questions must not, if objected to by the adverse party, be asked in an examination-in-chief, or in a re-examination, except with the permission of the Court. When they must not be asked.

The Court shall permit leading questions as to matters which are introductory or undisputed, or which have, in its opinion, been already sufficiently proved.

CXLIII. Leading questions may be asked in cross-examination. When they may be asked.

CXLIV. Any witness may be asked, whilst under examination, whether any Evidence as to matters in writing. contract, grant or other disposition of property, as to which he is giving evidence was not contained in a document; and if he says that it was, or if he is about to make any statement as to the contents of any document, which, in the opinion of the Court, ought to be produced, the adverse party may object to such evidence being given until such document is produced, or until facts have been proved which entitle the party who calls the witness to give secondary evidence of it.

Explanation.—A witness may give oral evidence of statements made by other persons about the contents of documents if such statements are in themselves relevant facts.

Illustration.

The question is whether A assaulted B.

C deposes that he heard A say to D—"B wrote a letter accusing me of theft, and I will be revenged on him." This statement is relevant, as showing A's motive for the assault, and evidence may be given of it, though no other evidence is given about the letter.

CXLV. A witness may be cross-examined as to previous statements made by him in writing or reduced into writing, and relevant to matters in question, without such writing being shown to him or being proved; but if it is intended to contradict him by the writing, his attention must, before the writing can be proved, be called to those parts of it which are to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. Cross-examination as to previous statements in writing.

This is not that the witness is to be allowed to study his former statement and frame his answers accordingly, but that if his answers have differed from his previous statements reduced to writing, and the contradiction is intended to be used as evidence in the case, the witness must be allowed an opportunity of explaining or reconciling his statements, if he can do so; and if this opportunity is not given him, the contradictory writing cannot be placed on the record as evidence. A witness may, therefore, be cross-examined as to a previous statement made by him and reduced to writing without showing the writing.—Tupsee Koor, 15 W. R., 23.

Questions lawful in cross-examination.

CXLVI. When a witness is cross-examined, he may, in addition to the questions hereinbefore referred to, be asked any questions which tend

- (1) to test his veracity;
- (2) to discover who he is and what is his position in life; or
- (3) to shake his credit, by injuring his character, although the answer to such

questions might tend directly or indirectly to criminate him, or might expose or tend directly or indirectly to expose him to a penalty or forfeiture.

No inference is to be drawn from not putting questions in cross-examination.—*Madho Rao Ohinta Pant Golay*, Feb. 7, 1873, *per Parke, B.*; 5 W. R., 33, Privy Council Cases.

When witness to be compelled to answer.

CXLVII. If any such question relates to a matter relevant to the suit or proceeding, the provisions of section one hundred and thirty-two shall apply thereto.

CXLVIII. If any Court to decide when question shall be asked and when witness compelled to answer.

or proceeding, except in so far as it affects the credit of the witness by injuring his character, the Court shall decide whether or not the witness shall be compelled to answer it, and may, if it thinks fit, warn the witness that he is not obliged to answer it. In exercising its discretion, the Court shall have regard to the following considerations:—

(1.) Such questions are proper if they are of such a nature that the truth of the imputation conveyed by them would seriously affect the opinion of the Court as to the credibility of the witness on the matter to which he testifies:

(2.) Such questions are improper if the imputation which they convey relates to matters so remote in time, or of such a character, that the truth of the imputation would not affect, or would affect in a slight degree, the opinion of the Court as to the credibility of the witness on the matter which he testifies:

(3.) Such questions are improper if there is a great disproportion between the importance of the imputation made against the witness's character and the importance of his evidence:

(4.) The Court may, if it sees fit, draw from the witness's refusal to answer the inference that the answer if given would be unfavourable.

CXLIX. No such question as is referred to in section one hundred and forty-eight ought to be asked, unless the person asking it has reasonable grounds for thinking that the imputation which it conveys is well-founded.

Question not to be asked without reasonable grounds.

Illustrations.

(a.) A barrister is instructed by an attorney or vakil that an important witness is a *dākhīl*. This is a reasonable ground for asking the witness whether he is a *dākhīl*.

(b.) A pleader is informed by a person in Court that an important witness is a *dākhīl*. The informant, on being questioned by the pleader, gives satisfactory reasons for his statement. This is a reasonable ground for asking the witness whether he is a *dākhīl*.

(c.) A witness, of whom nothing whatever is known, is asked at random whether he is a *dākhīl*. There are here no reasonable grounds for the question.

(d.) A witness, of whom nothing whatever is known, being questioned as to his mode of life and means of living, gives unsatisfactory answers. This may be a reasonable ground for asking him if he is a *dākhīl*.

CL. If the Court is of opinion that any such question was asked without reasonable grounds, it may, if it was asked by any barrister, pleader, vakil or attorney, report the circumstances of the case to the High Court or other authority to which such barrister, pleader, vakil or attorney is subject in the exercise of his profession.

Procedure of Court in case of question being asked without reasonable grounds.

CLI. The Court may forbid any questions or inquiries which it regards as indecent or scandalous, although such questions or inquiries may have some bearing on the questions before the Court, unless they relate to facts in issue or to matters necessary to be known in order to determine whether or not the facts in issue existed.

Indecent and scandalous questions.

CLII. The Court shall forbid any question which appears to it to be intended to insult or annoy, or which, though proper in itself, appears to the Court needlessly offensive in form.

CLIII. When a witness has been asked and has answered any question which is relevant to the inquiry, only in so far as it tends to shake his credit by injuring his character, no evidence shall be given to contradict him; but if he answers falsely, he may afterwards be charged with giving false evidence.

Exception 1.—If a witness is asked whether he has been previously convicted of any crime and denies it, evidence may be given of his previous conviction.

[As to the manner in which a previous conviction may be proved, see Code of Criminal Procedure, S. 403.]

Exception 2.—If a witness is asked any question tending to impeach his impartiality, and answers it by denying facts suggested, he may be contradicted.

Illustrations.

(a.) A claim against an underwriter is resisted on the ground of fraud.

The claimant is asked whether, in a former transaction, he has not made a fraudulent claim. He denies it.

Evidence is offered to show that he did make such a claim.

The evidence is inadmissible.

(b.) A witness is asked whether he was not dismissed from a situation for dishonesty. He denies it.

Evidence is offered to show that he was dismissed for dishonesty.

The evidence is not admissible.

(c.) A affirms that on a certain day he saw B at Lahore.

A is asked whether he himself was not on that day at Calcutta. He denies it.

Evidence is offered to show that A was on that day at Calcutta.

The evidence is admissible, not as contradicting A on a fact which affects his credit, but as contradicting the alleged fact that B was seen on the day in question in Lahore.

In each of these cases the witness might, if his denial was false, be charged with giving false evidence.

(d.) A is asked whether his family has not had a blood feud with the family of B against whom he gives evidence.

He denies it. He may be contradicted on the ground that the question tends to impeach his impartiality.

CLIV. The Court may, in its discretion, permit the person who calls a witness to put any questions to him which might be put in cross-examination by the adverse party.

CLV. The credit of a witness may be impeached in the following ways by the adverse party, or, with the consent of the Court, by the party who calls him:—

(1.) By the evidence of persons who testify that they, from their knowledge of the witness, believe him to be unworthy of credit;

(2.) By proof that the witness has been bribed, or has had (accepted—substituted for “had”—Act XVIII, 1872, S. 11) the offer of a bribe, or has received any other corrupt inducement to give his evidence;

(3.) By proof of a former statement inconsistent with any part of his evidence which is liable to be contradicted;

[See *Tupacoe Koor*, 15 W. R., 23 quoted in note to S. 145 ante.]

(4.) When a man is prosecuted for rape or an attempt to ravish, it may be shown that the prosecutrix was of generally immoral character.

Explanation.—A witness declaring another witness to be unworthy of credit may not, upon his examination-in-chief, give reasons for his belief, but he may be asked his reasons in cross-examination, and the answers which he gives cannot be contradicted, though, if they are false, he may afterwards be charged with giving false evidence.

Illustrations.

(a.) A sues B for the price of goods sold and delivered to B.

C says that he delivered the goods to B.

Evidence is offered to show that, on a previous occasion, he said that he had not delivered the goods to B.

The evidence is admissible.

(b.) A is indicted for the murder of B.

C says that B, when dying, declared that A had given B the wound of which he died.

Evidence is offered to show that, on a previous occasion, C said that the wound was not given by A or in his presence.

The evidence is admissible.

CLVI. When a witness whom it is intended to corroborate gives evidence of any relevant fact, he may be questioned as to any other circumstances which he observed at or near to the time or place at which such relevant fact occurred, if the Court is of opinion that such circumstances, if proved, would corroborate the testimony of the witness as to the relevant fact which he testifies.

Questions tending to corroborate evidence of relevant fact, admissible.

Illustrations.

A, an accomplice, gives an account of a robbery in which he took part. He describes various incidents unconnected with the robbery which occurred on his way to and from the place where it was committed.

Independent evidence of these facts may be given in order to corroborate his evidence as to the robbery itself.

CLVII. In order to corroborate the testimony of a witness, any former statement made by such witness relating to the same fact, at or about the time when the fact took place, or before any authority legally competent to investigate the fact, may be proved.

Former statements of witness may be proved to corroborate later testimony as to same fact.

CLVIII. Whenever any statement, relevant under section thirty-two or thirty-three, is proved, all matters may be proved, either in order to contradict or to corroborate it, or in order to impeach or confirm the credit of the person by whom it was made, which might have been proved if that person had been called as a witness and had denied upon cross-examination the truth of the matter suggested.

What matters may be proved in connection with proved statement relevant under section 32 or 33.

CLIX. A witness may, while under examination, refresh his memory by referring to any writing made by himself at the time of the transaction concerning which he is questioned, or so soon afterwards that the Court considers likely that the transaction was at that time fresh in his memory.

Refreshing memory.

The witness may also refer to any such writing made by any other person and read by the witness within the time aforesaid, if when he read it he knew it to be correct.

Whenever a witness may refresh his memory by reference to any document, he may, with the permission of the Court refer to a copy of such document: Provided the Court be satisfied that there is sufficient reason for the non-production of the original.

When witness may use copy of document to refresh memory.

An expert may refresh his memory by reference to professional treatises.

A witness cannot be compelled to refresh his memory from any document unless it is in the possession of the party who desires to put it to the witness, or is, at least, such as he can insist on having it produced. A Police Diary is a document which an accused is not entitled to call for (S. 172, Code of Criminal Procedure) and he entitled to require that it shall be referred to for the purpose of refreshing the memory of a Police officer.—*In re Kali Churn Chumari*, 10 Cal. L. R., 51; (S. C.) I. L. R., 8 Cal., 156. Nor is the Sessions Judge bound to compel a witness to look at a diary in order to refresh his memory. It is wholly within the discretion of the witness whether he should do so, or not.—*Jhubboo Mahaton*, I. L. R., 8 Cal. 789. (S. C.) 13 Cal. L. R., 223.

CLX. A witness may also testify to facts mentioned in any such document as is mentioned in section one hundred and fifty-nine, although he has no specific recollection of the facts themselves, if he is sure that the facts were correctly recorded in the document.

Illustration.

A book-keeper may testify to facts recorded by him in books regularly kept in the course of business, if he knows that the books were correctly kept, although he has forgotten the particular transactions entered.

CLXI. Any writing referred to under the provisions of the two last preceding sections must be produced and shown to the adverse party if he requires it; such party may, if he pleases, cross-examine the witness thereupon.

Right of adverse party as to writing used to refresh memory.

The grounds upon which the opposite side is permitted to inspect a writing and to refresh the memory of a witness are (i.) to secure the full benefit of the witness's recollection as to the whole of the facts: (ii.) to check the use of improper documents: (iii.) to compare his oral testimony with the written statement. The opposite party may look at the writing to see what kind of writing it is, in order to check the use of improper documents; but it is doubtful whether he is entitled except for this particular purpose to question the witness as to other and independent matters contained in the same series of writings. At this particular stage of the proceedings at which the prisoners' Counsel asked to see what he called the diary, by which it is presumed he meant the whole series of writing containing the statement of all prisoners examined by the Police officer, he was not entitled to exercise the right claimed in the particular way claimed by him.—*Jhubboo Mahaton*, I. L. R., 8 Cal. L. R., 739; (S. C.) 12 Cal. L. R., 233, *per* Field J. See S. 39 *ante*.

CLXII. A witness summoned to produce a document shall, if it is in his possession or power, bring it to Court, notwithstanding any objection which there may be to its production or to its admissibility. The validity of any such objection shall be decided on by the Court.

The Court, if it sees fit, may inspect the document, unless it refers to matters of State, or take other evidence to enable it to determine on its admissibility.

If for such a purpose it is necessary to cause any document to be translated, the Court may, if it thinks fit, direct the translator to keep the contents secret unless the document is to be given in evidence: and if the interpreter disobeys such direction, he shall be held to have committed an offence under section one hundred and sixty-six of the Indian Penal Code.

CLXIII. When a party calls for a document which he has given the other party notice to produce, and such document is produced and inspected by the party calling for its production, he is bound to give it as evidence if the party producing it requires him to do so.

CLXIV. When a party refuses to produce a document which he has had notice to produce, he cannot afterwards use the document as evidence without the consent of the other party or the order of the Court.

Illustration.

A sues B on an agreement and gives B notice to produce it. At the trial A calls for the document and B refuses to produce it. A gives secondary evidence of its contents. B seeks to produce the document itself to contradict the secondary evidence given by A, or in order to show that the agreement is not stamped. He cannot do so.

CLXV. The Judge may, in order to discover or to obtain proper proof of relevant facts, ask any question he pleases, in any form, at any time, of any witness, or of the parties, about any fact relevant or irrelevant; and may order the production of any document or thing: and neither the parties nor their

Judge's power to put questions or order production.

agents shall be entitled to make any objection to any such question or order, nor, without the leave of the Court, to cross-examine any witness upon any answer given in reply to any such question :

Provided that the judgment must be based upon facts declared by this Act to be relevant, and duly proved :

Provided also this section shall not authorize any Judge to compel any witness to answer any question, or to produce any document which such witness would be entitled to refuse to answer or produce under sections one hundred and twenty-one to one hundred and thirty-one, both inclusive, if the question were asked or the document were called for by the adverse party ; nor shall the Judge ask any question which it would be improper for any other person to ask under section one hundred and forty-eight or one hundred and forty-nine ; nor shall he dispense with primary evidence of any document, except in the cases hereinbefore excepted.

S. 540 further provides that :—

Any Court may, at any stage of any inquiry, trial, or other proceeding under this Code, summon any person as a witness, or examine any person in attendance, though not summoned as a witness, or recall and re-examine any person already examined ; and the Court shall summon and examine, or recall and re-examine, any such person, if his evidence appears to it essential to the just decision of the case.

The term "Judge" in S. 165 would not ordinarily include a Magistrate, and it is not specially defined by this Act or by the "General Clauses Act" (1, 1868). "Court," however, is defined by S. 3, *ante*, to "include all Magistrates," so that probably S. 165 would be held to apply to proceedings before a Magistrate.

On the examination-in-chief being finished, the Sessions Judge questioned almost all the witnesses at considerable length upon the very points to which he must have known that the cross-examination would certainly and properly be directed. The result of this was to render the cross-examination of the Pleader to a great extent ineffective by assisting the witnesses to explain away in anticipation the points which may have afforded proper ground for useful cross-examination. It is not the province of a Court to examine the witnesses unless the Pleaders on either side have omitted to put some material further question : the Court should as a rule leave the witnesses to be dealt with by the Pleaders as laid down in S. 138.—Noor Bux Kazi, 7 Cal. L. R., 385 : (S. C.) 1 L. R., 6 Cal., 279.

Where the Sessions Judge thinks it necessary to call one of the witnesses for the prosecution examined before the Magistrate but not called on the part of the prosecution, for the purpose of eliciting some facts which he considered necessary for the prosecution, the prisoner ought to be allowed an opportunity of putting any questions he thinks proper in cross-examination.—Grish Chunder Talukdar, 1 L. R., 5 Cal., 614 : (S. C.) 5 Cal., L. R., 364.

When the Counsel for the prisoner has examined or declined to cross-examine a witness, and the Court afterwards of its own motion examined him, the witness cannot then, without the permission of the Court, be subjected to cross-examination. When after the examination of a witness by the complainant and the defendant the Court takes him in hand, he is put under special pressure, as the Judge is empowered to ask any question he pleases in any form about any fact relevant or irrelevant, S. 165 ; and he is therefore at the same time placed under the special protection of the Court, which may, at its discretion, allow a party to cross-examine him, but this cannot be asked for as a matter of right.

The principle applies equally whether it is intended to direct the examination to the witness's statements of fact, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his credit tends, or is so designed, to get rid of the effect of all his answers and of each of them just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or a contradiction. It is then a cross-examination upon answers, upon every answer given to the Court, and is therefore subject to the Court's control.—Sakharam Makundji, 1 Bomb., 166.

CLXVI. In cases tried by jury or with assessors, the jury or assessors may put

Power of jury or assessors to put questions.

any questions to the witnesses, through or by leave of the Judge, which the Judge himself might put and which he considers proper.

CHAPTER XI.—OF IMPROPER ADMISSION AND REJECTION OF EVIDENCE.

CLXVII. The improper admission or rejection of evidence shall not be ground

No new trial for improper admission or rejection of evidence.

of itself for a new trial or reversal of any decision in any case, if it shall appear to the Court before which such objection is raised that, independently of the evidence objected to and admitted, there was sufficient evidence to justify the decision, or that, if the rejected evidence had been received, it ought not to have varied the decision.

This section applies to criminal as well as to civil cases, and even to a case tried by Jury in which evidence not legally admissible, a confession had been laid before the Jury. The Court then proceeded to consider the evidence in the case, and holding that it was sufficient to support the conviction, refused to interfere. *Per Garth, C. J., and Pontifex, J., Hurribolo Chunder Ghose, 25 W. R. 36; (S. C.) I. L. R., 1 Cal., 207.*

So also in the case of Pitambar Jina, I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61, the Bombay High Court held on the authority of the cases of *Reg. v. Navroji, 9 Bomb., 358, and Reg. v. Hurribolo Chunder Ghose, 25 W. R., 36; (S. C.) I. L. R., 1 Cal., 207*, that it had power to review the whole case and determine whether if a question found to have been improperly rejected had been admitted, the result would have been the same. The judgment is as follows:—

"Apart from those two cases, i. e., if the question were now raised for the first time, we think that, by Clause 26 of the Letters Patent, 1865, and Section 101 of the High Court's Criminal Procedure Act (now re-enacted in S. 434 of the Code), the power of so reviewing the whole case, on a point of law such as the admissibility of rejected evidence when reserved, is expressly conferred on this Court. We are clearly of opinion that Section 167 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, is applicable to criminal as well as to civil cases, and is so to criminal cases, whether or not the trial has been had before a Jury, and that the expression in that section "the Court before which such objection is raised," includes the reviewing or Appellate Court. That the 167th section applies to Criminal as well as to Civil Courts is, we think, satisfactorily established by the 1st section, which renders the Act applicable "to all judicial proceedings in or before any Court including Courts Martial" with certain exceptions not material in this case, and by section 3 which declares that the word "Court" includes all Judges and Magistrates."

If, however, the evidence after excluding that improperly admitted is such that the Jury might not unreasonably find the accused or some of them guilty, but is not conclusive as to their guilt, the Court will order a new trial—*Amrita Gobinda, 19 Bomb., 497.*

So also in the case of Gogon Chunder Bose, I. L. R., 6 Cal., 247, in which it was held that a judgment in a Court not declaring a certain document to be a forgery had been improperly admitted as evidence on the Criminal trial, the High Court proceeded to consider whether the other evidence was sufficient for the verdict of a Jury convicting the prisoner and having found that it was not sufficient acquitted him.

ACT XVIII OF 1872.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of His Excellency the Governor-General on the 20th August 1872.)

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend the Indian Evidence Act, 1872; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The Indian Evidence Act Amendment Act;

Commencement.

And it shall come into force on the passing thereof.

Amendment of Act I of 1872, Section 32, clauses 5 and 6.

II. In section thirty-two of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, clauses (5) and (6), after the word "relationship," the words "blood, marriage or adoption" shall be inserted.

III. In section forty-one of the same Act, lines seventeen, twenty and twenty-three, after the word "judgment," the words "order or decree" shall be inserted.

Amendment of Section 41.

IV. In section forty-five, of the same Act, line five, after the word "art," the words "or in questions as to identity of handwriting" shall be inserted.

V. In section fifty-seven of the same Act, paragraph (13), after the word "road," the words "on land or at sea" shall be inserted.

VI. In section sixty-six of the same Act, line five, after the word "is" the words "or to his attorney or pleader" shall be inserted.

VII. In section ninety-one of the same Act, Exception (2), for the words "under the Indian Succession Act," the words "admitted to Probate in British India" shall be substituted.

VIII. In section ninety-two of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, proviso (1), for the words "want of failure," the words "want or failure" shall be substituted.

IX. In section one hundred and eight of the same Act, line one, for the word "when," the words "Provided that when" shall be substituted; and in the last line, for the word "on," the words "shifted to" shall be substituted.

X. In section one hundred and twenty-six of the same Act, line twenty-two, and in section one hundred and twenty-eight of the same Act, line six, after the word "barrister," the word "pleader" shall be inserted.

In section one hundred and twenty-six of the same Act, line fifteen, for the word "criminal," the word "illegal" shall be substituted.

XI. In section one hundred and fifty-five of the same Act, paragraph (2), for the word "had," the word "accepted" shall be substituted.

XII. Nothing in the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, shall be deemed to affect Act No. XV of 1852 (*to amend the Law of Evidence*) section twelve.

ACT NO. XV OF 1869.

(Received the assent of the Governor-General, June 4, 1869.)

An Act to provide facilities for obtaining the evidence and appearance of prisoners and for service of process upon them.

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide facilities for obtaining the evidence and appearance in Court of prisoners and for service of process upon them; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

I—Preliminary.

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The Prisoners' Testimony Act, 1869."

II. For the purposes of this Act, the Courts of Small Causes established within the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras, and Bombay, and the Courts of persons exercising the powers of a Magistrate of Police within the same limits, shall be deemed to be respectively subordinate to the said High Courts.

Presidency Small Cause Courts.

Police Magistrate.

*II.—Bringing up Prisoners.***III. Any Criminal Court not inferior to the Court of a Subordinate Magistrate of**

Criminal Court may make orders under Act.

the first class may in its discretion, if it appear that the testimony of any person confined in any jail situate within the local limits of its appellate jurisdiction, if the Criminal Court be a High Court, or, if it be not a High Court, then within the local limits of the appellate jurisdiction of the High Court to which it is subordinate, is material in any matter depending in such Criminal Court, or if a charge of an offence against such person is made or pending, make an order in the form in Schedule A or Schedule B (as the case may be), to this Act annexed, directed to the Officer in charge of the said jail.

A Subordinate Magistrate of the first class would, under the present Code of Criminal Procedure, correspond to a Magistrate of the second class.

For the definition of High Court, see Act I, 1868, S. 2, cl. xi.

IV. When any person for whose attendance an order as hereinbefore mentioned

Order to be transmitted through Magistrate of the District in which the person is confined.

shall be made, is confined in any district other than that in which the Court making or countersigning the order is situate, the order shall be sent by the Court by which it shall have been made or countersigned to the Magistrate of the District or division of a District in which the said person is confined, and such Magistrate shall cause it to be delivered to the Officer in charge of the jail in which such person is confined.

VII. In any case in which a person is confined in a jail within the local limits of

Order by High Court for removal of person confined more than 100 miles from place where his evidence is required.

the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of any of the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras, and Bombay, or in a jail more than one hundred miles distant from the place where any Court, subordinate to a High Court, in which his evidence is required is held, the Judge or presiding Officer of the Court in which the evidence is so required shall, if he think it expedient that such person should be removed under this Act for the purpose of giving evidence in such Court, and if the said jail is situate within the local limits of the appellate jurisdiction of the High Court to which such Court is subordinate, apply in writing to the same High Court; and such High Court may, if it thinks fit, make an order in the form in the said Schedule A, directed to the Officer in charge of the said jail.

The High Court making the order shall send it to the Magistrate of the District or division of a District in which the person named therein is confined, and such Magistrate shall cause the order to be delivered to the Officer in charge of the jail in which such person is confined.

For the purposes of this Section and Sections three and four, the Chief Commissioner of British Burma shall be deemed to be a High Court; the Court of a Recorder appointed under Act No. XXI of 1863 shall be deemed to be subordinate to the said Chief Commissioner; and every jail situate in British Burma shall be deemed to be situate within the local limits of the said Chief Commissioner's appellate jurisdiction.

Act VII, 1872, S. 78, substitutes the following for the last para. of the preceding Section:—
“For the purposes of this Act, every jail in British Burma shall be deemed to be situate within the local limits of the appellate jurisdiction of the Judicial Commissioner, and the Recorder of Rangoon may issue orders under this Section or Section three or four, and may also issue commissions under Part III of this Act in any jail in British Burma.”

S. 542 of the Code of Criminal Procedure declares that “notwithstanding anything contained in the Prisoners' Testimony Act, 1869, any Presidency Magistrate desirous of examining, as a witness or accused person, in any case pending before him, any person confined in any jail within the local limits of his jurisdiction, may issue an order to the officer in charge of the said jail requiring him to bring such prisoner in proper custody, at a time to be therein named, to the Magistrate for examination.”

"The officer so in charge, on receipt of such order, shall act in accordance therewith, and shall provide for the safe custody of the prisoner during his absence from the jail for the purpose aforesaid."

VIII. In any case in which a person is confined within a jail situate beyond the local limits of the appellate jurisdiction of a High Court, any Judge of such Court may, if he think it expedient that such person should be removed under this Act, for the purpose of giving evidence in any criminal matter in such Court or in any Court subordinate thereto, apply in writing to the Local Government within the territories subject to which the said jail is situate; and such Government may, if it think fit, direct that such person shall be so removed, subject to such rules regulating the escort of such prisoners as the Governor-General of India in Council may from time to time prescribe.

To obtain the removal of a person confined in a jail situate beyond the territories for the time being under the administration of the Chief Commissioner of British Burmah, for the purpose of giving evidence in any criminal matter in the Court of a Recorder appointed under the said Act No. XXI of 1863, such Recorder shall have the power conferred on a Judge of a High Court by the former part of this section, and the other provisions of such part shall, *mutatis mutandis*, apply.

IX. Upon delivery of any order under this Act to the Officer in charge of the jail in which the person named therein is confined, such Officer shall cause him to be taken to the Court in which his attendance is required, so as to be present in such Court at the time in such order mentioned; and shall cause him to be detained in custody in or near the Court until he shall have been examined or until the Judge or presiding Officer of such Court shall authorize him to be taken back to the jail in which he was confined.

X. The Governor-General of India in Council or the Local Government may, from time to time, by notification in the official Gazette, direct that any person or any class of persons shall not be removed from the jail in which he or they may be confined; and thereupon, and so long as such notification remains in force, the provisions of this Act, other than those contained in Sections twelve, thirteen, and fourteen, shall not apply to such person or class of persons.

XI. When any person named in any order made under Section three, Section four, or Section seven appears to be from sickness or other infirmity unfit to be removed, the Officer in charge of the jail in which he is confined shall apply to the Magistrate of the District or division of a District in which such jail is situate, and if such Magistrate shall by writing under his hand declare himself to be of opinion that such person is from infirmity unfit to be removed;

or when any person named in any such order is under committal for trial; or under a remand pending trial or pending a preliminary investigation; or when any such person is in custody for a period which would expire before the expiration of the time required for removing him under this Act and for taking him back to the jail in which he is confined;

then, and in every such case, the Officer in charge of the jail shall abstain from obeying such order, and shall send to the Court from which the order has been issued a statement of his reason for not obeying the same:

Provided that the said Officer shall not so abstain when the order has been made under Section three,

and the person named in the order is confined under committal for trial, or under a remand pending trial or pending a preliminary investigation, and does not appear to be from sickness or other infirmity unfit to be removed,

and the place where his evidence is required is not more than five miles distant from the jail in which he is confined.

The rules for British Burma are to be found in the *Gazette of India*, March 26, 1870, p. 202; for the Central Provinces, in the same *Gazette*, Sept. 11, 1869, p. 261; for the Punjab, in the same *Gazette*, Sept. 25, 1869, p. 300; for Coorg, in p. 301; for the North-Western Provinces, in the same *Gazette*, Oct. 16, 1869, p. 386; for Oudh, in the same *Gazette*, Dec. 4, 1869, p. 490; for the Hyderabad Assigned Districts, in the same *Gazette*, Dec. 18, 1869, p. 530.

IV.—Service of Process on Prisoners.

XV. When any process directed to any person confined in any jail is issued from any Court, the same may be served by exhibiting to the Officer in charge of such jail or prison the original of such process, and by depositing with him a copy thereof.

Process how served on prisoners.

XVI. Every Officer in charge of a jail upon whom any such service as is mentioned in section fifteen shall be made, shall as soon as may be, cause the copy of the process so deposited with him to be shown and explained to the prisoner to whom it is directed, and shall thereupon endorse upon such process a certificate signed by him that the prisoner to whom the process is directed is a prisoner in the jail under his charge, and that he has received a copy thereof.

Process served to be transmitted at prisoner's request.

Such certificate shall be sufficient *prima facie* evidence of the service of such process; and if the prisoner requests that the said copy be sent to any other person, and provides the cost of so sending it, the said Officer shall cause the same to be so sent through the Post Office by registered letter.

XVIII. It shall be lawful for the local Government, and, in cases arising under Section eight, for the Governor-General of India in Council, to make rules consistent with this Act.

Power to make rules.

- (1) for regulating the escort of prisoners to and from the Court in which their presence is required;
- (2) for regulating the amount to be allowed for the costs and charges of such escort; and
- (3) for the guidance of Officers in all other matters connected with the enforcement of this Act; and from time to time to alter and add to the rules so made.

For the definition of "Local Government," see Act I, 1868, S. 2. cl. x. For the rules published by Government under this section, see note to S. 10, *ante*.

The following rules have been made by the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, under S. 18 of Act XV of 1869, for regulating the escort of prisoners (State prisoners excepted) to and from any Court situated within the jurisdiction of the High Court in which their presence is required, and for fixing the amount to be allowed for the costs and charges for such escort when their presence is required in any civil matter, and for the guidance of Officers in all matters connected with the enforcement of these rules:—

1. On receipt of an order issued by a Court of competent authority under Section 3 or 4 of the Act, the Officer in charge of the jail shall make a requisition on the District Superintendent of Police for an escort, and the District Superintendent shall supply such escort in conformity with the ordinary rules of his department.

2. The Officer in charge of such escort shall, in like manner, be guided by the rules of the Police Department in the performance of his duty, and in the treatment of the prisoners under his charge.

3. All prisoners shall be taken to the Court before which their appearance is required by the most expeditious route. Prisoners under sentence for criminal offences shall ordinarily travel on foot; but civil prisoners, who are desirous of obtaining, and are willing to pay for the indulgence, may be provided with suitable means of conveyance. When a railway is available, all prisoners shall be conveyed by rail under charge of the Police guard.

4. Before any prisoner is made over to the Officer in charge of the escort, the Officer in charge of the jail shall satisfy himself that the letters of the prisoner or prisoners to be removed are in order, and that each prisoner is supplied with suitable clothing, and he shall further make over to the Officer in charge of the guard copies of the orders of the Court under which the prisoners are removed, together with a sum of money for their maintenance and road expense.

Diet-money shall be calculated at a rate not exceeding 4 annas per diem, according to the number of days which the escort will take in going to and returning from the Court.

6. The Officer in charge of the guard shall give to the Officer in charge of the jail a receipt for such prisoners as he may receive, with a statement of the clothing, &c., in each prisoner's possession, and a receipt for the amount of diet-money or road expenses which has been advanced on their account. Advances required on account of the escort will be made by the District Superintendent supplying it.

8. Should there be a jail or lock-up at the place where the Court before which the prisoners have to appear is held, the Officer in charge of the escort shall deliver the prisoners to the keeper of such jail or lock-up, and shall not be responsible for their custody while they are in such jail or lock-up, but shall only be responsible for their custody while escorting them thereto, and from such jail or lock-up to the place where the Court is held.

7. On the completion of the duty for which the escort was detailed, the District Superintendent supplying it shall, if the presence of the prisoner was required in any civil matter, submit a bill to the Court from which the requisition proceeded, for the cost of the guard, as fixed by the scale in Schedule A, and for the actual expenditure incurred by them on account of carriage by land or water, if the journey is not performed entirely on foot, plus 10 per cent. for contingencies. A separate bill should also be forwarded by the District Superintendent for the diet-money and road expenses of the prisoner or prisoners.

8. All sums received in payment of these bills shall at once be paid into the treasury of the district, from which the escort started, to the credit of Government, as a receipt either of the Police or Jail Department, according as the amount is paid on account of the escort or the prisoners.

9. For the guidance of the Courts in estimating beforehand, under Section 17, the amount to be deposited by any party requiring the testimony of a prisoner in any civil matter, it is notified that the charges for escort parties have been fixed as shown in Schedule A attached to these rules; and that the average distance performed by escort parties travelling by road is ten to fifteen miles per diem. The Court should estimate for the whole time occupied in going, waiting, and returning. Where the journey is performed by rail the cost of third class fares both ways for the whole party, including the prisoner, should be added. The fares by boat or steamer must be estimated on such information as the Court may itself possess. In every case 4 annas per diem for each prisoner's diet-money, and 10 per cent. on the cost of the guard for contingencies should be added. Any balance deficient between the amount estimated by the Court, and the charge entered in the District Superintendent's bill, will be recovered by the Court under Section 17 of the Act.

10. The Lieutenant-Governor exempts from the operation of the Act all State prisoners confined by order of Government.

SCHEDULE A.

Number of Prisoners.		Number of Constables employed.		Cost of Guard per diem.		
				Rs.	As.	P.
1 to 3	...	2 Constables	...	0	8	0
4 to 6	...	1 Head and 2 Constables	...	1	1	4
7 to 12	...	1 Ditto 4 ditto	...	1	9	4
13 to 18	...	1 Ditto 6 ditto	...	2	1	4
19 to 24	...	1 Ditto 8 ditto	...	2	9	4
And so on in proportion.						

Bengal Govt. Cir. 6, dated 11th January, 1870.

The following rules have been issued by the Government of the PUNJAB when any prisoner is summoned by a Court under the provisions of Act XV, 1869.—The Superintendent of the jail from which the prisoner is moved shall fix the scale and description of diet to be allowed to such prisoner during the absence from jail, and the Officer in charge of the public escort shall see that the diet fixed by the Superintendent of the jail is as far as possible given in each case. The cost of dieting prisoners under this rule shall be included in the bill of costs which the District Superintendent of Police presents for payment to the Court issuing process under No. IX of the rules already in force.—*Punjab Gaz.*, 1874, Part I, p. 336.

XIX. All such rules, alterations, and additions shall be published in the official Gazette, and shall from the date of such publication be deemed to have the force of law.

Publication of rules.

XX. The Local Government may also declare in each case what Officer shall, for Power to declare who the purposes of this Act, be deemed to be 'the Officer in shall be deemed 'Officer in charge of Jail.' charge of jail.'

For the definition of "Local Government" see Act I, 1868, S. 2, cl. x.

SCHEDULE A.

COURT OF

TO THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE (state name of jail).

You are hereby required to have the body of , now a prisoner in , under safe and sure conduct before the , at , on the day of next, by of the clock in the forenoon of the same day, there to give testimony in a cause now pending before [or in a certain charge or prosecution now pending before , against , or as the case may be] , and after the said shall then and there have given his testimony before the said or the said shall dispense with the further attendance, cause him to be conveyed under safe and sure conduct back to the said jail. day of

A. B.
(Countersigned) C. D.

SCHEDULE B.

COURT OF

TO THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE (state name of jail).

You are hereby required to have the body of , now a prisoner in , under safe and sure conduct before the , at , or the day of next, by of the clock in the forenoon of the same day, there to answer a charge now pending before and after such charge shall have been disposed, or the said shall dispense with his further attendance, cause him to be conveyed under safe and sure conduct back to the said jail. day of

A. B.
(Countersigned) C. D.

ACT No. III OF 1880.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 30th January 1880.)

An Act to amend the law relating to Cantonments.

Preamble WHEREAS it is expedient to amend the law relating to cantonments; It is hereby enacted as follows.—

CHAPTER I.—PRELIMINARY.

Short title. 1. This Act may be called "The Cantonments' Act 1880."

This section, section two and section twenty-four apply to the whole of British India. The remaining portions of this Act extend to the Local extent. whole of British India except the territories respectively

administered by the Governor of Fort St. George in Council and the Governor of Bombay in Council. The Governor of Fort St. George in Council or the Governor of Bombay in Council may, by notification in the official Gazette, extend any such portion

Enactments inconsistent with this Act in Madras and Bombay cantonments.

portion shall cease to have effect in such place.

II. Act No. XXII of 1864 (*to provide for the administration of Military Cantonments*) is hereby repealed; but all orders, declarations, rules and regulations made, powers conferred, and Courts established under that Act, shall be deemed to be respectively made, conferred and established under this Act.

Repeal of Act XXII of 1864.

References to Act XXII of 1864.

All references to the said Act No. XXII of 1864 in enactments passed subsequently thereto shall be read as if made to this Act.

CHAPTER II.—CRIMINAL JURISDICTION.

III. Every person invested by the Local Government, under the Code of Criminal Procedure, with the powers of a Magistrate of the first class within the limits of any cantonment, shall be styled the Cantonment Magistrate, and shall be deemed a Magistrate in charge of a division of a district within the meaning, and for the purposes, of the said Code.

A Cantonment Magistrate's powers under this section would be those described in Sch. III, Parts 3, 4 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and he would have powers of punishment as set forth in S. 32 (a) of the Code. He may also be invested with the additional powers described in Sch. IV. His jurisdiction over European British subjects would depend on his being himself an European British subject and a Justice of the Peace.—S. 443 of the Code.

IV. Every person invested by the Local Government, under the provisions of the said Code, with the powers of a Magistrate of the second or third class within the limits of any cantonment, shall be styled the Assistant Cantonment Magistrate.

The powers of an Assistant Cantonment Magistrate under the section are set forth in Sch. III, Part 1 or Part 2 and in S. 32 (b) or (c) as he may be of the second or third class and he may in addition be vested with powers as described in Sch. IV of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER III.—CIVIL JURISDICTION.

V. Whenever the Local Government establishes within the limits of any cantonment a Court of Small Causes under Act No. XI of 1865 (*to consolidate and amend the law relating to Courts of Small Causes beyond the local limits of the ordinary original High Courts of Judicature*), the Cantonment Magistrate, if there be a Cantonment Magistrate, shall be the Judge of the Court so established.

The Local Government shall declare and may from time to time alter the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction of every such Court, but such limit shall in no case exceed five hundred rupees.

VI. The Local Government may invest any Assistant Cantonment Magistrate with the powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes to try suits instituted in any Court referred to in section five; provided that no Assistant Cantonment Magistrate shall have jurisdiction to try suits for an amount exceeding fifty rupees.

Act XI of 1865 to apply to all Small Cause Courts in cantonments.

VIII. Whenever a

Military Courts of requests.

shall cease, and so much of any Act as authorises the commanding-officers of stations or cantonments to convene military courts of requests for the trial of actions of debt and other personal actions, shall cease to have effect within the limits of such cantonment.

VII. All the provisions of the said Act shall be applicable to every such Court, and to all suits instituted in any such Court, except as is herein otherwise provided.

Court of Small Causes is established in any cantonment, the jurisdiction exercised in such cantonment by any officer under Act No. III of 1859 (*for conferring Civil Jurisdiction in certain cases upon Cantonment Joint Magistrates*)

CHAPTER IV.—POLICE.

The following rules have been issued by the Govt. of Bengal, (*Cal. Gaz.* 1883, Part I, p. 202) for the regulation of the strength and cost of Cantonment Police.

Cantonment Police.

I.—The payment of the Cantonment Police will be made from the Regular Police budget and by the District Superintendent of Police.

II.—The administration of the Cantonment Police, which is by law a portion of the police force of the province, is vested in the District Superintendent of Police, who will be *ex-officio* a member of the Cantonment Committee.

III.—No increase or decrease in the strength and cost of the Cantonment Police shall have effect without the sanction of the Local Government.

IV.—Any proposals affecting the strength and cost of the Cantonment Police, or for forming a Cantonment Police where none now exists, shall be referred by the District Superintendent of Police through his official superiors to the Local Government, and shall ordinarily be accompanied by the recorded concurrence or opinion of the officer commanding the station. Should the officer commanding the station wish for any alteration, he will forward his proposals to the District Superintendent, who will treat them in the above manner.

V.—The orders of the Local Government will be conveyed through the Police Department, and a copy will be forwarded at the same time to the Quartermaster-General for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

VI.—Where any new or increased change on account of Cantonment Police is rendered necessary, the Local Government will communicate with the Quartermaster-General in view to any remarks that His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief may desire to offer for the consideration of the Local Government before the final orders are given.

IX. The Police-force employed in any cantonment shall be deemed to be part of

the general Police-force under the Local Government in whose territories such cantonment is situate, within the meaning of Act No. V of 1861 (*for the Regulation of Police*), section two, and all the provisions of the said Act shall be applicable to such force.

The administration of the Police within the limits of any cantonment in which

Administration of Police within cantonments.

there is a Cantonment Magistrate shall be vested in the District Superintendent subject to the general control and direction of the commanding-officer of such cantonment.

Extension of section 34, Act V of 1861, to cantonments.

X. The Local Government may extend section thirty-four of the said Act No. V of 1861 to any cantonment situate in the territories administered by such Government.

XI. The commanding-officer of a cantonment may send any process requiring

Service of process sent by commanding-officer of cantonment.

service or execution by any means not immediately at his disposal to the chief Police-officer in the cantonment for service or execution through the cantonment-police; and the said chief Police-officer shall serve or execute such process in the

same manner as if it had been issued by the Cantonment Magistrate, and subject to the same rules.

XII. The Local Government may, by notification in the official Gazette, extend the provisions of Act No. XX of 1856 (*to make better provision for the appointment and maintenance of Police Chaukidars in Cities, Towns, Stations, Suburbs and Bázars in the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal*), to any cantonment to which a Cantonment Magistrate may be appointed; and the Cantonment Magistrate of any cantonment to which the said Act is so extended may exercise all the powers vested in a Magistrate by that Act subject only to the control of the Magistrate of the District and the Local Government.

Whenever any such Cantonment Magistrate is absent, or when his office is temporarily vacant, the Magistrate of the District shall, during such absence or until the Local Government fills up the vacancy, carry out the provisions of the same Act when so extended as aforesaid.

XIII. The Local Government may order that any cantonment to which the provisions of the said Act No. XX of 1856 are extended shall be divided into any number of cantonment-divisions, and may determine the nature of the tax to be levied in each such division according to section ten of the same Act.

CHAPTER V.—SPIRITUOUS LIQUORS.

XIV. If within any cantonment, or within any limits around such cantonment prescribed by the Local Government, any person not amenable to the Articles of War, or any sutler or camp-follower, knowingly barter, sells or supplies, or offers or attempts to barter, sell or supply, any spirituous liquor, wine or intoxicating drug to, or for the use of, any European soldier, or to, or for the use of, any European or Eurasian being a camp-follower or a soldier's wife, without a written license from the Officer Commanding or from some person authorized by the Officer Commanding to grant such license, the person so bartering, selling or supplying, or offering or attempting to barter, sell or supply, such liquor, wine or drug, shall be liable on conviction to fine which may extend to one hundred rupees, or to imprisonment for a term which may extend to three months, or, in lieu of such fine or imprisonment, to the punishment of whipping, as prescribed for offences under section two of Act No. VI of 1864 (*to authorize the punishment of whipping in certain cases*), subject to all the provisions of that Act.

XV. If any person convicted of an offence under section fourteen is again convicted of an offence under that section, any spirituous liquor, wine or intoxicating drug within such cantonment or limits which, at the time of the commission of such subsequent offence, belongs to him, or is in his possession, shall, without further proof, be deemed to be in his possession for the purpose of being supplied to European soldiers contrary to the provisions of this Act.

XVI. If within such cantonment or limits any camp-follower or military pensioner, or the wife or the widow of any soldier, camp-follower or military pensioner, removes, conveys or has, in his or her possession, any quantity of spirituous liquor or wine exceeding one ser or quart, without a permit to be signed by the officer in command, or such other officer as may be appointed by him to grant permits under this Act, every such person shall be liable upon conviction to fine which may extend to fifty rupees, and for any subsequent offence to fine which may extend to one hundred rupees, or to imprisonment for a term which may extend to three months: provided that nothing in this section contained shall apply to any liquor brought into a cantonment for the private use of any commissioned officer.

XVII. If any person subject to the provisions of this Act is found committing any

Arrest of offenders under section 14 or 16, and seizure of spirituous liquor, &c.

offence contrary to section fourteen or section sixteen, any Police-officer may immediately without warrant arrest such person, and also seize any spirituous liquor, wine or intoxicating drug, together with any vessel containing the same, and anything used for the purpose of removing, conveying or concealing the same, which may be found in his possession, and shall thereupon without delay take such person, together with the things so seized, before the Cantonment Magistrate or other officer having jurisdiction to punish the offender.

XVIII. In case of a conviction for any offence under section fourteen or section

Confiscation of such liquor, &c.

sixteen, the Cantonment Magistrate or other officer may adjudge any liquor, wine or intoxicating drug in respect of which the accused is convicted, and any other spirituous liquor, wine or intoxicating drug found in his possession at the time of committing the offence, and any vessel containing the same, together with anything used for the purpose of conveying, removing or concealing the same or any part thereof, to be confiscated; and such Magistrate or officer may order the whole or any part or parts of any fine imposed under this Act to be paid, as soon as the same is realized, to the person upon whose information such conviction takes place, or to the officer who has apprehended the offender or seized any of the goods adjudged to be confiscated.

XIX. Anything seized under section seventeen in respect of which any person is

Detention of property seized.

If such person is

Disposal of property seized.

dealt with as confiscated.

Saving of articles sold or supplied for medicinal purposes.

charged with an offence under this Act may be ordered to be detained until the person in whose possession the same has been seized is convicted or acquitted of the offence charged. acquitted, anything so seized shall be restored; if he is convicted, such of the things only, if any, as are not adjudged by the Cantonment Magistrate or other officer to be confiscated shall be restored: the remainder shall be

XX. The foregoing sections shall not apply to the sale or supply of any article for medicinal purposes by recognized medical practitioners, chemists or druggists.

CHAPTER VI.—MUNICIPAL TAXATION.**XXI. The Local Government may from time to time, with the previous sanc-**

General power of taxation.

tion of the Governor-General in Council, by notification in the official Gazette, impose in any cantonment any tax which, under any enactment in force at the date of such notification, can be imposed in any municipality within the territories administered by such Government, and may, with the like sanction and by a like notification, abolish any tax so imposed.

XXII. When any tax is leviable in a cantonment under section twenty-one, the

Power to provide for assessment and collection of taxes.

recovery of any tax in Government.

Local Government may, from time to time, by notification in the official Gazette, apply or adapt to such cantonment the provisions of any enactment or rules in force at the date of such notification for the assessment and any municipality within the territories administered by such

XXIII. The proceeds of all taxes levied in any cantonment under section twenty-

Application of proceeds of taxes.

one shall, after defraying therefrom the cost of assessing and collecting the same, be applied in such cantonment, under the directions of the Local Government, to the main-

tenance of the Police-force and the carrying out of measures under the rules made under section twenty-five.

XXIV. Notwithstanding anything contained in any enactment for the time being in force, the Governor-General in Council may, by an order in writing, prohibit the levy of the whole or any part of any tax in any cantonment, or exempt any person by name or in virtue of his office, or any class of persons, from the operation of any such tax, and may, by a like order, rescind any such prohibition or exemption.

CHAPTER VII.—SUBSIDIARY RULES.

XXV. The Local Government may from time to time make rules consistent with this Act to provide within the limits of any cantonment for the matters hereinafter mentioned.

The rules made under this section may be general for all cantonments in the territories administered by the Local Government making the same, or special for any one or more of such cantonments, according as the Local Government directs.

XXVI. No rule made under section twenty-five shall have effect until the same has been confirmed by the Governor-General in Council. A copy of every such rule when so confirmed, in English and in the vernacular language chiefly in use, shall be hung up in some conspicuous part of the office of the Cantonment Magistrate, or in such other place as the Local Government or the Commanding-officer directs.

For what matters rules may provide. **XXVII.** The rules made under section twenty-five may provide for all or any of the following matters:—

1st—regulating, in cases in which the land within the limits of the cantonment is the property of Government, and the occupation and use of which by private persons is only permissive, the conditions under which such occupation or use shall be allowed, and under which the Government may resume possession of such land, and under which compensation shall be given to persons occupying or using the land so resumed.

2nd—maintaining proper registers of immoveable property within the limits of the cantonment, and providing for the registration of transfers of such property ;

3rd—regulating the manner in which houses within the limits of the cantonment shall be claimable for purchase or hire, when necessary, for the accommodation of military officers ;

4th—regulating the management and expenditure of any funds made available by law or by the Government for the purpose of public improvements within the limits of the cantonment, or for carrying out any rules made under section twenty-five ; and the appointment of the necessary servants and establishments ;

5th—the definition and prohibition of public nuisances ;

6th—the maintenance generally of the cantonment in a proper sanitary condition ; the prevention and cure of disease ; the management and regulation of the public roads, of conservancy and drainage ; the regulation and inspection of public and private necessities, urinals, cess-pools, drains, and all places in which filth or rubbish is deposited, of slaughter-houses, public markets, burial and burning-grounds, and of all offensive or dangerous trades and occupations ;

7th—inspecting and controlling brothels and preventing the spread of venereal disease ;

8th—the supervision and regulation of public wells, tanks, springs or other sources from which water is or may be made available for public use ;

9th—the execution and promotion of works of public utility and convenience ;

10th—the registration of deaths, and the making and recording observations and facts important for the public health and interest ;

11th—the imposition of penalties on persons convicted of the breach of any rule made under section twenty-five, and declaring what persons shall make the preliminary inquiry into or take cognizance of any breach of such rules and the manner in which the investigation shall be conducted : provided that no penalty so imposed shall exceed a fine of fifty rupees, or imprisonment for eight days.

XXVIII. Breaches of any rule made under section twenty-five shall be triable by

Trial of breaches of rules. the Cantonment Magistrate when there is such an officer : but the Local Government may invest any Assistant Cantonment Magistrate, or any other person, with powers to try such breaches, and may authorize such person to exercise such powers independently of the Cantonment Magistrate.

There shall be no appeal in any case tried under this section ; but every person trying any such case shall, for the purposes of Chapter XXII of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be deemed to be subordinate to the High Court, the Court of Session and the Magistrate of the District.

XXIX. In every case in which an offender is sentenced to a fine for the breach of

Fine how levied. any rule made under section twenty-five, the amount may in case of non-payment be levied by distress and sale of any moveable property of the offender which may be found within the limits of the cantonment.

If no such property sufficient for the payment of the fine can be found, the offender shall be liable to simple imprisonment for any term which may extend to one month.

XXX. Nothing in this Act, nor in any rule made under section twenty-five shall

Prosecutions, &c., under other enactments. prevent any person from being prosecuted under any other enactment for any offence punishable under this Act, or from being liable under any other enactment to any other or higher penalty than is provided for such offence by this Act : Provided that no person shall be punished twice for the same offence.

XXXI. Whenever it appears necessary for the protection of the health of the

Extension of rules as to brothels and venereal disease. troops in any cantonment, the Governor-General in Council may extend to any place outside the limits of such cantonment, and in the vicinity thereof, all or any of the rules made for such cantonment for inspecting and controlling brothels and preventing the spread of venereal disease and make any additional rules consistent with this Act for providing for the same matters, and may define the limits around such cantonment within which such rules or additional rules shall be in force.

XXXII. When such rules, with any additional rules made as aforesaid, are extended

Penalties for breach of rules in extended limits. under section thirty-one to any place outside the limits of such cantonment, the Governor-General in Council may provide, in the manner described in clause eleven of section twenty-seven, for the imposition of penalties for the breach of such rules and for prescribing the manner in which, and the persons by whom, breaches of such rules shall be inquired into or be cognizable.

XXXIII. Whenever, in any cantonment, rules have been made under section

Effect of cantonment rules on enactments previously in force. twenty-five, so much of any enactment as may be held to empower the commanding officer to make local regulations regarding matters other than military shall cease to have any effect in such cantonment, and all local regulations for any cantonment which may have been made before the promulgation of the rules for such cantonment made under section twenty-five, shall cease to have any effect.

XXXIV. Nothing in the foregoing sections shall be deemed to affect the jurisdiction or military authority of Courts-martial or of commanding-officers of cantonments, or of regiments, corps or detachments under any Articles of War, or the provisions of any Statute for punishing mutiny and desertion of officers and soldiers in the service of Her Majesty in the East Indies; and the Cantonment Magistrate shall exercise no jurisdiction in respect of such offences.

Provided that, when a Cantonment Magistrate or other officer not being the commanding-officer has been invested by the Local Government with power within the limits of any cantonment to dispose of cases under any rule made under section twenty-five, the commanding-officer shall not exercise the powers described in clause (c) of Part III of the Indian Articles of War in respect of any case arising under such rule when such rules have been passed for such cantonment under section twenty-five and penalties have been laid down for their infringement.

The said rules shall be held to be the rules mentioned in the said last mentioned clause, and so much of the same clause as declares the penalties which may be inflicted for breach of cantonment-regulations shall cease from that time to have any effect in such cantonment.

XXXV. The Local Government may from time to time prescribe rules for regulating the expenditure, for the general purpose of this Act, of any funds raised under the said Act No. XX of 1856. Such funds may be expended for the purposes of carrying out any measures under any of the rules made under section twenty-five or section thirty-one of this Act, in addition to or in lieu of the purposes described in section thirty-six of the said Act No. XX of 1856.

ACT No. V OF 1861.

PASSED BY THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF INDIA.

(Received the assent of the Governor-General on the 22nd March, 1861.)

An Act for the Regulation of Police.

WHEREAS it is expedient to re-organize the Police and to make it a more efficient instrument for the prevention and detection of crime; It is enacted as follows:—

Preamble.

I. The following words and expressions in this Act shall have the meaning assigned to them, unless there be something in the subject or context repugnant to such construction, that is to say:—

Interpretation

The words "Magistrate of the District" shall mean the Chief Officer charged with the executive administration of a District and exercising the powers of a Magistrate, by whatever designation the Chief Officer charged with such executive administration

"Magistrate of the District."
is styled.

The word "Magistrate" shall include all persons within the General Police District, exercising all or any of the powers of a Magistrate.

"Magistrate."

The word "Police" shall include all persons who shall be enrolled under this Act.

"Police."

The words "General Police District" shall embrace any Presidency, Province, or place, or any part of any Presidency, Province, or place in which this Act shall be ordered to take effect.

The word "Property" shall include any moveable property, money, or valuable security.

Words importing the singular number shall include the plural number, and words importing the plural number shall include the singular number.

Words importing the masculine gender shall include females.

The word "person" shall include a Company or Corporation.

The word "month" shall mean a calendar month.

The word "cattle" shall, besides horned cattle, include Elephants, Camels, Horses, Asses, Mules, Sheep, Goats, and Swine.

II. The entire Police establishment under a Local Government shall, for the purposes of this Act, be deemed to be one Police Force, and shall be formally enrolled; and shall consist of such number of Officers and men, and shall be constituted in such manner, and the members of such force shall receive such pay, as shall from time to time be ordered by the Local Government, subject to the sanction of the Governor-General of India in Council.

The Police force employed in any cantonment is part of the General Police force within the meaning of this section, but the District Superintendent shall be subject to the general control and direction of the commanding officer of such cantonment.—Act III, 1880, S. 9. Except in this respect S. 2 is repealed as far as it relates to the Province under the control of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal.—Act VII (B. C.), 1869.

III. The superintendence of the Police throughout a General Police District shall vest in and, subject to the general control of the Governor-General of India in Council, shall be exercised by the Local Government to which such District is subordinate; and except as authorized under the provisions of this Act, no person, Officer, or Court shall be empowered by the Local Government to appoint, supersede, or control any Police Functionary.

IV. The administration of the Police throughout a General Police District shall be vested in an Officer to be styled the Inspector General of Police, and in such Deputy Inspectors General, and Assistant Inspectors General, as to the Local Government shall seem fit. The administration of the Police throughout the local jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District shall, under the general control and direction of such Magistrate, be vested in a District Superintendent and such Assistant District Superintendents as the Local Government shall consider necessary. The Inspector General and other Officers above-mentioned shall from time to time be appointed by the Local Government, and may be removed by the same authority.

Inspector General to have powers of a Magistrate.

To exercise them under the orders of Government.

V. The Inspector General of Police shall have the full powers of a Magistrate throughout the General Police District; but shall exercise these powers subject to such limitation as may from time to time be imposed by the Local Government.

VI. *Repealed by Act X of 1882.*

VII. The appointment of all Police officers other than those mentioned in Section IV of this Act shall, under such rules as the Local Government shall from time to time sanction, rest with the Inspector-General, Deputy Inspectors-General, Assistant Inspectors-General, and District Superintendents of Police, who may, under such

rules as aforesaid, at any time dismiss, suspend or reduce any Police officer whom they shall think remiss or negligent in the discharge of his duty, or unfit for the same, or fine any Police officer to any amount not exceeding one month's pay, who shall discharge his duty in a careless or negligent manner, or who by any act of his own shall render himself unfit for the discharge thereof.

VIII. Every Police officer, so appointed, shall receive on his appointment a certificate in the form annexed to this Act, under the seal of the Inspector-General or such other officer as the Inspector-General shall appoint, by virtue of which the person

Police officers to receive certificates of office.

holding such certificate shall be vested with the powers, functions, and privileges of a Police officer. Such certificate shall cease to have effect whenever the person named in it is suspended or dismissed or otherwise removed from employment in the Police Force, and shall be immediately surrendered to the superior officer of such person or to some other Officer empowered to receive the same.

IX. No Police officer shall be at liberty to withdraw himself from the duties of his office unless expressly allowed to do so by the District Superintendent or by some other Officer authorized to grant such permission, or, without the leave of the District Superintendent to resign his office, unless he shall have given to his superior officer notice in writing, for a period of not less than two months, of his intention to resign.

Police officers not to resign without leave or two months' notice.

X. No Police officer shall engage in any employment or office whatever other than his duties under this Act, unless expressly permitted to do so in writing by the Inspector-General.

Police officers not to engage in other employment.

XI. *Repealed by Act XVI of 1874.*

XII. The Inspector-General of Police may, from time to time, subject to the approval of the Local Government, frame such orders and rules as he shall deem expedient, relative to the organization, classification, and distribution of the Police Force, the places at which the Members of the Force shall reside, and the particular services to be performed by them; their inspection, the description of arms, accoutrements, and other necessities to be furnished to them; the collecting and communicating by them of intelligence and information; and all such other orders and rules relative to the Police Force as the Inspector-General shall, from time to time, deem expedient for preventing abuse or neglect of duty, and for rendering such Force efficient in the discharge of its duties.

Inspector-General to make Rules.

XIII. It shall be lawful for the Inspector-General of Police, or any Deputy Inspector-General, or Assistant Inspector-General, or for the District Superintendent, subject to the general direction of the Magistrate of the District, on the application of any person showing the necessity thereof, to depute any additional number of Police officers to keep the peace at any place within the General Police District, and for such time as shall be deemed proper. Such Force shall be exclusively under the orders of the District Superintendent, and shall be at the charge of the person making the application. Provided that it shall be lawful for the person on whose application such deputation shall have been made, on giving one month's notice in writing to the Inspector-General, Deputy Inspector-General, or Assistant Inspector-General or to the District Superintendent, to require that the Police officers so deputed shall be withdrawn; and such person shall be relieved from the charge of such additional Force from the expiration of such notice.

Additional Police officers employed at the cost of individuals.

XIV. Whenever any Railway, Canal, or other public work, or any manufactory or commercial concern, shall be carried on, or be in operation in any part of the country, and it shall appear to the Inspector-General that the employment of an additional Police Force in such place is rendered necessary by the behaviour,

Appointment of additional Force in the neighbourhood of Railway and other works.

or reasonable apprehension of the behaviour of the persons employed upon such work, manufactory, or concern, it shall be lawful for the Inspector-General, with the consent of the Local Government, to depute such additional Force to such place, and to employ the same so long as such necessity shall continue, and to make orders from time to time upon the person having the control or custody of the Funds used in carrying on such work, manufactory, or concern, for the payment of the extra Force so rendered necessary, and such person shall thereupon cause payment to be made accordingly.

XV. It shall be lawful for the Inspector-General of Police, with the sanction of the Local Government, to be notified by proclamation in the Government Gazette, and in such other manner as the Local Government shall direct, to employ any Police Force in excess of the ordinary fixed complement, to be quartered in any part of the General Police District which shall be found to be in a disturbed or dangerous state, or in any part of the General Police District in which, from the conduct of the inhabitants, he may deem it expedient to increase the number of Police. The inhabitants of the part of the country described in the notification shall be charged with the cost of such additional Police Force, and the Magistrate of the District, after inquiry if necessary, shall assess the proportion in which the amount is to be paid by the inhabitants according to his judgment of their respective means.

XVI. All moneys payable under the last three preceding Sections, on account of any additional Police Force employed as therein directed, shall be recoverable under the warrant of a Magistrate by distress and sale of the goods of the defaulter within the District of such Magistrate, or by suit in any competent Court; and the moneys paid on this account or so recovered shall be credited to a Fund to be called "The General Police Fund," and shall be applied to the maintenance of the Police Force under such orders as the Local Government shall pass.

XVII. When it shall appear that any unlawful assembly (a) or riot (b) or disturbance of the peace has taken place, or may be reasonably apprehended, and that the Police Force ordinarily employed for preserving the peace is not sufficient for its preservation and for the protection of the inhabitants and the security of property in the place where such unlawful assembly or riot, or disturbance of the peace has occurred, or is apprehended, it shall be lawful for any Police officer, not below the rank of Inspector, to apply to the nearest Magistrate to appoint so many of the residents of the neighbourhood as such Police officer may require, to act as Special Police officers for such time and within such limits as he shall deem necessary; and the Magistrate to whom such application is made shall, unless he see cause to the contrary, comply with the application.

Special Police officers cannot be appointed except under some of the circumstances specified in S. 17. Where they had been appointed by a Magistrate on the ground that murder had been committed, and he was apprehensive that frequent murders would take place, the appointment was pronounced to be illegal, but as the matter was purely executive, the High Court would not interfere.—*Roboman Sirkar* and another, 18 W. R., 67, (S. C.) 10 B. L. R., 4 App.

XVIII. Every Special Police officer so appointed shall have the same powers, privileges, and protection, and shall be liable to perform the same duties, and shall be amenable to the same penalties, and be subordinate to the same authorities as the ordinary officers of Police.

XIX. If any person being appointed a Special Police officer as aforesaid, shall, without sufficient excuse, neglect, or refuse to serve as such, or to obey such lawful order or direction as may be given to him for the performance of his duties, he shall be liable, upon conviction before a Magistrate, to a fine not exceeding fifty Rupees for every such neglect, refusal, or disobedience.

XX. Police officers, enrolled under this Act, shall not exercise any authority, except the authority provided for a Police officer under this Act and any Act which shall hereafter be passed for regulating Criminal Procedure.

Authority to be exercised by Police officers.

XXI. Nothing in this Act shall affect any Hereditary or other Village Police officer, unless such officer shall be enrolled as a Police officer under this Act. When so enrolled, such officer shall be bound by the provisions of the last preceding Section. No Hereditary or other Village Police officer shall be enrolled without his consent, and the consent of those who have the right of nomination. If any Police officer appointed under Act XX of 1856 (*to make better provision for the appointment and maintenance of Police Chowkedars in Cities, Towns, Stations, Suburbs, and Bazars, in the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal*) is employed out of the District for which he shall have been appointed under that Act, he shall not be paid out of the rates levied under the said Act for that District.

Police officer to be considered always on duty, and may be employed in any part of the General Police District.

XXII. Every Police officer shall, for all purposes in this Act contained, be considered to be always on duty, and may at any time be employed as a Police officer in any part of the General District.

XXIII. It shall be the duty of every Police officer promptly to obey and execute all orders and warrants lawfully issued to him by any competent authority; to collect and communicate intelligence affecting the public peace; to prevent the commission of offences (*a*) and public nuisances (*b*); to detect and bring offenders to justice; and to apprehend all persons whom he is legally authorized to apprehend, and for whose apprehension sufficient ground exists; and it shall be lawful for every Police officer, for any of the purposes mentioned in this Section without a warrant, to enter and inspect any drinking-shop, gaming-house, or other place of resort of loose and disorderly character.

XXIV. It shall be lawful for any Police officer to lay any information before a Magistrate, and to apply for a summons, warrant, search-warrant, or such other legal process as may by law issue against any person committing an offence. (*The remaining portion of this section has been repealed by Act X, 1882*)

A Court Inspector's duties &c are:—

1. To cause attendance at the Magistrate's Courts and the Sessions of parties in a case and the witnesses, but not to keep the Register of the number of days such witnesses are in attendance, such duty pertaining to the Magistrate's amla.

2. All duties connected with the levy and receipt from Subdivisions of fines, and the remission thereof to the Treasury.

3. All duties connected with the Magistrate's *mall bawā*.

4. All duties connected with stolen, intestate and unclaimed property.

5. To execute all summonses, warrants and other processes of the Local Criminal Courts.

6. To receive diet money for witnesses and to distribute the same.

7. To draw and pay subsistence-money granted to released prisoners and prisoners sent into the District or other Districts, keeping an account of the same.

8. To make all inquiries in respect to security tendered, and to certify to recognizances and security bonds being signed by the parties concerned, keeping a register of such securities and bonds.

—Beng. Pol. 12, July 5, 1864.

Records.—All Police reports connected with crime, including station diaries, should be sent to the Magistrate's record-room, where they will be dealt with as directed by the High Court. Beng. Pol. Cir. 6, Dec. 22, 1863.

XXV. It shall be the duty of every Police officer to take charge of all unclaimed property, and to furnish an inventory thereof to the Magistrate of the District. The Police officers shall be guided as to the disposal of such property by such orders as they shall receive from the Magistrate of the District.

Police officers to take charge of unclaimed property, and to be subject to Magistrate's orders as to the disposal of it.

XXVI. The Magistrate of the District may detain the property and issue a proclamation, specifying the articles of which it consists, and requiring any person who has any claim thereto to appear and establish his right to the same within six months from the date of such proclamation.

Magistrate may detain property and issue proclamation.

XXVII. If no person shall within the period allowed claim such property, it may be sold under the orders of the Magistrate of the District and the proceeds shall be at the disposal of Government.

Confiscation of property if no claimant appear.

XXVIII. Every person, having ceased to be an enrolled Police officer under this Act, who shall not forthwith deliver up his certificate, and the clothing, accoutrements, appointments, and other necessities which shall have been supplied to him for the execution of his duty, shall be liable, on conviction before a

Persons refusing to deliver up certificate &c. on ceasing to be Police officers.

Magistrate, to a penalty not exceeding two hundred Rupees, or to imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding six months, or to both.

XXIX. Every Police officer who shall be guilty of any violation of duty or wilful breach or neglect of any rule or regulation or lawful order made by competent Authority; or who shall withdraw from the duties of his office without permission, or without

Penalties for neglect of duty, &c.

having given previous notice for the period of two months; or who shall engage without authority in any employment other than his Police duty; or who shall be guilty of cowardice, or who shall offer any unwarrantable personal violence to any person in his custody, shall be liable, on conviction before a Magistrate, to a penalty not exceeding three months' pay, or to imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding three months, or to both.

Under orders of the Bengal Government, no Magistrate except the Magistrate of the District shall institute proceedings against Police officers under S. 29. All cases in which these orders have been violated should be reported through the District Magistrate for the information and orders of the Commissioner, a copy being sent to the Inspector-General of Police.—Beng. Pol. Cir. 6, Feb. 16, 1867.

When a Police officer is suspended, his certificate of appointment ceases to have effect as provided by S. 8. He cannot therefore be convicted under S. 29 of neglect of any rule &c., during the term of his suspension.—Dinonath Gangooly, 17 W. R., 12 (S. C.) 8 B. L. R., 68 App.

Detention of a prisoner in custody for more than 24 hours is punishable under S. 29 being a wilful breach of the rule laid down in S. 167, Code of Criminal Procedure.—Basooram Dasa, 10 W. R., 36. The breach of duty must be intentional, not owing to a mistaken exercise of discretion on the part of a Police officer.—Radho Singh, 17 W. R., 34 (S. C.) 8 B. L. R., 61 App. Mere rashness or negligence on the part of a Police officer in searching a house for stolen property at the request of others is no offence under S. 29. The violation of duty contemplated must be a wilful intentional violation of some clear duty.—Bolaki Lall, 19 W. R., 7. The failure of a Police officer to rejoin his duty punctually on expiration of his leave is no offence under S. 29.—Janaki Nath Gupta, 1. L. R., 6 Cal., 625.

XXX. The District Superintendent and Assistant District Superintendent of Police may, as occasion requires, direct the conduct of all assemblies and processions on the public roads, or in the public streets, or thoroughfares, and prescribe the routes by which, and the times at which, such processions may pass. They may also regulate the use of music in the streets on the occasion of festivals and ceremonies.

Regulation of public processions, &c.

XXXI. It shall be the duty of the Police to keep order on the public roads, and in the public streets, thoroughfares, ghauts, and landing places, and at all other places of public resort, and to prevent obstructions on the occasions of assemblies and processions on the public roads, and in the public streets, or in the neighbourhood of places of worship, during the time of public worship, and in any case when any road, street, thoroughfare, ghaut, or landing place may be thronged or may be liable to be obstructed.

Police to keep order in public roads, &c.

XXXII. Every person opposing or not obeying the orders issued under the last two preceding Sections, or violating the conditions of any license granted by the District Superintendent or Assistant District Superintendent of Police for the use of music, or for the conduct of assemblies and processions, shall be liable on conviction before a Magistrate, to a fine not exceeding two hundred Rupees.

XXXIII. Nothing in the last three preceding Sections shall be deemed to interfere with the general control of the Magistrate of the District over the matters referred to therein.

XXXIV. Any person who, on any road, or in any street, or thoroughfare within the limits of any Town to which this Section shall be specially extended by the Local Government, commits any of the following offences, to the obstruction, inconvenience or annoyance, risk, danger, or damage of the residents and passengers, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be liable to a fine not exceeding fifty Rupees, or to imprisonment not exceeding eight days; and it shall be lawful for any Police officer to take into custody, without a warrant, any person who within his view commits any of such offences, namely:—

Slaughtering cattle. Furious riding, &c.
other cattle.

First,—Any person who slaughters any cattle or cleans any carcass; any person who rides or drives any cattle recklessly or furiously, or trains or breaks any horse or

Cruelty to animals.

Second,—Any person who wantonly or cruelly beats, abuses, or tortures any animal.

Third,—Any person

who keeps any cattle or conveyance of any kind standing longer than is required for loading or unloading or for taking up or setting down passengers, or who leaves any conveyance in such a manner as to cause inconvenience or danger to the public.

Obstructing passengers.

Exposing goods for sale on roads.

Fourth,—Any person who exposes any goods for sale.

Fifth,—Any person who throws or lays down any dirt, filth, rubbish, or any stones or building materials; or who constructs any cowshed, stable, or the like, or who causes any offensive matter to

Throwing dirt into street.

run from any house, factory, dung-heap, or the like.

Being found drunk in any thoroughfare.

Sixth,—Any person who is found drunk or riotous, or who is incapable of taking care of himself.

Seventh,—Any person who wilfully and indecently exposes his person, or any offensive deformity or disease, or commits nuisance by easing himself, or by bathing or washing in any tank or reservoir not being a place set apart for that purpose.

Indecent exposure of person.

Neglect to protect dangerous places.

Eighth,—Any person who neglects to fence in, or duly to protect any well, tank, or other dangerous place or structure.

The Local Government can extend the operation of this section to any cantonment.—Act III, 1880, S. 10.

XXXV. [In all cases of convictions under this Act, the officer trying the case shall be limited to his ordinary jurisdiction as to the amount of fine or imprisonment which he may inflict; provided that—

Proviso.

Repealed by Act X of 1882.] Any charge against a Police officer above the rank of a Constable under this Act shall be inquired into and determined only by an Officer exercising the powers of a Magistrate.

XXXVI. Nothing contained in this Act shall be construed to prevent any person from being prosecuted under any other Regulation or Act for any offence made punishable by this Act, or from being liable under any other Regulation or Act to any other or higher penalty or punishment than is provided for such offence by this Act. Provided that no person shall be punished twice for the same offence.

XXXVII. All forfeitures or penalties imposed under the authority of this Act for offences punishable by a Magistrate may, in case of non-payment thereof, be levied by distress and sale of the property of the offender within the limits of the jurisdiction of the Magistrate of the District, by warrant under the hand of the Magistrate who made the order.

XXXVIII. In case any such forfeiture or penalty shall not be forthwith paid, the Magistrate may order the offender to be apprehended and detained in safe custody until the return can be conveniently made to such warrant of distress, unless the offender shall give security, to the satisfaction of the Magistrate, for his appearance at such place and time as shall be appointed for the return of the warrant of distress.

XXXIX. If upon the return of such warrant it shall appear that no sufficient distress can be had whereon to levy such fine, and the same shall not be forthwith paid, or in case it shall appear to the satisfaction of the Magistrate by the confession of the offender otherwise, that he has not sufficient property whereupon such fine or sum of money could be levied if a warrant of distress were issued, the Magistrate may, by warrant under his hand, commit the offender, provided he is not a European British subject, to prison, there to be imprisoned, according to the discretion of the Magistrate, for any term not exceeding two calendar months when the amount of fine shall not exceed fifty Rupees, and for any term not exceeding four calendar months when the amount shall not exceed one hundred Rupees, and for any term not exceeding six calendar months in any other case, the commitment to be determinable in each of the cases aforesaid on payment of the amount.

XL. If the offender be a European British subject, the Magistrate shall record the facts and transmit such record to the District Court of the District wherein the offender is convicted, and the amount of the fine and costs (if any) shall be levied in the manner provided for the execution of decrees of the Civil Court.

XLI. All sums paid for the service of process by Police officers, and all rewards, forfeitures, and penalties or shares of rewards, forfeitures and penalties which by law are payable to informers, shall, when the information is laid by a Police officer, be paid into the General Police Fund.

XLII. All actions and prosecutions against any person, which may be lawfully brought for anything done or intended to be done under the provisions of this Act, or under the general Police powers hereby given, shall be commenced within three months after the act complained of shall have been committed and not otherwise; and notice in writing of such action and of the cause thereof shall be given to the defendant, or to the District Superintendent or Assistant District Superintendent of the District in which the act was committed, one month at least before the commencement of the action. No plaintiff shall

recover in any such action, if tender of sufficient amends shall have been made before such action brought, or if a sufficient sum of money shall have been paid into Court after such action brought, by or on behalf of the defendant, and though a decree shall be given for the plaintiff in

any such action, such plaintiff shall not have costs against the defendant, unless the Judge before whom the trial is held shall certify his approbation of the action. Provided always that no action shall in

any case lie where such Officers shall have been prosecuted criminally for the same act.

XLIII. When any action or prosecution shall be brought or any proceedings held against any Police officer for any act done by him in such capacity, it shall be lawful for him to plead that such act was done by him under the authority of a warrant issued by a Magistrate. Such plea shall be proved by the production of the warrant directing the act, and purporting to be signed by such Magistrate, and the defendant shall thereupon be entitled to a decree in his favour, notwithstanding any defect of jurisdiction in such Magistrate. No proof of the signature of such Magistrate shall be necessary, unless the Court shall see reason to doubt its being genuine. Provided

Proviso. always that any remedy which the party may have against the authority issuing such warrant shall not be affected by anything contained in this Section.

XLIV. It shall be the duty of every officer in charge of a Police station to keep a General Diary in such form as shall, from time to time, be prescribed by the Local Government, and to record therein all complaints and charges preferred, the names of all persons arrested, the names of the complainants, the offences charged against them, the weapons or property that shall have been taken from their possession or otherwise, and the names of the witnesses who shall have been examined. The Magistrate of the District shall be at liberty to call for and inspect such Diary.

Registers and Returns of Police-stations.

The following are the books (1) and files (5) to be kept up at each Police-station :—

1. First information Report.
2. Form A (charges accepted).
3. Do. B (Do. refused).
4. Do. C (undetected cases). For instructions as to filling the above forms, see Rules for Police Procedure on Criminal cases.
5. Register of property stolen and recovered, form No. 1.—
 - i. Property should be entered in this register as stolen as soon as the case is reported true, and not otherwise, unless ordered.
 - ii. On a complaint involving loss of property being reported at a Police station, the complainant should be required to put in a list signed by himself, which should be sent to the Court officer with the First Information Report. A detailed list need not accompany the duplicate report to the District Superintendent, merely the description and aggregate amount of the reported loss should be stated. The investigating officer will, however, himself keep a copy to aid him in his inquiry.
 - iii. When complainants are unable to furnish a list of the property alleged to be stolen at the Police station, such list should be prepared by the investigating officer as soon after his arrival at the spot where the inquiry is to be made as soon as possible, and be forwarded, duly signed by the complainant, to the Court officer. The aggregate value and description of the property should then be recorded in the special diary for the information of the District Superintendent.
 - iv. Only property ascertained to have been stolen should be entered in the register. The list put in by the complainant, a copy of which should be attached to the counterfoil of the First Information Report, will show what amount of property was alleged to have been stolen in the first instance.
6. Register of unclaimed and intestate property found; Form No. 2.

Unclaimed and intestate property register.

Under S. 44 a Police officer is bound to record in his special Diary all complaints and charges preferred, and he should also enter in that Diary all intelligence affecting the public peace which he is by S. 33 required to collect and communicate. Where a Police officer had neglected his duty in this respect, it was held that he had been properly convicted under S. 177, Penal Code.—*Syed Futtah Mahomed, 21 W. R., 30.*

XLV. The Local Government may direct the submission of such Returns by Local Government and the Inspector-General and other Police officers as to such

powered to prescribe the Local Government shall seem proper, and may prescribe the form in which such Returns shall be made.

XLVI. This Act shall not take effect in any Presidency, Province, or place, unless the same shall be extended to such Presidency, Province, or place by the Governor-General of India in Council by an order to be published in the Government Gazette. When the Act shall have been so extended, it shall be carried into effect in such Presidency, Province, or place as the Local Government, by an order to be published in the Official Gazette, shall direct.

XLVII. It shall be lawful for the Local Government, in carrying this Act into effect in any part of the territories subject to such Local Government, to declare that any authority which now is or may be exercised by the Magistrate of the District over any Village Watchman or other Village Police officer for the purposes of Police, shall be exercised, subject to the general control of the Magistrate of the District by the District Superintendent of Police.

FORM (See Section VIII.)

A, B, has been appointed a Member of the Police Force, under Act V of 1861, and is vested with the powers, functions, and privileges of a Police officer.

REGULATION No. 11 of 1883.

A Regulation to enable certain Police-officers in Assam to exercise authority other than that prescribed by section 20 of Act V of 1861, and to empower the Chief Commissioner to confer on such officers certain magisterial powers.

WHEREAS by section 20 of Act V of 1861 (*for the regulation of Police*), it was enacted that Police-officers enrolled under that Act should not exercise any authority except the authority provided for a Police-officer under that Act and any Act which should thereafter be passed for regulating criminal procedure.

And whereas by section 14 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which repealed certain similar provisions in Act V of 1861 (*for the regulation of Police*), it was enacted that the Local Government might confer upon any person all or any of the powers conferred or conferrible by or under that Code on a Magistrate of the first, second or third class in respect to particular cases, or to a particular class or particular classes of cases, or in regard to cases generally, in any local area outside the Presidency-towns, but that no powers should be conferred under that section on any Police-officer below the grade of Assistant District Superintendent, and that no powers should be conferred except so far as might be necessary for preserving the peace, preventing crime and detecting, apprehending and detaining offenders in order to their being brought before a Magistrate, and for the performance by the officer of any other duties imposed upon him by any law for the time being in force.

And whereas it is expedient that Police-officers in Assam not below the grade of Assistant District Superintendent be enabled to exercise authority other than that prescribed by section 20 of Act V of 1861,

And whereas it is also expedient to empower the Chief Commissioner of Assam to confer on any Police-officer in Assam, not below the same grade, all or any of the powers conferred or conferrible by or under the Code of Criminal Procedure on a Magistrate of the first, second or third class in respect to all non-cognizable cases other than those which may have been investigated by the Police.

It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title.

1. (1) This Regulation may be called the Assam Police-officers' Regulation, 1883.

Local extent.

(2). It extends to all the territories administered by the Chief Commissioner of Assam in which Act V of 1861 (*for the regulation of Police*) or the Code of Criminal Procedure is for the time being in force.

Commencement.

(3). And it shall come into force at once.

2. In this Regulation, the expression "non-cognizable case" shall have the meaning assigned to it by the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Meaning of "non-cognizable case."
Application of section 20 of Act V of 1861 limited to certain Police-officers.

3. Section 20 of Act V of 1861 (*for the regulation of Police*) shall apply only to Police-officers below the grade of Assistant District Superintendent.

4. Notwithstanding anything contained in section 14 of the Code of Criminal Procedure or in places where the Code is not in force, in section 6 of Act V of 1861 (*for the regulation of Police*), the Chief Commissioner of Assam may confer on any Police-officer not below the grade of Assistant District Superintendent, all or any of the powers conferred or conferrible by or under the Code on a Magistrate of the first, second or third class in respect to non-cognizable cases:

Provided that a Police-officer on whom any powers are conferred under this section shall not exercise those powers in any case which may have been investigated by the Police.—*Gaz. India*, 1888, Part I, p. 370.

ACT No. IX OF 1874.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 7th April 1874.)

An Act to consolidate and amend the Law relating to European Vagrancy.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the laws relating to persons of European extraction who wander in a destitute condition throughout India; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Preamble.

PART I.

PRELIMINARY.

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The European Vagrancy Act, 1874."

It extends to the whole of British India and to the dominions of Princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty;

Local extent.

And it shall come into force at once: Provided that sections four to sixteen (both inclusive), nineteen, twenty, twenty-four and twenty-nine shall not come into force in Coorg, or in the Andaman and

Commencement.

Nicobar Islands, or in any of the dominions of the Princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty not situate within the limits of any Presidency, Lieutenant-Governorship or Chief Commissionership in British India, until such day or respective days as the Governor General in Council from time to time, by notification in the *Gazette of India*, appoints in this behalf.

II. Acts No. XXI of 1869 (*to provide against European Vagrancy*) and No. XXVIII of 1871 (*to amend the European Vagrancy Act, 1869*) are hereby repealed.

Repeal of Acts.

But all appointments and orders made, work-houses provided, certificates given, powers conferred, rules prescribed and exemptions granted under the former Act, shall be deemed to have been respectively made, provided, given, conferred, prescribed and granted under this Act.

Interpretation-clause.

III. In this Act—

"Person of European extraction."

"Person of European extraction" includes—

(a) persons born in Europe, America, the West Indies, Australia, Tasmania, New Zealand, Natal, or the Cape Colony.

(b) the sons and grandsons of such persons,

but does not include persons commonly called Eurasians or East Indians:

"Vagrant" means a person of European extraction found asking for alms, or wandering about without any employment or visible means of subsistence:

"Vagrant."

"Master of a ship." "Master of a ship" includes any person in charge of a decked vessel :

And in Parts III and V of this Act "Magistrate" means, within the limits of the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, a Magistrate of Police, and, outside those limits, a person exercising powers under the Code of Criminal Procedure not less than those of a Magistrate of the second class.

PART II. PROCEDURE.

IV. Any Police officer may, within the limits of the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, require any person who is apparently a vagrant to accompany him or any other Police officer to, and to appear before, the nearest Magistrate of Police, and may, without those limits, require any such person to accompany him or any other Police officer to, and to appear before, the nearest Justice of the Peace exercising the powers of a Magistrate of the first class under the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Whenever any person apparently a vagrant, refuses or fails to comply with any requisition made by a Police officer under S 4, he may forthwith be arrested by such Police officer without warrant or the purpose of being produced before the officer empowered to deal with the case.—Rule III passed by the Governor General in Council.

V. The Magistrate of Police or Justice shall in each case, or in any other case where a person apparently a vagrant comes before him, make summary inquiry into the circumstances and character of the apparent vagrant; and, if he is satisfied that such person is a vagrant, he shall record in his office a declaration to that effect.

If he is further of opinion that the vagrant is not likely to obtain employment at once, or if he has reason to believe that a declaration of vagrancy has on any former occasion been recorded in respect of such vagrant, he shall require the vagrant to go to a Government work-house, and shall draw up an order to that effect.

The vagrant shall then be placed in charge of the Police for the purpose of being forwarded to the work-house, and the said order shall be a sufficient authority to the Police for retaining him in their charge while he is on his way to the work-house, and to the Governor of the work-house for receiving and detaining such vagrant.

VI. Where the officer, making the inquiry mentioned in section five, is of opinion that the vagrant is likely to obtain employment in any place subject to the Local Government, or (when the vagrant is in any part of the dominions mentioned in section one) in any place subject to any adjacent Local Government, such officer may in his discretion forward the vagrant to such place in charge of the Police and draw up an order to that effect.

Such order shall be a sufficient authority to the Police for retaining the vagrant in their charge while he is on his way to such place of employment.

VII. Upon his arrival at the place of employment, the vagrant shall be taken before the nearest Magistrate of Police or Justice of the Peace exercising powers as aforesaid, to whom the order for transmission shall be delivered.

Such officer shall thereupon, to the best of his ability, assist the vagrant in seeking employment, and may in the meantime, if he think fit, keep the vagrant in the charge of the Police.

Should the vagrant fail to obtain suitable employment within a reasonable time not exceeding fifteen days from such arrival, such officer shall forward him to a Government work-house in the manner provided by section five.

VIII. Every person while in charge of the Police, whether before inquiry as to his vagrancy, or while he is on his way, under section five, to the work-house, or, under section six, to a place of employment, shall be entitled to an allowance for his subsistence at the rate of eight annas per diem.

The Magistrate of Police or Justice, before whom any vagrant is taken under section seven, may, if he think fit, order the vagrant to receive a similar allowance while he is seeking employment.

The Local Government shall cause such allowance to be paid out of such funds at its disposal and such manner as it may from time to time direct.

The subsistence money shall not ordinarily be made over to the person, but shall be kept and disbursed on his account by the Police or other officer in whose custody he is for the time being.—Rule IV passed by the Governor-General in Council.

IX. Any Magistrate of Police or Justice of the Peace exercising powers as aforesaid may, on being satisfied that any person of European extraction is not likely to become a vagrant, give such person a certificate under his hand stating that for a certain time (mentioning it) not exceeding six months from the date of the certificate, and within certain limits (mentioning them), nothing in sections four, five, six and seven shall apply to the holder of such certificate; and thereupon, so long as the certificate remains in force, nothing in sections four, five, six and seven shall apply to such person within such limits as aforesaid.

Every such certificate shall be in the form set forth in the first Schedule to this Act annexed, or as near thereto as circumstances will admit.

No certificate shall be given unless there be good ground for believing that the person applying for it is *bona fide* in search of employment and has a fair chance of obtaining it and is of quiet and orderly behaviour.

The certificate shall be printed on parchment or paper of very durable character and shall be in English with translation in the two principal vernacular languages of the territory under the Local Government.—Rules V, VI passed by the Governor-General in Council.

X. The Local Government may from time to time, by notification in the official Gazette, invest any Justice of the Peace, District Superintendent of Police, or Assistant District Superintendent of Police with the jurisdiction and powers conferred by this Part on a Justice of the Peace exercising powers as aforesaid.

Power to invest certain officials with jurisdiction of Justices under sections 5, 7, 8 and 9.

PART III.

GOVERNMENT WORK-HOUSES.

XI. The Local Government, with the previous sanction of the Governor General in Council, may provide work-houses with their necessary furniture and establishment, at such places as it may think proper, for the temporary reception of vagrants,

or may, by writing under the hand of a Secretary to such Government, certify any building, or part of a building not provided as a work-house under the former part of this section, to be fit for a work-house for the purposes of this Act. Every such certificate shall be published in the local official Gazette, and thereupon such building or part of a building shall, until the Local Government otherwise orders, be deemed a Government work-house under this Act.

Provision of Government work-houses.

The Local Government shall allow the same scale of diet for the support of vagrants received in such work-houses as is for the time being allowed for Europeans confined in the local prisons or penitentiaries.

Scale of diet.
Superintendence of work-houses.

XII. Every such work-house shall be under the immediate charge of a Governor, who shall be appointed, and may be suspended or removed, by the Local Government.

Every such Governor shall, if the Local Government think fit, be subject to the orders of a Committee of Management appointed from time to time by such Government, or, in the absence of a Committee, to the orders of such officer as the Local Government from time to time appoints in this behalf.

XIII. Every such Governor may order that any vagrant admitted to the work-house under his charge shall be searched, and that the vagrant's bundles, packages and other effects shall be inspected, and may direct that any money then found with or on the vagrant, shall be applied (subject to the orders of the Local Government) towards the expense of carrying this Act into execution, and may order that all or any of the said effects shall be sold, and that the produce of the sale be applied as aforesaid, but subject to the like orders.

XIV. Vagrants admitted to work-houses under this Act shall be subject to such rules of management and discipline as may from time to time be prescribed by the Local Government with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council.

The Local Government may authorize any Governor of a work-house to punish (under or not under the supervision and direction of a Committee of Management, as the Local Government thinks fit) any vagrant who knowingly disobeys or neglects any such rule with any one of the following punishments, namely:—

- (a) solitary confinement within the work-house for any time not exceeding seven days;
- (b) solitary confinement within the work-house for any time not exceeding three days upon a diet reduced to such extent as the Local Government may prescribe;
- (c) hard labour for any time not exceeding seven days;
- (d) reduction of diet to such extent as the Local Government may prescribe for any time not exceeding five days;

Or in lieu of any such punishment any such vagrant may, on conviction before a Magistrate of such disobedience or neglect, be punishable with rigorous imprisonment in jail for a term which may extend to three months.

XV. The Governor and the Committee of Management (if any) of every such work-house shall use his and their best endeavours to obtain outside the work-house suitable employment for the vagrants admitted thereto.

When such employment is obtained, any such vagrant refusing or neglecting to avail himself thereof, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punishable with rigorous imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month.

PART IV.

REMOVAL FROM INDIA.

XVI. If, after the lapse of a reasonable time, no suitable employment is obtainable for any such vagrant, the Local Government may either (when he has entered into such agreement as hereinafter mentioned) cause him to be removed from British India in manner hereinafter provided, the cost of such removal being paid by Government;

or it may cause sections twenty-three and thirty to be read to him and may then release him.

The time shall not ordinarily exceed two months and shall not in any case exceed six months.—
Rule VII passed by the Governor General in Council.

XVII. Any vagrant or other person of European extraction may enter into an agreement in writing with the Secretary of State for India in Council, binding himself—

(a) to proceed to such port in British India as shall be mentioned in the agreement;

(b) there to embark on board such ship and at such time as is directed by an officer appointed in this behalf by the Local Government of the territories in which such port is situate, for the purpose of being removed from India at the expense of the said Secretary of State in Council;

(c) to remain on board such ship until she has arrived at her port of destination; and

(d) not to return to India until five years have elapsed from the date of such embarkation.

Every such agreement may be on unstamped paper and shall be in the form set forth in the second Schedule to this Act annexed, or as near thereto as circumstances admit.

XVIII. The Local Government of the territories in which the said port is situate, may enter into such contracts for conveyance or otherwise, and perform such other acts as may be necessary to carry out such agreement on the part of the said Secretary of State in Council.

Rule VIII. In a Presidency town, the Commissioner of Police and elsewhere Magistrates with full powers (that is of the first class) being also Justices of the Peace shall be competent to act on behalf of the Secretary of State in Council in making agreements under section 17.

Rule IX. All such agreements shall be executed in duplicate and the officer executing on behalf of the Secretary of State in Council shall retain one of the copies.

Rule X. When an agreement has been entered into by a vagrant under S. 17, he shall be forwarded along with the original agreement in the charge of a Police officer to the officer at the Port of embarkation who is empowered by the Local Government to receive vagrants, and thereafter and until his embarkation he shall remain in the custody of that officer or of such other officer as the Local Government empower on their behalf.

He shall during such term be entitled to subsistence at eight annas *per diem* to be disbursed as directed by Rule IV. (See note to S. 8 *infra*)

Rule XI. Local Governments within whose jurisdiction Ports are situate shall make all the necessary arrangements for the reception and custody of vagrants sent for deportation by other Local Governments or authorities in the interior. They will from time to time, as may be necessary, give notice of such arrangements to the forwarding authorities.

Road expenses shall be provided by the forwarding authority. All further expenses incurred in proceedings under Part IV of the Act shall be defrayed by the Local Government of the Port of embarkation on account of the Secretary of State in Council.

Rule XIII. No agreement for deportation shall be entered into with any person of European extraction born in this country, and who has never been out of it, unless he satisfies the Local Government that he is likely to gain a livelihood in some place out of India.

Rule XIV. The officer empowered to direct the deportation of vagrants will see that no unnecessary time is lost in providing passage for those who have entered into agreements to be deported. As a rule Europeans should be sent to Europe, Americans to America, West Indians to the West Indies, Australians to Australia and New Zealanders to New Zealand. But the local authorities will exercise their discretion in sending vagrants to other countries than their own, when it appears that such a course will be for their advantage and that they will be favourably received on arriving at their destination.

Rule XV. Descriptive notes, and as far as possible, photographs of all persons deported shall be kept by the Local Government or Administrations within whose territories the ports are situated.
Rules passed by the Governor-General in Council.—*Gaz. India*, Oct. 22, 1870, p. 723.

PART V.

PENALTIES.

XIX. Any person refusing or failing to accompany a Police officer to, or to appear before, a Magistrate of Police or Justice of the Peace, for the purpose of preliminary inquiry, when required so to do under section four, may be arrested without warrant and shall be punishable, whether he be or be not an European British subject, on conviction before a Magistrate, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one month, or with fine, or with both.

And any person who, when required under section four to accompany a Police officer to, or to appear before, a Magistrate of Police or Justice of the Peace, commits an offence punishable under section three hundred and fifty-three of the Indian Penal Code, may, whether he be or be not an European British subject, be tried by a Magistrate for such offence.

XX. Any vagrant who escapes from the Police while committed to their charge under the orders specified in sections five and six,

Escaping from Police. or who leaves a work-house, under this Act, without permission from the Governor,

Quitting work-house without leave. or who having with such permission left a work-house for a limited time or a specified purpose, fails to return on the expiration of such time or when such purpose has been accomplished or proves to be impracticable,

Failing to return to work-house. shall for every such offence be punishable, on conviction before a Magistrate, with rigorous imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years.

XXI. Any person entering into an agreement under section seventeen, and failing to proceed in pursuance thereof to the port therein mentioned,

Failing to proceed to port of embarkation. Refusing to go on board-ship. or refusing to embark when directed so to do under the same section,

Escaping from ship. or escaping from the ship in which he has so embarked before she has reached her port of destination,

shall for every such offence be punishable, whether he be or be not an European British subject, on conviction before a Magistrate, with rigorous imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months.

XXII. Any person returning to India within five years of the date of his embarkation pursuant to any agreement entered into under section seventeen, unless specially permitted so to do by the Secretary of State for India, shall for every such offence be punishable, whether he be or be not an European British subject, on conviction before a Magistrate, with rigorous imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years.

XXIII. Any person of European extraction found asking for alms when he has sufficient means of subsistence, or asking for alms in a threatening or insolent manner,

or continuing to ask for alms of any person after he has been required to desist, shall be punishable, whether he be or be not an European British subject, on conviction before a Magistrate with rigorous imprisonment for a term not exceeding one month for the first offence, two months for the second, and three months for any subsequent offence.

XXIV. Every person imprisoned under section nineteen, twenty, twenty-one, twenty-two or twenty-three, shall, at the end of his term of imprisonment, be placed before the nearest Magistrate of Police or Justice of the Peace exercising powers as aforesaid, who

if he think fit, forthwith deal with him in the manner prescribed by sections six and six.

The order of transmission shall certify the fact of the previous conviction.

XXV. Every master of a ship landing or allowing to land in any part of British India any person of European extraction who has been convicted in any other part of Her Majesty's dominions of felony, or of an offence which, if committed in England, would be felony, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate be liable, for every such person so landed or allowed to land, to pay a fine not exceeding five hundred rupees and not less than one hundred rupees, and, in default of payment, to imprisonment for any term not exceeding two months, unless the defendant satisfy the Magistrate by evidence (which the defendant is hereby declared competent to give), that he had made due inquiry as to the person so landed, or allowed to land, and that he had no reason to believe that such person had been convicted as aforesaid.

The Governor-General in Council may from time to time, by notification in the *Gazette of India*, exempt from the operation of the former part of this section the masters of any class of ships, on such terms as to the Governor-General in Council seem fit, and either in respect of all or of any of the persons on board such ships.

The Governor-General in Council may in like manner revoke any exemption made under this section.

XXVI. All fines imposed under this Act may be recovered, if for offences committed outside the local limits of the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, in the manner prescribed by the Code of Criminal Procedure, and if for offences committed within those limits, in the manner prescribed by any Act regulating the Police of such towns in force for the time being.

All fines recovered under this Act shall be paid to the credit of the Government of India, or as the Governor-General in Council from time to time directs.

XXVII. All prosecutions under this Act may be instituted and conducted by such officer as the Local Government from time to time appoints in this behalf.

XXVIII. In imposing penalties under this Part and Part III of this Act, no person shall exceed the limits of jurisdiction prescribed for him by the Code of Criminal Procedure in the case of offenders not being European British subjects.

XXIX. No proceeding under this Act shall be deemed invalid by reason only that the Magistrate of Police or Justice, before whom a person, apparently a vagrant, was required to appear or before whom a person was placed under section twenty-four, was not the nearest.

PART VI.

MISCELLANEOUS.

XXX. Any European British subject who, upon the summary inquiry mentioned in section five, has been determined to be a vagrant, or who has been convicted under section twenty-two or section twenty-three, shall, so long as he remains in India, be subject, beyond the limits of the said towns, to the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure (other than those contained in Chapter XXXVIII of the same Code) applicable to an European not being a British subject.

If from any cause he is committed or held to bail by a Justice of the Peace to take his trial before a High Court, he shall not be at liberty to object to the jurisdiction of such Justice of the Peace or High Court on the ground of anything contained in the former part of this section.

Save as aforesaid nothing herein contained shall be deemed to confer jurisdiction over European British subjects on Magistrates, who, if this Act had not been passed, would have had no such jurisdiction.

Chapter XXXVIII of the Code of 1872, which was the law in force when this Act was passed has been repealed and re-enacted in Chapter XXXIII of the Code of 1882, reference to which should be substituted for the terms of S. 30.—See S. 3., Code Criminal Procedure, 1882.

XXXI. Whenever any person of European extraction lands in India, or, being a Non-Commissioned Officer or Soldier in Her Majesty's Army, leaves that Army in India, under engagement to serve any other person, or any Company, Association or body of persons in any capacity,

and whenever a sailor of European extraction, not being a British subject, is discharged from his ship in any British Indian port,

and becomes chargeable to the State as a vagrant within one year after his arrival in India leaving the Army, or discharge from his ship, as the case may be, then the person, or Company, Association or body, to serve whom he has so landed in India or left the Army, or, in the case of a sailor, the person who is at the date of the discharge the owner or agent of the ship from which the sailor has been so discharged, shall be liable to pay to the Government the cost of his removal under this Act, and all other charges incurred by the State in consequence of his becoming a vagrant.

Such costs and charges shall be recoverable by suit as if an express agreement to repay them had been entered into with the Secretary of State for India in Council, by the person, Company, Association, body, owner or agent chargeable.

XXXII. When any person of European extraction lands in India, being or having been during his passage to India, or from one Indian port to another, in charge of, or attendance upon, any animal, and becomes chargeable to the State as a vagrant within one year after his arrival in India, then

the consignee of such animal, or the agents in India for the sale of such animal, or, if such consignee or agents cannot be found, the agent to whom the ship in which such animal arrived in India was consigned, shall be liable to pay to the Government the cost of such person's removal under this Act, and all other charges incurred by the State in consequence of his becoming a vagrant.

Any such consignee or agent shall be entitled to charge the consignor or principal for any payment to the Government under this section.

For the purposes of this section 'Consignee' includes any person who undertakes to dispose of such animal for the benefit of the consignor, and

'Agent' includes any person who undertakes the agency of such ship, though it may not have been consigned to him.

'Consignee' defined.

'Agent' defined.

XXXIII. In any proceeding under this Part, a certified copy of the declaration recorded under section five, shall be *prima facie* evidence, that the European British subject named therein has been upon the summary inquiry mentioned in that section, determined to be and that he was at the date of the declaration a vagrant.

Evidence of declaration under section 5.

XXXIV. The powers and duties conferred and imposed by sections sixteen and eighteen, on a Local Government, may be exercised and performed by such class of officers as the Local Government from time to time, by notification in the official Gazette,

Exercise of power conferred on Local Government.

appoints in this behalf.

XXXV. The powers and duties conferred and imposed by this Act on Magistrates,

Exercise in Native States of powers conferred on Magistrates, Justices, and Police.

General in Council from time to time, by notification in the *Gazette of India*, appoints in this behalf.

Justices of the Peace exercising the powers of a Magistrate of the first class, and Police officers respectively may, in places beyond the limits of British India, be exercised and performed by such persons respectively as the Governor-

XXXVI. The Governor-General in Council may from time to time make rules, consistent with this Act, for the guidance of officers in matters connected with its enforcement,

All rules shall be published in the *Gazette of India*, and shall thereupon have the force of law.

These rules have been entered under the various sections to which they apply and have been published in the *Gazette of India*, Oct. 20, 1870, p. 723.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE.

(See Section 9.)

WHEREAS *E. F.* of a person of European extraction and holder of this certificate, has appeared before me and satisfied me that he is not likely to become a vagrant within the meaning of the European Vagrancy Act, 1874, **THESE ARE TO CERTIFY** that for the space of months from the date hereof and within the Province [or District] of nothing in sections four, five, six seven of the same Act shall be deemed to apply to him, unless he is found asking for alms, IN WHICH CASE this certificate shall be void.

(Signed) *G. H.*

Dated this day 18 .
Magistrate of Police for the Town of or Justice of the Peace
for exercising the powers of a Magistrate of the class.

THE SECOND SCHEDULE.

(See Section 17.)

ARTICLES OF AGREEMENT made this day of 18
BETWEEN the Secretary of State for India in Council of the one part and *C. D.* of, &c., [*the vagrant*] of the other part: Each of the parties hereto (so far as relates to the acts on his own part to be performed) hereby agrees with the other of them as follows:—

1. The said *C. D.* shall proceed forthwith to the port of [*the port of embarkation.*]

2. The said *C. D.* shall there embark on board such ship and at such time as an officer appointed in this behalf by the Local Government shall direct.

3. The said *C. D.* shall remain on board such ship until she shall have arrived at her port of destination.

4. The said *C. D.* shall not return to India until five years shall have elapsed from the date of such embarkation, unless specially permitted so to return by the said Secretary of State.

5. The said Secretary of State in Council shall defray the cost of the transit of the said *C. D.* to the said port, and of his lodging and subsistence during such transit and during his detention (if any) at the same port, and shall contract with the owner of the said ship, or his agent, for the passage of the said *C. D.* on board the said ship, and for his subsistence during the voyage for which he shall embark as aforesaid.

In witness whereof *A. B.* (by order of the Governor-General of India in Council [or the Governor of _____ in Council or the Lieutenant-Governor of _____, or the Chief Commissioner of _____], on behalf of the said Secretary of State in Council), and the said *C. D.* have hereunto set their hands the day and year first above written.

ACT NO. XXI OF 1879.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 14th November, 1879.)

An Act to provide for the trial of offences committed in places beyond British India and for the Extradition of Criminals.

WHEREAS by treaty, capitulation, agreement, grant, usage, sufferance and other lawful means the Governor General of India in Council has power and jurisdiction within divers places beyond the limits of British India; and whereas such power and jurisdiction have, from time to time, been delegated to Political Agents and others acting under the authority of the Governor General in Council; and whereas doubts having arisen how far the exercise of such power and jurisdiction, and the delegation thereof, were controlled by and dependent on the laws of British India, the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1872, was passed to remove such doubts, and also to consolidate and amend the law relating to the exercise and delegation of such power and jurisdiction, and to offences committed by British subjects beyond the limits of British India, and to the extradition of criminals; and whereas it is expedient to repeal that Act and to enact it with the amendments hereinafter appearing; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

CHAPTER I.—PRELIMINARY.

I. This Act may be called "The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1879":

Short title.

Extent.

It extends to the whole of British India;

to all Native Indian subjects of Her Majesty beyond the limits of British India; and

to all European British subjects within the dominions of Princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty;

Commencement.

and it shall come into force on the passing thereof.

But nothing contained in this Act shall affect the provisions of any law or treaty for the time being in force as to the extradition of offenders; and the procedure provided by any such law or treaty shall be followed in every case to which it applies.

II. The Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1872, is repealed; but all existing appointments, delegations, certificates, requisitions and rules made, and existing notifications, summonses, and orders, shall continue in force until the expiration of the term for which they were made.

Repeal.

warrants, orders, and directions issued, under that Act shall, in so far as they are consistent herewith, be deemed to have been respectively made and issued hereunder.

Interpretation-clause.

"Political Agent."

III. In this Act, unless there is something repugnant in the subject or context,—

"Political Agent" means and includes—

(1) the principal officer representing the British Indian Government in any territory or place beyond the limits of British India :

(2) any officer in British India appointed by the Governor General in Council, or the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Fort St. George or Bombay, to exercise all or any of the powers of a Political Agent under this Act for any place not forming part of British India ; and

"European British subject."

"European British subject" means a European British subject as defined in the Code of Criminal Procedure.

CHAPTER II.—POWERS OF BRITISH OFFICERS IN PLACES BEYOND BRITISH INDIA.

IV. The Governor General in Council may exercise any power or jurisdiction

Exercise of powers of Governor.

General in places beyond British India, and delegation thereof.

which he for the time being has within any country or place beyond the limits of British India, and may delegate the same to any servant of the British Indian Government, in such manner and to such extent as the Governor General in Council from time to time thinks fit.

V. A notification

Notification of exercise or delegation of such powers.

in the *Gazette of India* of the exercise by the Governor-General in Council of any such power or jurisdiction, and of the delegation thereof by him to any person or class of persons, and of the rules of procedure or other conditions to which such persons are to conform, and of the local area within which their powers are to be exercised, shall be conclusive proof of the truth of the matters stated in the notification.

The following orders have been issued under sections 4 and 5 of this Act :—

No. 1768 I.—1. Every Political Agent for the time being accredited to a Native State in the Central India Agency shall exercise within the limits of that State (in all cases in which such powers may lawfully be exercised by the Governor-General in Council within such States) the powers of a District Magistrate and a Court of Session as described in the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India for the time being shall exercise the powers of a Court of Session and High Court as described in the said Code in respect of all offences over which magisterial jurisdiction is exercised by any such Political Agent within any such State, provided that no such Political Agent shall commit any accused person for trial to the Agent to the Governor-General acting as a Court of Session.

3. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India for the time being shall exercise the powers of a High Court as described in the said Code in respect of all offences over which the jurisdiction of a Court of Session is exercised by any such Political Agent within any such State, except that in cases in which the Code requires that the sentence of a Court of Session shall be confirmed by the High Court, the sentence shall be referred for confirmation to the Governor-General in Council instead of the Agent to the Governor-General.

4. In the exercise of the jurisdiction of a Court of Session conferred on him by this notification, a Political Agent may take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original criminal jurisdiction without the accused person being committed to him by a Magistrate, and shall when so taking cognizance of any offence follow the procedure laid down by the Code of Criminal Procedure for the trial of warrant cases by Magistrates.

5. This notification applies to all proceedings except—

(a) proceedings against European British subjects or persons jointly charged with European British subjects, and

(b) proceedings pending at the date of this notification ;

all proceedings pending at that date shall be carried on as if this notification had not been issued.

6. Nothing in this notification shall be deemed to extend to any cantonment or to any railway lands situate within the Central India Agency.—*Gaz. India*, 1883, Part I, p. 268

No. 1769 I.—1. The First Assistant to the Agent to the Governor-General for Central India for the time being shall exercise, within the limits of the Indore Residency and of that portion of the Central India Agency which is directly controlled by the Agent to the Governor-General without the intervention of any Political Agent (in all cases in which such powers may lawfully be exercised by the Governor-General in Council within these limits) the powers of a District Magistrate and a Court of Session as described in the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. The Second Assistant to the Agent to the Governor-General for Central India for the time being shall exercise, within the limits of the Indore Residency, the powers of a Magistrate of the 1st class as described in the Code of Criminal Procedure.

3. The Residency Surgeon and Superintendent of the Central Jail at Indore for the time being shall exercise, within the limits of the Central Jail at Indore, the powers of a Magistrate of the 2nd class as described in the said Code.

4. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India for the time being shall exercise the powers of a Court of Session and High Court as described in the said Code in respect of all offences over which magisterial jurisdiction is exercised by the First Assistant, the Second Assistant, and the Residency Surgeon within the limits referred to in paragraphs one, two and three of this notification respectively, provided that neither the First Assistant nor the Second Assistant shall commit any accused person for trial to the Agent to the Governor-General acting as a Court of Session.

5. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India shall exercise the powers of a High Court as described in the said Code in respect of all offences over which the jurisdiction of a Court of Session is exercised by the First Assistant within the limits referred to in paragraph one of this notification, except that in cases in which the Code requires that the sentence of a Court of Session shall be confirmed by the High Court, the sentence shall be referred for confirmation to the Governor-General in Council instead of to the Agent to the Governor-General.

6. In the exercise of the jurisdiction of a Court of Session within the limits of that portion of the Central India Agency which is directly controlled by the Agent to the Governor-General without the intervention of any Political Agent, the First Assistant may take cognizance of any offence as a Court of original criminal jurisdiction, without the accused person being committed to him by a Magistrate, and shall, when so taking cognizance of any offence, follow the procedure laid down by the Code of Criminal Procedure for the trial of warrant cases by Magistrates.

7. This notification applies to all proceedings except proceedings against European British subjects or persons jointly charged with European British subjects.

8. All criminal powers which may, before the date of this notification, have been exercised by any of the officers referred to in paragraphs one, two and three of this notification within the limits described in those paragraphs respectively, shall be deemed to have been exercised in accordance with law.—*Gaz. India, 1883, Part I, p. 269.*

No. 1770 I.—1. The Political Assistant at Gwaha, for the time being, shall exercise, within the limits of the territories under his political supervision (in all cases in which such powers may lawfully be exercised by the Governor-General in Council within these territories), the powers of a District Magistrate as described in the Code of Criminal Procedure and the powers described in Section 30 of that Code.

2. This Resident at Gwaha for the time being shall exercise the powers of a Court of Session, as described in the said Code, in respect of all offences over which jurisdiction is exercised by the said Political Assistant within the aforesaid limits.

3. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India for the time being shall exercise the powers of a High Court as described in the said Code, in respect of all offences over which the jurisdiction of a Court of Session is exercised by the Resident at Gwaha within the aforesaid limits, except that in cases in which the Code requires that the sentence of a Court of Session shall be confirmed by the High Court, the sentence shall be referred for confirmation to the Governor-General in Council instead of to the Agent to the Governor-General.

4. This notification applies to all proceedings except proceedings against European British subjects, or persons jointly charged with European British subjects.

5. All criminal powers which may before the date of this notification have been exercised by the Political Assistant at Gwaha for the time being within the aforesaid limits shall be deemed to have been exercised in accordance with law.—*Gaz. India, 1883, Part I, p. 270.*

VI. The Governor General in Council may appoint any European British subject, either by name or by virtue of his office, in any such country or place to a Justice of the Peace; and every such Justice of the Peace shall have in proceedings against European British subjects, or persons accused of having committed offences conjointly with such subjects, all the powers conferred by the Code of Criminal Procedure on Magistrates of the first class who are Justices of the Peace and European British subjects.

Appointment, powers and jurisdiction of Justices of the Peace.

The Governor General in Council may direct to what Court having jurisdiction over European British subjects any such Justice of the Peace is to commit for trial.

See Robert, Ward, Weir, 9.

VII. All Political Agents and all Justices of the Peace appointed before the twenty-fifth day of April, 1872, by the Governor General in Council or the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Fort St. George or Bombay, in or for any such country or place as aforesaid, shall be deemed to be and to have been appointed and to have had jurisdiction, under the provisions of this Act.

VIII. The law relating to offences and to criminal procedure for the time being in force in British India shall, subject as to procedure to such modifications as the Governor General in Council from time to time directs, extend—

(a) to all European British subjects in the dominions of Princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty; and

(b) to all Native Indian subjects of Her Majesty in any place beyond the limits of British India.

CHAPTER III.

Repealed by Act X, 1882, Sch. I.

CHAPTER IV.—EXTRADITION.

XI. When an offence has been committed or is supposed to have been committed in any State against the law of such State by a person not being a European British subject, and such person escapes into or is in British India, the Political Agent for such State may issue a warrant for his arrest and delivery at a place and to a person to be named in the warrant—

if such Political Agent thinks that the offence is one which ought to be inquired into in such State;

and if the act said to have been done would, if done in British India, have constituted an offence against any of the sections of the Indian Penal Code mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed, or under any other section of the said Code, or any other law, which may, from time to time, be specified by the Governor General in Council by a notification in the *Gazette of India*.

XII. Such warrant may be directed to the Magistrate of any district in which the accused person is believed to be, and shall be executed in the manner provided by the law for the time being in force with reference to the execution of warrants; and the accused person, when arrested, shall be forwarded to the place and delivered to the officer named in the warrant.

XIII. Such Political Agent may either dispose of the case himself, or, if he is generally or specially directed to do so by the Governor General in Council, or by the Governor of the Presidency of Fort St. George in Council or by the Governor of the Presidency of Bombay in Council, may give over the person so forwarded, whether he be a Native Indian subject of Her Majesty or not, to be tried by the ordinary Courts of the State in which the offence was committed.

XIV. Whenever a requisition is made to the Governor General in Council or any

Requisitions for extradition by the Executive of any part of British dominions or Foreign power.

Local Government by or by the authority of the persons for the time being administering the executive government of any part of the dominions of Her Majesty, or the territory of any Foreign Prince or State, that any person accused of having committed an offence in such dominions or territory should be given up, the Governor General in Council or such Local Government, as the case may be, may issue an order to any Magistrate who would have had jurisdiction to inquire into the offence if it had been committed within the local limits of his jurisdiction, directing him to inquire into the truth of such accusation.

The Magistrate so directed shall issue a summons or warrant for the arrest of such person, according as the offence named appears to be one for which a summons or warrant would ordinarily issue; and shall inquire into the truth of such accusation, and shall report thereon to the Government by which he was directed to hold the said inquiry. If, upon receipt of such report, such Government is of opinion that the accused person ought to be given up to the persons making such requisition, it may issue a warrant for the custody and removal of such accused person and for his delivery at a place and to a person to be named in the warrant.

The provisions of section ten shall apply to inquiries held under this section.

XV. Whenever any person accused or suspected of having committed an offence

Magistrate may in certain cases issue warrant for arrest of person accused of having committed an offence out of British India.

out of British India is within the local limits of the jurisdiction of a Magistrate in British India, and it appears to such Magistrate that the Political Agent for any state could, under the provisions of section eleven, issue a warrant for the arrest of such person, or that the persons for the time being administering the executive government of any part of the dominions of Her Majesty or the territory of any Foreign Prince or State could demand his surrender, such Magistrate may, if he thinks fit, issue a warrant for the arrest of such person, on such information or complaint and such evidence as would, in his opinion, justify the issue of such a warrant if the offence had been committed within the local limits of his jurisdiction.

Any Magistrate issuing a warrant under this section shall, when the offence

Magistrate to inform Political Agent or Local Government.

appears or is alleged to have been committed in a State for which there is a Political Agent, send immediate information of his proceedings to such Agent, and in other cases shall at once report his proceedings to the Local Government.

XVI. No person arrested on a warrant issued by a Magistrate under section fifteen

Person arrested to be released after certain time if not proceeded against.

shall be detained more than two months from the date of his arrest, unless within such period the Magistrate receives a warrant under section eleven from the Political Agent for any State for the delivery of such person, or an order with reference to him under section fourteen from the Governor General in Council or Local Government, or such person is in accordance with law delivered up to some Prince or State.

At any time before the receipt of such a warrant or order the Magistrate, if he thinks fit, may, and the Magistrate if so directed by the Local Government shall, discharge the accused person.

XVII. The provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure in respect of bail shall

Bail.

apply in the case of any person arrested under section fifteen in the same manner as if such person were accused of committing in British India the offence with which he is charged.

CHAPTER V.—MISCELLANEOUS.

XVIII. The Governor General in Council may, from time to time, make rules to
 Power to make rules. provide for—

(1) the confinement, diet and prison-discipline of British subjects, European or Native, imprisoned by Political Agents under this Act ;

(2) the removal of accused persons under this Act, and their control and maintenance until such time as they are handed over to the persons named in the warrant as entitled to receive them ; and

(3) generally to carry out the purposes of this Act.

XIX. The testimony of any witness may be obtained in relation to any criminal matter pending in any Court or tribunal in the territory of any Foreign Prince or State in like manner as it may be obtained in relation to any civil matter under the Code of Civil Procedure, chapter XXV ; and the provisions of that chapter shall be construed as if the term "suit" included a proceeding against a criminal :

Execution of commissions issued by Foreign Criminal Courts. Provided that nothing in this section shall apply in the case of any criminal matter of a political character.

THE SCHEDULE.

SECTIONS OF THE INDIAN PENAL CODE REFERRED TO IN SECTION ELEVEN.

Sections 206, 208 and 221 ; sections 230 to 263, both inclusive ; sections 290 to 304, both inclusive ; sections 307, 310 and 311 ; sections 312 to 317, both inclusive ; sections 323 to 333, both inclusive ; sections 347 and 348 ; sections 360 to 373, both inclusive ; sections 375 to 377, both inclusive ; sections 378 to 411, both inclusive ; sections 435 to 440, both inclusive ; sections 443 to 446, both inclusive ; sections 464 to 468, both inclusive ; sections 471 to 477, both inclusive.

ACT VI OF 1864.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 15th February 1864.)

An Act to authorize the punishment of whipping in certain cases.

WHEREAS it is expedient that in certain cases offenders should be liable, under the provisions of the Indian Penal Code, to the punishment of whipping ; It is enacted as follows :—

Proamble.

Whipping added to the punishments described in Section 53 of the Penal Code.

Offences punishable with whipping in lieu of other punishment proscribed by Penal Code

I. In addition to the punishments described in Section 53 of the Indian Penal Code, offenders are also liable to whipping under the provisions of the said Code.

II. Whoever commits any of the following offences may be punished with whipping in lieu of any punishment to which he may for such offence be liable under the Indian Penal Code ; that is to say :—

1. Theft, as defined in Section 378 of the said Code.
2. Theft in a building, tent or vessel, as defined in Section 380 of the said Code.
3. Theft by a clerk or servant, as defined in Section 381 of the said Code.
4. Theft after preparation for causing death or hurt, as defined in Section 382 of the said Code.
5. Extortion by threat, as defined in Section 385 of the said Code.

6. Putting a person in fear of accusation in order to commit extortion, as defined in Section 389 of the said Code.

7. Dishonestly receiving stolen property, as defined in Section 411 of the said Code.

8. Dishonestly receiving property stolen in the commission of a dacoity, as defined in Section 412 of the said Code.

9. Lurking house-trespass, or house-breaking, as defined in Sections 443 and 445 of the said Code, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with whipping under this Section.

10. Lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night, as defined in Sections 444 and 446 of the said Code, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with whipping under this Section.

Whipping can also be imposed under S. 14, Act III, 1880, in lieu of other punishment, for the sale, &c., of liquor, &c., within cantonments and their neighbourhood, to or for the use of European soldiers and others by civilians without license.

No sentence of whipping shall be executed by instalments and none of the following persons shall be punishable with whipping (namely):—

(a) females;

(b) males sentenced to death or to transportation, or to penal servitude, or to imprisonment for more than five years;

(c) males whom the Court considers to be more than forty-five years of age, S. 393, Code of Criminal Procedure.

Section 2 applies to juvenile offenders as well as S. 5.—Jaikishen Girdhar, Bombay High Court, October 2, 1873.

There is no appeal from a sentence of whipping *only* passed by a Court of Session, Magistrate of the District, or Magistrate of the first class. A Magistrate of the second class can pass sentence of whipping only if specially empowered in that behalf by the Local Government.—Code of Criminal Procedure, S. 32. See also Bhagvanta Rayji, 1 L. R., 7 Bomb., 304.

Section 391 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and S. 9 of this Act, provide for suspension of a sentence of whipping passed in addition to imprisonment, but in cases in which no other punishment has been awarded, the sentence should be carried into execution without delay. The effect of the appeal will be to ascertain the correctness of the sentence already carried out, and not to bring under review the sentence itself with a view to its revision.—Calcutta High Court, 314, 1864; Madras High Court, May 10, 1864.

Abettments of the offences mentioned in S. 2 may generally be punished with whipping, as the Indian Penal Code, in providing the punishment for nearly every case of abettment, declares that the punishment for the substantive offence shall be awarded.—See Ss. 109, 110, 111, 112, and 114. Attempts to commit any of these offences are not punishable with whipping.—Calcutta High Court, 425, 1864; Yalla Valind Parshna, 3 Bom., 37, *Crown Cases*.

III. Whoever, having been previously convicted of any of the offences speci-

On second conviction of any offence mentioned in last Section, whipping may be added to other punishment.

fied in the last preceding Section, shall again be convicted of the same offence, may be punished with whipping, in lieu of, or in addition to, any other punishment to which he may for such offence be liable under the Indian Penal Code.

Section 403 of the Code of Criminal Procedure declares how a previous conviction may be proved. Identity should also be proved.—Nuzee Nushyo, 15 W. R., 52.

Section 221 of the Code of Criminal Procedure declares that if it is intended to prove a previous conviction for the purposes of affecting the punishment which is to be awarded, the fact of the previous conviction must be stated in the charge. If it is omitted, it may be added at any time before sentence is passed, but not afterwards.

The Calcutta High Court has held that a sentence of imprisonment and whipping passed on a person convicted of "theft," and who had been previously convicted of "receiving stolen property," is illegal, as the convictions in both cases should have been for the same offence.—Amarat Sheikh, 4 W. R., 20. See Rajesoden, Panj. Rec., 1861, p. 64.

Similarly, a sentence of whipping in addition to imprisonment passed on a person convicted of theft in a house (S. 380, Penal Code), on the ground that he had been previously convicted of theft (S. 379), has been held to be illegal, since the previous conviction was not for the same specific offence.—5 Mad. xxxviii, App. Pro. Oct. 28, 1870, Pro. Nov. 25, 1864. Weir, 640 Chagga Valad Shermia, 7 Bomb., 68, *Crown Cases*.

Both convictions must have been under the Indian Penal Code, consequently, if the first offence was committed before 1st January 1862,—that is, before that Code became law—the offender would not, by reason of a second conviction of that offence, be liable to whipping.—Calcutta High Court, 425, 1864.

Whipping cannot be awarded as an additional punishment on a second conviction when that conviction is for an offence committed previous to the former conviction. The object of the law is to act as a further deterrent to the more incorrigible offenders for whom imprisonment had already proved inefficient.—Mad H Ct. Pro. Aug 11, 1881, Weir, 640; Surya bin Krishna Mandakar, 3 Bomb., 27 Cr. Ca. Kusa valad Lukshman, 7 Bomb., 70 Cr. Ca.

Section 3 applies to juvenile offenders as well as S. 5.—Jaikishen Girdhar, Bombay High Court, Oct. 2, 1872.

The object of the law is to inflict whipping in addition to other punishments upon those persons only who, having completed a previous sentence, and after having a *locus penitentia* afforded them, again commit the same offence, and show that they are not to be deterred by sentence of imprisonment only.—Edul Patnaik and others, 4 B. L. R., 5 (S. C.) 12 W. R., 68. But the Madras High Court had held that it is necessary that there should have been a previous conviction only, and not that the sentence should have been carried out, so that where a person convicted of having committed on the same day two distinct offences of house-breaking by night in order to commit theft (Sec. 457, Penal Code), was sentenced to imprisonment for one and to whipping for the other offence, the sentences were held to be good.—5 Mad. xvm App. Feb. 18, 1870.

A Magistrate should not transfer to the jail of another district a convict who has been sentenced to imprisonment and whipping until the latter sentence has been executed, annulled, or commuted.—Agra Sudder Court, Cir 9 1865.

In connection with S. 3, read S. 9 and S. 391 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the effect of which is to defer the immediate execution of sentences of whipping, when passed in addition to imprisonment, for all sentences are appealable in which whipping is not the sole punishment.

As regards the passing of sentences of whipping on persons convicted of abettments of, or attempts to commit offences punishable with whipping, see note to S. 2.

If the previous conviction was set aside on appeal, a sentence of whipping cannot be passed as an additional imprisonment, S. 3 does not require that the second offence should have been committed after a previous conviction and certainly not that the sentence which followed on that conviction should have been undergone. Sentence of whipping could therefore be passed in a trial for the second offence commenced immediately the sentence was passed in the first.—Mad. H. Ct. Nov. 25, 1864 Weir, 417. The same matter was again considered by the Full Court, a majority of which held that a Magistrate was competent on a second conviction at the same time to pass a sentence of whipping in substitution for, or in addition to imprisonment, subject to the restrictions of the Whipping Act itself as to the amount.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Feb. 18, 1870. Weir, 417 (Ed. 1.)

The fact that the accused admitted that he had been flogged at a certain Magistrate's Court for "stealing a Cumbaz" is not sufficient for a sentence of whipping without production of the record of the conviction or oral evidence as to the specific offence of which he was convicted. A sentence of whipping under S. 3 in addition to imprisonment was therefore set aside as illegal.—Mad. H. Ct. Pro. Sept. 12, 1876. Weir 642.

IV. Whoever, having been previously convicted of any one of the following

<p>Offences punishable, in cases of second conviction, with whipping in addition to other punishment.</p>	<p>offences, shall be again convicted of the same offence, may be punished with whipping in addition to any other punishment to which he may be liable under the Indian Penal Code,—that is to say :—</p>
---	---

1. Giving or fabricating false evidence in such manner as to be punishable under Section 193 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to procure conviction of a capital offence, as defined in Section 194 of the said Code.

3. Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to procure conviction of an offence punishable with transportation or imprisonment, as defined in Section 195 of the said Code.

4. Falsely charging any person with having committed an unnatural offence as defined in Sections 211 and 377 of the said Code.

5. Assaulting or using criminal force to any woman with intent to outrage her modesty, as defined in Section 354 of the said Code.

6. Rape, as defined in Section 375 of the said Code.

7. Unnatural offences, as defined in Section 377 of the said Code.

8. Robbery or dacoity, as defined in Sections 390 and 391 of the said Code.
9. Attempting to commit robbery, as defined in Section 393 of the said Code.
10. Voluntarily causing hurt in committing robbery, as defined in Section 394 of the said Code.
11. Habitually receiving or dealing in stolen property, as defined in Section 413 of the said Code.
12. Forgery, as defined in Section 463 of the said Code.
13. Forgery of a document, as defined in Section 466 of the said Code.
14. Forgery of a document, as defined in Section 467 of the said Code.
15. Forgery for the purpose of cheating, as defined in Section 468 of the said Code.
16. Forgery for the purpose of harming the reputation of any person, as defined in Section 469 of the said Code.
17. Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking, as defined in Sections 443 and 445 of the said Code, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with whipping under this section.
18. Lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night, as defined in Sections 444 and 446 of the said Code, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with whipping under this section.

See note to S. 3, which applies generally to this section. No females shall be punished with whipping; nor shall any person who may be sentenced to death, or to transportation, or to penal servitude, or to imprisonment for more than five years, or males whom the Court considers to be more than forty-five years of age, be so punished, S. 323, Code of Criminal Procedure.

The previous conviction must have been for the same offence as that under trial in order to legalise a sentence of whipping under S. 4.—Bajji Valad Bappa, 4 Bomb., 5, *Crown Cases*; Amarat Sheikh, 4 W. R., 20, *Soorajoodien*, Panj. Rec., 1864, p. 64, 5 Mad. xxxviii, *App. Pro.* Oct. 29, 1870.

See note to S. 2, regarding the passing of sentences of whipping on persons convicted of abettments of, or attempts to commit offences punishable with whipping.

V. Any juvenile offender who commits any offence which is not by the Indian

Juvenile offenders punishable with whipping for offences not punishable with death.

Penal Code punishable with death, may, whether for a first or any other offence, be punished with whipping in lieu of any other punishment to which he may for such offence be liable under the said Code.

See note to S. 2 regarding appeals from such sentences.

The Agra Sudder Court (May 7, Sept. 24, 1864), and also the Bombay High Court (Mahomed Ali, 8. Bomb., 5, *Crown Cases*), have defined "Juvenile" under this Section to be persons under 18 years of age, but the Madras High Court has left it to Judges and Magistrates to exercise their own good sense and experience in determining this point.—Madras High Court, Nov. 25, 1864. The Code of Criminal Procedure, S. 392, in re-enacting S. 10 of this Act, has for the term "juvenile" substituted a "person under 16 years of age."

Sections 2, 3, 4 apply to juvenile offenders equally with S. 5.—Jaikishen Girdhar, Bombay High Court, Oct. 2, 1873.

VI. Whenever any Local Government shall, by notification in the official Gazette,

When offences specified in Section 4 may be punished with whipping in frontier districts and wild tracts.

have declared the provisions of this Section to be in force in any frontier district or any wild tract of country within the jurisdiction of such Local Government, any person who shall in such district or tract of country, after such notification as aforesaid, commit any of the offences specified in Section 4 of this Act, may be punished with whipping in lieu of any other punishment to which he may be liable under the Indian Penal Code.

VII. *Repealed by Act X, 1873.*

VIII. *Repealed by Act X, 1873.*

IX. When the punishment of whipping is awarded in addition to imprisonment by a Court whose sentence is open to revision by a superior

Whipping, if awarded in addition to imprisonment, when to be inflicted.

Court, the whipping shall not be inflicted until fifteen days from the date of such sentence, or if an appeal be made within that time, until the sentence is confirmed by the superior Court; but the whipping shall be inflicted immediately on the expiry of the fifteen days, or, in case of an appeal, immediately on the receipt of the order of the Court confirming the sentence, if such order shall not be received within the fifteen days.

The Section has been re-enacted by S. 391 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

X. In the case of an adult, the punishment of whipping shall be inflicted

Mode of inflicting the punishment.

with such instrument, in such mode, and on such part of the person as the Local Government shall direct; and, in the case of a juvenile offender, it shall be inflicted in the way of school discipline with a light rattan. In no case, if the cat-o-nine-tails be the instrument employed, shall the punishment of whipping exceed one hundred and fifty lashes, or if the rattan be employed, shall the punishment exceed thirty stripes. The punishment shall be inflicted in the presence of a Justice of the Peace, or of an Officer authorized to exercise any of the powers of a Magistrate, and also, unless the Court which passed the sentence shall otherwise order, in the presence of a Medical Officer.

This Section should be read with S. 391 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

XI. Repealed by Act X, 1872.

XII. Repealed by Act X, 1872.

ACT No. V OF 1876.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 29th February 1876.)

An Act to provide Reformatory Schools.

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide Reformatory Schools for male youthful offenders; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Preamble.

I—Preliminary.

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The Reformatory Schools Act, 1876."

Local extent.

It extends to the whole of British India;

And it shall come into force in each Province of British India on such day as the Local Government by notification in the official Gazette directs in that behalf.

Commencement.

Extended to Bengal.—*Cal. Gaz.* 1878, p. 138.

Section 318 of Code of Criminal Procedure repealed.

II. On and from that day section 318 of the Code of Criminal Procedure shall be repealed therein.

Interpretation-clause.

III. In this Act—

"Youthful offender" means any boy who, being at the time under the age of sixteen years, has been convicted of any offence punishable with imprisonment or transportation;

"Youthful offender."

"Inspector-General" includes any officer appointed by the Local Government to perform all or any of the duties imposed by this Act on the Inspector of Jails.

II.—Reformatory Schools.

Power to establish and discontinue Reformatory Schools.

IV. With the previous sanction of the Governor General in Council, the Local Government may—

(a). establish Reformatory Schools at such places as it thinks fit,

(b) use as Reformatory Schools schools kept by persons willing to act in conformity with such rules consistent with this Act as the Local Government may from time to time prescribe in this behalf.

(c) direct that any school so established or used shall cease to exist as a Reformatory School or be used as such.

Requisites of schools. V. Every school so established or used must provide—

(a) sufficient means of separating the inmates at night ;

(b) proper sanitary arrangements, water-supply, food, clothing and bedding for the youthful offenders detained therein ;

(c) the means of giving such offenders industrial training ;

(d) an infirmary or proper place for the reception of such offenders when sick.

VI. Every Reformatory School shall, before being used as such, be inspected by the Inspector-General of Jails, and if he reports that the requirements of section five have been complied with and that in his opinion such school is fitted for the reception of such youthful offenders as may be sent there under this Act, he shall certify to that effect, and such certificate shall be published in the local official Gazette, and the school shall thereupon be deemed a Reformatory School.

Every such school shall from time to time, and at least once in every year, be visited by the said Inspector-General, who shall send to the Local Government a report on the condition of the school in such form as the Local Government may from time to time prescribe.

VII. Whenever any youthful offender is sentenced to transportation or imprisonment, and is in the judgment of the Court by which he is sentenced (a) under the age of sixteen years and (b) a proper person to be an inmate of a Reformatory School, the Court may direct that, instead of undergoing his sentence, he shall be sent to a Reformatory School, and be there detained for a period which shall be not less than two years and not more than seven years, and which shall be in conformity with any rules made under section twenty-two and for the time being in force.

The powers so conferred on the Court shall be exercised only by (a) the High Court, (b) the Court of Session, (c) a Magistrate of the first class, and (d) a Magistrate of Police or Presidency Magistrate in the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay.

VIII. Whenever any youthful offender under the age of sixteen years has been or shall be sentenced to imprisonment, the officer in charge of the Jail in which such offender is confined may bring him before the Magistrate within whose jurisdiction such Jail is situate ; and the Magistrate, if he thinks the offender (a) under age of sixteen years and (b) a proper person to be an inmate of a Reformatory School, may direct him to be sent to a Reformatory School, and to be there detained for a period which shall be not less than two and not more than seven years and which shall be in conformity with any rules made under section twenty-two and for the time being in force.

In this section "Magistrate" means in the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, a Magistrate of Police or Presidency Magistrate, and elsewhere a Magistrate of the first class.

"Magistrate" defined.

IX. Every youthful offender so directed by a Court or Magistrate to be sent to a Reformatory School shall be sent to such Reformatory School as the Local Government may from time to time appoint for the reception of youthful offenders dealt with by such Court or Magistrate.

Government to determine Reformatory to which such offenders shall be sent.

X. Nothing contained in section seven, eight or nine shall be deemed to authorize the detention in a Reformatory School of any person after he is proved to be above the age of eighteen years.

Boys above eighteen not to be detained in school.

Discharge or removal by order of Government.

XI. The Local Government may at any time order any youthful offender—

- (a) to be discharged from a Reformatory School;
- (b) if so discharged before the expiration of his sentence, to undergo the residue of such sentence at such place as the Local Government thinks fit; or.
- (c) to be removed from one Reformatory School to another such school situate within the territories subject to such Government, but so that the whole period of his detention in a Reformatory School shall not be increased by such removal.

III.—Management of Reformatory Schools.

XII. For the control and management of every Reformatory School, the Local Government shall appoint either (a) a Superintendent and a Committee of Visitors, or (b) a Board of Management.

Appointment of Superintendent and Committee of Visitors or Board of Management.

shall be Natives of India.

The Local Government may from time to time suspend or remove any Superintendent or any Member of a Committee or Board so appointed.

XIII. Every Superintendent so appointed may permit any youthful offender sent to a Reformatory School who has attained the age of fourteen years, by license under his hand, to live under the charge of any trustworthy and respectable person named in the license, or any officer of Government or of a Municipality, being an employer of labour and willing to receive and take charge of him, on the condition that the employer shall keep such offender employed at some trade, occupation or calling.

The license shall be in force for three months and no longer, but may, at any time, before the expiration of the period for which the offender has been directed to be detained, be renewed from time to time for three months.

Cancellation of license.

XIV. The license shall be cancelled at the desire of the employer named in the license;

and if it appears to the Superintendent that any complaint made by the employer of misconduct on the part of the youthful offender is just, no other license in respect of the same offender shall be given until twelve months after the expiration of the former license.

If complaint of employers just, no fresh license until expiry of twelve months.

XV. If during the term of the license the employer named therein die, or cease from business, or the period for which the youthful offender has been directed to be detained in the Reformatory School expires, the license shall thereupon cease and determine.

Determination of license.

XVI. If it appears to the Superintendent that the employer has ill-treated the offender, or has not adequately provided for his lodging and maintenance, the Superintendent may cancel the license.

Cancellation of license in case of ill-treatment.

XVII. The Superintendent of any Reformatory School shall be deemed to be the Superintendent to be guardian of every youthful offender detained in such school, deemed guardian of youthful offenders within the meaning of Act No. XIX of 1850 (*concerning the binding of apprentices*),

and if it appear to the Superintendent that any such offender licensed under section thirteen has behaved well during one or more periods of his license, the Superintendent may apprentice him under the provisions of the said Act, and on such apprenticeship the right to detain such offender in the school shall cease and the unexpired term (if any) of his sentence shall be cancelled.

Duties of Committee of Visitors. XVIII. Every Committee of Visitors appointed under section twelve for any Reformatory School shall, at least once in every month,

(a) visit the school, to hear complaints and see that the requirements of section five have been complied with, and that the management of the school is proper in all respects,

(b) examine the punishment-book,

(c) bring any special cases to the notice of the Inspector-General, and

(d) see that no person is illegally detained in the school.

XIX. If in exercise of the power conferred by section twelve, the Local Government appoints a Board of Management for any Reformatory School, such Board shall have the powers and perform the functions of the Superintendent under sections thirteen to seventeen, both inclusive; and the license mentioned in section thirteen may be under the hand of their chairman; and they shall be deemed to be the guardians of the youthful offenders detained in such school.

XX. The Local Government may declare any body of Trustees or Managers of a school, who are willing to act in conformity with the rules referred to in section four, clause (b), to be a Board of Management under this Act, and thereupon such body or Managers shall have all the powers and perform all the functions of such Board of Management.

XXI. With the previous sanction of the Local Government, every Board of Management of a Reformatory School may from time to time make rules consistent with this Act to regulate—

(a) the conduct of business of the Board,

(b) the management of the school,

(c) the education and industrial training of youthful offenders,

(d) visits to and communication with youthful offenders,

(e) punishments for offences committed by youthful offenders.

(f) the granting of licenses for employment of youthful offenders.

In the absence of a Board of Management, the Local Government may from time to time make rules consistent with this Act to regulate for any Reformatory School the matters mentioned in clauses (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) of this section, and also the mode in which the Committee of Visitors shall conduct their business.

XXII. The Governor General in Council may from time to time make rules consistent with this Act for regulating the periods for which Courts and Magistrates may send youthful offenders to Reformatory Schools according to their ages, the nature of their respective offences, or other considerations.

All rules made under this section shall be published in the *Gazette of India*.

The following rules are in force in the Reformatory School at Alipore, Bengal:—

Rule I. No boy shall be sent to a Reformatory School on a first conviction (except as provided in Rule III) if under ten years of age for a less period than five years; if over ten, for a less period than three years, unless he shall sooner attain the age of 18.

Rule II. On a subsequent conviction for a similar offence, a boy under ten years of age shall not be sent to a Reformatory School for a less period than seven years; if over ten, for a less period than five years, unless he shall sooner attain the age of 18.

Rule III. A first conviction may bring a boy under Rule II—

(1) if he belongs to a criminal tribe within the meaning of Act XXVII of 1871, Section 2;

(2) if either of his parents is an habitual criminal;

(3) if he is destitute; and

(4) if the offence of which he is convicted is one arguing great depravity.*—Govt. of India, Mar. 18, 1878.

IV.—Offences in relation to Reformatory Schools.

XXIII. Whoever abets an escape, or an attempt to escape, on the part of a youthful offender from a Reformatory School, or from the employer of such offender, shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine not exceeding one hundred rupees, or with both.

XXIV. A Police officer may, without orders from a Magistrate, and without a warrant, arrest any youthful offender sent to a Reformatory School under this Act, who has escaped from such school, or from his employer, and take him back to such school, or to his employer.

ACT No. V OF 1871.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 27th January 1871.)

An Act to consolidate the laws relating to Prisoners confined by order of a Court.

For the purpose of consolidating the laws relating to prisoners confined by order of a Court; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

I.—PRELIMINARY.

Short title.

I. This Act may be called "The Prisoners' Act, 1871";

Local extent.

It extends to the whole of British India;

Commencement.

And it shall come in force on the passing thereof.

II. The Acts mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed are repealed to the extent specified in the third column of the said Schedule.

Repeal of Acts.

II.—PRISONERS IN THE PRESIDENCY TOWNS.

III. All writs or warrants for the arrest or apprehension of any person, issued or awarded by the High Court in the exercise of its ordinary, extraordinary, or other criminal jurisdiction, shall be directed to and executed by any officer of Police within the local limits of such jurisdiction.

Warrants and writs to be directed to Police officers.

Limits of such jurisdiction.

Power to appoint Superintendents of Presidency Prisons.

IV. The Local Government may appoint officers who shall have authority to receive and keep prisoners committed to their custody under the provisions of this Part.

All such officers appointed under any Act hereby repealed shall be deemed to be appointed under this Act.

* The word "depravity" here means a general corruption of morals apart from the specific criminality of the particular act.

Such officers shall be called, in Calcutta, the Superintendent of the Presidency Prison, in Madras, the Superintendent of Prisons for the town of Madras, and in Bombay, by such title or respective titles as the Local Government from time to time directs.

Every such officer is hereinafter referred to as 'the Superintendent.'

V. The Superintendent is hereby authorized and required to keep and detain all persons duly committed to his custody pursuant to the provisions of this Act, or otherwise, by any Court, Judge, Justice of the Peace, Magistrate of Police, Coroner, or other public officer lawfully exercising civil or criminal jurisdiction according to the exigency of any writ, warrant or order by which such person has been committed, or until such is discharged by due course of law.

VI. The Superintendent shall forthwith after the execution of every such writ, order, or warrant, except warrants of commitment for trial, or after the discharge of the person committed thereby, return such writ, order, or warrant to the Court or other officer by which or by whom the same has been issued or made, together with a certificate endorsed thereon and signed by the Superintendent, showing how the same has been executed, or why the person committed thereby has been discharged from custody before the execution thereof.

VII. Whenever any person is sentenced by the High Court in the exercise of its original criminal jurisdiction to imprisonment or to death, the Court shall cause him to be delivered to the said Superintendent, together with the warrant of the said Court, and such warrant shall be executed by the Superintendent and returned by him to the High Court when executed.

VIII. Whenever any person is sentenced by the High Court in the exercise of its original criminal jurisdiction to transportation or penal servitude, the Court shall cause him to be delivered for intermediate custody to the Superintendent, and the imprisonment of such person shall have effect from such delivery.

IX. Whenever any Judge of a High Court makes, under any Act for the time being in force for punishing mutiny and desertion, and for the better payment of the Army and their quarters, an order for the intermediate custody of an offender sentenced by a Court Martial holden in India, the Judge shall order such offender to be detained for intermediate custody by the Superintendent.

X. Whenever any person is committed by the High Court, whether in execution of a decree or for contempt of Court, or other cause, he shall be taken by the officer to be appointed for that purpose by such Court, and shall be delivered to the Superintendent, together with a warrant of commitment.

XI. Whenever any person is sentenced by a Magistrate of Police for the town of Calcutta, Madras, or Bombay, to imprisonment, either absolutely or for default of payment of any fine imposed by any such Magistrate, or is committed to prison for failure to find security to keep the peace and to be of good behaviour, the Magistrate shall cause him to be delivered to the Superintendent, together with a warrant of the Court.

XII. Every person committed by a Justice of the Peace or Magistrate or Coroner for trial by the High Court in the exercise of its original criminal jurisdiction shall be delivered to the Superintendent, together with a warrant of commitment, directing him to have the body of such person before the Court for trial, and the Superintendent shall, as soon as practicable, cause such person to be taken before the Court at a Criminal Session of the said Court, together

with the warrant of commitment, in order that he may be dealt with according to law.

XIII. Pending any such inquiry as is mentioned in section eight of Act No. XXIII of 161 (*to amend Act VIII of 1859*), which the High Court considers it necessary to make, the defendant may be delivered by the officer of the said Court to the Superintendent, subject to the provisions as to deposit of fees and as

to release on security contained in the same section,

and the Superintendent is hereby authorized and required to detain such defendant in safe custody until he is re-delivered to the officer of the Court for the purpose of being taken before the said Court in pursuance of an order of the said Court or of a Judge thereof, until he is released by due course of law.

Delivery of persons arrested in pursuance of warrant of High Court or Small Cause Court.

XIV. Every person arrested in pursuance of a writ, warrant, or order of the High Court, in the exercise of its original civil jurisdiction,

or in pursuance of a warrant of any Court established in Calcutta, Madras, or Bombay under Act No. IX of 1850 (*for the more easy recovery of small debts and demands in Calcutta, Madras and Bombay*),

or in pursuance of a warrant issued under section three of this Act,

shall be brought without delay before the Court by which, or by a Judge of which, the writ, warrant, or order was issued, or made, or before a Judge thereof, if the said Court, or a Judge thereof, is then sitting for the exercise of original jurisdiction;

and if such Court, or a Judge thereof, is not then sitting for the exercise of original jurisdiction, shall, unless a Judge of the said Court otherwise orders, be delivered to the Superintendent for intermediate custody, and shall be brought before the said Court, or a Judge thereof, at the next sitting of the said Court, or of a Judge thereof, for the exercise of original jurisdiction, in order that such person may be dealt with according to law;

and the said Court or Judge shall have power to make or award all necessary orders or warrants for that purpose.

XV. Any warrant of commitment under Regulation III of 1818 of the Bengal Code (*for the Confinement of State Prisoners*), Regulation II of 1819 of the Madras Code (*for the Confinement of State Prisoners*), and Regulation XXV of 1827 of the Bombay Code (*for the Confinement of State Prisoners, and for the Attachment of the Lunds of Chieftains and others, for Reasons of State*) may be directed to the Superintendent in the same manner as the same might have been directed to the Sheriff under Act No. XXXIV of 1850 (*for the better Custody of State Prisoners*), and Act No. III of 1859 (*to amend the Law relating to the arrest and detention of State Prisoners*).

III.—PRISONERS IN THE MOFUSSIL.

XVI. Officers in charge of prisons situate outside the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdictions of the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras and Bombay, shall be competent to give effect to any sentence or order or warrant

Officers in charge of prisons may give effect to sentences of certain Courts.

or tribunal acting under the authority of Her Majesty, or of the Governor General in Council, or of any Local Government.

XVII. A warrant

Warrant of officer of such Court to be sufficient authority.

under the official signature of an officer of such Court or tribunal shall be sufficient authority for holding any prisoner in confinement, or for sending any prisoner for transportation beyond sea, in pursuance of the sentence passed upon him.

XVIII. Any officer in charge of a prison doubting the legality of any warrant sent to him for execution under this Part, or the competency of the person whose official seal and signature are affixed thereto to pass the sentence and issue such warrant, shall refer the matter to the Local Government, by whose order on the case such officer and all other public officers

Procedure where jailor doubts the legality of warrant sent to him for execution.

shall be guided as to the future disposal of the prisoner.

Pending any such reference, the prisoner shall be detained in such manner and with such restrictions or mitigations as may be specified in the warrant.

XIX. The Local Government may authorize the reception detention, or imprisonment in any place under such Government, for the periods specified in their respective sentences, of persons sentenced within the territories of any Native Prince or State in alliance with Her Majesty to imprisonment or transportation for any of the following offences :—

Imprisonment in British India of persons convicted of certain offences in Native States.

counterfeiting coin,
uttering counterfeit coin,
murder,
culpable homicide not amounting to murder,
being a thug,
voluntarily causing grievous hurt,
administering poison,
kidnapping,
selling minors for purposes of prostitution,
rape,
robbery,
dacoity,
dacoity with murder,
robbery or dacoity with attempt to cause death or grievous hurt,
attempt to commit robbery or dacoity when armed with a deadly weapon,
making preparation to commit dacoity,
belonging to a gang of dacoits,
dishonest misappropriation of property,
breach of trust,
house-burning,
house-breaking,
forgery, and
theft of cattle ;

or for an attempt to commit any of the above offences,
or for abetment, within the meaning of the Indian Penal Code, of suicide by burning or burying alive, or of any of the other offences above specified,
or for such other offences as the Governor General in Council, from time to time, by order published in the *Gazette of India*, thinks fit to prescribe :

Provided that such sentences have been pronounced after trial before a tribunal in which an officer of Government, duly authorized in that behalf by such Native Prince or State, or by the Governor General in Council, is one of the presiding Judges.

Proviso.

XX. Every officer of Government so authorized as aforesaid shall forward with every prisoner a certificate of his conviction, and a copy of the proceedings held at the trial that the same may be forthcoming for reference at the place where the sentence of imprisonment or transportation is carried into effect.

Certificate of conviction.

Copy of proceedings.

IV.—CONVICTS SENTENCED TO PENAL SERVITUDE.

Persons sentenced to penal servitude where sent, and how dealt with.

General in Council by general order, from time to time, directs;

and may, during such time, be kept to hard labour;

Intermediate imprisonment

with in all other respects as persons sentenced by the convicting Court to rigorous imprisonment may, for the time being, by law be dealt with.

Time of intermediate imprisonment to count in discharge of sentence.

Law respecting convicts sentenced to transportation or imprisonment with hard labour applied to persons sentenced to penal servitude.

persons under any sentence of penal servitude.

Power to grant license to convict sentenced to penal servitude.

and upon such conditions as to the Governor General in Council seem fit.

Holder of license to be allowed to go at large.

Apprehension of convict where license revoked.

apprehension of the convict to whom such license was granted, and such Justice or Magistrate shall issue his warrant accordingly.

Execution of warrant.

as if it had been originally issued or subsequently endorsed by the Justice of the Peace, or Magistrate, or other authority having jurisdiction in the place where the same is executed.

Apprehended convict to be brought up for re-commitment.

Such Justice or Magistrate shall thereupon make out his warrant under his hand and seal, for the re-commitment of the convict to the prison from which he was released by virtue of the said license.

Re-commitment.

XXI. Every person sentenced to be kept in penal servitude may, during the term of the sentence, be confined in such prison within British India as the Governor General in Council by general order, from time to time, directs;

and may, until he can conveniently be removed to such prison, be imprisoned with or without hard labour, and dealt with as persons sentenced by the convicting Court to rigorous imprisonment may, for the time being, by law be dealt with.

The time of such intermediate imprisonment, and the time of removal from one prison to another, shall be taken and reckoned in discharge or part discharge of the term of the sentence.

XXII. All Acts and Regulations now in force within British India, with respect to convicts under sentence of transportation, or under sentence of imprisonment with hard labour, shall, so far as may be consistent with the express provisions of this Act, be construed to apply to persons under any sentence of penal servitude.

XXIII. The Governor General in Council may grant to any convict sentenced to be kept in penal servitude, a license to be at large within British India or in such part thereof as in such license is expressed, during such portion of his term of servitude as to the Governor General in Council seem fit.

XXIV. So long as such license continues in force and unrevoked, such convict shall not be liable to imprisonment or penal servitude by reason of his sentence, but shall be allowed to go and remain at large according to the terms of such license.

XXV. In case of the revocation of any such license as aforesaid, any Secretary to the Government of India may, by order in writing, signify to any Justice of the Peace or Magistrate that such license has been revoked, and require him to issue a warrant for the apprehension of the convict to whom such license was granted, and such Justice or Magistrate shall issue his warrant accordingly.

XXVI. Such warrant may be executed by any officer to whom it may be directed or delivered for that purpose in any part of British India and shall have the same force in any place within British India

as if it had been originally issued or subsequently endorsed by the Justice of the Peace, or Magistrate, or other authority having jurisdiction in the place where the same is executed.

XXVII. The convict, when apprehended under such warrant, shall be brought, as soon as conveniently may be, before the Justice or Magistrate by whom it has been issued, or before some other Justice or Magistrate of the same place, or before a Justice or Magistrate having jurisdiction in the district in which the convict is apprehended.

Such Justice or Magistrate shall thereupon make out his warrant under his hand and seal, for the re-commitment of the convict to the prison from which he was released by virtue of the said license.

XXVIII. Such convict shall be re-committed accordingly, and shall thereupon be liable to be kept in penal servitude for such further term as, with the time during which he may have been imprisoned

under the original sentence and the time during which he may have been at large under an unrevoked license, is equal to the term mentioned in the original sentence.

XXIX. If a license be granted under section twenty-three upon any condition specified therein, and the convict to whom the license is granted violates any such condition,

or goes beyond the limits specified in the license, or, knowing of the revocation of such license, neglects forthwith to surrender himself, or conceals himself, or endeavours to avoid being apprehended,

he shall be liable upon conviction to be sentenced to penal servitude for a term not exceeding the full term of penal servitude mentioned in the original sentence.

V.—REMOVAL OF PRISONERS.

XXX. When any person is, or has been, sentenced to imprisonment by any Court the Local Government, or (subject to its orders and under its control) the Inspector General of Jails, may order his removal during the period prescribed for his imprisonment, from the jail or place in which he is confined to any other jail or place of imprisonment within the territories subject to the same Local Government.

XXXI. Whenever it appears to the Local Government that any person, detained or imprisoned under any order or sentence of any Magistrate or Court is of unsound mind, such Government, by a warrant setting forth the grounds of belief that such person is of unsound mind, may order his removal to a lunatic asylum, or other fit place of safe custody, within the territories subject to the same Government, there to be kept and treated as the Local Government directs during the remainder of the term of imprisonment ordered by the sentence; or, if it be certified by a medical officer that it is necessary for the safety of the prisoner or others that he should be detained under medical care or treatment, then until he is discharged according to law.

When it appears to the said Government that such prisoner has become of sound mind, the Local Government, by a warrant directed to the person having charge of the prisoner, shall remand the prisoner to the prison from which he was removed, if then still liable to be kept in custody, or if not, shall order him to be discharged.

The provisions of section nine of Act XXXVI of 1858, (*relating to Lunatic Asylums*) shall apply to every person confined in a lunatic asylum under this section after the expiration of the term of imprisonment to which he has been sentenced; and the time during which he has been so confined shall be reckoned as part of such term.

XXXII. When any person is, or has been, sentenced to imprisonment by any Court, the Governor General in Council may order his removal during the period prescribed for his imprisonment, from the prison in which he is confined to any other prison in British India.

VI.—MANAGEMENT OF TRANSPORTED CONVICTS.

XXXIII. *Superseded by Act IX, 1882, S. 2.*

XXXIV. The Governor General in Council may, from time to time, prescribe rules as to the following matters:—
Power to make rules as to convicts.

the classification of convicts;
their confinement, treatment, discipline, and employment;
their punishment for misbehaviour disorderly conduct, neglect, or disobedience;
and
the manner in which the proceeds (if any) of their employment shall be disposed of.

VII.—DISCHARGE OF CONVICTS.

XXXV. Any Court established under the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth of Victoria, chapter one hundred and four, may in any case in which it has recommended to Her Majesty the granting of a free pardon to any convict, permit him to be at liberty on his own recognizance.

ACT No. III of 1879.

PASSED BY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA.

(Received the assent of the Governor General on the 8th March 1879.)

An Act to authorize the destruction of Useless Records.

Preamble. WHEREAS it is expedient to provide for the destruction or other disposal of useless records, books and papers in Courts and Revenue-offices; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title. Local extent. Commencement.

1. This Act may be called "The Destruction of Records Act, 1879;" it extends to the whole of British India; and it shall come into force at once.

II. The High Court may, from time to time, make rules respecting the disposal by destruction or otherwise, of such records, books and papers belonging to or being in the custody of such High Court, or the Courts of civil and criminal jurisdiction subordinate thereto, as the High Court may consider useless or unworthy of being permanently preserved.

So far as regards his own Court, the Court of Small Causes in Rangoon and the Courts of the Magistrates within the local limits of his ordinary civil jurisdiction, the Recorder of Rangoon shall, for the purposes of this section, be deemed to be a High Court.

Similar power to Presidency High Courts with respect to documents in Insolvency Courts and Administrator General's office.

III. Each of the High Courts of Judicature at Fort William, Madras and Bombay may from time to time make rules respecting the disposal, by destruction or otherwise, of such records, books and papers belonging to or being in the custody of

(a) the local Court for the relief of Insolvent Debtors held under the provisions of the eleventh and twelfth of Victoria, chapter twenty-one,

(b) the local Administrator General, as the High Court may consider useless or unworthy of being permanently preserved.

IV. The Chief Controlling Revenue-Authority may from time to time make rules respecting the disposal, by destruction or otherwise, of such records, books and papers belonging to or in the custody of the Revenue Courts and offices as it may consider useless or unworthy of being permanently preserved.

V. All rules made under this Act shall, after being confirmed by the Local Government and sanctioned by the Governor General in Council, be published in the local official Gazette, and shall thereupon have the force of law.

VI. All rules and orders heretofore made by a Local Government, a High Court Validation of rules as to or a Chief Controlling Revenue-Authority for the destruc-
destruction of documents. tion or other disposal of useless records, books and papers
belonging to or in the custody of any Court or Revenue-office shall be deemed to have
had the force of law from the date on which they were made, and all such rules now in
force shall continue to have the force of law until they are
rescinded by rules made under this Act; and no suit or other
proceeding shall be instituted, maintained or continued against any person for the dis-
posal, by destruction or otherwise, of any records, books or papers in accordance with
any such rules or with any order made by a Local Government, High Court or
Chief Controlling Revenue-Authority.

Bar of suits. VII. In this Act "Chief Controlling Revenue-Authority" means, in the Presidency
of Fort St. George and the territories respectively under
the administration of the Lieutenant-Governors of Bengal
and the North-Western Provinces—the Board of Revenue: in the Presidency of
Bombay, outside Sind and the limits of the town of Bombay—a Revenue Commis-
sioner: in Sind—the Commissioner: in the Panjab—the Financial Commissioner;
and elsewhere—the Local Government or such officer as the Local Government may, by
notification in the official Gazette, appoint in this behalf by name or in virtue of his
office.

VIII. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to authorize the destruction of
Saving of documents kept any document which, under the provisions of any law for the
under provision of law. time being in force, is to be kept and maintained.

IX. The enactments specified in the Schedule hereto annexed shall be repealed to
Repeal of enactments. the extent mentioned in the third column.

THE SCHEDULE.

(See section 9.)

Enactments repealed.

(a).—ACTS OF THE GOVERNOR GENERAL IN COUNCIL.

Number and year.	Subject or short title.	Extent of repeal.
XX of 1875	The Central Provinces Laws Act, 1875.	In section eight, clause (c), the last twenty-one words.
XVIII of 1876.	The Oudh Laws Act, 1876.	In section thirty-nine, clause (c), the last eighteen words.

(b).—ACTS OF THE GOVERNOR OF BOMBAY IN COUNCIL.

Number and year.	Subject or short title.	Extent of repeal.
VI of 1865	To authorize the destruction of Useless Records in certain Courts of Bombay Presidency.	The whole.
V of 1869	To authorize the destruction of Useless Records in the Courts of the Province of Sind.	The whole.

(c).—REGULATION UNDER 33 VIC., C. 3.

Number and year.	Subject or short title.	Extent of repeal.
III of 1877	The Ajmer Laws Regulation, 1877.	In section forty, clause (c), the last twenty-one words.

	PAGE		PAGE
AFFIDAVIT, Continued—		ALTERNATIVE, Continued—	
<i>fee for</i> , S. 539 <i>note</i> ...	311	charge, specimen of, Sched. V, XXVIII (11) (4) ...	387
<i>How to be used, ib. note</i> ...	312	judgment, when to be passed, S. 367 ...	218
Evidence Act does not apply to —a, Evid. Act, S. 1 ...	402	AMENDMENT—	
AFFIRMATION—		of verdict, S. 304 ...	186
<i>before whom made</i> , S. 539 ...	311	AMERICAN—	
<i>See also OATH.</i>		jurors for trial of European B. Subject, S. 451 ...	261
AFFRAY—		mixed jury for trial of —, S. 461 ...	267
Public when bound to assist Magistrate or Police to suppress a riot or —, S. 42 ...	33	criminal proceedings against —, Chap. XXXIII ...	259
order in urgent cases for prevention of —, S. 144 ...	79	conduct of proceedings against —, S. 463 ...	268
AGE—		list to shew when juror or assessor is an —, S. 521 ...	193
objection to juror on account of —, S. 278 (b) ...	173, 174	AMMUNITION—	
AGENT—		trial of offences relating to —, S. 184 ...	114
admission by an —, Evid. Act, S. 18 ...	410	AMOUNT—	
accused when may be permitted to appear by —, <i>see</i> SUMMONS .		of bail-bond, S. 498 ...	290
commitment of person who appears by —, not necessarily illegal, S. 213 <i>note</i> ...	137	increase of, S. 561 ...	290
of accused not entitled to call for police diary, S. 172 ...	101	ANIMALS—	
of owner or occupier of land bound to report certain matters, S. 45 ...	55	investigation by police into death caused by —, S. 174 ...	103
Political — defined, S. 190 ...	116	ANNOYANCE—	
AGGREGATE SENTENCE—		urgent order for prevention of —, S. 144 ...	79, 80
on conviction of several offences at one trial, S. 35 ...	30	ANSWER—	
for purposes of appeal, to be considered as one sentence, <i>ib.</i> ...	30	what questions witness at police investigation bound to, and may refuse to, S. 161 ...	93
ALIENAGE—		of witnesses to police may be reduced to writing, <i>ib.</i> ...	93
is ground for objection to juror, S. 278 ...	173	what questions witnesses in investigation into sudden death bound to, and may refuse to —, S. 175 ...	110
ALLOWANCE—		of jury to question put by Judge as to verdict to be recorded, S. 363 ...	185
alteration of — in maintenance cases, S. 489 ...	254	of accused to be recorded in full, S. 364 ...	215
ALTERATION—		accused may add to, or explain his — <i>ib.</i> ...	215
of allowance in maintenance cases, S. 489 ...	284	imprisonment or commitment on refusal to —, S. 485 ...	280
<i>date of warrant of sentence</i> , S. 327 <i>note</i> ...	265	APARTMENT—	
<i>charge after commitment</i> , S. 226 ...	146	breaking open <i>rendez</i> — in order to effect arrest, S. 48 ...	37
<i>charges may be made by any Court before judgment</i> , S. 227 ...	147	APOLOGY—	
<i>charge, when trial may proceed after</i> , S. 228 ...	147	discharge of offender or remission of punishment on —, in cases of contempt, S. 484 ...	279
<i>recall of witnesses on</i> , S. 231 ...	147	APPEAL—	
<i>when trial to be suspended, or new trial ordered, on</i> , S. 229 ...	147	under municipal law of Bombay, <i>see</i> BOMBAY .	
<i>stay of proceedings on, when necessary</i> , S. 230 ...	147	conviction on evidence recorded by different Magistrates may be set aside without —, S. 350 ...	206
<i>judgment, forbidden</i> , S. 369 ...	219	may be presented by any person authorized by appellant, Ch. XXXI ...	240
<i>order for disposal of property</i> , S. 530 ...	299	Periods of limitation for presentation of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	240
ALTERNATIVE—			
charge, when it is doubtful what offence has been committed, S. 286 ...	151		

APPEAL, Continued—	PAGE
how to be computed, Ch. XXXI ...	240
in — against acquittal, S. 417 <i>note</i> ...	243
against death-sentence, S. 371 <i>note</i> ...	221
Sessions Judge to inform accused of period for — against sentence of death, S. 371 ...	220
Court can on —, order accused to be committed, S. 436 <i>note</i> ...	255
Procedure when Judges in — are divided in opinion, S. 429 ...	252
when — lies, High Court will not interfere as a Court of Revision, S. 438 <i>note</i> ...	256
Decision that a person is not an European British subject forms ground of — S. 453 ...	262
European British Subject can appeal in all cases, Ss. 408, 416 ...	243
exception, S. 411 ...	242
From order of forfeiture of penalty of bond, S. 515 ...	297
„ „ disposing of stolen property, S. 524 ...	301
From Convictions in Contempt-cases—	
lies in all such convictions, S. 486	280
to whom — lies, <i>ib.</i> ...	281
ordinary provisions as to — apply, <i>ib.</i> ...	280
From rejection of application for delivery of property, S. 405 ...	240
„ order to give security for good behaviour, S. 406 ...	241
„ sentence by Magistrate of 2nd or 3rd class, S. 407 ...	241
may be transferred, <i>ib.</i> ...	241
„ „ of Assistant Sessions Judge, or 1st class Mag., S. 408 ...	241
„ „ „ Sessions Court lies to High Court, S. 410 ...	242
„ „ „ Presidency Mag. in certain cases, S. 411 ...	242
<i>Appeals from Convictions—</i>	
Rule to apply in trying —, S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	249
by casting vote of Chairman of Bench, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	23
aggregate sentences how calculated for purpose of —, S. 35 ...	30
on prosecution requiring sanction, S. 457 <i>note</i> ...	281
<i>No appeal lies—</i>	
from order giving sanction to prosecute, S. 195 <i>note</i> ...	123
„ „ dismissing a complaint, S. 203 <i>note</i> ...	120
„ „ fining assessor, S. 332 <i>note</i> ...	195
„ „ for maintenance, H. 465 <i>note</i> ...	283

APPEAL, Continued—	PAGE
<i>No appeal lies, Continued—</i>	
in certain cases where accused pleads guilty, S. 412 ...	242
in petty cases, S. 413 ...	242
from certain summary convictions, S. 414 ...	243
procedure in such cases, S. 263 ...	165
unless specially provided, S. 404 ...	240
<i>Appeal lies —</i>	
on matters of fact as well as of law, except when trial was by jury, S. 418 ...	244
whether — still lies on facts, if case wrongly tried by jury, S. 418 <i>note</i> ...	244
from combined sentences, S. 415 ...	243
To Court of Session by whom to be heard, S. 409 ...	242
when accused pleads guilty, cannot on appeal object to legality of conviction, S. 412 <i>note</i> ...	242
complainant cannot claim right to be heard in —, S. 422 <i>note</i> ...	246
Form of warrant of release on — S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	250
Abatement of — on death of convict or appellant, S. 431 ...	262
Payment of expenses out of fine not to be made pending —, S. 545 ...	319
Transfer of —, <i>see</i> TRANSFER.	
Withdrawal of —, S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	250
<i>Petition of Appeal—</i>	
to be presented by appellant or his pleader, S. 419 ...	244
of appellant in jail, to be forwarded through the officer in charge, S. 420 ...	245
who will send it direct to High Court and inform Judges, S. 420 <i>note</i> ...	245
Judge how to act, <i>ibid.</i> ...	245
of appellant in jail, when not to be forwarded, <i>ib.</i> ...	245
of appellant in jail, is exempt from stamp duty, S. 419 <i>note</i> ...	245
copy of judgment &c. to accompany, S. 419 ...	244
copy of sentence only, is insufficient, S. 419 <i>note</i> ...	245
Fee for, S. 419 <i>note</i> ...	245
against verdict of jury, to state specifically the illegality, <i>ibid.</i> ...	245
appeal of several persons convicted in one case may be by one, <i>ibid.</i> ...	245
<i>Appeal against acquittal—</i>	
Govt. may —, when Sessions Judge disagrees with verdict and does not refer the case, S. 307 <i>note</i> ...	167
Local Govt. can — S. 417 ...	242
Divisional Commissioner can call for records in case of —, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	242
Reasons for — to be clearly stated to Govt. <i>ib.</i> ...	242

	PAGE		PAGE
APPEAL, Continued—		APPEARANCE, Continued—	
<i>Appeal against acquittal, Continued—</i>		Arrest on breach of bond for —, S. 92	55
lies when jury convicts on other		by pleader, of persons called upon to	
charges, S. 417	244	show cause against order for security	
To what cases — should be limited,		to keep the peace, S. 116	66
<i>ib.</i>	244	Bond for — before Magistrate of per-	
when accused can be arrested and		son released after investigation,	
detained, S. 427	251	S. 169	100
if order reversed on —, sentence when		Day for — before Magistrate, S. 170	100
to commence, S. 423 <i>note</i>	248	Power to compel — of person who has	
<i>Appeal from any other order—</i>		committed offences beyond jurisdic-	
From what orders an — lies, S. 423		tion, S. 186	115
<i>note</i>	249, 250	Issue of process for — of accused,	
<i>Powers of appellate Court in Appeals—</i>		S. 204	180
may order release of appellant on			
bail, and suspension of sentence,		APPELLANT—	
S. 426	251	abatement of appeal on death of —,	
Form of order of release		S. 431	252
on bail, S. 426 <i>note</i>	251	communication of Court of appeal to	
Can take further evidence, or direct		—, S. 423 <i>note</i>	248
it to be taken, S. 428	251	Presentation of appeal of — in jail,	
accused or his pleader should		S. 420	245
be present, <i>ib.</i>	252	In certain cases, to be heard before	
Power to be exercised only in		rejection of appeal, S. 421	246
special cases, <i>ib. note</i>	252	Notice of appeal, when to be given to,	
Lower Court to certify such		S. 422	247
evidence, S. 428	252	cannot claim right to be heard in	
" " may give its		appeal, S. 422 <i>note</i>	246
opinion on it, <i>ib. note</i>	252	Release of — on bail, S. 426	251
may summarily reject on appeal,			
S. 421	246	APPELLATE COURT—	
whether appellate Court can re-hear		Procedure of — in cases of absence of,	
— after such rejection, <i>ib. note</i>	246	or error in, charge — S. 232	148
if not summarily rejected, to give		Powers of —, S. 423	247
notice of date, &c., S. 422	246	may stay order for disposal of pro-	
in disposing of an —, S. 423	247	perty, S. 520	299
cannot enhance sentence, S. 423 <i>note</i>	247	<i>See also</i> APPEAL.	
to certify decision to Lower Court,			
<i>ib.</i>	247, 248	APPLICATION—	
may alter nature of sentence, but		By European B. Subject to be brought	
not so as to enhance it, <i>ib.</i>	249	before the High Court, S. 456	264
cannot interfere with sentence of		Procedure thereon, S. 457	264, 265
some of accused who have not			
appealed, <i>ib.</i>	249	By Provincial Subordinate Magistrate	
<i>Judgment in Appeal—</i>		for issue of commission to examine	
High Court to certify its — to lower		witness, S. 506	293
Court, which shall carry it into		for jury by person ordered to show	
effect, S. 425	250	cause in nuisance case, S. 135 (b)	75
is final —, exceptions, S. 430	252	for transfer of case, S. 526	302
ordinary rules as to judgments apply		" " " " is not a contempt,	
to, except in High Court, S. 424	250	S. 480 <i>note</i>	278
accused need not attend delivery of,		of Acts to European British Subjects,	
<i>ib.</i>	250	S. 459	267
		for remission or Suspension of Sentence,	
		<i>see</i> SENTENCE.	
		" restoration of attached property,	
		S. 89	54
		appeal against order rejecting — for	
		attached property, S. 405	240
APPEARANCE—		APPOINTMENT—	
Proclamation for — of person abscond-		Effect of — made under repealed Act,	
ing, S. 87	52	S. 3	14
Restoration of attached property on		of Public Prosecutor for particular	
—, S. 89	54	case, S. 492	285, 286
Issue of warrant in lieu of or in addi-			
tion to summons for — S. 90	55		
Power to take bond for — from person			
present in Court, S. 91	55		

	PAGE		PAGE
APPOINTMENT, Continued—		ARREST, Continued—	
of Magistrates and Sessions Judges, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE, SESSIONS JUDGE.		by Magistrate for offence committed in his presence, S. 64 ...	45
„ receiver for attachment of abscon- der's property, S. 88 ...	53	by Magistrate for offence for which he may issue warrant, S. 65 ...	45
„ superior officer of police for receiv- ing &c. reports of suspected cog- nizable offences, S. 158 ...	92	Procedure on — of person outside local limits of jurisdiction, Ss. 85, 86 ...	51, 53
„ foreman of jury, S. 280 ...	174	Reward for — of offender, S. 87 <i>note</i> ...	53
APPREHENSION—		of witnesses, <i>see</i> WITNESS.	
of person liable to be tried by Court Martial, S. 549 ...	321	Discharge of person under — by Police, S. 63 ...	45
Restoration of attached property on — of absconder, S. 89 ...	54	When Public bound to assist in — S. 42 ...	33
<i>See also</i> ARREST.		How to be made, S. 46 ...	36
APPROVER—		in case of resistance, <i>ib.</i> ...	36
whether deposition of — before Ma- gistrate can be used as evidence at the trial, S. 288 <i>note</i> ...	178	Power of Police to enter and search place for — of accused, S. 47 ...	37
<i>See also</i> ACCOMPLICE.		obstruction to — how punishable, S. 47 <i>note</i> ...	37
ARBITRATOR—		Forceful entry of police for purposes of — when permissible, S. 48 ...	37
Evidence Act does not apply to pro- ceedings before an —, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 1 ...	402	Power of police to break open doors or, for liberation, in cases of —, S. 49 ...	37
ARMED MEN—		In case of actual —, accused not to be subjected to unnecessary re- straint, S. 50 ...	38
Security for keeping the peace on con- viction for assembling —, S. 106 ...	60	Warrant of Arrest—	
ARMS—		when may be directed to land- holder &c., S. 78 ...	50
Trial of offences relating to —, S. 184 ...	114	directed to a Police officer, when may be executed by any other Police officer, S. 79 ...	50
ARMY—		Substance of — to be notified to accused, and if necessary to be shown, S. 80 ...	50
Power of police to arrest suspected deserter from the —, S. 54 ...	39	Person executing — must have it in his possession at the time, S. 80 <i>note</i> ...	50
Power of commissioned officer of the — to disperse assembly, S. 131 ...	72	against Railway Servant, <i>ib.</i> ...	51
Exemption of persons in the — from service as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (i) ...	192	Person executing, to bring prisoner at once before the Court, S. 81 ...	51
<i>See also</i> MILITARY.		may be executed at any place in British India, S. 82 ...	51
ARREARS—		Execution of — outside limits of jurisdiction, Ss. 83–86 ...	51, 52
of maintenance, order for payment of —, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	244	when — cannot be executed, pro- clamation to be published, S. 87 ...	52
ARREST—		Issue of — in lieu of, or in addition to, summons, S. 80 ...	55
Procedure when Police are charged with maltreating persons under —, S. 163 <i>note</i> ...	95	Should not issue, when summons sufficient, S. 80 <i>note</i> ...	55
Punishment of Police for violence to person under —, S. 50 <i>note</i> ...	38	may issue on breach of bond for appearance, S. 92 ...	55
abuse by Police of powers of —, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	41	Cannot issue against juror or assessor, S. 90 ...	55
Detention by Police of person under —, <i>ibid.</i> ...	41	when a — may issue in security- cases, S. 114 ...	55
Compensation for groundless — in Presidency Town, S. 552 ...	322	Provisions generally applicable for issue and execution of —, S. 93 ...	55
Search of persons under —, S. 51 ...	38	Form of —, to be in writing, signed, (not initialled) and sealed, S. 75 and <i>note</i> ...	48, 49
„ „ woman „ „ „, S. 52 ...	38	continuance of —, S. 76 ...	48
Seizure of offensive weapons on person under —, S. 53 ...	38		
of soldier to be reported to Command- ing officer, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	41		
of persons beyond limits of British India, S. 58 <i>note</i> ...	43		

	PAGE		PAGE
ARREST, Continued—		ARREST, Concluded—	
<i>Warrant of Arrest, Concluded—</i>		<i>Arrest without warrant, Continued—</i>	
Fee for —, in non-cognizable cases,		By private person, of person com-	
S. 75 note ...	48	mitting non-bailable and cogniza-	
To be in what language, S. 75 note	48	ble offence, S. 59 ...	48
necessity for sealing — explained,			
<i>ib.</i> ...	49	ASSAM—	
what to contain, <i>ib.</i> ...	49	all Deputy Commissioners in — vested	
Court issuing — may by endorse-		with special powers, S. 30 note ...	27
ment, order security to be taken,		all offences in — to be tried before	
S. 76 ...	49	Court of Session by jury, S. 269 note	168
Endorsement on — what to state,		Police Officers' Regulation, II of 1883,	
<i>ib.</i> ...	49	Appendix ...	477-8
To whom to be directed, and by			
whom executed, S. 77 and note 49,	50	ASSAULT—	
Transfer of — for execution, how		Joinder of charges in — and hurt,	
made, S. 77, note ...	50	S. 235 <i>III</i> ...	149
Issued by Commanding officer of		under Ss. 352, 353, 358, I. P. C., com-	
Cantonment, how executed, <i>ib.</i> ...	50	poundable, S. 345 ...	204
		Security for keeping the peace on con-	
Arrest without warrant—		viction for — S. 106 ...	60
By police, term — applies to what		of public servant, rioting and grievous	
cases and offences,		hurt, joinder of charges of, S. 235 <i>III</i> ,	149
S. 4 (g) ...	16	Form of charge of — on Member of	
when police may —, S. 54		Council &c., Sched. V, xxviii, (1) (2)	386
and note ...	39		
when police may — an		ASSEMBLY, UNLAWFUL—	
European, S. 54 note ...	39	defined, S. 127 note ...	70
when police may — an		what Magistrates and Police officers	
offender under Railway		can order an — to disperse, S. 127	70
and Arms Acts, <i>ib.</i> ...	39	any police officer may arrest member	
A Police officer in charge		of — S. 127 note ...	70, 71
of a station may — vag-		Punishment for being member of —	
abonds, habitual rob-		<i>ib.</i> ...	71
bers &c., S. 35	41	additional and Special Police for sup-	
may — lunatic, S. 55	42	pression of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	71
note ...	42	dispersal of, by Civil force, S. 128	71
by subordinate of police		by Military force, Ss. 129,	
officer in charge of		130 ...	71
station, S. 56 ...	42	Power of commissioned Military officer	
of person for non-cogniz-		to disperse, S. 131 ...	72
able offence, S. 57 ...	43	Certain acts done in dispersing — are	
for purposes of —, police		not offences, S. 132 ...	72
may pursue offender		Prosecution against certain officers for	
to any place in British		acts done in suppressing — require	
India, S. 58 ...	43	sanction of G. G. in C, S. 132 ...	72
Police to at once take			
bail or produce offend-		ASSESSOR—	
er, S. 60 ...	43	Warrant for arrest of — not to issue,	
Detention of person ar-		S. 90 ...	55
rested, S. 61 ...	44	No fee chargeable for summons on —,	
Remand of do. do., S. 61		S. 95 note ...	55
note ...	44	<i>See also</i> TRIAL.	
Police to report all cases			
of — to whom, S. 62		ASSISTANCE—	
44, ...	45	to be rendered to Magistrates and	
when Police may — to		Police officers by all persons,	
prevent cognizable of-		S. 42 ...	33, 34
fence, S. 151 ...	89		
may — a person, the		ASSISTANT DISTRICT SUPERINTEN-	
remission or suspension		DENT OF POLICE—	
of whose sentence has		or superior Police officer may be ap-	
been cancelled, S. 401	257	pointed a special Magistrate, S. 14 ...	21
By village watchman, S. 54 note ...	39	no Police-officer below rank of — to be	
		appointed Public Prosecutor, S. 493	296

	PAGE		PAGE
ASSISTANT SESSIONS JUDGE—		ATTEMPT, <i>Concluded</i>—	
appointment of —, S. 9 ...	18	to commit offence, constitutes breach	
Jurisdiction of —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	18	of bond for good	
Powers of —, S. 31 ...	27	behaviour, S. 131 ...	68
Confirmation of sentence of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	27	to escape from lawful custody, Police	
Cases to be tried by —, S. 193 ...	120	may arrest without warrant for —,	
Procedure in reference to sentences		S. 54 ...	88
submitted for confirmation, by —,		to injure public property, Police may	
S. 380 ...	223	interpose in case of —, S. 152 ...	69
Appeal from sentence of —, S. 408 ...	241	joint or separate trial of persons, one	
Qualifications required from — as re-		of whom is charged with — to com-	
gards jurisdiction over European B.		mit offence, S. 239 ...	152
subjects, S. 444 ...	259		
ATTACHMENT—		ATTENDANCE—	
When — of property of absconder		of accused, Ss. 204, 205 ...	180, 132
may be ordered, S. 88 ...	53	" " in person, when dispensed	
when such order is made, S. 530 (a) ...	306	with, S. 205 ...	181
may be directed against what prop-		of witnesses before Police, S. 160 ...	93
erty, S. 88 ...	53	" " before a Court, when dis-	
How to be made in case of debt or		pensed with, S. 503 ...	291
other moveable property, <i>ib.</i> ...	53		
and in case of immoveable		ATTORNEY—	
property, <i>ib.</i> ...	53	of High Court, included in term	
when attached property is at disposal		" Pleader," S. 4 (n) ...	15
of Govt., <i>ib.</i> ...	53	agent of accused person should be	
when property under — may be sold,		provided with a power of —, S. 205	
<i>ib.</i> ...	53	<i>note</i> ...	182
Fee for —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	53		
Restoration of property under —, S. 89		B	
or of its value, <i>ib. note</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	54	BACKBENCH—	
Fine after —, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54	Bench of Magistrates in —, S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	21
of property belonging to 3rd person			
forbidden, <i>ib.</i> ...	54	BAIL—	
Claim to property under — is not		Search of persons under arrest, in cases	
barred by sale, and can be made by		in which — cannot be given, S. 51 ...	28
civil suit against purchaser, <i>ib.</i> ...	54	Bond, <i>see</i> BOND.	
If — proceedings regularly conducted,		in case of witnesses arrested, S. 90 <i>note</i> ...	65
no suit on part of absconder will		Accused to be released on — by Police,	
lie, <i>ib.</i> ...	54	when evidence insufficient, S. 169 ...	100
and sale in execution of Civil Court		Police to take — in bailable cases when	
decree cannot operate against — and		evidence sufficient, S. 170 ...	100
sale by Magistrate of absconder's		when — can be taken from accused	
property, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54	pending trial before High Court or	
Title of Govt. to attached property is		Sessions Court, S. 229 ...	148
absolute, <i>ib.</i> ...	54	in case of lunatic accused, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
Of immoveable property in dispute,		may be taken for any bailable offence,	
<i>see</i> PROPERTY.		S. 496 ...	288, 289
Appeal against order rejecting applica-		when — may be taken for non-bailable	
tion for restoration of property under		offence, S. 497 ...	289
— S. 404 ...	240	refused, though no	
and sale of moveable property of		evidence recorded,	
jurors and assessors, S. 332 ...	195	S. 497 <i>note</i> ...	289
and sale of moveable property on for-		when — cannot be required from ac-	
feiture of bond, S. 514 ...	297	cused, <i>ib.</i> ...	296
Forms of order of attachment, Sched.		amount of — bond how fixed, S. 498 ..	290
V. Nos. VI, XXIII, XLIV, XLVII,		High Court or Court of Session may in	
L. LII, ...	280, 385, 392, 393	any case order — to be taken or	
		refused —, S. 494 ...	290
ATTEMPT—		But to release on —, person to execute	
to commit offence, when triable sum-		bond, purport of bond, S. 499 ...	290
marily, Ss. 260,		on execution of — bond, person to be	
261 ...	163, 166	released from custody, S. 500 ...	290
" " when compound-		In case of insufficient —, additional	
able, S. 345 ...	205	— may be called for, S. 501 ...	290

	PAGE		PAGE
BAIL, Concluded—		BENCHES OF MAGISTRATES, Concluded—	
Sureties may apply for discharge at any time, and Mag. must discharge the — bond, S. 502 ...	290, 291	Framing of rules for guidance of —, S. 16 ...	22
To be taken from persons arrested outside jurisdiction, S. 86 ...	52	Subordinate to District Magistrate, S. 17 ...	23
may be taken from accused, when Judge disagrees with verdict, S. 307 ...	187	and generally to Sub-Divl. Mag., <i>ib.</i> ...	23
may be taken pending appeal, Ss. 426, 427 ...	251	not ordinarily to Sessions Judge, <i>ib.</i> ...	23
" " " " decision of reference by Presy. Magte. to High Court, S. 432 ...	253	Presidency Magistrates may form, S. 18 subject to rules, S. 21 ...	24
" " " " decision of reference by Judge of High Court, S. 434 ...	253	Warrants may be signed by any member of —, S. 75 ...	43
" " " " revision, S. 438 ...	255	Having 2nd or 3rd class powers may be invested with powers to try summarily, S. 261 ...	164-5
" " " by Ct. of Session in contempt-case, S. 477 ...	277	In summary trials, clerk may be empowered to prepare records &c. of —, S. 265 ...	167
" " " by Civil or Revenue Court in contempt-case, S. 478 ...	277	<i>See also</i> MAGISTRATE.	
Form of — bond after arrest, Sched. V. (III) ...	379	BENGAL—	
" " " on preliminary enquiry before Police, <i>ib.</i> (XXV) ...	345	Certain Deputy Commissioners in — invested with powers to try all offences not punishable with death, S. 30 <i>note</i> ...	27
" " " on preliminary enquiry before Magistrate, <i>ib.</i> (XLII) ...	391	Inquests in —, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	105, 106
" " warrant of discharge of person imprisoned on failure to give — Sched. V. (XLIII) ...	391	area for selection of jurors in —, S. 321 <i>note</i> ...	192
BAILABLE OFFENCE—		choosing jury in Sessions Courts in —, S. 276 <i>note</i> ...	173
defined, S. 4 (r) ...	16	" " " High Court in — <i>ib.</i> ...	173
Procedure by Magistrate on production of person arrested for a — outside jurisdiction, S. 86 ...	52	Form of oath for jurors in —, S. 281 <i>note</i> ...	174
Bail or bond to be taken in case of, S. 496 ...	258-9	" " " interpreters in —, S. 543 <i>note</i> ...	313
Table showing —a, Sched. II ...	328, 376	Railway accidents in —, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	109, 110
BARRISTER—		Rules for fixing Sessions in —, S. 270 <i>note</i> ...	169, 170
<i>see</i> ADVOCATE.		" " levy of fines in —, S. 387 <i>note</i> ...	228, 232
BAZARS—		" " guidance of Police in conducting prosecutions in —, S. 495 <i>note</i> ...	288
<i>see</i> MILITARY.		" " expenses of witnesses &c in —, S. 544 <i>note</i> ...	314, 315
BEERDHUM—		" " under Prisoner's Testimony Act in —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	459, 460
area for selection of European jurors &c. in — S. 321 <i>note</i> ...	192	" " for regulation of Cantonment Police in —, <i>ib.</i> ...	463
BEHAVIOUR—		" " the Reformatory School, Alipore, in —, <i>ib.</i> ...	499, 500
security for good —, <i>see</i> SECURITY.		Places of imprisonment in —, S. 541 <i>note</i> ...	313
BENARES—		Language of Courts in —, S. 556 <i>note</i> ...	326
Bench of Magistrates in Town of —, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.		Case of lunatic accused to be reported direct to Govt. in —, S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	270
BENCHES OF JUDGES—		what officers to attend executions in —, S. 331 <i>note</i> ...	224
Procedure in case of difference of opinion, S. 378 ...	222, 223	BIGAMY—	
BENCHES OF MAGISTRATES—		Sanction for prosecution for —, S. 198 ...	126
Local Govt. may appoint, S. 16 ...	31		
Powers of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	31		

	PAGE		PAGE
BINDING-OVER—		BOMBAY, Continued—	
complainant and witnesses before Ct. of Session to appear before High Court, in case of European II Subject, S. 449 ...	261	Presidency of —, conduct of postmortem examinations in —, S. 174 note ...	106
supplementary witnesses summoned after commitment, S. 219 ...	162	" " what Magistrates and Police officers may hold inquests in —, S. 175 note ...	110
to keep peace &c., see SECURITY.		" " Power of certain Magos. in —, to take cognizance of offences committed beyond jurisdiction, S. 186 note ...	115
BIRTH—		" " Powers of Magos. in — to take cognizance of offences, S. 191 note ...	118
Statement made by deceased person as to another's —, Evid. Act, S. 32, III. (b) ...	420	" " Offences triable by jury in —, S. 269 note ...	169
during marriage is conclusive proof as to legitimacy, ib., S. 112 ...	440	" " Rules for fixing Sessions in —, S. 270 note ...	169
BOAT-HIRE—		" " Number of jury in —, S. 274 note ...	172
for service of summons, S. 68 note ...	46	" " Head of village in — may hold inquest, S. 274 ...	108
BODY—		" " area for selection of jurors in —, S. 321 note ...	198
marks of injury on — in cases of sudden or unnatural death, S. 174 and note ...	103	" " what officers to attend executions in —, S. 381 note ...	224
may be sent by Police for medical examination, ib. ...	103	" " Places of imprisonment in —, S. 541 note ...	813
Power to disinter —, S. 176 ...	110	" " Rules for regulating witnesses' expenses in —, S. 544 note ...	316
Production of — of defendant before High Court, S. 491 ...	285	" " District and Assistant District Superintendents of Police, special powers of as regards warrants in — S. 83 note } S. 84 note } S. 86 note }	51-52
BOMBAY—		Ditto as regards nuisances, S. 143 ...	79
High Court of —, practice of choosing Jury in, S. 276 note ...	173	Town of —, Code does not apply to Commissioner of Police in —, S. 1 (a) ...	18
" " Rules for keeping Jury together until conclusion of trial in —, S. 296 ...	162	nor to police in —, ib. ...	18
" " form of reference to, S. 438 note ...	256	except as specially provided, Ss. 1, 64, 68, 84, 202	129
" " ordinary original Civil Jurisdiction of — constitutes Presidency Town, S. 4 (A) ...	15	13, 39, 46, 51, Jurisdiction of Presy. Magos. in —, S. 20 ...	24
" " is a High Court as regards European British subjects, ib. (i) ...	15		
Presidency of —, Code does not generally apply to cantonments in, S. 1 (b) nor to village police officers in, ib. (d) ...	13		
" " is the Regulations of — the term Zilla Magos. means only the District Magos., S. 10 note ...	19		
" " Powers of Magos. in — as regards nuisance cases, S. 123 note ...	73		
" " Inquests in —, S. 174 note ...	106, 106		

	PAGE		PAGE
BOMBAY, Concluded—		BOND, Concluded—	
Town of —, appeals under municipal law of — to be to Chief Mage, only; Proviso, S. 20 ...	24	Forfeiture of —, imprisonment in default, S. 514 ...	297
" " Justice of Peace in — how appointed, <i>see</i> JUSTICE OF PEACE.		" " remission of portion of penalty, <i>ib.</i> ...	297
" " when police in — may arrest without warrant, S. 64 ...	88	" " evidence as to, must be taken in presence of accused or agent, <i>ib.</i> note ...	297
BOND—		" " when order for, may be passed, <i>ib.</i> ...	297
for appearance of person refusing to give name and residence, S. 57 ...	48	" " accused may also be prosecuted, <i>ib.</i> ...	297
discharge of person arrested by police, on giving a —, S. 63 ...	45	" " order for, may be appealed to, or revised by, District Magte, S. 515 ...	297
of person arrested by warrant, S. 76 ...	49	" " High Court or Sessions Court may order Mage to levy penalty, S. 516 ...	298
in another jurisdiction, S. 86 ...	52	Forms of —, Sched. V, (III, X, XI, XXV, XXVI, XLII, XLIV, XLV, XLVI, XLIX, L, LI, LII, LIII)	379-394
may be taken from person present in Court for his appearance, S. 91 ...	55	BOOK—	
arrest on breach of, S. 92 ...	55	to be kept by officer in charge Police-Station, for entry of information concerning offences, Ss. 154, 155 ...	89, 90
For keeping the peace } <i>see</i> SECURITY.		BOOKS—	
" good behaviour } ...		Power of certain High Courts to prescribe rules for keeping of — by Subordinate Courts, S. 553 ...	322
and bail — in Criminal cases exempt from Stamp-duty, S. 106 note, S. 496 note ...	289	BOUNDARIES—	
to be executed by complainants and witnesses before the Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.		dispute as to — of immoveable property, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.	
to be taken from accused (and from his agent) when his personal appearance is dispensed with, S. 205 note ...	133	BREACH—	
Forfeiture of such — is entailed by default of agent, <i>ib.</i> ...	133	of Bond, <i>see</i> BOND.	
for attendance of complainant and witnesses before High Court or Sessions Court, S. 217 ...	218, 219	" contract, sanction for prosecution <i>see</i> S. 198 ...	126
amount of bail — how to be fixed, S. 498 ...	290	" " of service, <i>see</i> SERVICE.	
Before release on bail, person to execute a —, purport thereof, S. 499 ...	290	" Peace, <i>see</i> PEACE.	
on execution of — person to be released from custody, S. 500 ...	290	" Trust, <i>see</i> CRIMINAL.	
discharge of bail —, S. 502 ...	291	BRIBE—	
applicant for transfer of case may be required to give a —, S. 526 ...	302	disposal of money given as a —, S. 517 note ...	298
any person ordered to execute a —, may deposit cash or Govt. Securities, S. 513 ...	296	BRITISH—	
except in case of — for good behaviour, <i>ib.</i> ...	296	Burmah, <i>see</i> BURMAH.	
accused may be ordered to execute a — for daily attendance, S. 514 note ...	297	India, Code extends to whole of —, S. 1 ...	13
Forfeiture of —, procedure to be observed, S. 514 ...	297	" warrant of arrest may be executed at any place in —, S. 82 ...	51
" " where warrant can be executed, <i>ib.</i> ...	297	" trial of offenders committed beyond —, S. 186 note, Ss. 188-190 ...	117
" " only moveable property can be seized, <i>ib.</i> ...	297	" who are ex-officio Justices of the Peace in and for all —, S. 25 ...	25
		Soldier, offence committed by — where triable, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
		Subject, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.	

	PAGE		PAGE
BUDMASHI—		CAMPING GROUNDS—	
Register, should be private and open only to inspection by Police, S. 55 <i>note</i>	42	as regards nuisances, included in term "Public Place," S. 133 Expl. ...	78
BUILDING—		CANAL—	
Term "Place" includes a —, S. 4 (<i>u</i>)	17	Public to assist Magistrates and Police in preventing injury to —, S. 43 (<i>b</i>)	83
Conditional order for prevention of construction of any —, S. 133 ...	73	CANCELLATION—	
Do. Do. for removal of, repairs to &c. any —, S. 133	72	of powers conferred on officers, S. 41 ...	83
Removal and sale of — by Magistrate's order, S. 140	77	" order as to possession of land, S. 145 ...	83
BUOY—		" " for maintenance, S. 488 ...	283
removal of or injury to —, S. 152 ...	89	CANTONMENT—	
BURDEN OF PROOF—		Act III of 1880, <i>Appendix</i> ...	461-468
<i>see</i> PROOF.		and Assistant — Magistrate, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 3, 4 ...	463
BURMAH—		Magistrate to be Judge of Small Cause Court in —, <i>ib.</i> S. 5 ...	463
Powers of District Magistrate in —, S. 30	26	" Civil Jurisdiction of, <i>Appendix</i> ...	462, 463
" " Recorder and Judicial Commissioner in —, S. 185	115	Police, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 9-13	463, 464
Offences triable by jury in —, S. 269 <i>note</i>	169	Spirituous liquors in —, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 14-20 ...	464, 465
Area for selection of jurors in —, S. 321 <i>note</i>	193	Municipal Taxation in —, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 21-24	465, 466
Districts in which trial is to be by jury, S. 269 <i>note</i>	168	Power to make rules in —, on special or general subjects, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 25-35 ...	466-468
Number of jury in —, S. 274 <i>note</i> ...	172	Trial of petty offences in certain —, S. 1 (<i>b</i>)	13
Rules for regulating expenses of witnesses &c. in —, S. 544 <i>note</i> ...	316, 317	Magistrate, is a Magistrate in charge of a Sub-Division, S. 8 <i>note</i> ...	18
BUSINESS—		" Criminal Jurisdiction of, <i>Appendix</i> ...	463
Statement made by person in the course of —, Evid. Act, S. 32 (2) ...	419	Service of summons of Commanding officer of —, S. 68 <i>note</i> ...	46
C.		Execution of warrant issued by do. do., S. 77 <i>note</i>	50
CACHAR—		CAPITAL SENTENCE—	
All Deputy Commissioners in — vested with special powers, S. 30 ...	26	Execution of —, S. 381	223
CALCUTTA—		Postponement of — in case of pregnant woman, S. 382	224
Code generally does not apply to Commissioner of Police in —, S. 1	13	Warrant for execution of —, Sched. V, (XXXV)	389
nor to Police in town of —, <i>ib.</i>	15	<i>See also</i> DEATH, SENTENCE.	
except as specially provided, Ss. 1, 54, 68, 84, 202	129	CASE—	
Justices of Peace in — how appointed, <i>see</i> JUSTICES OF PEACE.		Cognizable and non-cognizable — defined, S. 4 (<i>g</i>)	16
when Police in — may arrest without warrant, S. 54	39	Summons — defined, S. 4 (<i>t</i>)	16
CALENDAR—		Warrant — defined, S. 4 (<i>s</i>)	16
Form of — of accused for trial before Court of Session in Bengal —, S. 218 <i>note</i> , S. 270 <i>note</i>	139, 169	" " <i>see</i> WARRANT.	
Do. Do. in Bengal, S. 218 <i>note</i> ...	140, 141	Application for transfer of a — is not contempt, S. 480	278
		Difficult —s should not be referred to a Bench, S. 16 <i>note</i>	23
		Postponement of part-heard — before a Bench, <i>ib.</i>	28
		Local Govt. may direct any —s or class of —s committed for trial to be tried in any Sessions Division, S. 174	111
		Investigation into cognizable —, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
		District Magistrate to delegate to subordinate Magistrate power to entertain —s, S. 12 <i>note</i> ...	19

	PAGE		PAGE
CASE, Concluded—		CERTIFY, Concluded—	
Bench of Magistrates can now be empowered to deal with miscellaneous —, S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	21, 22	Officer executing warrant of sentence to — manner of execution, S. 400	236, 237
Rules as to classes of —s to be tried by Benches of Magistrates, S. 16 ...	22	Lower Court to — additional evidence to Appellate Court, S. 428	251
No Judge or Magistrate to try certain —s, S. 555 ...	323	CHAIRMAN—	
nature of incapacity, <i>ib. note</i> 323—325		of Bench of Magistrates — <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.	
Magistrate not to try — whose tender of pardon has been accepted, S. 337	196	CHALLENGE TO JUROR—	
Nuisance —, <i>see</i> NUISANCE.		Right of —, Ss. 277, 278	173, 174
Pending —, Code applies to, S. 528 ...	326	Decision as to —, to be recorded, S. 279	174
Possession —, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		and to be final, <i>ib.</i>	174
—s regarding easements, <i>see</i> EASEMENT.		CHANNEL—	
Transfer of —s, <i>see</i> TRANSFER.		Jurisdiction of Presidency Magistrate over navigable — leading to Presy. Town, S. 19	24
Summary —, <i>see</i> SUMMARY.		Conditional order for removal of nuisance &c. from public —, S. 133	72
CAUTION—		CHAPTER—	
free statement not to be prevented by —, S. 163 ...	94	defined, S. 4 (v)	17
no — necessary before examining accused, S. 342	200	CHARACTER—	
CENTRAL PROVINCES—		of special jurors to be considered on preparing list, S. 313	190
Powers of District Magistrate in —, S. 80	26	Good — is relevant, Evid. Act, S. 53	427
Places of imprisonment in —, S. 541 <i>note</i>	313	Bad — not relevant, except in reply, <i>ib.</i> S. 54	427
Number of which jury to consist in —, S. 274 <i>note</i>	172	exception, <i>ib.</i>	427
Rules for regulating expenses of witnesses &c., in — S. 544 <i>note</i>	317	CHARGE—	
CEPI CORPUS—		when to be drawn up, in inquiries, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
Power of High Court to order defendant to be brought in, on Sheriff's return of — to writ of attachment, S. 491 (f)	285	Formal — not necessary in summons-case, S. 242	153
CERTIFICATE—		No — necessary before filing complainant in summons-case, S. 250 <i>note</i>	156
under Act XXVII of 1860, not of itself conclusive proof of possession, S. 145 <i>note</i>	85	when to be framed in warrant-cases, <i>see</i> WARRANT CASE.	
of medical officer not sufficient to prove insanity, S. 464 <i>note</i>	269	absence of — in warrant-case no bar to acquittal, S. 258 <i>note</i>	161
certain —s receivable in evidence, Ss. 467, 473, 475	271, 274, 275	cannot be altered so as to make offence triable summarily, S. 260 <i>note</i>	163
of High Court's decision on appeal, to be sent to what Court, S. 425	250	No — necessary in cases of security for good behaviour, S. 117	66
of capability for discharge of insane prisoner, S. 474	274	No formal — necessary in summary trials when no appeal lies, S. 263	165
of officer in charge of jail, previous conviction may be proved by, S. 511 (A)	295	In warrant-cases tried summarily to be definite and verbal, S. 263 <i>note</i>	166
of Court as to statements made by accused on examination, S. 364	215	In trial before High Court, when — or portion of — is unsustainable, Judge may make entry accordingly, S. 273	173
CERTIFY—		Effect of entry, <i>ib.</i>	172
High Court to — its decision in certain cases to Lower Court, Ss. 423, 442	250, 268	Form of Charge—	
Lower Court to — result of further inquiry or additional evidence to High Court, S. 375	222	To state offence, specially or by definition, and to mention law and section, S. 231	148
Do. Do. to Sessions Court, S. 380	223	What is implied in the charge, S. 231	148

CHARGE, Continued—	PAGE	CHARGE, Continued—	PAGE
<i>Form of Charge, Continued—</i>		<i>Form of Charge, Continued—</i>	
Language of —, S. 221 ..	144	Recall of witnesses after amendment of —, S. 231 ..	147
When previous conviction to be set out in —, <i>ib.</i> ..	144	Effect of material error in —, S. 232 ..	147, 148
effect of not stating previous conviction in the —, <i>ib.</i> and <i>note</i> ..	144, 145	<i>Joinder of Charges—</i>	
not sufficient to state that accused is an old offender, S. 221 <i>note</i> ..	145	Separate — and separate trial for each distinct offence, S. 233 ..	148
To contain particulars as to time, place and person, S. 222 ..	145	For example, under S. 198 I. P. C., <i>ib.</i> ..	148
When manner of committing offence should be stated in the —, S. 223 ..	145	" " under Ss. 167, 466, I. P. C., <i>ib.</i> ..	148
In cases of theft and murder, — need not state manner of committing the offence, S. 223, III (a) and (e) ..	145	" " under Ss. 411 and 413, I. P. C., <i>ib.</i> ..	148
In cases of cheating, false evidence, obstructing public servant &c., — must state manner of committing offence, S. 223, III. (b), (c), (d), (f) ..	145	" " under S. 193, I. P. C., S. 239 <i>note</i> ..	152
Words in the — to be taken in the sense of the law under which offence is punishable, S. 224 ..	145	" " as regards two parties in a riot, S. 239 <i>note</i> ..	152
No error in charge material unless accused misled thereby, S. 225 ..	145	" " as regards persons charged with committing public nuisance, <i>ib.</i> ..	152
To set forth offence with reasonable particularity, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ..	146	Several offences, part of same transaction, can be tried together in one —, S. 235, I ..	149
Procedure on commitment without a —, or on an imperfect —, S. 226 ..	146	Three offences of same kind within one year may be charged and tried together, S. 234 ..	148
In High Court, Clerk of Crown may remedy the defect, <i>ib.</i> ..	146	what are offences of same kind, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ..	148
Altered or amended by Sessions Court to be still drawn in name of Committing Magistrate, S. 226 <i>note</i> ..	146	If acts alleged constitute several kinds of offences, may be tried together, S. 235, II ..	149
Sessions Court cannot add a — not supported by evidence given before Magistrate, S. 226 <i>note</i> ..	146	Accused how to be tried, if acts constitute one offence, but when combined a different offence, S. 235, III ..	149
but should adjourn the trial and direct further inquiry, S. 228 <i>note</i> ..	147	First heard of — how to be ascertained, object of adding other heads to —, S. 235 <i>note</i> ..	150
Any Court may alter a — before judgment is pronounced, verdict given, or opinions of assessors expressed, S. 227 ..	147	Any number of —s may be tried at once, when it is doubtful what offence has been committed, S. 236 ..	150, 151
Alteration of — to be read and explained to accused, S. 227 ..	147	When accused charged with one offence, may be convicted of another, S. 237 ..	151
Trial may proceed immediately on alteration of —, if neither party prejudiced, S. 228 ..	147	Conviction of offence included in offence charged, S. 238 ..	151
Nature of prejudice discussed, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ..	147	Conviction of abetment illegal on a — of offence itself, S. 238 <i>note</i> ..	152
If new — prejudices either party, the Court may order new trial or adjourn trial, S. 229 ..	147	What persons can be jointly charged, S. 239 ..	152
when sanction is necessary for trial on amended —, S. 230 ..	147		

	PAGE		PAGE
CHARGE, Concluded—		CHIEF JUSTICE—	
<i>Joinder of Charges, Concluded—</i>		defined, S. 4 (j) ...	15
If Magistrate has no jurisdiction over one, should commit all, S. 239 note ...	152	to appoint dates for High Court Sessions, S. 334 ...	195
Separate — and trial of persons purchasing separate articles of stolen property, <i>ib.</i> ...	152	may appoint officer to give notice of sittings, S. 335 ...	195
on conviction of one of several —, withdrawal of others, S. 240 ...	152	CHIEF PRESIDENCY MAGISTRATE—	
<i>Charges to Jury—</i>		appointed by Local Govt., S. 18 ...	23
as regards evidence of accomplice, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.		in Bombay, appeals under the law regulating the Bombay Municipality to lie to —, S. 20 ...	24
meaning of term "Heads of —", S. 367 note ...	219	General powers of —, and power to make rules, S. 21 ...	24
copy of heads of — to be given to accused free of cost, S. 371 ...	220	CHILD—	
when to be given, what to contain, S. 297 ...	182	Joinder of charges of culpable homicide and exposure of —, S. 235, <i>III</i> 149, maintenance of —, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE.	150
Court of Session to record heads of —, S. 367 ...	218	power to compel restoration of abducted female —, S. 551 ...	322
copy of heads of — to accompany appeal S. 419 ...	244	any legitimate — of certain persons is an European British Subject, S. 4 (c) ...	16
finding, sentence or order when reversible by reason of misdirection in —, S. 537 ...	309	CHITTAGONG—	
copy of heads of — to be given to person affected if desired, S. 543 may be given free of cost, <i>ib.</i> ...	320	Benches of Magistrates in —, S. 15 note ...	21
CHARTER ACT—		CHOKIDAR—	
Statute 24 and 25 Vic. c. 104, <i>see</i> STATUTES.		<i>see</i> VILLAGE CHOKIDAR.	
CHEATING—		CHOTA NAGPORE—	
charge of — must set out manner of committing the offence, S. 223, <i>II</i> (b) under S. 217, I. P. C., when compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	Powers of Deputy Commissioners in —, S. 30 note ...	27
charge of —, when it is doubtful what offence has been committed, S. 236, <i>III</i> ...	151	CIRCULARS—	
charges of —, S. 221, <i>III</i> ...	144	<i>Agra Sadler Court—</i>	
CHEMICAL EXAMINER—		14 of 1862 ... S. 213 note ...	137
Report of — or of Assistant — to be used as evidence in any inquiry &c., S. 510 ...	295	64 " " ... S. 347 note ...	206
How to be used, <i>ib.</i> note ...	295	6 of 1863 ... { S. 289 note } ...	180
copy not receivable as evidence, <i>ib.</i> ...	295	91 " " ... S. 466 note ...	270
Duty of calling for report of — lies with the Magistrate, S. 510 note ...	295	21 of 1864 ... S. 179 note ...	112
Articles for examination by the — to be despatched by medical officer, <i>ib.</i> ...	295	3 of 1865 ... S. 90 note ...	55
CHIEF COURT, PUNJAB—		18 " " ... S. 355 note ...	210
is a High Court as regards proceedings against European British Subjects, S. 4 (c) ...	15	7 of 1866 ... S. 383 note ...	225
is a High Court within meaning of Chapter XXIII, S. 266 ...	163	13 " " ... S. 355 note ...	210
Record of evidence in —, S. 365 ...	217	<i>Allahabad High Court—</i>	
Circulars of the —, <i>see</i> CIRCULARS.		1 of Jan 29, 1873 ... S. 374 note ...	221
Senior Judge of the — is a Chief Justice, S. 4 (j) ...	15	3 " April 12, " ... <i>ib.</i> ...	221
		4 " May 2 " ... { S. 543 note, App. } ...	314
			400
		<i>Bengal, Board of Revenue—</i>	
		9 of July 1878 ... S. 88 note ...	54
		<i>Bengal Government—</i>	
		10601 of 1864 ... S. 33 note ...	29
		6355 " Nov. 1865 ... S. 160 note ...	53
		4442 " July 1869 ... S. 359 note ...	213
		81 " Oct 28, 1870 ... S. 466 note ...	270
		23 " May 23, 1871 ... S. 12 note ...	19
		48 " Aug 12, " ... S. 466 note ...	270
		58 " Oct 30, " ... { S. 245 note } ...	154
		" " " " ... { S. 258 note } ...	162
		" " " " ... { S. 263 note } ...	167
		42 of April 4, 1873 ... S. 174 note ...	109
		68 " May 30, " ... S. 544 note ...	315
		90 " July 19, " ... S. 544 note ...	315
		92 " July 24, " ... S. 381 note ...	234
		111 " Sept. 12, " ... S. 54 note ...	41

CULARS, Continued—

Bengal Government, Concluded—

147 of Dec. 9, " ...	S. 544 note...	315
151 " Dec. 16, " ...	S. 396 note...	315
22 " May 27, 1874...	S. 396 note...	235
19 " Mar. 19, 1875...	S. 419 note...	243
21 " Jan., 1876 ...	S. 394 note...	232
16 " Mar. 25, 1880...	S. 16 note...	22
	S. 191 note...	118

Bengal Police—

20 of 1862 ...	S. 77 note...	50
21 " " ...	S. 103 note...	50
27 " 1863 ...	S. 61 note...	44-99
	S. 167 note...	
12 " 1864 ...	S. 77 note...	50
" Jan. 8, 1864 ...	S. 57 note...	53
29 " 1865 ...	S. 174 note...	104-105
60 " " ...	S. 193 note...	95
64 " 1866 ...	S. 170 note...	101
19 " 1867 ...	S. 169 note...	93
33 " " ...	S. 174 note...	105
38 " " ...	S. 155 note...	90
4 " 1868 ...	S. 101 note...	59
	S. 155 note...	90
27 " 1877 ...	S. 80 note...	51
" June 3, 1878...	S. 57 note...	53

Bombay High Court—

1260 of 1869 ...	S. 61 note...	44-99
	S. 167 note...	
Dec. 27, 1872 ...	S. 278 note...	133
32 of 1879 ...	S. 240 note...	169

Calcutta High Court—

90 of April 30, 1857	S. 174 note...	104
100 " May 27, 1862...	S. 213 note...	137
	S. 347 note...	206
18 " July 15, 1863...	S. 138 note...	255
1 " Jan. 8, 1864...	S. 373 note...	221
4 " Mar. 30, " ...	S. 355 note...	210
5 " May 6, " ...	S. 447 note...	260
	S. 453 note...	262
6 " May 16, " ...	S. 208 note...	133
31 " June 19, " ...	S. 400 note...	237
7 " June 20, " ...	S. 215 note...	139
13 " July 28, " ...	S. 209 note...	134
	S. 342 note...	201
	S. 208 note...	133
	S. 342 note...	201
19 " Sep. 17, " ...	S. 356 note...	211
	S. 359 note...	212
	S. 364 note...	215
20 " Oct. 4, " ...	S. 197 note...	126
21 " Nov. 22, " ...	S. 90 note...	55
22 " Dec. 10, " ...	S. 470 note...	273
167 " May 15, 1865...	S. 383 note...	225
	S. 276 note...	173
4 " June 23, " ...	S. 279 note...	174
	S. 306 note...	189
5 " July 5, " ...	S. 347 note...	206
9 " Aug. 20, " ...	S. 357 note...	212
	S. 358 note...	
12 " Nov. 27, " ...	S. 208 note...	133
	S. 359 note...	213
8 " Aug. 6, 1866...	S. 447 note...	260

CIRCULARS, Continued—

Calcutta High Court, Concluded—

9 of Aug. 3, " ...	S. 368 note...	219
11 " Oct. 1, " ...	S. 383 note...	225
14 " Dec. 6, " ...	S. 73 note...	47
1 " Feb. 12, 1867...	S. 213 note...	136
9 " Aug. 7, " ...	S. 420 note...	245
11 " Sep. 2, " ...	S. 287 note...	176
	S. 509 note...	294
1 " Jan. 10, 1869...	S. 72 note...	47
4 " May 6, " ...	S. 217 note...	139
5 " May 28, " ...	S. 420 note...	245
5A of Sep. 7, " ...	S. 200 note...	128
7 of Sep. 10, " ...	S. 383 note...	225
12 " Nov. 28, " ...	S. 383 note...	225
4 " Mar. 15, 1869...	S. 280 note...	180
	S. 340 note...	189
7 " Aug. 12, " ...	S. 420 note...	246
8 " Aug. 25, " ...	S. 420 note...	246
9 " Sep. 6, " ...	S. 200 note...	135
	S. 213 note...	137
	S. 347 note...	206
13 " Aug. 29, 1870...	S. 213 note...	137
	S. 349 note...	199
1 " Jan. 11, 1871...	S. 383 note...	225
6 " July 17, " ...	S. 245 note...	154
	S. 258 note...	161
7 " July 20, " ...	S. 202 note...	129
2 " Mar. 18, 1872...	S. 423 note...	248
3 " July 25, " ...	S. 75 note...	48
	S. 218 note...	142
	S. 287 note...	176
4 " Aug. 10, " ...	S. 288 note...	177
	S. 355 note...	210
	S. 510 note...	205
4 " Feb. 25, 1873...	S. 200 note...	128
12 " June 7, " ...	S. 281 note...	174
	S. 543 note...	313
7 " July 30, " ...	S. 364 note...	215
9 " July 15, " ...	S. 396 note...	235
10 " Aug. 27, " ...	S. 383 note...	225
12 " Nov. 28, " ...	S. 384 note...	226
2 " June 8, 1874...	S. 419 note...	245
2 " Mar. 4, 1875...	S. 297 note...	182
	S. 367 note...	219
2 " May 5, " ...	S. 384 note...	224
7 " July 8, 1876...	S. 264 note...	167
5 " Dec. 19, " ...	S. 384 note...	226
1 " Jan. 12, 1877...	S. 273 note...	221
	S. 417 note...	248
24 " June 24, 1878...	S. 72 note...	47
6 " June 29, " ...	S. 399 note...	236
7 " Aug. 17, " ...	S. 88 note...	54
32 " Nov. 29, " ...	S. 339 note...	311
	S. 218 note...	140
5 " Oct. 14, 1879...	S. 279 note...	169
	S. 307 note...	188
3 " Mar. 24, 1880...	S. 29 note...	135
	S. 364 note...	216
5 " Sep. 21, " ...	S. 373 note...	221
6 " June 15, 1881...	S. 420 note...	246
	S. 423 note...	248

Madras High Court—

Cir. of Aug. 16, 1873	S. 261 note...	175
-----------------------	----------------	-----

	PAGE		PAGE
CIRCULARS, Concluded—		CLAIM, Concluded—	
North West Provinces—		to be dealt with as an European B.	
4 of May 2, 1873 ...	S. 281 note... 175	Subject, waiver of, S. 4	
Punjab Chief Court—		(u) note ...	17
XXV of Sep. 26, 1866	S. 368 note .. 219	S. 454	263
IX „ Mar. 15, 1868	S. 61 note... 44	to be tried, Ss. 256, 272 ...	159, 171
XXII „ Oct. 26, „	S. 420 note... 246	to property seized for levy of fine,	
	S. 62 note .. 45	S. 386 note ...	227
X „ May 26, 1869	S. 157 note... 92	„ „ under attachment, <i>see</i> AT-	
	S. 172 note... 102	TACHMENT.	
XX „ 1869 ...	S. 75 note... 49	„ possession of property, S. 145 ...	81
V „ 1870 ...	S. 438 note ... 256		
IX „ May 8, 1873	S. 281 note .. 175	CLASSES—	
	Appendix... 400	of Criminal Courts, S. 6 ...	17, 18
X „ Do. „	S. 538 note .. 314	CLERK—	
XV „ July 24, „	S. 326 note .. 194	Local Govt. may allow — to prepare	
Cir. „ Oct. 23, „	S. 179 note... 112	records &c. of Benches in summary	
Book Cir. 1-87 of „	S. 218 note .. 140	trials, S. 265 ...	167
Punjab Judicial Commissioner—		CLERK OF THE CROWN—	
54 of Nov. 17, 1862	S. 387 note .. 228	defined, S. 4 (f) ...	15
24 „ Dec. 24, 1864	S. 179 note... 112	List of witnesses for defence may be	
2 „ 1865 ...	S. 90 note... 55	given to —, S. 211 ...	136
CIVIL COURT—		To prepare lists of jurors &c. in Presi-	
<i>see</i> COURT.		dency Towns, S. 313 ...	190
CIVIL FORCE—		Magistrate may leave summoning of	
Use of — to disperse assembly, S. 128...	71	defence witnesses to the —, S. 216	138
CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE—		Charge, record, and exhibits to be sent	
Chapter XXXVI; powers &c. of re-		to —, on commitment, S. 218 ...	139
ceivers appointed for attachment of		May frame charge, or add to or alter	
property of absconders, same as		existing charge, S. 226 ...	146
those of receivers appointed under		To sign published lists of jurors &c.,	
the —, S. 88 ...	53	S. 314 ...	190
No. 640, 641; persons exempted under		Swearing and affirming affidavits be-	
—, also exempt from service as jurors,		fore the —, S. 539 ...	311
S. 320 (p) ...	192	CLOSED PLACE—	
S. 643; — Ss. 191 note, 196 note	117, 122	Persons in charge of — to allow search,	
		S. 102 ...	59
CIVIL SUIT—		COGNIZABLE—	
Compensation awarded for frivolous or		case, <i>see</i> CASE.	
vexatious complaint to be taken into		offence, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
consideration in any subsequent —,		COGNIZANCE—	
S. 250 ...	156	of offences by Sessions Judges, Addi-	
Order for restoration of property not		tional, Joint and Assis-	
to prejudice rights which may be		tant Sessions Judges,	
established by —, S. 322 ...	300	S. 193 ...	120
For maintenance not barred by order		by Magistrates, Ss. 191,	
of Magistrate, S. 488 note ...	282	192 ...	117-119
CIVIL SURGEON—		by High Court, S. 194 ...	120
Body when to be forwarded to — for		requiring sanction, Ss. 195-	
examination, S. 174 ...	103	199 ...	121-126
Form of letter to — to examine wound-		COIN—	
ed persons and dead bodies, S. 174		Search for counterfeit —, S. 96 ...	57
note ...	103, 104	Charge for having in possession coun-	
Deposition of — may be used as evi-		terfeit —, S. 225 III. (a) ...	145
dence, <i>see</i> MEDICAL WITNESS		Forms of charges for knowingly deli-	
Rules regarding the forwarding of		vering, or attempting to deliver as	
bodies to the —, S. 174 note ...	104	genuine, counterfeit —, Sched. V	
To examine suspected lunatic, S. 464	263	(XXVIII) ...	286-288
CLAIM—		COINAGE—	
to be dealt with as an European B.		Trial of persons previously convicted	
Subject, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN		of offences against —, S. 248 ...	206
BRITISH SUBJECT.			

	PAGE		PAGE
COLLECTOR—		COMMISSIONER OF POLICE—	
Attachment of revenue-paying land to be made through the — of the District, S. 89 ...	53	Code does not apply to — in Presidency Town, S. 1 ...	18
is a Judge, S. 197 note ...	124	Warrant of arrest of person outside jurisdiction to be forwarded to —, S. 83 ...	51
Duties of — as regards lists of jurors and assessors, <i>see</i> TRIAL		Person so arrested to be brought before —, S. 85 ...	51
of Revenue and Customs exempt from serving as juror or assessor, S. 320 ...	191	Procedure, S. 86 ...	52
COLONIES—		COMMISSIONERS—	
Persons naturalized or domiciled in certain — are European British subjects, S. 4 (u) ...	16	exempted from serving as jurors, S. 320 (c) ...	191
COMBINATION—		Certain High Courts may direct production of prisoner before — acting under commission from the G. G. in C., S. 491 (d) ...	285
of sentences, S. 32 ...	29	Municipal —, <i>see</i> MUNICIPAL	
COMMANDING OFFICER—		Chief — included in term Local Govt., S. 4 (g) note ...	16
Duty of — in dispersing assembly, S. 130 ...	71	of divisions may offer reward up to Rs. 500 for arrest of offender, S. 87 note ...	53
„ as regards military jurors, S. 317 ...	191	„ „ may get records of Sessions Court in order to appeal against acquittal, S. 373 note... ..	231
COMMENCEMENT—		COMMITMENT—	
of this Code, S. 1 ...	13	<i>For Trial—</i>	
„ trial, S. 271 ...	170	Order of — when to be made, <i>see</i> Inquiry	
COMMISSION—		once made by competent authority, can be quashed only by High Court, and only in a point of law, S. 215 ...	137
Justices of Peace appointed under — of the High Court, continued in office, S. 24 ...	25	Test for — made without jurisdiction is whether or not accused has been prejudiced, S. 215 note ..	138
of inquiry in cases of lunacy, S. 474... ..	274	when to be notified and to whom, S. 218 ...	139
COMMISSIONS TO EXAMINE WITNESSES—		Record of — to contain certain papers, <i>ib. note</i> ...	142
when, to whom, and by whom to be issued; procedure on receipt, S. 503 ...	291	„ „ translation of confession or examination of accused when to accompany, <i>ibid</i> ...	143
Issue of — for examination of witness in allied State, <i>ib.</i> ...	291	of person to whom pardon has been tendered, S. 339 ...	193
Issue of — is entirely discretionary with the Court, <i>ib. note</i> ...	291	Procedure on — without a charge or on an improper charge, S. 226 ...	146
<i>Furdah Nisheen</i> women cannot as of right require issue of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	292	When irregular — may be made valid, S. 552 ...	307
when evidence taken by — is not admissible, <i>ib.</i> ...	292	Rules to be observed in making — in Bengal and the Panjab, S. 218 note ...	139—143
to examine witness resident in Presidency Town, may be directed to Presy. Mag., S. 504 ...	292	<i>To High Court—</i>	
Saving of High Court's powers to issue —, <i>ib.</i> ...	292	High Court can take cognisance of any offences on —, S. 28 note, S. 194 ...	23, 130
Parties may examine witness by interrogatories, by pleader or in person, S. 506 ...	293	Certain —s must be to High Court, Ss. 214, 447 ...	137, 260
application for issue of — by Provincial Subordinate Magistrate, S. 506 ...	293	English translation must accompany record of —, S. 218 ...	139
Return of — when executed; may be read as evidence, S. 507 ...	293		
Reasonable adjournment to be made for execution and return of —, S. 508 ...	293		
COMMISSIONED OFFICER—			
dispersion of assembly by —, S. 130... ..	71		
COMMISSIONER IN SINDH—			
<i>see</i> Acts, V of 1868, (India Council).			

	PAGE		PAGE
COMMITMENT, Concluded—		COMPENSATION, Concluded—	
<i>To Court of Session—</i>		Payment of — Refund of —, S. 545	
Sessions Court can take cognizance		<i>note, 547 note</i> ...	320
of any offence on —, S. 193 ..	120	Payment of — to be con-	
Notice of — to Sessions Court,		sidered in subsequent	
S. 270 <i>note</i> ...	170	civil suit, S. 546 ...	320
<i>To Custody—</i>		In Presy. Towns, to persons	
<i>see</i> CUSTODY.		ground-	
COMMITTAL—		lessly ar-	
of accused lunatic, S. 469 ...	271	rested,	
" person on discharge of sureties, S. 502	291	S. 552 ...	322
" " on failure to give additional		may be re-	
bail, S. 501 ...	290	covered	
" " refusing to answer or to pro-		as a fine;	
duce document, S. 485 ...	290	imprison-	
to High Court or Court of Session of		ment in	
person committing offence against		default	
administration of justice, S. 475 ...	277	of reco-	
COMMITTING MAGISTRATE—		very, <i>ib.</i> ...	322
examination of accused by — to be			
read as evidence at trial, S. 287 ...	176	COMPETENT COURT—	
evidence of notices taken before —		Effect of conviction or acquittal by —,	
may be treated as evidence at trial,		S. 403 <i>note</i> ...	239
S. 288 ...	177	COMPLAINANT—	
COMMON JURORS—		to execute bond before Police to ap-	
lists of — for the High Court, S. 313		pear before Magistrate,	
(a) ...	190	S. 170 ...	100
COMMUTATION—		only personal bond to be	
of sentence, S. 402 ...	237	taken, S. 171 ...	101
COMPENSATION—		need not accompany Police officer,	
For frivolous and vexatious complaint,		S. 171 ...	101
S. 250 ...	156	not to be subjected to unnecessary	
Recovery of, <i>ib.</i> ...	156	restraint, <i>ib.</i> ...	101
Fee for warrant for levy		may be sent up in custody, <i>ib.</i> ...	101
of —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	156	Effect of absence of — in summons-	
cannot be allowed when		case, S. 247 ...	155
Maga. allows complaint		" " in warrant-	
to be withdrawn, <i>ib.</i> ...	156	case, S. 259 ...	162
no charge necessary be-		cannot claim of right to be heard in	
fore order of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	156	appeal, S. 422 <i>note</i> ...	247
can only be awarded in		Expenses of —, <i>see</i> EXPENSES.	
summons cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	156	when entitled to copies of depositions	
Magistrate may also satis-		<i>Ac. S. 548 note</i> ...	321
faction prosecution, <i>ib.</i> ...	156	Unrobarated evidence of — is suffi-	
cannot be awarded when		cient for conviction, Evid. Act,	
complainant has no <i>acua</i>		S. 134 <i>note</i> ...	447
<i>stand</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	156	To be examined on oath, and to sign	
can be awarded even after		his examination, S. 240 ...	127
defence has been heard,		when Magistrate, transferring case,	
<i>ib.</i> ...	157	may dispense with examination	
Appellate Court cannot		of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	127
pass order for, <i>ib.</i> ...	157	To execute bond before Magistrate for	
Imprisonment in default		appearance in High Court or Ses-	
to follow only on failure		sions Court, S. 217 ...	138
to levy, <i>ib.</i> ...	157	may be detained on refusal	
no appeal against order		to attend or to execute	
for —, S. 407 <i>note</i> ...	241	bond, <i>ib.</i> ...	139
Payment of — out of fine, for injury,		Name of — to appear on record of	
S. 545 ...	319	summary trial, S. 263 ...	166
can be given in what cases,		and in Presidency Magis-	
<i>ib. note</i> ...	319, 320	trate's judgment, S. 370	220
order for — to be passed in		may be bound over to prosecute Eu-	
presence of accused, <i>ib.</i> ...	319	ropean British Subject before High	
		Court, S. 449 ...	241

COMPLAINT—

defined, S. 4 (a) ...	14
does not include report of Police officer, <i>ib.</i> ...	14
nomination of member of Bench to receive —, S. 16 note ...	22
<i>To Police—</i>	
Record of substance of — made to Police regarding non-cognizable offence, S. 155 ...	91
Prosecution for false — to Police needs no sanction if no further proceedings taken, S. 195 note ...	123
<i>see also</i> POLICE.	
<i>To Magistrate—</i>	
gives jurisdiction to take cognizance of offence, S. 191 ...	117
Fee for petition of —, S. 191 note ...	118
Difference between — and sanction to prosecute, explained, S. 195 note ...	122
Mage. to at once examine complainant on oath, S. 200 ...	127
Examination to be thorough, not formal, S. 200 note ...	28
Substance of — to be recorded and signed by complainant and Mage., <i>ib.</i> ...	127
when — is in writing, complainant need not be examined before transfer of case, <i>ib.</i> ...	127
in writing of — cognizable offence needs no stamp, S. 200 note ...	127
of non-cognizable offence requires stamp ...	127
of public servant, municipal officer, and railway employee needs no stamp, <i>ib.</i> ...	127
to be received at fixed hour, numbered and registered, <i>ib.</i> ...	127
Register of criminal —, <i>ib.</i> ...	128
Procedure when Mage. not competent to take cognizance of — in writing, S. 201 ...	128
Procedure when Mage. doubts truth of, S. 202 ...	129
Powers of person deputed to investigate —, <i>ib.</i> ...	129
Caution as to using police to investigate —, S. 202 note ...	129
when — may be dismissed, S. 203	129
No appeal against order of dismissal, S. 203 note ...	129
further enquiry may be ordered into dismissal —, <i>ib.</i> and S. 437 ...	129, 255
Mage. may reopen case if further evidence forthcoming, S. 437 note ...	255
cannot be summarily dismissed after issue of process, S. 203 note ...	130

COMPLAINT, *Concluded—**To Magistrate, Concluded—*

Prosecution for false — cannot be entertained until original — finally dismissed, S. 203 note ...	130
<i>see also</i> SANCTION.	
may be dismissed if on the face of it — discloses no offence, <i>ib.</i> ...	130
How a — once dismissed can be re-entertained, <i>ib.</i> ...	130
Dismissal of — is not an acquittal for purposes of S. 403, S. 403 ...	238
In summons-case, <i>see</i> SUMMONS-CASE.	
Fraudulent or vexatious —, <i>see</i> ditto.	
Finding &c. when reversible by reason of error &c. in —, S. 537 ...	309

COMPOSITION—

of offences, S. 345 ...	204, 205
-------------------------	----------

COMPOUNDABLE—

offences, S. 345 ...	204, 205
accused may be discharged in absence of complainant in — offences, S. 259 ...	162

CONCEALMENT—

offence of assisting in — of stolen property may be tried summarily, S. 260 (1) ...	162
---	-----

CONDITIONAL ORDER—

for removal of public nuisance, S. 133	72, 73
--	--------

CONFERMENT—

of powers, S. 33 ...	33
----------------------	----

CONFESSION—

Not to be procured by inducement, threat or promise, S. 163 note ...	94
Irrelevancy of — so procured, Evd. Act, S. 24 ...	412
unless made after complete removal of impression so caused, <i>ib.</i> S. 24 ...	416
How far relevant, S. 163 note ...	94, 95
Procedure when Police charged with extorting —, <i>ib.</i> ...	95
Punishment for obtaining — by hurt &c., <i>ib.</i> ...	95
Any Magistrate, not being a Police officer, can record a —, Ss. 164, 361 ...	96, 215
Procedure to be observed, <i>ib.</i> ...	96, 215
<i>see also</i> ACCUSED.	
Not to be recorded unless voluntarily made, S. 164 ...	96
Memorandum to be made at foot of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	96
Police officer who brought accused not to be present when — recorded, <i>ib.</i> note ...	97
To be signed by accused and by Mage., Ss. 164, 361 ...	96, 215

	PAGE		PAGE
CONFESSION, <i>Concluded</i>—		CONFINEMENT, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Record of — to be presumed to be genuine &c., S. 164 <i>note</i> ...	97	By commissioned officer of persons forming part of unlawful assembly, S. 130 ...	71
Error in recording — how to be rectified, S. 522 ...	308	By Magistrates of Do. Do., S. 128 ...	71
To be translated in certain commitments, S. 218 <i>note</i> ...	142	of lunatic, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
When — is sufficient for conviction, S. 287 <i>note</i> ...	176, 177	Solitary —, may be awarded by what Magos, S. 32 (a), (b) 27, ...	28
Accused cannot be convicted by High Court or Sessions Court on — to Magr., if he pleads not guilty, S. 272 <i>note</i> ...	172	" " when — may be awarded, S. 32 <i>note</i> ...	28
Is not legal corroboration of evidence of accomplice, S. 337 <i>note</i> ...	198	" " Limit of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	28
If retracted, should be rejected as evidence, S. 364 <i>note</i> ...	215	Wrongful —, defined, S. 100 <i>note</i> ...	59
Form of recording — of accused, <i>ib.</i> ...	216	" " under S. 342, I. P. C., is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204
Admissibility of — made to Police, Evid. Act, S. 24 <i>note</i> ...	412, 418	" " search-warrant for person in —, S. 100 ...	58
Made to Police officer, not to be proved, <i>ib.</i> S. 25 ...	413	CONFIRMATION	
Discrepancy between — and admission made to Police officer, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	413	of sentence of death, vested in High Ct., Ss. 31, 374 ...	221
Made while in custody of Police, when can be proved against accused, <i>ib.</i> S. 26 ...	414	High Ct.'s power of, S. 376 ...	222
How much of — made to Police can be proved, <i>ib.</i> S. 27 ...	414	to be signed by two Judges, S. 377 ...	222
Interpretation of this doctrine, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	415	time for appeal allowed before, S. 378 ...	
Made under promise of secrecy or when drunk &c., when relevant, <i>ib.</i> S. 29 ...	416	copy of order to be sent to Sessions Ct., S. 379 ...	223
Consideration of — made by one person jointly tried with others for same offence, Evid. Act S. 30 ...	416	of imprisonment exceeding 3 years passed by Assistant Sessions Judges, S. 31 ...	27
Not allowable except at same trial, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	416	or by specially empowered District Magistrates, S. 34 ...	30
Nor when others charged only with abetment, <i>ib.</i> ...	416	Calculation of sentence which requires —, S. 34 <i>note</i> ...	30
Except under S. 114, I. P. C., <i>ib.</i> ...	416	" " aggregate sentences for —, S. 35 ...	30
nor on charge of dacoity and receiving stolen property, <i>ib.</i> ...	417	Submission of sentences for — by Sessions Judge, S. 390 ...	223
nor by amending the charge, <i>ib.</i> ...	417	Appeal against sentence requiring — not to be presented until case disposed of by Sessions Court, S. 408 ...	241
must adversely and substantially affect person making it: test, <i>ib.</i> ...	417	Court of — may direct order for disposal of property to be stayed &c., S. 520 ...	299
of fellow-accused requires corroboration, <i>ib.</i> ...	418	CONFISCATION—	
<i>Compare</i> ACCOMPLICE.		where — follows conviction, jurisdiction to try case summarily not barred, S. 260 <i>note</i> ...	164
of fellow-accused taken in absence of accused, not admissible, <i>ib.</i> ...	418	CONSENT—	
CONFINEMENT—		of accused cannot cure defect of jurisdiction, S. 435 <i>note</i> ...	254
Continuance of lawful — not rendered unlawful by this Code, S. 2 ...	14	CONSTABLE—	
Place of — of persons in Police-custody, S. 167 <i>note</i> ...	90	certain officers above rank of — when considered in charge of Police Station, S. 4 (a) ...	16
		Police officer above rank of — authorised to search place suspected to contain stolen property, &c., S. 98 ...	57

	PAGE		PAGE
CONSTRUCTION—		CONVICT, <i>Concluded—</i>	
of building, conditional order for preventing or stopping, S. 133	72, 73	Warrant for arrest of escaped — to whom may be directed, S. 78	51
of documents, <i>see</i> Document.		Execution of sentence on escaped —, S. 396	235
CONTEMPT—		Sentenced to penal servitude, how dealt with, <i>Appendix</i>	504-5
No fee chargeable for process issued by Court of its own motion in certain cases of —, S. 90 <i>note</i>	55	Presence of — not required when additional evidence is taken, Ss. 375, 380	222, 223
Sanction necessary in certain prosecutions for — of lawful authority of public servant, S. 195	121	Admission of — to bail, S. 498	290
Jurors failing to attend High Court to be deemed guilty of —, S. 318	191	Management of transported —, <i>Appendix</i>	506
Summary procedure in certain cases of — S. 480	278	Discharge of —, <i>ib.</i>	506
European B. Subject not exempt, <i>ib.</i>	278	CONVICTION—	
Application for transfer of a suit is not a —, <i>ib. note</i>	278	Security to keep peace on —, <i>see</i> SECURITY.	
Perjurication or refusal of witness to give direct answer is not a —, <i>ib.</i>	278	in summary-cases, summons-cases, warrant-cases and trials, <i>see</i> SUMMARY-CASE, SUMMONS-CASE, WARRANT-CASE, TRIAL.	
When irrelevant questions to a witness may amount to —, <i>ib.</i>	278	Objection to juror on ground of — for an offence, S. 278 (<i>f</i>)	174
If the Court itself does not proceed under Chap. XXXV, it cannot prosecute for — before a Mag., <i>ib.</i>	278	Withdrawal of remaining charges on — of one of several, S. 240	152, 153
Supply of rations to person imprisoned for —, <i>ib.</i>	278	Enhanced sentence may be passed on a second —, S. 348 <i>note</i>	206
Record what to show, S. 481	279	When confiscation follows —, summary jurisdiction not barred, S. 260 <i>note</i>	164
Accused must be specifically charged and allowed to answer, <i>ib. note</i>	279	On evidence partly heard by Magistrate's predecessor, S. 350	208
Discharge of offender on submission or apology, S. 484	279	may be set aside by superior Court without appeal, <i>ib.</i>	208
Procedure when Court forwards accused for trial, S. 492	279	Previous —, how to be proved, { S. 221 <i>note</i> 144, { S. 348 <i>note</i> 206,	145, 207
Imprisonment or committal of witness refusing to answer &c., S. 484	280	does not necessarily deprive a Mag. of jurisdiction, <i>ib.</i>	207
Appeals from convictions in — cases, S. 486	280, 281	must be specified in the charge or cannot be used, <i>ib.</i>	208
When Court cannot try — committed against itself, S. 487	281	when — must be set out in charge, S. 221	144
CONTINUANCE—		when a — leads to commitment of accused, S. 348	206
of powers of officer transferred, S. 40	33	charge of — bars summary jurisdiction, S. 260 <i>note</i>	164
of nuisance, <i>see</i> NUISANCE.		is relevant in criminal trials, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 54	427
of lawful confinement not rendered unlawful by this Code, S. 2	14	COORG—	
of warrant of arrest, S. 75	48	special powers of District Mag. in —, S. 30	26, 27
CONTINUING OFFENCE		COPY—	
place of trial of —, S. 182	113	of charge in inquiries to be given to accused free of charge, S. 210	186
CONTRACT—		" evidence of supplementary witnesses, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
Sanction for prosecution for breach of —, S. 198	126		
Criminal breach of — of service, <i>see</i> Service.			
CONTRADICTING—			
Police officer from his Diary, S. 172	101		
CONVICT—			
Movements of escaped — to be reported, S. 45	26		
Procedure on recapture of escaped —, S. 396 <i>note</i>	235		

	PAGE		PAGE
COPY, Concluded—		CORPSE, Concluded—	
of remand-order to police custody, <i>see</i> POLICE.		may be disinterred by what authority, S. 176 ...	110
" sentence on persons in Military employ, <i>see</i> MILITARY.		CORROBORATION—	
" list of jurors to be affixed in Presidency High Courts, S. 314 ...	190	of evidence of accomplice, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
" judgment &c to be given to accused free of cost, S. 371 ...	220	CORRESPONDING...	
" finding and sentence of Sessions Court to be sent to Magr., S. 373	221	expressions in former Acts, S. 3 ...	14
District Magr. to be allowed to take — of judgment of Sessions Court, S. 378 <i>note</i> ...	221	COSTS—	
of order in maintenance-cases, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE.		in disputes regarding Easements, <i>see</i> EASEMENTS	
" report of Chemical Examiner not receivable as evidence, S. 510 <i>note</i> ...	295	in reference by Presy. Magr. to High Court, S. 433 ...	253
" judgment, order, deposition or charge to jury to be given on application to person affected, S. 518 ...	320	of prosecutor when accused applies to High Court for transfer of case, S. 523 ...	302
Fee for — in certain cases remitt 1, <i>ib</i> <i>note</i> ...	320	to be deducted from attached property of absconder before restoration, S. 89	54
Date of application for copy to be recorded thereon, <i>ib</i> ...	320	of removing nuisance how recovered, S. 140 ...	77
Fees for —, <i>ib</i> ...	320	COUNSEL—	
of certain documents, judgments and depositions exempted from charge, <i>ib</i> ...	321	Conduct of prosecution by —, S. 495	287
required by a Magistrate how to be made, <i>ib</i> ...	321	Standing ... may conduct prosecution without permission of Court, <i>ib</i> ...	287
Complainant when entitled to — of depositions &c, <i>ib</i> ...	321	COUNTERFEIT—	
Prisoner entitled to — of all documents for which he asks, <i>ib</i> ...	321	<i>See</i> COIN, SEAL, STAMP.	
Certified — of public document, Evid. Act, Ss. 76, 77 ...	432	COURT—	
of bond for appearance of complainant and witnesses to be given to one of the parties executing it, S. 170 ...	100	Chief —, Punjab, <i>see</i> CHIEF COURT.	
of depositions and exhibits, may be received as evidence at inquiry or trial of offence committed out of British India, S. 189 ...	116	High —, <i>see</i> HIGH COURT, CIRCULARS.	
of order to be sent to Sessions Court when sentence submitted to High Court for confirmation, S. 379 ...	223	Sessions —, <i>see</i> SESSIONS.	
of judgment &c to accompany appeal, S. 419 ...	244	Constitution of —, S. 6 ...	17
of grounds of appeal to be given to Public Prosecutor on application, S. 422 ...	246, 247	Martial, <i>see</i> MILITARY.	
of order of High Court on reference of Presy. Magr. to be sent to him, S. 433	253	Civil —, Execution of decree of —, <i>see</i> ATTACHMENT.	
Previous conviction or acquittal may be proved by —, S. 511 ...	295	" " cannot question conditional order of Magr. for suppression &c. of any nuisance, S. 153 ...	73
of grounds of application for transfer of case to be given to Public Prosecutor, S. 526 ...	303	" " Magistrate bound to maintain possession given by a —, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	85
CORPSE—		" " to observe rules when committing persons to the Sessions, S. 270 <i>note</i> ...	170
disposal of — of convict after execution, S. 368 <i>note</i> ...	319	" " can commit offender for trial, Ss. 478-483 ...	277-279
		" " Registrar or Sub-Registrar may be declared a — as regards contempt, S. 483	279
		" " cannot set aside order for maintenance, —, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	283
		" " when decree of — superseded order for maintenance, <i>ib</i> ...	283
		Revenue —, when a — may commit for trial, Ss. 478-483 ...	277-279
		Contempt of —, <i>see</i> CONTEMPT.	
		Language of —, <i>see</i> LANGUAGE.	
		Classes of —, S. 6 ...	17
		Powers of —, Ss. 28-41 ...	25-33
		Power of any — to summon and examine witnesses, <i>see</i> WITNESSES.	

	PAGE		PAGE
COURT, <i>Concluded</i>—		CROSS-EXAMINATION—	
Offences cognizable by each —, <i>Ss.</i> 23-30 ...	25-27	<i>see</i> EXAMINATION.	
which can try offenders under laws other than the I. P. C., <i>S.</i> 29 ...	26	CROWN—	
All —s to be open to the Public: exception, <i>S.</i> 352 ...	209	Clerk of the —, <i>see</i> CLERK OF THE CROWN	
Any — may alter a charge, <i>see</i> CHARGE.		CULPABLE HOMICIDE—	
No — but High Court can quash a commitment made by a competent Magistrate, <i>S.</i> 215 ...	137	Place of inquiry and trial, <i>S.</i> 179, <i>III.</i> ...	111
Detention of person attending a —, <i>see</i> DETENTION		Joinder of charges of — and exposure of child, <i>S.</i> 235, <i>III.</i> ...	149, 150
Subordination of —s for purposes of sanction to prosecute, <i>S.</i> 195 ...	121	Form of charge of —, <i>Sched. V</i> , (XXVIII, I, (6)) ...	396
How a — can use Police Diaries, <i>S.</i> 172 and <i>note</i> ...	101, 102	CUSTODY—	
may impound a document or other thing produced before it, <i>S.</i> 104 ...	59	Punishment of Police officer for violence to person in —, <i>S.</i> 50, <i>note</i> ...	38
Appellate —, Powers of — in case of material error in charge, <i>S.</i> 232 — 147, ...	148	Remand to Police —, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
“ or Court of Revision may add order for security to order dismissing appeal in certain cases, <i>S.</i> 106 <i>note</i> ...	61	Prisoner in — when to be handcuffed, <i>S.</i> 60 <i>note</i> ...	44
House, proclamation for absconder to be affixed to conspicuous part of —, <i>S.</i> 87 ...	52	Pursuit and re-capture in case of escape from —, <i>S.</i> 63 ...	45
Fees Act, <i>see</i> ACTS (<i>India Council</i>) VII of 1870.		Office of escape from —, where triable, <i>S.</i> 181 ...	113
Small Cause —, appeals from convictions in contempt cases by — to whom to lie, <i>S.</i> 486 ...	260	Escape of person from — when under trial, <i>S.</i> 396 <i>note</i> ...	235
“ “ subordinate to High Court, <i>S.</i> 195 ...	156	<i>See also</i> ESCAPE.	
of Wards, certain officers subordinate to the — to report certain matters, <i>S.</i> 45 ...	35	Complainant or witness refusing to attend High Court or Sessions Court or to give bond, may be detained in —, <i>S.</i> 217 ...	139
CREDIBILITY—		Commitment to — of accused by Magistrate pending trial before High Court or Sessions Court, <i>S.</i> 220 ...	143
of evidence, <i>see</i> EVIDENCE.		Remand to — by a Court, <i>see</i> REMAND.	
CRIMINAL—		of lunatic, pending trial, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
breach of trust, where triable, <i>S.</i> 181 ...	113	Discharge from — on executing bond, <i>S.</i> 50 ...	290
“ “ contract of service, <i>see</i> SERVICE.		of documents produced at trial, <i>Appendix</i> ...	509
misappropriation, where triable, <i>S.</i> 181 ...	113	CUSTOM—	
intimidation (<i>S.</i> 506, I. P. C.) when compoundable, <i>S.</i> 345 ...	204	what facts are relevant when a — is in question, <i>Evid. Act</i> , <i>S.</i> 13 ...	403
“ “ triable summarily, <i>S.</i> 260 ...	162	Statement made by deceased or person as to a public —, <i>ib.</i> <i>S.</i> 32 (4) ...	419
“ “ security on conviction of, <i>S.</i> 106 ...	60	opinion as to existence of a —, <i>ib.</i> <i>S.</i> 48 ...	426
Force, under <i>Ss.</i> 352, 359, I. P. C., compoundable, <i>S.</i> 345 ...	204	CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT—	
“ restoration of immovable property of which person has been dispossessed by —, <i>S.</i> 522 ...	300	Certain officers of — exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, <i>S.</i> 320, 191-193	
Trespass, compoundable, <i>S.</i> 345 ...	204		
Tribes, Act, <i>see</i> ACTS (<i>India Council</i>) XXVII of 1871.			

	PAGE		PAGE
DEATH—		DEATH, Concluded—	
Abatement of appeal by —, S. 431 ...	252	Sentence of —, males sentenced to —	
All offences not punishable with —		not punishable with	
may be tried by certain Magistrates,		whipping, S. 393 ...	233
S. 80 ...	26-27	" " Postponement of —	
Burden of proof as to —, Evid. Act,		on pregnant woman,	
Ss. 107, 108 ...	440	S. 382 ..	224
caused in endeavour to arrest, S. 46 ...	36	DEBTS—	
Duties of Police in investigating cases		Attachment of — of absconder, <i>see</i>	
of — by strangulation, hanging,		ATTACHMENT.	
murder, poisoning, accident &c.,		DECAY—	
S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	104-110	Disposal of property subject to —,	
Duty of Mag. in inquiries when —		S. 517 ...	298
results from injuries inflicted by ac-		DECISION—	
cused, S. 213 <i>note</i> ...	137	to be given by Judge on certain points	
Inquest to be held in cases of suicide		in Jury trials, S. 298 ...	182
or of violent, accidental or suspicious		Judgment to contain — and reasons	
—, S. 174 ...	102-103	thereof, S. 367 ...	217
Occurrence of sudden, unnatural or		DECLARATION—	
suspicious — to be at once reported,		Dying —, made to Police officer in	
S. 45 ...	35	course of inves-	
Relevancy of statement made as to		tigation, to be	
cause of —, Evid. Act, S. 32 (1) ...	418-419	signed, S. 162 ...	93
Whether — of him and puts an end		may be used as evi-	
to prosecution for adultery &c., S. 199		dence, <i>ib.</i> ...	93
<i>note</i> ...	126	" " must be taken in presence	
Sentence of —, in capital cases judg-		of accused;	
ment to state why		otherwise must	
— was not passed,		be proved, <i>ibid.</i>	
S. 367 ...	218	<i>note</i> ...	94
" " Sessions Judge should		How to be proved,	
decide whether in		<i>ib.</i> ...	94
such cases — should		" " admissibility of — taken	
be passed, S. 367		not in presence of ac-	
<i>note</i> , S. 371 <i>note</i> 218, ...	222	cused, Evid. Act, S. 33	
" " How to be passed,		<i>note</i> ...	422
S. 368 ...	219	DECLARATORY ORDER—	
" " when passed by Ses-		<i>See</i> EASEMENTS.	
sions Judge or by		DECREE—	
Additional or Joint		Execution of — of Civil Court, <i>see</i>	
Sessions Judge, to		ATTACHMENT.	
be confirmed by		DEFAMATION—	
High Court, S. 31 ..	27	Sanction for prosecution for —, S. 198	126
" " Assistant Sess. Judge		Office of — (Ss. 500-502 I. P. C.) is	
cannot pass, <i>ib.</i> ...	27	compoundable, S. 345 ..	204
" " Sessions Judge to fix		DEFAULT—	
time and place for		Security for possible — of maintenance	
executing —, S. 368		cannot be taken, S. 488 ...	284
<i>note</i> ...	219	DEFECT—	
" " when passed, period		Distress not illegal, and distrainer not	
for appeal to be		a trespasser for — or want of form	
notified to accused,		in proceedings, S. 538 ..	311
S. 371 ...	220	DEFENCE—	
" " Period for appeal in		Accused in trials before High Court	
cases of —, S. 371		or Court of Session when to be called	
<i>note</i> ...	221	on for his —, S. 289 ...	179
<i>See also</i> SENTENCE.		Evidence for — when to be taken in	
" " confirmation and exe-		summons-case, S. 244 ...	153
cution of —, <i>see</i>		and in warrant-case, S. 256	159
SENTENCE.		List of witnesses for — when to be	
" " altered to transporta-		given in, in inquiries, S. 211 ...	126
tion when execution			
would lead to de-			
capitation, S. 376			
<i>note</i> ...	222		

	PAGE		PAGE
DEFENCE, <i>Concluded</i>—		DEPOSIT, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Opening of — by accused or his pleader, S. 290 ...	180	Search of place suspected to be used for — of stolen property, forged documents &c, S. 98 ...	57
Person incapable of making a — owing to unsoundness of mind, Ss. 464, 468, 473 ...	268, 271, 274	DEPOSITION—	
Position of prosecution as compared with —, S. 289 ...	179	Correction of —, S. 360 ...	214
DEFINITIONS—		of witnesses examined on commission, how to be treated, S. 507 ...	293
Advocate General, S. 4 (<i>h</i>) ...	15	of medical witness, how to be used, S. 509 ...	293
Bailable offence, <i>ib.</i> (<i>r</i>) ...	15	taken in absence of accused when admissible, S. 512 ...	296
Chapter, <i>ib.</i> (<i>v</i>) ...	17	DEPUTATION—	
Chief Justice, <i>ib.</i> (<i>j</i>) ...	15	of Subordinate Magistrate, to make inquiry in disputes regarding land, S. 148... ..	88
Clerk of the Crown, <i>ib.</i> (<i>i</i>) ...	15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS—	
Cognizable case, <i>ib.</i> (<i>q</i>) ...	16	Special powers of —, S. 30 <i>note</i> ...	17
“ offence, <i>ib.</i> (<i>q</i>) ...	16	DESERTER—	
Complaint, <i>ib.</i> (<i>a</i>) ...	15	may be arrested by Police officer without warrant, S. 54 ...	39
European British Subject, <i>ib.</i> (<i>u</i>) ...	17	Provisions regarding —, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	39, 41
High Court, <i>ib.</i> (<i>i</i>) ...	15	should be brought without delay before nearest Magistrate, <i>ib.</i> ...	41
In the Penal Code apply to this Code, S. 4 last para. ...	17	DESIGN—	
Inquiry, S. 4 (<i>c</i>) ...	15	Information of — to commit cognizable offence, S. 150 ...	88
Investigation, <i>ib.</i> (<i>h</i>) ...	15	DESTRUCTION—	
Judicial Proceeding, <i>ib.</i> (<i>d</i>) ...	15	of Records Act, III of 1879, <i>App.</i> ...	506-7
Local Government, S. 4 <i>note</i> ...	15	“ “ Rules for the, <i>ib.</i> ...	508-9
Non-bailable case, S. 4 (<i>q</i>) ...	16	DETENTION—	
“ offence, <i>ib.</i> (<i>r</i>) ...	16	of person arrested by police { S. 54 <i>note</i> 41 S. 61 <i>note</i> 44 S. 167 98, 99	
Non-cognizable offence, <i>ib.</i> (<i>q</i>) ...	16	of telegrams, postcards and letters, when required by certain Magistrates, S. 95 ...	56
Offence, <i>ib.</i> (<i>p</i>) ...	16	of any person in Court, who is implicated by the evidence in any offence, S. 351 ...	208
Officer in charge of Police Station, <i>ib.</i> (<i>v</i>) ...	16	of accused on discharge of jury, S. 308 ...	188
Place, <i>ib.</i> (<i>u</i>) ...	17	“ “ accepting tender of pardon, S. 357 ...	196
Pleader, <i>ib.</i> (<i>n</i>) ...	16	Liberation by High Court of person under illegal or improper —, S. 491 ...	235
Police Station, <i>ib.</i> (<i>v</i>) ...	16	of property seized by police, S. 523 ...	300
Political agent, S. 190, and <i>Appx.</i> 117, 498		DIARY—	
Presidency Town, S. 4 (<i>h</i>) ...	15	to be kept at Police Stations, S. 154 <i>note</i> ...	90
Province, <i>ib.</i> (<i>g</i>) ...	15	Extract from police — to be sent to Magistrate when investigation cannot be completed in 24 hours, S. 167 ...	98
Public Prosecutor, <i>ib.</i> (<i>m</i>) ...	15	of proceedings of police officer in investigation, S. 172 ...	101
Schedule, <i>ib.</i> (<i>t</i>) ...	17	DIET—	
Sub-division, <i>ib.</i> (<i>f</i>) ...	15	of witnesses and prisoners in Panjab, <i>see</i> WITNESS.	
Summons-case, <i>ib.</i> (<i>t</i>) ...	16	DINAGEPORE—	
Warrant-case, <i>ib.</i> (<i>s</i>) ...	16	Bench of Magistrates in —, S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	21
Writing, <i>ib.</i> (<i>e</i>) ...	15	DIRECTIONS—	
Written, <i>ib.</i> (<i>e</i>) ...	15	in the nature of <i>habeas corpus</i> , S. 491 ...	265
DELIVERY—			
order prohibiting — of property to absconder, S. 58 (<i>c</i>) ...	53		
of lunatic to relative, S. 475 ...	271		
to military authorities of persons liable to be tried by Court-Martial, S. 549 ...	321		
of property seized by Police, S. 523 ...	300		
DEMEANOUR—			
of witness, remarks respecting — to be recorded, S. 363 ...	215		
DEPOSIT—			
of expenses of witness for defence, S. 216 ...	138		
“ “ “ “ in summons-case, S. 244 and <i>note</i> ...	154		
“ “ “ “ in warrant-cases, S. 257 ...	161		

	PAGE		PAGE
DISAGREEMENT—		DISCHARGE, <i>Concluded</i>—	
of Sessions Judge with verdict, <i>see</i>		Improper—; power of higher Court to	
TRIAL.		order commitment, S. 436	254
DISCHARGE—		or to direct further inquiry,	
of accused by Appellate Court, S. 423	247	S. 437 ...	255
" " not an acquittal for pur-		DISCOVERY—	
poses of section 403 (re-		of persons wrongfully confined, S. 100	58
trial after conviction or		DISCRETION—	
acquittal), S. 403 ...	238	of Court as to interpreting documents,	
" " on inquiry, S. 209 ...	134	S. 361 ...	214
when the order may be made,		of Mag. as to detention of person	
<i>ib note</i> ...	135	arrested in order to prevent breach	
" " in warrant-case, S. 253 ...	168	of peace, S. 108 ...	62
when com-		of Mag. as to extension of time for	
plainant ab-		return of verdict of jury in nuisance	
sents him-		cases S. 141 ...	78
self, S. 259	162	DISINTERMENT—	
" " upon withdrawal of Public		of corpse by Magistrate's order, S. 176	110
Prosecutor from prosecu-		DISMISSAL—	
tion, S. 494 ...	287	of complaint, S. 203 ...	129
" bond to keep the peace: proceed-		" " does not amount to an	
ings void when discharge made		acquittal so as to bar	
by Magistrate not empowered,		subsequent trial, S. 403	238
S. 530 ...	306	" " power to order further	
" convicts recommended to pardon,		inquiry after —, S. 437	255
<i>Appendix</i> ...	506	DISOBEDIENCE—	
" defendant on Advocate General		Consequences of — to absolute order	
staying prosecution: such dis-		to remove public nuisance, S. 140...	77
charge not to amount to an acquit-		to direction of law, S. 223, <i>Ill. (f)</i> ...	145
tal, S. 338 ...	195	of person failing to attend before Police	
" jury on one of the jurors ceasing		officer, <i>see</i>	
attendance, S. 282 ...	175	POLICE.	
" " in case of sickness of prisoner,		" " " " " Police officer	
S. 243 ...	175	holding in-	
" " in High Court, when not un-		quest, <i>see</i>	
animous, S. 305 ...	186	POLICE.	
" " Re-trial after, S. 308 ...	188	DISPENSING—	
" lunatic prisoner, S. 474 ...	274	with attendance of witnesses, S. 503...	291
" offender on submission or apology,		DISPERSION—	
in certain cases of contempt, S. 484	279	of unlawful assemblies, Ss. 127-132 ...	70-72
" persons arrested without warrant,		DISPOSAL—	
S. 63 ...	45	of property, Ss. 517-525 ...	278-302
" " required to furnish security		of rewards to Police, <i>Appendix</i> ...	475
for keeping the peace or		DISPUTE—	
for good behaviour, S. 119	68	as to immoveable property, <i>see</i> PRO-	
" " imprisoned for failure to		PERTY.	
give security, S. 124 ...	69	concerning Easements, <i>see</i> EASEMENTS.	
" " accused of bailable offence,		DISTRAINER—	
on his giving bond for ap-		not a trespasser when proceedings are	
pearance, S. 496 ...	268	defective, S. 538 ...	311
" " bound to be of good behavi-		DISTRESS—	
our: proceedings void		not illegal for want of form in pro-	
when discharge made by		ceedings, S. 538 ...	311
Magistrate not empow-		of moveable property in order to re-	
ered, S. 530 ...	306	cover cost of removal of nuisance,	
" " imprisoned on failure to give		S. 140 ...	77
security: forms of war-		Issue of warrant for recovery of fine	
rant, Sch. V, Nos. XV,		by —, S. 386 ...	328
XLIII ...	383	Recovery of fine by —, beyond juris-	
" sureties to bail-bond, S. 502 ...	290	diction, S. 387 ...	227
" " " bond for keeping the			
peace or for good behavi-			
our, S. 126 ...	70		

	PAGE		PAGE
DISTRICT—		DOCUMENT, Concluded—	
Certain —s in which special fees are leviable for service of summons, S. 68 <i>note</i> ...	46	Custody of — produced at trial, <i>Appar.</i> ...	509
Every Sessions Division to be a —, or to consist of —s, S. 7 ...	18	Contents of — how proved, Evid. Act, Ss 61-73 ...	430-432
Every Presidency Town is a —, S. 7... 18	18	Public —, what is a, <i>ib.</i> , S. 74 ...	432
Limits and number of —s how to be altered, S. 7 ...	18	Private —, what is a, <i>ib.</i> , S. 75 ...	432
Local Govt. may divide any mofussil — into Sub-divisions, S. 8 ...	18	Presumptions as to —s, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 79-90 ...	432-436
Magistrate of a Division of a — means a Sub-divisional Mag., S. 3 ...	14	Language of —s, Ss 93-98 ...	437, 438
Sub-division of a — defined, S. 4 (<i>f</i>) ...	15	Person merely producing a — cannot be cross-examined, <i>ib.</i> , S. 139 ...	449
Temporary vacancy in the office of a — Mag. how filled, S. 11 ...	18	from which witness cannot be compelled to refresh his memory, <i>ib.</i> , S. 159 ...	452
Collector, <i>see</i> COLLECTOR.		Production of —s, S. 94, Evid. Act, Ss. 130-1, Ss 162 165—56, 445, 453, ...	454
Magistrate, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.		Summons to produce —, <i>see</i> SUMMONS.	
Munsif, <i>see</i> MUNSHI.		Translation of — produced, S. 162 ...	453
Superintendent and Assistant — Superintendent of Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.		Search warrant for —, <i>see</i> WARRANT.	
		Search by police for —, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
DISTURBANCE—		DOMICILE—	
of public peace, S. 127 ...	70	of European British Subject, S. 4 (<i>s</i>) ...	16
Dispersion of assembly like to cause — of public peace, <i>ib.</i> ...	70	DOOR—	
DIVISION—		Breaking open —, in order to effect arrest, S. 48 ...	87
Territorial —s declared, Ss. 7, 8 ...	18	" " to effect exit after entry, S. 49 ...	87
Local Govt. may alter the limits, or, with previous sanction of G. G. in C., the number of —s, S. 7— ...	18	DRAIN—	
Sessions — to consist of districts or to be a District, S. 7 ...	18	Magistrate cannot order removal of obstruction of a —, S. 133 <i>note</i> , S. 147 <i>note</i> ...	74, 87
of a District, <i>see</i> DISTRICT.		DUMB—	
DIVORCE—		Trial of — person, 341 <i>note</i> ...	200
Magistrate's order for maintenance cannot be enforced after —, S. 463 <i>note</i> ...	263	witnesses, Evid. Act, S. 119 ...	448
except during wife's <i>iddat</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	263	DUTY—	
DOCUMENT—		Arrest without warrant of person obstructing Police officer in execution of his —, S. 54 ...	88
defined, Evid. Act, S. 3 ...	403	DYING DECLARATION—	
Forged — defined, S. 98 <i>note</i> ...	58	<i>see</i> DECLARATION.	
Search for, S. 98 ...	57	E.	
Any Court may impound a —, or other thing produced before it, S. 104 ...	59	EASEMENTS—	
Judge decides as to meaning and construction of — given in evidence at trial, S. 298 (<i>b</i>) ...	182	<i>Disputes concerning Easements—</i>	
Interpretation of — at trial, S. 361 ...	214	when Mag. may inquire into —, S. 147 ...	87
+ Imprisonment of witness &c. refusing to produce —, S. 454 ...	280	Nature of order to be passed in —, <i>ib.</i> ...	87
Disposal of — produced at inquiry or trial, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		cannot be merely a declaratory order, S. 147 <i>note</i> ...	88
Prosecution of party for offence connected with — given in evidence, requires sanction, S. 195 ...	121	Limit as to passing of order, <i>ib.</i> ...	87
Prosecution of witness in such case needs no sanction, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	123	Dispute regarding obstruction of a drain cannot be adjudicated as a —, S. 147 <i>note</i> ...	87
+ Process to produce — for defence of accused, <i>see</i> WARRANT-CASE.		Right of way, or right to the flow of water across land of another, can be adjudicated as a —, <i>ib.</i> ...	87
Oral admissions as to contents of —s when admissible, Evid. Act, S. 22... 411	411	Nature of evidence necessary to decide a —, S. 147 <i>note</i> ...	88

	PAGE		PAGE
EASEMENTS, Concluded—		ENTICING—	
<i>Disputes concerning Easements, Con-</i>		away married woman (S. 498, I. P. C.)	
<i>cluded—</i>		is compoundable, S. 345	204
District or Sub-Divisional Mag.		Joinder of charges of — away married	
can depute Subordinate		woman and adultery, S. 235, III. (c)	149
Mag. to make a local in-		Who may prosecute for — any mar-	
quiry as to —, S. 148	88	ried woman, S. 199 * ...	126
Sort of inquiry to be made,			
<i>ib. note</i> ...	88	ENTRY—	
Expenses of inquiry how		in station diary of complaints of offen-	
to be paid, <i>ib.</i> ...	88	ces, Ss. 154, 155 ...	89, 90
Report of officer deputed is		on unsustainable charge: its effect,	
evidence in —, <i>ib.</i> ...	88	S. 273 ...	172
Report should be made		not an acquittal for certain pur-	
known to the parties,		poses, S. 403 ...	238
who may rebut it, <i>ib.</i> ...	88	„ charge when Judge considers ac-	
Costs incurred in — for witness's		cused should not be retried after	
or pleader's fees, S. 148	25	discharge of jury, S. 308	188
ENACTMENTS—		ERROR—	
<i>see ACTS.</i>		Court may correct clerical — in judg-	
ENDORSEMENT—		ment, S. 369 ...	219
on duplicate copy of summons for		in the charge, effect of, S. 225	145
Govt. or Railway servant, S. 72	47	„ „ „ new trial when to be	
„ duplicate copy of summons, is ad-		ordered, S. 232 147,	148
missible in evidence, S. 74	48	„ „ „ or proceedings, when	
„ warrant of arrest, for security, S. 76	49	sentence or order is	
„ „ „ „ of executing offi-		reversible by reason	
cer's name, S. 79	60	of, S. 537	309
„ „ „ „ of name of Mag.		ESCAPE—	
&c. in whose		of persons arrested, how to be prevent-	
jurisdiction to		ed, S. 50 ...	38
be executed,		„ „ in custody when under trial,	
Ss. 83, 84	51	S. 396 <i>note</i> ...	235
„ „ „ „ Form of —, Sched.		„ „ from custody, where triable,	
V. (II) ...	379	S. 181 ...	113
of order for attachment of absconder's		or attempt to — from lawful custody	
property outside jurisdiction, S. 88	53	renders liable to arrest without	
„ order for attachment and sale out-		warrant, S. 54	38
side jurisdiction, to recover costs of		Pursuit and recapture in case of —	
removing obstruction, S. 140	77	from custody, S. 66	45
„ Mag. on a returned complaint,		Abetment of — of youthful offenders,	
S. 201	128	<i>Appendix</i> ...	500
For recovery of fine outside jurisdic-		ESCAPED CONVICT—	
tion, S. 387	227	<i>see CONVICT.</i>	
„ recovery of penalty of bond outside		ESTOPPEL—	
jurisdiction, S. 514	296	defined, Evid. Act, S. 115	442
ENGLISH—		of acceptor of bill of exchange &c. <i>ib.</i> ,	
Evidence taken in — when to be inter-		S. 117	442
preted to accused, S. 358	210	„ tenant as to landlord's title, <i>ib.</i> , S. 116	442
Local Govt. may direct evidence to be		EUROPEAN—	
taken in —, S. 357	211	Jury list for Sessions Court to mention	
Judgment may be written in —, S. 367	217	when juror or assessor is an — or	
Memorandum of examination of ac-		an American, S. 321	198
cused, when to be in —, S. 364	215	EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT—	
ENGRAVING—		defined, S. 4 (u)	16
within definition of "writing", S. 4 (c)	15	All Acts of G. G. in C., apply to —,	
ENHANCEMENT—		S. 459	267
of sentence by High Court as a Court		Criminal proceedings against — to be	
of Revision, S. 439	256	conducted under this Code,	
Appellate Court cannot pass order of		S. 463	268
— of sentence on appeal, S. 423	247	except in cases under Euro-	
		pean Vagrancy Act, S. 111	67

EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT, Con- cluded—	PAGE
Justice of Peace must be an —, S. 22 and <i>note</i> ...	24
What Magcs. alone can inquire into charge against —, Ss. 22 <i>note</i> ...	259
What Sessions, and Assett. Sessions Judges can exercise jurisdiction over —, S. 444 ...	259
Any Magc. can take cognizance of an offence committed by —, S. 22 <i>note</i> , S. 445 ...	260
not exempt from ordinary jurisdiction except as regards offences, S. 443 <i>note</i> , S. 480 ...	278
not subject to enhanced powers of cer- tain District Magcs., S. 34 <i>note</i> ...	30
Liability of — for offence committed beyond British India, S. 188, and <i>Appendix</i> ...	490
In case of doubt, place of inquiry and trial of — to be decided by High Court, S. 185 ...	115
or by Recorder, in Bur- mah, <i>ib.</i> ...	115
Place of trial before the High Court, S. 336 ...	195
Parentage and residence of — need not be recorded by Presy. Magc., S. 370 ...	220
Appeal of —, lies to what Court, S. 408 ...	241
“ “ does not lie in certain cases, Ss. 411, 413 <i>note</i> ...	243
Sentence on —, maximum, by Provin- cial Mag., S. 446 ...	260
“ “ “ by Court of Session, S. 449 ...	261
“ “ “ by Presy. Magc., (S. 32 (a)) S. 446 ...	27 260
Committal of —, when to be made to High Court and when to Sessions Court, S. 447 ...	260
Procedure on commitment of — to High Court, S. 447 <i>note</i> ...	260
“ “ improper commitment to Court of Session, <i>ib.</i> ...	260, 261
Trial by High Court of minor offences committed by —, S. 448 ...	261
Transfer by Sessions Judge of case of — to High Court, S. 449 ...	261
Procedure when Sessions Judge is not an — and accused is, S. 450 ...	261
Claim of — for trial by mixed jury, S. 451 ...	261
Summoning and empanelling jury, S. 462 ...	268

EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT, Con- cluded—	PAGE
Trial of — and native, jointly accused, S. 452 ...	263
when native may claim separate trial, <i>ib.</i> ...	263
Procedure on claim of person to be dealt with as an —, S. 453 and <i>note</i> ...	263
Magc. should ask accused if he is an —, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> , and S. 454 and <i>note</i> 16, ...	263
Omission to do so does not invalidate proceedings, S. 534—308, ...	309
but renders Magc. liable to action for trespass, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	309
Decision that accused is not an — forms ground of appeal, S. 453 ...	263
Waiver of claim to be tried as —, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> ...	17
Failure to plead <i>status</i> is a waiver, S. 454 ...	263
Legitimacy and nationality of — must be proved, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> ...	16
Plea of — if not admitted by the Court must be substantiated, <i>ib.</i> ...	16
A declared vagrant loses his privileges as an — in India, <i>ib.</i> and S. 443 <i>note</i> ...	17, 259 484
see also <i>Appendix</i> An — convicted under S. 22 or S. 23 Act IX, 1874 loses his privileges in India, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> ...	17
If person not an — is dealt with as such, proceedings are not invalid, S. 453 ...	264
Right of — improperly detained, to apply to be brought be- fore the High Court, S. 456 ...	264
Procedure of High Court on such application, S. 457 ...	264, 265
Territories through which the High Court may issue orders in this re- spect, S. 458 <i>note</i> ...	265-267
Procedure when lunatic-accused is an —, S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	271
EUROPEAN NOT BRITISH SUB- JECT—	
Where accused is — or an American, not less than half jury or assessors to be European or American, S. 460 ...	267
summoning and empanelling such jurors, S. 462 ...	268
When other person jointly charged with an — or American can claim separ- ate trial, S. 461 ...	267

	PAGE
EUROPEAN NOT BRITISH SUBJECT, <i>Concluded—</i>	
Criminal proceedings against — or American to be conducted under this Code, S. 468 ...	268
EUROPEAN VAGRANCY ACT— <i>see Acts. (India Council) IX of 1874.</i>	
Provisions of Code do not apply to cases where European British Subject is dealt with under —, S. 111 ...	67
EUROPEAN VAGRANT— <i>see VAGRANT.</i>	
EVIDENCE—	
Act I of 1872, <i>Appendix</i> ...	402-455
" Amendment Act, XVIII, 1872, <i>Appendix</i> ...	455, 456
Admissibility of — of statements, <i>see</i> <i>STATEMENTS.</i>	
Any proceeding in which — is or may be taken is a judicial proceeding, S. 4(d) ...	15
Appellate Court can take further —, or direct it to be taken, S. 428 ...	251
As to affairs of State, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 123 ...	444
" matters in writing, <i>ib.</i> , S. 144 ...	449
Certificates receivable as —, <i>Ss.</i> 467, 474 ...	271, 274
Confession of accused before Magis- trate, how far receivable — — at trial, S. 287 <i>note</i> 176, 177 when retracted, not to be used as —, S. 364 <i>note</i> ...	215
Criminating answer of witness not to be used as — against him, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 132 ...	445
Documentary —, <i>see DOCUMENT.</i>	
Exclusion of oral by documentary — <i>Evid. Act</i> , Ss. 91-100 ...	435-438
as to contracts, &c., in writ- ing, <i>ib.</i> , S. 91 ...	435
" oral agreement, <i>ib.</i> , S. 92 ...	436
" language of document, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 93-98 ...	437-8
Exclusion of — to contradict answers to questions testing veracity, <i>ib.</i> S. 153 ...	451
False —, charge of giving — must set out the portion of the evi- dence, S. 223, <i>III. (e)</i> ...	145
In case of — by contradic- tory statements, not neces- sary to find which of the two is false, S. 299 <i>note</i> , S. 367 <i>note</i> ...	184, 215
of accomplice witness in- volves withdrawal of par- don, S. 339 ...	195
of witness before Police offi- cer, S. 161 <i>note</i> , S. 175 <i>note</i> 93, ...	110

	PAGE
EVIDENCE, Continued—	
False —, prosecution for — of accom- plice witness requires sanc- tion of High Court, S. 339 ...	198
Two persons cannot be joined in one indictment for —, S. 213 <i>note</i> , S. 233 <i>note</i> 137, ...	148
<i>see SANCTION.</i>	
How — is to be recorded by Magis- trate holding inquest, S. 176 ...	110
How answers of accused may be treat- ed as —, S. 342 ...	200
In sufficiency of — no ground for Ses- sions Judge referring a commit- ment for orders of High Court, S. 215 <i>note</i> ...	138
Insufficient — as a ground of reference to the High Court, S. 438 <i>note</i> ...	256
In every trial — must be recorded <i>de novo</i> , S. 359 <i>note</i> ...	212
also when case is transferred, S. 528 <i>note</i> ...	305
means and includes what, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 4 ...	404
Mode of taking and recording <i>Evidence—</i>	
By Provincial Magis., in disputes as to Easements, and posses- sion of prop- erty, S. 356 ...	210
" " " in nuisance- cases, S. 137 <i>note</i> ...	76
" " " in summons- cases, S. 355 ...	209
By Provincial Magis., in Summons- cases,—	
More statement that a witness deposed the same as a previ- ous witness, not sufficient, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	210
may be recorded as in warrant- cases &c., S. 354 ...	212
By Sessions Court or Provincial Magis.—	
in all other trials, S. 356 ...	210
in inquiries in Possession cases and in cases triable by High Court or Court of Session, <i>ib.</i> ...	210
Translation of — given in English, <i>ib.</i> ...	210
Examination of witness to show what particulars, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	211
Local Govt. may order — to be taken in mother tongue or in English, S. 357 ...	211
Such authority is personal to the officer and ceases when he leaves the District, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	211
when meaning of a word is doubtful it should be transcribed, <i>ib.</i> ...	212

EVIDENCE, *Continued*—*Mode of taking and recording Evidence, Continued*—

By Sessions Court or Provincial Magistrate—

to be ordinarily in form of narrative, S. 359 212

particular question &c. may be recorded in full, *ib.* 212

Evidence of each witness as concluded to be read over to accused or his pleader and may be corrected, S. 360 214

when to be interpreted to accused or his pleader, S. 361 214

Remarks respecting demeanour of witness to be recorded, S. 363 215

By High Court and Chief Court Punjab, S. 365 217

„ Presidency Magistrate, in certain cases, S. 362 214

must be taken on forfeiture of bond, S. 514 *note* 297No new trial for improper admission or rejection of —, *Evid. Act*, S. 167 455of a Judge, himself a witness, should be recorded, S. 159 *note* 92„ an accomplice, *see* ACCOMPLICE 447„ complainant alone is sufficient for conviction, *Evid. Act*, S. 134 *note* 447„ Prisoners, *see* PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.„ witness to be recorded without delay, S. 205 *note*, S. 359 *note* 133, 213„ identification of old offender is necessary, when previous conviction is charged, S. 221 *note* 145

„ witness before Magistrate, when may be treated as — in the case, S. 244 177

„ person suspected but discharged, *see* WITNESS.„ report to Police when admissible, *Evid. Act*, S. 8, *III* (4) 406Object of a law of —, *Evid. Act*, S. 11 *note* 407Oral —, *Evid. Act*, Ss. 59, 60 429Presumption as to record or memorandum of —, *ib.* S. 80 433Primary —, what is, *ib.*, S. 62 430Question of credibility of — to be left to jury, S. 249 *note* 180Secondary —, what is, *Evid. Act*, S. 63 430Special rules of —, *see* MEDICAL WITNESS, PREVIOUS CONVICTION, CHEMICAL EXAMINER.

Statement of person to police officer not to be used as — against accused, S. 162 93

PAGE

EVIDENCE, *Continued*—*Mode of taking and recording Evidence, Continued*—Taken on commission, *see* COMMISSION.To be sent up by police as found, and not to be kept back till all is complete, S. 170 *note* 100, 101

To be taken in presence of accused or his pleader in inquiries into High Court and Sessions cases, in summons-cases, warrant-cases, summary trials, and High Court or Sessions Trials, S. 353 309

when — sufficient, police officer how to proceed, *see* POLICE.

when — deficient, police officer to release accused on bail, S. 169 100

when bail may be refused, though no — recorded, S. 344 *note*, S. 407 *note* 289

what is — in certain cases of offences committed beyond British India, S. 189 116

when — may be taken in absence of absconding accused, S. 512 396

Fact of absconding &c. to be proved, *ib.* *note* 296When — taken in one judicial proceeding is relevant in another Do., *Evid. Act*, S. 33 420-1grounds for admission to be fully stated, *ib.* *note* 421Nature of incapacity to attend, discussed, *ib.* 423Admissibility of dying declaration not taken in presence of accused, *ib.* 423

EXAMINATION—

Medical — of accused, when insane, S. 464 268

of accused, how recorded, S. 364 215

Exceptions, *ib.* 215

„ „ to be recorded in Presy. Magistrate's judgment, S. 370 (f) 230

„ „ by Magistrate, S. 239 *note* 134

„ „ „ to be read as evidence, S. 287 176

„ „ prior to acquittal in summons-case, optional, S. 245 164

„ „ prior to discharge in warrant-case, optional, S. 253 158

of complainant, to be on oath, and reduced to writing and signed, S. 200 197

„ „ by Presy. Magistrate need not be on oath, *ib.* 127

PAGE

	PAGE		PAGE
FAILURE, Concluded—		FEE, Concluded—	
of justice, finding, sentence &c. reversible when error in proceedings has caused —, S. 537 ...	309	No — chargeable, for process issued by a Court of its own motion in cases of contempt, S. 90 note ...	64
to obey order for removal of public nuisance, S. 136 ...	75	" " for summons on juror or assessor in Sessions Court, S. 93 note ...	55
to plead <i>status</i> by European British Subject, is a waiver of claim, S. 454 ...	263		
FAITH—		FEMALES—	
see GOOD FAITH.		Power to compel restoration of abducted —, S. 551 ...	323
FALSE—		exempted from punishment of whipping, S. 393 (a) ...	333
accused not punishable for — answer, S. 342 ...	200	Mode of searching —, S. 52 ...	36
Complaint, to Police, see COMPLAINT.			
" to Magistrate, see SANCTION.		FENCING—	
Evidence, see EVIDENCE, SANCTION.		tank or well, conditional order for —, S. 133 ...	72
FARMER—		FINALITY—	
of land, see LAND.		of order on appeal, S. 430 ...	253
FEE—		FINDING—	
Civil Surgeon is entitled to no —, S. 509 note ...	294	Appellate Court may alter — in contempt-case, S. 436 ...	260
Court Fees Act, see ACTS (India Council) VII of 1874		Appellate Court may reverse or alter —, S. 423 ...	247
" " how recoverable in non-cognizable cases, S. 215 note ...	154	arrived at on proceedings in wrong place, when to be reversed, S. 531 in summons-case not limited to offence charged in summons or complaint, S. 216 ...	307
Costs incurred for witnesses' and pleaders' — in disputes as to property and easements, S. 148 ...	58	of not guilty, when Court may record in trial with assessors, S. 289 ...	155
for absolute order in urgent-cases of nuisance, S. 144 note ...	80	Record of — in contempt-cases, S. 451 to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 ...	179
" affidavit, S. 539 note ...	311	to state whether or no accused committed the offence, when acquitted for insanity, S. 470 ...	279
" application for recovery of compensation in summons-case, S. 250 note ...	156	when invalid, if no charge has been framed, S. 535 ...	166
" attachment, S. 58 note ...	53	when reversible by reason of error &c. in charge or proceedings, S. 537 ...	309
" copies of judgments &c., S. 548 note 320, ...	321		309
" injunction in nuisance-case, S. 142 note ...	79	FINE—	
" medical witness other than Civil Surgeon, S. 509 note ...	294	All money payable by order of a Court is recoverable as a —, S. 547 ...	320
" <i>mukhtarnamah</i> of agent appearing for accused, S. 205 note ...	132	Amount of — a Magc. may inflict, S. 32 ...	27
" petition of appeal, S. 419 note ...	245	cannot be inflicted in lieu of whipping, S. 395 note ...	234
" " " complaint, S. 191 note, S. 200 note 118, ...	127	Compensation for groundless arrest recoverable as a —, S. 552 ...	323
" proclamation of absconder, see PROCLAMATION.		Costs incurred for witnesses' and pleaders' expenses in possession cases, recoverable as a —, S. 148 ...	86
" service of summons, see SUMMONS.		Exception of sentence of —, see SENTENCE.	
" warrant for levy of maintenance, S. 490 note ...	284	Fee for warrant for levy of — in non-cognizable cases, S. 506 note ...	237
" warrant of arrest, see ARREST.			
No — chargeable, by Civil Surgeon, S. 509 note ...	294		
" " for copy of charge given to accused in inquiries, S. 210 ...	135		

	PAGE
FINE, Continued—	
Imprisonment in default of —, S. 33	28-30
in certain cases limited, <i>ib.</i> ...	28
discussed, S. 33 <i>note</i> ...	29, 30
If — paid immediate information to be given to Supt. of Jail, <i>ib.</i> ...	29
In Madras, such information to be given by whom, <i>ib.</i> ...	29
Maximum imprisonment in default, if offence punishable with fine only, <i>ib.</i> ...	29
to be reduced in proportion to amount of fine paid, <i>ib.</i> ...	30
when may be rigorous and when must be simple, S. 133 <i>note</i> ...	29, 30
may be for any fine, Act I of 1868, S. 5	398
Limit of — on European British Subject, imposed by Provincial Mag., S. 446 ...	260
and by Sessions Judge, S. 449 ...	261
Maximum — on absconding witness, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54
No appeal against order of — on assessor, S. 332 <i>note</i> ...	195
" " sentence of — up to Rs. 50, S. 413	242
" " imprisonment in default of —, <i>ib.</i> Exp. ...	243
" " sentence of fine only up to Rs. 200 in summary trials, S. 414, S. 415 ...	243
on jurors and assessors for non-attendance at Sessions Court, S. 332	194, 195
on jurors for failure to attend High Court may be unlimited, S. 318 ...	191
on witness after attachment, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54
Payment of expenses of prosecution or compensation out of —, S. 545 ...	319
Property from which — may be levied before and after death, S. 386 <i>note</i> ...	226
Record when Presy. Mag. imposes — exceeding Rs. 200, S. 362	214
Recovery of — a, Act I, 1868, S. 5 ...	398
" " " for frivolous or vexatious complaint, <i>see</i> SUMMONS-CASE.	
Register, S. 387 <i>note</i> ...	228, 231
Rules for the levy of — a, <i>ib.</i> ...	227-232

	PAGE
FINE, Concluded—	
Sentence of — cannot fix a term within which — is to be paid, S. 33 <i>note</i> ...	29
Sentence of — must be specific on each person fined, S. 386 <i>note</i> ...	226
Standing crops not being moveable property, — cannot be levied from them, S. 386 <i>note</i> ...	227
when sentence only of —, accused need not always be present to hear judgment, S. 366 ...	217
Warrant for levy of —, S. 386 ...	226
effect of, S. 387 ...	227
who may issue, S. 389 ...	232
FORCE—	
Use of civil — to disperse assembly, S. 128	71
" " military — " " Ss. 129, 130, 131 ...	71-2
FOREIGN—	
Abetment of murder by — subject, <i>see</i> MURDER.	
Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, <i>see</i> ACTS (India Council) XXI, 1879.	
Subject of — State cannot be Justice of Peace in Presy. Towns, S. 23 ...	15
Trial of offence committed in — State, S. 188 ...	116
FOREMAN OF JURY—	
how appointed, S. 280 ...	174
duties of, <i>ib.</i> ...	174
when nominated by Mag., S. 138 ...	76
FORFEITURE—	
of bond, <i>see</i> BOND.	
witness at investigation may refuse to answer question tending to expose him to —, S. 161 ...	93
witness at inquest not to answer such questions, S. 175	110
FORGED DOCUMENTS—	
<i>see</i> DOCUMENT.	
FORGERY—	
Search for instruments of —, S. 98 ...	57
Certain facts not relevant in a trial for —, Evid. Act, S. 11 <i>note</i> ...	407
FORMS—	
Effect of — prescribed under repealed Acts, S. 2 ...	14
for production of prisoners before a Court, Act XV, 1869 ...	461
in Schedule V, to be used subject to certain powers, S. 554 ...	323
of appointment of Police officer, <i>Appr.</i> ...	477
" calendar of accused for trial before Court of Session in Bengal, S. 318 <i>note</i> ...	139
" Calendar of commitments to Sessions Court in the Panjab, <i>ib.</i> ...	141
" communication to Sessions Judge of appeal of appellant in jail, S. 430 <i>note</i> ...	246

	PAGE		PAGE
FORMS, Concluded—		GHAUT—	
of communication to Jail officer of result of appeal, S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	248	Police to keep order at public —, <i>Appx.</i> ...	478
" finding of acquittal on the ground of lunacy, S. 470 <i>note</i> ...	273	removal &c. of a public nuisance resulting from a burning —, S. 138 <i>note</i> ...	47
" letter of committing officer to Sessions Judge, S. 218 <i>note</i> ...	140	GOOD BEHAVIOUR—	
" oaths &c. for interpreters, S. 543 <i>note</i> ...	313, 314	Security for —, <i>see</i> SECURITY.	
" " " for witnesses, jurors and interpreters, Act X, 1873 ...	400-402	GOOD FAITH—	
" order for detention in Reformatory, S. 399 <i>note</i> ...	236	defined, S. 132 <i>note</i> , S. 529 <i>note</i> ...	72, 306
" Summons, <i>see</i> SUMMONS.		Acts done in —, no offence, S. 132 ...	72
" Warrant of arrest, <i>see</i> ARREST.		No suit to lie in respect of certain things done in —, Ss. 140, 142 ...	77-79
" " of release on appeal, S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	250	Proceedings not vitiated when Magistrate erroneously proceeds in —, S. 529 ...	306
" " " " of appellant on bail, S. 426 <i>note</i> ...	251	GOODS—	
under this Code, Sched. V ...	379-394	Receiving or retaining stolen —, where triable, S. 180, <i>ib.</i> (b) ...	112
FORT ST. GEORGE—		GOVERNMENT—	
<i>see</i> MADRAS.		Advocate, included in term Advocate General, S. 4 (4) ...	15
FORT WILLIAM—		Attachment of land-paying revenue to —, S. 88 ...	58
<i>see</i> CALCUTTA.		Conviction of person in employ of — to be notified to his superior, S. 245 <i>note</i> , 258 <i>note</i> ...	154, 161-2
FORWARDING—		Inspector of Railways, duties of — in accidents, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	109
accused to Magistrate, Ss. 167, 170 ...	99, 100	Local —, defined, S. 4 (g) <i>note</i> ...	15
in contempt-case, S. 482 ...	279	" " may alter limits of Divisions and Districts, and with previous sanction of G. J. in C., their number, S. 7 ...	18
report of police officer to Magistrate, S. 173 ...	102	" " may divide mofussil Districts into sub-divisions, &c., S. 8 ...	18
recusant witness &c. in custody, S. 171 ...	101	" " to appoint Sessions Judge to each Division, S. 9 ...	18
weapon to Magistrate by Police, S. 170 ...	100	" " may appoint Addl., Joint and Asstt. Sessions Judge, <i>ib.</i> ...	18
FRACTURES—		" " to appoint District Magistrates to all Districts, S. 10 ...	18
to be described in Police report on sudden or unnatural death, S. 171 ...	103	" " may appoint as Sub-divisional Magistrate any 1st or 2nd class Magistrate, S. 13 ...	20
FRAUD—		" " may appoint Special Magistrates in Mofussil, or delegate power of appointment thereof, S. 14 ...	21
Increase of bail when insufficient sureties accepted owing to —, S. 501 ...	290	" " may appoint Benches of Magistrates in Mofussil and confer powers thereon, S. 15 ...	21
FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINT—		" " can now empower Benches of Magistrates to deal with miscellaneous cases, S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	21, 22
compensation may be awarded for —, S. 250 ...	166	" " may make rules for guidance of Benches, S. 16 ...	22
G.		" " to appoint Presy. Magistrate and Chief Presy. Magistrate, S. 18 ...	23
GAZETTE—			
Appointment of Justices of the Peace to be notified in the —, Ss. 22, 23 ...	24		
Lists of Jurors for High Court to be published in —, S. 314 ...	190		
Notice of High Court Sittings to be given in —, S. 335 ...	195		
Notification in — of India of transfer of case by order of G. J. in C., S. 527 ...	304		
Notification in — of prolongation of orders in urgent nuisance-cases, S. 144 ...	80		
Publication in — of rules framed by High Court, S. 553 ...	322		
GENERAL—			
Advocate —, <i>see</i> ADVOCATE.			
Clauses Act, I of 1869, <i>Appendix</i> ...	396-8		
Governor —, <i>see</i> GOVERNOR GENERAL.			
Inspector — of Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.			

GOVERNMENT, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE	GOVERNMENT, <i>Concluded</i> —	PAGE
Local —, may appoint European British subject to be Justice of Peace outside Presy. Town, S. 22 ...	24	Local —, when the — may appoint place of imprisonment, S. 541 ...	313
" " may appoint any resident, not a subject of a Foreign State, to be a Justice of Peace in Presy. Town, S. 23 ...	25	" " may make rules for expenses of complainants and witnesses, S. 544 ...	314
" " may suspend and remove Judges and Magistrates, and Justices of Peace appointed by it, Ss. 26, 27 ...	25	" " may decide language of Courts, other than High Courts, S. 556 ...	326
" " may invest certain District Magrs. with power to try all offences not punishable with death, S. 30 ...	27	" " powers of — under this Code are exercisable from time to time, S. 557 ...	326
" " may confer additional powers on M.-g.-s., S. 37 ...	32	" " may make rules under the Prisoners' Testimony Act, Act XV of 1869, Ss. 18, 19 ...	459, 460
" " How to confer powers under this Code, S. 39 ...	33	may exempt any of its salaried servants from serving as jurors, S. 313 ...	190
" " may continue powers to person transferred, S. 40 ...	33	Pleader is <i>ex-officio</i> Public Prosecutor, S. 493 <i>note</i> ...	286
" " may withdraw powers conferred by itself or by officer subordinate to itself, S. 41 ...	33	promissory notes, deposit of in lieu of bond, S. 513 ...	296
" " may extend period of absolute order in certain urgent nuisance-cases, S. 144 ...	80	Property seized by Police when to be at disposal of —, S. 624 ...	301
" " may direct any cases or classes of cases, committed for trial, to be tried in any Sessions Division, S. 178 ...	111	Servant, may be exempted from service as juror or assessor, S. 329 ...	194
" " may invest certain Magrs. and Benchers with power to try summarily certain offences, Ss. 260, 261 ...	164	" service of summons on —, S. 72 ...	47
" " may exempt persons from service as jurors and assessors, S. 320 (1) ...	192	Solicitor, may conduct prosecution without special permission, S. 496 ...	287
" " may order evidence to be recorded in Judge's or Magr.'s mother-tongue, S. 356 ...	211	Title of — to attached property of absconder, S. 88, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	53, 54
" " may suspend, commute or remit sentence, Ss. 401, 403 ...	237	Work-houses, <i>Appendix</i> ...	480-1
" " may appeal from order of acquittal, S. 417 ...	243	GOVERNOR-GENERAL—	
" " clear statement of reasons for appeal should be sent to —, S. 417 <i>note</i> ...	243	is <i>ex-officio</i> Justice of Peace in and for all British India, S. 25 ...	25
" " powers of — as regards lunatics, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.		Members of the Council of the — are <i>do. do.</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	25
" " may appoint Public Prosecutor, S. 492 ...	283	GOVERNOR-GENERAL IN COUNCIL—	
		All Acts of the — apply to European British Subjects, S. 459 ...	267.
		can alone suspend or remove certain Judges and Magrs., S. 26, <i>Proviso</i> ...	25
		may appoint European British Subject to be Justice of Peace outside Presy. Town, S. 22 ...	24
		may appoint any resident, not a subject of Foreign State, to be Justice of Peace in Presy. Town, S. 23 ...	25
		may suspend or remove a Justice of Peace appointed by him, S. 27 ...	25
		may suspend, commute or remit sentence, Ss. 401, 403 ...	237
		may appoint Public Prosecutor, S. 492 ...	286
		may transfer case or appeal, <i>see</i> TRANSFER.	
		may in certain cases appoint an officer to act as a High Court, S. 4 (i) ...	16
		may appoint place of sittings of Calcutta High Court, S. 225 ...	195

	PAGE		PAGE
GOVERNOR-GENERAL IN COUNCIL, <i>Continued</i>—		HABITUAL, <i>Continued</i>—	
Powers of — under the Code are exerciseable from time to time, S. 557 ...	326	offender, evidence of <i>repute</i> in case of —, S. 117 ...	66
Present Justice of Peace to be deemed to be appointed by —, S. 24 ...	25	" previously convicted of certain offences against coinage &c., trial of —, S. 348 ...	206
Sanction of — necessary to prosecutions for acts done in dispersing assemblies, S. 132 ...	72	" when security for good behaviour may be demanded from, S. 110 ...	63
Sanction of — necessary to rules made by Local Govt., Ss. 544, 553 ...	314, 322	who may demand security, <i>ib.</i> ...	63
Sanction of — necessary to alteration of number of Divisions or Districts, S. 7 ...	18	Receiver of stolen goods, arrest of —, S. 55 ...	41
Sanction of — necessary for delegation of authority to appoint Special Mag., S. 14 ...	20	Robber, arrest of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	41
		" security for good behaviour of —, S. 110 ...	63
GRANDCHILD—		Thief, arrest of —, S. 55 ...	41
Any legitimate — of certain persons is an European British Subject, S. 4 ...	16	" security for good behaviour of, S. 110 ...	63
			• • •
GRATIFICATION—		HANGING—	
Form of charge of accepting illegal —, Sched. V, (XXVIII), I (3) ...	386	Duties of police in investigating cases of death by —, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
GRIEVOUS HURT—		HEADS OF VILLAGES—	
and rescue, joinder of charges of —, S. 235 <i>III.</i> (a) ...	149	Code does not generally affect — in Madras, S. 1 ...	18
Charges of —, Ss. 231, 223, 238 <i>III.</i> 144, 148, ...	151	bound to report certain matters, S. 45, Investigations by — in Madras and Bombay into sudden and unnatural deaths, S. 174 ...	103
Form of charge of —, Sched. V, (XXVIII) I (8) ...	387		
Rioting and assaulting public servant, joinder of charges of —, S. 235 <i>III.</i> (g) under Sec 335, I. P. C. is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	HEALTH—	
where triable, S. 179, <i>III.</i> (b) ...	111	Conditional order for suppression of trade &c. injurious to —, S. 133 ...	72
GROUND—		Issue of order in urgent nuisance-cases for prevention of danger to —, S. 144 ...	79
left unoccupied for sanitary or recreative purposes, included in term "Public Place," S. 153 Expl. ...	73	Whipping not to be inflicted if accused is not in a fit state of —, S. 394 ...	233
GUARDIAN—		HEARING—	
Cognizance of adultery to be taken only on complaint of husband or —, S. 199 ...	126	appellant or his pleader, on appeal, S. 423 ...	247
Copy of maintenance-order to be given to person concerned or his —, S. 460 ...	284	by new Judge, in appeals, S. 429 ...	253
GUARDIANSHIP—		" " " in case submitted to High Court for confirmation of sentence, S. 378 ...	223
Question of — of child cannot be dealt with on application for maintenance, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	284	Evidence in <i>mofussil</i> to be taken in — of Judge or Mag., S. 356 ...	210
GUILTY—		Fact of confession, made before inquiry or trial, being taken in — of Mag., to be certified, S. 164 ...	96
Plea of —, <i>see</i> PLEA.		Mag. or Judge to certify that accused's examination was taken in his —, S. 364 ...	216
		of application for transfer of case, 24 hours to elapse after notice, S. 526 ...	302
HABEAS CORPUS—		of case, proof of service of summons when serving officer not present at —, S. 74 ...	46
Power of High Courts of Calcutta, Bombay and Madras to issue directions in the nature of —, S. 491 ...	285	of parties, optional with Court of Revision; accused to be heard in certain cases, Ss. 436, 440 ...	256-258
HABITUAL—			
Housebreaker, security for good behaviour of —, S. 110 ...	63		

HIGH COURT—

	PAGE
Advocate, Vakil or Attorney of a — included in term "Pleadof", S. 4 (n) ...	15
Advocate-General may lay information of any offence before the —, and may withdraw from prosecution, S. 194 <i>note</i> ...	121
Affidavit before — exempt from stamp duty, 539 <i>note</i> ...	311
Affidavits and affirmations to be used before the —, S. 539 ...	311
Fee for affidavit to be used before the —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	311
Appeal by Government against order of acquittal lies to —, S. 417 ...	243
As a Court of Revision can add order for security to keep peace, when discharging a rule, S. 106 <i>note</i> ...	61
Chartered — a may make rules for inspection of records, S. 553 ...	322
Commitment to the —, <i>see</i> COMMITMENT.	
" " English translation to accompany record, S. 218 ...	139
" " charge and exhibits to be forwarded to Clerk of Crown, <i>ib.</i> ...	139
" " witnesses for defence may be summoned by the Clerk of the Crown, S. 216 ...	138
Confirmation of sentence by the —, <i>see</i> SENTENCE	
defined, S. 4 (i) ...	15
" as regards trials before the —, S. 266 ...	168
Evidence how to be recorded in Chartered —, S. 365 ...	217
How the — can take cognizance of an offence, S. 28 <i>note</i> , S. 194 ...	120
Inquiry into cases triable by the —, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
Judges of the — are <i>ex-officio</i> Justices of Peace in and for all British India, S. 25 ...	25
may take cognizance of any offence on commitment to it, S. 28 <i>note</i> , S. 194 ...	120
may try all offences under laws other than the Penal Code, S. 39 ...	26
may transfer a case from one Court to another, S. 178 <i>note</i> ...	111
may transfer case or itself try it, S. 536 <i>see</i> TRANSFER.	302
may make rules for keeping jury together till conclusion of trial, S. 296 ...	162

HIGH COURT, *Concluded*—

	PAGE
may make Rules for Bombay —, S. 296 <i>note</i> ...	182
may reduce bail, or order admission to bail in any case, S. 498 ...	290
only the — can quash commitment once made by competent Mag. and only on a point of law, S. 216 ...	137
other than Chartered — on what matters may make rules, S. 553 ...	322
Powers of — in security cases, <i>see</i> SECURITY.	
" " as to Habeas Corpus, S. 491 ...	285
" " in case of material error in charge, S. 232 ...	147-8
Practice in Bombay and Calcutta — regarding choosing of jury, S. 276 <i>note</i> ...	173
Present Justices of Peace in Mofussil appointed by, continued, S. 24 ...	25
Procedure of — when it considers a person likely to occasion &c. a breach of the peace, S. 108 ...	62
Procedure if the — sits outside Presy. Town, S. 316 ...	191
Proceedings when to be forwarded to —, when accused does not understand them, S. 341 ...	199, 200
Reference to —, <i>see</i> REFERENCE.	
" " when Judge disagrees with verdict of jury, <i>see</i> TRIAL.	
Revision by —, <i>see</i> REVISION.	
Right of European British subject unlawfully detained to apply to be brought before the —, S. 456 <i>et seq.</i> ...	264-7
Sanction of — necessary for prosecution for false evidence of accomplice witness, S. 339 ...	198
To confirm sentence of death, S. 31 ...	27
" decide, in case of doubt, where offence is to be tried, &c., S. 185 ...	116
meaning of term —, in British Burmah, in such cases <i>ib.</i> ...	115
Trial before —, <i>see</i> TRIAL.	
What sentences the — can pass, S. 31 ...	27
will not allow objection to be taken to jurisdiction, on revision in certain cases, S. 192 <i>note</i> ...	119
What Magistrates may commit to — S. 206 ...	132
HOMICIDE—	
where triable, S. 179, Ill. (a) ...	111
HOSPITAL—	
Certain — assistants exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (A) <i>note</i> ...	192
HOUSE—	
breaker, who may arrest with warrant habitual —, S. 55 ...	41

	PAGE		PAGE
HOUSE, Concluded—		IMPRISONMENT, Concluded—	
breaker, security of good behaviour from habitual —, S. 110 ...	63	Amount of — which may be awarded by Assistant Sessions Judge, S. 31 ...	11
breaking, possession of implement of — renders liable to arrest by Police without warrant, S. 54 ...	38	and by specially empowered Mag., S. 34 ...	30
„ and adultery, joinder of charges of —, S. 235 Ill. (b) ...	149	Certain sentences of — to be confirmed by Sessions Judge, S. 31, 34 ...	27, 30
Door or window of — may be broken to effect arrest, S. 48 ...	87	Defined, S. 32 <i>note</i> ...	28
or for purposes of liberation, after entry to effect arrest, S. 49 ...	37	for neglect to comply with order to maintain wife, &c., S. 484 ...	280
included within term "Place," S. 4 (c) ...	17	How sentence of — requiring confirmation is to be calculated, S. 84 <i>note</i> ...	30
Trespass, under, S. 448 I. P. C., is triable summarily, S. 260 ...	167	in default of fine, S. 32 <i>note</i> ...	28
and is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	„ „ „ for frivolous and vexatious complaint, <i>see</i> SUMMONS-CASE.	
HURT—		„ „ „ imposed on jurors in High Court to be „ Civil Jail, S. 318 ...	191
Grievous —, where triable, S. 179 Ill. (b) ...	111	„ „ „ also on jurors in Sessions Court, S. 332 ...	195
under Ss. 323, 324, 334, 335, 337, 338, I. P. C. is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	„ „ „ for groundless arrest, S. 532 ...	332
under, S. 323 I. P. C. triable summarily, S. 260 ...	162	in default of security, <i>see</i> SECURITY	
and assault, joinder of charges, S. 235 Ill. (i) ...	119	in summary trial, not to exceed 3 months, S. 262 ...	166
„ robbery, do. do. S. 235 Ill. (m) ...	150	of witness refusing to answer, &c., S. 484 ...	280
HUSBAND—		on failure to recover penalty of bond, S. 514 ...	297
Assent of — necessary, in prosecution for adultery, S. 199 ...	126	Persons sentenced to more than 5 years' — not punishable with whipping, S. 393 ...	238
and wife, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE.		Sentence of — how executed, <i>see</i> SENTENCE.	
I		When Local Govt. may appoint place of —, S. 541 ...	312
IDIOT—		INADMISSIBLE—	
included within term "lunatic," S. 464 <i>note</i> ...	269	Judge to prevent production — of evidence, in jury trials, S. 298 ...	182
Who may compound an offence on behalf of an —, S. 345 ...	205	INCREASING—	
ILLEGAL CUSTODY—		amount of bail, S. 501 ...	290
Bringing before High Court a person in —, S. 491 ...	285	INDIA—	
ILLEGITIMATE CHILD—		<i>see</i> BRITISH INDIA.	
Maintenance of —, Ss. 488-490 ...	281-284	INDIAN PENAL CODE—	
ILLNESS—		<i>see</i> PENAL CODE.	
of officer in charge of Police Station provided for, S. 4 (e) ...	16	INDUCEMENT—	
of prisoner at bar in trial before High Court or Sessions Court, S. 283 ...	175	to confess not to be offered by police-officer, S. 163 ...	84
IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY—		not to be offered to accused to make disclosure or withhold knowledge, S. 343 ...	202
<i>See</i> PROPERTY.		INFERENCE—	
IMPARTIAL—		to be drawn from accused refusing to answer questions or giving false answer, S. 342 ...	200
High Court may transfer case when — inquiry or trial cannot be had, S. 526 (e) ...	302	INFORMATION—	
IMPOUND—		as to likelihood of breach of the peace, order to show cause, S. 107 ...	61
Power to — document or other thing produced before a Court, S. 104 ...	59		
IMPRISONMENT—			
Amount of — which may be awarded by Presy. Mag. and other Magistrates, S. 32 ...	27, 28		

	PAGE		PAGE
INFORMATION, Concluded—		INGRESS, Concluded—	
as to dispute concerning land; procedure, S. 145 ...	82	into closed place to execute search warrant, S. 102 ...	59
" " " easements; procedure, S. 147 ...	87	INHABITANTS—	
" occurrence of sudden or unnatural death; procedure, S. 174 ...	103	Person executing search-warrant to invite attendance of two or more neighbouring —, S. 103 ...	59
causing suspicion of cognizable offence; procedure, S. 154 ...	89	Police-inquest to be held in presence of two or more neighbouring —, who are to sign Police Officer's report, S. 174 ...	103
and of non-cognizable offence, S. 155 ...	90	Power of police to summon — to attend inquest, S. 175 ...	110
Cognizance of offences upon —, S. 191 ...	117	INJUNCTION—	
Discharge of person implicated by — as regards probable breach of peace &c., S. 119 ...	68	pending inquiry as to public nuisance, S. 142 ...	78, 79
from private person, proceedings void when Magr. not empowered takes cognizance upon, S. 530 ...	306	pending inquiry by jury, form of —, Sched. V, No. XIX ...	384
Issue of order to habitual offenders on receipt of —, S. 110 ...	63	INJURY—	
Issue of order to vagrants on receipt of —, S. 109 ...	62, 63	Arrest of person who habitually puts others in fear of — for purposes of extortion, S. 55 ...	42
" " warrant on receipt of — for arrest of person required to show cause, S. 114 ...	65	Police officer may interpose of his own authority to prevent — to public property, removal or — of any public landmark, or buoy, or other mark used in navigation, S. 152 ...	89
of certain offences, Public to give —, S. 44 ...	34	Public when bound to assist Magr. or Police to prevent — to railway, canal, telegraph or public property, S. 42 ...	33
" design to commit cognizable offence, S. 150 ...	68	Excess — not to be caused in dispersing assembly by military force, S. 130 ...	71
" seizure of false weights and measures to be at once given to Magr., S. 153 ...	89	Marks of — to be described in Police officer's report on inquest, S. 174 ...	103
Order for showing cause under Ss. 107, 109, 110 to give substance of —, S. 112 ...	64	Power of Magr. to take steps to prevent —, pending inquiry, S. 142 ...	78, 79
Passing conditional order for removal of nuisance on receipt of —, S. 133 ...	72, 73	Power to issue injunction to prevent —, in nuisance-cases, pending inquiry, <i>ib.</i> ...	78, 79
Police may arrest without warrant on receipt of — of cognizable offence, S. 54 ...	38	to public health or comfort, conditional order for suppression of trade &c. causing, S. 133 ...	72
Power of High Court or Sessions Court to call for further —, on failure to comply with order for security, S. 123 ...	69	to passers by, conditional order for removal &c. of building likely to cause, <i>ib.</i> ...	72
regarding apprehended breach of the peace &c., S. 117 ...	66	to persons lawfully employed, issue of order in urgent nuisance-case to prevent, S. 144 ...	79
regarding certain matters, certain persons bound to give —, S. 45 ...	35	to person or property, security for keeping peace on conviction for threatening, S. 106 ...	60
required by Jury, to be asked by foreman, S. 280 ...	174	INNOCENT PURCHASER—	
Requiring attendance of witnesses at investigation upon —, S. 160 ...	93	payment to — of money found on accused, S. 519 ...	299
to Magistrate, search of place suspected to contain stolen property, &c., upon —, S. 98 ...	57	INQUEST—	
INGRESS—		Magistrates empowered to hold, S. 174 ...	103
into place to effect arrest, S. 47 ...	37	Duties of police in — in Bengal, Bombay, N. W. Provinces, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	104-107
Procedure when — not obtainable, S. 48 ...	37	in accidents on State Railways, <i>ib.</i> ...	107-109

	PAGE		PAGE
INQUEST, Concluded—		INQUIRY, Continued—	
Proceedings in magisterial — may not be called for by superior Court, S. 435	254	Procedure when accused, though not insane, does not understand proceedings, S. 341	199-200
Proceedings not vitiated if held by Magr. not empowered, S. 329 (c)	306	Procedure for production of document in custody of Postal or Telegraph Department, S. 95	56
INQUIRY—		Procedure in — or trial in cases which the Magr. cannot dispose of, S. 348	205
Adjourned —, postponement of, <i>see</i> REMAND.		Procedure when accused is of unsound mind, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
All Courts to be open to the public: exception, S. 352	209	Summons to produce document &c. required for purposes of —, S. 94	56
Any person in Court during — may be detained if evidence implicates him in any offence, S. 351	208	<i>Inquiries into cases triable by High Court or Sessions Court—</i>	
by Magr. into death of person while in police custody, S. 176	110	who may commit for trial, S. 206	132
Court may use police-diaries at —, S. 172	101	as a rule, in person triable by Sessions Court to be committed to High Court, <i>ib.</i>	132
Defined, S. 4 (c)	15	Magr. to hear all evidence in presence of accused, and to call for further evidence, S. 208	132
Disposal of property produced at —, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		Evidence to be recorded without delay, <i>ib. note</i>	133
Every accused at — has right to be defended by a Pleader, S. 310	199	Particulars to be recorded in depositions and statements, <i>ib.</i>	133
Examination of accused at —, Court may examine accused at any stage, and must do so before he is called or for defence, S. 342	200	Remand can only be sufficient reason, <i>ib.</i>	133
" " do oath to be administered to accused, <i>ib.</i>	200	In serious cases, Magr. bound to adjourn —, if further evidence necessary, <i>ib.</i>	134
" " Accused not punishable for false answers, <i>ib.</i>	200	when accused to be discharged, S. 209	134
" " Examination not to be inquisitorial, <i>ib. note</i>	261	Examination of accused before discharge, to be of what nature, S. 209 and <i>note</i>	134
" " Answers of accused how to be treated as evidence, S. 342	200	Duty of Magr. in —, S. 209 <i>note</i> , S. 213 <i>note</i>	134, 135
" " Particulars to be shown in, <i>ib. note</i>	201-2	Magr. bound to record statement which accused wishes to make, S. 209 <i>note</i>	135
" " no influence by promise or threat to be exercised on accused, S. 343	202	Charge, when to be framed, S. 210	135
Evidence how to be taken and recorded in all inquiries, <i>see</i> EVIDENCE.		" to be read and explained to accused, <i>ib.</i>	135
Into disputes regarding Easements, <i>see</i> EASEMENTS.		" free copy to be given to accused, <i>ib.</i>	135
" possession cases, how conducted <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		" to state offence charged, <i>ib.</i>	135
" Security ditto <i>see</i> SECURITY.		" effect of omission to prepare a —, S. 635	309
In wrong place, <i>see</i> IRREGULARITIES.		Separate charge to be drawn up, in cases of riot, against each party, S. 213 <i>note</i>	137
Issue of search warrant for purposes of — S. 96	56, 57	" " in cases of false evidence, against each accused <i>ib.</i>	137
Local — in dispute regarding land, S. 148	88	Person outside Presy. Town charged jointly with European British Subject to be committed to High Court, S. 214	137
Place of — or trial of offence, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.		On charge being explained, accused to at once give in list of witnesses	
Power to record confession and statements before commencement of —, S. 164	96		

	PAGE
INQUIRY, Continued—	
<i>Inquiries into cases triable by High Court or Sessions Court, Continued—</i>	
to be summoned for defence, S. 211	136, 136
"Further list may be subsequently given, <i>ib.</i>	136
Mage. may summon and examine any witness in accused's list, S. 212	136
Order of commitment when to be made, S. 213	136
All Magos. except Presy. Mage. to record briefly reasons for commitment, S. 213	136
Reasons what to show, <i>ib.</i> note	136
Commitment to be made in cases of doubt, <i>ib.</i>	136
Duty of Mage. when offence falls under two sections, one cognizable by him and the other not, <i>ib.</i> note	136
Duty of Mage. when death results from injuries inflicted by accused, S. 213 note, S. 347 note	137, 206
Duty of Mage. when accused was insane at time of committal of offence, S. 213 note	136
Mage. should commit all, when several persons charged with different offences, S. 213 note, S. 347 note	137, 206
Commitment of person who appears by agent not necessarily illegal, S. 213 note	137
Mage. about to go on leave should not commit only because defence witness absent, <i>ib.</i>	137
Procedure when Mage. trying a case, finds that it should be committed, S. 347	206
Mage. should only commit when proper punishment is more than he can award, <i>ib.</i> note	206
Mage. should not convict of lesser offence, when evidence shows offence beyond jurisdiction, <i>ib.</i>	206
Habitual offender to be committed, S. 348	206
Commitment once made by competent Mage. can be quashed only by High Court and only on point law, S. 315	137
In case of illegal commitment to Sessions Court, Judge to refer case for orders of High Court, S. 215...	138
Insufficiency of evidence is no ground for such reference, <i>ib.</i>	138

	PAGE
INQUIRY, Concluded—	
<i>Inquiries into cases triable by High Court or Sessions Court, Concluded—</i>	
Mage. may commit on evidence partly heard by his predecessor, S. 350	208
Mage. to summon witness included in accused's list, S. 216	138
may refuse to summon any particular witness for reasons recorded, <i>ib.</i>	138
cannot refuse to summon all in a body, S. 216 note	138
Deposit for expenses of accused's witness cannot be forfeited to Govt., S. 216 note	138
Complainant and witnesses to give bond for attendance before High Court or Sessions Court, S. 217	138
Refusal to give bond or to attend entails detention in custody, S. 217	139
Commitment to be notified to whom, S. 218	139
Charge and exhibits to be forwarded to High Court or Sessions Court, S. 218	139
If commitment to High Court, English translation of record to be forwarded, S. 218	139
Names of witnesses to be entered on back of charge, S. 218 note	139-140
Mage. may summon and examine supplementary witnesses after commitment and before trial, accused may demand copy of their evidence free of cost, S. 219	142
Such witness to be examined if possible in presence of accused, or must attend before Sessions Court or High Court, otherwise evidence not admissible, S. 219 note	142
Pending trial accused to be committed to custody if offence not bailable, S. 220	143
Tender of pardon to accomplice, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
INSANITY—	
of accused at time of commission of offence, S. 213 note, S. 347 note	136
<i>See also</i> LUNATIC.	
INSPECTION—	
and seizure of weights and measures, S. 153	89
of records of Subordinate Courts, power of High Court to make rules for —, S. 553	323
Return of commission and depositions of witnesses to be open to —, S. 507	293
Search of closed place liable to —, S. 103	50

	PAGE		PAGE
INSPECTION, <i>Concluded</i>—		INTIMIDATION—	
Search-warrant for — of place to find document &c., <i>Sa. 96, 97</i>	56, 57	Criminal — under S 504 I. P. C., when compoundable, <i>S. 345</i>	204
INSPECTOR—		INVESTIGATION—	
General of Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.		defined, <i>S. 4 (b)</i>	14
" " Prisoner, <i>see</i> JAIL.		held by Magr. becomes an inquiry, <i>id.</i>	14
Government — of Railways, duties of, in accidents, <i>S. 174 note</i>	109	<i>note</i>	
INSTRUCTION—		Production of document or other thing for purposes of any —, <i>S. 94</i>	58
as to Magistrates' Benches, <i>S. 16 note</i>	23	Production of document in custody of Postal or Telegraph Department for purposes of any —, <i>S. 95</i>	58
INSTRUMENT—		<i>By Magistrate —</i>	
By which injury was inflicted, to be mentioned in Police officer's report on sudden &c. death, <i>S. 174</i>	103	into suspected cognizable offence, <i>S. 159</i>	97
Inspection by Police of — for weighing, <i>S. 153</i>	89	" truth of complaint, <i>S. 202</i>	129
Search of place for — for counterfeiting coins or stamps &c., <i>S. 98</i>	57	<i>By Person other than Magr. or Police-officer—</i>	
Seizure by Police of false — for weighing, <i>S. 153</i>	89	into truth of complaint: his powers, <i>S. 202</i>	129
INSULT—		<i>By Police officer —</i>	
Nature of — to be recorded in certain cases of contempt, <i>S. 481</i>	279	Examination of witnesses, <i>S. 161</i>	93
under S. 504 I. P. C., triable summarily, <i>S. 260</i>	162	Into sudden or unnatural death, <i>S. 174</i>	102-3
with intent to provoke breach of peace is compoundable, <i>S. 345</i>	204	may summon persons to attend, <i>S. 175</i>	110
INTEREST—		of cognizable cases, <i>S. 158</i>	91
Nature of — which disqualifies a Judge or Magr. from trying a case, explained, <i>S. 192 note</i>	119	Proceedings of police not to be called in question, <i>id.</i>	91
Statement made against —, <i>Evid. Act. S. 32 (3)</i>	419	of non-cognizable cases, <i>S. 155</i>	90
" " as to matters of general —, <i>id. S. 32 (4)</i>	419	on completion of —, release of accused, <i>S. 169</i>	100
INTERPRETATION—		" " case when to be sent to Magr., <i>S. 170</i>	100
of evidence, to accused or his pleader, <i>S. 361</i>	214	Power to require attendance of witnesses, <i>S. 160</i>	93
" to witness, <i>S. 360</i>	214	Procedure on suspicion of cognizable offence which police may investigate, <i>S. 167</i>	91
of documents, <i>S. 361</i>	214	Procedure when — cannot be completed in 24 hours, <i>S. 167</i>	98-9
INTERPRETER—		Record of statements and confessions made during —, <i>S. 164</i>	96
Bound to state true interpretation, <i>S. 543</i>	313	Search in connection with —, <i>S. 165</i>	98
Form of oath &c. for, <i>S. 543 note</i> and Act X, 1873	313, 314, 401-2	Statements of witnesses at —, not to be signed or admitted as evidence, <i>S. 162</i>	98
Liability of — disclosing contents of document, <i>Evid. Act. S. 162</i>	453	Subordinate Police officer holding — to submit report, <i>S. 168</i>	100
Not to disclose certain communications <i>Evid. Act. Sa. 126, 127</i>	404-5	Superior Police officer may direct further —, <i>S. 173</i>	103
Employment of — to take down examination of accused, <i>S. 364 note</i>	216	To keep diary of proceedings, <i>S. 172</i>	101
When to be employed, <i>Sa. 357 note, 361 note</i>	212, 214	Voluntary confessions during — not to be prevented, <i>S. 163</i>	94
INTERROGATORIES—		<i>By heads of villages—</i>	
Parties may forward — when witness to be examined on commission, <i>S. 505</i>	293	in Madras and Bombay, into sudden and unnatural deaths, <i>S. 174</i>	103
INTERUPTION—		IRREGULARITIES—	
Nature of — to be recorded in certain cases of contempt, <i>S. 481</i>	279	which do not vitiate proceedings, <i>S. 539</i>	308
		" vitiate proceedings, <i>S. 530</i>	306-7
		Proceedings held in wrong place, not necessarily void, <i>S. 531</i>	307

	PAGE		PAGE
IRREGULARITIES, Concluded—		JAIL, Concluded—	
When irregular commitment may be accepted, and when quashed, S. 532	307	Warrant to be lodged with — officer, S. 385	226
Burden of proof of — on whom, <i>ib. note</i>	308	JHANSI—	
Correction of — in method of recording statement of accused, S. 533	308	Powers of all Deputy Commissioners in —, N. W. P., S. 30 <i>note</i>	27
in trial of European British Subject, S. 534	308	JOINDER—	
" omitting to prepare a charge, S. 535, S. 537 <i>note</i>	309	of charges, <i>see</i> CHARGE.	
" trying by jury offence triable with assessors, and <i>vice versa</i> , S. 536	309	JOINT MAGISTRATE—	
before or during trial or inquiry, S. 537	309	<i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.	
in trial, if not subject of appeal, will not be noticed by Court of Revision, <i>ib. note</i>	310	JOINT SESSIONS JUDGE—	
" trial, when — cannot be cured by consent of accused, <i>ib.</i>	310	Appeal from sentence of, S. 410	242
Omission to record judgment, when not fatal, S. 367 <i>note</i> , S. 537 <i>note</i>	310	Cases to be tried by —, S. 193	120
Omission to state amount of security &c. in Summons, S. 537 <i>note</i>	310	Jurisdiction of —, S. 9	18
Omission to sum up evidence to jury, <i>ib.</i>	310-11	Local Govt. may appoint —, <i>ib.</i>	18
Conviction for wrong offence or under repealed law, <i>ib.</i>	311	may hear appeals to Courts of Session, S. 409	242
Trial of several persons together for false evidence, <i>ib.</i>	311	Powers of —, S. 31	27
Distress not illegal for defect &c. in proceedings, S. 538	311	JOURNEY—	
ISSUE—		Place of trial of offence committed on on a —, S. 183	114
of process, <i>see</i> PROCESS.		Period of detention of arrested persons to be calculated exclusive of time occupied on — to Magistrate's Court, S. 61	44
J.		JUDGE -	
JAIL—		All — exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320	191
Accused may be committed to —, on failure to find sureties sufficient, S. 601	290	Certain — not to try cases in which they have given sanction to prosecute, S. 487	281
Appeal of appellant in —, <i>see</i> APPEAL.		Defined, S. 197 <i>note</i>	124
Communication of result of appeal to — authorities, S. 423 <i>note</i>	247-8	Duty of — in Sessions trials, S. 298	182
Confinement of lunatic in —, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.		" " as regards evidence of accomplice, S. 337 <i>note</i>	197
Inspector General of —, defined, <i>Appendix</i>	497	Nature of interest which disqualifies a — from trying a case himself, explained, S. 192 <i>note</i>	119
Inspector General of — as to periodically inspect lunatic prisoners, S. 472	274	Not necessarily disqualified by reason of being a witness of the facts under inquiry, S. 159 <i>note</i>	92
officer, doubting legality of sentence, how to act, S. 385 <i>note</i>	226	not bound to answer certain questions, Evid. Act, S. 121	443
officer, may refuse to produce prisoner, Act XV, 1869, S. 11	458	of High Court is <i>ex officio</i> Justice of Peace in and for all British India, S. 25	25
Order of release on execution of bond for appearance, when accused is in —, S. 600	290	Prosecution of —, <i>see</i> SANCTION.	
Power of Presy. Mag. to summon prisoner in —, S. 542	313	Senior — of a Chief Court, is included in term "Chief Justice", S. 4 (j)	16
Superintendent of —, <i>see</i> SUPERINTENDENT.		Sessions Judge, <i>see</i> SESSIONS.	
Testimony of prisoners in —, <i>see</i> PRISONERS' TESTIMONY.		Subordinate —s in Madras are 1st class Mag. and have power to try summarily, S. 14	21
Warrant of imprisonment to be directed to — officer, S. 384	226	Suspension and removal of all —s, except —s of High Court established by Royal Charter, S. 26	25
		when the — may be examined as a witness, S. 294 <i>note</i>	181
		when — is not to try a case to which he is a party, or in which personally interested, S. 533	323
		Nature of incapacity, <i>ib. note</i>	323-5

	PAGE		PAGE
JUDGMENT—		JULPIGOREE—	
Any Court may alter charge before —, S. 227 ...	146-7	Deputy Commissioner of — vested with special powers, S. 80 note ...	37
Appeal against — of acquittal, S. 417 ...	243	JURISDICTION—	
Copy of — except in summons-cases to be free of charge, S. 371 ...	220	Commitment made without —, S. 215 note ...	138
" " to be sent by Court of Sessions to District Mag., S. 373 ...	221	Consent of accused cannot cure defect of —, S. 435 note, S. 537 note ...	254, 309
" " Sessions Court to permit District Mag. to take, S. 373 note ...	221	Disposal of things found in execution of search beyond —, S. 99 ...	58
Effect of omission by deceased Mag. to record —, S. 366 note ...	217	Execution of warrant beyond —, Ss. 82, 101 ...	51, 59
" " omission to record reasons for — S. 367 note, S. 437 note ...	218, 309	Foreign — and Extradition Act, see Acts, (India Council) XXI of 1879.	
How delivered, accused in certain cases need not be present, S. 366 ...	217	If a Judge knows he has no —, he is liable as a trespasser if he acts, S. 454 note ...	263-4
In summary trials, see SUMMARY.		Objection to — When to be taken, S. 192 note ...	119
In the alternative, S. 367 ...	218	Obsolete — not restored by Code, S. 3 ...	14
In trials by jury, not required, <i>ib. note</i> ...	218	of Magistrates, see MAGISTRATE.	
Language and contents of —, S. 367 ...	217	" Subordinate Mag. to be over whole District unless otherwise provided, S. 12 ...	18
No Court except a High Court can alter or review a — once signed, S. 365 ...	219	" Presidency Magistrate, see MAGISTRATE.	
Exceptions (1) when sentence of whipping cannot be executed, S. 395 ...	234	" Chief do. do. —, see do.	
(2) to correct clerical error, S. 369 ...	219	" Justices of Peace, S. 22 note ...	24
Presidency Mag. records no —, only certain particulars, S. 370 ...	220	" Cantonment Magistrates, Appendix 462-8 over European British Subject, see EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.	
Previous — relevant to bar second trial, Evd. Act, S. 40 ...	424	Pursuit of offenders into other —, S. 58 ...	43
Relevancy of certain —, <i>ib. Ss. 42, 43</i> ...	424	Service of summons outside limits of —, S. 75 ...	48
Fraud or collusion in obtaining such —, <i>ib. S. 44</i> ...	425	Sessions Court cannot refer question of its own — to High Court in pending case, S. 438 note ...	256
Sessions Judge to send records to Divisional Commissioner for purposes of appeal against — of acquittal, S. 373 note ...	221	Special — conferred by other laws, S. 1 ...	13
To specify offence and punishment, S. 367 ...	217	Summary —, see SUMMARY.	
" state why sentence of death not passed in capital cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	218	Warrant for levy of fine may be executed within or without —, S. 387 ...	227
" state how sentence of death to be executed, S. 369 ...	219	JURORIN	
" state place of transportation, <i>ib.</i> ...	219	In nuisance-case, see NUISANCE.	
" be explained and copy or translation to be given to accused, S. 371 ...	220	No fee chargeable for summons on — or assessor in Court of Session, S. 93 note ...	55
When translation of — to be filed with record, S. 372 ...	221	Not necessarily disqualified to act, by reason of being a witness of facts under inquiry or investigation, S. 159 note ...	93
JUDICIAL PROCEEDING—		Warrant for arrest of — or assessor not to issue, S. 90 ...	55
definition of —, S. 4 (d) ...	15	See TRIAL, LANGUAGE.	
Inquiry into offence committed in course of —, S. 476 ...	276	JURY—	
		Case transferred by High Court to itself may be tried by —, Ss. 178 note, S. 267 ...	111, 168
		For trial of American or European, see EUROPEAN.	
		In nuisance-cases, see NUISANCE.	

	PAGE		PAGE
JURY, Concluded—		LAND —	
In — trials no judgment need be recorded, but only heads of charge, S. 367 ...	218	Holder, certain warrants may be directed to —, S. 78 ...	50
Trial by mixed — of European British Subject, S. 461 ...	261	and to farmer or manager of — S. 78 ...	50
See also TRIAL.		Owner or occupier of — bound to report certain matters, S. 45 ...	35
		also their agents, <i>ib.</i> ...	35
JUSTICE—		a village accountant is not such agent, <i>ib.</i> ...	36
Failure of —, what is, Ss. 531 note and 537 note ...	307, 309	note... ...	36
Offences against public —, S. 195 ...	121	nor is a <i>Khasánchi</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	36
Procedure in the case of offences against public —, see CONTEMPT, SANCTION.		Removal of — mark, buoy &c., S. 152 ...	89
Procedure in other cases affecting administration of —, see CONTEMPT.			
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE —		LANGUAGE—	
In the <i>Mofussil</i> —		For record of evidence, see EVIDENCE.	
How appointed, S. 22 ...	24	Objection to juror on ground of ignorance of —, S. 278 (g) ...	174
must be European British Subject S. 22 and note ...	24	of charge, S. 231 ...	144
Jurisdiction of, S. 22 note ...	24	„ Courts, S. 556 ...	225-6
Present — continued, S. 24 ...	25	„ document, Evid. Act, Ss. 94-98 ...	437-8
In <i>Presidency Towns</i> —		„ examination of accused, S. 364 ...	215
How appointed, S. 23 ...	24, 25	„ interpretation of evidence to accused, S. 361 ...	214
Who are competent to be —, <i>ib.</i> ...	25	„ judgment, S. 367 ...	217
No subject of Foreign State can be —, <i>ib.</i> ...	25	„ memorandum of examination of accused, S. 364 ...	215
Jurisdiction, duties and powers of —, S. 22 note ...	25	„ record and judgment in summary trials, S. 265 ...	167
Present — continued, S. 24 ...	25	„ translation of judgment for accused, S. 371 ...	220
In <i>Places beyond British India</i> —		„ warrant of imprisonment, S. 383 note ...	225
Appointment, powers and jurisdiction of —, Appendix ...	489	Procedure when juror does not understand —, S. 282 ...	175
Confirmation of existing —, <i>ib.</i> ...	490	When Pleader &c may address the Court in the English —, S. 289 note ...	180
<i>Ex officio</i> — in British India and in Presidency Towns, S. 25 ...	25		
Suspension and removal of —, S. 27 ...	25	LAW—	
When a — can try an European British Subject, S. 443 ...	259	Appeal on matters of —, S. 418 ...	244
JUVENILE OFFENDERS —		and fact, Judge may express opinion on any question of mixed —, S. 298 ...	182
confinement of in reformatories, S. 399 ...	236	and section to be specified in judgment, S. 367 ...	217
		by which Jury to be guided, to be laid down in charge, S. 297 ...	182
K.		conferring jurisdiction on Bombay Court of Petty Sessions, Bombay Presy. Mag. to exercise jurisdiction under —, S. 20 ...	24
KAMROOP—		conferring powers on Senior and Chief Magistrate, Chief Magistrate of Presy. Towns to exercise powers under —, S. 21 ...	24
Benchos of Magistrates in —, S. 15 note ...	21	for regulation of certain ports and port-dues, to determine local limits of Presidency Magas.' jurisdiction, S. 19 ...	24
KHAZANCHI—		Judge to decide questions of — in jury trials, S. 398 ...	182
is not agent of landholder for purpose of reporting to Magistrate or to Police, S. 45 note ...	36	Judge to decide meaning of general indefinite expressions whose meaning is ascertained by —, S. 399 ...	184
KIDNAPPED—			
person, offence of concealing — where triable, S. 180 note ...	112		
L.			
LABOUR—			
Unlawful compulsory — (S. 374 I.P.C.) compoundable, S. 345 ...	204		
Attachment of — paying revenue to Government, S. 83 ...	53		

	PAGE		PAGE
LAW, Concluded—		LIABILITY—	
on which defence intends to rely, to be stated, S. 290	180	of owner of land for unlawful assemblies held thereon, S. 43 <i>note</i>	34
other than Penal Code. Courts which may try offences under —, S. 29	26	LIBELLOUS MATTER—	
regulating municipality of Bombay, Chief Magr. to hear appeals under, S. 20	24	Destruction of, S. 521	209
Severity of sentence a matter of — S. 418 <i>Expt.</i>	244	LIFE—	
LAWFUL CONFINEMENT—		Issue of order in urgent cases to prevent danger to human —, S. 144	79
<i>see</i> CONFINEMENT.		Local Govt. may prolong currency of order, <i>ib.</i>	80
LAWs—		LIMIT—	
Local or Special — in force before 1st January 1883, not affected by the Code, S. 1	13	Effect of local —s defined by repealed Acts, S. 2	14
References to former — in Code, S. 3	14	Execution of warrant outside local —s of jurisdiction, S. 82	51
Relevancy of statement as to any — contained in Law Books, <i>see</i> STATEMENT.		of Divisions and Districts may be altered by Local Govt., S. 7	18
Repealed —, notifications &c. under, saved, S. 2	14	„ number of stripes in sentence of whipping, S. 392	233
repealed by the Code, Sched. I	326, 327	„ order in disputes as to easements, EASEMENTS.	
LEAVE—		Service of summons outside local —s of jurisdiction, S. 73	47, 48
Commitment by Magr. about to go on —, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.		LIMITATION—	
LEGALITY—		Act, <i>see</i> Acts (India Council) XV of 1877, in suit brought to set aside order for possession of immovable property, S. 145 <i>note</i>	86
When appeal of accused to be confined to — of sentence, S. 412	242	Period of — for presentation of appeals, Chap. XXXI, heading	240
LEGITIMACY		LIST—	
of European British Subject, must be proved, S. 4 (<i>u</i>) <i>note</i>	16	of jurors, finding and sentence when reversible for neglect to revise, S. 587	309
Proof of —, Evid. Act, S. 112	440	of jurors, to be prepared, S. 821	192
LEGITIMATE—		„ „ who have attended each session, to be kept, S. 831	194
child or grandchild of certain persons, is an European British Subject, S. 4 (<i>u</i>)	16	of things, found during search beyond jurisdiction, S. 99	58
Maintenance of — and illegitimate children, Ss. 488-490	281-4	and within jurisdiction, S. 103	59
LETTER—		of witnesses for defence, when to be put in by accused, S. 211	135, 136
Production of —, telegrams and post-cards, Ss. 94, 95	56	„ „ Magr. may receive further —, <i>ib.</i>	136
Proceedings void when Magr. not empowered issues search warrant for —, 530 (<i>b</i>)	306	„ „ Examination of persons named in —, S. 212	136
Request to District Magr. to summon jurors or assessors to be by —, S. 325	193	LITHOGRAPHY—	
Contents of such letter, <i>ib.</i>	193	included in term "writing", S. 4 (<i>e</i>)	15
LETTERS PATENT—		LIVESTOCK—	
Saving of — granted under 24 and 25 Vic. Cap. 104, S. 194	120	Disposal of —, S. 517	296
LEVY—		LOCAL—	
of costs in disputes as to immovable property, S. 148	84	area, of Sessions Division may be altered by Local Govt. with sanction, S. 7	15
of fine, issue of warrant for, S. 395	226	„ of subdivision may be altered by Local Govt. without sanction, S. 8	15
effect of warrant for, S. 397	227	„ of jurisdiction of any Magr. may be defined, S. 12	19
rules for — of fine, S. 397 <i>note</i>	227-232	also of special Magistrate, S. 14	20
who may issue warrant for, S. 399	232		

	PAGE		PAGE
LOCAL, <i>Concluded</i>—		LUNATIC, <i>Concluded</i>—	
area, of jurisdiction of Presy. Mage., S. 19 ...	24	accused is a —, S. 465 ...	269
" continuance of powers of officer transferred from one — to ano- ther, S. 40 ...	33	accused must first be tried as to alleged lunacy, <i>ib.</i> and <i>note</i> ...	269
extent of Code, S. 1 ...	13	Detention of — pending reference to Govt., S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	270
Government, <i>see</i> GOVERNMENT.		How a — can compound on offence, S. 345 ...	205
inquiry, as to dispute concerning land, S. 148 ...	88	Law and Procedure in case of trial of — in England, S. 470 <i>note</i> ...	272-3
Investigation, as to truth of complaint, S. 202 ...	129	may be a competent witness, <i>Evid.</i> Act, S. 118, <i>Expt.</i> ...	443
" when cognizable offence is suspected, S. 167 ...	91	Meaning of word —, S. 464 <i>note</i> ...	269
jurisdiction, issue of process for offence committed beyond, S. 186 ...	116	Mode of reporting case of — to Govt., S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	270
law, saving of, S. 1 ...	13	Once made over to Govt., Mage.'s jurisdiction ceases till inquiry &c. resumed, <i>ib.</i> ...	271
limits, saving of — defined under re- pealed enactments, S. 2 ...	14	Powers of police to arrest wandering —, S. 55 <i>note</i> ...	42
LOTTERIES—		Procedure when — accused is an Eu- ropean British Subject, S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	271
Prosecution for keeping, <i>see</i> SANCTION.		Procedure when — declared fit to be discharged from custody, S. 473 ...	274
LUNATIC—		Resumption of inquiry or trial, S. 467 Do. Do. on being reported capable of making his defence, S. 473 ...	271 274
Acquittal of — on ground of lunacy, S. 470 ...	272	Procedure on resumption, S. 468 ...	271
Finding what to state, <i>ib.</i> ...	272	Rules for conveyance of — to asylums, S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	270-1
Form of finding, S. 474 <i>note</i> ...	273	When accused declared a —, bail may may be taken, S. 466 ...	270
Insanity must be clearly proved, S. 470 <i>note</i> ...	272	Where accused — may be ordered to be confined, <i>ib.</i> ...	270
acquittal to be reported to Govt., which orders his confinement in jail &c., S. 471 ...	273-4	LUNATIC ASYLUM—	
Procedure when declared fit to be discharged, S. 474 ...	274	Local Govt. may order accused to be confined in — before trial, S. 466 ...	270
Prisoner to be visited and inspected periodically, S. 472 ...	274	may order accused acquit- ted on ground of lunacy to be confined in —, S. 471 ...	274
Delivery of confined — to care of relative &c., S. 475 ...	274-5	Visitors of — to inspect lunatic pri- soner and report specially to Govt. ...	274
Before Magistrate, if accused insane at time of com- mitting offence, Mage. still to commit, S. 469 ...	271	M.	
Procedure of Mage. when ac- cused is a —, S. 464 ...	268	MACHINERY—	
medical officer must be examin- ed, his certifi- cate not suffi- cient, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	269	Investigation by police into death of person killed by —, S. 174 ...	102-3
Wandering and ill-treated — how provided for, <i>ib.</i> ...	269	MADRAS—	
Before High Court or Sessions Court, Procedure when		High Court of —, ordinary original Civil Jurisdiction of — constitutes Presidency Town S. 4 (A) ...	15
		" " is a High Court as regards European British Subject, <i>ib.</i> (i) ...	15

MADRAS, Continued—	PAGE	MADRAS, Continued—	PAGE
High Court of —, Form of reference to —, S. 438 note	256	but a Taluk Mage. can not, S. 193	119
" " certain salaried officers exempted from serving as jurors in —, S. 313 note	190	Presidency of —, Board of Revenue in — has power to sanction prosecution for certain offences, S. 197 note ...	125
" " Procedure in reporting sentences of death to — for confirmation, S. 374 note ...	223	" " all Mages. in — can commit to High Court or Sessions Court, S. 206 note	133
" " may issue directions of nature of <i>habeas corpus</i> , and may frame rules, S. 491 ...	285	" " what offences triable by jury in —, S. 269 note ...	169
Presidency of —, Code does not apply to officers trying petty offences in Military bazaars, or cantonments occupied by troops of —, S. 1 (b) ...	13	" " Rules for fixing Sessions in —, S. 270 note ...	170
" " Code does not apply to heads of villages in —, S. 1 (c) ...	13	" " Number of which jury to consist in —, S. 274 note ...	173
" " All Sub-Judges and Munsifs in — are Mages. of 1st class, S. 14 note ...	21	" " Form of oath for jurors in —, S. 281 note ...	176
" " All Sub-Judges in — can try summarily, <i>ib.</i> ...	21	" " and for interpreters S. 543 note ...	314
" " Powers of Mages. in — in nuisance-cases, S. 183 note	73	" " certain persons exempted from serving as jurors or assessors in Sessions Court in —, S. 320 note ...	192
" " Village headmen in — cannot record confessions, S. 164 note ...	97	" " Procedure in — in reporting sentence of death for confirmation by the High Court, S. 374 note ...	222
" " but may hold inquest, S. 174 ...	103	" " certain officers to attend executions in —, S. 381 note	224
" " Powers of certain Mages. in — as regards offences committed beyond jurisdiction, S. 180 note ...	115	" " Rules for levy of fines in —, S. 387 note ...	231
" " and to take cognizance of offences, S. 191 note ...	118	" " Places of imprisonment in —, S. 541 note ...	313
" " Summary powers of Mages. in —, S. 260 note ...	163	" " Rules for regulating expenses of witnesses &c. in —, S. 544 note ...	315-6
" " All first class Mages. in — may transfer cases to Subordinate Mages., S. 192 note ...	119	Town of —, Code does not apply generally to Commissioner of Police in —, S. 1 (a) ...	13
		" " Secs. 174-176 do not apply to police in —, S. 1 (a) ...	13
		" " Justices of Peace in — how appointed, S. 28	24, 25

MADRAS, Concluded—

Town of — certain salaried officers in — exempted from serving as jurors in High Court, S. 313	190
<i>note</i> ...	

MAGISTRATE—*Magistrates in general—*

of full powers, means — of first class, S. 3	14
Subordinate — of 1st class means — of 2nd class, <i>ib.</i>	14
Subordinate — of 2nd class means of 3rd class, <i>ib.</i>	14
of Division of a District means Sub-Divisional —, <i>ib.</i>	14
of the District means District —, S. 3	14
Courts of —s constituted, S. 6	17
Subordinate Magrs. appointed to each District, S. 12	19
Local Govt. or District — may define their jurisdictions, <i>ib.</i>	19
District Mago. may delegate to them power to entertain cases, <i>ib. note</i>	19
appointed under Ss. 12—14 are subordinate to District —, S. 17	23
not subordinate to Sessions Judge, except as expressly provided, S. 17	23
Subordination of —s to Sessions Judge restricted to Ss. 123, 193, 408 and 436 of the Code, S. 17	23
<i>note</i> ...	23
Suspension and removal of —, S. 26	25
Limit of powers of — to try offences under laws other than the Penal Code, S. 29	26
what —s can try offences under Act III, 1877 and IV of 1879, S. 29 <i>note</i>	26
Sentences which —s of different classes may pass, S. 32 (a) (b) (c)	27, 28
Aggregate sentence that can be passed by — on conviction of several offences, S. 35	30
If separate trials are held, sentence is counted only by general powers of —, S. 35 <i>note</i>	30
Ordinary powers of —, S. 36	32
Public when to assist — or Police, S. 42	34
Intentional omission to give such assistance how punished, <i>ib. note</i>	34
Public bound to give information of certain offences to nearest — or Police officer, S. 44	34
Certain matters must be reported to nearest — or Police station, S. 45	35

MAGISTRATE, Continued—*Magistrates in general, Continued—*

Penalty for omission how enforced, <i>ib. note</i>	35
Person arrested for non-cognizable offence when to be forwarded to nearest —, S. 57	43
When a — may arrest for offence committed in his presence, S. 64	45
Any — may arrest any person for whose arrest he may issue warrant, S. 65	45
What —s can order production of letters, telegrams &c., S. 95	36
What —s can grant search-warrant for documents in custody of Postal or Telegraph Authorities, S. 96	57
What —s can grant search-warrant for search of any place, S. 98	57
What —s may grant search-warrant for search for persons wrongfully confined, S. 100	58
What —s may require security for keeping the peace on conviction, S. 106	60
What —s may issue order to show cause of security for breach of peace should not be taken, S. 107	61
Procedure of — not so empowered, S. 108	62
What —s may issue order to show cause why security for good behaviour should not be taken, Ss. 109, 110	62, 63
All —s may order unlawful assembly to disperse, S. 126	70
All —s may appoint Special Police when requested by Inspector General of Police, S. 127 <i>note</i>	71
All — may disperse unlawful assembly by force, S. 128	71
and may call on Military and Volunteers to assist, Ss. 129, 130	71
Jurisdiction, powers and duties of —s in nuisance-cases, <i>see</i> NUISANCE.	
Do. Do. Do. of —s to take action in disputes as to immoveable property, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.	
What — may adjudicate in disputes regarding easements, <i>see</i> EASEMENTS.	
Duties of —s as to supervision over Police proceedings, S. 157 <i>note</i>	91, 92
Powers of —s to hold investigation or preliminary inquiry in cognizable cases, or to depute Subordinate, S. 159	92
Duties of — trying a case investigated or inquired into by himself, <i>ib. note</i>	92
All —s, not being Police officers, may record confession: procedure to be observed, S. 160	96

MAGISTRATE, <i>Continued—</i>	PAGE
<i>Magistrates in general, Continued—</i>	
Powers of — to order detention of accused in Police custody, S. 167	99
accused must be brought before the	
— to be remanded,	
<i>ib. note</i> ...	99
Powers of — to hold inquests, S. 174	103
When a — is bound to, and when he may, hold inquest, in addition to Police investigation, S. 176	110
may disinter a corpse for the purpose, <i>ib.</i> ...	110
How to record evidence at inquest, <i>ib.</i> ...	110
Powers of — to issue process for offence committed beyond jurisdictions, S. 186 and <i>note</i> ...	115
Powers of — to take cognizance of offences, S. 191 ...	117
Powers of — to transfer cases to Subordinate Magistrate, S. 192 ...	118
When a — is witness for prosecution he should not try the case himself, S. 192 <i>note</i> ...	119
Nature of interest which disqualifies a — from trying a case explained, <i>ib.</i> ...	119
No — should try a case to which he is a party, or in which he is personally interested, S. 565 ...	323
Exception as to Municipal Commissioners, <i>ib. Expl.</i> ...	323
Nature of incapacity explained, <i>ib. note</i> ...	323-5
Prosecution of —, <i>see</i> SANCTION.	
When a — is a "Judge", S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	124
Complaints to —, <i>see</i> COMPLAINTS.	
Powers of — to direct police &c. to investigate complaint, S. 201 ...	129
Power of — to dispense with personal attendance of accused, S. 205	131
Power of — to enforce such attendance, <i>ib.</i> ...	131
Powers of — to commit for trial to High Court or Sessions Court, S. 206 ...	132
Duties of — in inquiries, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
What — may stop proceedings and release accused, and when, S. 249	155
Powers of — to try offences summarily, Ss. 260, 261 ...	162-4
Powers of — to tender pardon to accomplice, S. 337 ...	196
<i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
Powers of — to call for records of inferior Courts for purposes of revision, S. 435 ...	253
Jurisdiction of — as regards European British Subjects, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.	

MAGISTRATE, <i>Continued—</i>	PAGE
<i>Magistrates in general, Concluded—</i>	
should ask accused if he is an European British Subject, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> ...	16
Procedure of — when accused is insane, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
No — to try a case in which he has given sanction to prosecute, S. 487	281
But he may commit for trial, <i>ib.</i> ...	281
Exception to the rule, <i>ib.</i> ...	281
Powers of — to pass orders in maintenance cases, S. 488 ...	281-2
Powers of — to issue, or apply for issue of commissions, Ss. 503, 506	291, 293
Powers of — to pass orders regarding disposal of stolen property, S. 524 ...	301
Powers of — to transfer cases, S. 528 ...	306
<i>See also</i> TRANSFER.	
Powers of — to compel restoration of abducted female, S. 551 ...	323
Power of — to arrest person triable by Court Martial, S. 549 ...	321
Ordinary powers of Provincial —, Sched. III ...	377
Additional powers of Do. Do., Sched. IV ...	378-9
Not bound to answer certain questions, Evid. Act, S. 121 ...	443
Not bound to say where he got information of offence, <i>ib.</i> S. 125 ...	444
<i>See also</i> INQUIRY, TRIAL, SUMMONS-CASE, WARRANT-CASE, &c., &c.	
<i>Benches of Magistrates (Presidency)—</i>	
Any two or more Magistrates in Presidency Town may sit as a Bench, S. 18 ...	23
Rules for — by whom to be made, S. 21 ...	24
<i>Benches of Magistrates (Provincial)—</i>	
Local Govt. may appoint — and confer powers thereon, S. 15 ...	21
ordinarily to have powers of a Magistrate the highest class to which one of the members present belongs, <i>ib.</i> ...	21
Two or more Special Magistrates may sit in Benares as a Bench, <i>ib. note</i> ...	21
Orders of Govt. of Bengal regarding —, in certain Districts, <i>ib.</i> ...	21
can now be empowered to deal with miscellaneous cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	22
Constitution of a — should not be changed during a trial, <i>ib.</i> ...	22
Local Govt. or District Magistrate can make rules for guidance of —, S. 16 ...	22
Nomination of member of — to receive complaints, <i>ib. note</i> ...	22

MAGISTRATE, Continued—	PAGE
<i>Benches of Magistrates (Provincial)—</i>	
<i>Concluded—</i>	
Adjoined sittings of — S. 16 ...	22
Chairman of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	22
Duties of Chairman, <i>ib.</i> ...	22
may refer point of law to District or other Mage., <i>ib.</i> ...	23
Appeal from decision of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	23
Complicated and difficult cases should not be referred to —, <i>ib.</i> ...	23
when subordinate to District Mage., S. 17 ...	23
not subordinate to Sessions Judge except as expressly provided, <i>ib.</i> ...	23
Powers of — to try offences summarily, Ss. 260, 261 ...	162-164
<i>Cantonment Magistrate—</i>	
is a — in charge of a sub-division, S. 8 <i>note</i> , S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	18, 20
<i>See CANTONMENT.</i>	
<i>District Magistrate—</i>	
Meaning of the term, S. 3 ...	14
Local Govt. to appoint a — to each District, S. 3 ...	15
Zilla Mage. in Bombay means a —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	18
Temporary vacancy in office of — how filled, S. 11 ...	18
may define jurisdictions of Subordinate Magistrates, S. 12 ...	19
to delegate to Sub-Divisional and Subordinate Magistrates, power to entertain cases, S. 12 <i>note</i> ...	19
to be ordinarily in charge of head quarters Sub-division, <i>ib.</i> ...	19
to take a share of the criminal work, <i>ib.</i> ...	19
may, subject to control, make rules for guidance of Benches, S. 16 ...	22
may record opinion on a point of law referred by a Bench, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	23
All Magistrates appointed under Secs. 12, 13 and 14 are subordinate to the —, S. 17 ...	23
Benches of Magos. under S. 15 are subordinate to —, S. 17 ...	23
may make rules for distribution of business among Subordinate Magos. and Benches, S. 17 ...	23
Not subordinate to Sessions Judge except as expressly provided, <i>ib.</i> ...	23
to comply with requisitions of Sessions Judge in certain cases, and furnish explanations, S. 17 <i>note</i> ...	23
Special powers of —, S. 30 ...	26, 27
specially empowered, may pass higher sentence, S. 34 ...	30
may confer additional powers on Subordinate Magistrates, subject to Local Govt., Ss. 37, 38 ...	33
to report arrest of soldier to his Commanding officer, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	41

MAGISTRATE, Continued—	PAGE
<i>District Magistrate—Concluded—</i>	
how to obtain copies of Sessions Court records, S. 373 <i>note</i> ...	221
may direct certain warrants of arrest to landholder, S. 78 ...	50
may offer reward up to Rs. 200 for arrest of offender, S. 387 <i>note</i> ...	53
may discharge persons imprisoned in default of security, S. 124 ...	69
may cancel any bond for keeping the peace, S. 125 ...	70
to summon jurors and assessors for Sessions Court, S. 326 ...	193
may report case of inferior Mage. to High Court for revision, S. 438 ...	255
but not case of Sessions Judge, <i>ib. note</i> ...	255
may appoint Public Prosecutor to conduct a case, S. 492 ...	286
may issue commission for examination of witness, S. 503 ...	291
<i>Joint Magistrate—</i>	
not ordinarily to be in charge of Head Quarters Sub-division, S. 12 <i>note</i> ...	19
<i>Presidency Magistrate—</i>	
Mago. of Police is a —, S. 3 ...	14
Courts of — constituted, S. 6 ...	17
and Chief —, appointed by Govt., S. 18 ...	23
Chief — may make rules for Benches, Ss. 18, 21 ...	23, 24
Any 2 or more — may sit as Benches, S. 13 ...	23
Local limits of jurisdiction of —, S. 19 ...	24
Jurisdiction of — in town of Bombay, S. 20 ...	24
Appeals under Municipal law of Bombay to be only to Chief —, S. 20, <i>Proviso</i> ...	24
Jurisdiction of Chief —, S. 21 ...	24
Chief — may make rules to regulate business &c., S. 21 ...	24
All — are <i>ex officio</i> Justices of Peace in their respective Presidency Towns, S. 25 ...	25
Sentences which — may pass, S. 52 (a) ...	27
Warrant of arrest issued by — must always be directed to one or more Police officers, S. 77 ...	49, 50
may discharge persons imprisoned in default of security, S. 124 ...	69
need not record reasons for commitment of accused, S. 213 ...	136
how to record evidence, <i>see EVIDENCE.</i>	
may refer point of law to the High Court, S. 433 ...	253
may issue commission for examination of witness, S. 503 ...	291

	PAGE		PAGE
MAGISTRATE, Concluded—		MAINTENANCE, Concluded—	
<i>Presidency Magistrate, Concluded—</i>		Mage. can only order a money allow-	
may order prisoner in jail to be		ance, S. 488 ...	288
brought up for examination, S. 542	313	Mage. cannot order — of unmarried	
may try cases in which they have		pregnant woman, <i>ib.</i> ...	288
given sanction to prosecute, S. 487	281	Effect of dismissal of complaint, <i>ib.</i> ...	288
<i>Special Magistrates—</i>		Allowance payable from date of order,	
defined, S. 14 ...	20	S. 488 ...	288
Local Govt. may appoint in the		Enforcement of order by warrant and	
Mofussil, <i>ib.</i> ...	10	imprisonment, <i>ib.</i> ...	288
Local Govt. may delegate powers of		Amount recoverable as a fine, Ss. 488,	
appointment of — with consent		547 note ...	282, 284
of G. G. in C., <i>ib.</i> ...	21	Order where enforceable, S. 490 ...	284
No police officer below grade of		Fee for serving and executing warrant	
Assistant Superintendent to be		for levy of —, S. 490 note ...	284
—, <i>ib.</i> ...	21	Form of warrant to enforce payment	
No person to be a — except for cer-		of — by distress and sale, Sched. V,	
tain purposes, <i>ib.</i> ...	21	No XLI ...	391
Two or more — in Benares may sit		Copy of order to whom to be given,	
as a Bench to try cases in Muni-		S. 490 ...	284
cipal Limits, S. 16 note ...	21	" " to be given free of	
Rules for sitting of — with salaried		charge and exempt	
Mages. to form Benches, <i>ib.</i> ...	21	from Court Fees, <i>ib.</i>	
In Madras, Sub-Judges and Mun-		and note ...	284
siffs appointed —, S. 14 note ...	21	Form of warrant of imprisonment on	
<i>Sub-Divisional Magistrate—</i>		failure to pay, Sched. V, No. XL ...	890-1
Mage. of a Division of a District		Order when to be cancelled, S. 488	
means a —, S. 3 ...	14	and note ...	284
to keep District Mage informed of		Maximum imprisonment in default,	
all emergent, difficult and import-		S. 488 note ...	282, 284
ant cases, and all nuisance-cases,		Order not appealable, <i>ib.</i> ...	282
S. 12 note ...	20	Civil Court cannot set aside an order	
entirely under control of District		for —, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
Mage. <i>ib.</i> ...	20	How decree of Civil Court supervenes	
appointed and relieved by Local		the order, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
Govt. or by District Mage. with		Order cannot be enforced after divorce,	
sanction of Local Govt., S. 13 ...	20	<i>ib.</i> ...	283
may record opinion on point of law		Mahomedan may divorce his wife after	
referred by Bench, S. 16 note ...	23	order for, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
All Magistrates in Sub-division are		Mahomedan wife entitled to — after	
subordinate to —, S. 17 ...	23	divorce during her <i>iddat</i> , <i>ib.</i> ...	283
can have additional powers con-		<i>Muta</i> wife is entitled to —, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
ferred on him by Local Govt. or		<i>Nikah</i> " " " " —, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
District Mage., S. 37 ...	32	<i>Karoo</i> " " " " —, <i>ib.</i> ...	283
may direct certain warrants of arrest		when a <i>major</i> child is entitled to —,	
to issue to landowners, S. 78 ...	50	<i>ib.</i> ...	283
may appoint Public Prosecutor to		Mage. cannot enter into question of	
conduct a case, S. 492 ...	286	guardianship of child, <i>ib.</i> ...	284
<i>Subordinate Magistrates—</i>		Alteration in allowance, S. 489 ...	284
see SUBORDINATE MAGISTRATE.		Proceedings void when order made by	
MAHOMEDAN HUSBAND—		Mage. not empowered, S. 530 (a) ...	307
may divorce wife after order for main-		MALDAH—	
tenance, S. 488 note ...	283	Benches of Magistrates in —, S. 15	
MAHOMEDAN WIFE—		note ...	21
when entitled to maintenance after		MANAGER—	
divorce, <i>ib.</i> ...	283	of land, see LAND.	
MAINTENANCE—		MAP—	
of wives and children, Chap. XXXVI	281-4	Relevancy of statement made in a —	
Procedure in cases of neglect or re-		or plan, see STATEMENT.	
fusal to maintain wife or child,		MARKS—	
S. 488 ...	281-2	Removal of, or injury to, land —, or	
Evidence how to be recorded, <i>ib.</i> ...	283	— used in navigation, S. 162 ...	89
Grounds for issue of order, <i>ib.</i> note ...	283		

	PAGE		PAGE
MARKS, <i>Concluded</i>—		MEDICAL, <i>Concluded</i>—	
of injury, to be described in Police officer's report on sudden or unnatural death, S. 174 ...	103	Officer, Examination of lunatic accused by —, S. 464 ...	263
MARRIAGE—		" commission of inquiry in case of lunacy to consist of a judicial officer and two —, S. 474 ...	274
Sanction of prosecution for offences against —, Ss. 198, 238 ...	151	Profession, persons openly and constantly practising the — exempted from serving as jurors and assessors, S. 320 (<i>h</i>) ...	192
Forms of — sufficient to warrant order for maintenance, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	232-3	Subordinate, Service of summons on —, S. 72 <i>note</i> ...	47
Communications during —, Evid. Act, S. 123 ...	444	Witness, when deposition of — before Magistrate, may be used as evidence in any inquiry or trial, S. 509 ...	298-4
Opinion as to — when relevant, <i>ib.</i> , S. 60 ...	426	" Court may however summon —, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
When — is an ingredient of an offence, it must be strictly proved, <i>ib. note</i> ...	426	" Deposition, to be admissible, must have been taken before accused, <i>ib. note</i> ...	294
MARRIED WOMAN—		" Deposition of, how to be used, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
Sanction for prosecution for enticing away a —, S. 199 <i>h</i> ...	126	" In what cases Magistrate should invariably record deposition of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
Offence of enticing away a — (S. 498, I. P. C.) is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	" Magistrate should rarely bind over — to attend Sessions Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
MATERIAL ERROR—		" when Magistrate should bind over — to attend Sessions Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
Effect of — in charge, S. 232 ...	147-8	" certain — can claim fee, and other — only travelling allowance, <i>ib.</i> ...	294
MATERIALS—		MEMBERS OF SUPREME COUNCIL—	
Search of place suspected to contain — for counterfeiting coin, or stamps or for forgery, S. 98 ...	57	to be <i>ex officio</i> Justices of Peace, S. 25 ...	25
MAXIMUM—		MEMORANDUM—	
fine on absconding witness, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54	of evidence in summons-cases &c., S. 356 ...	209
imprisonment, in lieu of whipping, S. 395 ...	234	" substance of evidence in other cases, S. 356 ...	210
sentence, on conviction of several offences at one trial, S. 35 ...	30	" examination of accused, S. 364 ...	215
period of imprisonment in default of obeying order for maintenance, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	282, 284	" objection of witness to evidence as recorded, S. 360 ...	214
number of stripes in whipping, S. 392 ...	233	to be made at foot of confession, S. 164 ...	96
MEANING—		MEMORY—	
of documents given in evidence at jury trials, to be decided by Judge, S. 298 ...	182	How — may be refreshed, <i>see</i> REFRESH.	
of technical terms, to be decided by jury, S. 299 ...	184	MERCHANTIZE—	
of general indefinite expressions, when to be decided by Judge, S. 299 ...	184	Conditional order prohibiting keeping of noxious —, S. 123 ...	72, 73
MEASURES—		MILITARY—	
Inspection of — by Police, S. 153 ...	89	Bazaar, trial of petty offences in certain —, S. 1 (<i>h</i>) ...	13
Seizure of false weights and —, S. 153 ...	89	Copy of sentence on person in — employment to be sent to his superior, S. 144 <i>note</i> , S. 258 <i>note</i> , S. 263 <i>note</i> ...	154, 161, 166, 167
MEDICAL—		Delivery to — authorities of persons triable by Court Martial, S. 549 ...	231
Officer, body when to be forwarded to — for examination, S. 174 ...	103		
" Rules regarding forwarding of bodies to —, <i>ib. note</i> ...	104		
" Duty of despatching articles to Chemical Examiner rests with —, S. 510 <i>note</i> ...	295		
" Sanction for prosecution of — who gives false evidence, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	126		
" should be present at execution of sentence of whipping, S. 394 <i>note</i> ...	234		

	PAGE		PAGE
MILITARY, <i>Concluded</i>—		MONEY—	
Duty of officer commanding — when called on to disperse an assembly, S. 130 ...	71	Deposit of — in lieu of bond, S. 513 ...	296
Every Magistrate bound to arrest every — person triable by Court Martial, S. 549 ...	321	Payment to innocent purchaser of — found on accused, S. 519 ...	299
exempt generally from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (g) when — officers may be called on to serve as jurors, S. 317	192	Recovery as if a fine of — payable by order under the Code, S. 547 ...	320
Power of commissioned — officer to disperse assembly, S. 131 ...	73	MOTION—	
Prosecution of — officer or soldier, <i>see</i> Prosecution.		to set aside or modify order for removal of nuisance, S. 133 ...	73
Service of summons on person in — employ, S. 72 <i>note</i> ...	47	for application for exercise of power of High Court to transfer a case, S. 526 ...	302
Warrant of imprisonment in case of person in — employ, to show his rank and regiment or department, S. 383 <i>note</i> ...	225	MOVEABLE PROPERTY—	
When the — can be called on by Magistrate to assist in suppressing assembly, Ss. 124-130 ...	71	<i>See</i> PROPERTY.	
MINISTERS OF RELIGION—		MUKHTAR—	
exempted from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (f) ...	192	appointed by permission of the Court, included in term "Pleader," S. 4 (a) should not generally be prevented from defending accused, S. 340 <i>note</i> ...	16 199
MINOR—		MUNICIPAL—	
Bond for keeping the peace or for good behaviour, in case of a —, to be executed only by sureties, S. 118 ...	67	Acts, certain offences against — triable summarily, S. 261 ...	164
Who may compound an offence for a —, S. 345 ...	205	Commissioner, exemption of — as regards power to try certain cases, S. 555 ...	323
MINORITY—		Corporation, is not a "Public Servant," and can be prosecuted without sanction of Government, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	126
is ground for objection to a juror, S. 278 (b) ...	173-4	officer, complaint of — needs no stamp, S. 200 <i>note</i> ...	127
MISAPPROPRIATION—		Taxation in Cantonments, <i>Appendix</i> 465-6	
Criminal — where triable, S. 181 ...	113	MUNICIPALITY—	
MISCELLANEOUS—		Benches of Magistrates in Benares —, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE (<i>Benches</i>).	
Benches of Magistrates can now be empowered to try — cases, S. 15 <i>note</i> ...	21, 22	Powers of Police to arrest for offences in — in N. W. P. and Oude, S. 44 <i>note</i> ...	40
MISCHIEF—		MUNSIF—	
under Sec. 427, I. P. C., triable summarily, S. 260 (g) ...	167	All District —s in Madras Presidency are 1st Class Magistrates, S. 14 ...	21
when compoundable, S. 345 ...	204	Subordination of — to District Judge, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	125
MISDIRECTION—		MURDER—	
Finding and sentence when reversible by reason of — in charge to jury, S. 537 ...	309	Abetment of — by foreign subject in foreign territory not triable in British India, S. 180 <i>note</i> ...	113
Verdict of jury can be altered or reversed on appeal only on ground of —, S. 423 ...	247	Charges of —, S. 221, 223, 225, 239, <i>ills.</i> ...	144, 145, 146, 153
MISTAKE—		Charge of — and culpable homicide, Sched. V. No. XXVIII (I) & (II) 2 ...	306-7
Amendment of wrong verdict, delivered by —, S. 304 ...	196	Charge of — need not set out manner of committing it, S. 223 <i>ills.</i> (c) ...	145
Insufficient sureties accepted by —, S. 501 ...	290	Death by —, <i>see</i> DEATH.	
MIXED JURY—		Facts in issue on a trial for —, <i>Evid.</i> Act, S. 5, <i>ills.</i> (a) ...	404
for trial of European British Subject, S. 451 ...	261	Offence of dacoity with —, where triable, S. 181 ...	113
		" " thuggee ditto, S. 181 ...	113

	PAGE		PAGE
MUTE—		NEGLECT—	
Procedure when accused is — of malice, or <i>ex visitatione Dei</i> , S. 272	172	to maintain wife or child, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE .	
note	172		
MUTILATION—		NEW INQUIRY—	
of official registers forbidden, S. 264	167	Power of High Court or District Magistrate to order —, S. 350	208
note	167		
MYMENSINGH—		NEW TRIAL—	
Bench of Magistrates in —, S. 15	21	Power of High Court or District Magistrate to order —, S. 350	208
note	21	Power of High Court to order — when sentence submitted for confirmation, S. 376	222
N.		Power of Sessions Court to order — on submission of sentence for confirmation, S. 380	228
NAME—		When — may be directed, on alteration of charge, S. 229	147
Endorsement on warrant of arrest of executing officer's —, S. 79	50	NON-APPEARANCE—	
Endorsement of — of Magistrate or Commissioner of Police on warrant of arrest, S. 83	51	of complainant in summons-cases, S. 247	155
Endorsement of — of Magistrate or Police officer on warrant of arrest, S. 83	51		
Investigation into suspected cognizable offence may be dispensed with if case not serious and offender's — is known, S. 157	91	NON-BAILABLE OFFENCE—	
Offence how stated in charge when it has no specific —, S. 221	143	defined, S. 4 (r)	16
of complainant and accused to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263	166	Certain persons bound to give information concerning —, S. 45	35
of complainant and accused to be recorded in Presidency Magistrate's judgment, S. 370	220	Direction of warrant to landholders &c., for arrest of person accused of —, S. 78	50
Specific — of offence sufficient description for charge, S. 221	143	When bail may be taken in case of —, S. 497	289
NARRATIVE—		NON-COGNIZABLE CASE—	
Evidence ordinarily to be recorded in the form of a —, Ss. 359, 362	214	defined, S. 4 (g)	16
NATIONALITY—		Investigation of — by police, S. 155	90
of European British Subject must be proved, S. 4 (u) note	16	Information as to —, <i>ib.</i>	90
NATIVE—		NON-COGNIZABLE OFFENCE—	
Trial of European British Subject jointly with —, S. 453	262	defined, S. 4 (g)	16
NATIVE STATES—		Person suspected of —, how to be treated by police, S. 67	43
Commission for examining witnesses residing in —, S. 503	291	Police cannot investigate without special order, S. 57 note, S. 155	43, 90
Jurisdiction of High Courts over European British Subjects in —, S. 188	116	Procedure by Police on receipt of information concerning, S. 155	90
NATURALIZED—		Refusal to give name and residence by committer of —, S. 57	43
Certain persons — in certain places are European British Subjects, S. 4 (v)	16	NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES—	
NAVIGATION—		Certain Railway officers in — exempt from service as jurors or assessors, S. 320 note	192
Preventing removal of or injury to mark used for —, S. 162	89	Form of oath for jurors in —, S. 281 note	175
NAVY—		" " " interpreters in —, S. 543 note	214
Power of police to arrest suspected deserters from —, S. 54	39	" " " witnesses in —, <i>Appendix</i>	400-1
		High Court of — is a "High Court" as regards proceedings against European British Subjects, S. 4 (i)	16
		Inquests in —, S. 174 note	167
		Number of which jury to consist in —, S. 274 note	172

	PAGE
NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, <i>Continued</i>—	
Powers of Magistrates in — to take cognizance of offences, S. 191 <i>note</i>	118
" " " " to try summarily, S. 260 <i>note</i>	163
What Magistrates in — may hear appeals, S. 407 <i>note</i>	241
NOTICE—	
Affixing — of order for removal of nuisance, S. 134	74, 75
of admission of appeal by Appellate Court, S. 422	246
" sittings of High Court, S. 335	195
" transfer of case to be given to complainants and witnesses, S. 170	100
" hearing of objections to list of jurors and assessors for Court of Session, S. 323	193
" time of pronouncing judgment, S. 366	217
" intention to break open <i>zenana</i> to effect arrest, S. 48	37
Restoration of attached property on absconder proving insufficient —, S. 89	54
to be given of order for removal of nuisance being made absolute, S. 140	77
to Public Prosecutor of application for transfer of case, S. 526	302
NOTIFICATION—	
Appointment of Justices of the Peace for the Mofussil to be by —, S. 22	24
also of Justices of the Peace for Presidency Towns, S. 23	24, 25
by proclamation of order for removal of nuisance, on failure of service, S. 124	74
Breaking open premises to effect arrest after —, S. 48	37
of commitment to Court of Session when to be made, S. 218	139
" prolongation of order in urgent case of nuisance, S. 144	80
" substance of warrant of arrest, S. 80	50
" transfer of case by G. G. in C., S. 527	304
published under repealed Acts saved, S. 2	14
NUISANCE, PUBLIC—	
Defined, S. 133 <i>note</i>	73
What Magistrates may issue conditional order for removal of — and when, S. 133	72
Such order cannot be questioned by any Civil Court, <i>ib.</i>	73
Powers of Magistrates in Madras and Bombay Presidencies and in Punjab in cases of —, <i>ib. note</i>	73

	PAGE
NUISANCE, PUBLIC, <i>Continued</i>—	
Order may be issued against any Company, or Association or other body — of persons, S. 133	73
Magistrate having once issued conditional order cannot proceed summarily, <i>ib.</i>	73
The order should simply direct removal of the —, <i>ib.</i>	74
In the case of a tank, how the — should be removed, <i>ib.</i>	74
Conditional order cannot issue unless the place is undoubtedly a public place, <i>ib.</i>	74
No length of enjoyment can legalise a —, <i>ib.</i>	74
Prosecution for a —, <i>ib.</i>	74
Suit to declare land, the subject of an order for removal of —, to be private land, <i>ib.</i>	74
Suit against persons who institute proceedings for removal &c. of —, <i>ib.</i>	74
Service of conditional order, S. 134	74-5
absence of service in certain cases no ground for interference with order, <i>ib. note</i>	75
Person served to obey the order, or show cause, or apply for a jury, S. 135	75
application for appointment of jury to be stamped, <i>ib. note</i>	75
Mago. bound to appoint jury if applied for, <i>ib.</i>	75
Failure to obey, order when made absolute, S. 136	75
when person may be prosecuted for disobedience, S. 136	75
Sanction for prosecution necessary, <i>ib. note</i>	75
Procedure when person appears and shows cause, S. 137	75
Mago. bound to hear him if he appears before hearing of case, S. 136 <i>note</i>	75
Stay of proceedings, Ss. 137, 139	75, 77
Evidence how taken, S. 137	76
<i>note</i>	76
If Mago. omits to take evidence, order will be set aside, <i>ib.</i>	76
Order when made absolute, S. 137	75
Procedure when person claims jury, S. 138	76
Jury must be regularly appointed, <i>ib. note</i>	76
Jury how to be selected, <i>ib.</i>	76
Parties and witnesses should not be jurors, <i>ib.</i>	76

NUISANCE, PUBLIC, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE	NUISANCE, PUBLIC, <i>Concluded</i> —	PAGE
Appointment of fresh juror or jury, S. 138 ...	77	open to revision unless beyond Magistrate's jurisdiction, S. 144 ...	80
Stay of proceedings, S. 139 ...	77	Legality of order how tested, <i>ib.</i> ...	80
Objections to verdict of jury when to be enquired into, S. 139 <i>note</i> ...	77	Party aggrieved should petition Mags. or Local Govt., <i>ib.</i> ...	80
Jurors to exercise each his own understanding, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	or may file a suit, <i>ib.</i> ...	80
Jurors to meet and consider, and act separately, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	Order in certain cases cannot issue, <i>ib.</i> ...	80, 81
when Mags. bound to accept verdict of jury, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	Order cannot issue in case of religious processions, <i>ib.</i> ...	81
order when made absolute, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	Information on which Magistrate acts need not be on the record, <i>ib.</i> ...	80
Procedure on failure of applicant to appoint jury, or on omission of jury to return verdict, S. 141 ...	78	Order must be only for a particular occasion, and not for a continuance, <i>ib.</i> ...	81
Magistrate bound to consider report of jury, even if made after prescribed time, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	78	Order cannot be of an irrevocable nature, <i>ib.</i> ...	81
Procedure on order being made absolute, S. 140... ..	77	such as to cut down trees, <i>ib.</i> ...	81
order how carried into effect, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	Proceedings in certain cases of — may be called for by superior Courts, S. 435 ...	254
disobedience to order how punished, <i>ib.</i> ...	77	Proceedings void if Magistrate not empowered acts under Ss. 133, 143 144 as regards a —, S. 530, (g), (h), (i) ...	30
No suit lies for acts done in good faith, S. 140 ...	78	Forms of orders regarding —, Sched. V. Nos. XVI to XXI. ...	383-4
When injunction may be made pending inquiry under S. 133, S. 143 ...	78, 79	NUMBER OF JURY—	
Procedure on injunction being disobeyed, <i>ib.</i> ...	79	in trials, before High Court, S. 274 ...	172
No suit lies against Magistrate for acts done in consequence of disobedience of injunction, <i>ib.</i> ...	79	„ before Court of Session, <i>ib.</i> ...	172
Fee for injunction, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	79	and <i>note</i> ...	172
Effect of further inquiry being ordered after issue of injunction, <i>ib.</i> ...	79	in nuisance-cases, S. 138... ..	76
Order forbidding repetition or continuance of any — may be made by what Magistrates, S. 143... ..	79	O.	
No appeal lies against such order, S. 143 <i>note</i> , S. 435 ...	79, 254	OATH—	
Penalty for repeating or continuing —, S. 143 <i>note</i> ...	79	Complainant to be examined upon —, S. 200 ...	127
Order absolute may in certain cases at once issue, S. 144 ...	79	but need not be, before Presidency Magistrate <i>ib.</i> ...	127
may be passed <i>ex-parte</i> if cannot be served in due time, <i>ib.</i> ...	79	Forms of —s and affirmations, Act X 1873, S. 7 ...	400-2
may be directed to individual or to public, <i>ib.</i> ...	80	Court may tender extraordinary —, <i>ib.</i> S. 8 ...	399
may be rescinded, <i>ib.</i> ...	80	Indian —s Act X of 1873, <i>Appendix</i> ...	398-402
not to remain in force more than 3 months unless specially directed by Local Govt., <i>ib.</i> ...	80	Information to Police officer not to be upon —, S. 154 <i>note</i> ...	89
Fee for order, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	80	No — to be administered to accused, S. 342 and Act X, 1873, S. 6 ...	290, 399
if regularly passed, order not		Official oaths abolished, Act X 1873, S. 16 ...	400
		Omission to take — or affirmation does not invalidate proceedings, <i>ib.</i> S. 13 <i>note</i> ...	400
		Party or witness may take extraordinary —, <i>ib.</i> S. 9 ...	399
		When affirmation to be made instead of —, <i>ib.</i> S. 6 ...	399

	PAGE		PAGE
OBJECTION—		OFFENCES—	
Effect of — made to irregular commitment, S. 532 ...	307	what — are warrant-cases, S. 4 (s) ...	16
to jurisdiction, when to be taken, S. 192 <i>note</i> ...	119	and what summons-cases, S. 4 (t) ...	16
to jurors in High Court, without stating grounds, S. 277 ...	173	meaning of "offence" in Code, S. 4 (p) ...	16
" " on what grounds may be allowed, S. 278 ...	173-4	" " " in Penal Code, S. 44 <i>note</i> ...	35
" " decision of —, S. 279 ...	174	certain acts are not —, S. 132 ...	72
supplying new jurors when — allowed, <i>ib.</i> ...	174	Bailable — defined, S. 4 (r) ...	16
" " new jurors to be chosen subject to — <i>ib.</i> ...	174	under I. P. O., how to be tried, S. 5 ...	17
to jurors may be taken by accused or by prosecutor; grounds to be stated, S. 277 ...	173	" " by what Courts to be tried, S. 24 ...	25, 26
to list of jurors and assessors, Ss. 323, 324 ...	193	" other laws how to be tried, S. 5 ...	17
to trial with assessors of case triable by jury, S. 536 ...	309	" " by whom may be tried, S. 29 ...	26
Trial by same jury or assessors of several offenders in succession to be subject to right of —, S. 272 ...	172	" special or local law, punishable with fine only, by whom can be tried, S. 29 <i>note</i> ...	26
OBSCENE BOOKS—		" Act III 1877 or Act IV 1879, by what Magistrates triable, <i>ib.</i> ...	26
Destruction of —, S. 521 ...	299	not punishable, with death may be tried by District Mago. specially empowered, S. 20 ...	26, 27
OBSTRUCTION—		Trial of petty — in military bazars occupied by troops of Bombay and Madras Presidencies, not affected by the Code, S. 1 (h) ...	18
Conditional order for removal of — in public place &c., S. 133 ...	72	punishable with whipping, S. 32 ...	28
of a drain, Magistrate cannot order removal of —, S. 133 <i>note</i> S. 147 <i>note</i> ...	74, 87	Sentence and conviction of several — at one trial, S. 35 ...	30
to Police officer in execution of his duty renders liable to arrest without warrant, S. 64 ...	38	Public bound to give information of commission of, or intention to commit certain —, S. 43 ...	34
to persons lawfully employed, issue of order in urgent case of nuisance for prevention of —, S. 144 ...	79	unnatural —, Duties of Police in investigating, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	105
to Public servant, charge of —, S. 223 III. (d) ...	145	When Magistrate may arrest for — committal in his presence, S. 64 ...	45
to private path is not a public nuisance, S. 133 <i>note</i> ...	74	Prevention of —, Ss. 106—126 ...	60-70
to public roads &c., prevention of — by Police, <i>Appendix</i> ...	473	Punishment for refusal to sign information of —, S. 154 <i>note</i> ...	90
OCCUPANT—		Procedure in case of certain — affecting the administration of justice, <i>see</i> SANCTION.	
Presence, at search, of — of place searched, S. 163 ...	59	Non-bailable —, defined, S. 4 (r) ...	16
OCCUPATION—		" " to be reported to nearest Magistrate, S. 45 ...	35
Conditional order for suppression &c. of any injurious —, S. 133 ...	72	" " arrest of person committing cognisable &c. —, S. 59 ...	43
OCCUPIER—		" " warrant for arrest of person accused of —, who has eluded pursuit, S. 78 ...	57
of land and his agent, bound to report certain matters, S. 45 ...	35	No Magistrate or Police officer bound to say where he got information of —, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 125 ...	444
Further obligation on owner or — of land, <i>ib. note</i> ...	36	to be specified in judgment, S. 267 ...	217
		Tabular Statement of —, <i>Sched. II.</i> ...	323-376
		Cognisable —, defined, S. 4 (q) ...	16
		" " any person concerned in — may be arrested by Police without warrant, S. 54 ...	38

OFFENCES, Continued—	PAGE
Cognizable —, arrest of persons committing non-bailable and —, S. 59 ...	43
" " Every Police officer bound to do his best to prevent commission of —, S. 149 ...	88
" " Information of design to commit — to be given by every Police officer to his superior or other Police officer with jurisdiction, S. 150 ...	88
" " when Police may arrest to prevent commission of —, S. 151 ...	89
" " Procedure on receipt of information of — given to officer in charge Police Station, S. 154 ...	89
" " Information not to be on oath; if false, how punishable, S. 154 note ...	89
" " Investigation into —, see POLICE.	
" " complaint in writing regarding — needs no stamp, S. 200 note	127
Non-Cognizable —, defined, S. 4 (g)...	16
" " when Police may arrest for —, S. 57 ...	43
" " Procedure on receipt of information of — by officer in charge Police station, S. 155 ...	90
" " Police to investigate only when ordered by certain Magistrates, <i>ib.</i> ...	90
" " Powers of police when investigating —, <i>ib.</i> ...	90
" " Court fees how recoverable on conviction for —, S. 245 note ..	154
Cognizance of —, what Magistrates can take —, and when, S. 191 and note ...	117-8
" " How Sessions Judge can take —, S. 193 ...	120
" " How High Court can take —, S. 238 note, S. 194 ...	23, 120

OFFENCES, Continued—	PAGE
Cognizance of —, offences cognizable by each Court, Ss. 23-30 25-2'	
" " Sanction necessary for the prosecution of certain —, see SANCTION.	
Complaint in writing of cognizable — needs no stamp, S. 200 note ...	127
of non-cognizable — needs 8 anna stamp, <i>ib.</i> ...	127
Inquiry into — triable by High Court or Court of Session, see INQUIRY.	
Charge to state — specifically by name, or else by definition, S. 221 ...	143
Trials of distinct — to be separate, S. 233 ...	148
When trial of three — of same kind may be together, S. 234 ..	148
when — one of the same kind, <i>ib.</i> ...	148
whether — against different persons are of same kind, <i>ib.</i> note ...	148
Trial for more than one, or for — coming within one transaction &c., S. 235 ...	149
When doubtful what — has been committed, S. 236 ...	150, 151
When accused, charged with one —, may be convicted of another, S. 237	151
Conviction for — included in — charged, S. 238 ..	151
Conviction for abetment illegal on charge of — itself, S. 238 note ...	152
What persons may be charged and tried jointly for —, S. 239 ...	152
What — may be tried summarily and by whom, Ss. 260, 261 ...	162-164
Magistrate cannot alter — charged so as to make it triable summarily, S. 260 note ...	163
What — triable by jury, S. 269 and note 163-9	
objection to juror on ground of conviction for an —, S. 278 (f) ...	174
Compounding —, what offences may be compounded and by whom, S. 345 ...	204-5
" certain — may be compounded with permission of the Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	204
" abetments and attempts of such — are also compoundable, <i>ib.</i> ...	205
" who may compound — for minor, idiot, or lunatic, <i>ib.</i> ...	205

	PAGE		PAGE
OFFENCES, Continued—		OFFENCES, Concluded—	
Compounding —, composition of —		<i>Place of inquiry into or trial of offences,</i>	
has effect of acquittal of accused, S. 345 ...	205	<i>Concluded—</i>	
" " only the — specified in S. 345		Provisions as to reception of evidence in such cases, S. 189 ...	116
" " are compoundable, S. <i>ib.</i> ...	205	In case of doubt as to place of trial &c., High Court to decide, S. 185 ...	115
<i>Place of inquiry into or trial of offences—</i>		who is a "High Court" in this respect in British Burmah, <i>ib.</i> ...	115
ordinarily to be tried where, S. 177	111		
committed by British Soldiers, <i>ib.</i>			
<i>note</i> ...	111	OFFENDER—	
Local Govt. may direct any cases or classes of cases to be tried or committed for trial in any Sessions Division, S. 178 ...	111	Commencement of new sentence on — already sentenced for another offence, S. 397 ...	235
Triable in any district where any act towards it has been done, or any consequence has ensued, S. 179	111	Detention of — attending Court, S. 351 ...	208
Interpretation of rule, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	112	Discharge of — on submission or apology in certain cases of contempt, S. 484 ...	279
Homicide, grievous hurt and extortion where triable, S. 179 <i>III.</i> ...	111-2	Habitual —, security for good behaviour from, <i>see</i> SECURITY.	
Rule for deciding in which of several districts — should be tried, S. 179 <i>note</i> , S. 182 <i>note</i> ...	112-114	" " when to be committed for trial, S. 348 ...	206
where triable when act is an — by reason of its relation to another offence, S. 180 ...	112	not necessarily to be sent to higher Court for trial when charged with several offences, S. 35 ...	30
Abetment, receiving stolen property, concealing kidnapped person, where triable, S. 180 <i>III.</i> ...	112	not to be excused under S. 397 from punishment to which liable upon former or subsequent convictions, S. 398 ...	236
Thuggee, thuggee and murder, dacoity, dacoity with murder, escape from custody, criminal misappropriation, breach of trust, theft, where triable, S. 181 ...	113	Production before Magistrate of — in respect of stolen property, forged documents &c., S. 98 ...	57
When scene of — uncertain, or not in one district only, or — continuing, or consists of several acts, S. 182 ...	113	Proclaimed —, <i>see</i> PROCLAIMED OFFENDER.	
committed on journey or voyage, S. 183 ...	114	Pursuit of — into other jurisdictions, S. 98 ...	43
Journey must be a continuous one, from one terminus to another, and in British India, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	114	Reward for apprehension of —, S. 87 <i>note</i> ...	53
against Railway, Post office, Telegraph, and Arms Acts, triable in Presidency Town, wherever committed, S. 184 ...	114	OFFENSIVE WEAPONS—	
committed beyond local jurisdiction where triable, S. 186 ...	115	Seizure and disposal of — found on persons arrested, S. 53 ...	36
Procedure when warrant issued by Subordinate Mag., S. 187 ...	116	OFFICER—	
committed beyond British India, where triable, S. 188 ...	116	Civil —, duties of — in Railway accidents S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	108-9
Power of Magistrate in case of —, S. 188 <i>note</i> ...	115	" " superior in rank to District Magistrate, exempted from serving as juror or assessor, S. 326 ...	191
		Commanding —, <i>see</i> MILITARY.	
		Military —, <i>see</i> MILITARY.	
		Police —, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
		Revenue —, <i>see</i> REVENUE.	
		Village Police —, <i>see</i> BOMBAY.	
		OMISSION—	
		A punishable — is an "offence," S. 4 (p) ...	16
		in charge, effect of —, how and when may be rectified, S. 226 ..	146

	PAGE		PAGE
OMISSION, Concluded—		ORDER—	
in charge, or proceedings; finding, sentence or order when reversible by reason of —, S. 537 ...	309	Copy of —, <i>see</i> COPY.	
of jury to return verdict in nuisance-case, procedure on —, S. 141 ...	73	Effect of — made under repealed Acts, S. 2 ...	14
to ask person whether he is an European British subject, effect of —, S. 534 ...	308	for disposal of property produced at trial, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.	
to frame charge, effect of —, S. 535 ...	309	for suppression &c. of nuisances, <i>see</i> NUISANCE.	
to mention previous conviction in charge, may be rectified at any time before sentence, S. 221 ...	144	in dispute regarding easement, <i>see</i> EASEMENT.	
Words referring to acts done, extend also to illegal —, S. 4 ...	17	in writing required for arrest by Subordinate to Police officer in charge of a station, S. 56 ...	42
		No appeal lies against — fining assessor, S. 332 <i>note</i> ...	195
		of commitment, when to be made, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
ONUS—		of Reward, <i>see</i> REWARD.	
of proof in charge against proclaimed absconder, S. 69 <i>note</i> ...	54	to maintain wife or child, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE.	
<i>See also</i> PROOF.		Verbal — directing accused to appear is legal, S. 344 <i>note</i> ...	203
		What Police officer may issue — to produce document &c., S. 94 ...	56
OPINION—		ORDINARY—	
as to handwriting, Evid. Act, S. 47 ...	426	powers of Magistrates, S. 36 and Sched. III ...	32 377
" " existence of right or custom, <i>ib.</i> S. 48 ...	426	place of inquiry or trial, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
" " usages, tenets, words &c., <i>ib.</i> S. 49 ...	426	OUTH—	
Difference of — at Session of Provincial Benches of Magistrates how settled, S. 16 ...	22	All Deputy Commissioners in — vested with special powers, S. 30 and <i>note</i> 26, 27	
also of Presidency Benches, S. 21 ...	24	Rules for regulating expenses of witnesses &c. in —, S. 544 <i>note</i> ...	318
" " between officers hearing objections to list of jurors and assessors, S. 324 ...	193	OWNER—	
" " between Judges in case submitted for confirmation of sentence, S. 378 ...	223	or occupier of land, or his agent, bound to report certain matters, S. 45 ...	35
" " between Judges of appellate Court, S. 429 ...	253	Further obligations on such persons, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	36
" " between Judges of High Court sitting in Revision, S. 439 ...	256		
Grounds of — when relevant, Evid. Act, S. 51 ...	427	P.	
of assessors, delivery and record of —, S. 309 ...	188-9	PANJAB—	
" " Judge not bound to conform to —, <i>ib.</i> ...	189	All first class Magos. in — can transfer cases to Subordinate Magos., S. 193 <i>note</i> ...	119
of experts, who are experts, Evid. Act, S. 45 ...	425	Applications for remission or suspension of sentence in — to go to Govt. through Chief Court, S. 401 <i>note</i> ...	237
" " is relevant, <i>ib.</i> ...	425	area for selection of jurors &c. in —, S. 321 <i>note</i> ...	11
" " when facts bearing upon — are relevant, <i>ib.</i> S. 46 ...	425	Case of lunatic-accused in — to be reported to Govt. through Commissioner, S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	271
of Judge on question of fact or of mixed fact and law, may be expressed to jury, S. 298 ...	182	Chief Court, form of reference to, S. 438 <i>note</i> ...	256
		" " when it is a "High Court," S. 266 ...	163
		" " evidence how to be recorded in, S. 365 ...	317
		Certain Benches of Magos. in — have power to try summarily, S. 261 <i>note</i> ...	165
ORAL EVIDENCE—			
<i>see</i> EVIDENCE.			

	PAGE
PANJAB, Concluded—	
Date and place of trial fixed by Sessions Judge in —, S. 218 note	140
Form of oath for jurors, assessors and interpreters in —, S. 281 note, Act X, 1873, S. 7	174, 400-1
Number of which jury to consist in —, S. 274 note	172
Places of imprisonment in —, S. 541 note	313
Powers of District Magistrates in —, S. 30	26
Powers of Magos. in — in nuisance cases, S. 133 note	73
" " " in case of offence committed beyond jurisdiction, S. 186 note	115
" " " to commit for trial, S. 206 note	132
" " " to take cognizance of offences, S. 191 note	118
Powers of Police regarding dict of witnesses, <i>see</i> WITNESSES.	
Register of witnesses in —, S. 359 note	213-4
Rules for fixing Sessions in —, S. 270 note	170
" " levy of fine in —, S. 357 note	227-8
" " regulating expenses of witnesses &c. in —, S. 544 note	318-9
" under Prisoner's Testimony Act in —, Act XV, 1869, S. 18	460
" to be observed in making commitments to Sessions Court in —, S. 218 note	140-2
When assessors in — may get expenses, S. 326 note	194
PARDON—	
Tender of — to accomplice, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
PARENTAGE—	
of accused, to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 (c)	166
" " when to be recorded in Presidency Magistrate's judgment, S. 370 (d)	220
PARTIALITY—	
is a ground for objection to a juror, S. 278	173
PARTICULARS—	
as to manner of committing offence, when to be stated in charge, S. 223	146
as to time, place, and person to be stated in charge, S. 222	145

	PAGE
PARTICULARS, Concluded—	
Effect of omission to state particulars in charge, S. 225	145
mentioned in S. 263, to be embodied in summary trials when appeal lies, S. 264	167
of offence, to be stated to accused in summons-cases, S. 242	153
to be recorded by Presidency Magistrate instead of judgment, S. 370	220
to be recorded in summary trials when no appeal lies, S. 263	165-6
PARTIES—	
Examination of witness on commission by —, S. 505	293
Evidence taken on commission to be open to inspection of —, S. 507	293
Optional with Court of Revision to hear —, S. 440	368
Transfer of case by High Court &c. for convenience of —, S. 527	304
PATH—	
Magistrate cannot interfere to re-open a private —, S. 133 note	74
PAYMENT—	
for compensation to be taken into account in subsequent civil suit, S. 546	320
of expenses of local inquiry into dispute concerning land, S. 148	88
of rent to absconder, order prohibiting —, S. 88	52
to innocent purchaser of money found on prisoner, S. 519	329
PEACE—	
Assembly of 5 or more persons likely to cause a breach of the — <i>see</i> ASSEMBLY.	
Breach of —, Public when bound to assist Magistrates and Police to prevent, S. 42	33
" " Disputes as to immoveable property likely to cause a — <i>see</i> PROPERTY.	
" " Insult intended to provoke a — (S. 504 I. P. C.) is compoundable, S. 345	204
Justices of —, <i>see</i> JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.	
Security for keeping the —, <i>see</i> SECURITY.	
PENAL CODE—	
(Acts XLV of 1860, XXVII of 1870, VIII of 1892.)	
Definitions in the — to apply to this Code, S. 4	17
Offences under the — may be tried by what Courts, S. 28	26, 28
Offences under the — to be tried under this Code, S. 5	17
Chapter VIII—S. 269 note	168-9
" IX—S. 197 note	126

	PAGE
PENAL CODE, Continued—	
Chapter XI—S. 269 note...	168-9
" XII—S. 269 note, S. 246	168-9, 206
" XVI—S. 269 note	168-9
" XVII—S. 260 note, S. 269	
note, S. 248	164, 168-9, 206
" XVIII—S. 269 note	168-9
" XIX—S. 198	126
" XXI— <i>ib.</i>	126
Section 11—S. 98 note, S. 183 note	58, 73, 74
" 12—S. 98 note	58
" 19—S. 197 note	124
" 21— <i>ib.</i>	124
" 26—S. 100 note	59
" 28—S. 98 note	58
" 29— <i>ib.</i>	58
" 44—S. 98 note	58
" 52—S. 182 note, S. 140 note	72, 78
" 53—S. 32 note	28
" 54—S. 403 note	238
" 55— <i>ib.</i>	238
" 59—S. 35 note	31
Sections 64 to 67—S. 38 note	28
" 68 to 70—S. 386 note	226
Section 71—S. 85 note, S. 235	31, 149
" 72—S. 286 note	151
" 73—S. 32 note	28
" 74— <i>ib.</i>	28
" 75—{ S. 511 note	295
{ S. 248 note	206
" 84—S. 470 note	272
" 95—S. 203 note	129
" 99—S. 42 note, S. 46 note	34, 36
" 109—S. 35 note	32
" 114—Evid. Act, S. 30 note	416
" 121—S. 44 and note	34, 35
" 121A	
" 123	
" 123	
" 124	
" 124A	
" 125	
" 126	
" 127—S. 198	124
" 130—S. 44 and note	34-35
" 141—S. 42 note, S. 127 note	35, 70
" 142—S. 35 note, S. 127 note	32, 70
Sections 143, 144—S. 260 note	164
Section 146—S. 42 note	35
" 147—S. 85 note, S. 235 note	32, 149-50
" 148—S. 35 note	31
" 149— <i>ib.</i>	32
" 151—S. 42 note	35
" 152—S. 235 note	149-50
" 154—S. 42 note	34, 35
" 155—S. 42 note	34, 37
" 156— <i>b.</i> S. 47 note	34, 37
" 166—Evid. Act, S. 163	453
" 167—S. 233 note, S. 235 note	148, 149
" 172—S. 87 note, S. 89 note	53-4
" 174—S. 87 note, S. 175 note,	
S. 514 note	53, 110, 297

	PAGE
PENAL CODE, Continued—	
Section 175—S. 480	278
" 176—S. 42 note, S. 45 note	35, 36
" 177—S. 45 note	36
" 178—S. 480	278
" 179—S. 175 note, S. 480, S. 484	
note	110, 278, 280
" 180—S. 154 note, S. 480	90, 278
" 182—S. 154 note	89, 90
" 183—S. 35 note	32
" 184—S. 221 note	144
" 187—S. 42 note, S. 47 note,	
S. 78 note	34, 37, 50
" 188—Ss. 136, 140	75, 77
" 191—S. 161 note, S. 175 note	93, 110
" { S. 35 note	32
{ S. 154 note, S. 175	
note	89, 110
" 193—{ S. 195 note, S. 233	
note	122, 148
" 194—S. 195 note, S. 235 note	122, 149
" 196—S. 232 <i>Ill.</i> , S. 235 note	148, 149
" 199—S. 195 note	122
" 200— <i>ib.</i>	122
" 202—S. 42 note	35
Sections 202 to 206—S. 47 note	37
" 205 to 210—S. 195 note	122
" 206, 208—Appendix	492
" { S. 35 note	32
{ S. 154 note	89
Section 211—{ S. 250 note	156
{ S. 235 note	149-50
{ S. 253 note	158
{ S. 255 note	159
Sections 213, 214—S. 345 note	205
Section 217—S. 226	146
Sections 217 to 223—S. 197 note	126
Section 220—S. 54 note	41
" 224—S. 46 note, S. 396 note,	
Appendix	36, 235, 492
" 225—{ S. 35 note, S. 46 note,	
{ S. 47 note	32, 36, 37
{ S. 235 note	149-50
" 228—S. 480	278
Sections 230 to 243—Appendix	492
Section 242—S. 225 <i>Ill. (a)</i>	145
" 268—S. 133 note	73, 74
" 271—S. 54 note	41
Sections 272 to 275—S. 521	298
" 277 to 279—S. 261	164
Section 280—S. 98 note	58
Sections 285, 286—S. 261	164
" 289, 290— <i>ib.</i>	164
" 292, 293—S. 521	297
" 292 to 294—S. 261	164
Section 294A—S. 196	124
" 298—S. 245	204
Sections 299, 300—S. 231 note	144
" 299 to 304—Appendix	492
" 302, 308—S. 44 and note	34, 35

PENAL CODE, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE
Section 304— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 238 note ... 152	
Sections 307, 310 to 317— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 317—S. 235 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 323— { S. 261 ... 164	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
Sections 323 to 333— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 324— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
" 325—S. 238 note ... 152	
" 326—S. 221 note ... 144	
" 328—S. 256 note ... 149-50	
Sections 330, 331 S. 163 note ... 95	
Section 333—S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 334— { S. 345 note ... 204	
{ S. 261 ... 164	
{ S. 221 note ... 144	
" 335— { S. 238 note ... 152	
{ S. 345 note ... 204	
" 336—S. 261 ... 164	
Sections 337, 338 S. 345 ... 204	
Section 339— { S. 54 note ... 41	
{ S. 100 note ... 59	
" 340— { S. 54 note ... 41	
{ S. 100 note ... 59	
{ S. 167 note ... 99	
" 341— { S. 269 note ... 168-9	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
{ S. 261 ... 164	
" 342—S. 345 ... 204	
" 343—S. 35 note ... 32	
" 346—S. 235 note ... 149-50	
Sections 347, 348— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 352— { S. 35 note ... 31	
{ S. 255 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 261 ... 164	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
" 353—S. 35 note ... 32	
" 355—S. 345 note ... 204	
" 358—S. 345 note ... 204	
Sections 360 to 373— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 363— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 366—S. 35 note ... 32	
" 368—S. 35 note ... 32	
" 373—S. 179 note ... 112	
" 374—S. 345 ... 204	
Sections 375 to 414— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 379— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 269 note ... 168-9	
" 380— { S. 35 note ... 31	
{ S. 269 note ... 168-9	
" 381—S. 269 note ... 168-9	
" 382—S. 44 note ... 34, 35	
" 392— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 44 note ... 34, 35	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
Sections 392 to 395—S. 269 note ... 168-9	
Section 393—S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
" 394—S. 235 note ... 149-50	

PENAL CODE, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE
Sections 394 to 399—S. 44 note ... 34, 35	
Section 395—S. 35 note ... 32	
Sections 400 to 402—S. 269 note ... 168-9	
Section 402—S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
Sections 406, 407—S. 238 <i>Ill.</i> ... 151	
Section 410— { S. 54 note ... 39	
{ S. 98 note ... 58	
" 411— { S. 238 note ... 148	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 160 note ... 163	
{ S. 269 note ... 168-9	
" 412— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 235 note ... 152	
{ S. 269 note ... 168-9	
{ Evid. Act, S. 30 note ... 417	
" 413—S. 233 note ... 148	
" 414— { S. 235 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 269 note ... 168-9	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 426— { S. 261 ... 164	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
{ S. 260 note ... 164	
" 427—S. 345 ... 204	
" 429—S. 35 note ... 32	
Sections 430 to 434—S. 152 note ... 89	
Section 435— { S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
Sections 435 to 440— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 436— { S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
Sections 443 to 446— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 445—S. 235 note ... 152	
" 447— { S. 235 note ... 149-50	
{ S. 261 ... 164	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
" 448— { S. 35 note ... 31	
{ S. 345 ... 204	
{ S. 235 note ... 152	
Sections 449, 450 S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
" 451 to 458 S. 269 note ... 168-9	
Section 451—S. 235 note ... 149-50	
Sections 456 to 460—S. 44 and note ... 34, 35	
Section 457— { S. 35 note ... 31	
{ S. 260 note ... 163	
" 461— { S. 35 note ... 31	
{ S. 260 note ... 168-9	
" 463— { S. 98 note ... 58	
{ S. 195 note ... 123	
" 464—S. 94 note ... 58	
Sections 464 to 468— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 466— { S. 233 note ... 148	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 470—S. 98 note ... 58	
Sections 471 to 477— <i>Appendix</i> ... 492	
Section 471— { S. 35 note ... 32	
{ S. 195 note ... 123	
{ S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 473—S. 235 note ... 149-50	
" 474—S. 35 note ... 32	
Sections 474 to 477—S. 195 note ... 123	
" 490 to 493—S. 345 ... 204	
" 493 to 496—S. 198 ... 128	

	PAGE		PAGE
PENAL CODE, <i>Concluded</i>—		PETTY SESSIONS—	
Sections 497, 498—	{ S. 35 <i>note</i> ... 32 { S. 199 _a ... 126 { S. 135 <i>note</i> 149-50 { S. 345 ... 204	Bombay Court of —, S. 20 ... 24	
Section 500—S. 345 ...	204	PHOTOGRAPHY—	
Sections 501, 502—	{ S. 345 ... 204 { S. 521 ... 297	included in definition of "Writing,"	
Section 504—S. 345 ...	204	S. 4 (e) ... 15	
" 506—	{ S. 163 <i>note</i> ... 95 { S. 235 <i>III.</i> ... 149 { S. 345 ... 204	PLACE—	
" 511—S. 235 <i>note</i> ...	149-50	defined, S. 4 (w) ... 17	
PENAL SERVITUDE—		of inquiry or trial, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
Convicts sentenced to — how dealt		of arrest, period of detention of arrested persons by police exclusive of term of journey from, S. 61 ... 44	
with, <i>Appendix</i> ...	504-5	of imprisonment, Local Govt. may appoint —, S. 541 ... 312	
is a severer sentence than imprisonment, S. 396 ...	234	Particulars as to — to be stated in charge, S. 222 ... 145	
Commutation of, S. 402 ...	237	Public —, conditional order for removal of nuisance from —, S. 133 ... 73	
Person sentenced to — is not punishable with whipping, S. 393 ...	233	" includes State property, camping grounds &c., <i>ib.</i> ... 73	
PENALTY—		Restriction of search-warrant as to search of —, S. 97 ... 57	
for non-attendance as a juror in the High Court, S. 318 ...	101	Rules as to time and — of sitting of Provincial Magistrates Benches, S. 16 and of Presidency Magos., Benches, S. 21 ... 24	
for non-attendance as juror or assessor in Sessions Court, S. 332 ...	194-5	Search of — suspected to contain stolen property, forged documents &c., S. 98 ... 57	
on Vagrants, <i>Appendix</i> ...	483-4	" " Magistrate can direct — in his own presence, S. 106 ... 60	
PENDING CASES—		" " by police for false weights or measures, S. 153 ... 89	
Code to apply to all — so far as may be, S. 558 ...	326	PLEA—	
PERFORMANCE—		Appeal where accused has been convicted on his own —, S. 412 ... 242	
of order for removal of nuisance, S. 135 ...	75	before High Court and Court of Session, to be recorded, S. 271 ... 170	
PERISHABLE PROPERTY—		High Court and Court of Session may convict on — if guilty, S. 271 ... 170	
of absconders, may be sold whenever Court thinks fit, S. 88 ...	53	in warrant cases, to be recorded by Magistrate, S. 255 ... 169	
regarding which offence committed, disposal of —, S. 517 ...	298	Magistrate may convict on, <i>ib.</i> ... 159	
Power to sell —, S. 525 ...	301	No inference to be drawn from any —, unless it amounts to a distinct confession, S. 255 <i>note</i> ... 159	
PERMISSION—		Procedure by High Court or Court of Sessions, failing —, S. 272 ... 171-2	
to conduct prosecution, when necessary, S. 495 ...	287	to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 (g) ... 166	
PERPETUAL INJUNCTION—		to be recorded in Presy. Magos.'s judgment, 370 (f) ... 220	
Order as to public nuisance not to be of nature of —, S. 144 <i>note</i> ...	81	to jurisdiction in case of European British Subject, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.	
PERSON—		PLEADER—	
what the term — includes, S. 133 <i>note</i> ...	73	defined, S. 4 (n) ... 15	
PERSONAL—		Accused must plead to the charge with his own mouth and not through his —, S. 271 <i>note</i> ... 171	
attendance of accused, S. 205 and <i>note</i> ...	131-2		
interest of Judge or Magistrate in a case is a bar to his trying it, S. 535 ...	323		
PETITION—			
District Magr. may delegate to Subordinate Magr. power to hear —, S. 12 <i>note</i> ...	19		
of appeal, Ss. 419-430 ...	244-5		
PETTY CASES—			
No appeal in —, S. 413 ...	242-3		
except when two or more sentences are combined, S. 415 ...	243		

	PAGE		PAGE
PLEADER, <i>Concluded</i>—		POLICE, <i>Continued</i>—	
Any accused has a right to be defended by a —, S. 340 ...	199	<i>Arrest by Police Officers, Continued—</i>	
Costs incurred for Pleader's fees in disputes as to land and easements, S. 148 ...	88	Powers of — to arrest without warrant, S. 53 ...	38
Evidence to be taken in presence of accused or his —, S. 353 ...	209	Powers of — to arrest Europeans without warrant, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	39
" to be read over to accused or his —, S. 360 ...	214	Power to arrest offenders under Railway and Arms Acts, <i>ib</i> ...	39
" to be interpreted to accused or his —, S. 361 ...	214	Power to arrest for certain offences in municipalities in N. W. P. and Oudh, <i>ib</i> ...	40
Government —, is <i>ex officio</i> . Public Prosecutor in Bengal, S. 493 <i>note</i> ...	286	Power to arrest in cases of cruelty to animals in Calcutta and its suburbs, <i>ib</i> ...	40
may present petition of appeal, S. 419 ...	244	Power to arrest in cases of contraband Salt, <i>ib</i> ...	40
not exempt from serving as juror or nassessor in Sessions Courts, S. 320 <i>note</i> ...	102	in cases of certain military offences, <i>ib</i> ...	40
not to disclose professional communication, Evid. Act, S. 126 ...	444	in excise cases in Bengal and Calcutta, <i>ib</i> ...	40
similar provision as to Barrister, Attorney and Vakil, and their clerks, <i>ib</i> ...	444	in excise cases, and in contraband Salt cases in Madras <i>ib</i> ...	40
privately instructed, to act under Public Prosecutor, S. 493 ...	286	in certain offences under Inland Emigration Act, I of 1882, <i>ib</i> ...	40
When judgment may be delivered in presence of accused's —, S. 366 ...	217	Detention of persons arrested by —, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	41
When — with permission of Court, may address the Court in English, S. 289 <i>note</i> , S. 340 <i>note</i> ...	180, 199	Arrest without warrant by subordinate officer in charge — Station, S. 56 ...	42
When accused may be allowed to appear by —, S. 205 ...	131	When — may arrest for non-cognizable offence, S. 57 ...	42
		Duty of — arresting without warrant, S. 60 ...	42
POISONING —		Detention of persons arrested without warrant by —, S. 61 ...	44
Duties of Police in investigating cases of suspected —, <i>see</i> POLICE.		to report all cases of arrest without warrant, S. 62 ...	44-5
		Warrant of arrest when to be directed to —, S. 77 ...	49, 50
POLICE—		Warrant directed to one — officer may be executed by another, S. 79 ...	50
Act V. of 1881, <i>Appendix</i> ...	468-77	Warrant directed to — for execution outside jurisdiction, S. 84 ...	51
Acts, certain offences against — triable summarily, S. 261 ...	161	Any — officer can arrest member of unlawful assembly, S. 127 <i>note</i> ...	70, 71
Additional —, may be employed where, S. 127 <i>note</i> and <i>Appendix</i> ...	71, 470-1	Any — officer may arrest to prevent commission of cognizable offence, S. 151 ...	80
Appointment of — officers, <i>Appendix</i> ...	469	Any — officer may arrest without warrant a person, the suspension or remission of whose sentence has been cancelled, S. 401 ...	287
Form of appointment, <i>ib</i> ...	477	<i>Canterment —, see</i> CANTONMENT.	
<i>Arrest by Police Officers—</i>		Code does not apply to Commission of — in Presidency Towns, S. 1 (a) ...	12
Powers of — having authority to arrest, <i>see</i> ARREST.		or to — in towns of Calcutta and Bombay <i>ib</i> ...	12
When — can forcibly enter a place to arrest an accused, S. 44 ...	37	special provisions of Code applying to police of towns of Calcutta and Bombay, S. 1 ...	12
Punishment of — for violence to person under arrest, S. 50 <i>note</i> , S. 163 <i>note</i> ...	38, 95		
Power to search persons under arrest, Ss. 51, 52 ...	38		
Power to seize offensive weapons on person under arrest, S. 53 ...	38		
Disposal of property found on person arrested, S. 523 ...	300		
Disposal of unclaimed property taken charge of by —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	472-3		
Abuse by — of powers of arrest, <i>see</i> ARREST.			

	PAGE		PAGE
POLICE, Continued—		POLICE, Continued—	
<i>Arrest by Police Officers, Concluded—</i>		<i>Diary—</i>	
or to village — officers in		Diary to be kept at — station,	
Bombay Presy., S. 1 (e) ...	13	S. 154 note and <i>Appendix</i>	90, 476
Ss. 174, 175, 176 of Code do		„ is not substantive evidence	
not apply to — in town of		and cannot be read to	
Madras, S. 1 (e) ...	13	jury, S. 172 note ...	102
<i>Complaints and information to Police—</i>		„ mode of employing, S. 162	
“Complaint” does not include re-		note, S. 172 note ...	94, 102
port of — officer, S. 4 (a) ...	14	Duties and powers of a Court in	
Public bound to give information		regard to diary, <i>ib.</i> ...	182
of certain offences to nearest Ma-		Diary cannot be called for by ac-	
gistrate or — officer, S. 44 ...	34	cused, Evid. Act, S. 159 note ...	452
how to deal with complaints regard-		cannot be forced to refresh memory	
ing cognizable and non-cogniza-		from diary, <i>ib.</i> ...	452
ble offences, see OFFENCE.		See also <i>Investigation by Police,</i>	
False information to — how punish-		<i>infra.</i>	
able, S. 154 note ...	89, 90	<i>District Superintendent of Police—</i>	
Form of first information report		Authority of — over village Police,	
prescribed for <i>ib.</i> ...	90	<i>Appendix</i> ...	477
how to deal with petty complaints,		may offer reward up to Rs. 50 for	
S. 155 note ...	90	arrest of offender, S. 87 note ...	53
Complaint to — in Madras or		to be allowed to inspect records of	
Bombay Presidency may be on		Sessions Court, S. 373 note ...	221
plain paper, <i>ib.</i> ...	90	or Assistant — in Bombay may hold	
Procedure when a complaint is made		certain inquests, S. 176 note ...	110
to a — officer, S. 149 note ...	88	Powers of — and of Assistant — in	
Sanction to prosecute complainant		Bombay as regards nuisance,	
when to be given, S. 195		S. 143 ..	79
note ...	123	Special powers of — and of Assistant	
not necessary if complaint		— in Bombay Presidency, Ss. 83,	
only to — and no further		84 and 86 notes ...	51, 52
proceedings taken, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	<i>Inspector General of Police—</i>	
<i>Conduct of Prosecutions by Police—</i>		Appointment and powers of —, <i>Ap-</i>	
What — officer can conduct prosecu-		<i>pendix</i> ...	469
tion before Sessions Court and		may offer reward up to Rs. 500 for	
before Magistrate, Ss. 402, 405		arrest of offender, S. 87 note ...	53
286, 287		Power of — to make rules, Act V,	
should not prosecute in cases which		1861, S. 12 ...	470
they have not investigated, S. 495		<i>Officer in charge of Police station—</i>	
note ...	287	Police station defined, S. 4 (e) ...	16
Rules for guidance of — in conduct-		Officer in charge of police station	
ing prosecutions in Bengal, <i>ib.</i> ...	288	defined, <i>ib.</i> ...	16
should not conduct prosecutions		his absence or illness pro-	
before Court of Session, S. 270		vided for, <i>ib.</i> ...	16
note ...	19	Certain matters to be reported to	
<i>Detention by Police—</i>		officer in charge nearest	
what constitutes, S. 61 note, S. 167		— station, S. 45 ...	35
note ...	44, 99	Penalty for omission how	
no offence, if detention not continu-		to be enforced, <i>ib.</i> note ...	36
ous, S. 167 note ...	99	Powers of officer in charge — station	
Where person in — custody is to be		to arrest vagabonds, habitual rob-	
confined, <i>ib.</i> ...	99	bers, thieves &c., S. 55 ...	41-2
Prisoner in — custody when to be		may require another officer to search	
handcuffed, <i>ib.</i> ...	99	in certain cases, S. 166 ...	98
Remand to — custody, S. 61 note ...	44	Duties of such officer as regards	
Copy of remand order to — custody		lunatics, S. 55 note, S. 464 note ...	42, 269
to go to what Magistrate, S. 167		Arrest without warrant by subordin-	
note ...	99	ate of such officer, S. 56 ...	42
When person dies in — custody,		When such officer may issue written	
Magistrate bound to hold inquest,		order for production of document	
S. 176 ...	110	or other thing, S. 94 ...	56
who may hold such inquest			
in Bombay, S. 176 note ..	110		

	PAGE
POLICE, Continued—	
<i>Officer in charge of Police station, Concluded—</i>	
Such officer may command unlawful assembly to disperse, S. 126 ...	70
may disperse such assembly by force, S. 128 ...	71
cannot call in Military to assist, <i>ib.</i> ...	71
Search for and seizure of false weights and measures by such officer, S. 153 ...	89
how to deal with information regarding cognizable and non-cognizable offences, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
Diary to be kept at Police station, S. 154 <i>note</i> ...	90
may without orders investigate any cognizable offence which the local Court can try, S. 156 ...	91
His proceedings cannot be called in question on the ground that he was not empowered, S. 156 ...	91
His procedure on receipt of information &c. regarding cognizable offence, S. 167 ...	91
may depute subordinate to investigate, <i>ib.</i> ...	91
See also <i>Inquests by Police, infra.</i>	
Investigation by Police—	
Certain cognizable cases — not bound to investigate, S. 157 ...	91
What Magistrates may direct police to investigate complaint, S. 202 ...	129
Caution as to using police to investigate, <i>ib. note</i> ...	129
Information regarding cognizable cases to be at once sent to Magistrate, S. 157 <i>note</i> ...	91, 92
Report how to be submitted, S. 158 ...	92
Procedure of Magistrate on receipt of report, S. 159 ...	92
making an investigation, may require the attendance of any person, S. 160 ...	93
Disobedience to attend how punished, <i>ib. note</i> ...	93
Procedure, when he requires attendance of Railway employee, <i>ib.</i> ...	93
Examination of witnesses by such officer, S. 161 ...	93
witnesses bound to answer truly certain questions, <i>ib.</i> ...	93
examination not to be on oath &c., <i>ib. note</i> ...	93
Punishment for false answer, <i>ib.</i> ...	93

	PAGE
POLICE, Continued—	
<i>Investigation by Police, Concluded—</i>	
No statement, except dying declaration, made during investigation, to — officer, to be signed or used as evidence against accused, S. 162 ...	93
when statements made to such officer may be used against persons making them, <i>ib. note</i> ...	93
statements made to such officer may be used to refresh his memory, <i>ib.</i> ...	93, 94
When — may search for documents &c., S. 165 ...	98
in Bengal, may search for contraband Salt, <i>ib. note</i> ...	98
and for excisable articles, <i>ib.</i> ...	98
Subordinate to report result of investigation to officer in charge station, S. 168 ...	100
Police should see if accused cannot clear himself of the charge, S. 269 <i>note</i> ...	124
Procedure when investigation cannot be completed in 24 hours, S. 167 ...	98-9
If evidence against accused deficient, he is to be released on bail, S. 169 ...	100
When evidence sufficient, accused to be forwarded to Magistrate in custody or on bail, with exhibits &c., S. 170 ...	100
to take bond from complainant and witnesses, S. 170 ...	100
or if they refuse to attend or to execute bond, to forward them in custody, S. 171 ...	101
Effect of bond executed by complainants and witnesses, S. 170 ...	100
should send up evidence as found, and not keep it back till case is complete, <i>ib. note</i> ...	100
should send up all accused at once, and not by instalments if this can be avoided, <i>ib.</i> ...	100-101
Officer investigating to keep a diary, S. 172 ...	101
How far such diary may be used by the Court, <i>ib.</i> and <i>note</i> ...	101-2
when accused may use it, <i>ib.</i> ...	101
See also <i>Police Diary, supra.</i>	
When investigation complete, — to forward report to Magistrate having jurisdiction, S. 173 ...	102
Inquests by Police—	
Officer in charge police-station to hold inquests and send report in	

	PAGE		PAGE
POLICE, Continued—		POLICE, Continued—	
<i>Inquests by Police, Continued—</i>		<i>Inquest by Police, Concluded—</i>	
cases of suicide, of violent, accidental or suspicious death, S. 174 ...	102-3	Public may assist in executing warrant directed to person other than — officer, S. 43 ...	34
If any doubt as to cause of death, body to be sent to nearest Civil Surgeon &c., S. 174 ...	103	Public bound to give information of certain offences to nearest Magistrate or — officer, S. 64 ...	34
Rules for guidance of police in conducting investigations into cases of poisoning or death, <i>ib. note</i> ...	103-110	Registers and returns of — stations, <i>Appendix</i> ...	476
officer may summon persons to assist at inquests and give evidence, S. 175 ...	110	Rewards to —, disposal of, <i>Appendix</i> ...	475
who are bound to answer certain questions, S. 175 ...	110	Special —, may be employed where, S. 127 <i>note</i> ...	71
Disobedience to summons, refusal to answer questions, or false answers to questions, how punishable, <i>ib. note</i> ...	110	„ appointment and powers of of —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	471
District and Assistant — Superintendent of Police in Bombay may hold certain inquests, S. 176 <i>note</i> ...	110	<i>Statements to Police—</i>	
Disposal of property seized by Police, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		No statement except dying declaration made to — during investigation to be signed or used as evidence against accused, S. 162 ...	93
Duties of — <i>Appendix</i> ...	472	When statements made to — can be used against persons making them, S. 162 <i>note</i> ...	93
Duties of — in Railway accidents, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	107-110	Statements made to — can be referred to by — to refresh memory, <i>ib.</i> ...	93
Evidence of report to — when admissible, Evid. Act, S. 8, III. (k) ...	406	but — officer cannot be forced to so refresh his memory, Evid. Act, S. 159 <i>note</i> ...	442
Magistrate of —, S. 3 ...	14	No — officer to offer or make any inducement, threat or promise to induce any person to confess, S. 163 ...	94
Magistrate may institute inquiries as to dispute regarding land on a — report, S. 145 ...	82	but not to prevent a free statement, <i>ib.</i> ...	94
not bound to say where he got information of an offence, Evid. Act, S. 125 ...	444	Procedure when — are charged with extorting confession, <i>ib. note</i> ...	95
not below rank of Assistant Superintendent may be appointed a Special Magistrate, S. 14 ...	20	Admissibility of statements made to —, S. 163 <i>note</i> , Evid. Act, S. 24 <i>note</i> ...	413
Objection to juror on ground of his executing — duties, S. 278 (c) ...	174	Any Mag. not being a — officer can record a statement or confession, S. 164 ...	96
Obstruction to — officer in the execution of his duty, S. 54 ...	38	Confession made to — officer must be proved, Evid. Act, S. 25 ...	413
Power of — to interpose to prevent commissions of cognizable offence, S. 149 ...	88	Confession made whilst in custody of — when not to be proved, <i>ib.</i> , S. 26 ...	414
Power of — to interpose to prevent injury to public property, or removal of or injury to public landmark, or buoy &c., S. 152 ...	89	Admissibility of statements made whilst in custody of —, <i>ib.</i> , S. 27 ...	414
Power of — to enter and inspect any drinking shop, gaming house, Salt warehouse &c., S. 165 <i>note</i> ...	98	Difference between admission and confession made to a — officer, <i>ib.</i> , S. 25 <i>note</i> ...	413
Powers of — as regards obstructions and nuisances in towns, <i>Appendix</i> ...	474	Deputy Commissioner of —, Calcutta, cannot record statement or confession, <i>ib.</i> , S. 25 <i>note</i> ...	413
Powers of superior officers of —, S. 350 ...	322	officer, who brought accused before Magistrate, not to be present at recording of confession, S. 164 <i>note</i> ...	97
Prosecution of — officer, <i>see</i> PROSECUTION.		nor at recording of examination, S. 364 <i>note</i> ...	215
Public when to assist Magistrates and —, S. 43 ...	33	To communicate to superior or other officer, information of a design to commit a cognizable offence, S. 150 ...	96
Intentional omission to assist how punished, S. 42 <i>note</i> ...	34		

	PAGE		PAGE
POLICE, <i>Continued</i>—		POWERS, <i>Continued</i>—	
Village — officer bound to report cer- tain matters, S. 45 ...	35	Cancellation of —, S. 41 ...	38
„ not affected by Police Act, <i>Appendix</i> ...	472	conferred under the Code by Local Govt. to take effect from what date, S. 39 ...	33
Warrant for levy of fine to be direct- ed to — officer, S. 386 <i>note</i> ...	227	Continuance of — on transfer of officer, S. 40 ...	33
What — officer may be entrusted with search warrant, S. 98 ...	57	Effect of — conferred by repealed Acts, S. 3 ...	14
His powers thereunder, <i>ib.</i> ...	57	how conferred by Local Govt., S. 39 ...	33
POLITICAL AGENT—		of Appellate Court in case of material error in charge, S. 232 ...	147-8
defined, S. 190, and <i>Appendix</i> ...	117, 488	„ Appellate Court in disposing of an appeal, <i>see</i> Appeal.	
Depositions and exhibits before — may be used as evidence, S. 189 ...	116	„ Commissioners to examine witnesses, S. 503 ...	391
Powers of — as regards issue of pro- cess, S. 186 <i>note</i> ...	116	„ Court of Session to charge person for offence committed before it- self, S. 477 ...	277
to certify fitness of inquiry in certain cases, S. 188 ...	116	„ Court to pay expenses out of fine, S. 545 ...	319
PORTS—		„ Court to summon medical witness whose deposition is tendered in evidence, S. 509 ...	394
Presidency Magistrates to exercise jurisdiction within limits of — of Presidency Towns, S. 19 ...	24	„ commissioned Military officer to disperse unlawful assembly, S. 191 ...	72
POSSESSION—		„ Court to summon witnesses or ex- amine witnesses present, S. 540 ...	313
Inquiry into — of immovable pro- perty in case of dispute, <i>see</i> PRO- PERTY.		„ Court to order production of prisoner in jail, Act XV, 1869, S. 3 ...	467
Magistrate is bound to maintain — given by the Civil Court, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	55	„ Criminal Courts, Ss. 28-41 ...	25-33
Search by or under orders of Police officer for thing not known to be in any person's —, S. 166 ...	98	„ „ „ to try offences un- der Penal Code, S. 28 ...	25
POST—		and under other laws, S. 29 ...	26
Transmission of warrants of arrest through the —, S. 63 ...	51	„ British officers beyond British India, <i>Appendix</i> ...	488-490
POST-CARD—		„ G. O. in U. and of Local Govt. how to be exercised under the Code, S. 557 ...	326
Production of — in custody of Postal Authorities, S. 94 ...	56	„ High Courts to make rules, S. 553 ...	322-3
POST MORTEM EXAMINATION—		„ „ „ on reference for con- firmation of sentence, S. 376 ...	322
<i>See</i> INQUEST, POLICE (<i>Inquest by</i>).		„ „ „ in case of material error in charge, S. 232 ...	147-8
POST OFFICE—		„ Local Govt. to appoint places of imprisonment, S. 541 ...	313
Offence against — laws, where triable, S. 184 ...	114	„ Magistrate to record statements and confessions on investigation into offence, S. 164 ...	98
officials exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (i) ...	192	„ police, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
POSTPONEMENT—		„ police as regards wandering lunatics, S. 464 <i>note</i> ...	309
of capital sentence on pregnant woman, S. 382 ...	224	„ person deputed by Magistrate to investigate complaint, S. 203 ...	129
of issue of process, S. 202 ...	129	„ Presidency Mag. to order prisoner in jail to be brought up for ex- amination, S. 543 ...	313
of proceedings, power to order, S. 344 in case of lunatic, S. 464 ...	303 268	„ Subordinate Magistrate to extend over whole District, unless oth- erwise provided, S. 13 ...	19
of part-heard case before Bench of Magistrates, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	23	„ superior officers of police, S. 560 ...	323
POWERS—			
Additional — of Magistrates, S. 97 and Sched. IV ...	32, 378-9		

	PAGE		PAGE
POWERS, <i>Concluded</i>—		PRESUMPTIONS—	
Ordinary — of Magistrates, S. 86 and Sched. III... ..	82, 377	as to credibility of accomplice, Evid. Act, S. 114, <i>III. (b)</i> ...	441
to be conferred on classes of Magistrates generally to be by official titles, S. 89... ..	33	" " documents, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 79-80 ...	433-5
to compel restoration of abducted females, S. 561	322	" " existence of certain facts, Evid. Act, S. 114 ...	441
Withdrawal of —, S. 41	33	" " theft, <i>ib. III. (a)</i> ...	441
PREDECESSOR—		PREVARICATION—	
Mag. may rescind or alter order made in urgent case of nuisance by his —, S. 144	80	of witness is not a contempt, S. 480 <i>note</i> ...	278
Mag. may act on evidence recorded by his —, S. 350	209	PREVENTION—	
but accused may demand re-hearing of witnesses, <i>ib.</i>	209	of offences, Ss. 106-126	60-70
PREGNANT WOMAN—		of obstructions to public roads &c. by Police, <i>Appendix</i>	473
Postponement of capital sentence on —, S. 282	224	PREVENTIVE—	
Pregnancy how certified, <i>ib. note</i>	224	action of the police, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
PRELIMINARY INQUIRY—		Service, persons engaged in the — exempt from service as jurors and assessors, S. 320 (<i>d</i>)	192
Evidence given in — admissible in High Court or Sessions Court, S. 248 into offences affecting administration of justice, S. 476	177 275	PREVIOUS ACQUITTAL OR CONVICTION—	
PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION—		Person once tried and convicted or acquitted by competent Court, not liable to be tried again for same offence, nor on same facts for other offence, S. 403	238
by Magistrate into cognizable offence, S. 159	92	but he may be tried for distinct offence under S. 236 para. 1, <i>ib.</i>	238
PRESIDENCY—		when he may be tried for consequences of acts, <i>ib.</i>	238
Magistrate, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.		Certain orders do not amount to previous acquittal, <i>ib. Expl.</i>	238
Town, defined, S. 4 (<i>A</i>)	15	must have been by a competent Court, <i>ib. note</i>	239
" certain offences triable in a — wherever committed, S. 184	114	must be in force at the time, <i>ib.</i>	239
" Commission for examination of witnesses in a —, <i>see</i> COMMISSION.		how proved, S. 511	295
" Every — is a District, S. 7	18	Previous conviction is relevant in criminal trials, Evid. Act, S. 54	427
" Procedure if High Court sits outside the —, S. 316	191	Denial of previous conviction how contradicted, <i>ib.</i> S. 153 <i>Example 1</i>	451
" Service of summons in a —, S. 70	47	When accused charged with previous conviction he cannot be tried summarily, S. 260 <i>note</i>	164
" Prisoners in the — Towns, how dealt with, <i>Appendix</i>	500-2	PRIESTS—	
<i>See also</i> CALCUTTA, BOMBAY, MADRAS.		Certain — exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (<i>f</i>)	192
PRESIDING JUDGE OR OFFICER—		PRINTING—	
Issue of warrant by, on breach of bond for appearance, S. 92	55	defamatory matter is compoundable, S. 345	204
Power to take bond for appearance, S. 91	55	within definition of "Writing," S. 4 (<i>e</i>)	15
Signature and sealing of summons by, S. 63	45	PRISONS—	
Signature and sealing of warrant by, S. 75	49	<i>See</i> Act V of 1871, <i>Appendix</i>	500-6
Opinion of — as to whether sentence should be suspended, remitted or commuted, S. 401	337	PRISONER—	
		Diet of —s and witnesses in Punjab, <i>see</i> WITNESSES	
		in jail, power of Presidency Mag. to order — to be brought up for examination, S. 542	313
		" power of High Court to order up for evidence, S. 491	285

	PAGE		PAGE
PRISONER, <i>Concluded</i>—		PROCLAIMED, <i>Concluded</i>—	
in jail, power of other Courts to order		offender, may be arrested by private	
up — for evidence, <i>see</i> PRISONER'S TESTIMONY.		person without warrant,	
" Service of process on —, Act XV		S. 59 ...	42
of 1569, Ss. 15-16 ...	459	" movements of — to be re-	
in Presidency Town, how dealt with,		ported, S. 45 ...	25
<i>Appendix</i> ...	500-2	" warrant for arrest of — to	
" the Mofussil, how dealt with, <i>ib.</i>	602-5	whom to be directed, S. 78	60
Removal of —s from one jail to an-			
other, &c., <i>ib.</i> ...	505		
PRISONERS' ACT—		PROCLAMATION—	
<i>See</i> ACTS (<i>India Council</i>), V of 1871		Effect of — issued under repealed Acts,	
and <i>Appendix</i> ...	500-506	S. 2 ...	14
PRISONERS' TESTIMONY—		Fee for —, S. 87 <i>note</i> ...	62
Act, XV of 1869, <i>Appendix</i> ...	456-461	for absconder, publication of —, Ss. 87	
Bringing up prisoners to testify, <i>ib.</i>		88 ...	52, 53
Ss. 3-14 ...	457-9	for owner of property seized by police,	
Rules for procuring testimony &c. of		S. 523 ...	300
prisoners, <i>ib.</i> Ss. 18, 19 ...	459, 460	of order for removal of nuisance,	
Service of process on prisoners, <i>ib.</i>		S. 134 and <i>note</i> ...	74, 75
Ss. 15, 16 ...	459	of property seized by police, S. 523 ...	300
PRIVATE DEFENCE—		requiring appearance of accused, form	
Burden of proof as to right of —,		of — Sched. V, No. IV ...	380
Evid. Act, S. 105 <i>note</i> ...	439	requiring attendance of witness, form	
PROCEDURE—		of —, Sched. V, No. 5 ...	380
Obsolete — not restored by Code, S. 2	14	Restoration of attached property on	
Special form of — prescribed by any		absconder proving insufficient notice	
other law in force on 1st January,		of —, S. 89 ...	54
1883, not affected by Code, S. 1 ...	15	PRODUCTION—	
<i>See also</i> INQUIRY, POLICE, PRO- PERTY, SECURITY, SUMMONS, SUM- MARY, TRIAL, &c.		of documents &c., S. 94, Evid. Act,	
PROCEEDING—		Ss. 130-1 ...	56, 145
Judicial —, defined, S. 4 (<i>d</i>) ...	15	of letters and telegrams &c., 595 ...	56
" inquiry into offence com-		PROHIBITION—	
mitted in course of, S. 476	275	Conditional order for — of keeping	
PROCEEDINGS—		of noxious merchandise &c., S. 135	72, 73
before Magistrate, commencement of		of continuance of nuisance, S. 143 ...	79
—, Ss. 204, 205 ...	131-2	Order for of — delivery of property in	
in wrong place, effect of —, S. 531 ...	307	payment of rent to absconder, S. 68	53
Irregularities in —, <i>see</i> IRREGULARI- TIES.		PROMISE—	
subsequent to order to furnish security,		or order to procure confession, S. 243	202
S. 120-126 ...	68-70	PROOF—	
PROCESSES—		as to good faith, Evid. Act, S. 111 ...	440
to compel appearance, <i>see</i> ATTACHMENT, BOND, PROCLAMATION, SUMMONS, WARRANT.		" legitimacy, <i>ib.</i> S. 112 ...	440
No fee chargeable for certain —, S. 90		Burden of —, defined, <i>ib.</i> S. 101 ...	438
<i>note</i> ...	54	" " on whom — lies, <i>ib.</i>	
when to issue; when by summons and		S. 102 ...	438
when by warrant, S. 204 ...	130	" " as to particular fact, <i>ib.</i>	
PROCESSIONS—		S. 103 ...	439
Regulation of public — by Police,		" " as to exceptions, <i>ib.</i>	
<i>Appendix</i> ...	473	S. 105 ...	439
PROCLAIMED—		" " as to right of private	
absconder, <i>see</i> ABSCONDER.		defence, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	439
offender, may be arrested by Police		" " as to fact within special	
without warrant, S. 84 ...	28	knowledge, <i>ib.</i> , S. 106	440
		" " as to death, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 107,	
		108 ...	440
		" " as to relationship of par-	
		ties, <i>ib.</i> , S. 109 ...	440
		" " as to ownership, <i>ib.</i>	
		S. 110 ...	440
		Conclusive —, effect of, <i>ib.</i> S. 4 ...	404
		" " admissions are not —,	
		<i>ib.</i> , S. 81 ...	418

	PAGE		PAGE
PROOF, <i>Continued</i>—		PROPERTY, <i>Continued</i>—	
Facts which require no —, <i>ib.</i> , Ss. 56, 58 ...	428-9	Disposal of unclaimed — taken charge of by police, <i>Appendix</i> ...	472-3
No particular number of witnesses necessary for — of any fact, <i>ib.</i> , S. 134 ...	447	<i>Disputes as to tangible immovable property, or boundaries thereof—</i>	
of cession of territory, <i>ib.</i> , S. 113 ...	440	Documentary evidence in, Chap. XII heading ...	82
" contents of documents, <i>see</i> DOCUMENT.		What Mag. may take action and when, S. 145 ...	82
" statement made by deceased persons, Evid. Act, S. 82 <i>note</i> ...	419	Inquiry to be as to possession only, <i>ib.</i> ...	82
" forfeiture of bond, grounds to be recorded, S. 514 ...	297	Person in possession to retain possession till legally evicted, <i>ib.</i> ...	82
" person being habitual offender, mode of —, S. 117 ...	66	Proceedings when to be stayed, <i>ib.</i> ...	82
" previous conviction or acquittal, mode of —, S. 511 ...	295	Grounds on which proceedings instituted to be recorded, <i>ib.</i> and <i>note</i> ...	82-84
PROPERTY—		What would be sufficient grounds, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	82
Attachment of — of absconder, <i>see</i> ATTACHMENT.		Proceedings not to be taken unless there is a present danger of breach of peace, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	83
Claim to — seized for levy of fine, S. 286 <i>note</i> ...	207	Order in writing to show cause to be served as a summons, <i>ib.</i> ...	83
Disposal of — + document produced in Court, Ss. 517, 518 ...	298-9	How a third party can be included in proceedings, <i>ib.</i> ...	83-4
If accused discharged, — to be restored to prisoner, S. 517 <i>note</i> ...	298	If one party dies, his representative to be made a party, <i>ib.</i> ...	84
order cannot be passed regarding money given as a bribe, <i>ib.</i> ...	298	Omission to record preliminary order in writing does not necessarily invalidate the order, <i>ib.</i> ...	84
order as regards Government Currency Note, <i>ib.</i> ...	299	Order in writing to sufficiently identify property in dispute, <i>ib.</i> ...	84
Payment, to innocent purchaser, of money found on accused, S. 519 ...	299	Whether possession through a tenant is sufficient, <i>ib.</i> ...	84
order may be stayed, annulled &c. by superior Court, S. 520 ...	299	Landlord, farmer and ryot are all concerned in a dispute as to —, <i>ib.</i> ...	84
order for destruction of obscene and libellous matter, or noxious food and drugs, S. 521 ...	299-300	Certificate under Act XXVII of 1890 is not of itself conclusive proof of possession, <i>ib.</i> ...	85
order for restoration of immovable —, S. 522 ...	300	Magistrate is bound to maintain possession given by a Civil Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	85
Disposal of suspicious — or of — seized by Police, S. 523 ...	300	Magistrate should not interfere when possession ordered to be given by Civil Court is disputed, <i>ib.</i> ...	85
If owner unknown, proclamation to issue, <i>ib.</i> ...	300	Evidence to be recorded as in warrant cases, S. 356 ...	210
and — may be sold, S. 526 ...	301	Mag. bound to examine any witness tendered, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	85
If claimant appears, Magistrate bound to summon witnesses, S. 523 <i>note</i> ...	300	Remedy for forcible dispossession of immovable —, <i>ib.</i> ...	85
Procedure if no claimant appears, S. 524 ...	301	More personal inspection of — in dispute not sufficient to justify order, <i>ib.</i> ...	85
appeal lies against order, <i>ib.</i> ...	301	Possession to be decided is possession at time of inquiry, <i>ib.</i> ...	85, 86
		Doubtful whether possession of a trespasser is sufficient, <i>ib.</i> ...	86
		Evidence of title may — — — — — evidence of possession, <i>ib.</i> ...	86
		Order passed must be to retain possession until evicted by the courts of law, <i>ib.</i> ...	86, 88

	PAGE		PAGE
PROPERTY, <i>Concluded</i>—		PROSECUTION <i>Concluded</i>—	
<i>Disputes as to tangible immovable property or boundaries thereof, <i>Concluded</i>—</i>		for defamation, who may institute, S. 198 ...	126
Order for possession to sufficiently identify — in dispute, S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	85	for enticing away married woman, S. 199 ...	126
Limitation of suit to set aside order for possession, <i>ib.</i> ...	86	for false complaint, cannot be instituted until original complaint finally dismissed, S. 203 <i>note</i> ...	180
When Magistrate may attach — in dispute, S. 146 and <i>note</i> ...	86	for offence against marriage, S. 198 ...	126
withdrawal of attachment, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	87	in High Court, opening case for, S. 286 ...	176
Costs of witnesses' and pleader's fees in dispute as to —, S. 148 ...	84	Examination of witnesses for —, S. <i>ib.</i> ...	176
Dispute concerning right to do, or prevent doing of anything in or upon any tangible immovable —, <i>see</i> EASEMENTS.		in Sessions Court, opening case for, S. <i>ib.</i> ...	176
Distress and sale of — in nuisance cases, S. 140 ...	77-8	Examination of witnesses for, S. <i>ib.</i> ...	176
Injury to public —, any Police officer may interfere to prevent, S. 162 ...	80	of accomplice-witness for false evidence, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
<i>see also</i> INJURY.		of Judges and Public Servants, S. 197	124
Only moveable — can be seized as penalty of bond, S. 514 ...	297	Permission to conduct — necessary in case of certain persons, S. 495 ...	287
State — included in term "Public Place," S. 133 ...	73	Person conducting — may do so personally or by pleader, <i>ib.</i> ...	287
Stolen —, defined, S. 94 <i>note</i> , S. 180 <i>note</i> ...	54, 113	Power of Advocate General to stay —, S. 333 ...	195
" " residence of notorious receiver or vendor of — to be reported to nearest Magistrate &c., S. 46 ...	35	Power to order expenses of — out of line, S. 545 ...	819
" " arrest without warrant of persons in possession of —, S. 56 ...	38	Procedure after examination of witnesses for —, S. 289 ...	179
" " arrest of habitual receiver of —, S. 65 ...	41	Public Prosecutor may withdraw from —, S. 494 ...	287
" " offence of receiving — where triable, S. 140 <i>Tit. (b)</i> ...	112	Right of — to reply, S. 292 ...	181
" " Search of place for —, S. 68 ...	57	to be conducted by Public Prosecutor, although pleader privately instructed, S. 493 ...	286
" " Security from receiver of <i>see</i> SECURITY.		PROSECUTOR—	
" " separate charges and trials for receiving — under Ss. 411 and 413 I. P. C., S. 233 <i>note</i> ...	148	Charge to jury to be delivered after reply by —, S. 297 ...	182
PROSECUTION—		how to open his case, S. 296 ...	176
Conduct of — by police, <i>see</i> POLICE.		Payment by accused of costs of —, on transfer of case, S. 526 ...	808
Duties of — in all trials, S. 289 <i>note</i> ...	179	Right of reply of —, S. 292 ...	181
for acts done in dispersing assemblies, requires sanction of G. G. in C., S. 132 ...	72	Summing-up case by —, S. 289 ...	179
for adultery, by minor husband, S. 199 ...	126	to tender examination of accused before committing Magistrate, S. 287	176
who may institute, S. 199 ...	126	<i>see also</i> PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.	
for breach of contract, S. 196 ...	126	PROVINCE—	
for contempt of lawful authority requires sanction, S. 196 ...	121	defined, S. 4 (<i>y</i>) ...	18
		to consist of Sessions Divisions, or to be a Sessions Division, S. 7 ...	18
		PROVINCES—	
		District Magistrate invested with special powers in certain —, S. 89 ...	26, 27
		PROVINCIAL MAGISTRATE—	
		<i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.	
		PROVISIONS—	
		as to Bonds, Ss. 512-516 ...	225-8
		Special — of the Code applying to Police of towns of Calcutta and Bombay, Ss. 1, 64, 68, 84, 208	18, 39, 46, 51, 129

	PAGE		PAGE
PUBLIC—		PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Criminal Courts to be open to —, S. 352 ...	209	presents appeal against order of acquittal, S. 417 ...	243
But presiding officer may close them, <i>ib.</i> ...	209	When no — appointed, Magistrate may appoint — in each case before Sessions Court, S. 492 ...	286
bound to give information of certain offences, S. 44 ...	34	PUNISHMENT—	
comfort, S. 133 ...	72	Combination of —, appeal in petty cases and from summary convictions in —, S. 415 ...	243
document, <i>see</i> DOCUMENT.		Commutation of —, S. 402 ...	237
health, S. 133 ...	72	Escaped convicts not to be excused from — under certain circumstances, S. 398 ...	236
Justice, <i>see</i> CONTEMPT, SANCTION.		for non-attendance as juror or assessor in High Court, S. 818 ...	191
landmark, injury to —, S. 152 ...	89	in Sessions Court, S. 322 ...	194-5
nuisance, <i>see</i> NUISANCE.		for obtaining confession &c. by hurt &c., S. 163 <i>note</i> ...	95
Officer, not to disclose confidential communications, Evid. Act, S. 124 ...	444	other than death; judgment to state why sentence of death not passed in capital cases, S. 367 ...	218
peace, <i>see</i> PEACE.		Previous conviction to be stated in charge, when it is intended to affect — S. 221 ...	144
place, includes State grounds &c., S. 133 ...	73	Procedure when Magistrate cannot inflict adequate —, S. 349 ...	207
" removal of nuisance from — <i>ib.</i> ...	72	Remission of — in certain cases of contempt, on submission or apology, S. 484 ...	279
processions, regulation of — by Police, <i>Appendix</i> ...	473	Suspension and remission of —, S. 401 to be specified in judgment, S. 367 ...	217
property, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		PURCHASER—	
record, entry in —, <i>see</i> STATEMENT.		Payment to innocent — of money found on prisoner, S. 519 ...	209
right or custom <i>see</i> RIGHT.		Suit against — of abscorder's property, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	54
roads and thoroughfares, Police to keep order on —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	473	PURDAH NISHEEN WOMAN—	
Servant, contempt of the lawful authority of —, <i>see</i> CONTEMPT.		cannot as of right require issue of commission for her examination, S. 503 <i>note</i> ...	293
" charge of obstructing — to set out manner of obstruction, S. 223 III. (d) ...	145	PURSUIT—	
" complaint of — needs no stamp, S. 200 <i>note</i> ...	127	of offender into other jurisdictions, S. 58 and <i>note</i> ...	48
" defined, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	124	Warrant for arrest of person, accused of non-bailable offence, who has eluded —, S. 78 ...	50
" prosecution of —, <i>see</i> SANCTION.		Search of places for — of persons escaped or rescued from custody, Ss. 66, 67 ...	45
When — may assist in executing a warrant, S. 43 ...	34	Q.	
When — to assist Magistrate and Police, S. 42 ...	33	QUARTERING—	
PUBLICATION—		Police in disturbed districts &c., Act V. 1861, Ss. 13, 17 ...	470-1
of jury lists, for High Court, S. 314 ...	190	QUASHING—	
" " " for Sessions Court, S. 323 ...	193	Commitments, Ss. 215, 223 ...	197, 367
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR—		Conviction, S. 223 ...	148
appointed by G. G. in C. or by Local Government, S. 493 ...	285		
defined, S. 4 (m) ...	15		
All prosecutions before Court of Session to be conducted by —, S. 270 ...	169		
Duties of —, S. 493 <i>note</i> ...	296		
Effect of withdrawal of — from prosecution, S. 494 ...	287		
In Bengal, Government Pleader is <i>ex officio</i> —, S. 493 <i>note</i> ...	286		
may conduct prosecution without permission, S. 495 ...	287		
may plead in all cases under his charge, S. 493 ...	286		
Notice to be given to — by accused applying for transfer of case, S. 536 ...	303		
Pleader, privately instructed, to be under —'s direction, S. 490 ...	286		

QUESTIONS—	PAGE	REASONS Concluded—	PAGE
which witness at police investigation must, or need not, answer, S. 161 ..	93	for conviction, when to be stated in	
may at any time be put to accused, S. 342 ...	200	Presidency Magistrate's judgment, S. 370 (i) ...	220
put to accused, to be recorded in full, S. 364 ...	215	" decision, judgment to contain, S. 367... ..	217
When — of law of unusual difficulty is likely to arise, High Court may transfer case, S. 526 ...	302	" not passing sentence of death in capital cases, to be stated in judgment, S. 367 ...	218
Reserving — on Original Side of High Court, S. 434 ...	253	" tendering pardon, what Magistrate to record, S. 337 ...	196
		Magistrates authorizing detention in police-custody to record —, S. 167 to be recorded, when Court issues warrant in lieu of summon, S. 90 ...	99
		when Magistrate refuses to summon further evidence, S. 204 ...	55
		when Magistrate discharges accused at early stage of inquiry, S. 200 ...	132
		by Provincial Magistrate, committing accused for trial, S. 313 ...	134
		when Magistrate stops proceedings in summons-case, S. 249 ...	136
		when Magistrate discharges accused in warrant-cases, S. 253 ...	155
		when Magistrate refuses to summon further evidence for defence, S. 257 ...	158
		when Sessions Judge refers verdict of jury, S. 307 ...	161
		when Magistrate cannot prepare memo. of substance of evidence, Ss. 356, 356 ...	187
		when Court cannot itself take down evidence, S. 357 ...	209, 210
		when Court cannot take memo. of accused's examination, S. 364 ...	211
		when Appellate Court suspends sentence, S. 426 ...	216
		when Court takes action on refusal to answer &c., S. 458 ...	251
		In order of postponement or adjournment, S. 344 ...	280
		when Subordinate Magistrate applies for issue of commission, S. 506 ...	292
		to be reported to superior, when authorizing detention of accused, S. 167 to be recorded for cancelling bond to keep the peace, S. 125... ..	99
			70
R.			
RAILWAY—			
accidents in Bengal, rules as to —, S. 174 note ...	110		
Act, see ACTS (India Council) IV of 1879.			
Certain — officials in N. W. P. exempted from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320, note ...	192		
Complaint of officer or servant of a — Company needs no stamp, S. 200 note ...	127		
Injury to —, Public bound to assist Magistrate or Police to prevent, S. 42 ...	33		
Inquests in accidents on State —, S. 174 note ...	107-9		
Offences against — laws where triable, S. 184 ...	114		
Offence committed on — journey where triable, S. 183 ...	114		
Procedure when — employee is required to attend before Police officer, S. 160 note ...	93		
Service of summons on person in service of —, S. 72 ...	47		
Warrant for arrest of — servant, S. 80 note ...	50, 51		
When Government or — servant may be exempted from serving as juror or assessor, S. 329 ...	419		
RANGOON—			
Recorder of — to be Justice of the Peace, <i>ex officio</i> , S. 25 ...	25		
is within definition of "High Court," S. 4 (i) ...	5		
RAPE—			
Duties of police in investigating cases of —, S. 174 note ...	105		
REASONABLE—			
cause for remand to custody, S. 344 <i>Expl.</i> ...	202		
Manner of deciding whether demand by Magistrate to assist him is —, S. 42 note ...	24		
REASONS—			
for conviction, brief statement of — to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 (4) ...	166		

	PAGE		PAGE
RECALL—		RECORD, Concluded—	
of cases by District or Subdivisional Magistrate, S. 323 ...	305	of evidence, in the absence of accused, S. 513 ...	296
" prosecution witnesses by accused, S. 256 ...	159	" " in Presidency Magistrate's Court, S. 362 ...	214
" witnesses by Magistrate receiving case from another Magistrate, S. 349 ...	207	" " in maintenance-cases, S. 488 ...	282
Power to — witness at any stage of proceedings, S. 540 ...	312	" inferior Courts may be called for, S. 425 ...	253
RECEIPT—		Particulars to be stated in —, in case of commitment, S. 218 ...	139
for summons to be signed by recipient, S. 69 ...	47	Powers of Courts on calling for —, S. 435 ...	253
RECEIVER—		" " " upon examination of —, Ss. 437-8 ...	256
of stolen property, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.		Procedure when Court calls for —, Ss. 435-440 ...	253-8
Powers and duties of — in charge of attached property, S. 68 ...	63	Translation of — when to be forwarded to High Court, S. 218 ...	139
RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY—		RECORDER OF RANGOON—	
When offence of — may be tried summarily, S. 260 (c) ...	162	in <i>ex officio</i> a Justice of the Peace, S. 25 ...	25
where triable, S. 180, <i>Id.</i> (b) ...	112	within definition of "High Court," S. 4 (i) ...	15
Payment to innocent purchaser of money found on person convicted of —, S. 510 ...	299	RECOVERY—	
RECOGNIZANCE—		of compensation, S. 547 ...	320
for appearance of persons arrested under warrant, S. 76 ...	49	" fines, <i>see</i> FINE.	
Deposit in lieu of —, S. 513 ...	296	RE-EXAMINATION—	
<i>See also</i> BOND.		of witnesses, <i>see</i> WITNESS	
RECORD—		REFERENCE—	
by Magistrate of statements and confessions made on investigation, S. 164 ...	96	Presidency Magistrate may refer any point of law to High Court, S. 433 ...	253
Court may call for — before summarily rejecting an appeal, S. 246 ...	246	may release accused on bail, pending —, <i>id.</i> ...	253
Depositions of witnesses taken on commission to form part of —, S. 507 ...	293	High Court how to proceed, S. 433 ...	253
Destruction of —, Act III of 1879, <i>Appendix</i> ...	506-7	Judge of High Court may refer point of law to Bench, S. 434 ...	253
" " Rules for this, <i>id.</i> 508-9		Powers of Bench on receipt of —, <i>id.</i> ...	253
Entry in public —, <i>see</i> STATEMENT.		By Sessions Judge or District Magistrate to High Court, <i>see</i> Revision.	
in cases of contempt, S. 481 ...	279	By Magistrate unable to pass adequate sentence, S. 349 ...	207
Inspection of —, of Sessions Court by District Magistrate or District Superintendent of Police, S. 373 <i>note</i> ...	221	Powers of Magistrate receiving such —, <i>id.</i> ...	207
" " power of High Court to make rules for the —, S. 553 ...	323	of sentence of death for confirmation by High Court, S. 374 ...	371
in summary trials, when appeal lies, S. 264 ...	167	High Court may direct further inquiry &c., S. 376 ...	373
" " " when appeal does not lie, S. 263 ...	165-6	and may confirm, annul or acquit accused, or pass other sentence, S. 376 ...	373
of evidence, in cases outside Presidency Towns, S. 356 ...	210	Finality of orders on appeal, except as regards Court of —, S. 430 ...	262
" " in summary trials, S. 365 ...	167	Stay of order for disposal of property by Court of —, S. 520 ...	299
" " in summary-cases, S. 355 ...	209	to repealed Acts, S. 8 ...	14
" " in trials by 1st and 2nd class Magistrates of certain offences, S. 355 ...	209		

	PAGE		PAGE
REFORMATORY SCHOOLS—		REGULATIONS, Concluded—	
Act, V of 1876, <i>Appendix</i> ...	496-500	<i>Bengal—</i>	
Confinement of juvenile offenders in		XX of 1817, S. 30—S. 51 <i>note</i> ...	40
—, S. 399 ...	236	III of 1818—S. 491 and <i>Appen-</i>	
Form of order for detention in —,		<i>dir</i> ...	285, 502
<i>ib. note</i> ...	236	<i>Bombay—</i>	
Management of —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	498-500	XXV of 1827—S. 481 and <i>Appen-</i>	
Offences in relation to —, <i>ib</i> ...	500	<i>dir</i> ...	285, 502
Rules for the — Alipur (Bengal), <i>ib</i> ...	499-500	<i>Madras—</i>	
		XII of 1806—S. 145 <i>note</i> ...	86
		VII of 1816—S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	124
		II of 1819—S. 491 and <i>Appen-</i>	
		<i>dir</i> ...	285, 502
REFRESHING MEMORY—		REHEARING OF WITNESSES—	
When Police officer may refer to his		in a case part-heard by a predecessor,	
memoranda to refresh his memory,		S. 330 ...	208
S. 162 <i>note</i> ...	93	on detention of offender attending	
Use of Police Diaries to refresh mem-		Court, S. 351 ...	208
ory, S. 172 ...	101		
Police officer cannot be forced to re-			
fresh his memory from his diary,			
S. 159 <i>note</i> ...	452		
		REJECTION OF APPEAL—	
REFUSAL—		Summarily, S. 421 ...	316
Imprisonment or committal of witness			
on — to answer &c., S. 484 ...	280	RELATIONSHIP—	
of accused to answer questions, S. 312	200	Statement made by deceased or ab-	
of complainant or witness to attend		scent person as to existence of —,	
High Court or Sessions Court or		<i>Livid Act</i> , S. 32 (5) ...	419
to give bond, S. 217 ...	139		
" committal of non-cognizable of-		RELATIVE—	
fence to give name and address,		Property of lunatic to care of —, S.	
S. 57 ...	43	475 ...	274
" witness to give direct answer in			
contempt, S. 180 ...	278	RELEASE—	
to answer questions put by Police		of a case, on completion of Police	
officer holding investigation or in-		investigation, S. 169 ...	100
quiry <i>see</i> <i>Powers</i> .		" " on execution of bond for	
to maintain wife or child, <i>see</i> <i>MAR-</i>		appearance, S. 503 ...	200
TENANCE		" " in bailable offence, on	
" plead to a charge, Ss. 256, 272, 150, 171		bond, S. 490 ...	248
" sign information to Police, S. 154		" " in non-bailable offence,	
<i>note</i> ...	90	on bond, S. 497 ...	249
		" " by Court of Revision, S.	
		438 ...	255
		" " pending decision of re-	
		ference by Presidency	
		Magistrate, S. 432 ...	258
		" committal of non-cognizable of-	
		fence, on his name and address	
		being discovered, S. 57 ...	43
		" lunatic, pending investigation &c.,	
		S. 466 ...	270
		" persons arrested on warrant, on	
		security, S. 76 ...	40
		" person imprisoned on failure to	
		give security, S. 124 ...	69
		" prisoner on proof of no necessity to	
		give security, S. 119 ...	68
		<i>See also</i> <i>Bail</i> , <i>WARRANT</i> .	
REGISTER—		RELEVANCY—	
or Sub — may be declared a Civil		defined, <i>Livid Act</i> S. 3, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	403, 427
Court as regards contempt, S. 483	279	of facts, <i>see</i> <i>Livid Act</i> ...	402-446
Appeals from convictions of — in con-			
tempt cases, S. 486 ...	290		
REGULATIONS—		RELIGION—	
<i>Assam—</i>		Certain offences regarding — are com-	
II of 1883— <i>Appendix</i> ...	477-8	pensable, S. 345 ...	304

	PAGE		PAGE
RELIGION, <i>Concluded</i>—		REMOVAL, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Exemption from service as juror or assessor of certain persons on the ground of —, S. 320 (<i>f</i>) ...	192	of nuisance, conditional order for —, S. 133 ...	72
Objection to juror on the score of —, S. 278 (<i>e</i>) ...	174	" prisoner from one custody to an- other, by direction of High Court, S. 491 ...	285
REMAND—		" landmark, buoy &c., S. 152 ...	89
of accused, to Police custody, S. 61 <i>note</i> ...	44	" vagrant from India, <i>Appendix</i> ...	481-2
copy of — order in such case to go to certain Ma- gistrate, S. 167 <i>note</i> ...	99	RENT—	
of accused, pending reference to High Court by Sessions Court, S. 307 ...	187	Order prohibiting payment of — to absconder, S. 88 ...	53
may be to custody or on bail, <i>ib.</i> ...	187	REPAIRING BUILDING—	
of accused, on postponement or ad- journment of inquiry or trial, S. 314 ...	202	Conditional order for —, S. 133 ...	72
can only be for sufficient reason, S. 208 <i>note</i> ...	133	REPEAL—	
what is reasonable cause for —, S. 344 <i>Expt.</i> and <i>note</i> ...	202-3	of enactments, S. 2 ...	14
Order for — to be in writing except in High Court, S. 344 ...	202	<i>See Sched. I</i> ...	326-7
to custody not to exceed 15 days, <i>ib.</i> ...	203	REPEALED ENACTMENT—	
but no limit, in case of issue of commission, S. 608 ...	203	Saving of notifications under, refer- ences to, and expressions in —, S. 2 ...	14
when first — may be made without record- ing evidence, S. 344 <i>note</i> ...	203	REPETITION	
Subsequent — should not be without evidence, <i>ib.</i> ...	203	of public nuisance, prohibition of —, S. 143 ...	79
Order of — to be passed in presence of accused, <i>ib.</i> ...	203	REPLY—	
order of — cannot be passed without proper cause, S. 344 <i>note</i> ...	203	Prosecutor's right of —, S. 292 ...	181
absence of witness is rea- sonable cause for —, S. 344 and <i>note</i> ...	202, 204	REPORT—	
REMISSION—		by Magistrate when Sessions Judge is not an European British Subject, S. 450 ...	261
of portion of penalty of bond, S. 514 ...	297	Date of — to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 (<i>c</i>) ...	166
of punishment in certain cases of con- tempt, on submission or apology, S. 454 ...	279	of arrests by Police, S. 62 ...	44-5
Power of G. G. in C. or Local Govt to remit sentence, S. 401 ...	237	" Chemical Examiner, S. 510 ...	205
Right of Sovereign to grant — saved, <i>ib.</i> ...	237	" inquests by heads of villages in Madras and Bombay, S. 174 ...	108
of sentence, when whipping cannot be inflicted owing to ill-health, S. 395 ...	234	" nuisance, S. 133 ...	72-3
REMOVAL—		" Police officer does not constitute a complaint, S. 4 (<i>a</i>) ...	14
from office, of Judges and Magistrates, S. 26 ...	25	" Police officer, issue of warrant on — in security case, S. 111 ...	65
" " of Justices of the Peace, S. 27 ...	25	" Police investigation, <i>See</i> 168, 173 100, 102	
		" " " into sudden &c. death, S. 174 ...	102-3
		" person deputed to make local in- quiry into land dispute, S. 148 ...	88
		Power of Magistrate to hold investi- gation on — of cognizable offence, S. 139 ...	62
		to High Court, when accused does not understand proceed- ings, S. 341 ...	190
		" " on revision of proceed- ings, S. 438 ...	255
		" " or Court of Session, to release person im- prisoned on failure to give security, S. 114 ...	60

	PAGE		PAGE
REPORT, <i>Concluded</i>—		RESTORATION, <i>Concluded</i>—	
to Local Government, by commission of inquiry in lunacy cases, S. 474 ...	274	of attached property, S. 89 ...	54
" " when accused is found insane, S. 466 ...	270	appeal from order for —, S. 405 ...	240
" " when accused acquitted for lunacy, S. 471 ...	273	" possession of immoveable property, S. 522 ...	300
" " as to condition of lunatic prisoner, S. 472 ...	274	" property seized by police, S. 523 ...	300
to Magistrate, on seizure of property by Police, S. 523 ...	300	RESTRAINT—	
" " of suspected criminal offence, Ss. 157, 158 ...	91, 92	Complainants and witnesses not to be subjected to unnecessary —, S. 171 ...	101
to accompany case submitted by Provincial Magistrate, S. 346 ...	205	of person arrested not to be unnecessarily severe, S. 50 ...	38
REPRIEVE—		Wrongful — (S. 341 I. P. C.) is compensable, S. 345 ...	204
Right of Sovereign to —, 401 ...	237	RETIREMENT—	
REPUTE—		of jury to consider, S. 300 ...	184
Evidence of — in case of habitual offender, S. 117 ...	66	RE-TRIAL—	
REQUISITION—		after discharge of jury, S. 308 ...	186
to District Magistrate by Sessions Judge for records, returns and information, S. 17 note ...	23	" previous acquittal or conviction, S. 193 ...	238
RESCINDING—		may be ordered by Appellate Court, S. 423 ...	247
order as to public nuisance made by Subordinate Magistrate, S. 144 ...	50	RETURN—	
RESCUE—		of commission to examine witness, S. 507 ...	298
from custody, power to pursue and retake after, S. 46 ...	45	of warrant on execution of sentence, S. 40 ...	236
Transfer of charges of — and grievous hurt, S. 235, <i>Id.</i> (a) ...	149	RETURNS—	
RESIDENCE—		Powers of certain High Courts to make rules as to — of Subordinate Courts, S. 553 (a) ...	323
of absconder, proclamation to be read and affixed at, S. 87 ...	62	REVENUE—	
of accused, to be entered in record of summary trial, S. 263 (c) ...	166	Attachment of — paying land, S. 88 ...	53
" " when to be entered in Presidency Magistrate's judgment, S. 379 (d) ...	220	Board of — in Madras has power to give sanction to prosecute certain offences, S. 197 note ...	126
of person summoned, affixing duplicate of summons at —, S. 71 ...	47	Certain persons engaged in collection of — exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 ...	192
" " sending summons to Magistrate, within whose jurisdiction is —, S. 73 ...	47	bound to report certain matters, S. 45 ...	36
RESPIRE—		Commissioners and Collectors of — exempt from serving as jurors or assessors, S. 320 ...	191
Right of Sovereign &c. to grant —, S. 401 ...	237	Court, commitment by —, S. 479 ...	277
RESTORATION—		" may take cognizance of certain cases of contempt, S. 493 ...	278
of abducted female, power to compel, S. 551 ...	222	" powers of — as regards contempt-cases, Ss. 476, 477, 278 ...	277
		Officer, powers of — to decide disputes as to boundaries of land, S. 145 note ...	56
		REVIEW—	
		Court not to — its own judgment, S. 369 ...	219
		by High Court of case submitted by one of its Judges, S. 434 ...	263

REVISION—	PAGE
of list of jurors and assessors for Sessions Court, Ss. 328-325 ...	193
of order for forfeiture of penalty of bond, S. 515 ...	297
Proceedings void if Magistrate not empowered revises such order, S. 530 (a) ...	307
of order for disposal of property, S. 520 ...	299
of sentence when whipping cannot be inflicted, S. 395 ...	234
<i>Proceedings in Revision—</i>	
High Court, Sessions Court and certain Magistrates can call for record of inferior Court, S. 435 ...	253
Subdivisional Magistrate, how to act, <i>ib.</i> ...	254
Power may be exercised on any reliable information, S. 435 <i>note</i> ...	254
Sessions Court or District Magistrate can then order commitment, S. 436 ...	254
Further enquiry may be ordered into complaint summarily dismissed, S. 437 ...	255
Sessions Court or District Magistrate may report case to High Court for —, S. 438 ...	255
may order suspension of sentence pending —, <i>ib.</i> ...	255
not bound to refer every case in which error is detected, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	255
Form of reference, to Calcutta High Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	255
" " " to Punjab Chief Court and to Madras and Bombay High Courts, <i>ib.</i> ...	256
Reference may be made on ground of no evidence, not on ground of insufficient evidence, <i>ib.</i> ...	256
District Magistrate cannot refer order of Sessions Judge to High Court, S. 438 <i>note</i> ...	256
Sessions Judge cannot refer question of his own jurisdiction to High Court in a pending case, <i>ib.</i> ...	256
Powers of High Court on revision, S. 439 ...	256-7
can enhance sentence, <i>ib.</i> ...	256-7
cannot turn acquittal into conviction, <i>ib.</i> ...	256-7
will not interfere so long as other remedies remain (<i>e. g.</i> right of appeal), <i>ib.</i> and S. 439 <i>note</i> ...	256, 257

REVISION, <i>Concluded—</i>	PAGE
<i>Proceedings in Revision, Concluded—</i>	
will not interfere in certain classes of cases, S. 438 <i>note</i> ...	257-8
when will not allow objection to jurisdiction on —, S. 192 <i>note</i> ...	119
when will not deal with irregularity in trial, S. 537 <i>note</i> ...	309
to consider statement of Presidency Magistrate of grounds of his decision, S. 441 ...	258
to certify its decision to lower Court, S. 442 ...	258
Parties cannot claim to be heard on —, S. 440 ...	258
But no order to accused's prejudice to be passed, until he has had opportunity of being heard, S. 439 ...	256
REVOCATION—	
Power of — of order directing trials to be by jury, S. 269 ...	168
" " of sanction for contempt, S. 195 ...	121
REWARD—	
for arrest of offender, S. 87 <i>note</i> ...	53
to Police how disposed of, <i>Appendix</i> ...	475
RIGHT—	
A <i>bona fide</i> claim of — bars summary jurisdiction, S. 260 <i>note</i> ...	164
of way or of flow of water, disputes concerning, S. 147 ...	87
Opinion as to existence of — or custom, <i>Evid. Act</i> , S. 48 ...	426
Statement of absent person as regards public — or custom, <i>ib.</i> S. 32 (1) ...	419
What facts are relevant when a — or custom is in question, <i>ib.</i> S. 13 ...	406
RIGOROUS IMPRISONMENT—	
Commutation of sentence of —, S. 402 ...	237
Discretion as to awarding — on failure to give security for good behaviour, S. 123 ...	69
Sentence of — more severe than simple imprisonment, S. 396 ...	234
RIOT—	
Issue of order in urgent cases of nuisance or order to prevent —, S. 144 ...	79
Local Government may prolong currency of order, <i>ib.</i> ...	80
Public when bound to assist Magistrate and Police to suppress a — or affray, S. 42 ...	83

	PAGE		PAGE
RIOT, Concluded—		RULES, Concluded—	
Separate charges against, and separate trials of, each party necessary in cases of —, S. 213 <i>note</i> ...	137	For conveyance of lunatics to asylums, S. 468 <i>note</i> ...	270-1
RIOTING—		" guidance of Police in conducting prosecutions, S. 495 <i>note</i> ...	288
Security for keeping the peace on conviction of, S. 166 ...	60	" regulation, &c. of Cantonment Police, Bengal, <i>Appendix</i> ...	463
Joinder of charges of — and assaulting public servant, S. 235 <i>III</i> (g) ...	149	Power of High Court to make — S. 553 ...	323-3
RISK—		Sessions Judge may make — for distribution of work to Assistant Sessions Judge, S. 17 ...	23
of obstruction &c. to persons lawfully employed, issue of order to prevent —, S. 144 ...	79	under Prisoners' Testimony Act, Act XV, 1869, Ss. 18, 19 ...	459, 460
RIVER—		RUNAWAY—	
Jurisdiction of Presidency Magistrate over navigable — leading to Presidency Town, S. 19 ...	24	Bench of Magistrates in —, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	21
Conditional order for removal of obstruction &c. from — open to use of public, S. 133 ...	72	S.	
ROBBER—		SALE—	
Arrest of habitual —, S. 53 ...	41	after attachment, of moveable property of jurors and assessors, S. 332 ...	195
Movement — to be reported, S. 45 ...	35	" " of property of absconder, S. 89 ...	53
Security from habitual —, S. 110 ...	63	void, if Magistrate not empowered, S. 530 (a) ...	300
ROBBERY—		Charge of obstructing — of property by public servant, S. 221 <i>III</i> (d) ...	144
and murder, charge of —, S. 239 <i>III</i> , (b) ...	152	Form of warrant of — on forfeiture of bond for good behaviour, Sched. V, No. 141 ...	393
Form of charge of —, Sched. V, No. XXVIII (1) (2) ...	387	in default of payment of fine, S. 386 ...	226
Joinder of charges of — and hurt, S. 235 <i>III</i> (g) ...	150	of printed &c. defamatory matter, is compoundable, S. 345 ...	204
RULES—		" property to recover costs of removing nuisance, S. 149 ...	77
as to time and place of sitting &c. of Magistrates' Benches, S. 16 ...	22	" " seized by police, when no claimant appears, S. 524 ...	301
Chief Presidency Magistrate may make rules for Benches, Ss. 18, 21, 23, 24 and for regulating business &c. of Presidency Magistrates, S. 21 ...	24	" " when perishable &c., S. 525 ...	301
District Magistrate may make additional — regarding Benches, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	22	" " by Magistrate not empowered, not vitiated, S. 529 (4) ...	306
" may make — for distribution of work to Subordinate Magistrates and Benches, S. 17 ...	23	on forfeiture of bond, S. 514 ...	207
Draft — regarding Benches, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	22	Search of place used for — of stolen property, forged documents &c., S. 95 ...	57
Effect of — made under repealed Acts, S. 2 ...	14	SANCTION—	
For guidance of Benches of Magistrates by whom to be made, S. 16 ...	22	Previous — required to alteration of number of Sessions Divisions or Districts, S. 7 ...	18
" the Reformatory School, Alipore, <i>Appendix</i> ...	459, 460	to delegation of authority to appoint special Magistrates, S. 14 ...	20
" recording evidence in High Courts and Chief Court, Punjab, S. 365 ...	217	to framing of rules for guidance of Presidency Magistrates, S. 21 ...	24
" the destruction of records, <i>Appendix</i> ...	608-9	SANCTION TO PROSECUTE—	
		required in certain prosecutions, S. 196 ...	121
		Nature of — required, &c. ...	121

	PAGE		PAGE
SANCTION TO PROSECUTE, Continued—		SANCTION TO PROSECUTE, Continued—	
how and by whom granted and revoked, S. 195 ...	121	For prosecution for breach of contract, defamation and offences against marriage, S. 198 ...	126
given in respect of any offence, gives jurisdiction over other offence disclosed by the facts, <i>ib.</i> ...	121	For enticing away married woman and for adultery, S. 199 ...	126
Difference between "complaint" and — explained, <i>ib. note</i> ...	122	whether death of husband puts an end to prosecution, S. 199 ...	126
Application for — to whom to be made in first instance, S. 195 <i>note</i> ..	122	<i>note</i> ...	126
Procedure in such cases, S. 476 ...	275	immaterial whether the woman is adult or a minor, S. 199 <i>note</i> ...	126
must be some preliminary inquiry, <i>ib. note</i> ..	275	for house trespass with intent to commit adultery not necessary, <i>ib.</i> ...	126
not necessarily in presence of accused, <i>ib.</i> ...	276	when charge of adultery is made before Magistrate, he can proceed against any one implicated, <i>ib.</i> ...	126
accused need not be called upon to show cause, <i>ib.</i> ...	276	When necessary for trial on an amended or altered charge, S. 230 ...	147
Procedure in prosecutions for false complaint, <i>ib.</i> ...	276	In summons-case, requiring —, Magistrate cannot dismiss case in absence of complainant, or permit withdrawal with special —, S. 247 <i>note</i> , S. 249 ...	155
Court of Session— may commit or try person for offence under S. 195 committed before it, S. 477 ...	277	When — of District Magistrate necessary for tender of pardon to accomplice-witness, S. 339 ...	198
Civil or Revenue Court may commit in similar cases, S. 478 ...	277	Certain officers not to try cases in which they have given —, S. 487 ..	281
Procedure in such cases, S. 479 ...	277-8	What officers can hear appeals from prosecutions requiring —, S. 487 <i>note</i> ...	281
Successor in office may give —, S. 195 <i>note</i> ...	122	When want of — does not invalidate proceedings &c., S. 537 ...	309
Discretion how to be exercised, <i>ib.</i> ..	122	SARUN—	
Before — can be given, original complaint must have been disposed of in regular manner, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	Benches of Magistrates in District of — (Bengal), S. 15 <i>note</i> ..	21
When to be given, in complaints to Police, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	SAVING—	
What to specify, in prosecution for two contradictory statements, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	of jurisdiction, of Cantonment Magistrates in Madras and Bombay, S. 1 (b) ..	13
No appeal lies from order giving —, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	" " of heads of villages in Madras, S. 1 (c) ...	13
No — necessary to prosecute for false complaint to Police only, when no further proceedings taken, <i>ib.</i> ...	123	" " of Village Police officers in Bombay, S. (d) ...	13
nor for prosecution of witness (not a party) for offence as regards documents &c., <i>ib.</i> ...	123	of local or special laws, S. 1 ...	13
By whom to be given for prosecution of offences against the State, S. 196 and regarding lotteries, <i>ib.</i> ...	124	" notifications under repealed Act, S. 2 ...	14
and of Public servants and Judges, S. 197 ...	124	" powers conferred by other laws still in force, S. 2 ...	14
and of Magistrate or of Military or Police officer, Soldier &c., for act done in dispersing assembly, S. 132 ...	72	" special form of procedure under other laws, S. 1 ...	13
of Kulkarni (Bombay) for false report, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	125	" special jurisdiction, S. 1 ...	13
For prosecution of medical officer, who gives false evidence, not necessary, S. 197 <i>note</i> ...	126	SCHEDULE—	
		defined, S. 4 (e) ...	17

	PAGE
SEALING—	
of summons, S. 68 ...	45
„ warrant, S. 75 ...	48

SEALS—	
Joinder of charges in respect of possession of counterfeit —, S. 235	
<i>Id.</i> (d) ...	149
Search of place for false —, S. 98 ...	57

SEARCH—	
by the Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
for letters, postcards and telegrams, S. 95 ...	56
„ false weights and measures, S. 153 ...	89
„ persons wrongfully confined, <i>see</i> WARRANT.	
of place for purpose of arrest, <i>see</i> ARREST.	
„ persons arrested, S. 51 ...	38
„ woman, S. 52 ...	38
Warrant, <i>see</i> WARRANT.	

SECURITY—	
Bond, <i>see</i> BOND.	
taken by the Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
may be ordered to be taken on warrant of arrest, S. 76 ...	49
When order for forfeiture of any — bond must be passed, S. 514 and note ...	247
(a) <i>For keeping the peace, on conviction—</i>	
What Courts may require and when, S. 106 and note ...	60, 61
Period of bond for, <i>ib.</i> ...	60
Bond for — becomes void on conviction being attained, <i>ib.</i> ...	60
Order for — to be made at time of passing sentence, <i>ib.</i> ...	60
Bond for — exempt from stamp duty, <i>ib.</i> note ...	60
Certain convictions for criminal intimidation do not render liable to —, <i>ib.</i> ...	60
for criminal trespass, <i>ib.</i> ...	60
Procedure if Magistrate not empowered to demand, <i>ib.</i> and S. 349 ...	60, 207
Appellate Court can add order for — on dismissing appeal, S. 106 note ...	61
Order for — not appealable, <i>ib.</i> ...	61
Imprisonment in default of — to be simple, S. 123 ...	69
District Magistrate may cancel any bond for —, S. 125 ...	70
Omission to state amount of — in bond will not invalidate proceedings, S. 537 note ...	310
(b) <i>For keeping the peace, in other cases—</i>	
What Magistrates may require, S. 107 ...	61
under what circumstances, <i>ib.</i> ...	61
for what period, <i>ib.</i> ...	61
cannot be required on grounds other than shown in notice, without opportunity to show cause, S. 107 note ...	61-2

SECURITY, Continued—	
(b) <i>For keeping the peace, in other cases, Continued—</i>	
Order to show cause must show that Magistrate has received credible information, S. 107 ...	62
what is credible information sufficient to issue order to show cause, <i>ib.</i> ...	62
Report of Police officer or of Subordinate Magistrate not sufficient of itself to justify order requiring —, <i>ib.</i> ...	62
Act which would occasion breach of peace must be a wrongful act to justify order requiring —, <i>ib.</i> ...	62
Procedure of Magistrate not empowered, of High Court or of Court of Session, S. 108 ...	62
When warrant of arrest may issue against defendant, S. 114 ...	65
Magistrate may allow accused to appear by Pleader, S. 116 ...	66
Imprisonment in default to be simple, S. 123 ...	69
District Magistrate may cancel any bond for —, S. 125 ...	70
Omission to state amount of — in bond will not invalidate proceedings, S. 537 note ...	310
Inquiry to be as in summons-cases, S. 117 ...	64
(c) <i>For good behaviour, from Vagrants and Suspects—</i>	
What Magistrates may require, S. 109 ...	62
under what circumstances, <i>ib.</i> ...	62
for what period, <i>ib.</i> ...	62
Proceedings to be separate from proceedings on account of actual commission of offence, <i>ib.</i> note ...	63
Proceedings should not be against more than one person in each case, <i>ib.</i> ...	63
What constitutes breach of bond, S. 121 ...	68
Imprisonment in default may be rigorous or simple, S. 123 ...	69
Cash or Government Promissory notes cannot be taken as —, S. 513 ...	296
cannot be demanded from European British subject in certain cases, S. 111 ...	64
Appeal against order for —, S. 406 ...	241
Inquiry to be as in warrant-cases, except that no charge need be framed, S. 117 ...	66
Reasons for refusal to accept surety to be recorded, S. 123 ...	68

	PAGE		PAGE
SECURITY, Continued—		SECURITY, Concluded—	
(d) For good behaviour, from habitual offenders—		Provisions applicable to (b), (c), (d) <i>supra</i> , Concluded—	
What Magistrates may require and when, S. 110	63	Procedure if Magistrate vacates office before completing proceedings, S. 117	66
Power not to be exercised as a punishment for past offences, <i>ib. note</i>	63	Witnesses for defence to be summoned &c., <i>ib.</i>	66
Evidence necessary to justify order for —, <i>ib.</i>	63	On charge being proved, Magistrate shall pass order to give security, S. 118	67
Not to be demanded when person is under trial for an offence, <i>ib.</i>	63	appeal against order, S. 406	241
Second security may be demanded on expiry of term, <i>ib.</i>	64	Security not to be different from that stated in order to show cause, S. 118	67
cannot be demanded from European British Subject in certain cases, S. 111	64	Amount of bond how to be fixed, <i>ib. and note</i>	67
What constitutes breach of bond, S. 121	68	If accused a minor, he gives no personal recognizance, S. 118 and <i>note</i>	67
Imprisonment in default may be rigorous or imple, S. 123	69	But minor must attend case in person or by pleader, S. 118 <i>note</i>	67
Cash or Government Promissory notes cannot be accepted as —, S. 513	296	Orders for security passed by certain Magistrates are appealable, S. 117 <i>note</i> , S. 406	67, 241
Appeal against order for —, S. 406	241	If amount of bond unreasonable, High Court will interfere, S. 118 <i>note</i>	67, 68
Inquiry to be as in warrant cases, except that no charge is framed, S. 117	66	When accused to be discharged, S. 119	68
Evidence as to person being habitual offender, S. 117 and <i>note</i>	66-7	Provisions applicable to all cases (a), (b), (c), (d)—	
Reasons for refusal to accept surety must be recorded, S. 122	68	Commencement of period from which bond is to run, S. 120	68
Provisions applicable to (b), (c), (d) <i>supra</i> —		Contents of bond, S. 121	68
Magistrate to issue order in writing to show cause, S. 112	64	Order for forfeiture of bond when to be passed, <i>ib. note</i>	68
Order what to contain, <i>ib.</i>	64	Imprisonment in default when to be ordered, S. 123	69
Copy of order to accompany summons or warrant and to be delivered to accused, S. 115	66	High Court or Court of Session may order security to be taken for period of three years, S. 123	69
Certificate of delivery of copy of order, <i>ib. note</i>	66	Procedure of District or Presidency Magistrate with view to discharge of person imprisoned in default by order of (1) any Magistrate, (2) High Court or Court of Session, S. 124	69
Effect of irregularity in order, S. 112 <i>note</i>	64-5	Sureties may apply to certain Magistrates to be discharged, S. 126	70
Selection of sureties, <i>ib.</i>	65	Procedure on application, S. 126	70
Nature of security to be demanded, <i>ib.</i>	65	Bond to be cancelled on application, <i>ib.</i>	70
Rules for fixing amount of security, <i>ib.</i>	65	SEIZURE—	
Procedure if accused present in Court, S. 113	65	by police, of property found on person arrested or suspected to be stolen &c., S. 523	300
Summons or warrant on person not present in Court, S. 114	65	by police, of false weights and measures, S. 153	80
On appearance of accused, Magistrate to inquire into case, S. 117	66	of property produced before a Court, S. 518	299
To take further evidence if necessary, <i>ib.</i>	66	of property of absconder, S. 83	53
Accused may cross-examine witnesses, and may offer evidence, <i>ib. note</i>	66		

SENTENCE—	PAGE
Alleged severity of — is ground for appeal, S. 418, <i>Expt.</i> ...	244
Alteration of date of warrant of —, S. 397 <i>note</i> ...	235
Confiscation is not part of a —, but a consequence of it, S. 260 <i>note</i> ...	164
Disagreement of Sessions Judge with verdict is no reason for his passing a nominal —, S. 306 <i>note</i> ...	186
Effect of — passed under repealed Act, S. 2 ...	14
Enhanced — may be passed after previous conviction, S. 348 <i>note</i> ...	206
or by High Court on revision, S. 439 ...	256
in what cases the High Court will enhance —, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	258
Maximum — on conviction for several offences at one trial, S. 35 ...	30
of death, <i>see</i> DEATH, <i>Execution of Sentence (post)</i>	
of transportation, <i>see</i> TRANSPORTATION. ...	
Procedure when Magistrate cannot pass sufficiently severe —, S. 349 ...	207
what — the Mag. may pass on such reference, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	207
Relative severity of different kinds of —, S. 398 ...	234-5
Separate — a, should be passed on conviction for separate offences supported by separate evidence, S. 35 <i>note</i> ...	31
" " for house breaking and theft, <i>ib.</i> ...	31
" " " house trespass and criminal force, <i>ib.</i> ...	31
" " " rioting and unlawful assembly, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
" " " culpable homicide and unlawful assembly, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
" " " rioting and causing hurt in rioting, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
" " " mischief and theft, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
" " " kidnapping and keeping in confinement kidnapped person, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
" " cannot be passed for offence punishable under the I. P. C., and also under a special law, for act punishable by either, <i>ib.</i> ...	32
Special — which certain District Magistrates may pass, S. 34 ...	30
to be specified in judgment, S. 367 ...	217

SENTENCE, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE
to be passed in summons-cases, <i>see</i> SUMMONS-CASE. ...	
which may be passed by High Court or Sessions Judge, S. 31 ...	27
by Magistrates, S. 33 ...	27, 38
on European British subject, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT. ...	
Confirmation of sentences—	
Death, Sessions Judge to give immediate notice to Superintendent of Jail, S. 374 <i>note</i> ...	221
and should record that he has inquired whether convict wishes to appeal, <i>ib.</i> ...	221
" Sentences to be submitted to High Court for confirmation, S. 374 ...	221
" not to be executed till confirmed, <i>ib.</i> ...	221
" Procedure in Madras Presidency, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	221
" High Court may direct further inquiry, or additional evidence to be taken, S. 375 ...	222
Procedure thereon, <i>ib.</i> ...	222
" High Court may confirm sentence, annul conviction, alter conviction or sentence or acquit, S. 376 ...	222
" not to be confirmed till period for appeal expired or appeal disposed of, <i>ib.</i> ...	222
" High Court is bound to go into the facts, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	222
" confirmation of new sentences to be signed by 2 Judges, S. 377 ...	222
" if the 2 Judges differ, case to be laid before 3rd Judge, whose order is final, S. 378 ...	222
" High Court to send copy of order to Sessions Court, S. 379 ...	222
Other Sentences, confirmation by Sessions Judge of — passed by Assistant Mag., J. L. or Mag., specially empowered, S. 380 ...	222
" " appeal to whom lies, S. 408 ...	241
Execution of Sentences—	
Warrant for execution of any — may be issued either by Court passing it, or by successor in office, S. 389 ...	222

SENTENCE, Continued—	PAGE
<i>Execution of Sentences, Continued—</i>	
Death, Court of Sessions how to carry out order confirming —, S. 381 ..	223
" time and place of execution, <i>ib. note</i> ...	224
" officers to be present at execution, <i>ib. note</i> ...	224
" postponement and commutation of — on pregnant woman, S. 382 ...	224
Pregnancy how certified, <i>ib. note</i> ...	224
Transportation or imprisonment, accused, on — being passed, to be forwarded to jail with warrant, S. 383 ..	224
" language of warrant, <i>ib. note</i> ...	225
" warrant to show certain particulars in all cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	225
in case of military convict, <i>ib.</i> ...	225
" warrant to whom to be directed, S. 384 ..	226
" to be lodged with jailor, S. 385 ...	226
" Jailor doubting legality of warrant to refer the matter to Govt., <i>ib. note</i> ...	226
Fine, Court may issue warrant for levy from moveable property, S. 386 ..	226
" whether fine can be levied from immoveable property after death, <i>ib. note</i> ...	226
" cannot be levied from standing crops, <i>ib.</i> ...	227
" warrant to issue without waiting for result of appeal, <i>ib.</i> ...	227
" to be directed to Police officer, <i>ib.</i> ...	227
" Procedure on claimant to property appearing, <i>ib.</i> ...	227
" Effect of warrant, S. 387 ..	227
" on realization of fine, immediate notice to be given to Jailor, <i>ib. note</i> ...	227
" rules for the levy of fine in Bengal, Madras and the Panjab, S. 387 <i>note</i> ...	227-231
" imprisonment in default of of fine, S. 38 ..	228-30

SENTENCE, Continued—	PAGE
<i>Execution of Sentences, Continued—</i>	
Fine, when sentence is imprisonment in default of fine only, it may be suspended pending issue of warrant, S. 388 ...	232
Whipping, when sole sentence, when and where to be executed, S. 390 ...	232
even if appeal lies, S. 391 <i>note</i> ...	233
" when additional punishment, when and where to be executed, S. 391 and <i>Appendix</i> ...	232, 496
" mode of executing —, S. 392 and <i>Appendix</i> ...	233, 496
" Limit of stripes, <i>ib.</i> ...	233
" not to be executed by instalments, S. 393 ...	233
" certain persons not to be whipped, <i>ib.</i> ...	233
" medical officer should be present at execution, <i>ib. note</i> ...	234
" not to be executed if offender not in fit state of health, S. 394 ...	233
" stay of execution, <i>ib.</i> ...	234
" Procedure on stay of sentence, S. 395 ...	234
On escaped convict, sentence how to take effect, S. 396 ...	234-5
" " " First sentence not to be affected, S. 398 ...	236
On offender already under sentence, to commence on expiry of first sentence, S. 397 ...	236
Proviso when transportation is the 2nd sentence, <i>ib.</i> ...	236
" " " When to commence on first sentence being set aside, <i>ib. note</i> ...	236
" " " First sentence not to be affected by 2nd sentence, S. 398 ...	236
On youthful offender, when he can be sentenced to Reformatory school, S. 399 ...	236

	PAGE		PAGE
SENTENCE, Concluded—		SERVICE, Concluded—	
<i>Execution of Sentences, Concluded—</i>		of summons, outside local limits, S. 73	47
On youthful offender, Form of order		" " " issue of warrant on non-	
of detention		appearance after due	
therein, S. 399		proof of, S. 90	55
note	236	" " provisions of Chapter VI	
<i>Return of warrant of Sentence—</i>		apply to — of all sum-	
Warrant to be returned when sen-		mons, S. 93	55
tence fully executed, with en-		" " copy of order to show	
dorsement thereon as to execution,		cause (S. 112) to ac-	
S. 400	236-7	company, S. 115	66
<i>Endorsement as to whipping, S.</i>			
<i>note</i>	237	SESSIONS—	
<i>Suspension, remission and commutation</i>		of High Court, time, place and notice	
<i>of sentence—</i>		of —, Ss. 334, 335	195
G. G. in C. or Local Government		" Sessions Court, how fixed, in Bengal,	
may suspend or remit		S. 170 note	169, 170
— with or without		in Bombay, S.	169
conditions, S. 401	237	in Madras, S.	170
may take opinion of		in Punjab, S.	170
Judge who convicted,			
S.	237	SESSIONS CASE—	
may cancel suspension or		Inquiry into —, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.	
remission, and any			
Police officer can then		SESSIONS COURTS—	
arrest without war-		Arrangement of trials before —, S. 217	
rant, S. 401	237	note, S. 218 note	139, 140
In Punjab, applications for suspen-		Calendar of accused for trial before —,	
sion &c. to be submitted through		S. 218 note	139
Chief Court, <i>ib. note</i>	237	cannot add charge not supported by	
Appellate Court can suspend sen-		evidence before Magistrate, S. 226	
tence pending appeal, S. 126	231	note	146
Sessions Judge or District Magis-		but S. should adjourn trial and	
trate can suspend sentence pend-		direct further inquiry, S. 227	
ing revision, S. 438	235	note	147
G. G. in C. or Local Government		constituted, S. 6	17
may without accused's consent		continued, S. 9	18
commute certain punishments for		Date of trial before — how arranged,	
others, S. 402	237	S. 218 note	139, 140
SEPARATE—		Fee not chargeable for summons to	
Charges, <i>see</i> CHARGE (<i>Joinder of</i>		juror or assessor to attend in —,	
<i>Charges</i>)		S. 93 note	55
Sentences, <i>see</i> SENTENCE.		Inquiry into cases triable by —, <i>see</i>	
Trials, <i>see</i> TRIAL.		INQUIRY	
SERVANT—		Local Govt. to establish — for every	
Service of summons on — of Govern-		Sessions Division, S. 9	11
ment or of Railway Company, S. 72	47	may order admission to bail in any	
		case, and may reduce bail, S. 408	290
SERVICE—		Powers of — in security-cases, <i>see</i> SE-	
Criminal Breach of contract of —		SECURITY	
(Ss. 480 to 492 I. P. C.) compound-		Procedure of — when it appears that	
able, S. 345	201	a person is likely to occasion a	
of order for removal of nuisance, S. 134	74	breach of the peace, S. 108	62
in urgent cases, S. 144	79	Trials before —, <i>see</i> TRIALS	
of summons, Ss. 64-74	45-48	When — may alter and amend charge,	
" " how effected, S. 69	47	and how, S. 226 and note	146
" " by whom served, S. 68	45	SESSIONS DIVISIONS—	
" " to be personal if practi-		continued, S. 7	10
cable, S. 69	47	Courts of Session to be established by	
" " when person summoned		Local Govt. for —, S. 9	11
cannot be found, S. 70	47	Division of provinces into —, S. 7	10
" " on servant of Govt. or of		Limits of — subject to alteration by	
Railway Company,		Local Govt., S. 7	10
S. 72	47	Number of — subject to similar altera-	
		tion, subject to sanction, S.	10

	PAGE		PAGE
SESSIONS DIVISIONS, <i>Concluded</i>—		SHOWING CAUSE, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Power of Local Govt. to order any cases or classes of cases to be tried in any —, S. 178 ...	111	against order for removal of nuisance, Ss. 135-137 ...	75
Presidency Towns not included in —, S. 7 ...	10	against payment of penalty of bond, S. 514 ...	297
to consist of Districts, or to be Districts, <i>ib.</i> ...	10	against security for keeping the peace or for good behaviour, Ss. 107, 109, 110, 112, 116 ...	61-64 66
SESSIONS JUDGE—		SIGNATURE—	
Appointment of —, S. 9 ...	18	by Police officer and witnesses of report on sudden or unnatural death, S. 174 ...	103
" " Additional, Joint or Assistant —, <i>ib.</i> ...	18	by witnesses of list of articles found under search-warrant, S. 103 ...	59
Additional, Assistant and Joint — included in term —, S. 9 <i>note</i> ...	18	of complainant's examination, S. 200 ...	127
Assistant — subordinate to —, S. 17 ...	23	" copy of High Court's confirmation order, S. 379 ...	223
Additional, Assistant and Joint — may pass what sentences, S. 31 ...	27	" information concerning cognizable offence, S. 154 ...	89
Assistant —, powers of —, S. 31 <i>note</i> ...	27	" judgment, S. 367 ...	217
Cognizance of offences by —, Assistant, Joint and Additional —, S. 193 ...	120	" memorandum of accused's examination, S. 364 ...	215
Exception, as to contempt —, S. 477 ...	277	" new sentence or order passed by High Court, S. 377 ...	222
Jurisdiction of — and of Assistant — over European British Subjects, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.		" orders of postponement or of adjournment, S. 344 ...	202
may make rules for distribution of business to Assistant —, S. 17 ...	23	" record of evidence, in Mofussil, S. 356 ...	209
Procedure when — considers commitment to be illegal, S. 215 <i>note</i> ...	138	" " " " by Presidency Magistrates, S. 362 ...	214
Requisitions of — to Magistrates, S. 17 <i>note</i> ...	23	" " of accused's examination, S. 364 ...	215
Subordination of Magistrates to —, S. 17 and <i>note</i> ...	23	" " or judgment in summary trials by Benches, when prepared by a clerk, S. 265 ...	167
Sentence of death passed by —, or by Additional or Joint —, to be confirmed by High Court, S. 31 ...	27	" revised list of jurors and assessors for Courts of Session, S. 324 ...	193
to confirm certain sentences passed by Assistant —, S. 31 ...	27	" warrant, S. 75 ...	48
SESSIONS TRIALS—		to confessions before inquiry or trial, S. 164 ...	96
<i>See</i> TRIALS.		SIMPLE IMPRISONMENT—	
SETTING ASIDE PROCEEDINGS—		Commutation of sentence to one of —, S. 402 ...	237
on ground of irregularity, S. 531 ...	307	discretion as to awarding —, on failure of security for good behaviour, S. 123 ...	69
SEVERITY—		less severe than rigorous imprisonment, S. 396 (c) ...	235
Alleged — of sentence is ground for appeal, S. 413 <i>Expt.</i> ...	244	only kind awardable on failure of security to keep the peace, S. 123 ...	69
Relative — of different kinds of sentences, S. 396 ...	234-5	SINDH—	
SHAHABAD—		What Magistrates may hear appeals in —, S. 407 <i>note</i> ...	241
Benches of Magistrates in —, S. 16 <i>note</i> ...	21	SITTINGS OF HIGH COURT—	
SHERIFF—		Notice of —, S. 335 ...	195
Certain High Courts may direct accused to be brought in, on —'s return of <i>expi corpus</i> , S. 491 (f) ...	285	Place of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	195
SHOWING CAUSE—		Time of holding —, S. 334 ...	195
against commitment by higher Court, accused after discharge to have opportunity for —, S. 436 ...	254	SMALL CAUSE COURT—	
against conviction in summons-case, Ss. 242, 243 ...	153	Appeal from conviction by —, in contempt-case, S. 456 ...	280

	PAGE
SMALL CAUSE COURT. <i>Concluded—</i>	
for purposes of sanction, subordinate to what Court, S. 195 ...	121
SOLDIER—	
Conviction of —, S. 245 note, S. 353 note ...	235
Protection of — for acts done in dispersing assemblies, S. 132 ...	72
Service of summons on —, S. 72 note ...	47
Where British — is triable for offence, S. 177 note ...	111
SOLITARY CONFINEMENT—	
What Courts may award —, S. 32 ...	27, 28
District Magistrate specially empowered may award —, S. 34 ...	30
Relative severity of sentence of —, S. 396 (b) ...	235
SPENTIAL PERGUNNAHS—	
Powers of Deputy Commissioner in —, S. 30 note ...	27
SPECIAL—	
form of procedure, prescribed by law in force, saved, S. 1 ...	13
jurisdiction, saved, <i>ib.</i> ...	13
jurors, exemption of —, S. 311 ...	190
„ lists of —, S. 313 ...	190
„ number of —, S. 312 ...	190
Jury, when chosen in Presidency Towns, S. 276 ...	173
law, saving of —, S. 1 ...	13
„ offence under —, how to be tried, <i>See</i> , S. 29 ...	17, 26
Magistrates <i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.	
permission to conduct prosecution, S. 495 ...	257
powers saved, S. 1 ...	13
Police, may be employed where, S. 127 note ...	71
provisions of the Code applying to Police of Towns of Calcutta, and Bombay, <i>See</i> , S. 1, 54, 68, 84, 201, 13, 39, 45, 51, 129 ...	293-6
Rules of Evidence, <i>See</i> 509-512 ...	
SPEEDY REMEDY—	
Temporary order in nuisance-case when desirable, S. 144 ...	79
STAMP—	
Bonds in criminal cases exempt from — duty, S. 106 note ...	60
Search for counterfeit —s, S. 98 ...	57
Trial of persons convicted of certain offences relating to —, S. 346 ...	26
STANDING COUNSEL—	
may conduct prosecution without special permission, S. 495 ...	287
STATE—	
Evidence as to affairs of —, Evid. Act, S. 123 ...	444
Property belonging to the —, S. 133 ...	73
Prosecution for offences against the —, S. 196 ...	124

	PAGE
STATE. <i>Concluded—</i>	
Railway, inquest in accident on —, S. 174 note ...	107-9
Secretary of —, suit against, in nuisance-cases, S. 183 note ...	74
Subject of Foreign — cannot be a Justice of the Peace in Presidency Town, S. 23 ...	26
STATEMENT—	
Admissibility of — made to Police, S. 163 note, Evid. Act, S. 24 note, 95, 96, 412-13 ...	
Any Magistrate not being a Police officer can record a —, S. 164 ...	96
Procedure to be observed, <i>ib.</i> ...	96
By persons who cannot be called as witnesses ...	
Dying declaration not taken in presence of accused, Evid. Act, S. 23 note ...	423
made by dead or absent person, when relevant, <i>ib.</i> , S. 32 ...	418-9
made in one judicial proceeding, when evidence in another, <i>ib.</i> , S. 33 ...	420-1
Grounds for admission thereof to be fully stated, <i>ib.</i> note ...	421
Nature of incapacity to attend, discussed, <i>ib.</i> ...	422
Proof of — made by deceased person, <i>ib.</i> , S. 32 note ...	410
Free — not to be prevented by caution, S. 163 ...	94
How much of a — is to be proved, Evid. Act, S. 39 ...	423
Made under special circumstances—	
as to law, in law books, Evid. Act, S. 35 ...	423
„ „ fact of public nature, <i>ib.</i> , S. 37 ...	424
Entry in books of account, when and how far relevant, <i>ib.</i> , S. 34 ...	423
Entry in public record, made in performance of public duty, <i>ib.</i> , S. 35 ...	422
But absence of entry cannot be proved, <i>ib.</i> note ...	423
In map, chart, or plan, <i>ib.</i> , S. 36 ...	423
of accomplice-witness may be proved against him, S. 339 ...	196
of accused, <i>see</i> ACCUSED.	
Prosecution for giving false evidence in respect of — of accomplice-witness, S. 339 ...	196
Record of — to be presumed to be genuine &c., S. 164 note ...	97
What — only, when made to Police officer, are to be signed, S. 162 ...	93
STATION—	
Police —, defined, S. 4 (c) ...	16
„ „ officer in charge of, defined, <i>ib.</i> ...	16

	PAGE		PAGE
STATION, Concluded—		SUB-DIVISION, Concluded—	
Police, —, certain matters must be reported to officer in charge of —, S. 45 ...	35	Magistrates and Benches in a — are subordinate to District Magistrate, S. 17 ...	28
<i>see</i> POLICE (<i>Station</i>).		Service of summons on medical subordinate at a —, S. 72 <i>note</i> ...	47
Trial of petty offences in Military bazars of certain —s, S. 1 (b) ...	13	SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE—	
STATUTES—		<i>see</i> MAGISTRATE.	
13 Geo. III, Cap. 63, S. 38—S. 25 ...	25	SUBJECT—	
" " " " " repealed, Sched. I ...	326	of Foreign State cannot be made on Justice of the Peace in a Presidency Town, S. 23 ...	26
14 and 15 Vic. Cap. 81, Ss. 1, 2—S. 466 <i>note</i> ...	271	Native Indian — can be tried for offences committed beyond British India, S. 188 ...	116
24 and 25 Vic. Cap. 104—Ss. 266, 267 ...	168	European British —, <i>see</i> EUROPEAN BRITISH SUBJECT.	
" " " " " S. 15—Ss. 178, 554 ...	323	SUBMISSION—	
" " " " " Ss. 23, 28—S. 194 <i>note</i> ...	120	Discharge of person in contempt-case on —, S. 484 ...	279
28 Vic. Cap. 15, S. 3—S. 458 <i>note</i> ...	265	of report to Magistrate on suspicion of cognizable offence, S. 158 ...	92
34 and 35 Vic. Cap. 112, S. 19—Evid. Act, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	428	SUBORDINATE COURTS—	
39 and 40 Vic. Cap. 46, S. 4 (<i>Slave Trade</i>)—S. 491 ...	292	Power of High Court to make rules for —, S. 553 ...	322-3
42 and 43 Vic. Cap. 33, S. 147 (<i>Army</i>)—S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	38	SUBORDINATE JUDGES—	
STAY—		in Madras, invested with powers of 1st class Magistrate and to hold summary trials, S. 14 <i>note</i> ...	21
of execution of sentence of whipping, S. 394 ...	234	for purposes of sanction to prosecute, to what Court subordinate, S. 195 ...	121
" inquiry or trial pending return of commission, S. 508 ...	293	SUBORDINATE MAGISTRATES—	
" order for disposal of property produced at trial, S. 520 ...	299	Appointment of —, S. 12 ...	19
" proceedings, when Magistrate finds he cannot try them, S. 346 ...	205	Application by, for issue of commission, S. 506 ...	293
" proceedings, if prosecution on altered charge requires sanction, S. 230 ...	147	Deputation of — in land disputes, S. 148 ...	89
" proceedings under S. 249 does not amount to acquittal, S. 403. <i>Expt.</i> ...	238	Local limits of jurisdiction of —, S. 12 ...	19
STEALING—		Report of — is sufficient ground for issue of summons to show cause against security to keep the peace, S. 107 <i>note</i> ...	62
where triable, S. 181 ...	113	Rescission of order of — in urgent nuisance cases, S. 144 ...	80
STOLEN PROPERTY—		of first class, defined, S. 3 ...	14
<i>See</i> PROPERTY.		" second class, defined, <i>ib.</i> ...	14
STRANGULATION—		SUBORDINATE MEDICAL OFFICER—	
Duties of Police in investigating cases of death by —, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	105	Service of summons on —, S. 72 ...	47
SUB-DIVISION —		SUBORDINATE POLICE OFFICER—	
Cantonment Magistrate is a Magistrate in charge of a —, S. 8 <i>note</i> ...	18	Deputation of —, to make arrest without warrant, S. 56 ...	42
defined, S. 4 (f) ...	13	" " to conduct search, S. 165 ...	99
Existing — retained, S. 8 ...	18	" " to investigate cognizable offence, S. 167 ...	91
Local Government may divide any District into —s, S. 8 ...	18	investigating case, to submit report, S. 168 ...	106
may alter boundaries of —, <i>ib.</i> ...	18	to communicate information of design to commit cognizable offence to his superior, S. 150 ...	98
may place any 1st or 2nd class Magistrate in charge of —, or may relieve him, S. 12 ...	20		
Delegation of power to District Magistrate, <i>id.</i> ...	20		

	PAGE		PAGE
SUBORDINATION—		SUMMARY TRIALS, <i>Continued</i>—	
of Assistant Sessions Judge to Sessions Judge, S. 17	23	Jurisdiction to so try not barred when the law allows confinement to follow conviction, S. 260	164
of Courts for purposes of sanction to prosecute, S. 195	121	<i>Procedure in Summary Trials—</i>	
of District Magistrate to Sessions Judge, S. 17, and <i>note</i>	23	Procedure in summons-cases and warrant-cases applicable, S. 262	165
of Magistrates and Benches to District Magistrate, S. 17	23	Imprisonment not to exceed three months, <i>ib.</i>	165
SUB-REGISTRAR—		Particulars to be entered in Register when no appeal lies, S. 263	165-6
Appeal from conviction by —, in contempt-cases, S. 484	280	Examination of accused how to be recorded, <i>ib. note</i>	166
when to be deemed a Civil Court, S. 483	279	Judgment may be subsequently recorded, <i>ib.</i>	166
SUBSISTENCE—		If reasons for conviction not recorded, conviction will be set aside, <i>ib.</i>	166
Arrest of person having no ostensible means of —, S. 55 (b)	41	Procedure to be strictly observed, <i>ib.</i>	166
Proceedings against such person for security for good behaviour, S. 102 (b)	63	In warrant-cases, charge to be definite and verbal, <i>ib.</i>	166
SUCCESSOR—		Final order what to show, <i>ib.</i>	166
to Court passing sentence, may issue distress warrant, S. 359	232	In appealable case, particulars to be entered in form, S. 264	167
SUDDEN DEATH—		" " Judgment to be recorded, <i>ib.</i>	167
<i>see</i> DEATH.		" " Judgment to be the only record, <i>ib.</i>	167
SUICIDE—		" " Judgment, when insufficient, <i>ib. note</i>	167
Investigation by Police into cases of —, S. 174 (a)	102	" " omission to record Judgment, S. 264	167
Form of charge of abetment of —, Sched. V, No XXVIII, (1), 7	387	<i>note</i>	167
SUIT—		Judgment to be recorded by whom and in what language, S. 265	167
not to lie against Magistrate for act done in good faith in removing public nuisance, S. 142	79	Records in — to be made by presiding, or by specially appointed officer, S. 16 <i>note</i> , S. 265	22, 167
SUMMARY REJECTION—		Trial of offence summarily when not so triable is void, S. 530 <i>note</i>	307
of appeal, S. 421	240	No appeal from certain convictions in —, S. 414	203
SUMMARY TRIALS—		when appeal will lie, S. 415	243
What Magistrates and Benches may try summarily, Ss. 260, 261	162-4	SUMMING-UP—	
Exception, S. 260, <i>Proc.</i>	163	case for prosecution, S. 269	170
What offences may be tried summarily, Ss. 260, 261	162-4	" " defence, S. 269	180
Magistrate cannot alter charge so as to make offence so triable, S. 260	163	evidence, when charging jury, S. 297	182
<i>note</i>	163	" Judge may express his opinion on certain questions, S. 298	182
nor can he split up offence charged, for that purpose, <i>ib.</i>	164	SUMMONING—	
Power to try summarily not barred by mere exaggeration of offence at the outset, <i>ib.</i>	164	Jury for trial of European or American, S. 502	266
Procedure intended to apply only to short and simple cases, <i>ib.</i>	164	Witnesses by Commissioner, S. 503	291
A <i>bona fide</i> claim of right bars right to try summarily, <i>ib.</i>	164	" for defence, S. 291	180
When accused also charged with previous conviction under Chap. XVII I. P. C., he is not triable summarily, <i>ib.</i>	164	SUMMONS—	
		Contents of —, S. 68 <i>note</i>	46
		Fee for service of —, S. 68 <i>note</i>	46
		" not chargeable for — on jurors or assessor, S. 93 <i>note</i>	55
		Form of —, S. 68 and <i>note</i>	45, 46
		" and service of — on juror or assessor, S. 328	194

	PAGE		PAGE
SUMMONS, <i>Concluded</i>—		SUMMONS-CASE, <i>Continued</i>—	
how to be signed, S. 68 <i>note</i> ...	46	<i>Trial of Summons-case, Concluded</i> —	
issued by Police officer, <i>see</i> POLICE.		Mage. when to acquit, S. 245 ...	154
Issue of — for offence committed be- yond jurisdiction, S. 186 ...	115	and when to convict and sen- tence, <i>ib.</i> ...	154
Issue of warrant in lieu of, or in addi- tion to —, S. 90 ...	55	bound to pass some sentence, on conviction, <i>ib. note</i> ...	154
Order in writing in possession cases to be served as a —, S. 146 <i>note</i> ...	83	Copy of sentence of Military em- ployé to be forwarded to his su- perior, <i>ib.</i> ...	154
Provisions generally applicable to issue and service of —, S. 93 ...	55	Recovery of Court fees in trial of non-cognizable —, <i>ib.</i> ...	154
Refusal to give receipt for — is not an offence, S. 69 <i>note</i> ...	47	Conviction to be for any offence proved or admitted, S. 246 ...	155
Service of —, by whom to be served, S. 68 ...	45	If complainant absent on day fixed, Mage. may acquit, S. 247 ...	155
“ “ how served, S. 69 ...	47	Except when com- plaint required spe- cial sanction, <i>ib. note</i> ...	155
“ “ more shewing — is not sufficient service, S. 70 <i>note</i> ...	47	or when complainant did not know date of adjournment &c. <i>ib.</i> ...	155
“ “ when person cannot be found, S. 70 ...	47	Adjournment how to be made, <i>ib.</i> ...	155
“ “ when receipt cannot be obtained, S. 71 ...	47	Complaint may be withdrawn, and accused to be then acquitted, S. 248 ...	155
“ “ on person in active ser- vice of Govt. or a Railway, <i>ib.</i> ...	47	cannot be withdrawn with- out sanction in certain cases, S. 248 <i>note</i> ...	155
“ “ outside local limits, S. 73 ...	47-8	If case instituted otherwise than on complaint, Mage. may stop pro- ceedings and release accused, S. 249 ...	155
“ “ of Commanding Officer of Cantonment, S. 68 <i>note</i> ...	46	<i>Compensation in summons-case</i> —	
To produce, when — to produce may be issued by a Court, S. 94 ...	56	Complainant may be fined for frivo- lous or vexatious complaint, S. 250 ...	156
“ “ what is deemed sufficient compliance with —, S. 94 ...	56	No appeal against such order, S. 407 <i>note</i> ...	241
“ “ letters postcards, telegrams, &c., Ss. 94, 95 ...	56	Fine how recovered, S. 250 ...	156
Warrant should not issue when — sufficient, S. 90 <i>note</i> ...	47-8	Imprisonment in default of compen- sation to be simple and not to exceed 30 days, <i>ib.</i> ...	156
When — to issue and when warrant, on accused, S. 204 ...	130	Fee on application for recovery of compensation, <i>ib. note</i> ...	156
When — issues, Magistrate may dis- pense with personal attendance of accused, S. 205 ...	131	Compensation cannot be given if Mage allows withdrawal of com- plaint, <i>ib.</i> ...	156
SUMMONS-CASE—		Difference between fine for com- pensation and punishment for false complaint, <i>ib.</i> ...	156
defined, S. 4 (f) ...	16	Complainant to be called on to shew cause against fine for compen- sation, S. 250 <i>note</i> ...	156
Copy of judgment in a — not to be given free of cost, S. 871 ...	220	Compensation can be granted only in summons-cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	156
<i>Trial of Summons-case</i> —		whether can be granted when some of offences charged are warrant- cases, <i>ib.</i> ...	156
Substance of accusation to be stated to accused, S. 243 ...	153		
Formal charge not necessary, <i>ib.</i> ...	153		
Admission how recorded, S. 243 ...	153		
Accused can be convicted on admis- sion, <i>ib.</i> ...	153		
Procedure when offence not admit- ted by accused, S. 244 ...	153		
Magistrate may summon evidence, <i>ib.</i> ...	153		
and may require deposit of expenses of witness, <i>ib.</i> ...	153-4		
On failure of deposit Mage. how to proceed, S. 244 <i>note</i> ...	154		

	PAGE		PAGE
UMMONS-CASE, Concluded—		SUSPECTED PERSONS—	
<i>Compensation in summons-case, Con-</i>		Security for good behaviour from —,	
<i>cluded—</i>		S. 109	62-8
Magistrate may at same time permit		SUSPENSION—	
accused to prosecute, S. 244 . . .	156	and removal of Judges and Magis-	
or may refuse permission		trates, S. 26	25
if compensation suffi-		of Justices of the Peace,	
cient, <i>ib.</i>	156	S. 27	25
Complainant cannot be fined if he		of sentence, <i>see</i> SENTENCE.	
is an officer acting judicially,		SUSPICION—	
directly or indirectly, <i>ib.</i> . . .	167	Cognizance of offences upon —, S. 191	117
Order for compensation cannot be		Proceedings when void,	
passed by Appellate Court under		S. 530	306, 307
S. 250, <i>ib.</i>	157	Procedure by Police on — of cogni-	
Magr. cannot imprison in default		zable offence, <i>See</i> S. 54, 167	306, 31
unless complainant admits he has		SWEARING—	
no goods, or on failure of recovery		of affidavits, S. 539	311
by distress, <i>ib.</i>	157	jurors, S. 281	174
Trial of — summarily, <i>see</i> SUMMARY		juror or assessor as a witness, S. 294 ..	181
TRIAL.			
UPERINTENDENT—		• T.	
District or Assistant District — of		TANK—	
Police, <i>see</i> POLICE.		Conditional order for fencing in any	
of Jail, notice to be given to — of		—, S. 133 and <i>note</i>	73-4
sentence of death, S. 374 <i>note</i> . .	221	Duties of Police when finding body in	
UPERIOR —		a —, S. 174 <i>note</i>	106
officers of police, powers of —, S. 550	322	TAXATION—	
UPPLEMENTARY—		Municipal — in Cantonments, <i>Appen-</i>	
assessors for Sessions Court, S. 327 . .	194	<i>dis</i>	402-3
jurors for High Court, S. 315 . . .	190	TECHNICAL TERMS—	
witnesses, power of Court to summon		Jury to determine meaning of —,	
and examine, after commitment,		S. 99 (a)	184
S. 219	142	TELEGRAM—	
URETIES—		Production of — in custody of Tele-	
Application for discharge of —, pro-		graph Department, <i>See</i> S. 94, 95 . . .	56
cedure, S. 502	290-1	Proceedings void when Magistrate not	
Discharge of persons arrested without		empowered issues search warrant	
warrant on giving bond, with or		for —, S. 580 (b)	306
without —, S. 63	45	TELEGRAPH DEPARTMENT—	
for appearance of person arrested un-		Employees of the — exempt from serv-	
der warrant, S. 76	49	ing as jurors or assessors, S. 320 (f)	198
for appearance of person present in		TELEGRAPH LAWS—	
Court, S. 91	55	Offences against — where triable,	
Insufficient —, S. 501	290	S. 184	114
Order for showing cause to state		TELEGRAPHS—	
number, character and class of —,		Public to assist Magistrates and Police	
S. 112	64	in preventing injury to —, S. 43 . . .	38
Rejection of —, reasons to be recorded,		TEMPORARY ORDER—	
S. 122	68	in urgent cases of public nuisance,	
to bond for keeping peace, <i>See</i> S. 106-107	60, 61	S. 144	79
" " " good behaviour, <i>See</i> S. 109,		TENT—	
110	62, 63	included in definition of "Place,"	
Discharge of —, S. 126	70	S. 4 (c)	17
When accused is a minor, bond to keep		TERM—	
peace or for good behaviour to be		for which bond to be in force to be	
executed only by his —, S. 118 . . .	67	stated in order to show cause,	
URGEON—		S. 112	64
Certain — exempted from serving as		Maximum — of remand to custody,	
jurors or assessors, S. 320 (A) . . .	192	S. 244	302
Hospital assistants included		of imprisonment, in lieu	
in above, <i>id.</i> <i>note</i>	192	of whipping, S. 395	234
Civil—, see CIVIL SURGEON.			

	PAGE		PAGE
TERM, Concluded—		TIME, Concluded—	
New sureties required for unexpired		of holding sittings of High Court,	
— of bond, on discharge of old		S. 384	195
sureties, S. 126	70	„ pronouncing judgment, S. 366 ...	217
of imprisonment, how computed when		„ sitting, power to frame rules for	
convict was released pending ap- peal, S. 426	251	Benchcs, S. 16	23
of imprisonment, on failure to pay		Order in urgent cases of nuisance may	
penalty of bond, S. 514	297	be passed <i>ex parte</i> when notice can-	
		not be served in due —, S. 144 ...	79
TERMINATION—		Order to person causing nuisance, to	
of proceedings, date of — to be entered		appear at a fixed —, S. 133	72
in record of summary trials, S. 263		Particulars as to — to be stated in	
(j)	166	charge, S. 222	145
TERRITORIAL DIVISIONS—		Power to record confessions &c. made	
of provinces, Ss. 7, 8	18	at any —, S. 164	96
TERRITORIES—		Procedure on absolute order for re-	
Proof of cession of —, Evid. Act, S. 113	440	moval of nuisance not being obeyed	
Throughout which High Court may		in fixed —, S. 140	77
order production of European		Procedure on Jury not returning	
British Subject, S. 454 and note ...	265-7	verdict in nuisance case in fixed —,	
		S. 141	78
THEFT—		Reasonable — to be allowed to prove	
Charge of — need not state manner of		claim to be tried as European British	
committing it, S. 223 <i>III.</i> (a)	145	Subject, S. 453	262
Charge of — when it is doubtful what		TIPPERAH—	
offence has been committed, S. 236	150	Benchcs of Magistrates in —, (Bengal),	
Charges of —, Ss. 221, 223, 233, 237,		S. 15 note	21
239, <i>III.</i>	144, 148, 151, 152	TIRHOOT—	
Charges of — after previous conviction ;		Benchcs of Magistrates in —, (Bengal),	
after preparation to cause death,		S. 15 note	21
restraint, fear or hurt, Sched. V,		TITLE—	
No. XXVIII	386-8	Evidence of — may supplement evi-	
Offence of — where triable, S. 181 ...	113	dence of possession in disputes as to	
Payment to innocent purchaser of		immoveable property, S. 145 note ...	86
money found on person convicted of		of Government to attached property	
—, S. 519	290	of abacnder, S. 89 note	54
THIEF—		Powers may be conferred on classes of	
Arrest of habitual —, S. 55	41	officials generally by their official	
Security for good behaviour from		—, S. 39	33
habitual —, S. 110	63	TOWN—	
THREAT—		Presidency —, <i>see</i> PRESIDENCY.	
Security to keep the peace, on convic-		Proclamation requiring appearance of	
tion of — of injury, S. 108	60	abacnder to be read and affixed in	
to induce confession, not to be made		—, S. 87 (a)	52
during investigation, S. 163	94	TRADE—	
to induce or prevent disclosures not to		Conditional order for suppression &c.	
be used towards accused, S. 343 ...	302	of any injurious —, S. 133	72
THUG—		TRANSFER OF CASES AND AP-	
Movements of — to be reported, S. 45	85	PEALS—	
Offence of being a — where triable,		Application for — of a case is not a	
S. 181	113	contempt, S. 480	275
TIME—		Cases transferred to High Court may	
and place of execution of sentence of		be tried by jury, S. 267	168
whipping, S. 290	232	By High Court—	
Conditional order for removal of nu-		When High Court may — case &c.	
isance within fixed —, S. 123	72	or itself try it, S. 526	302
Fixing — for return of verdict of jury		Procedure on trial	
in nuisance-case, S. 136	76	by High Court, &c.	
Fixing — for appearance of parties in		Application for — how to be made,	
land dispute, S. 145	82	—,	302
Notice of — for hearing appeal, S. 422	246	When applicant may be called on to	
		give bond, &c.	303

	PAGE		PAGE
TRANSFER OF CASES AND AP- PEALS, Concluded—		TRESPASS—	
By High Court, <i>Concluded—</i>		Charge of house — with intent to commit adultery, needs no sanction, S. 199 ...	126
In what classes of cases High Court will exercise its power of —, S. 526 note ...	303-4	Criminal — (S. 447 I. P. C.) and House — (S. 448 I. P. C.) are com- poundable, S. 345 ...	304
Very strong grounds must be shown for —, <i>ib.</i> ...	303	TRESPASSER—	
By G. G. in C.—		Defect in proceedings does not make distrainer a —, S. 538... ..	311
G. G. in C. may — any case from one High Court to another, or from one Presidency to another, S. 527 ...	304	TRIALS BEFORE ALL COURTS—	
By District or Subdivisional Mag. &c.—		Adjourned — before Benches, S. 15 note ...	23
Such officer may withdraw and refer cases from or to any Subordinate Magistrate, S. 529 ...	305	" or " Sessions Court S. 229 ...	147
or Bench, S. 16 note ...	22	Adjournment or postponement of —, S. 344 and note ...	303-4
Evidence must be taken <i>de novo</i> by new Magis- trate, <i>ib.</i> note ...	305	All Courts to be open to the Public; Exception, S. 352 ...	309
Power when only to be exercised, <i>ib.</i>	305	Any person in Court may be detained when evidence implicates him in any offence S. 351 ...	308
Notice of — must be given to ac- cused, <i>ib.</i> ...	305	Disposal of property produced at —, <i>see</i> PROPERTY.	
Proceedings not vitiated when Mag- istrate not empowered transfers a case, S. 529 (<i>f</i>) ...	306	Effect of omission to prepare charge, S. 345 ...	309
TRANSLATION—		Escape of person from custody when under trial, S. 396 note ...	235
of confession or examination of ac- cused to accompany record to Ses- sions Court, S. 218 note ...	142	Evidence how to be taken and record- ed in all —, <i>see</i> EVIDENCE.	
" evidence given in English, S. 356 ...	210	Examination of accused at —, Ss 342-3 ...	300-2
" judgment when to be given to ac- cused, S. 371 ...	220	Court may examine ac- cused at any stage, S. 342 ...	300
" " when to be filed with re- cord, S. 372 ...	221	must examine him before his defence is taken, <i>ib.</i> ...	300
" vernacular papers in commitment to High Court, English transla- tion to accompany record, S. 218 ...	139	No oath to be adminis- tered to accused, <i>ib.</i> ...	300
TRANSPORTATION—		Accused not punishable for false answers &c., <i>ib.</i> ...	300
Convict sentenced to — where to be sent in India, S. 368 note, S. 393 note ...	219, 225	Answers of accused how to be treated as evi- dence, <i>ib.</i> ...	300
Death caused in endeavour to arrest person accused of offence punishable with — for life, S. 46 ...	36	Examination of accused not to be inquisitorial, <i>ib.</i> note ...	301
For life, as an aggregate punishment for separate offences, S. 35-note ...	31	Particulars to be shown in examination, <i>ib.</i> ...	301-2
Males sentenced to — not punishable with whipping, S. 393 ...	233	No influence to be used by threat or promise to accused, S. 343 ...	300
No sentence of — can be for less than seven years, S. 35 note ...	31	Habitual offender should be commit- ted, S. 345 ...	306
Proper form of passing sentence of —, S. 368 note ...	219	Irregularities in trials, <i>see</i> INREGU- LARITIES.	
Sentence of — not to specify the place of —, S. 368 ...	219	Magistrate may convict in evidence partly heard by predecessor, S. 340	306
Sentence of death may be commuted to one of — for life in case of preg- nant woman, S. 382 ...	224	Magistrate should not convict of lesser offence when evidence proves	
What offences are punishable with —, S. 368 note ...	219		
When sentence of — to take effect, in case of convict already undergoing another on same, S. 397 ...	225		

TRIALS BEFORE ALL COURTS, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE
offence beyond his jurisdiction, S. 347 <i>note</i> ...	206
New — may be ordered by appellate or High Court, S. 238	147-8
of offences under the I. P. O. how to be held, S. 5	17
" " " other laws how to be held, <i>ib.</i>	17
" persons subject to military law, S. 349	321
" cases by Benchies, <i>see</i> MAGISTRATES.	
" Summons-case, <i>see</i> SUMMONS-CASE.	
" Warrant-case, <i>see</i> WARRANT-CASE.	
Place of inquiry or trial, <i>see</i> OFFENCE.	
Procedure, when accused though not insane does not understand proceedings, S. 341	199, 200
" when accused is of unsound mind, <i>see</i> LUNATIC.	
" in inquiries and trials in cases which Magistrate cannot dispose of, S. 346	205
" if Magistrate before signing judgment finds that case should be committed, S. 347	205
" when Magistrate cannot pass sufficiently severe sentence, S. 349	207
Reference to be made to superior Magistrate, when possible, and not to Sessions Court, <i>ib. note</i>	207
Magistrate receiving reference how to act, <i>ib.</i>	207
may commit for trial, but cannot exercise special powers, <i>ib.</i>	207
Right of accused to be defended by Pleader, S. 340	199
<i>See</i> PLEADER.	
Separate —, sentence which Magistrate can pass is limited only by his general powers, S. 35	30
" " to be held of persons and parties charged with rioting, S. 313 <i>note</i>	187
" " for each distinct offence, S. 233	148
" " of persons charged with false evidence, S. 313 <i>note</i> , S. 323 <i>note</i>	187, 148
" " of persons charged under Ss. 167 and 468 I. P. O., S. 233 <i>note</i>	148

TRIALS BEFORE ALL COURTS, <i>Continued</i> —	PAGE
Separate —, of persons charged under Ss. 411 and 413 I. P. O., <i>ib.</i>	148
" " when person jointly charged with European or American can claim —, S. 461	267
" " when native charged with European British Subject can claim —, S. 452	262
Summary, <i>see</i> SUMMARY TRIALS.	
Tender of pardon to accomplices, <i>see</i> ACCOMPLICE.	
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT—	
<i>Preliminary</i> —	
Local Government may order certain offences to be tried by jury, S. 269	168
Offences triable by jury in Bengal, Assam, Madras, Bombay and Burma, <i>ib. note</i>	168-9
to be by jury when some of offences so triable, and others triable with assessors, S. 269	168
Charge to be read out to accused, who is to be called on to plead, S. 271	170
Plea of guilt to be recorded, and conviction may follow, S. 271	170
Accused to plead personally and not through Pleader, S. 271 <i>note</i>	171
In High Court, what is a High Court, S. 266	168
" " " All trials before, to be by jury, S. 267	168
" " " If charge or portion thereof unsustainable, Judge may make entry; effect of entry, S. 273	172
In Sessions Court, all trials in — to be by jury or with assessors, S. 266	168
" " Prosecution to be conducted by Public Prosecutor, S. 276	169
and not by Police, <i>ib. note</i>	169
" " Sessions how to be fixed in Bengal, Bombay, Madras and Punjab, <i>ib.</i>	169-70
" " how to be notified, <i>ib.</i>	170

	PAGE
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, Continued—	
<i>Preliminary, Concluded—</i>	
In Sessions Court, Judge not to return to Magistrate a case once committed, S. 271	171
" " No verdict or opinion necessary if accused pleads guilty, <i>ib.</i>	171
" " nor need assessors be even appointed, <i>ib.</i>	171
" " Form of recording plea of guilty, <i>ib.</i>	171
" " Mode of dealing with plea of guilty when conviction does not immediately follow, <i>ib.</i>	171
" " plea of guilty after trial has commenced, to go to jury or assessors with other evidence, <i>ib.</i>	171
" If accused refuse to plead or claims to be tried, Court proceeds to choose jury or assessors, S. 272	171-2
" If accused plead not guilty, he cannot be convicted merely on confession before Magistrate, <i>ib. note</i>	172
" " If accused plead not guilty, and no evidence offered, he must be acquitted, <i>ib.</i>	172
" " Procedure if accused is mute of malice or mute on visitations <i>Dei</i> , <i>ib.</i>	172
" " Same jury or assessors may try any number of accused successively, S. 272	172

Choosing a Jury—

Jury to be chosen by lot under rules made by High Court, S. 276	173
(1) if no such rules made	
(2) if too few jurors	

	PAGE
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, Continued—	
<i>Choosing a Jury, Concluded—</i>	
Receptions (3) in Presidency Towns in certain cases, S. 276	173
Name of each juror to be called as chosen, and accused asked if he objects, S. 277	173
Objections may be taken to jurors by either side, reasons to be stated, S. 277	173
what objections will be allowed, S. 278	173-4
Decision of Court on objection to be recorded, and is final, S. 279	174
If objection allowed, how juror's place to be filled, <i>ib.</i>	174
Jurors chosen appoint a foreman, S. 280	174
when Court may appoint foreman, <i>ib.</i>	174
Foreman's duties, <i>ib.</i>	174
Jury then to be sworn, S. 281 and <i>note</i>	174-5
Procedure when juror ceases to attend or does not understand language, S. 282	175
Discharge of jury when prisoner incapable of remaining at the bar, S. 283	175
In High Court, Jury to consist of nine, S. 274	172
" " objections to jurors without grounds, S. 277	173
In Sessions Court, Jury to consist of uneven number, not less than 5 or more than 9, as Local Govt. may direct, S. 274	172
" " Number of jury in Bengal, Bombay, Madras, N. W. P., Punjab and Central Provinces, <i>ib. note</i>	172
" " when majority of Jury to be other than European or Americans, S. 275	173
<i>Choosing Assessors—</i>	
In Sessions Court, Judge chooses 2 or more from number summoned, S. 284	175
" " No provisions for objections to assessors, <i>ib. note</i>	175

	PAGE
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, Continued—	
<i>Choosing Assessors, Conclusion—</i>	
In Sessions Court, If one assessor absent, trial proceeds with the others, S. 285 ...	175
" " " If all assessors absent, trial stops, new trial begins, <i>ib.</i> ...	176
<i>Trial to close of Prosecution and Defence—</i>	
Prosecutor to open his case and examine evidence, S. 286 ...	176
Jurors and assessors can question witnesses, <i>ib. note</i> , Evid. Act, S. 166 ...	176, 454
Examination of accused duly recorded must be tendered as evidence by prosecutor, S. 287 and <i>note</i> ...	176
Examination of accused how to be dealt with at trial, S. 287 and <i>note</i> ...	176
When evidence of witness before Magistrate may be treated as evidence in the case, S. 288 ...	177
When such statement should be proved, <i>ib. note</i> ...	177
How discretion of Judge in this respect should be exercised, <i>ib.</i> ...	177-8
Doubtful how far evidence of approver can be so treated, <i>ib.</i> ...	178
Accused may be acquitted at close of prosecution, S. 289 ...	179
If not acquitted, accused to enter on his defence, <i>ib.</i> ...	179
Written defence must be received if offered, <i>ib. note</i> ...	80
Any statement of accused in defence must be recorded, <i>ib.</i> ...	180
Defence opens case and calls evidence, S. 290 ...	180
" may examine any witness in attendance, S. 291 ...	180
If witness summoned for defence is absent, trial to be postponed, <i>ib. note</i> ...	180
When prosecution has right of reply, S. 292 ...	181
View by jury or assessors, S. 293 ...	181
Notice of view to be given to parties, <i>ib. note</i> ...	181
When juror or assessor may be examined as witness, S. 294 ...	181
" Judge ditto ditto, <i>ib. note</i> ...	181
Jury or assessors to attend adjourned trial till conclusion, S. 295 ...	181
High Court may make rules for keeping jurors together till conclusion of trial, S. 296 ...	182
Procedure when accused is a lunatic, see LUNATIC.	

	PAGE
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, Continued—	
<i>Conclusion of trial in Jury cases—</i>	
When both sides have closed, Judge charges Jury, S. 297 ...	182
Effect of omission to sum up evidence, S. 537 <i>note</i> ...	309
Charge need not be written before delivery, S. 297 <i>note</i> ...	182
Copy of charge, S. 371 ...	220
Duty of Judge, S. 298 and <i>note</i> ...	182
may give opinion on facts, but must not lead the Jury, <i>ib. note</i> ...	182-3
should especially instruct Jury as to law regarding evidence of accomplice, S. 298 <i>note</i> ...	183-4
Effect of misdirection to Jury, S. 537 ...	309
Duty of Jury, S. 299 ...	184
bound by direction of Judge on questions of law, S. 298 <i>note</i> ...	183
may retire to consider, S. 300 ...	184
After consideration, foreman delivers verdict, S. 301 ...	184
If verdict not unanimous, may be required to retire again, S. 302 ...	184
If unanimous, verdict must be received, <i>ib. note</i> ...	185
Verdict to be given on each charge, S. 303 ...	185
Judge may question Jury to ascertain what is their verdict, <i>ib.</i> ...	185
but doubtful to what extent, <i>ib. note</i> ...	185-6
when verdict may be in the alternative, <i>ib.</i> ...	185
No specific form of finding prescribed, <i>ib.</i> ...	186
Jury may amend a verdict which is wrong by accident or mistake, S. 304 ...	186
In High Court, verdict of Jury when to prevail S. 305 ...	186
" " Discharge of Jury, <i>ib.</i> ...	186
" " no judgment need be recorded, S. 367 ...	218
In Sessions Court, verdict of Jury when to prevail, S. 306 ...	186
Court need only record Heads of charge, S. 367 ...	218
what are Heads of charge, <i>ib. note</i> ...	218-9
Copy of Heads of charge to be given accused free of cost, S. 371 ...	220

TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, <i>Continued</i> —		PAGE
<i>Conclusion of trial in Jury cases, Concluded—</i>		
In Sessions Court,	disagreement of Judge with verdict no ground for nominal sentence, S. 371 <i>note</i> ...	186
" "	" when Judge, on disagreement, should send case to High Court: procedure, S. 307 ...	187
" "	" on such reference, High Court can exercise powers of Appellate Court, <i>ib.</i> ...	187
" "	" If Judge disagrees and does not refer case, Govt. can appeal against verdict of acquittal, <i>ib. note</i> ...	187
" "	" In making reference, Judge should state offence which he thinks was committed, <i>ib.</i> ...	187
" "	" High Court will not interfere unless Jury clearly and unmistakably wrong, <i>ib.</i> ...	187-8
" "	" or unless verdict induced by error of Judge, <i>ib.</i> ...	188
" "	" Judge (in Bengal) to state in Sessions Return whether he concurs in verdict, <i>ib.</i> ...	188
" "	" when accused sentenced to death, Judge to tell him period for appeal, S. 371 ...	220
" "	" Effect of trying with assessors offence triable by Jury, and <i>see</i> <i>referred</i> S. 326 ...	309

TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, <i>Continued</i> —		PAGE
<i>Re-trial after discharge of Jury—</i>		
Accused to be detained in custody or on bail to be retried by another Jury, unless Judge thinks he should not be retried, when he will be acquitted, S. 308 ...		188
<i>Conclusion of trial in cases tried with assessors—</i>		
In Sessions Court, Judge may sum up evidence, and shall call for oral opinions of assessors and record them, No. 309 ...		188-9
" "	" Judge not bound by such opinions, <i>ib.</i> ...	188-9
" "	" opinions when to be recorded and how, <i>ib. note</i> ...	189
" "	" opinions to be taken, when no evidence offered for prosecution and accused pleads not guilty, <i>ib.</i> ...	189
<i>Procedure in case of previous conviction—</i>		
Previous conviction not to be notified to Jury, nor accused called upon to plead to it, till after conviction on substantive charge, S. 310 ...		189
Procedure if accused (1) denies, (2) admits, previous conviction, <i>ib.</i> ...		189
<i>Jurors for the High Court—</i>		
Jurors' book: exemption of special Jurors, S. 311 ...		190
Number of special Jurors not to exceed two hundred, S. 312 ...		190
List of common and special Jurors how and when to be prepared, S. 313 ...		190
Government may exempt any salaried officer from serving, <i>ib.</i> ...		190
List to be published in Gazette, S. 314 ...		190
Number of persons to be summoned for each session, S. 315 ...		190
Exemption as regards serving more than once in six months, <i>ib.</i> ...		190
If High Court sits outside Presidency Towns, Sessions Court will summon Jurors from its own list, S. 316 ...		191
when military Jurors may be summoned in such cases, S. 317 ...		191
Failure of Jurors to attend without lawful excuse, renders liable to unlimited fine or imprisonment in default, S. 318 ...		191

	PAGE		PAGE
TRIALS BEFORE HIGH COURT OR SESSIONS COURT, <i>Continued</i>—		U.	
<i>Jurors and Assessors for Sessions Court—</i>		UNBORN CHILD—	
All male persons between 21 and 60		Magistrate cannot order maintenance	
liable to serve, S. 319 ...	191	for —, S. 488 <i>note</i> ...	283
Exemptions, S. 320 ...	191-2	UNCLAIMED PROPERTY—	
when exempted		Disposal of — taken charge of by	
person may be		Police, <i>Appendix</i> ...	472-3
summoned to		UNDUE INFLUENCE—	
act, S. 462 ...	268	Accused not to be induced to make	
Sessions Judge and Collector to		disclosures, by —, S. 343 ...	202
make out list of Jurors, S. 321 ...	192	UNITED KINGDOM—	
Area of selection in		Certain persons naturalized &c. in the	
Bengal, Bombay,		— are European British Subjects,	
and Burmah, <i>ib.</i>		S. 4 (u) ...	16
<i>note</i> ...	192-3	UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY—	
List how published, S. 322 ...	193	<i>See ASSEMBLY.</i>	
Hearing of objections to, and re-		UNLAWFUL COMPULSORY LA-	
vision of list, Ss. 323—325 ...	193	BOUE—	
Effect of omitting to re-		compoundable, S. 345 ...	204
visc list, S. 537 ...	309	UNLAWFUL DESTRUCTION—	
District Magistrate to summon those		Removal of —, S. 133 ...	72
drawn by lot; number to be sum-		UNNATURAL DEATH—	
moned, S. 326 ...	193-4	to be at once reported, S. 45 ...	35
When assessors in Punjab may get		Inquest to be held on —, S. 174 ...	102-3
expenses, <i>ib. note</i> ...	194	Duties of Police in investigating cases	
Power of Court to summon other		of —, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	104-110
jurors or assessors, S. 327 ...	194	UNNATURAL OFFENCE—	
Form and service of summons, S. 328	194	Duties of Police in investigating cases	
When Govt. or Railway servant may		of —, S. 174 <i>note</i> ...	105
be exempted, S. 329 ...	194	URGENT—	
Court may excuse attendance of		cases of nuisance —, S. 144 ...	79-81
any Juror or assessor, S. 330 ...	194	V.	
List of jurors attending each Ses-		VACANCY—	
sions to be kept, S. 331 ...	194	Temporary — in office of District	
Penalty for non-attendance as juror		Magistrate provided for, S. 11 ...	18
or assessor, S. 332 ...	194-5	VAGABONDS —	
Order fining assessor		Powers of Police officer to arrest —,	
is not appealable,		S. 53 ...	41
<i>ib. note</i> ...	195	VAGRANCY—	
<i>Special provisions for High Court—</i>		European — Act, <i>see</i> Acts, (<i>India</i>	
Advocate General may at any time		<i>Council</i>) IX of 1874.	
before verdict stay prosecution,		VAGRANT—	
and accused shall be discharged,		European —, defined, S. 111 <i>note</i> and	
S. 333 ...	195	<i>Appendix</i> ...	64, 478
when such dis-		" " Penalties on —, <i>Appen-</i>	
charge amounts		<i>dis</i> ...	463-4
to acquittal, <i>ib.</i>	195	" " Procedure regarding,	
Chief Justice to appoint dates for		S. 111 and <i>Appendix</i> ...	64, 478-9
Sessions in High Court, S. 334 ...	195	" " Removal from India of	
Place of holding sittings, S. 335 ...	195	—, <i>Appendix</i> ...	481-2
" " trial of European British		" " when may be arrested	
Subject, S. 336 ...	195	by Police officer with-	
<i>See also</i> JUDGMENT, SENTENCE.		out warrant, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	39
TRIBUNAL —		Security for good behaviour from —,	
Return of complaint, to be presented		S. 109 ...	69-3
before proper —, S. 301 ...	126		
TROOPS—			
<i>See</i> BOMBAY, MADRAS.			
TRUST—			
Criminal branch of —, where triable,			
S. 121 ...	113		

	PAGE		PAGE
AKIL—		VISITORS—	
of High Court, included in term		of lunatic asylums, to visit lunatic	
"Pleader", S. 4 (a) ...	15	" " prisoners once in	
VALIDATING—		" " 6 months and re-	
irregular proceedings, S. 593 ...	307	" " port to Govern-	274
VALIDITY—		" " Certificate of —,	274
of inquiry &c. when person not an		Ss. 473, 474, ...	
European British Subject is dealt		VOLUNTARY—	
with as such, S. 455 ...	364	Confession, not to be prevented during	
VENDOR—		Police investigation,	
of stolen goods, residence of — to be		S. 163 ...	94
reported, S. 45 ...	35	Magistrate to ascertain whether con-	
VERDICT—		fession is —, S. 164 ..	96
of Jury, in nuisance-cases, <i>see</i> NUI-		VOLUNTEERS—	
SAUCE.		When — may be called upon to dis-	
" " in trials, <i>see</i> TRIALS BEFORE		perse unlawful assembly, and by	
HIGH COURT &c.		whom, S. 130 ...	71
VESSEL—		Protection against pro-	
included in term "Place," S. 4 (u)...	17	secution for acts so	
VIEW—		done, S. 133 ...	72
Arrest by private persons of per-		VOYAGE—	
son committing non-bailable and		Place of trial of offence committed on	
cognizable offence in their —, S. 59	43	a — or journey, S. 133 ...	114
by Jury or assessor of place of occur-		W.	
rence &c. of offence, S. 293 ...	181	WAGING WAR—	
Notice of — to be given to		against the Queen, form of charge,	
the parties, <i>ib. note</i> ...	181	Sched. V, No. XXVIII (I), 1 ...	206
Police officer may prevent inquiry to		WAIVER —	
public property attempted in his		of claim to be tried as European Bri-	
—, S. 152 ...	89	tish Subject, S. 4 (u) <i>note</i> ...	17
Transfer of case by High Court when		of right to recall witnesses for pro-	
necessary to take —, S. 526 (v) ...	302	secution, S. 256 <i>note</i> ...	160
EXHAUSTIVE COMPLAINT—		WARRANT—	
Award of compensation for —, S. 250	156	Arrest without —, <i>see</i> ARREST.	
Recovery of compensation for —,		for levy of maintenance of wife or	
S. 250 ...	156	child, S. 463 ...	202
WILLAGE—		for levy of fine, Ss. 266, 267, 268 ...	227-23
accountant, not bound to give certain		for realization of penalty of bond,	
information, S. 45 <i>note</i> ...	36	S. 514 ...	297
Chokidar is not a Police officer within		Fresh — to be issued by lower Court	
meaning of S. 54, S. 54 <i>note</i> ...	41	when conviction modified in appeal,	
headmen, — watchmen and — Police		S. 423 <i>note</i> ...	247
officers bound to report certain mat-		Issue of — for offence committed be-	
ters, S. 45 ...	35	yond jurisdiction, S. 186 ...	115
Further obligations on —		Procedure on arrest, S. 187 ...	115
headmen and — watch-		No formal — of release necessary when	
men, <i>ib. note</i> ...	35	accused acquitted by Sessions Court,	
Head of — in Madras and Bombay		S. 206 <i>note</i> ...	197
Presidencies may hold inquest,		of arrest, <i>see</i> ARREST.	
S. 174 ...	103	" execution of sentence, <i>see</i> SURRENDER.	
Police officers, <i>see</i> BOMBAY.		" release to be addressed to jail officer,	
When — includes village lands, S. 45		S. 261 <i>note</i> ...	225
<i>Regt.</i> ...	35	" " not required in case of ac-	
<i>See also BOMBAY, MADRAS.</i>		cused acquitted by Sessions	
WITNESSING—		Court, S. 206 <i>note</i> ...	197
lunatic prisoner, S. 473 ...	274	Register of —s of —, S. 206	
		<i>note</i> ...	225

	PAGE		PAGE
WARRANT, <i>Concluded</i>—		WARRANT-CASE, <i>Concluded</i>—	
Search —, when may be issued, S. 96	56-7	Accused may recall and cross-examine witness for prosecution present and about the Court, S. 266	159
" " for document in custody of Postal or Telegraph Department, who may issue, S. 96	57	Even when he simply pleads not guilty, <i>ib. note</i>	159
" " restriction of — to particular place, S. 97	57	Right to recall how determined or forfeited, <i>ib. note</i>	160
" " execution of —, Ss. 101-3	59	Written statement of accused to be filed with record, S. 266	159
" " Things found in execution of — beyond jurisdiction how to be dealt with, S. 99	58	Magistrate must issue process for evidence for defence, S. 167	161
" " must usually be executed in daylight, S. 108 <i>note</i>	59	except for reasons to be recorded, <i>ib.</i>	161
" " witnesses to attend execution of —, S. 108	59	when no such reasons recorded, conviction will be set aside, <i>ib. note</i>	161
" " Person in charge of place, and occupant of place may attend execution of —, Ss. 102-3	59	Acquittal or conviction of accused, S. 268	161
" " for search of house to whom and when may be granted, S. 98	57	When absence of formal charge no bar to acquittal, <i>ib. note</i>	161
" " for search of persons wrongfully confined, who may issue, S. 100	58	Trial of warrant-case, summarily, <i>see</i> SUMMARY TRIAL.	
" " Provisions applicable to all —, S. 101	58	WATCHMAN—	
When public may assist in executing —, S. 43	34	<i>see</i> VILLAGE WATCHMAN.	
When summons to issue on accused, and when —, S. 204	130	WATER—	
WARRANT-CASE—		Right to flow of — over another's land, S. 147 <i>note</i>	87
defined, S. 4 (c)	16	<i>see</i> EASEMENT.	
Trial of Warrant-case—		WAY—	
On appearance of accused, Magistrate to hear complainant and evidence produced, S. 263	167	Conditional order for removal of nuisance from, or for fencing wall or tank adjacent to, public —, S. 123	72
Magistrate to ascertain and summon witnesses for prosecution, <i>ib.</i>	167	Right of —, S. 147 <i>note</i>	87
Case cannot be remanded without sufficient reason, S. 262 <i>note</i>	167	<i>see</i> EASEMENT.	
what is sufficient reason for remand, S. 264, <i>Supl. and note</i>	202-3	WEAPONS—	
When accused must be discharged, S. 263	168	by which injuries inflicted to be mentioned in Police report, S. 174	103
" " may —, S. 269	163	Seizure of offensive — on person arrested, S. 53	28
Illegal arrest by police no ground for discharge, S. 268 <i>note</i>	163	or other articles to be produced before Magistrate, should be sent up by the Police, S. 170	100
Charge when to be framed, S. 264	163	to be forwarded on — to Court of Session or High Court, S. 218	129
Accused may be charged with any offence proved, <i>ib. note</i>	169	WEARING APPAREL —	
Charge to be read and explained to who is to be called upon to —, S. 265	169	not to be taken away from person arrested, S. 51	28
to — to record plea of guilty, and may convict thereon, <i>ib.</i>	169	WEIGHTS AND MEASURES—	
Plea of guilty must admit all the elements of the charge, <i>ib. note</i>	169	Inspection of — by police, S. 163	89
If accused does not plead guilty, he must enter on his defence, S. 266	169	Seizure of false —, <i>ib.</i>	89
		What offences relating to — may be tried summarily, S. 200 (b)	162
		WELL—	
		Conditional order for fencing —, S. 200	72
		Duties of Police on finding body in a —, S. 174 <i>note</i>	103
		Right to use water of a —, <i>Evid. Act, S. 46 IN.</i>	426

	PAGE
WHIPPING—	
Act, VI of 1884, <i>Appendix</i> ...	492-6
Execution of sentence of —, <i>see</i> SAN- TION.	
may be awarded by what Magistrates, S. 32 (a) (b), S. 34 ...	27, 28, 30
and by what Courts, S. 31 ...	27
No Magistrate of 2nd class can award — unless specially empowered by Local Government, S. 32 ...	28
Offences punishable with —, S. 32 <i>note</i> and <i>Appendix</i> ...	28, 492-5
Sentence of — set aside when previous conviction not mentioned in the charge, S. 221 <i>note</i> ...	145
WIFE—	
maintenance of —, <i>see</i> MAINTENANCE.	
WILL—	
how proved, Evid. Act, S. 91, <i>Ex. 2</i> ..	435
WINDOW—	
Breaking open — to effect arrest, S. 48	37
" " for purpose of libera- tion, after entry to effect arrest, S. 49 ...	37
WITHDRAWAL—	
from prosecution, by Public Prosecu- tor, S. 464 ...	247
of appeals, by District Magistrate, S. 407 ...	241
" attachment of immoveable property in dispute, S. 146 <i>note</i> ...	87
" cases by District or Subdivisional Magistrate, S. 528 ...	306
Local Govt may authorize District Mag. to with- draw clauses of cases, <i>id.</i> ...	306
Proceedings not vitiated when Magistrate not em- powered withdraw case, S. 529 (i) ...	306
" case by High Court for trial before itself, S. 636 ...	302
" complaint in summons-case, <i>see</i> SUM- MONS-CASE.	
" pardon, S. 330 ...	198
" remaining charges on conviction of one of several charges, S. 240 ...	162-3
" powers, S. 41 ...	23
WITNESSES—	
Absence of — is reasonable cause for a <i>remand</i> , S. 244 ...	202
Accomplice accepting tender of pardon to be examined as a —, S. 287 ...	196
Credibility of evidence of ac- complice —, S. 289 <i>note</i> ...	196-9
Accused to have reasonable time to produce his —, S. 244 <i>note</i> ...	204
Accused may demand re-hearing of — when evidence recorded partly by Mag. S. 286 ...	208

	PAGE
WITNESSES, Continued—	
Any Court any at stage of any pro- ceedings can summon and examine any —, S. 240 ...	212
Any person accused and discharged for want of evidence can be a —, S. 237 <i>note</i> ...	196
arrested on warrant how to be treated, S. 90 <i>note</i> ...	45
Bail may be taken from — arrested, <i>id.</i> ...	55
Bond to be executed by — when re- quired by Police to appear before Magistrate, S. 170 ...	100
Bond to be personal, <i>id.</i> ...	100
If — refuse to execute bond, may be sent up in custody, <i>id.</i> ...	101
Commissions for examination of —, <i>see</i> COMMISSION.	
Copy of depositions of —, <i>see</i> COPY.	
Court may require deposit of — ex- penses in summons-case, S. 244 ...	164
Costs incurred for —'s fees in disputes as to immoveable property and easements, S. 148 ...	88
Decourtesy of —, remarks respecting, to be recorded, S. 363 ...	215
Evidence of accomplice —, <i>see</i> ACCOM- PLICE.	
Evidence of — to be recorded without delay, S. 206 <i>note</i> , S. 259 <i>note</i> ...	158, 215
Evidence of — as to matter in writ- ing, Evid. Act, S. 146 ...	449
Examination of witnesses—	
How to be conducted, S. 206 <i>note</i> ...	158
by Police officer investigating a case, <i>see</i> POLICE.	
Order of production and examina- tion, Evid. Act, S. 135 ...	448
Indecent and scandalous questions may be forbidden, <i>id.</i> , S. 151 ...	440
Insulting or offensive questions must be forbidden, <i>id.</i> , S. 153 ...	451
Answers to questions to test veracity of — cannot be contradicted, <i>id.</i> , S. 155 ...	451
Impugning credit of —, <i>id.</i> , S. 155 ...	451
Former statements of — may be proved, to corroborate later testi- mony, <i>id.</i> , S. 157 ...	452
Refreshing memory of —, S. 162 <i>note</i> and Evid. Act, <i>Sec.</i> 159-161 98-4, 452-3	
cannot be compelled to refresh his memory from all —	
Evid. Act, S. 159 <i>note</i> ...	452
Court may ask any questions at any time, <i>id.</i> , S. 156 ...	453
Jury or witness may ask any ques- tions through the Court, <i>id.</i> , S. 156 ...	454

WITNESSES, Continued—	PAGE	WITNESSES, Continued—	PAGE
<i>Examination of witnesses, Continued—</i>		<i>Examination of witnesses, Concluded—</i>	
Examination-in-chief, <i>ib.</i> , S. 137 ...	448	Cross-examination, Court may permit party to cross-examine his own witness, <i>ib.</i> , S. 154 ...	451
" " must relate to relevant facts, <i>ib.</i> , S. 138 ...	448	" " reasons for belief in incredibility of witness may be asked in, <i>ib.</i> , S. 155 ...	451
" " leading questions not to be asked, <i>ib.</i> , S. 141 ...	449	" " on writing referred to by witness to refresh his memory, <i>ib.</i> , S. 161 ...	453
" " reasons for belief in incredibility of witness cannot be asked, <i>ib.</i> , S. 155 ...	451	Re-examination, defined, <i>ib.</i> , S. 137 ...	448
Cross-examination, defined, <i>ib.</i> , S. 137 ...	448	" " to be on what points, <i>ib.</i> , S. 138 ...	448
" " must relate to relevant facts, <i>ib.</i> , S. 138 ...	448	" " witness to character may be re-examined, <i>ib.</i> , S. 140 ...	449
" " person producing document cannot be, <i>ib.</i> , S. 139 ...	449	" " leading questions not to be asked in, except with permission, <i>ib.</i> , S. 143 ...	449
" " witness to character may be, <i>ib.</i> , S. 140 ...	449	Expenses of —, <i>see</i> EXPENSES.	
" " leading questions may be asked, <i>ib.</i> , S. 143 ...	449	For defence, list of — to be given in when, in inquiries, S. 211 ...	136
" " when right of — cannot be claimed, S. 540 <i>note</i> ...	312	and in trials before High Court and Court of Sessions, <i>see</i> TRIALS.	
" " witness called by the Court may be, Evid. Act, S. 138 <i>note</i> ...	448	Imprisonment or committal of — on refusing to answer &c., S. 484 ..	280
" " as to previous statements in writing, <i>ib.</i> , S. 145 ...	449	In warrant-cases, Mag. to summon for prosecution, S. 263 ...	167
" " questions lawful in, <i>ib.</i> , S. 145 ...	449-50	" " recall of — for prosecution, S. 256 <i>note</i> ...	159-60
" " no inference can be drawn from no, <i>ib.</i> , S. 146 <i>note</i> ...	450	Judge or juror not necessarily disqualified as such by reason of being a — to some facts under inquiry, S. 159 <i>note</i> ...	92
" " witness may be compelled to answer relevant questions in, <i>ib.</i> , S. 147 ...	450	His evidence should be recorded and he should be cross-examined, <i>ib.</i> ...	92
" " when witness must answer non-relevant questions, <i>ib.</i> , S. 148-9 ...	450	Mag. can convert accused into — by tender of pardon, S. 257 <i>note</i> ...	196
		Maximum fine on absconding —, S. 89 <i>note</i> ...	64
		Medical — <i>see</i> MEDICAL.	
		Mode of taking and recording evidence of —, <i>see</i> EVIDENCE.	
		Names of — to be entered on back of charge sheet in ...	126, 140
		S. 212 <i>note</i> ...	

	PAGE		PAGE
WITNESSES, Continued—		WITNESSES, Concluded—	
No particular number of — necessary for proof of any fact, Evid. Act, S. 134 ...	447	When irrelevant questions to — amount to contempt, S. 480 <i>note</i> ...	278
Not excused from answering because answer will criminate, Evid. Act, S. 135 ...	445	" evidence of — before Magistrate may be treated as evidence at trial, S. 388 and <i>note</i> ...	177-9
on their way to Court not to be required to accompany Police-officer, nor subjected to unnecessary restraint &c., S. 170 ...	101	" examined by committing Mag. should be tendered for cross-examination at the trial, S. 389 <i>note</i> ...	179
Persons arrested may be examined as —, S. 540 <i>note</i> ...	312	" Court can recal — for prosecution after close of defence, <i>ib.</i> ...	180
Police, in Panjab, to provide diet of — and prisoner in cases investigated by them, S. 170 <i>note</i> ...	161	" Juror or assessor may be examined as —, S. 294 ...	181
Procedure if — sent in unnecessarily by the Police, <i>ib.</i> ...	101	WOMAN—	
Prosecution of accomplice — for false evidence, S. 339 ...	198	Breaking open apartment occupied by <i>purdah nishkeen</i> — to effect arrest, S. 48 ...	37
Perjury or refusal of witness to give direct answer, is not a contempt, S. 480 <i>note</i> ...	278	Postponement of capital sentence in case of pregnant —, S. 383 ...	224
Recall of — when charge is altered, S. 231 ...	147	Power to compel, restoration of abducted —, S. 551 ...	322
Refreshing memory of —, S. 163 <i>note</i> , Evid. Act, Ss. 159-61 93-4, ...	452-3	<i>Purdah nishkeen</i> — is not of right exempted from appearing in Court, S. 503 <i>note</i> ...	292
Register of —, Panjab, S. 359 <i>note</i> ...	213-4	Sanction for prosecution for enticing away married —, S. 199 ...	126
Supplementary — after commitment, <i>see</i> INQUIRY.		To be searched by another —, S. 53 ...	38
Summoning — from Native State, S. 540 <i>note</i> ...	312	WORDS—	
Statement of person who cannot be called as —, <i>see</i> STATEMENT.		in a charge taken in the sense of law under which offence is punishable, S. 224 ...	145
<i>Special provisions as to witnesses—</i>		Jury to determine meaning of — used in an unusual sense, S. 299 ...	184
Who are competent —, Evid. Act, S. 118 ...	443	referring to acts done extend to illegal omissions, S. 4 ...	17
" a lunatic may be, <i>ib.</i> <i>Ex.</i> ...	443	used in this Code to have what definitions, S. 4 ...	17
Dumb —, <i>ib.</i> , S. 119 ...	443	WORKHOUSE—	
Judges and Magistrates examined as — not bound to answer, <i>ib.</i> , S. 121 ...	443	Government —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	480-1
Disclosure of communications during marriage, <i>ib.</i> , S. 122 ...	444	WRECKS—	
Evidence as to affairs of State, <i>ib.</i> , S. 123 ...	444	Disposal of —, S. 523 <i>note</i> ...	301
Official communications, <i>ib.</i> , S. 124 ...	444	WRITING—	
Information as to offences, <i>ib.</i> , S. 125 ...	444	defined, S. 4 (<i>s</i>) ...	16
Professional communications, <i>ib.</i> , S. 126-129 ...	444-5	Every summons to be in —, Ss. 68, 328 ...	45, 194
Production of documents, Ss. 130-1 ...	445	" warrant " " S. 75 ...	48
Two or more — to attend and witness search of place on execution of warrant, S. 108 ...	59	Examination of complainant to be reduced to —, S. 200 ...	127
How such — are to be selected, <i>ib.</i> <i>note</i> ...	59	Examination of medical officer as to Lunatic accused to be in —, S. 464 ...	208
To have opportunity to show cause against exhibit of recognisances, S. 170 <i>note</i> ...	161	Hand —, <i>see</i> ORIGIN.	
When Court should question —, S. 540 <i>note</i> ...	312	Information concerning commission of cognizable offence to be in, or be reduced to —, S. 154 ...	39
" right of cross-examination of — cannot be claimed, <i>ib.</i> ...	312	Landholders &c. to acknowledge receipt of warrants &c., in —, S. 76 ...	50
		Order by Police officer for attendance of witnesses to be in —, S. 180 ...	98
		Order of <i>prohibitory</i> or adjournment to be in —, S. 244 ...	202

	PAGE		PAGE
WRITING, Concluded—		WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT—	
Providence Mags. may require com- plaint to be given in —, S. 800 ...	127	Discovery of persons in —, S. 100 ...	58
Proclamation for absconder to be in —, S. 87 ...	52	under S. 248 I. P. C., is compoundable, S. 245 ...	204
Reducing into — evidence of witnesses at Police Station, S. 161 ...	93	WRONGFUL RESTRAINT—	
Search-order to subordinate Police officer to be in —, S. 145 ...	98	is compoundable, S. 245 ...	204
Statements of witnesses to police in — not to be signed, S. 162 ...	98	Y.	
Summons to jurors and assessors to be in —, S. 206 ...	194	YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS—	
Subordinate police officer to get au- thority in — to make arrest without warrant, S. 56 ...	42	Arrest of escaped —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	500
WRITTEN—		Confinement of — in Reformatory School, S. 299 and <i>Appendix</i> 233, 497-8	496
defined, S. 4 (c) ...	15	defined, <i>Appendix</i> ...	496
instructions to Mags. deputed to hold local inquiry into land dispute, S. 148 ...	88	Penalty for abetting escape of —, <i>Appendix</i> ...	500
statements put in by accused to be filed with record, S. 256 ...	159	Z.	
statements of claims to possession to be put in by parties, S. 148 ...	82	ZENANA—	
		Forcible entry into — to arrest accused, S. 48 <i>Proc.</i> ...	87
		ZILLAH MAGISTRATE—	
		in the Bombay Regulations means District Magistrate, S. 10 note ...	18

